# Near-Surface Full-Waveform Inversion Reveals Bedrock Controls on Critical Zone Architecture

Benjamin J Eppinger<sup>1</sup>, W. Steven Holbrook<sup>2</sup>, Zhaolun Liu<sup>3</sup>, Brady A Flinchum<sup>4</sup>, and Jeroen Tromp<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University
<sup>2</sup>Virginia Tech
<sup>3</sup>Ocean University of China
<sup>4</sup>Clemson University
<sup>5</sup>Princeton University

August 24, 2023

# Abstract

For decades, seismic imaging methods have been used to study the critical zone, Earth's thin, life-supporting skin. The vast majority of critical zone seismic studies use traveltime tomography, which poorly resolves heterogeneity at many scales relevant to near-surface processes, therefore, limiting progress in critical zone science. Full-waveform inversion can overcome this limitation by leveraging more of the seismic waveform and enhancing the resolution of geophysical imaging. In this study, we apply full-waveform inversion to elucidate previously undetected heterogeneity in the critical zone at a well-studied catchment in the Laramie Range, Wyoming. In contrast to traveltime tomograms from the same data set, our results show variations in depth to bedrock ranging from 5 to 60 meters over lateral scales of just tens of meters and image steep low-velocity anomalies suggesting hydrologic pathways into the deep critical zone. Our results also show that areas with thick fractured bedrock layers correspond to zones of slightly lower velocities in the deep bedrock, while zones of high bedrock velocity correspond to sharp vertical transitions from bedrock to saprolite. By corroborating these findings with borehole imagery, we hypothesize that lateral changes in bedrock fracture density majorly impact critical zone architecture. Borehole data also show that our full-waveform inversion results agree significantly better with velocity logs than previously published traveltime tomography models. Full-waveform inversion thus appears unprecedently capable of imaging the spatially complex porosity structure crucial to critical zone hydrology and processes.

Near-Surface Full-Waveform Inversion Reveals Bedrock
 Controls on Critical Zone Architecture

# B.J. Eppinger<sup>1</sup>, W.S. Holbrook<sup>1</sup>, Z. Liu<sup>2</sup>, B.A. Flinchum<sup>3</sup>, J. Tromp<sup>4,5</sup>

3

4	<sup>1</sup> Department of Geosciences, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA, USA
5	<sup>2</sup> Ocean University of China, Qingdao, China; Previously, Department of Geosciences, Princeton
6	University, Princeton, NJ, USA
7	$^{3}$ Clemson University, Environmental Engineering and Earth Sciences, Clemson, SC, USA
8	<sup>4</sup> Department of Geosciences, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA
9	$^5\mathrm{Program}$ in Applied & Computational Mathematics, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544-1000,
10	USA

11	Key Points:
12	• We perform full-waveform inversion on shallow seismic refraction data to study
13	critical zone architecture in the Laramie Range, Wyoming.
14	• Borehole data confirm that the full-waveform inversion result is more accurate than
15	conventional traveltime tomography.
16	• The full-waveform inversion model reveals critical zone heterogeneity likely caused
17	by lateral changes in bedrock properties.

Corresponding author: Benjamin Eppinger, beppinger@vt.edu

#### 18 Abstract

For decades, seismic imaging methods have been used to study the critical zone, Earth's 19 thin, life-supporting skin. The vast majority of critical zone seismic studies use travel-20 time tomography, which poorly resolves heterogeneity at many scales relevant to near-21 surface processes, therefore, limiting progress in critical zone science. Full-waveform in-22 version can overcome this limitation by leveraging more of the seismic waveform and en-23 hancing the resolution of geophysical imaging. In this study, we apply full-waveform in-24 version to elucidate previously undetected heterogeneity in the critical zone at a well-25 studied catchment in the Laramie Range, Wyoming. In contrast to traveltime tomograms 26 from the same data set, our results show variations in depth to bedrock ranging from 27 5 to 60 meters over lateral scales of just tens of meters and image steep low-velocity anoma-28 lies suggesting hydrologic pathways into the deep critical zone. Our results also show that 29 areas with thick fractured bedrock layers correspond to zones of slightly lower velocities 30 in the deep bedrock, while zones of high bedrock velocity correspond to sharp vertical 31 transitions from bedrock to saprolite. By corroborating these findings with borehole im-32 agery, we hypothesize that lateral changes in bedrock fracture density majorly impact 33 critical zone architecture. Borehole data also show that our full-waveform inversion re-34 sults agree significantly better with velocity logs than previously published traveltime 35 tomography models. Full-waveform inversion thus appears unprecedently capable of imag-36 ing the spatially complex porosity structure crucial to critical zone hydrology and pro-37 cesses. 38

<sup>39</sup> Plain Language Summary

Weathering processes within Earth's shallow subsurface break down rock into porous, 40 mineral-rich materials from which biota can access water and garner nutrients. There-41 fore, knowledge about weathering helps scientists better understand how Earth supports 42 terrestrial life. An effective way of studying weathering is seismic imaging, where by lis-43 tening at Earth's surface to how mechanical waves propagate, we can make pictures of 44 what is below and observe weathering in action. The seismic imaging method usually 45 used to study weathering is first arrival traveltime tomography which produces blurry 46 pictures of the subsurface. We applied an advanced seismic imaging technique called full-47 waveform inversion, which produces higher-resolution images. Our full-waveform inver-48 sion pictures imply that changes in bedrock fracture density over relatively small lateral 49

-2-

distances have a significant effect on how weathering processes operate. When the fracture density in the bedrock is low, there is a sharp transition from highly weathered materials to unaltered bedrock below. When the fracture density is high, the transition is more diffuse, and there exists a thick layer of weathered bedrock. Additionally, we groundtruth these interpretations with in-situ observations made in boreholes. Hence, full-waveform inversion appears capable of revealing new insights into subsurface structure and weathering processes.

# 57 1 Introduction

Nearly all terrestrial life resides in the critical zone (CZ), the volume spanning the 58 roof of vegetation down to the top of bedrock. Soil, saprolite, and weathered bedrock 59 within the CZ support terrestrial life by supplying water and nutrients to vegetation (e.g., 60 Brantley et al., 2007; Hahm et al., 2013; McCormick et al., 2021). Weathering processes 61 are fundamental to CZ structure and function by creating porosity and permeability for 62 groundwater and by releasing nutrients from bedrock for biological uptake (e.g., Daw-63 son et al. 2020; Hahm et al. 2019; Klos et al., 2018; McCormick et al. 2021; Meunier et 64 al., 2007; Navarre-Sitchler et al., 2015; Riebe et al., 2016). In eroding landscapes, weath-65 ering processes sculpt a CZ architecture that generally consists of, from top to bottom, 66 soil, saprolite, weathered/fractured bedrock, and finally intact/unweathered bedrock. While 67 this layered framework is a useful starting point, CZ structure varies strongly, both within 68 and between sites (e.g., Basilevskaya et al., 2013; St. Clair et al., 2015). Understanding 69 the magnitude and scales of CZ heterogeneity requires improved knowledge of subsur-70 face structure. 71

Because direct observations of the subsurface portion of the CZ are difficult, requir-72 ing trenches, soil pits, or boreholes, geophysical imaging is often used to study the shal-73 low subsurface (e.g., Parsekian et al., 2015). Seismic imaging has the advantage of be-74 ing primarily sensitive to porosity (e.g., Callahan et al., 2020; Flinchum et al., 2018; Hayes 75 et al., 2019; Holbrook et al., 2014), which determines subsurface water storage capac-76 ity and reflects chemical and physical weathering in eroding landscapes. In the near-surface, 77 the seismic methods most commonly used are first-arrival traveltime tomography (FATT) 78 and multichannel analysis of surface waves (MASW). These methods have been reliably 79 applied in engineering and research contexts for decades (e.g., Pasquet et al., 2016; Xia 80 et al., 1999). In particular, FATT has been used extensively to study variations in CZ 81

-3-

architecture at scales of tens of meters (e.g., Befus et al., 2011; Holbrook et al., 2014; Callahan et al., 2022; Huang et al., 2021; St. Clair et al., 2015).

Despite the utility of FATT and MASW methods, CZ outcrops and boreholes typ-84 ically show much more compositional and structural heterogeneity than is captured in 85 typical seismic images. For example, borehole logs and recovered core often show small-86 scale weathering zones, corestones, root systems, compositional variations, and fractures 87 that are not visible in smooth FATT velocity models at the same location (e.g., Flinchum 88 et al., 2022; Holbrook et al., 2019; Moravec et al., 2020). This implies that typical geo-89 physical views of the subsurface are blurry, eliding details about CZ structure, and by 90 extension, hydrological and weathering processes. Improved resolution would enable de-91 tection of smaller-scale heterogeneities relevant to the hydrology, biology, and geochem-92 istry of the critical zone. Full-waveform inversion offers a means to accomplish this. 93

Full-waveform inversion (FWI) is a seismic imaging technique that can improve the flexibility, fidelity, and resolution of seismic inversion by modeling the phase and/or am-95 plitude of seismic arrivals, rather than just the arrival time. FWI is more flexible than 96 other methods because it can be applied to any part of the waveform (e.g., body waves, 97 surface waves, reflections, etc.). Fidelity is improved because FWI methods apply more 98 accurate representations of the physics governing wave propagation than, say, ray-based 99 approximations to the wave equation used in FATT or the 1D assumptions common in 100 MASW. Resolution is enhanced because FWI leverages more of the seismic waveform 101 than other methods of seismic inversion (e.g., Fichtner, 2010; Schuster, 2017). As a re-102 sult, FWI has been widely applied in global and exploration seismology (Choi and Alkhal-103 ifah, 2012; Lei et al., 2020; Mao et al., 2016; Pratt, 1999; Virieux and Operto, 2009). To 104 date, however, FWI has only been applied to the near surface in a handful of studies (e.g. 105 Kohn et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2022; Sheng et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2019a, b, c). 106

Application of FWI to near-surface seismic data faces numerous challenges. First is the considerable computational expense and technical overhead associated with FWI as compared to FATT and MASW. Another hurdle in applying FWI is the need for domainspecific inversion strategies. For example, workflows used for inverting global seismology data, land seismic data, and marine reflection data all vary greatly (eg., Borisov et al., 2020; Lei et al., 2020; Mao et al., 2016). FWI in the near surface is also challenging because of the strong velocity contrasts and heterogeneity in elastic properties due to

-4-

the rapid compaction of regolith (e.g, Kohn et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2022; Sheng et al.,
2006). Given the limited application of FWI to study the CZ, best practices remain ambiguous. A major goal of this paper is to present an FWI workflow for CZ seismic data.

Applications of FWI to near-surface problems to date have been aimed at a wide 117 diversity of targets, and use a variety of inversion approaches. Some studies have focused 118 on exclusively using body waves to inform shallow subsurface structure. For example, 119 Sheng et al. (2006) used first arrivals to invert for p-wave velocity (Vp) while other re-120 searchers have used both P and S body-wave phases to constrain both Vp and shear-wave 121 velocity (Vs) (e.g., Chen et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2021). Others have primarily inverted 122 surface waves for archaeological or engineering applications (e.g., Köhn et al., 2019; Pan 123 et al., 2018; Smith et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019c) or inverted surface waves extracted 124 from ambient noise data to study CZ structure and weathering (Wang et al., 2019a,b). 125 While this set of prior work informs how FWI can be applied in the CZ, there remain 126 several areas in which major advancements can be made. First, with the exception of 127 Wang et al. (2019a,b), all the aforementioned studies base their forward and adjoint mod-128 eling on finite difference methods, which are not well suited to areas with complex to-129 pography (e.g., Fichtner, 2010; Komatitsch and Vilotte, 1998). Second, nearly all pre-130 vious applications of FWI in the CZ focused exclusively on inverting either body or sur-131 face waves, but not both, meaning much of the available data was left unused. Third, 132 all of these past works used proprietary codes not readily available to all. Finally, those 133 prior studies were unable to ground truth their methods and results against borehole logs. 134

Our work builds on previous applications of FWI in the CZ by creating a work-135 flow that, for the first time, combines all of the following features. First, our workflow 136 enables full elastic wave propagation across complex topography via the spectral element 137 method (e.g., Komatitsch and Tromp, 1999; Komatitsch and Vilotte, 1998). Second, our 138 FWI strategy is informed by sensitivity analyses (e.g., Tape et al., 2010) and tailored 139 to elucidate CZ structure using both surface and body waves. Third, our method is im-140 plemented using readily available open-source packages which enable optimized compu-141 tation via graphics cards on standard workstations (Chow et al., 2020; Komatitsch and 142 Tromp, 1999; Modrak et al., 2018). Finally, we selected a dataset with which we can test 143 our results against data from two boreholes. 144

-5-

The resulting FWI workflow is broadly applicable to the seismic refraction data sets commonly acquired for CZ science. These results bring the CZ community one step closer to routinely imaging subsurface CZ heterogeneity, including weathering profiles, fracture zones, and corestones. In the following sections, we discuss our study site, describe our FWI method and workflow, benchmark the method against synthetic data, present FWI results that show remarkable heterogeneity corroborated by downhole data, and discuss the implications of this work for improving our understanding of CZ processes.

