## **Nothing Changes if Nothing Changes**

2 Michael Salna MD,<sup>1</sup> Brett R. Anderson MD MBA MS,<sup>2</sup> Emile Bacha MD,<sup>1</sup> Paul

3 Kurlansky MD<sup>1,3</sup>

4

5

6

7

1

- 1) Division of Cardiac Surgery, Columbia University Irving Medical Center
- 2) Department of Pediatrics, Columbia University Irving Medical Center
- Center for Innovation and Outcomes Research, Columbia University Irving Medical Center

8 9

Financial Disclosure: No funding was received related to this commentary

11

10

- 12 Conflict of Interest Disclosure: None of the authors have any conflict of interest to
- 13 disclose

14

15 Word Count: 929

16

- A musician would not play a concert piece without repeatedly practicing each measure
- flawlessly. Similarly, the first time a professional basketball player takes a 3-pointer is
- not during a televised playoff game. That shot is taken after countless iterations of
- 20 micro-improvements in their stance, jump, and wrist motion on the practice court. These
- performance-based professionals practice until their default is near perfection, and then
- 22 they continue to be coached throughout their professional career. With an arguably
- steeper learning curve, why are surgeons not afforded this luxury of preparation and
- ongoing mentorship? The clock cannot be stopped in the operating room and, unlike
- 25 hitting a wrong note on the piano, every misplaced stitch or cut may have irreversible
- consequences which may not be apparent at the time.

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Learning cardiac surgery is stressful. The stakes are high, crossclamp and bypss times are precious, and the cognitive burden can be immense. To further complicate matters, as outcome measures become increasingly scrutinized and operative costs rise in the face of declining reimbursement, stress falls not only upon the trainee but upon the attending surgeon as well. Despite these rigors, cardiac surgery is still fundamentally taught within a mentor-mentee apprenticeship training model that largely ends after fellowship. It may be more sophisticated nowadays, but a cardiac surgeon teaches residents the same way a violin master would teach an apprentice to build a violin in 15th century Florence or a stone mason an apprentice during the building of a great cathedral. Why has it not changed?

Every case is a playoff game for surgeons. Every day we must perform technically and physically demanding tasks, aspiring to nothing short of excellence. *Nathan* et al. previously demonstrated that technical performance in pediatric cardiac surgery was strongly associated with outcomes – to the point where optimal technical performance can overcome adverse intraoperative events [1]. By extension, poor performance is associated with short- and long-term mortality and reintervention[2, 3]. So, if technique is so important, surely there are objective measures to assess technical performance in trainees?

Hussein et al. performed a systematic review of 54 studies evaluating the use of competency-based assessments in the evaluation of technical skills in cardiothoracic surgery. Cardiac surgery was the most common specialty using objective assessment methods with coronary anastomosis being the most frequently tested task (28%). Thirty

50 studies (56%) assessed objective changes in technical performance (the others validated the assessment tools) and 97% of them found improvement in their trainees. 51 Despite this obvious benefit, it was surprising that only 21 (39%) of the 54 studies 52 incorporated assessment methods into their training curricula. Clearly there is a 53 mismatch between our acknowledgement of the importance of simulation and technical 54 preparation and its actual implementation into training and ongoing career development. 55 This is not for lack of trying. Numerous papers have been published on innovative 56 training tools and curricula - ranging from bootcamps [4] to porcine hearts [5] to 3D 57 printed models [6]. These then raise the questions of – which of these translate into real 58 operative improvement? Who will pay for them? And, as Hussein et al. bring up, who is 59 the best person to proctor simulation? It is not enough for programs to simply implement 60 simulation programs because not all practice and simulation is made equal. This also 61 makes measuring of their effectiveness in a meta-analysis very difficult. 62 There is no substitute for learning in the operating room. Here, trainees are challenged 63 to not only develop technical skills but also critical thinking, complex decision-making, 64 and judgement – equally important qualities that can only be honed from clinical 65 experience. However, there are a myriad of factors liming this exposure: work hour 66 restrictions, regulatory scrutiny limiting autonomy, hospital pressures for greater 67

Therefore, as the external learning environment evolves, so too should our specialty.

efficiency, and reduction in straightforward procedures as patient complexity increases

and minimally invasive options are popularized[7]—not to mention the ever-present risk

72 Pilots log hundreds of hours virtually flying through inclement weather and

to patient outcome inherent in trainee learning curves.

