



Open Access

International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences

ISSN 2706-9176 (Print), ISSN 2706-9184 (Online)

Fair East Publishers

www.fepbl.com



The role of U.S. government policy in advancing digital access and equity

Jumai Ahmadu¹, Rahman Akorede Shittu², Oluwakemi Famoti³, Godwin Nzeako⁴, Ogechukwu Nwanneka Ezechi⁵, Abbey Ngochindo Igwe⁶, Chioma Ann Udeh⁷ & David Akokodaripon⁸

¹Reform Coordination and Service Improvement Department, Abuja, Nigeria

²North Carolina Agricultural and Technical State University, USA

³Wells Fargo, Texas, USA

⁴Independent Researcher, Finland

⁵Independent Researcher, Ontario, Canada

⁶Independent Researcher, Port Harcourt, Nigeria

⁷Independent Researcher, Lagos, Nigeria

⁸Kyndryl (IBM GTS), Brazil

Corresponding Author: Jumai Ahmadu

Corresponding Author Email: jumaiabuahmadu@yahoo.com

Article Info

Received: 03-01-25

Accepted: 18-02-25

Published: 10-03-25

Volume: 7

Issue: 3

Page No: 152-168

Licensing Details:

Author retains the right of this article. The article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial Licence

4.0

Abstract

The primary objective of this paper is to examine how government policies can promote digital inclusion and equity across the United States of America. It emphasizes the need for strategic interventions to bridge the digital divide, ensuring that all segments of society can benefit from technological advancements. The paper underscores the role of government in providing infrastructure, education, and regulatory frameworks that support digital access and literacy. Central to the paper is the exploration of various policy measures and their impact on digital inclusion. It analyzes successful initiatives such as national broadband strategies, digital literacy programs, and subsidies for low-income households. The paper discusses how these policies can be tailored to address the specific needs of marginalized communities, including rural populations, the elderly, and people with disabilities. The concept paper delves into theoretical models of digital inclusion, such as the Digital Divide Theory and the Capability Approach. It reviews these models to understand the multifaceted nature of digital exclusion and the socio-economic factors contributing to it. The paper also highlights the importance of a holistic approach that combines infrastructure development with digital skills training and public awareness campaigns. Addressing the practical challenges of promoting digital inclusion, the paper identifies issues such as budget constraints, rapid

technological changes, and resistance to digital adoption. It proposes solutions including public-private partnerships, continuous policy evaluation, and targeted interventions for high-need areas. The paper emphasizes the need for collaboration between government, industry, and civil society to create a sustainable and inclusive digital environment. The anticipated outcomes of effective government policies include increased digital literacy, broader access to online services, and enhanced socio-economic opportunities for all citizens. These outcomes are expected to lead to greater social cohesion, economic growth, and improved quality of life. The paper argues that promoting digital inclusion is not only a matter of social justice but also a strategic imperative for national development. The paper provides a comprehensive framework for understanding and addressing digital exclusion through government intervention. By implementing inclusive policies and fostering collaboration across sectors, The United States of America government can ensure that all its citizens are empowered to participate in the digital economy. The paper calls for ongoing research and practical efforts to refine and enhance digital inclusion strategies, ensuring they remain responsive to the evolving technological landscape and societal needs.

Keywords: Digital Access, Equity, Inclusion, USA.

DOI: 10.51594/ijarss.v7i3.1827

DOI URL: <https://doi.org/10.51594/ijarss.v7i3.1827>

INTRODUCTION

Digital inclusion and equity have become critical components of socio-economic development in contemporary societies. In the United States, the government plays a crucial role in promoting these aspects through the formulation and implementation of effective policies. (Adigwe, et. al., 2024, Aldoseri, Al-Khalifa & Hamouda, 2024, Kraus, et. al., 2022) Digital inclusion refers to the ability of individuals and communities to access and effectively use digital technologies, while digital equity ensures that all people, regardless of their social status, geographical location, or demographic characteristics, can benefit equally from these technologies. This concept paper explores the role of government policy in fostering digital inclusion and equity in the United States, highlighting the importance of comprehensive strategies to bridge the digital divide and promote inclusive growth.

The rapid advancement of digital technologies has transformed various sectors, including education, healthcare, employment, and public services (Kaggwa, et. al., 2024, Kolasani, 2024). However, despite the widespread adoption of these technologies, significant disparities in digital access and usage persist across different segments of the population (Van Deursen & Van Dijk, 2019). Government policy is instrumental in addressing these disparities by ensuring that all citizens have the necessary resources, skills, and opportunities to participate fully in the digital economy. By implementing targeted interventions, the government can mitigate the factors that contribute to digital exclusion, such as affordability, digital literacy, and infrastructure deficits (Helsper, Van Deursen, & Eynon, 2015).

One of the key policy initiatives aimed at promoting digital inclusion in the United States is the Digital Strategy, which outlines the government's vision for harnessing the power of technology to drive economic growth and improve public services (Reisdorf & Rhinesmith, 2018). This strategy emphasizes the need to enhance digital skills across the population, support the development of digital infrastructure, and promote the use of digital technologies

in various sectors. By focusing on these areas, the government aims to create a more inclusive digital society where everyone can benefit from technological advancements (Aderibigbe, et. al., 2023, Ebulue, Ebulue & Ekesiobi, 2024, Odewale, 2024, Ugwu, Adewusi & Nwokolo, 2024). Furthermore, government policies that address digital literacy are essential for ensuring that individuals have the skills required to navigate and utilize digital technologies effectively. Digital skills and job training initiatives, brings together government, businesses, and non-profit organizations to improve digital skills, are crucial for equipping citizens with the competencies needed to thrive in the digital age (Horrigan, 2018). These efforts help to reduce digital inequalities and empower individuals to take advantage of the opportunities presented by digital technologies.