# 152 2 Study Site

The Blair Wallis (BW) catchment is located in the Medicine Bow National For-153 est,  $\sim 21$  km southeast of Laramie, WY. Over the past decade, the site was studied ex-154 tensively by the Wyoming Center for Environmental Hydrology (WyCEHG). The to-155 pography of BW exhibits gently undulating hillslopes (Bradley, 1987; Chapin & Kelley, 156 1997; Eggler et al., 1969; Evanoff, 1990). BW receives about 620 mm of annual precip-157 itation, most of which (>90%) is snow, and has a mean annual temperature of 5.4 °C 158 (Natural Resources Conservation Service, 2015). Along the ridges, sagebrush is the dom-159 inant vegetation, while aspens, lodgepole pines, and willows appear in topographic lows. 160

BW is underlain by the Sherman Granite, a sub-unit of the 1.4 GA Sherman Batholith 161 that was uplifted during the Laramide Orogeny (Frost et al., 1999; Peterman & Hedge, 162 1968; Zielinski et al., 1982). The mineralogical makeup of the coarse-grained Sherman 163 Granite is roughly 40-55 % potassium feldspar, 15 - 30 % quartz, 20 % plagioclase feldspar, 164 and 5-10 % biotite (Edwards & Frost, 2000; Frost et al., 1999; Geist et al., 1989). While 165 there is no recognizable metamorphic fabric in the rock, a pervasive tectonically induced 166 NE-SW striking fracture population can be observed in outcrops and in aerial imagery. 167 These fractures dip  $30 - 80^{\circ}$  and cause the bedrock and saprolite to exhibit moderate 168 seismic anisotropy (Novitsky et al., 2018). 169

-6-



Figure 1. Hill shade map of the BW study site taken from Flinchum et al. (2022), where various seismic refraction profiles collected by WyCEHG are demarcated with black lines. The seismic refraction profile used in this study is L29. The yellow dot indicates where x = 0 along the transect. The red stars show the locations of boreholes that were drilled and logged. In this work, we show borehole logs from BW1 and BW4 which are located directly on L29.

### 170 3 Methods

In July 2013, WyCEHG collected a 239-m-long seismic refraction line along a ridge 171 in BW (Figure 1). First arrival traveltimes of these data were manually picked, inverted 172 using FATT, and published first in Flinchum et al. (2018) and later in Flinchum et al. 173 (2022). Our workflow followed these steps, each of which is described in more detail in 174 sections 3.2-3.5 below. First we used the Flinchum et al. (2022) Vp model as the start-175 ing Vp model and to estimate source time functions. Second, we constructed an initial 176 Vs model using wave equation dispersion inversion (e.g., Li et al., 2016). Third, we con-177 ducted sensitivity analysis of the phases we inverted using the adjoint state method (e.g., 178 Tromp et al., 2005). Finally, we applied FWI to the data using a custom workflow tai-179 lored to the challenges of near-surface seismic data. 180

181

#### 3.1 Seismic Data

Data used in this study were acquired on a linear array of 240 vertical-component geophones spaced at 1 m intervals and sampled every 500 µs over a record length of 1 s. The frequency response of the geophones increases from 0 – 4.5 Hz and then is virtually flat up to 1,000 Hz. A total of 20 sledgehammer source points generated seismic

energy every 12 m along the profile, with one missing source at 24 m.

186

The recorded waveforms show multiple distinct phases, including first arrival p-waves, a p-wave coda phase directly behind the first arrival, a clear fundamental mode Rayleigh wave phase, and a higher-mode surface wave. The body wave phases display a broader bandwidth with more energy at higher frequencies (8 - 56 Hz), while the surface waves exhibit narrower bands concentrated around lower frequencies (6 - 22 Hz) (Figure 2). In section 3.4 we perform sensitivity analysis on each of the four phases identified in the top right panel of Figure 2.



**Figure 2.** Left panel: a filtered (5-56 Hz) shot gather from a source located 0 m along the transect. Upper right panel: the geophone recording for the instrument located 120 m along the transect. The first arrival, p-wave coda, higher mode surface wave, and fundamental mode surface wave are highlighted. Each waveform in the highlighted boxes is back-projected to construct the sensitivity kernels in Figure 4. Bottom right panel: a spectrogram of the trace in the upper right panel, showing higher frequencies in the P-wave first arrival and lower frequencies in the Rayleigh wave.

# 3.2 Source Estimation

194

Because the source time function (STF) at each hammer location may vary depending on local ground conditions, the individual generating the source, and potentially other factors (Figure S1), it is necessary to estimate a unique STF at each source location. Because our initial FATT Vp model accurately predicts first arrival times, it is suitable for estimating the STF for each shotpoint, using (e.g., Borisov et al., 2020; Pratt, 1999):

$$s(\omega) = \sum_{i \in window} \frac{u_i^0(\omega) \cdot g_i^*(\omega)}{g_i(\omega) \cdot g_i^*(\omega) + \gamma}$$
(1)

In equation (1), i is the index of a particular trace,  $u^0$  is preprocessed observed data, g 195 is preprocessed data modeled using a STF with a unit frequency spectrum, \* denotes 196 the complex conjugate,  $\gamma$  is a regularization parameter which also helps avoid division 197 by zero, and s is the estimated STF. For the source estimation, the preprocessing steps 198 include normalizing the waveforms such that the maximum amplitude of each trace is 199 1 and muting out everything except the first arrivals by applying a time window behind 200 the first arrival pick with a duration of  $1/f_0$  where  $f_0 = 30$  Hz is roughly the dominant 201 frequency of the data. We only use first arrivals to inform the STF estimates because 202 these are the only phases reliably fit by the FATT model. To minimize near-source ef-203 fects and to boost signal/noise ratios, we stacked data over offsets of 100 - 175 m. Us-204 ing data at these offsets to inform the STF also helps account for some of the unmod-205 elled effects of anelastic attenuation (e.g., Borisov et al., 2020). 206



Figure 3. The estimated source time function and frequency spectrum for the hammer swing located at x = 0 m.

### 3.3 Initial Shear-Wave Velocity Model

With an estimate of the STFs in hand, next we built a suitable starting Vs model 208 for FWI. Rather than using a scaled version of the starting Vp model for this purpose 209 (e.g., Liu et al., 2022), we found that a more rigorous prior estimate of the Vs field is 210 necessary to perform FWI on the surface waves. To do this, we leveraged the well-known 211 phenomenon of surface wave dispersion using the wave equation dispersion inversion (WD) 212 technique developed by Li et al. (2016). WD is a skeletonized data inversion strategy, 213 meaning that it uses the same forward and adjoint modeling typically employed in FWI, 214 but fits a significantly smaller portion of the data. While this results in a lower resolu-215 tion inversion, unlike FWI, the convergence of WD is almost guaranteed. 216

The WD method minimizes the following functional

$$\chi^{WD} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_s} \int_{\omega} \Delta \kappa_i(\omega)^2 d\omega$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

where *i* is the source index,  $N_s$  is the number of sources,  $\omega$  is the angular frequency, and  $\Delta \kappa$  is the difference between the dispersion curves of observed and synthetic data. To compute  $\Delta \kappa$ , two Fourier transforms are performed on the preprocessed synthetic and observed shot gathers, U(t, x) and  $U^0(t, x)$ , to derive  $\tilde{U}(\omega, \kappa)$  and  $\tilde{U}^0(\omega, \kappa)$  respectively, transforming the shot gathers from the time-offset domain to the angular frequency-wavenumber domain. Then, for each  $\omega$ ,  $\Delta \kappa$  is calculated via cross-correlation such that

$$\Delta \kappa(\omega) = \arg\max_{\kappa} \mathcal{R}\left\{\int \tilde{U}(\omega, \kappa') \cdot \tilde{U}^{0}(\omega, \kappa' + \kappa) \ d\kappa'\right\},\tag{3}$$

with  $\mathcal{R}\{.\}$  taking the real part of a complex number. The preprocessing during the WD inversion involves normalizing all traces and muting data outside the 10 - 75 m offset range. The shear-wave velocity of the starting model for the WD inversion increases linearly with depth. Due to the limited depth sensitivity of the WD method, the lower portion of the final WD model is altered to be a scaled version of the FATT Vp model by a factor of two.

223

207

# 3.4 Sensitivity Analysis

With an initial model parameterized, we can compute the traveltime sensitivity kernels (also known as banana-doughnut kernels or Fréchet Derivatives) of the four read-

ily identifiable phases: the first arrival, p-wave coda, higher mode surface wave, and fun-226 damental mode surface wave (Figure 2). For demonstration purposes, we used the trace 227 and windows shown in the upper right panel of Figure 2 to back project the time-reversed 228 particle velocity at the receiver location through the initial model (e.g., Tromp et al., 2005; 229 Fichtner et al., 2008; Tape et al., 2010) (Figure 4). Repeating this sensitivity analysis 230 on other source-receiver pairs yielded similar results. The sensitivity analysis is an im-231 portant step in our workflow as it provides insights into which waveforms are useful for 232 updating certain model parameters. 233

For example, the traveltime kernel of the first arrival is characteristic of a diving 234 wave, exhibiting the quintessential banana shape often associated with teleseismic body 235 waves in vertical cross-section (top left panel of Figure 4). Along the ray path, the ker-236 nel is negative, meaning that a decrease in the wave speed will result in an increase in 237 traveltime (e.g., Tromp et al., 2005). The traveltime kernel of the first arrival has a wide 238 sensitivity zone, indicating a broad depth range determines the diving wave arrival time. 239 The p-wave coda, in contrast, appears to travel primarily in the near-surface and is sen-240 sitive to a narrower depth range (second row of Figure 4). Regardless of the paths the 241 energy takes, both types of body waves are primarily sensitive to Vp (Figure 4). The fun-242 damental mode Rayleigh wave traveltime is primarily sensitive to the upper 15 - 20 m, 243 while the higher mode Rayleigh wave shows a more complicated sensitivity kernel, with 244 deeper and shallower sensitivity where energy focuses and defocuses respectively (third 245 and last rows Figure 4 respectively). Although other clear phases exist in the vertical-246 component data (Figure 2), we were unable to use sensitivity analysis to confirm that 247 any of these arrivals are shear or converted body waves. However, our method is appli-248 cable to such phases if observed. 249



**Figure 4.** Sensitivity kernels with respect to each model parameter (Vp and Vs) for each of the four highlighted phases in the upper right panel of Figure 2. The first column corresponds to sensitivity with respect to Vp, while the second column corresponds to sensitivity with respect to Vs. The first row shows the sensitivity of the first arrival, the second row shows the sensitivity of the p-wave coda, the third row shows the sensitivity of the higher mode surface wave, and the last row shows the sensitivity of the fundamental mode surface wave.

250

# 3.5 Full-Waveform Inversion Workflow

In this study, we used a fork of the FWI workflow manager SeisFlows published 251 by Modrak et al. (2018), which primarily serves as a wrapper for the forward and ad-252 joint (an)elastic wavefield solver, specfem2d (Komatitsch and Tromp; 1999). The use of 253 the spectral element method in this study is particularly important given the topographic 254 variation in the ground surface of our model. Specifically, the free surface boundary con-255 dition at the ground surface is rigorously fulfilled by the spectral element method, un-256 like in other modeling strategies, such as grid-based finite difference methods (e.g., Ficht-257 ner, 2010). 258

During the FWI portion of our workflow, we defined the functional to be minimized,  $\chi$ , using the normalized correlative (NC) misfit norm,

$$\chi = \frac{1}{N_s \cdot N_r} \sum_{i=1}^{N_s} \sum_{j=1}^{N_r} \left[ 1 - \int_T \hat{u}_{i,j} \cdot \hat{u}_{i,j}^0 \, dt \right],\tag{4}$$

where  $\hat{u}$  and  $\hat{u}^0$  are synthetic and observed waveforms with their maximum amplitudes normalized to 1,  $N_s$  is the number of sources, and  $N_r$  is the number of receivers.  $\int_T \hat{u}_{i,j} \cdot \hat{u}_{i,j} \cdot \hat{u}_{i,j}$  dt is called the correlation coefficient and measures the similarity of two time series. We chose the NC norm because it is both noise-resistant and emphasizes fitting phase rather than amplitude (e.g., Borisov et al., 2020; Choi and Alkhalifah, 2012). This helps contend with noise in the data as well as certain unmodelled anelastic and 3D effects (e.g., Borisov et al., 2020). To minimize the NC norm, we iteratively update the velocity model,  $\boldsymbol{m}$ , according to

$$\boldsymbol{m}^{i+1} = -\alpha \boldsymbol{P} \boldsymbol{H} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{m}} \chi + \boldsymbol{m}^i \tag{5}$$

In the above equation,  $\nabla_m \chi$ , the gradient with respect to the misfit functional,  $\chi$ , is computed via the adjoint method,  $\alpha$  is a step length computed via a bracket line search, and  $\boldsymbol{P}$  is a diagonal preconditioning matrix containing the discretized field  $P_1^{-1}$  which is defined as

$$P_1(x,z) := \sum_{i=1}^{N_s} \int_T \partial_t^2 u_i(x,z) \cdot \partial_t^2 u_i(x,z) \, dt \tag{6}$$

where  $u_i(x, z)$  is the synthetic wavefield excited by the *i*th STF. The main purpose of the preconditioner is to remove numerical artifacts caused by large amplitudes near the ground surface and to account for the geometric spreading of the wavefield. In equation 5, H is the Hessian matrix; in practice, we approximate the Hessian-gradient product,  $H\nabla_m \chi$ , using a limited-memory Broyden–Fletcher–Goldfarb–Shanno algorithm (Liu and Nocedal, 1989). We used the same modeling strategy and optimization framework for the WD step of our workflow.