68

69

70

- troubleshooting device malfunctions before captaining their own planes. Why should
  surgeons not benefit from such a training and assessment paradigm? The integration of
  simulation and technical performance testing into training programs and ongoing career
  development may accelerate technical learning and thereby enhance learning in the
  operating room both the technical and non-technical.

  In 2013, in a landmark study, Birkmeyer and colleagues, 20 attending bariatric
  - In 2013, in a landmark study, Birkmeyer and colleagues, 20 attending bariatric surgeons in Michigan videotaped themselves operating, rated each other's technical skill, and found strong associations between technical skill and patient postoperative complications and mortality.[8] As a result, in 2014, the American Board of Colon and Rectal Surgery included a version of the Objective Structured Assessment of Technical Skill as a mandatory component of their certification. [9]

- The late James Tweddell advocated for the addition of technical performance examinations in congenital heart surgeons whether by standardized skill stations, direct observation, or submission of videos[10] and participated in and helped direct the ongoing Congenital Heart Technical Skill Study, assessing associations attending congenital heart technical skill and patient outcomes.[11] Perhaps the inclusion of a practical exam component by the ABTS will hone our attention into optimizing objective assessment measures and thereby enhancing our training of the next generation of excellent cardiothoracic surgeons.
- The unanswered question which undoubtedly underlies the surprising reluctance to incorporate simulation into training programs exposed by Hussein and colleagues is: Do we have the right tools? Is there convincing evidence that current simulation techniques actually translate into improved operative performance for cardiac surgery. Future

- research clearly needs to focus on the answer to this question. Otherwise, nothing will
- change if we don't change.

98

99

100

## References

- 101 [1] Nathan M, Karamichalis JM, Liu H, del Nido P, Pigula F, Thiagarajan R et al.
- Intraoperative adverse events can be compensated by technical performance in
- neonates and infants after cardiac surgery: A prospective study. J Thorac Cardiovac
- 104 Surg. 2011;142:1098-107, 107.e1-5.
- 105 [2] Nathan M, Karamichalis JM, Liu H, Emani S, Baird C, Pigula F et al. Surgical
- technical performance scores are predictors of late mortality and unplanned
- reinterventions in infants after cardiac surgery. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg
- 108 2012;144:1095-101.e7.
- 109 [3] Nathan M, F. Pigula A, Liu H, Gauvreau K, Colan SD, Fynn-Thompson F, et al.
- Inadequate technical performance scores are associated with late mortality and late
- reintervention. Ann Thorac Surg 2013;96:664-9.
- 112 [4] Fann JI, Calhoon JH, Carpenter AJ, Merrill WH, Brown JW, R., Poston RS et al.
- Simulation in coronary artery anastomosis early in cardiothoracic surgical residency
- training: The boot camp experience. J Thora Cardiovasc Surg 2010;139:1275-81.
- 115 [5] Mavroudis CD, Mavroudis C, Jacobs JP, DeCampli WM, Tweddell JS. Simulation
- and deliberate practice in a porcine model for congenital heart surgery training. Ann
- 117 Thorac Surg 2018;105:637-43.
- 118 [6] Hussein N, Lim A, Honjo O, Haller C, Coles JG, Van Arsdell G et al.
- Development and validation of a procedure-specific assessment tool for hands-on
- surgical training in congenital heart surgery. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2020;160:229-
- 121 40.e1.
- 122 [7] Morse CR, Mathisen DJ. Educational challenges of the operating room. Thorac
- 123 Surg Clin 2019;29:269-77.
- 124 [8] Birkmeyer JD, Finks JF, O'Reilly A, Oerline M, Carlin AM, Nunn AR, et.
- Surgical skill and complication rates after bariatric surgery. N Engl J Med
- 126 2013;369:1434-42.
- 127 [9] se Montbrun S, Roberts PL, Satterthwaite L, MacRae H. Implementing and
- evaluation a national certification technical skills examination: The colorectal objective
- structured assessment of technical skill. Ann Surg 2016;264:1-6.
- 130 [10] Anderson BR, Kumar SR, Gottlieb-Sen D, Liava'a MH, Hill KD, Jacobs JP, et
- al. The Congenital Heart Technical Skill Study: Rationale and design. World J Pediatr
- 132 Congenit Heart Surg. 2019;10:137-144.
- 133 [11] Tweddell JS. Commentary: The time has come to measure and examine
- technical skills. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2020;160:240-41.