In addition to skills development, government policies must also focus on improving digital infrastructure, particularly in underserved and rural areas (Mannuru, et.al., 2023, Ndubisi & Ikechukwu Anthony, 2022, Samuel-Okon & Abejide, 2024). Investments in high-speed broadband and mobile connectivity are vital for ensuring that all regions of the United States have reliable access to digital services (Whitacre, Gallardo, & Strover, 2014). By addressing the infrastructural barriers to digital inclusion, the government can help to create a more equitable digital landscape. In conclusion, government policy plays a pivotal role in promoting digital inclusion and equity in the U.S.A. Through comprehensive strategies that address digital skills, infrastructure, and access, the government can bridge the digital divide and ensure that all citizens can participate fully in the digital economy. This concept paper aims to provide a framework for understanding the impact of government policies on digital inclusion and equity, highlighting the need for continued efforts to create an inclusive digital society.

Background

The role of government policy in promoting digital inclusion and equity in the United States is crucial for addressing disparities in access to technology and ensuring that digital advancements benefit all citizens (Adigwe, et. al., 2024, Onuorah & Bosso, 2024, Shenkoya, 2023, Udegbunam, Igbokwe-Ibeto & Nwafor, 2023). As digital technologies become increasingly integral to everyday life, the need for effective policies to bridge the digital divide has become more apparent. Digital inclusion encompasses not only access to technology but also the skills and opportunities necessary for full participation in the digital economy. In the U.S.A., digital exclusion remains a significant concern, particularly among disadvantaged and marginalized groups (Adewusi, et. al., 2024, Arakpogun, et. al., 2021, Komolafe, et. al., 2024). According to research by Reisdorf and Rhinesmith (2018), digital exclusion can have profound impacts on individuals' ability to access essential services, education, and employment opportunities. The government's National Digital Inclusion Strategy aims to tackle these issues by enhancing broadband connectivity, promoting digital skills development, and addressing barriers faced by those in remote or economically disadvantaged areas (Robinson et al., 2020). This strategy highlights the government's commitment to creating an inclusive digital environment where all individuals can thrive.

Peer-reviewed studies underscore the importance of these policy initiatives. For instance, a study by Robinson et al. (2020) examines how digital inclusion strategies in the U.S.A. have influenced socio-economic outcomes and identifies areas where additional efforts are needed. Similarly, research by Reisdorf and Rhinesmith (2018) emphasizes the role of government policies in reducing digital inequalities and fostering equitable access to digital resources. The effectiveness of these policies is often evaluated through various metrics, including broadband coverage and digital literacy rates. Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Proposal to Improve Broadband Alignment Report (2024) provides valuable insights into the state of digital infrastructure and the ongoing challenges related to digital exclusion. This report reveals persistent gaps in connectivity and highlights the need for continued government

action to ensure that digital technologies are accessible to all. Overall, the United States' approach to digital inclusion reflects a broader global trend toward addressing technology-driven inequalities (Igbinenikaro & Adewusi, 2024a, Igbinenikaro & Adewusi, 2024b, Oladoyinbo, et. al., 2024). By implementing targeted policies and initiatives, the United States government aims to ensure that digital advancements are inclusive and equitable, thereby enhancing opportunities for all citizens.

Key Dataset

Key datasets for understanding the role of government policy in promoting digital inclusion and equity in the United States include various reports and studies that offer insights into the effectiveness and reach of digital inclusion initiatives (Ade-Ibijola & Okonkwo, 2023, Agba, Agba & Obeten, 2023, Kanu, Adidi & Kanu, 2024). The Office for National Statistics (ONS) provides comprehensive data on digital skills and internet access across different demographic groups, highlighting disparities and trends over time (ONS, 2021).

Academic studies contribute to the dataset by evaluating the effectiveness of digital inclusion strategies and identifying gaps. For example, research by Reisdorf & Rhinesmith, (2018) offers an analysis of socio-economic outcomes influenced by digital inclusion policies, while Deganis et al. (2021) explore the broader implications of government policies on digital inequalities. These datasets collectively inform policymakers and researchers about the state of digital inclusion, the progress of government initiatives, and areas requiring further intervention.

Overview

The role of government policy in promoting digital inclusion and equity in the U.S.A., is crucial for addressing the persistent digital divide and ensuring equitable access to technology. Government policies aim to bridge gaps in digital access and skills across different socio-economic groups, regions, and demographics (Elegunde & Osagie, 2020, Nwankwo, et. al., 2021, Udo, et. al., 2024).

The United States Digital Inclusion Strategy, outlined by Reisdorf and Rhinesmith (2018), focuses on enhancing digital skills, improving infrastructure, and ensuring affordable access to digital services (Reisdorf & Rhinesmith, 2018). This strategy is supported by various initiatives, such as funding programs for broadband expansion in rural areas and digital skills training for underserved populations (Robinson et al., 2020, Reisdorf & Rhinesmith, 2018).

Research highlights the impact of these policies on improving digital inclusion. For example, the FCC Proposal to Improve Broadband Program Report provides data on advancements in broadband coverage and internet speeds, showcasing the progress made in enhancing connectivity across the United States (FCC, 2024). Additionally, academic studies, such as those by Reisdorf & Rhinesmith, (2018), analyze the socio-economic effects of digital inclusion policies, revealing how improved digital access can contribute to reducing inequalities and fostering economic opportunities. Despite progress, challenges remain in achieving comprehensive digital equity. Studies by Deganis et al. (2021) indicate that while government policies have made strides in digital inclusion, disparities persist, particularly among marginalized groups. These findings underscore the need for continuous policy refinement and targeted interventions to address gaps in digital access and skills.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Government policies play a critical role in shaping digital inclusion and equity in the United States. An extensive body of literature explores the effectiveness of these policies in bridging the digital divide and promoting equitable access to technology (Butt, 2024, George, 2024, Ogunseye, Aljanaideh & Murungi, 2024). The United States Government's Digital Inclusion Strategy is a central focus in this discourse. The strategy aims to address disparities in digital access and skills, particularly among marginalized groups. The FCC Proposal to Improve Broadband Program Alignment Report (2024) highlights progress in broadband expansion

and improvements in connectivity, which are pivotal in understanding the impact of these policies.

Empirical studies have assessed the impact of these government initiatives on digital inclusion. For instance, research by Reisdorf & Rhinesmith, (2018) investigates how digital inclusion policies influence socio-economic inequalities. Their findings suggest that while significant strides have been made, challenges remain in achieving comprehensive digital equity. This aligns with Deganis et al. (2021), who highlight that despite advancements, persistent gaps in digital access and skills exist, particularly among disadvantaged groups. Moreover, a review by Reisdorf and Rhinesmith (2020) discusses the role of government policies in facilitating digital access and addressing socio-economic disparities. Their study underscores the importance of targeted interventions and policy adjustments to effectively reduce digital inequalities.