The FWI strategy used in this study was informed by our preliminary analysis of the data. We inverted surface waves and body waves separately, in different steps of the workflow, because the sensitivity with respect to surface waves is about two orders of magnitude higher than the sensitivity with respect to body waves (Figure 4). Hence it would require extreme scaling of the body waves to balance their contributions to model updates with those of the surface waves, which creates numerical artifacts. Separating the surface and body waves is also advantageous because of their different frequency contents. Using a multiscale approach (e.g, Bunks et al., 1994; Chen et al., 2019), we work through the frequency content of the surface waves gradually, focusing on their lower frequencies, while we step through frequencies of the body waves more aggressively to cover their wider bandwidth.

With these issues in mind, we chose to invert the surface waves first, using them 277 to inform the upper portion of the earth model. Then, in a quasi-layer-stripping approach, 278 we updated the deeper part of the model using the body waves. During the surface wave 279 step of the workflow, both Vp and Vs are updated, while only Vp is updated during the 280 body wave step because the first arrivals and p-wave coda are primarily sensitive to Vp 281 (Figure 4). We found that any Vs sensitivity shown in computed Fréchet derivatives for 282 the first arrival or p-wave coda is likely a numerical artifact that degrades the fit of sur-283 face waves if incorporated into the model updates derived from the body waves (Figure 284 4). 285

The preprocessing of waveforms in both steps included muting traces outside a par-286 ticular offset range, bandpass filtering, normalizing all traces to a maximum amplitude 287 of 1, and muting various arrivals. In the surface wave inversion step, traces between 10 288 -150 m offset were used, with 6 - 14, 6 - 18, and 6 - 22 Hz bandpass filters applied, while 289 all phases arriving earlier than the higher mode were muted. In the body wave step, traces 290 between 50 - 210 m offset were used, with 8 - 24, 8 - 40, and 8 - 56 Hz bandpass fil-291 ters applied, and all phases arriving later than the p-wave coda were muted. To regu-292 larize the inversions, we smoothed the gradients by convolving them with a 2D Gaus-293 sian function. In the surface wave step, we used a smoothing radius of 10 m for all stages 294 of the multiscale strategy, while for the body wave step, we used smoothing radii of 40, 295 20, and 10 m, decreasing the smoothing radius as we increased the frequency content dur-296 ing each stage of the multiscale strategy. 297



Figure 5. A flow chart of our FWI strategy showing both preliminary steps and FWI stages.

# 298 4 Results

299

### 4.1 Workflow Validation with Synthetic Data

We benchmarked the FWI portion of our workflow (the last two boxes in Figure 300 5) by inverting synthetic data to illustrate the kinds of features that can be recovered. 301 For this synthetic test, we used the same survey geometry and starting Vs and Vp mod-302 els as in our real data case, but added three velocity anomalies: a shallow high-velocity 303 anomaly representing a corestone, a deeper high-velocity anomaly indicative of an area 304 of bedrock with low fracture density, and a low-velocity zone characteristic of a fracture 305 zone. Generally speaking, our FWI workflow recovers all three anomalies fairly well, al-306 though the shape of anomalies in the final models is not perfect (Figure 6). Nonethe-307 less, this synthetic test bolsters confidence that we can trust relatively large-scale fea-308 tures (on the order of 10 m or larger) in our FWI results. 309



Figure 6. Results from the synthetic FWI experiment. The left column has Vs models and the right column has Vp models. The first row shows the starting models, the second row shows the target models, and last row shows the inverted models. Velocity contours on the Vs and Vp models have intervals of 250 m/s and 500 m/s respectively.

# 310 4.2 Surface Wave Step

After the FATT, source estimation, and WD, the low-frequency (6 - 14 Hz) surface wave data tends to fit within one wavelength but is not yet perfectly recovered (Fig<sup>313</sup> ure S2). By the end of the first stage of the surface wave inversion, the phase informa-<sup>314</sup> tion of Rayleigh waves is well represented by synthetics (Figures 7 and S2). In the lat-<sup>315</sup> ter two stages, higher frequency data is progressively fit (6 – 18 Hz and 6 – 22 Hz). In <sup>316</sup> these stages, only relatively small adjustments to the synthetic waveforms are needed <sup>317</sup> to improve the model fits (Figures 7, S3, and S4). Generally speaking, as the frequency <sup>318</sup> content of the data being fit increases, diminishing returns in decreasing the misfit func-<sup>319</sup> tion are made (Figure 7).



Figure 7. Top panel: The evolution of the misfit function with each FWI iteration, segmented by each stage of the multiscale strategy. Middle panels: histograms of the the correlation coefficients,  $\int_T \hat{u}\hat{u}^0 dt$ , for all traces before and after each stage of the multiscale strategy. Bottom panel: Prepossessed (body waves are muted and 6 - 22 Hz bandpass filtered) waveforms after surface wave FWI.

In the resultant Vs model, we observe several features indicative of the increased resolution gained by performing FWI using surface waves (Figure 8). One such feature is a high-velocity zone around x = 50 m, where the 400 – 600 m/s velocity contours are bowed upward. The strongest vertical velocity gradients occur towards the far end of the line (x = 210 - 239 m). Note that the casing depths of the two boreholes correspond with shear-wave velocities of 400 - 500 m/s, suggesting that these velocities may be a good range to use for inferring the boundary between saprolite and fractured bedrock.



Figure 8. Shear-wave velocity models before and after FWI. Velocity contours at 75 m/s intervals are also shown in white. The gray rectangles show the locations of borehole casings. Please note the limited elevation range of these plots.

# 327 4.3 Body Wave Step

At the onset of the first stage of the multiscale strategy for the body waves, the 328 low-frequency (8 - 24 Hz) data tends to fit reasonably well, implying that the FATT model 329 and STF estimates provide a good initial parameterization for performing FWI (Figure 330 S5). In the ensuing stages of the multiscale strategy, we see that both the p-wave coda 331 and first arrival are accurately fit by the synthetics, although the data fit degrades slightly 332 at offsets greater than 200 m (Figures 9, S5, S6, and S7). Convergence was slower for 333 the body waves and required more iterations than during the surface wave step (Figure 334 9). 335



Figure 9. Top panel: The evolution of the misfit function with each FWI iteration, segmented by each stage of the multiscale strategy. Middle panels: histograms of the the correlation coefficients,  $\int_T \hat{u}\hat{u}^0 dt$ , for all traces before and after each stage of the multiscale strategy. Bottom panel: Prepossessed (surface waves are muted and 8 - 56 Hz bandpass filtered) waveforms after body wave FWI.

In the final Vp model, large updates can be observed, showing the impact of applying FWI to the body waves (Figure 10). Several novel features are observed in the final Vp model, including a high-velocity zone located at around 100 m, deep low-velocity zones located around x = 20 and 190 m, and various fine structures in the near-surface. Generally speaking, vertical and lateral velocity gradients have increased substantially in several areas. Interestingly, there appears to be more near-surface heterogeneity in

- the final Vp model than in the Vs model, and we discuss why this may be the case in
- section 5.1. Several of the features we have noted were also observed by Wang et al. (2019b),
- including deep low-velocity zones and more heterogeneity in Vp relative to Vs.



Figure 10. P-wave velocity models before and after FWI. Velocity contours at 500 m/s intervals are also shown in white. The gray rectangles show the locations of borehole casings, while the gray lines show where the borholes were logged.

#### 345

# 4.4 Comparison to Borehole Data

Two boreholes on our profile, BW1 and BW4, located at roughly x = 107 and 175 346 m, provide an opportunity to ground-truth our FWI results. As summarized in Flinchum 347 et al. (2022), the upper parts of the boreholes drilled through incompetent soil and sapro-348 lite were cased, and the deeper open holes were logged. Since no borehole data from the 349 saprolite and soil exist, we cannot compare borehole logs with the surface wave Vs mod-350 els where only the upper  $\approx 20$  m or so are constrained (Figure 4). The borehole logs 351 are, however, an effective ground truth for the Vp models, where the diving wave pro-352 vides information on deep CZ structure (Figure 4). 353

The final Vp model shows much better agreement with the borehole logs than the initial model, demonstrating substantial gains from FWI (Figure 11). While the initial model created using FATT is far too smooth and incorrectly estimates velocities at moderate depth (15 - 30 m), after applying FWI, this inconsistency is greatly reduced. This comparison suggests that FATT may underestimate vertical velocity gradients in the CZ (Figures 10 and 11). The borehole comparison suggests that both the high-velocity and low-velocity zones in our final Vp model are true features rather than inversion artifacts. Although these low-velocity zones have not been directly observed in either borehole presented, the aforementioned synthetic tests support that we can recover such features using our FWI workflow.



Figure 11. Comparison of the FATT and FWI Vp models with the borehole logs from BW1 (left) and BW4 (right) and expanded views of the bedrock observed in optical logs over a 2 m depth range in each hole. Note higher fracture density visible in BW-4, which corresponds to lower P-velocities in that hole.

### 364 5 Discussion

365

# 5.1 Limitations, Uncertainties, and Outlook on Future Work

While our results are promising, some areas of improvement exist for our method-366 ology, including potentially incorporating 3D modeling to more accurately recover ge-367 ometric wavefield spreading. While more rigorous, incorporating 3D modeling would likely 368 require a supercomputing cluster (e.g., Chow et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2019a; Wang et 369 al., 2019b), whereas limiting the technical overhead of FWI by implementing it on a work-370 station, as we have, makes the method accessible to more researchers. Furthermore, given 371 our exclusive use of phase information and focus on inverting for velocity, incorporat-372 ing 3D modeling may not significantly change our results. It is more likely that the biggest 373

gains achieved by incorporating 3D modeling would also require full 3D data coverage
(Górszczyk et al., 2023), allowing us to recover the true 3D structure of Earth's CZ. For
these reasons, we leave 3D modeling for future work.

Other areas of improvement for our workflow pertain to our parameterization of 377 the Earth model. For example, including a reasonable estimate of anelasticity may help 378 limit inversion artifacts (e.g., Borisov et al., 2020; Groos et al., 2014). In particular, we 379 expect anelasticity to affect the surface wave inversion to a greater extent than it would 380 the body wave inversion, as the surface waves travel significantly more cycles than the 381 body waves. Nonetheless, given the complexities of parameterizing or inverting for a dy-382 namic and heterogeneous near-surface anelasticity field (Askan et al., 2007), we leave this 383 issue for future work. We also do not rigorously parameterize or invert for density in our 384 workflow. In our modeling, density is set as an arbitrary scalar so that Vp and Vs fields 385 can be converted to Lame parameters for input into specfem2d. Changes in our param-386 eterization of the density field could affect the amplitudes of synthetic waveforms (e.g., 387 Liu et al., 2022). However, since we exclusively use phase information in our inversion 388 and normalize all the traces, changes in our representation of density should have little 389 to no effect on our final results. Given the inconsistent coupling of instruments in the 390 data set we used, attempting to use amplitude information to constrain density would 391 likely be ill-conceived, although future advances in instrumentation may someday make 392 this a worthwhile pursuit (e.g., Yuan et al., 2015). Additionally, since CZ materials may 393 exhibit significant seismic anisotropy (Eppinger et al., 2021; Novitsky et al., 2018), ac-394 counting for anisotropy may further improve FWI results, although this would likely re-395 quire some prior information about the anisotropy of the study site or 3D, multi-component 396 data coverage (e.g., Toyokuni and Zhao, 2021). 397

Another limitation in our FWI models relates to what extent the separately inverted 398 Vp and Vs fields can be used to calculate Poisson's ratio in the CZ, given that the Vp 399 FWI model is significantly more heterogeneous than the Vs model (Figures 8 and 10). 400 It is possible that the contrast in Vp vs. Vs heterogeneity is caused by variations in the 401 fluid content of the pore spaces, since shear velocity is insensitive to water saturation. 402 Another possible explanation is that the information contained in the surface waves varies 403 from that of the body waves. Considering that anelasticity usually correlates with ve-404 locity (e.g., Asian et al., 2007; Borisov et al., 2020), the surface waves traveling primar-405 ily through lower-velocity material likely attenuate more than the body waves. This would 406

-21-

result in the surface waves having a lower frequency content (e.g., Figure 2) and may cause models derived with them to lack high-wavenumber information. Alternatively, the Vp model may contain excess heterogeneity, namely inversion artifacts caused by the lower signal-to-noise ratio of the body waves. We think it would be constructive for future work to investigate which of these possible explanations is most plausible.