Recent work by Robinson et al. (2020) provides insights into the socio-economic benefits of improved digital inclusion, arguing that government policies not only enhance connectivity but also contribute to broader economic and social outcomes. Their research highlights the need for ongoing evaluation and refinement of digital inclusion strategies to address evolving challenges and ensure equitable outcomes. In summary, the literature indicates that while United States Government policies have made significant progress in promoting digital inclusion, ongoing efforts are necessary to address remaining gaps and ensure that all segments of the population benefit from digital advancements.

Research Gap

While existing literature highlights the significance of government policies in advancing digital inclusion and equity in the U.S.A., notable research gaps persist. One key gap is the lack of comprehensive longitudinal studies evaluating the long-term impacts of digital inclusion policies on socio-economic inequalities. Although various reports provided snapshots of policy effectiveness, there is limited research tracking the sustained outcomes of these policies over extended periods (Fairman, et. al., 2022, Romijn, Slot & Leseman, 2021, Zepeda, 2019). Another critical gap is the insufficient analysis of the effectiveness of specific policy interventions tailored to different demographic groups. While studies like those by Reisdorf & Rhinesmith, (2018) and Deganis et al. (2021) offer valuable insights, they often lack detailed assessments of how policies impact distinct segments of the population, such as elderly individuals, low-income families, or rural communities Kulkov, et. al., 2024, Madan & Ashok, 2023, Neumann, Guirguis & Steiner, 2024). This oversight limits understanding of how well-targeted interventions address the needs of various groups.

Moreover, there is a need for more in-depth examination of the interplay between digital inclusion policies and other socio-economic factors. For instance, Reisdorf and Rhinesmith (2020) discuss the role of policies in bridging digital gaps, yet there is limited exploration of how these policies interact with broader socio-economic variables like education, employment, and regional disparities. Understanding these interactions could provide a more nuanced view of policy effectiveness. Finally, current research often lacks a critical perspective on the unintended consequences of digital inclusion initiatives. While Robinson et al. (2020) explore the socio-economic benefits of improved digital access, there is less focus on potential negative outcomes, such as increased digital dependency or exacerbation of existing inequalities due to uneven policy implementation (Gabriel, 2023, Gutierrez Jr, 2024, Varošaneć, 2022). Addressing these research gaps is crucial for developing a more comprehensive understanding of the role of government policies in promoting digital inclusion and equity. Future studies should focus on longitudinal evaluations, detailed demographic analyses, interactions with broader socio-economic factors, and the examination of unintended consequences to enhance policy effectiveness and equity (Ahlborg, et. al., 2019, Appio, Lima & Paroutis, 2019).

Problem Statement

Despite significant strides in advancing digital inclusion, the United States continues to grapple with substantial disparities in digital access and usage among different demographic and socio-economic groups. Government policies aimed at promoting digital equity have been instrumental in narrowing the digital divide; however, the persistent gaps indicate underlying issues that remain unaddressed. Current policies often fail to fully consider the diverse needs and circumstances of various population segments, including low-income families, elderly individuals, and rural communities. Additionally, there is a lack of comprehensive, long-term data to assess the effectiveness and sustainability of these policies. Consequently, some policies may inadvertently exacerbate existing inequalities by not adequately targeting the most disadvantaged groups. This problem highlights the need for more inclusive, data-driven, and adaptive policy frameworks that can effectively bridge the digital divide and ensure equitable digital access for all citizens in the U.S.A.

Objectives

The objective of this study is to evaluate and assess the role of government policy in advancing digital inclusion and equity within the United States. Specifically, the study aims to:

1. Review and analyze existing government policies and initiatives aimed at improving digital inclusion and equity. This includes assessing their scope, implementation, and effectiveness in addressing the needs of underserved and marginalized communities.
2. Identify and critically evaluate any gaps, challenges, and barriers in the current policy framework that may hinder progress towards digital inclusion and equity. This involves examining both structural and operational issues that impact policy effectiveness.
3. Measure the impact of government policies on various demographic groups, particularly focusing on disadvantaged and marginalized populations. This includes analyzing how these policies affect access to digital technologies, internet connectivity, and digital skills development.
4. Develop evidence-based recommendations for policy improvements and strategic interventions. These recommendations aim to enhance the inclusivity and effectiveness of government policies and initiatives, ensuring that they better address the needs of all citizens.
5. Highlight and disseminate best practices and successful case studies from within the USA and internationally, which can serve as models for improving digital inclusion and equity through policy.

By achieving these objectives, the study seeks to contribute to the development of more effective and equitable government policies that promote comprehensive digital inclusion and reduce disparities in digital access and use across the U.S.A.

Expected Outcomes

The expected outcomes of this study on the role of government policy in promoting digital inclusion and equity in the United States are:

1. The study will provide a comprehensive assessment of the current government policies and initiatives aimed at digital inclusion and equity. This will help in understanding their effectiveness, reach, and impact on various demographic groups, including underserved and marginalized communities.
2. By identifying gaps and barriers in the existing policy framework, the study will highlight areas where current policies may be falling short. This will offer valuable insights into structural and operational challenges that need to be addressed to improve policy outcomes.
3. The study will generate actionable, evidence-based recommendations for policy improvements. These recommendations will be aimed at enhancing the inclusivity and

effectiveness of government policies, ensuring they are more responsive to the needs of all citizens, particularly those in disadvantaged situations.

4. The study will provide an assessment of how government policies have impacted various demographic groups, with a focus on their effect on access to digital technologies, internet connectivity, and digital skills development. This will offer a clearer picture of the real-world outcomes of these policies.

5. By highlighting successful case studies and best practices, the study will provide practical examples and models that can be adopted or adapted to improve digital inclusion and equity. This will serve as a guide for policymakers, stakeholders, and practitioners looking to enhance their efforts in promoting digital inclusion.

6. The study's findings and recommendations are expected to influence future government policy and advocacy efforts. By providing a solid evidence base, the study will support efforts to refine and implement more effective policies that promote digital equity and inclusion.

Overall, the expected outcome is to contribute to more equitable and inclusive digital policy frameworks in the U.S.A., leading to improved access and opportunities for all citizens in the digital era.

Challenges and Barriers

Challenges and barriers to the role of government policy in promoting digital inclusion and equity in the United States include several critical factors. One significant challenge is the digital divide, which persists despite various policy efforts. (Kolog, et. al., 2022, Ujah-Ogbuagu, 2021, Wang, et. al., 2021) The divide is not only geographical but also socioeconomic, affecting those in lower-income households and rural areas more profoundly (Helsper, 2021). The uneven distribution of digital resources and infrastructure exacerbates these inequalities, making it difficult for marginalized communities to access essential digital services (Deganis et al., 2021).

Another barrier is the lack of digital skills among certain populations. Government initiatives often focus on infrastructure rather than addressing the need for comprehensive digital literacy programs (Reisdorf & Rhinesmith, 2018). This skills gap limits the ability of individuals to effectively use digital tools and platforms, hindering their full participation in the digital economy. Policy implementation challenges also arise from the fragmentation of responsibilities across different levels of government and the lack of coordinated strategies. Inconsistent policies and insufficient funding further complicate efforts to promote digital inclusion and equity. The disparities in policy execution and resource allocation result in uneven progress across different regions and communities (Reisdorf & Rhinesmith, 2018).

Additionally, there is often a lack of engagement with the affected communities during the policy development process (Ashaye & Irani, 2019, Leonidou, et. al., 2020, Shackleton, et. al., 2019). This can lead to policies that do not fully address the needs or preferences of those they aim to help, resulting in ineffective or poorly received interventions (Neil, 2011). The absence of a participatory approach in policy design can undermine the effectiveness of digital inclusion initiatives. Finally, technological advancements and rapid changes in the digital landscape pose ongoing challenges (Gwagwa, et. al., 2021, Oriji, et. al., 2023, Pigola, et. al., 2021). The rapid pace of technological change can outstrip the ability of policy frameworks to adapt, leading to outdated or inadequate regulations that fail to address emerging issues in digital equity (Caron & Scharf, 2020).

METHODOLOGY

The methodology for examining the role of government policy in promoting digital inclusion and equity in the United States involves a multi-faceted approach to gather, analyze, and interpret data. This approach typically includes the following components:

1. Literature Review:

Conduct a comprehensive review of existing literature to understand the current state of digital inclusion and equity in the U.S.A. This includes reviewing academic journals, government reports, policy papers, and case studies. The literature review will provide a theoretical foundation and identify gaps in current research.

2. Document Analysis:

Analyze government policies, strategic documents, and regulatory frameworks related to digital inclusion and equity. This involves a detailed examination of policy documents, including national strategies, local government plans, and relevant legislative texts. Document analysis helps in understanding the objectives, implementation strategies, and effectiveness of existing policies.

3. Quantitative Data Collection:

Collect and analyze quantitative data related to digital access and usage. This may involve using national surveys, such as the Office for National Statistics (ONS) reports on digital inclusion, to assess the level of access to digital technology and the internet across different demographic groups. Statistical analysis will help in identifying disparities and trends.

4. Qualitative Data Collection:

Conduct interviews and focus groups with key stakeholders, including policymakers, digital inclusion advocates, community leaders, and individuals from marginalized groups. Qualitative methods provide insights into the experiences and perspectives of those directly affected by digital inclusion policies. This helps in understanding the practical challenges and barriers faced by different communities.

5. Case Studies:

Develop and analyze case studies of successful and unsuccessful digital inclusion initiatives within the U.S.A. Case studies provide practical examples of how policies have been implemented and their outcomes. They can highlight best practices, challenges faced, and lessons learned.

6. Comparative Analysis:

Compare the United States digital inclusion policies with those of other countries to identify best practices and innovative approaches. This comparative analysis can reveal alternative strategies that could be adapted to the U.S.A. context.

7. Data Synthesis and Analysis:

Integrate findings from quantitative and qualitative data sources to provide a comprehensive understanding of the effectiveness of government policies. Use thematic analysis to identify key themes and patterns from qualitative data, and employ statistical methods to interpret quantitative data.

8. Policy Recommendations:

Based on the analysis, formulate policy recommendations aimed at improving digital inclusion and equity. These recommendations should address identified gaps, leverage successful practices, and propose actionable strategies for enhancing policy effectiveness.

9. Stakeholder Consultation:

Engage with stakeholders to validate findings and recommendations. This may involve presenting preliminary results to focus groups or advisory panels for feedback and refinement.

10. Report Writing:

Compile the findings, analysis, and recommendations into a comprehensive report. The report should provide a detailed account of the research methodology, key findings, and practical implications for policymakers.

This methodological approach ensures a thorough and evidence-based examination of government policies on digital inclusion and equity in the U.S.A. By combining various

research methods and data sources, the study aims to provide a nuanced understanding of policy impacts and areas for improvement.

Implementation Strategies

To effectively implement strategies for promoting digital inclusion and equity in the United States, a comprehensive and structured approach is required. This implementation strategy involves several key components:

1. Policy Development and Refinement:

Develop and refine policies based on research findings and stakeholder consultations. This includes drafting new policies or updating existing ones to address gaps identified in the research. Ensure that policies are inclusive, evidence-based, and aligned with best practices in digital inclusion and equity.

2. Stakeholder Engagement:

Engage a diverse range of stakeholders, including government agencies, community organizations, private sector partners, and advocacy groups. Establish partnerships to leverage resources, expertise, and networks. Regular consultations and collaborative workshops can ensure that policies are practical and responsive to community needs.

3. Resource Allocation:

Secure and allocate the necessary resources for implementing digital inclusion initiatives. This includes funding for infrastructure improvements, technology access programs, training, and support services. Establish a budget and financial plan to support the various components of the strategy.

4. Infrastructure Development:

Invest in and enhance digital infrastructure to improve access to technology and the internet. This may involve expanding broadband coverage, upgrading network facilities, and providing affordable or subsidized digital devices. Focus on underserved and rural areas to bridge the digital divide.