Future work could also make various theoretical advancements to our FWI work-412 flow. For example, using source encoding could significantly reduce the computational 413 cost of FWI in the CZ or reserve computational resources for incorporating 3D model-414 ing and more data into workflows (e.g., Tromp and Bachman, 2019). More investigation 415 into which misfit function is best for FWI in the CZ would be beneficial. Looking into 416 measurements that limit errors associated with source estimation and instrument response 417 while simultaneously increasing resolution, such as the double difference measurement 418 (e.g., Yuan et al., 2016) would be worthwhile. Trialing other misfit functions that cap-419 ture traveltime differences of multiple events, such as the local traveltime inversion method 420 proposed by Hu et al., (2020) could also be advantageous. Another promising branch of 421 research is uncertainty quantification for FWI in the CZ, as these methods may help re-422 searchers to identify and avoid interpreting inversion artifacts (e.g., Thurin et al., 2019). 423

#### 424

### 5.2 Implications for Critical Zone Heterogeneity

One of the primary challenges in capturing and characterizing critical zone processes 425 is the vast range in scales they span. At the smallest scales, chemical weathering occurs 426 at the molecular and grain scale, driven by chemical reactions on individual mineral sur-427 faces, often aided by symbiotic fungi at the micron scale (e.g., Brantley et al., 2017; Navarre-428 Sitchler et al., 2015; Sak et al., 2010). At larger scales, we might expect weathering to 429 depend on climatic patterns that can vary at regional or watershed scales (e.g., Good-430 fellow et al., 2013). Other processes might be relevant at intermediate scales, including 431 compositional heterogeneity, fracture zones, slope-aspect contrasts, or bedrock foliation 432 (Callahan et al., 2022; Eppinger et al., 2021; Leone et al., 2020; Novitsky et al., 2018; 433 West et al., 2019). This diverse set of processes acting across multiple scales creates het-434 erogeneity in subsurface CZ structure, which is visible in outcrops (e.g., Dethier and Lazarus, 435 2006), corestones (Sak et al., 2010), and thin sections (e.g., Holbrook et al., 2019). Cap-436 turing such heterogeneity in the subsurface critical zone is a formidable challenge, for 437 which improved geophysical methods like FWI are needed. 438

-22-

Our results show that critical zone structure is laterally heterogeneous at scales much 439 smaller than can be attributed to large-scale forcing functions like climate or tectonic 440 stress. For example, the depth at which fast velocities associated with intact bedrock (Vp 441  $> \sim 4000$  m/s) is reached varies by more than a factor of two over only 15 m horizon-442 tal distance, from  $\sim 20$  m at x = 110 m to greater than 50 m at x = 125 m (Fig. 10). 443 Over that same stretch, the thickness of the weathered bedrock layer (1,200 m/s < Vp)444 < 4,000 m/s) goes from only a few meters to more than 25 m. Contrasts at this hori-445 zontal scale cannot be the consequence of differing climate, and given the location of this 446 profile along a ridgeline, it is similarly difficult to imagine other top-down processes (e.g., 447 hydrology, vegetation) could produce such variability. Instead, we must seek bottom-up 448 explanations for these changes, sourced in the local geology (e.g., composition or frac-449 tures). 450

Both the boreholes and the details of the FWI inversion provide clues as to the causes 451 of these strong lateral contrasts in critical zone structure. In particular, the drilling re-452 sults at BW1 and BW4 combined with the FWI velocity model tell a story of two dis-453 tinct weathering fronts at these locations. At BW1, we observe strong vertical velocity 454 gradients in both the borehole log and FWI model and very few open fractures in the 455 underlying bedrock (Figure 11). Meanwhile, at BW4, the vertical velocity gradients in 456 the borehole log and FWI model are more diffuse, and more intensely fractured bedrock 457 exists at depth. These results imply that the sharpness of the transition from weathered 458 to unweathered materials depends on the fracture density of bedrock as it enters the CZ 459 weathering engine. Indeed, the thickness of the fractured bedrock layer appears to be 460 inversely correlated with the velocity of the underlying bedrock. In parts of the model 461 with very fast (> 4,500 m/s) bedrock velocities, there is a rapid transition to overlying 462 saprolite, with little (or no?) weathered bedrock, while elsewhere slower deep bedrock 463 underlies thick weathered bedrock layers – suggesting a bottom-up control on CZ archi-464 tecture here (Figure 10). Such bottom-up controls could include lateral changes in com-465 position (e.g., Brantley et al., 2017; Basilevskaya et al., 2013), foliation (Leone et al., 2020), 466 or fracture density (e.g., Novitsky et al., 2018). At our site, we suggest that changes in 467 bedrock fracture density are most likely, given the observation of fracture zones in ad-468 469 jacent outcrops.

Additional intriguing features in the FWI model include narrow, steeply dipping zones of very low velocity (< 1,000 m/s) that penetrate tens of meters into the subsur-

-23-

face at several places along the line (e.g., at  $x \approx 25$  m and  $x \approx 185$  m). These features might represent deep zones of intense chemical weathering and fracturing. While our boreholes were not placed to verify the presence of these features, such low-velocity zones might well play an outsized role in guiding water through the subsurface. Thus, full-waveform inversion promises to yield important new insights into catchment hydrology.

Given that the full waveform results show such heterogeneity, does this imply that 477 the ray-based tomograms that have been the primary seismic tool for imaging the crit-478 ical zone are wrong? To address this, we compared our FWI results with the FATT ini-479 tial model. At a glance, the FWI model is much more detailed and heterogeneous than 480 the FATT model (Figure 10). A comparison of the depth ranges of velocities associated 481 with the saprolite-bedrock transition (1.2 km/s), however, reveals that while the depths 482 distributions are more variable in the FWI model, the average saprolite thicknesses are 483 similar in the FWI and FATT results (Figure 12). The same can be said for the depth 484 to intact bedrock (Figure 12). Thus, FATT accurately captures long-wavelength features 485 in the CZ but misses smaller-scale heterogeneity. That is to say, FATT models aren't wrong, 486 but they are blurry. This point is further emphasized by the upper left panel of Figure 487 4, showing the banana-doughnut kernel for the first arrival. The large volume of the ker-488 nel implies that first arrival traveltimes are sensitive to the average velocity of a signif-489 icant portion of the subsurface, and this detail is reflected in the blurriness of FATT mod-490 els. These findings help contextualize previous conclusions based on FATT models, which 491 have elucidated large-scale, first-order controls on CZ structure such as slope aspect (Be-492 fus et al., 2011), regional tectonic stresses (St. Clair et al., 2015), and foliation (Leone 493 et al., 2020). Our findings show that FWI can build on this past research by unearthing 494 the effects of smaller-scale processes. In other words, the average saprolite thickness at 495 a site may reflect large-scale controls like climate or tectonic stress, while smaller-scale 496 lateral heterogeneity must have local causes, like variations in fracture density or com-497 position. 498



Figure 12. Overlain histograms of the depth to saprolite (left) and intact bedrock (right) in the FWI (red) and FATT (blue) models. The thick vertical lines indicate averages of the distributions displayed in the histograms.

499	Our results raise fundamental questions about the extent to which CZ architecture
500	is controlled by large-scale forcing functions like climate, topography, and tectonic stress,
501	versus local, smaller-scale characteristics of the bedrock. While past work has provided
502	useful theories for the role of large-scale processes on CZ structure, our results suggest
503	that smaller-scale factors also play an important role, as variability in bedrock charac-
504	teristics over lateral scales of tens of meters imparts profound impacts on the overlying
505	CZ architecture. We anticipate a concordance between the scale of forcing functions and
506	their products. Seeking the signal of top-down processes like climate in CZ architecture
507	will thus likely require comparing larger-scale averages across sites to filter out local vari-
508	ability (e.g., Callahan et al., 2022). We expect that future applications of the FWI work-
509	flow developed here will provide both new ideas and new hypothesis tests about the state
510	and evolution of Earth's critical zone.

## 511 6 Conclusions

In this study, we present an FWI workflow specifically tailored to study weathering patterns in the CZ. Using existing and accessible open source packages, we show how forward and adjoint modeling rooted in the spectral element method can be used to invert surface and body waves to constrain Vs and Vp. Our FWI results agree significantly better with borehole data than previously published FATT models. This, along with synthetic FWI experiments, bolsters confidence in our findings, which show remarkable heterogeneity in the CZ, previously undetectable using traveltime tomography. We hypothesize that local heterogeneity in Earth's weathering engine reflects local variations in bedrock composition and structure, including fracture density, foliation, and mineralogy. We suggest that FWI can be used to investigate a wide range of important CZ processes at smaller scales than previously possible.

523 7 Open Research

All seismic data and borehole logging data have been uploaded to a Zenodo repository (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8219762) and MATLAB codes for source estimation as well as a copy of our fork of SeisFlows will be uploaded pending acceptance of this.

### 528 Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge the tireless efforts of two Virginia Tech system 529 administrators, James Dunson and James Langridge. This project would not have been 530 possible without their help. We would also like to acknowledge Jean Virieux and Romain 531 Brossier for providing their perspectives on this project during a Zoom meeting. Spe-532 cial thanks goes to Dario Grana who read and provided comments on the manuscript 533 but did not feel he should be a coauthor. Funding for this project was provided NSF-534 EAR 2012353 (Holbrook) and 2012227 (Flinchum), and an NSF Graduate Research Fel-535 lowship awarded to B. J. Eppinger. 536

# **Citations:**

- Askan, A., Akcelik, V., Bielak, J., and Ghattas, O. (2007). Full waveform inversion for seismic velocity and anelastic losses in heterogeneous structures. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 97(6), 1990–2008. <u>https://doi.org/10.1785/0120070079</u>
- Befus, K. M., Sheehan, A. F., Leopold, M., Anderson, S. P., and Anderson, R. S. (2011). Seismic constraints on critical zone architecture, Boulder Creek watershed, Front Range, Colorado. *Vadose Zone Journal*, 10(4), 1342–1342. <u>https://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2010.0108er</u>
- Bazilevskaya, E., Lebedeva, M., Pavich, M., Rother, G., Parkinson, D. Y., Cole, D., & amp; Brantley, S. L. (2013). Where fast weathering creates thin regolith and slow weathering creates thick regolith. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 38(8), 847–858. https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3369
- Borisov, D., Gao, F., Williamson, P., & Tromp, J. (2020). Application of 2D full-waveform inversion on exploration land data. *GEOPHYSICS*, 85(2). <u>https://doi.org/10.1190/geo2019-0082.1</u>
- Bradley, W. C. (1987). Erosion surfaces of the Colorado Front Range: A review. In W. L. Graf (Ed.), Geomorphic systems of North America (pp. 215–220). Boulder, CO: *Geologic Society of America*.
- Brantley, S. L., Eissenstat, D. M., Marshall, J. A., Godsey, S. E., Balogh-Brunstad, Z., Karwan, D. L., Papuga, S. A., Roering, J., Dawson, T. E., Evaristo, J., Chadwick, O., McDonnell, J. J., and Weathers, K. C. (2017). Reviews and syntheses: On the roles trees play in building and plumbing the Critical Zone. *Biogeosciences*, 14(22), 5115–5142. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-14-5115-2017</u>
- Brantley, S. L., Goldhaber, M. B., & Ragnarsdottir, K. V. (2007). Crossing disciplines and scales to understand the critical zone. *Elements*, 3, 307–314. <u>https://doi.org/10.2113/gselements.3.5.307</u>
- Bunks, C., Saleck, F. M., Zaleski, S., & amp; Chavent, G. (1995). Multiscale seismic waveform inversion. *GEOPHYSICS*, 60(5), 1457–1473. https://doi.org/10.1190/1.1443880
- Callahan, R. P., Riebe, C. S., Sklar, L. S., Pasquet, S., Ferrier, K. L., Hahm, W. J., Taylor, N. J., Grana, D., Flinchum, B. A., Hayes, J. L., and Holbrook, W. S. (2022). Forest vulnerability to drought controlled by bedrock composition. *Nature Geoscience*, 15(9), 714–719. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-022-01012-2</u>
- Callahan, R. P., Riebe, C. S., Pasquet, S., Ferrier, K. L., Grana, D., Sklar, L. S., et al. (2020). Subsurface weathering revealed in hillslope-integrated porosity distributions. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 47, e2020GL088322. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL088322</u>