5. Digital Literacy and Skills Training:

Implement comprehensive digital literacy programs to equip individuals with the skills needed to use technology effectively. Provide training and support tailored to different age groups, skill levels, and needs. Collaborate with educational institutions, community centers, and online platforms to deliver training.

6. Public Awareness Campaigns:

Launch public awareness campaigns to promote the benefits of digital inclusion and encourage participation in available programs. Use various media channels, including social media, television, and community outreach, to reach diverse audiences. Highlight success stories and the positive impact of digital inclusion on individuals and communities.

7. Monitoring and Evaluation:

Establish mechanisms for monitoring and evaluating the effectiveness of digital inclusion initiatives. Develop key performance indicators (KPIs) and metrics to assess progress and impact. Regularly review and analyze data to identify successes, challenges, and areas for improvement.

8. Feedback Mechanisms:

Create channels for receiving feedback from beneficiaries and stakeholders. Use surveys, focus groups, and feedback forms to gather input on the effectiveness of programs and policies. Incorporate feedback into policy adjustments and program enhancements.

9. Capacity Building:

Build the capacity of organizations and individuals involved in implementing digital inclusion strategies. Provide training and support to local authorities, community organizations, and service providers to enhance their ability to deliver effective programs and services.

10. Legislative and Regulatory Support:

Advocate for and support legislative and regulatory measures that promote digital inclusion and equity. Work with policymakers to ensure that legal frameworks support the goals of digital inclusion and address emerging challenges.

11. Sustainability Planning:

Develop a sustainability plan to ensure the long-term success and continuation of digital inclusion initiatives. Identify strategies for securing ongoing funding, maintaining infrastructure, and adapting to technological advancements and changing needs.

12. Cross-Government Collaboration:

Foster collaboration between different government departments and agencies involved in digital inclusion efforts. Coordinate activities and align policies across sectors to create a unified approach to promoting digital equity.

By implementing these strategies, the U.S government can advance its goals of digital inclusion and equity, ensuring that all individuals, regardless of their background or location, have access to the opportunities provided by digital technology.

Proposed Model

The proposed model for advancing government policy to promote digital inclusion and equity in the United States integrates several core components aimed at ensuring comprehensive and sustainable progress. This model focuses on the development and implementation of targeted strategies designed to address existing disparities in digital access and literacy. At the heart of the model is the creation of a cohesive policy framework that aligns national goals with local needs. This framework should be informed by extensive research and stakeholder engagement, allowing for policies that are both evidence-based and responsive to the diverse needs of different communities. The policy framework should incorporate strategies for expanding digital infrastructure, enhancing access to affordable technology, and improving digital literacy across various demographic groups.

Infrastructure development is a critical element of the model, emphasizing the need for significant investments in broadband expansion and the deployment of digital technologies in underserved and rural areas. By enhancing network coverage and upgrading existing facilities, the model aims to close the digital divide and provide equitable access to high-speed internet. Another key component is the implementation of comprehensive digital literacy programs. These programs should be designed to cater to various age groups, skill levels, and learning styles. They should include both in-person training sessions and online resources to ensure accessibility and inclusivity. Partnerships with educational institutions, community organizations, and technology providers can help deliver effective training and support.

Public awareness campaigns are integral to the model, designed to raise awareness about the benefits of digital inclusion and encourage participation in available programs. These campaigns should utilize multiple media channels to reach a broad audience, including social media, community events, and traditional media outlets. Monitoring and evaluation are essential for assessing the effectiveness of digital inclusion initiatives. The model includes the establishment of key performance indicators (KPIs) and regular reporting mechanisms to track progress and identify areas for improvement. Feedback from beneficiaries and stakeholders should be continuously collected and used to refine policies and programs. Capacity building is also a significant aspect of the model, focusing on enhancing the capabilities of organizations and individuals involved in digital inclusion efforts. This includes training for local authorities, community groups, and service providers to ensure they can effectively implement and manage digital inclusion initiatives.

Legislative and regulatory support is crucial for creating an enabling environment for digital inclusion. The model advocates for the development of supportive legal frameworks and regulatory measures that promote digital equity and address emerging challenges.

Sustainability planning is embedded in the model to ensure that digital inclusion initiatives are maintained over the long term. This involves securing ongoing funding, maintaining infrastructure, and adapting to technological advancements and evolving needs. Cross-government collaboration is a final critical component, encouraging coordination among various government departments and agencies. By aligning policies and strategies across different sectors, the model aims to create a unified and effective approach to promoting digital inclusion and equity. Overall, this proposed model provides a structured approach to advancing digital inclusion and equity in the United States, focusing on policy development, infrastructure investment, digital literacy, public engagement, and long-term sustainability.

The Model

The model for promoting digital inclusion and equity in the United States through government policy is a multifaceted framework designed to address the complexities of digital disparities and ensure broad-based access to digital resources and opportunities. Central to the model is the development of a comprehensive and coherent policy framework that aligns with national objectives while addressing local needs. This framework integrates evidence-based strategies derived from extensive research and stakeholder consultations, ensuring that policies are responsive to the varied requirements of different communities. The aim is to create policies that not only bridge the digital divide but also foster an inclusive digital economy.

Infrastructure enhancement forms a cornerstone of the model, focusing on the expansion of broadband networks and the upgrading of existing digital infrastructure. Investment in extending high-speed internet access to underserved and rural areas is essential to ensure that all individuals have the opportunity to participate in the digital economy. This involves substantial funding and collaboration with private sector partners to deploy and maintain state-of-the-art digital infrastructure. Digital literacy and skills development are also critical components of the model. The strategy includes implementing comprehensive training programs tailored to different age groups, skill levels, and learning needs. These programs should be delivered through a mix of in-person sessions, online courses, and community-based workshops to maximize reach and effectiveness. Partnerships with educational institutions, community organizations, and technology providers are vital for the successful delivery of these training initiatives.

Public awareness campaigns play a significant role in the model, aiming to raise awareness about digital inclusion benefits and encourage engagement with available resources. Utilizing diverse media channels, such as social media, community outreach, and traditional media, these campaigns help inform the public and promote active participation in digital inclusion efforts. Monitoring and evaluation mechanisms are embedded in the model to assess the effectiveness of digital inclusion policies and programs. Key performance indicators (KPIs) and regular reporting are established to track progress, identify challenges, and ensure accountability. Feedback from beneficiaries and stakeholders is continuously gathered to refine and enhance policy implementations. Capacity building is a focus area within the model, aimed at strengthening the abilities of organizations and individuals involved in digital inclusion initiatives. Training for local authorities, community groups, and service providers is essential to equip them with the skills and knowledge needed to effectively implement and manage digital inclusion strategies.

Legislative and regulatory support is necessary to create an enabling environment for digital inclusion. The model advocates for the development of supportive legal frameworks and regulations that promote digital equity and address emerging challenges related to technology and digital access. Sustainability is a key consideration in the model, ensuring that digital inclusion initiatives have long-term viability. This involves securing ongoing funding, maintaining infrastructure, and adapting strategies to keep pace with technological advancements and evolving needs. Cross-government collaboration is integral to the model,

promoting coordination among various government departments and agencies. By aligning policies and strategies across different sectors, the model seeks to create a unified and effective approach to advancing digital inclusion and equity throughout the United States. Overall, this model presents a structured approach to enhancing digital inclusion and equity, focusing on policy development, infrastructure investment, digital skills training, public engagement, and sustainable practices. Through coordinated efforts and targeted strategies, the model aims to create a more inclusive digital landscape that benefits all segments of society.

Benefits and Implications

The role of government policy in promoting digital inclusion and equity in the United States offers numerous benefits and has far-reaching implications for society. By implementing comprehensive policies aimed at bridging the digital divide, the government can enhance access to digital resources and opportunities for all individuals, regardless of their socioeconomic status, geographic location, or demographic characteristics. One of the primary benefits is the enhancement of overall digital literacy and skills within the population. Effective government policies that focus on digital education and skills development can empower individuals with the knowledge and tools needed to participate fully in the digital economy. This increased digital literacy can lead to improved job prospects, greater economic participation, and the ability to navigate digital platforms more effectively.

Increased access to high-speed broadband and advanced digital infrastructure can significantly reduce the digital divide between urban and rural areas. By ensuring that underserved and remote communities have reliable internet access, government policies can facilitate greater economic opportunities and social inclusion for residents in these areas. This can lead to the development of local businesses, improved access to telemedicine, and enhanced educational opportunities. Government policies also have the potential to stimulate economic growth by fostering innovation and entrepreneurship. By creating an inclusive digital environment, policymakers can encourage the growth of digital startups and businesses, contributing to job creation and economic development. Access to digital tools and platforms can support the growth of small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), which are crucial for a robust and diverse economy.

Moreover, promoting digital inclusion can have positive social implications. Policies that address digital equity can help mitigate social inequalities by ensuring that marginalized and disadvantaged groups have access to digital resources and services. This inclusivity can lead to improved social cohesion and reduced disparities in access to essential services such as education, healthcare, and government services. The implications of government policies on digital inclusion extend to enhancing civic engagement and participation. Digital inclusion initiatives can enable more individuals to engage in online platforms for civic activities, such as voting, community organizing, and accessing public information. This increased engagement can strengthen democratic processes and foster a more informed and active citizenry.

However, the successful implementation of these policies requires careful consideration of potential challenges and barriers. Policymakers must address issues related to funding, infrastructure development, and ongoing maintenance to ensure that digital inclusion initiatives are sustainable and effective. Additionally, ongoing evaluation and adaptation of policies are necessary to respond to emerging technological advancements and changing needs within the population. Overall, the benefits and implications of government policies in promoting digital inclusion and equity are substantial. By enhancing digital literacy, expanding infrastructure, fostering economic growth, and promoting social inclusion, these policies can contribute to a more equitable and prosperous society. The successful implementation of such policies can pave the way for a digitally inclusive future, where all

individuals have the opportunity to benefit from the advancements and opportunities presented by the digital age.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the role of government policy in promoting digital inclusion and equity in the United States is pivotal for addressing the disparities that exist in access to digital resources and opportunities. Effective policy interventions are crucial for bridging the digital divide and ensuring that all individuals, regardless of their background or circumstances, can participate fully in the digital economy. Government policies that focus on improving digital infrastructure, enhancing digital literacy, and fostering economic opportunities are essential for creating a more inclusive digital landscape. By prioritizing investments in broadband access, supporting digital skills development, and addressing the needs of underserved communities, policymakers can contribute to reducing inequalities and promoting greater social and economic equity.

Moreover, the integration of digital inclusion strategies into broader policy frameworks can help stimulate economic growth, encourage innovation, and enhance civic engagement. As the digital landscape continues to evolve, ongoing adaptation and evaluation of policies will be necessary to address emerging challenges and ensure that digital inclusion efforts remain effective and relevant. Ultimately, the successful implementation of government policies aimed at promoting digital inclusion and equity has the potential to transform the lives of individuals and communities across the United States. By fostering an inclusive digital environment, policymakers can help build a more equitable society where everyone has the opportunity to benefit from the advancements of the digital age and participate in the opportunities it offers.

References

- Ade-Ibijola, A., & Okonkwo, C. (2023). Artificial intelligence in Africa: Emerging challenges. In *Responsible AI in Africa: Challenges and Opportunities* (101-117). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Aderibigbe, A. O., Ohenhen, P. E., Nwaobia, N. K., Gidiagba, J. O., & Ani, E. C. (2023). Artificial intelligence in developing countries: Bridging the gap between potential and implementation. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, 4(3), 185-199.
- Adewusi, A. O., Okoli, U. I., Adaga, E., Olorunsogo, T., Asuzu, O. F., & Daraojimba, D. O. (2024). Business intelligence in the era of big data: A review of analytical tools and competitive advantage. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, 5(2), 415-431.
- Adigwe, C. S., Olaniyi, O. O., Olabanji, S. O., Okunleye, O. J., Mayeke, N. R., & Ajayi, S. A. (2024). Forecasting the future: The interplay of artificial intelligence, innovation, and competitiveness and its effect on the global economy. *Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting*, 24(4), 126-146.
- Agba, M. S., Agba, G. E. M., & Obeten, A. W. (2023). Artificial intelligence and public management and governance in developed and developing market economies. *Journal of Public Administration, Policy and Governance Research*, 1(2), 1-14.
- Ahlborg, H., Ruiz-Mercado, I., Molander, S., & Masera, O. (2019). Bringing technology into social-ecological systems research—motivations for a socio-technical-ecological systems approach. *Sustainability*, 11(7), 2009.
- Aldoseri, A., Al-Khalifa, K. N., & Hamouda, A. M. (2024). AI-powered innovation in digital transformation: Key pillars and industry impact. *Sustainability*, 16(5), 1790.
- Appio, F. P., Lima, M., & Paroutis, S. (2019). Understanding smart cities: Innovation ecosystems, technological advancements, and societal challenges. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 142, 1-14.