- Chapin, C. E., & Kelley, S. A. (1997). The Rocky Mountain erosion surface in the Front Range of Colorado. In D. W. Bolyard & S. A. Sonnenberg (Eds.), Geologic history of the Colorado Front Range (pp. 1943–3048). Denver, CO: *Rocky Mountain Association of Geologists*.
- Chen, J., Zelt, C. A., and Jaiswal, P. (2017). Detecting a known near-surface target through application of frequency-dependent traveltime tomography and full-waveform inversion to P- and SH-wave seismic refraction data. *GEOPHYSICS*, 82(1). https://doi.org/10.1190/geo2016-0085.1
- Chen, G. X., Wu, R. S., & Chen, S. C. (2019). Multiscale direct envelope inversion: Algorithm and methodology for application to the salt structure inversion. *Earth and Space Science*, 6, 174–190. https://doi.org/10.1029/ 2018EA000453
- Choi, Y., & Alkhalifah, T. (2012). Application of multi-source waveform inversion to marine streamer DATA USING THE GLOBAL CORRELATION NORM. *Geophysical Prospecting*, 60(4), 748–758. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2478.2012.01079.x</u>
- Chow, B., Kaneko, Y., Tape, C., Modrak, R., & Townend, J. (2020). An automated workflow for adjoint tomography Waveform misfits and synthetic inversions for the north Island, New Zealand. *Geophysical Journal International*, 223(3), 1461–1480.
- Dawson TE, Hahm WJ, Crutchfield-Peters K (2020) Digging deeper: what the critical zone perspective adds to the study of plant ecophysiology. *New Phytol* 226(3):666–671
- Dethier, D. P., & Lazarus, E. D. (2006). Geomorphic inferences from regolith thickness, chemical denudation and CRN erosion rates near the glacial limit, Boulder Creek catchment and vicinity, Colorado. *Geomorphology*, 75(3-4), 384-399. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2005.07.029
- Eggler, D., Larson, E., & Bradley, W. (1969). Granites grusses and the Sherman erosion surface, southern Laramie Range Colorado-Wyoming. *American Journal of Science*, 267(4), 510– 522. <u>https://doi.org/10.2475/ajs.267.4.510</u>
- Eppinger, B. J., Hayes, J. L., Carr, B. J., Moon, S., Cosans, C. L., Holbrook, W. S., et al. (2021). Quantifying depth- dependent seismic anisotropy in the critical zone enhanced by weathering of a Piedmont schist. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 126, e2021JF006289. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JF006289
- Evanoff, E. (1990). Early Oligocene paleovalleys in southern and central Wyoming: Evidence of high local relief on the late Eocene unconformity. *Geology*, 18(5), 443–446. <u>https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1990)018%3C0443:EOPISA%3E2.3.CO;2</u>
- Fichtner, A., Kennett, B. L., Igel, H., & Bunge, H.-P. (2008). Theoretical background for continental- and global-scale full-waveform inversion in the time-frequency domain. *Geophysical Journal International*, 175(2), 665–685. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246x.2008.03923.x</u>

Fichtner, A. (2011.). Full seismic waveform modeling and inversion. Springer.

- Flinchum BA, Holbrook WS and Carr BJ (2022) What Do P-Wave Velocities Tell Us About the Critical Zone? *Front. Water* 3:772185. doi: 10.3389/frwa.2021.772185
- Flinchum, B. A., Holbrook, W. S., Rempe, D., Moon, S., Riebe, C. S., Carr, B. J., et al. (2018). Critical zone structure under a granite ridge inferred from drilling and three-dimensional seismic refraction data. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 123, 1317–1343. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2017jf004280</u>
- Frost, C. D., Frost, B. R., Chamberlain, K. R., & Edwards, B. R. (1999). Petrogenesis of the 1.43 Ga Sherman batholith, SE Wyoming, USA: A reduced, rapakivi-type anorogenic granite. *Journal of Petrology*, 40(12), 1771–1802. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/petroj/40.12.1771</u>
- Goodfellow, B. W., Chadwick, O. A., & Hilley, G. E. (2013). Depth and character of rock weathering across a basaltic-hosted climosequence on Hawai'i. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 39(3), 381–398. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3505</u>
- Górszczyk, A., Brossier, R., & Métivier, L. (2023). The Impact of the 3D Effect on the Regional-Scale Velocity Model Building Using 2D Full-Waveform Inversion. *European Geophysical* Union General Assembly. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu23-4387</u>
- Groos, L., Schäfer, M., Forbriger, T., & Bohlen, T. (2017). Application of a complete workflow for 2D elastic full-waveform inversion to recorded shallow-seismic Rayleigh Waves. *GEOPHYSICS*, 82(2). <u>https://doi.org/10.1190/geo2016-0284.1</u>
- Hahm, W. J., Rempe, D. M., Dralle, D. N., Dawson, T. E., Lovill, S. M., Bryk, A. B., et al. (2019). Lithologically controlled subsurface critical zone thickness and water storage capacity determine regional plant community composition. *Water Resources Research*, 55. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018WR023760
- Hahm, W. J., Riebe, C. S., Lukens, C. E., & amp; Araki, S. (2013). Bedrock composition regulates mountain ecosystems and landscape evolution. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(9), 3338–3343. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1315667111
- Hayes, J. L., Riebe, C. S., Holbrook, W. S., Flinchum, B. A., & Hartsough, P. C. (2019). Porosity production in weathered rock: Where volumetric strain dominates over chemical mass loss. *Science Advances*, 5(9), eaao0834. <u>https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/sciadv.</u> <u>aao0834</u>
- Holbrook, W. S., Marcon, V., Bacon, A. R., Brantley, S. L., Carr, B. J., Flinchum, B. A., et al. (2019). Links between physical and chem- ical weathering inferred from a 65-m-deep borehole through Earth 's critical zone. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-019-40819-9

- Holbrook, W. S., Riebe, C. S., Elwaseif, M., Hayes, J. L., Harry, D. L., Basler-Reeder, K., et al. (2014). Geophysical constraints on deep weathering and water storage potential in the Southern Sierra Critical Zone Observatory. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 39, 366–380. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3502</u>
- Huang, M. H., Hudson-Rasmussen, B., Burdick, S., Lekic, V., Nelson, M. D., Fauria, K. E., & Schmerr, N. (2021). Bayesian seismic refraction inversion for critical zone science and nearsurface applications. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 22, e2020GC009172. https://doi. org/10.1029/2020GC009172
- Hu, Y., Han, L. G., Liu, Y. S., & Jin, Z. Y. (2020). Wave equation-based local traveltime inversion. *Earth and Space Science*, 7, e2020EA001193. https://doi. org/10.1029/2020EA001193
- Klos, P. Z., Goulden, M. L., Riebe, C. S., Tague, C. L., O'Geen, A. T., Flinchum, B. A., et al. (2018). Subsurface plant-accessible water in mountain ecosystems with a Mediterranean climate. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews*: Water. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1277</u>
- Köhn, D., Wilken, D., De Nil, D., Wunderlich, T., Rabbel, W., Werther, L., Schmidt, J., Zielhofer, C., & Linzen, S. (2019). Comparison of time-domain SH waveform inversion strategies based on sequential low and bandpass filtered data for improved resolution in nearsurface prospecting. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, *160*, 69–83. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jappgeo.2018.11.001</u>
- Komatitsch, D., & Tromp, J. (1999). Introduction to the spectral element method for threedimensional seismic wave propagation. *Geophysical Journal International*, *139*(3), 806–822. <u>https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-246x.1999.00967.x</u>
- Komatitsch, D., Vilotte JP. (1998). The spectral element method: An efficient tool to simulate the seismic response of 2D and 3D geological structures. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*. <u>https://doi.org/10.1785/BSSA0880020368</u>
- Lei, W., Ruan, Y., Bozdağ, E., Peter, D., Lefebvre, M., Komatitsch, D., Tromp, J., Hill, J., Podhorszki, N., and Pugmire, D. (2020). Global adjoint tomography—model GLAD-M25. *Geophysical Journal International*, 223(1), 1–21.<u>https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggaa253</u>
- Leone, J. D., Holbrook, W. S., Riebe, C. S., Chorover, J., Ferré, T. P. A., Carr, B. J., and Callahan, R. P. (2020). Strong slope-aspect control of regolith thickness by bedrock foliation. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 45(12), 2998–3010. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.4947</u>
- Li, J., Feng, Z., & Schuster, G. (2016). Wave-equation dispersion inversion. *Geophysical Journal International*, 208(3), 1567–1578. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggw465</u>

- Li, J., Hanafy, S., & Schuster, G.(2018). Wave-Equation dispersion inversion of guided P waves in a waveguide of arbitrary geometry. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 123, 7760–7774. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JB016127</u>
- Liu, D.C., Nocedal, J. On the limited memory BFGS method for large scale optimization. *Mathematical Programming* 45, 503–528 (1989). <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01589116</u>
- Liu, X., Zhu, T., & Hayes, J. (2022). Critical zone structure by elastic full waveform inversion of seismic refractions in a sandstone catchment, central Pennsylvania, USA. *Journal of Geophysical Research*: Solid Earth, 127, e2021JB023321. https://doi. org/10.1029/2021JB023321
- Liu, Z., Li, J., Hanafy, S. M., & Schuster, G. (2019). 3D wave-equation dispersion inversion of Rayleigh Waves. *GEOPHYSICS*, 84(5). <u>https://doi.org/10.1190/geo2018-0543.1</u>
- Mao, J., Sheng, J., Hart, M., and Kim, T. (2016). High-resolution model building with multistage full-waveform inversion for narrow-azimuth acquisition data. *The Leading Edge*, 35(12), 1031–1036. <u>https://doi.org/10.1190/tle35121031.1</u>
- McCormick EL, Dralle DN, Hahm WJ, Tune AK, Schmidt LM, Chadwick KD, Rempe DM. Widespread woody plant use of water stored in bedrock. *Nature*. 2021 Sep;597(7875):225-229. doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-03761-3.
- Meunier, A., Sardini, P., Robinet, J. C., & Pret, D. (2007). The petrography of weathering processes: Facts and outlooks. *Clay Minerals*, 42(4), 415–435. https://doi.org/10.1180/claymin.2007.042.4.01
- Modrak, R. T., Borisov, D., Lefebvre, M., & Tromp, J. (2018). Seisflows—flexible waveform inversion software. *Computers & Geosciences*, 115, 88–95. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2018.02.004</u>
- Moravec, B. G., White, A. M., Root, R., Sanchez, A., Olshansky, Y., Paras, B. K., et al. (2020). Resolving deep critical zone architecture in complex volcanic terrain. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 125, e2019JF005189. https://doi.org/ 10.1029/2019JF005189
- Natural Resources Conservation Service (2015). Crow Creek SNOTEL site, United States Department of Agriculture, SNOTEL surveys. Retrieved from http://wcc.sc.egov.usda.gov/nwcc/site?sitenum=1045, Accessed 07/15/2017.
- Navarre-Sitchler, A., Brantley, S. L., & Rother, G. (2015). How porosity increases during incipient weathering of crystalline silicate rocks. *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry*, 80(1), 331–354. <u>https://doi.org/10.2138/rmg.2015.80.10</u>
- Novitsky, C. G., Holbrook, W. S., Carr, B. J., Pasquet, S., Okaya, D., & Flinchum, B. A. (2018). Mapping inherited fractures in the critical zone using seismic aniso- tropy from circular

surveys. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45, 3126–3135. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL075976

- Pan, Y., Gao, L., & Bohlen, T. (2019). High-resolution characterization of near-surface structures by surface-wave inversions: From dispersion curve to full waveform. *Surveys in Geophysics*, 40(2), 167–195. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10712-019-09508-0</u>
- Parsekian, A. D., K. Singha, B. J. Minsley, W. S. Holbrook, and L. Slater (2015), Multiscale geophysical imaging of thecritical zone, *Rev. Geophys.*, 53,1–26, doi:10.1002/2014RG000465.
- Pasquet, S., W. S. Holbrook, B. J. Carr, and K. W. W. Sims (2016), Geophysical imaging of shallow degassing in a Yellowstone hydrothermal system, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 43, 12,027– 12,035, doi:10.1002/2016GL071306.
- Peterman, Z. E., & Hedge, C. E. (1968). Chronology of Precambrian events in the Front Range, Colorado. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 5(3), 749–756. <u>https://doi.org/10.1139/e68-073</u>
- Pratt, R. G. (1999). Seismic waveform inversion in the frequency domain, part 1: Theory and verification in a physical scale model. *GEOPHYSICS*, 64(3), 888–901. <u>https://doi.org/10.1190/1.1444597</u>
- Riebe, C. S., Hahm, W. J., and Brantley, S. L. (2016). Controls on deep critical zone architecture: A historical review and four testable hypotheses. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 42(1), 128–156. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.4052</u>
- Sak, P. B., Navarre-Sitchler, A. K., Miller, C. E., Daniel, C. C., Gaillardet, J., Buss, H. L., Lebedeva, M. I., and Brantley, S. L. (2010). Controls on rind thickness on basaltic andesite clasts weathering in Guadeloupe. *Chemical Geology*, 276(3–4), 129–143. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2010.05.002</u>
- Schuster G. T. (2017). Seismic inversion. Society of Exploration Geophysicists.
- Sheng, J., Leeds, A., Buddensiek, M., & Schuster, G. T. (2006). Early arrival waveform tomography on near-surface refraction data. *GEOPHYSICS*, 71(4). <u>https://doi.org/10.1190/1.2210969</u>
- Smith, J. A., Borisov, D., Cudney, H., Miller, R. D., Modrak, R., Moran, M., Peterie, S. L., Sloan, S. D., Tromp, J., & Wang, Y. (2019). Tunnel detection at Yuma Proving Ground, Arizona, USA — part 2: 3D full-waveform inversion experiments. *GEOPHYSICS*, 84(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.1190/geo2018-0599.1</u>
- St. Clair, J., Moon, S., Holbrook, W. S., Perron, J. T., Riebe, C. S., Martel, S. J., et al. (2015). Geophysical imaging reveals topographic stress control of bedrock weathering. *Science* 350, 534–538. doi: 10.1126/science. aab2210