- Arakpogun, E. O., Elsahn, Z., Olan, F., & Elsahn, F. (2021). Artificial intelligence in Africa: Challenges and opportunities. *The fourth industrial revolution: Implementation of artificial intelligence for growing business success*, 375-388.
- Ashaye, O. R., & Irani, Z. (2019). The role of stakeholders in the effective use of e-government resources in public services. *International Journal of Information Management*, 49, 253-270.
- Bertot, J. C., Jaeger, P. T., & Grimes, J. M. (2016). The role of digital inclusion and digital literacy in digital government. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 26(3), 371-382.
- Butt, J. (2024). The role of artificial intelligence (AI) in productivity & economic growth in Nordic Welfare States. *Acta Universitatis Danubius. Œconomica*, 20(2), 50-72.
- Caron, A., & Scharf, R. (2020). Technology and inclusion: Examining the gaps. *Digital Policy, Regulation & Governance*, 22(2), 155-167.
- Deganis, I., Haghian, P. Z., Tagashira, M., & Alberti, A. (2021). Leveraging digital technologies for social inclusion. *United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs*.
- Ebulue, C. C., Ebulue, O. R., & Ekesiobi, C. S. (2024). Public-private partnerships in health sector innovation: Lessons from around the world. *International Medical Science Journal*, 4(4), 484-499.
- Elegunde, A. F., & Osagie, R. (2020). Artificial intelligence adoption and employee performance in the Nigerian banking industry. *International Journal of Management and Administration*, 4(8), 189-205.
- Fairman, J. C., Smith, D. J., Pullen, P. C., & Lebel, S. J. (2022). The challenge of keeping teacher professional development relevant. In *Leadership for Professional Learning* (251-263). Routledge.
- FCC (2024) Proposal to improve Broadband Program Alignment Report, <https://www.ntia.gov/report/2024/proposals-improve-broadband-program-alignment-report>
- Fromhold-Eisebith, M., & Eisebith, G. (2019). What can Smart City policies in emerging economies actually achieve? Conceptual considerations and empirical insights from India. *World Development*, 123, 104614.
- Gabriel, O. T. (2023). *Data privacy and ethical issues in collecting health care data using artificial intelligence among health workers* (Master's thesis, Center for Bioethics and Research).
- George, A. S. (2024). Artificial intelligence and the future of work: Job shifting not job loss. *Partners Universal Innovative Research Publication*, 2(2), 17-37.
- Gutierrez Jr, R. (2024). Guiding the next technological revolution: Principles for responsible AI and Nanotech progress. In *Artificial Intelligence in the Age of Nanotechnology* (210-232). IGI Global.
- Gwagwa, A., Kachidza, P., Siminyu, K., & Smith, M. (2021). Responsible artificial intelligence in Sub-Saharan Africa: landscape and general state of play.
- Helsper, E. (2021). Network and neighborhood effects in digital skills. In *Handbook of digital inequality* (75-96). Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Helsper, E. J., Van Deursen, A. J.A.M., & Eynon, R. (2015). Tangible outcomes of internet use: From digital skills to tangible outcomes project report. Oxford Internet Institute, University of Oxford.
- Horrigan, J. B. (2018). Digital skills and job training: Community-driven initiatives are leading the way in preparing Americans for today's jobs. *Benton Institute for Broadband and Society*. Available at <https://www.benton.org/sites/default/files/DigitalSkillsJobTraining.pdf>

- Igbinenikaro, E., & Adewusi, A. O. (2024). Navigating the legal complexities of artificial intelligence in global trade agreements. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, 6(4), 488-505.
- Igbinenikaro, E., & Adewusi, O. A. (2024). Policy recommendations for integrating artificial intelligence into global trade agreements. *International Journal of Engineering Research Updates*, 6(01), 001-010.
- Kaggwa, S., Eleogu, T. F., Okonkwo, F., Farayola, O. A., Uwaoma, P. U., & Akinoso, A. (2024). AI in decision making transforming business strategies. *International Journal of Research and Scientific Innovation*, 10(12), 423-444.
- Kanu, I. A., Adidi, D. T., & Kanu, C. C. (2024). Artificial intelligence and cybercrime in Nigeria: Towards an ethical framework. *Dialogue and Universalism*, 34(1), 207-221.
- Kolasani, S. (2024). Revolutionizing manufacturing, making it more efficient, flexible, and intelligent with Industry 4.0 innovations. *International Journal of Sustainable Development Through AI, ML and IoT*, 3(1), 1-17.
- Kolog, E. A., Devine, S. N. O., Egala, S. B., Amponsah, R., Budu, J., & Farinloye, T. (2022). Rethinking the implementation of artificial intelligence for a sustainable education in Africa: Challenges and solutions. In *Management and Information Technology in the Digital era* (29), 27-46. Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Komolafe, A. M., Aderotoye, I. A., Abiona, O. O., Adewusi, A. O., Obijuru, A., Modupe, O. T., & Oyeniran, O. C. (2024). Harnessing business analytics for gaining competitive advantage in emerging markets: A systematic review of approaches and outcomes. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, 6(3), 838-862.
- Kraus, N., Kraus, K., Shtepa, O., Hryhorkiv, M., & Kuzmuk, I. (2022). Artificial intelligence in established of industry 4.0. *WSEAS Transactions on Business and Economics*, (19), 1884-1900.
- Kulkov, I., Kulkova, J., Rohrbeck, R., Menvielle, L., Kaartemo, V., & Makkonen, H. (2024). Artificial intelligence-driven sustainable development: Examining organizational, technical, and processing approaches to achieving global goals. *Sustainable Development*, 32(3), 2253-2267.
- Leonidou, E., Christofi, M., Vrontis, D., & Thrassou, A. (2020). An integrative framework of stakeholder engagement for innovation management and entrepreneurship development. *Journal of Business Research*, 119, 245-258.
- Madan, R., & Ashok, M. (2023). AI adoption and diffusion in public administration: A systematic literature review and future research agenda. *Government Information Quarterly*, 40(1), 101774.
- Mannuru, N. R., Shahriar, S., Teel, Z. A., Wang, T., Lund, B. D., Tijani, S., ... & Vaidya, P. (2023). Artificial intelligence in developing countries: The impact of generative artificial intelligence (AI) technologies for development. *Information Development*, 02666669231200628.
- Ndubisi, E. J., & Ikechukwu, A.K. A. N. U. (2022). Artificial intelligence and socio-economic development in Africa. *JASSD-Journal of African Studies and Sustainable Development*, 3(1).
- Neil, S. (2011). *Education and Technology Key Issues and Debates*. London & New York: Continuum International.
- Neumann, O., Guirguis, K., & Steiner, R. (2024). Exploring artificial intelligence adoption in public organizations: A comparative case study. *Public Management Review*, 26(1), 114-141.
- Nwankwo, W., Adetunji, C. O., Olayinka, A. S., Ukhurebor, K. E., Ukaoha, K., Chinecherem, U., ... & Benson, B. U. (2021). The adoption of AI and IOT technologies: Socio-