- Tape, C., Liu, Q., Maggi, A., & Tromp, J. (2010). Seismic tomography of the Southern California crust based on spectral-element and adjoint methods. *Geophysical Journal International*, 180(1), 433–462. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246x.2009.04429.x</u>
- Thurin, J., Brossier, R., & Métivier, L. (2019). Ensemble-based uncertainty estimation in full waveform inversion. *Geophysical Journal International*. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggz384</u>
- Toyokuni, G., & Zhao, D. (2021). P-wave tomography for 3-D radial and azimuthal anisotropy beneath Greenland and surrounding regions. *Earth and Space Science*, 8, e2021EA001800. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021EA001800
- Tromp, J., & Bachmann, E. (2019). Source encoding for adjoint tomography. *Geophysical Journal International*, 218(3), 2019–2044. https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggz271
- Tromp, J., Tape, C., & Liu, Q. (2005). Seismic tomography, adjoint methods, time reversal and banana-doughnut kernels. *Geophysical Journal International*, 160(1), 195–216. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246x.2004.02453.x</u>
- Wang, W., Chen, P., Keifer, I., Dueker, K., Lee, E.-J., Mu, D., Jiao, J., Zhang, Y., & Carr, B. (2019 a). Weathering Front under a granite ridge revealed through full-3d seismic ambientnoise tomography. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 509, 66–77. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2018.12.038</u>
- Wang, W., Chen, P., Lee, E.-J., and Mu, D. (2019 b). Full-3D Seismic Tomography for Structure of the Critical Zone. *In Earthquake and Disaster Risk: Decade Retrospective of the Wenchuan Earthquake* (pp. 203–231). essay, Higher Education Press and Springer Nature Singapore.
- Wang, Y., Miller, R. D., Peterie, S. L., Sloan, S. D., Moran, M. L., Cudney, H. H., Smith, J. A., Borisov, D., Modrak, R., & Tromp, J. (2019 c). Tunnel detection at Yuma Proving Ground, Arizona, USA — part 1: 2D full-waveform inversion experiment. *GEOPHYSICS*, 84(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.1190/geo2018-0598.1</u>
- West, N., Kirby, E., Nyblade, A. A., and Brantley, S. L. (2019). Climate preconditions the critical zone: Elucidating the role of subsurface fractures in the evolution of asymmetric topography. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 513, 197–205. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eps1.2019.01.039</u>
- Virieux, J., & Operto, S. (2009). An overview of full-waveform inversion in exploration geophysics. *GEOPHYSICS*, 74(6). <u>https://doi.org/10.1190/1.3238367</u>
- Xia, J., Miller, R. D., & Park, C. B. (1999). Estimation of near-surface shear-wave velocity by inversion of Rayleigh Waves. *GEOPHYSICS*, 64(3), 691–700. <u>https://doi.org/10.1190/1.1444578</u>

- Yuan, Y. O., Simons, F. J., & Bozdağ, E. (2015). Multiscale adjoint waveform tomography for surface and body waves. *GEOPHYSICS*, 80(5). <u>https://doi.org/10.1190/geo2014-0461.1</u>
- Yuan, Y. O., Simons, F. J., and Tromp, J. (2016). Double-difference adjoint seismic tomography. *Geophysical Journal International*, 206(3), 1599–1618. https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggw233
- Zielinski, R. A., Peterman, Z. E., Stuckless, J. S., Rosholt, J. N., & Nkomo, I. T. (1982). The chemical and isotopic record of rock-water interaction in the Sherman granite, Wyoming and Colorado. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology*, 78(3), 209–219. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00398915</u>

Near-Surface Full-Waveform Inversion Reveals Bedrock
 Controls on Critical Zone Architecture

# B.J. Eppinger<sup>1</sup>, W.S. Holbrook<sup>1</sup>, Z. Liu<sup>2</sup>, B.A. Flinchum<sup>3</sup>, J. Tromp<sup>4,5</sup>

3

4	<sup>1</sup> Department of Geosciences, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA, USA
5	<sup>2</sup> Ocean University of China, Qingdao, China; Previously, Department of Geosciences, Princeton
6	University, Princeton, NJ, USA
7	$^{3}$ Clemson University, Environmental Engineering and Earth Sciences, Clemson, SC, USA
8	<sup>4</sup> Department of Geosciences, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA
9	$^5\mathrm{Program}$ in Applied & Computational Mathematics, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544-1000,
10	USA

11	Key Points:
12	• We perform full-waveform inversion on shallow seismic refraction data to study
13	critical zone architecture in the Laramie Range, Wyoming.
14	• Borehole data confirm that the full-waveform inversion result is more accurate than
15	conventional traveltime tomography.
16	• The full-waveform inversion model reveals critical zone heterogeneity likely caused
17	by lateral changes in bedrock properties.

Corresponding author: Benjamin Eppinger, beppinger@vt.edu
#### 18 Abstract

For decades, seismic imaging methods have been used to study the critical zone, Earth's 19 thin, life-supporting skin. The vast majority of critical zone seismic studies use travel-20 time tomography, which poorly resolves heterogeneity at many scales relevant to near-21 surface processes, therefore, limiting progress in critical zone science. Full-waveform in-22 version can overcome this limitation by leveraging more of the seismic waveform and en-23 hancing the resolution of geophysical imaging. In this study, we apply full-waveform in-24 version to elucidate previously undetected heterogeneity in the critical zone at a well-25 studied catchment in the Laramie Range, Wyoming. In contrast to traveltime tomograms 26 from the same data set, our results show variations in depth to bedrock ranging from 27 5 to 60 meters over lateral scales of just tens of meters and image steep low-velocity anoma-28 lies suggesting hydrologic pathways into the deep critical zone. Our results also show that 29 areas with thick fractured bedrock layers correspond to zones of slightly lower velocities 30 in the deep bedrock, while zones of high bedrock velocity correspond to sharp vertical 31 transitions from bedrock to saprolite. By corroborating these findings with borehole im-32 agery, we hypothesize that lateral changes in bedrock fracture density majorly impact 33 critical zone architecture. Borehole data also show that our full-waveform inversion re-34 sults agree significantly better with velocity logs than previously published traveltime 35 tomography models. Full-waveform inversion thus appears unprecedently capable of imag-36 ing the spatially complex porosity structure crucial to critical zone hydrology and pro-37 cesses. 38

<sup>39</sup> Plain Language Summary

Weathering processes within Earth's shallow subsurface break down rock into porous, 40 mineral-rich materials from which biota can access water and garner nutrients. There-41 fore, knowledge about weathering helps scientists better understand how Earth supports 42 terrestrial life. An effective way of studying weathering is seismic imaging, where by lis-43 tening at Earth's surface to how mechanical waves propagate, we can make pictures of 44 what is below and observe weathering in action. The seismic imaging method usually 45 used to study weathering is first arrival traveltime tomography which produces blurry 46 pictures of the subsurface. We applied an advanced seismic imaging technique called full-47 waveform inversion, which produces higher-resolution images. Our full-waveform inver-48 sion pictures imply that changes in bedrock fracture density over relatively small lateral 49

-2-

distances have a significant effect on how weathering processes operate. When the fracture density in the bedrock is low, there is a sharp transition from highly weathered materials to unaltered bedrock below. When the fracture density is high, the transition is more diffuse, and there exists a thick layer of weathered bedrock. Additionally, we groundtruth these interpretations with in-situ observations made in boreholes. Hence, full-waveform inversion appears capable of revealing new insights into subsurface structure and weathering processes.

## 57 1 Introduction

Nearly all terrestrial life resides in the critical zone (CZ), the volume spanning the 58 roof of vegetation down to the top of bedrock. Soil, saprolite, and weathered bedrock 59 within the CZ support terrestrial life by supplying water and nutrients to vegetation (e.g., 60 Brantley et al., 2007; Hahm et al., 2013; McCormick et al., 2021). Weathering processes 61 are fundamental to CZ structure and function by creating porosity and permeability for 62 groundwater and by releasing nutrients from bedrock for biological uptake (e.g., Daw-63 son et al. 2020; Hahm et al. 2019; Klos et al., 2018; McCormick et al. 2021; Meunier et 64 al., 2007; Navarre-Sitchler et al., 2015; Riebe et al., 2016). In eroding landscapes, weath-65 ering processes sculpt a CZ architecture that generally consists of, from top to bottom, 66 soil, saprolite, weathered/fractured bedrock, and finally intact/unweathered bedrock. While 67 this layered framework is a useful starting point, CZ structure varies strongly, both within 68 and between sites (e.g., Basilevskaya et al., 2013; St. Clair et al., 2015). Understanding 69 the magnitude and scales of CZ heterogeneity requires improved knowledge of subsur-70 face structure. 71

Because direct observations of the subsurface portion of the CZ are difficult, requir-72 ing trenches, soil pits, or boreholes, geophysical imaging is often used to study the shal-73 low subsurface (e.g., Parsekian et al., 2015). Seismic imaging has the advantage of be-74 ing primarily sensitive to porosity (e.g., Callahan et al., 2020; Flinchum et al., 2018; Hayes 75 et al., 2019; Holbrook et al., 2014), which determines subsurface water storage capac-76 ity and reflects chemical and physical weathering in eroding landscapes. In the near-surface, 77 the seismic methods most commonly used are first-arrival traveltime tomography (FATT) 78 and multichannel analysis of surface waves (MASW). These methods have been reliably 79 applied in engineering and research contexts for decades (e.g., Pasquet et al., 2016; Xia 80 et al., 1999). In particular, FATT has been used extensively to study variations in CZ 81

-3-

architecture at scales of tens of meters (e.g., Befus et al., 2011; Holbrook et al., 2014; Callahan et al., 2022; Huang et al., 2021; St. Clair et al., 2015).

Despite the utility of FATT and MASW methods, CZ outcrops and boreholes typ-84 ically show much more compositional and structural heterogeneity than is captured in 85 typical seismic images. For example, borehole logs and recovered core often show small-86 scale weathering zones, corestones, root systems, compositional variations, and fractures 87 that are not visible in smooth FATT velocity models at the same location (e.g., Flinchum 88 et al., 2022; Holbrook et al., 2019; Moravec et al., 2020). This implies that typical geo-89 physical views of the subsurface are blurry, eliding details about CZ structure, and by 90 extension, hydrological and weathering processes. Improved resolution would enable de-91 tection of smaller-scale heterogeneities relevant to the hydrology, biology, and geochem-92 istry of the critical zone. Full-waveform inversion offers a means to accomplish this. 93

Full-waveform inversion (FWI) is a seismic imaging technique that can improve the flexibility, fidelity, and resolution of seismic inversion by modeling the phase and/or am-95 plitude of seismic arrivals, rather than just the arrival time. FWI is more flexible than 96 other methods because it can be applied to any part of the waveform (e.g., body waves, 97 surface waves, reflections, etc.). Fidelity is improved because FWI methods apply more 98 accurate representations of the physics governing wave propagation than, say, ray-based 99 approximations to the wave equation used in FATT or the 1D assumptions common in 100 MASW. Resolution is enhanced because FWI leverages more of the seismic waveform 101 than other methods of seismic inversion (e.g., Fichtner, 2010; Schuster, 2017). As a re-102 sult, FWI has been widely applied in global and exploration seismology (Choi and Alkhal-103 ifah, 2012; Lei et al., 2020; Mao et al., 2016; Pratt, 1999; Virieux and Operto, 2009). To 104 date, however, FWI has only been applied to the near surface in a handful of studies (e.g. 105 Kohn et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2022; Sheng et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2019a, b, c). 106

Application of FWI to near-surface seismic data faces numerous challenges. First is the considerable computational expense and technical overhead associated with FWI as compared to FATT and MASW. Another hurdle in applying FWI is the need for domainspecific inversion strategies. For example, workflows used for inverting global seismology data, land seismic data, and marine reflection data all vary greatly (eg., Borisov et al., 2020; Lei et al., 2020; Mao et al., 2016). FWI in the near surface is also challenging because of the strong velocity contrasts and heterogeneity in elastic properties due to

-4-

the rapid compaction of regolith (e.g, Kohn et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2022; Sheng et al.,
2006). Given the limited application of FWI to study the CZ, best practices remain ambiguous. A major goal of this paper is to present an FWI workflow for CZ seismic data.