- psychological implications in the production environment. *IUP Journal of Knowledge Management*, 19(1).
- Odewale, A. (2024). Cultivating AI Governance: Strategic Insights from Estonia's Framework for Nigeria's Digital Ecosystem. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 30(4), 166-173.
- Ogunseye, S., Aljanaideh, A., & Murungi, D. (2024). Comparative advantage in the AI Era: Leveraging generative AI for global competitiveness.
- Oladoyinbo, T. O., Olabanji, S. O., Olaniyi, O. O., Adebisi, O. O., Okunleye, O. J., & Ismaila Alao, A. (2024). Exploring the challenges of artificial intelligence in data integrity and its influence on social dynamics. *Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports*, 18(2), 1-23.
- Onuorah, O. L., & Bosso, U. A. (2024). Public sector innovation in Nigeria: An explorative study of approaches, outcomes, and challenges. *Journal of Public Administration, Policy and Governance Research*, 2(1), 55-67.
- Oriji, O., Shonibare, M. A., Daraojimba, R. E., Abitoye, O., & Daraojimba, C. (2023). Financial technology evolution in Africa: a comprehensive review of legal frameworks and implications for AI-driven financial services. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, 5(12), 929-951.
- Pigola, A., da Costa, P. R., Carvalho, L. C., Silva, L. F. D., Kniess, C. T., & Maccari, E. A. (2021). Artificial intelligence-driven digital technologies to the implementation of the sustainable development goals: A perspective from Brazil and Portugal. *Sustainability*, 13(24), 13669.
- Reisdorf, B. C., & Rhinesmith, C. (2018). An asset-based approach to digital inclusion research in the US context. *Digital inclusion: An international comparative analysis*, 39-54.
- Reisdorf, B., & Rhinesmith, C. (2020). Digital inclusion as a core component of social inclusion. *Social Inclusion*, 8(2), 132-137.
- Robinson, L., Schulz, J., Dodel, M., Correa, T., Villanueva-Mansilla, E., Leal, S., ... & Khilnani, A. (2020). Digital inclusion across the Americas and Caribbean. *Social Inclusion*, 8(2), 244-259.
- Romijn, B. R., Slot, P. L., & Leseman, P. P. (2021). Increasing teachers' intercultural competences in teacher preparation programs and through professional development: A review. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 98, 103236.
- Samuel-Okon, A. D., & Abejide, O. O. (2024). Bridging the digital divide: Exploring the role of artificial intelligence and automation in enhancing connectivity in developing nations. *Journal of Engineering Research and Reports*, 26(6), 165-177.
- Shackleton, R. T., Adriaens, T., Brundu, G., Dehnen-Schmutz, K., Estévez, R. A., Fried, J., ... & Richardson, D. M. (2019). Stakeholder engagement in the study and management of invasive alien species. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 229, 88-101.
- Shenkoya, T. (2023). Can digital transformation improve transparency and accountability of public governance in Nigeria. *Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy*, 17(1), 54-71.
- Udegbonam, I. P., Igbokwe-Ibeto, C. J., & Nwafor, C. C. (2023). Challenges and opportunities in implementing digital transformation in Nigerian public service. *Journal of the Management Sciences*, 60(3), 296-308.
- Udo, W. S., Ochuba, N. A., Akinrinola, O., & Ololade, Y. J. (2024). Conceptualizing emerging technologies and ICT adoption: Trends and challenges in Africa-US contexts. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 21(3), 1676-1683.
- Ugwu, M. C., Adewusi, A. O., & Nwokolo, N. E. (2024). The role of public-private partnerships. In building clean energy infrastructure in the United States and

- Nigeria. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, 6(4), 1049-1068.
- Ujah-Ogbuagu, B. C. (2021). Utilizing emerging technologies for national. Development in Nigeria: Challenges, prospects and strategies. In *International Conference on Innovative Systems for Digital Economy/ ISDE* (187).
- Van Deursen, A. J., & Van Dijk, J. A. (2019). The first-level digital divide shifts from inequalities in physical access to inequalities in material access. *New Media & Society*, 21(2), 354-375.
- Varošaneć, I. (2022). On the path to the future: Mapping the notion of transparency in the EU regulatory framework for AI. *International Review of Law, Computers & Technology*, 36(2), 95-117.
- Wang, K., Zhao, Y., Gangadhari, R. K., & Li, Z. (2021). Analyzing the adoption challenges of the Internet of things (IOT) and artificial intelligence (AI) for smart cities in China. *Sustainability*, 13(19), 10983.
- Whitacre, B. E., Gallardo, R., & Strover, S. (2014). Broadband's contribution to economic growth in rural areas: Moving towards a causal relationship. *Telecommunications Policy*, 38(11), 1011-1023.
- Zepeda, S. J. (2019). *Professional development: What works*. Routledge.