Applications of FWI to near-surface problems to date have been aimed at a wide 117 diversity of targets, and use a variety of inversion approaches. Some studies have focused 118 on exclusively using body waves to inform shallow subsurface structure. For example, 119 Sheng et al. (2006) used first arrivals to invert for p-wave velocity (Vp) while other re-120 searchers have used both P and S body-wave phases to constrain both Vp and shear-wave 121 velocity (Vs) (e.g., Chen et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2021). Others have primarily inverted 122 surface waves for archaeological or engineering applications (e.g., Köhn et al., 2019; Pan 123 et al., 2018; Smith et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019c) or inverted surface waves extracted 124 from ambient noise data to study CZ structure and weathering (Wang et al., 2019a,b). 125 While this set of prior work informs how FWI can be applied in the CZ, there remain 126 several areas in which major advancements can be made. First, with the exception of 127 Wang et al. (2019a,b), all the aforementioned studies base their forward and adjoint mod-128 eling on finite difference methods, which are not well suited to areas with complex to-129 pography (e.g., Fichtner, 2010; Komatitsch and Vilotte, 1998). Second, nearly all pre-130 vious applications of FWI in the CZ focused exclusively on inverting either body or sur-131 face waves, but not both, meaning much of the available data was left unused. Third, 132 all of these past works used proprietary codes not readily available to all. Finally, those 133 prior studies were unable to ground truth their methods and results against borehole logs. 134

Our work builds on previous applications of FWI in the CZ by creating a work-135 flow that, for the first time, combines all of the following features. First, our workflow 136 enables full elastic wave propagation across complex topography via the spectral element 137 method (e.g., Komatitsch and Tromp, 1999; Komatitsch and Vilotte, 1998). Second, our 138 FWI strategy is informed by sensitivity analyses (e.g., Tape et al., 2010) and tailored 139 to elucidate CZ structure using both surface and body waves. Third, our method is im-140 plemented using readily available open-source packages which enable optimized compu-141 tation via graphics cards on standard workstations (Chow et al., 2020; Komatitsch and 142 Tromp, 1999; Modrak et al., 2018). Finally, we selected a dataset with which we can test 143 our results against data from two boreholes. 144

-5-

The resulting FWI workflow is broadly applicable to the seismic refraction data sets commonly acquired for CZ science. These results bring the CZ community one step closer to routinely imaging subsurface CZ heterogeneity, including weathering profiles, fracture zones, and corestones. In the following sections, we discuss our study site, describe our FWI method and workflow, benchmark the method against synthetic data, present FWI results that show remarkable heterogeneity corroborated by downhole data, and discuss the implications of this work for improving our understanding of CZ processes.

## 152 2 Study Site

The Blair Wallis (BW) catchment is located in the Medicine Bow National For-153 est,  $\sim 21$  km southeast of Laramie, WY. Over the past decade, the site was studied ex-154 tensively by the Wyoming Center for Environmental Hydrology (WyCEHG). The to-155 pography of BW exhibits gently undulating hillslopes (Bradley, 1987; Chapin & Kelley, 156 1997; Eggler et al., 1969; Evanoff, 1990). BW receives about 620 mm of annual precip-157 itation, most of which (>90%) is snow, and has a mean annual temperature of 5.4 °C 158 (Natural Resources Conservation Service, 2015). Along the ridges, sagebrush is the dom-159 inant vegetation, while aspens, lodgepole pines, and willows appear in topographic lows. 160

BW is underlain by the Sherman Granite, a sub-unit of the 1.4 GA Sherman Batholith 161 that was uplifted during the Laramide Orogeny (Frost et al., 1999; Peterman & Hedge, 162 1968; Zielinski et al., 1982). The mineralogical makeup of the coarse-grained Sherman 163 Granite is roughly 40-55 % potassium feldspar, 15 - 30 % quartz, 20 % plagioclase feldspar, 164 and 5-10 % biotite (Edwards & Frost, 2000; Frost et al., 1999; Geist et al., 1989). While 165 there is no recognizable metamorphic fabric in the rock, a pervasive tectonically induced 166 NE-SW striking fracture population can be observed in outcrops and in aerial imagery. 167 These fractures dip  $30 - 80^{\circ}$  and cause the bedrock and saprolite to exhibit moderate 168 seismic anisotropy (Novitsky et al., 2018). 169

-6-



Figure 1. Hill shade map of the BW study site taken from Flinchum et al. (2022), where various seismic refraction profiles collected by WyCEHG are demarcated with black lines. The seismic refraction profile used in this study is L29. The yellow dot indicates where x = 0 along the transect. The red stars show the locations of boreholes that were drilled and logged. In this work, we show borehole logs from BW1 and BW4 which are located directly on L29.

#### 170 3 Methods

In July 2013, WyCEHG collected a 239-m-long seismic refraction line along a ridge 171 in BW (Figure 1). First arrival traveltimes of these data were manually picked, inverted 172 using FATT, and published first in Flinchum et al. (2018) and later in Flinchum et al. 173 (2022). Our workflow followed these steps, each of which is described in more detail in 174 sections 3.2-3.5 below. First we used the Flinchum et al. (2022) Vp model as the start-175 ing Vp model and to estimate source time functions. Second, we constructed an initial 176 Vs model using wave equation dispersion inversion (e.g., Li et al., 2016). Third, we con-177 ducted sensitivity analysis of the phases we inverted using the adjoint state method (e.g., 178 Tromp et al., 2005). Finally, we applied FWI to the data using a custom workflow tai-179 lored to the challenges of near-surface seismic data. 180

181

#### 3.1 Seismic Data

Data used in this study were acquired on a linear array of 240 vertical-component geophones spaced at 1 m intervals and sampled every 500 µs over a record length of 1 s. The frequency response of the geophones increases from 0 – 4.5 Hz and then is virtually flat up to 1,000 Hz. A total of 20 sledgehammer source points generated seismic

energy every 12 m along the profile, with one missing source at 24 m.

186

The recorded waveforms show multiple distinct phases, including first arrival p-waves, a p-wave coda phase directly behind the first arrival, a clear fundamental mode Rayleigh wave phase, and a higher-mode surface wave. The body wave phases display a broader bandwidth with more energy at higher frequencies (8 - 56 Hz), while the surface waves exhibit narrower bands concentrated around lower frequencies (6 - 22 Hz) (Figure 2). In section 3.4 we perform sensitivity analysis on each of the four phases identified in the top right panel of Figure 2.



**Figure 2.** Left panel: a filtered (5-56 Hz) shot gather from a source located 0 m along the transect. Upper right panel: the geophone recording for the instrument located 120 m along the transect. The first arrival, p-wave coda, higher mode surface wave, and fundamental mode surface wave are highlighted. Each waveform in the highlighted boxes is back-projected to construct the sensitivity kernels in Figure 4. Bottom right panel: a spectrogram of the trace in the upper right panel, showing higher frequencies in the P-wave first arrival and lower frequencies in the Rayleigh wave.

## 3.2 Source Estimation

194

Because the source time function (STF) at each hammer location may vary depending on local ground conditions, the individual generating the source, and potentially other factors (Figure S1), it is necessary to estimate a unique STF at each source location. Because our initial FATT Vp model accurately predicts first arrival times, it is suitable for estimating the STF for each shotpoint, using (e.g., Borisov et al., 2020; Pratt, 1999):

$$s(\omega) = \sum_{i \in window} \frac{u_i^0(\omega) \cdot g_i^*(\omega)}{g_i(\omega) \cdot g_i^*(\omega) + \gamma}$$
(1)

In equation (1), i is the index of a particular trace,  $u^0$  is preprocessed observed data, g 195 is preprocessed data modeled using a STF with a unit frequency spectrum, \* denotes 196 the complex conjugate,  $\gamma$  is a regularization parameter which also helps avoid division 197 by zero, and s is the estimated STF. For the source estimation, the preprocessing steps 198 include normalizing the waveforms such that the maximum amplitude of each trace is 199 1 and muting out everything except the first arrivals by applying a time window behind 200 the first arrival pick with a duration of  $1/f_0$  where  $f_0 = 30$  Hz is roughly the dominant 201 frequency of the data. We only use first arrivals to inform the STF estimates because 202 these are the only phases reliably fit by the FATT model. To minimize near-source ef-203 fects and to boost signal/noise ratios, we stacked data over offsets of 100 - 175 m. Us-204 ing data at these offsets to inform the STF also helps account for some of the unmod-205 elled effects of anelastic attenuation (e.g., Borisov et al., 2020). 206



Figure 3. The estimated source time function and frequency spectrum for the hammer swing located at x = 0 m.

#### 3.3 Initial Shear-Wave Velocity Model

With an estimate of the STFs in hand, next we built a suitable starting Vs model 208 for FWI. Rather than using a scaled version of the starting Vp model for this purpose 209 (e.g., Liu et al., 2022), we found that a more rigorous prior estimate of the Vs field is 210 necessary to perform FWI on the surface waves. To do this, we leveraged the well-known 211 phenomenon of surface wave dispersion using the wave equation dispersion inversion (WD) 212 technique developed by Li et al. (2016). WD is a skeletonized data inversion strategy, 213 meaning that it uses the same forward and adjoint modeling typically employed in FWI, 214 but fits a significantly smaller portion of the data. While this results in a lower resolu-215 tion inversion, unlike FWI, the convergence of WD is almost guaranteed. 216

The WD method minimizes the following functional

$$\chi^{WD} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_s} \int_{\omega} \Delta \kappa_i(\omega)^2 d\omega$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

where *i* is the source index,  $N_s$  is the number of sources,  $\omega$  is the angular frequency, and  $\Delta \kappa$  is the difference between the dispersion curves of observed and synthetic data. To compute  $\Delta \kappa$ , two Fourier transforms are performed on the preprocessed synthetic and observed shot gathers, U(t, x) and  $U^0(t, x)$ , to derive  $\tilde{U}(\omega, \kappa)$  and  $\tilde{U}^0(\omega, \kappa)$  respectively, transforming the shot gathers from the time-offset domain to the angular frequency-wavenumber domain. Then, for each  $\omega$ ,  $\Delta \kappa$  is calculated via cross-correlation such that

$$\Delta \kappa(\omega) = \arg\max_{\kappa} \mathcal{R}\left\{\int \tilde{U}(\omega, \kappa') \cdot \tilde{U}^{0}(\omega, \kappa' + \kappa) \ d\kappa'\right\},\tag{3}$$

with  $\mathcal{R}\{.\}$  taking the real part of a complex number. The preprocessing during the WD inversion involves normalizing all traces and muting data outside the 10 - 75 m offset range. The shear-wave velocity of the starting model for the WD inversion increases linearly with depth. Due to the limited depth sensitivity of the WD method, the lower portion of the final WD model is altered to be a scaled version of the FATT Vp model by a factor of two.

223

207

## 3.4 Sensitivity Analysis

With an initial model parameterized, we can compute the traveltime sensitivity kernels (also known as banana-doughnut kernels or Fréchet Derivatives) of the four read-

ily identifiable phases: the first arrival, p-wave coda, higher mode surface wave, and fun-226 damental mode surface wave (Figure 2). For demonstration purposes, we used the trace 227 and windows shown in the upper right panel of Figure 2 to back project the time-reversed 228 particle velocity at the receiver location through the initial model (e.g., Tromp et al., 2005; 229 Fichtner et al., 2008; Tape et al., 2010) (Figure 4). Repeating this sensitivity analysis 230 on other source-receiver pairs yielded similar results. The sensitivity analysis is an im-231 portant step in our workflow as it provides insights into which waveforms are useful for 232 updating certain model parameters. 233

For example, the traveltime kernel of the first arrival is characteristic of a diving 234 wave, exhibiting the quintessential banana shape often associated with teleseismic body 235 waves in vertical cross-section (top left panel of Figure 4). Along the ray path, the ker-236 nel is negative, meaning that a decrease in the wave speed will result in an increase in 237 traveltime (e.g., Tromp et al., 2005). The traveltime kernel of the first arrival has a wide 238 sensitivity zone, indicating a broad depth range determines the diving wave arrival time. 239 The p-wave coda, in contrast, appears to travel primarily in the near-surface and is sen-240 sitive to a narrower depth range (second row of Figure 4). Regardless of the paths the 241 energy takes, both types of body waves are primarily sensitive to Vp (Figure 4). The fun-242 damental mode Rayleigh wave traveltime is primarily sensitive to the upper 15 - 20 m, 243 while the higher mode Rayleigh wave shows a more complicated sensitivity kernel, with 244 deeper and shallower sensitivity where energy focuses and defocuses respectively (third 245 and last rows Figure 4 respectively). Although other clear phases exist in the vertical-246 component data (Figure 2), we were unable to use sensitivity analysis to confirm that 247 any of these arrivals are shear or converted body waves. However, our method is appli-248 cable to such phases if observed. 249



**Figure 4.** Sensitivity kernels with respect to each model parameter (Vp and Vs) for each of the four highlighted phases in the upper right panel of Figure 2. The first column corresponds to sensitivity with respect to Vp, while the second column corresponds to sensitivity with respect to Vs. The first row shows the sensitivity of the first arrival, the second row shows the sensitivity of the p-wave coda, the third row shows the sensitivity of the higher mode surface wave, and the last row shows the sensitivity of the fundamental mode surface wave.

250

#### 3.5 Full-Waveform Inversion Workflow

In this study, we used a fork of the FWI workflow manager SeisFlows published 251 by Modrak et al. (2018), which primarily serves as a wrapper for the forward and ad-252 joint (an)elastic wavefield solver, specfem2d (Komatitsch and Tromp; 1999). The use of 253 the spectral element method in this study is particularly important given the topographic 254 variation in the ground surface of our model. Specifically, the free surface boundary con-255 dition at the ground surface is rigorously fulfilled by the spectral element method, un-256 like in other modeling strategies, such as grid-based finite difference methods (e.g., Ficht-257 ner, 2010). 258

During the FWI portion of our workflow, we defined the functional to be minimized,  $\chi$ , using the normalized correlative (NC) misfit norm,

$$\chi = \frac{1}{N_s \cdot N_r} \sum_{i=1}^{N_s} \sum_{j=1}^{N_r} \left[ 1 - \int_T \hat{u}_{i,j} \cdot \hat{u}_{i,j}^0 \, dt \right],\tag{4}$$

where  $\hat{u}$  and  $\hat{u}^0$  are synthetic and observed waveforms with their maximum amplitudes normalized to 1,  $N_s$  is the number of sources, and  $N_r$  is the number of receivers.  $\int_T \hat{u}_{i,j} \cdot \hat{u}_{i,j} \cdot \hat{u}_{i,j}$  dt is called the correlation coefficient and measures the similarity of two time series. We chose the NC norm because it is both noise-resistant and emphasizes fitting phase rather than amplitude (e.g., Borisov et al., 2020; Choi and Alkhalifah, 2012). This helps contend with noise in the data as well as certain unmodelled anelastic and 3D effects (e.g., Borisov et al., 2020). To minimize the NC norm, we iteratively update the velocity model,  $\boldsymbol{m}$ , according to

$$\boldsymbol{m}^{i+1} = -\alpha \boldsymbol{P} \boldsymbol{H} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{m}} \chi + \boldsymbol{m}^i \tag{5}$$

In the above equation,  $\nabla_m \chi$ , the gradient with respect to the misfit functional,  $\chi$ , is computed via the adjoint method,  $\alpha$  is a step length computed via a bracket line search, and  $\boldsymbol{P}$  is a diagonal preconditioning matrix containing the discretized field  $P_1^{-1}$  which is defined as

$$P_1(x,z) := \sum_{i=1}^{N_s} \int_T \partial_t^2 u_i(x,z) \cdot \partial_t^2 u_i(x,z) \, dt \tag{6}$$

where  $u_i(x, z)$  is the synthetic wavefield excited by the *i*th STF. The main purpose of the preconditioner is to remove numerical artifacts caused by large amplitudes near the ground surface and to account for the geometric spreading of the wavefield. In equation 5, H is the Hessian matrix; in practice, we approximate the Hessian-gradient product,  $H\nabla_m \chi$ , using a limited-memory Broyden–Fletcher–Goldfarb–Shanno algorithm (Liu and Nocedal, 1989). We used the same modeling strategy and optimization framework for the WD step of our workflow.

The FWI strategy used in this study was informed by our preliminary analysis of the data. We inverted surface waves and body waves separately, in different steps of the workflow, because the sensitivity with respect to surface waves is about two orders of magnitude higher than the sensitivity with respect to body waves (Figure 4). Hence it would require extreme scaling of the body waves to balance their contributions to model updates with those of the surface waves, which creates numerical artifacts. Separating the surface and body waves is also advantageous because of their different frequency contents. Using a multiscale approach (e.g, Bunks et al., 1994; Chen et al., 2019), we work through the frequency content of the surface waves gradually, focusing on their lower frequencies, while we step through frequencies of the body waves more aggressively to cover their wider bandwidth.

With these issues in mind, we chose to invert the surface waves first, using them 277 to inform the upper portion of the earth model. Then, in a quasi-layer-stripping approach, 278 we updated the deeper part of the model using the body waves. During the surface wave 279 step of the workflow, both Vp and Vs are updated, while only Vp is updated during the 280 body wave step because the first arrivals and p-wave coda are primarily sensitive to Vp 281 (Figure 4). We found that any Vs sensitivity shown in computed Fréchet derivatives for 282 the first arrival or p-wave coda is likely a numerical artifact that degrades the fit of sur-283 face waves if incorporated into the model updates derived from the body waves (Figure 284 4). 285

The preprocessing of waveforms in both steps included muting traces outside a par-286 ticular offset range, bandpass filtering, normalizing all traces to a maximum amplitude 287 of 1, and muting various arrivals. In the surface wave inversion step, traces between 10 288 -150 m offset were used, with 6 - 14, 6 - 18, and 6 - 22 Hz bandpass filters applied, while 289 all phases arriving earlier than the higher mode were muted. In the body wave step, traces 290 between 50 - 210 m offset were used, with 8 - 24, 8 - 40, and 8 - 56 Hz bandpass fil-291 ters applied, and all phases arriving later than the p-wave coda were muted. To regu-292 larize the inversions, we smoothed the gradients by convolving them with a 2D Gaus-293 sian function. In the surface wave step, we used a smoothing radius of 10 m for all stages 294 of the multiscale strategy, while for the body wave step, we used smoothing radii of 40, 295 20, and 10 m, decreasing the smoothing radius as we increased the frequency content dur-296 ing each stage of the multiscale strategy. 297



Figure 5. A flow chart of our FWI strategy showing both preliminary steps and FWI stages.

#### 298 4 Results

299

#### 4.1 Workflow Validation with Synthetic Data

We benchmarked the FWI portion of our workflow (the last two boxes in Figure 300 5) by inverting synthetic data to illustrate the kinds of features that can be recovered. 301 For this synthetic test, we used the same survey geometry and starting Vs and Vp mod-302 els as in our real data case, but added three velocity anomalies: a shallow high-velocity 303 anomaly representing a corestone, a deeper high-velocity anomaly indicative of an area 304 of bedrock with low fracture density, and a low-velocity zone characteristic of a fracture 305 zone. Generally speaking, our FWI workflow recovers all three anomalies fairly well, al-306 though the shape of anomalies in the final models is not perfect (Figure 6). Nonethe-307 less, this synthetic test bolsters confidence that we can trust relatively large-scale fea-308 tures (on the order of 10 m or larger) in our FWI results. 309



Figure 6. Results from the synthetic FWI experiment. The left column has Vs models and the right column has Vp models. The first row shows the starting models, the second row shows the target models, and last row shows the inverted models. Velocity contours on the Vs and Vp models have intervals of 250 m/s and 500 m/s respectively.

## 310 4.2 Surface Wave Step

After the FATT, source estimation, and WD, the low-frequency (6 - 14 Hz) surface wave data tends to fit within one wavelength but is not yet perfectly recovered (Fig<sup>313</sup> ure S2). By the end of the first stage of the surface wave inversion, the phase informa-<sup>314</sup> tion of Rayleigh waves is well represented by synthetics (Figures 7 and S2). In the lat-<sup>315</sup> ter two stages, higher frequency data is progressively fit (6 – 18 Hz and 6 – 22 Hz). In <sup>316</sup> these stages, only relatively small adjustments to the synthetic waveforms are needed <sup>317</sup> to improve the model fits (Figures 7, S3, and S4). Generally speaking, as the frequency <sup>318</sup> content of the data being fit increases, diminishing returns in decreasing the misfit func-<sup>319</sup> tion are made (Figure 7).



Figure 7. Top panel: The evolution of the misfit function with each FWI iteration, segmented by each stage of the multiscale strategy. Middle panels: histograms of the the correlation coefficients,  $\int_T \hat{u}\hat{u}^0 dt$ , for all traces before and after each stage of the multiscale strategy. Bottom panel: Prepossessed (body waves are muted and 6 - 22 Hz bandpass filtered) waveforms after surface wave FWI.

In the resultant Vs model, we observe several features indicative of the increased resolution gained by performing FWI using surface waves (Figure 8). One such feature is a high-velocity zone around x = 50 m, where the 400 – 600 m/s velocity contours are bowed upward. The strongest vertical velocity gradients occur towards the far end of the line (x = 210 - 239 m). Note that the casing depths of the two boreholes correspond with shear-wave velocities of 400 - 500 m/s, suggesting that these velocities may be a good range to use for inferring the boundary between saprolite and fractured bedrock.



Figure 8. Shear-wave velocity models before and after FWI. Velocity contours at 75 m/s intervals are also shown in white. The gray rectangles show the locations of borehole casings. Please note the limited elevation range of these plots.

#### 327 4.3 Body Wave Step

At the onset of the first stage of the multiscale strategy for the body waves, the 328 low-frequency (8 - 24 Hz) data tends to fit reasonably well, implying that the FATT model 329 and STF estimates provide a good initial parameterization for performing FWI (Figure 330 S5). In the ensuing stages of the multiscale strategy, we see that both the p-wave coda 331 and first arrival are accurately fit by the synthetics, although the data fit degrades slightly 332 at offsets greater than 200 m (Figures 9, S5, S6, and S7). Convergence was slower for 333 the body waves and required more iterations than during the surface wave step (Figure 334 9). 335



Figure 9. Top panel: The evolution of the misfit function with each FWI iteration, segmented by each stage of the multiscale strategy. Middle panels: histograms of the the correlation coefficients,  $\int_T \hat{u}\hat{u}^0 dt$ , for all traces before and after each stage of the multiscale strategy. Bottom panel: Prepossessed (surface waves are muted and 8 - 56 Hz bandpass filtered) waveforms after body wave FWI.

In the final Vp model, large updates can be observed, showing the impact of applying FWI to the body waves (Figure 10). Several novel features are observed in the final Vp model, including a high-velocity zone located at around 100 m, deep low-velocity zones located around x = 20 and 190 m, and various fine structures in the near-surface. Generally speaking, vertical and lateral velocity gradients have increased substantially in several areas. Interestingly, there appears to be more near-surface heterogeneity in

- the final Vp model than in the Vs model, and we discuss why this may be the case in
- section 5.1. Several of the features we have noted were also observed by Wang et al. (2019b),
- including deep low-velocity zones and more heterogeneity in Vp relative to Vs.



Figure 10. P-wave velocity models before and after FWI. Velocity contours at 500 m/s intervals are also shown in white. The gray rectangles show the locations of borehole casings, while the gray lines show where the borholes were logged.

#### 345

## 4.4 Comparison to Borehole Data

Two boreholes on our profile, BW1 and BW4, located at roughly x = 107 and 175 346 m, provide an opportunity to ground-truth our FWI results. As summarized in Flinchum 347 et al. (2022), the upper parts of the boreholes drilled through incompetent soil and sapro-348 lite were cased, and the deeper open holes were logged. Since no borehole data from the 349 saprolite and soil exist, we cannot compare borehole logs with the surface wave Vs mod-350 els where only the upper  $\approx 20$  m or so are constrained (Figure 4). The borehole logs 351 are, however, an effective ground truth for the Vp models, where the diving wave pro-352 vides information on deep CZ structure (Figure 4). 353

The final Vp model shows much better agreement with the borehole logs than the initial model, demonstrating substantial gains from FWI (Figure 11). While the initial model created using FATT is far too smooth and incorrectly estimates velocities at moderate depth (15 - 30 m), after applying FWI, this inconsistency is greatly reduced. This comparison suggests that FATT may underestimate vertical velocity gradients in the CZ (Figures 10 and 11). The borehole comparison suggests that both the high-velocity and low-velocity zones in our final Vp model are true features rather than inversion artifacts. Although these low-velocity zones have not been directly observed in either borehole presented, the aforementioned synthetic tests support that we can recover such features using our FWI workflow.



Figure 11. Comparison of the FATT and FWI Vp models with the borehole logs from BW1 (left) and BW4 (right) and expanded views of the bedrock observed in optical logs over a 2 m depth range in each hole. Note higher fracture density visible in BW-4, which corresponds to lower P-velocities in that hole.

#### 364 5 Discussion

365

#### 5.1 Limitations, Uncertainties, and Outlook on Future Work

While our results are promising, some areas of improvement exist for our method-366 ology, including potentially incorporating 3D modeling to more accurately recover ge-367 ometric wavefield spreading. While more rigorous, incorporating 3D modeling would likely 368 require a supercomputing cluster (e.g., Chow et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2019a; Wang et 369 al., 2019b), whereas limiting the technical overhead of FWI by implementing it on a work-370 station, as we have, makes the method accessible to more researchers. Furthermore, given 371 our exclusive use of phase information and focus on inverting for velocity, incorporat-372 ing 3D modeling may not significantly change our results. It is more likely that the biggest 373

gains achieved by incorporating 3D modeling would also require full 3D data coverage
(Górszczyk et al., 2023), allowing us to recover the true 3D structure of Earth's CZ. For
these reasons, we leave 3D modeling for future work.

Other areas of improvement for our workflow pertain to our parameterization of 377 the Earth model. For example, including a reasonable estimate of anelasticity may help 378 limit inversion artifacts (e.g., Borisov et al., 2020; Groos et al., 2014). In particular, we 379 expect anelasticity to affect the surface wave inversion to a greater extent than it would 380 the body wave inversion, as the surface waves travel significantly more cycles than the 381 body waves. Nonetheless, given the complexities of parameterizing or inverting for a dy-382 namic and heterogeneous near-surface anelasticity field (Askan et al., 2007), we leave this 383 issue for future work. We also do not rigorously parameterize or invert for density in our 384 workflow. In our modeling, density is set as an arbitrary scalar so that Vp and Vs fields 385 can be converted to Lame parameters for input into specfem2d. Changes in our param-386 eterization of the density field could affect the amplitudes of synthetic waveforms (e.g., 387 Liu et al., 2022). However, since we exclusively use phase information in our inversion 388 and normalize all the traces, changes in our representation of density should have little 389 to no effect on our final results. Given the inconsistent coupling of instruments in the 390 data set we used, attempting to use amplitude information to constrain density would 391 likely be ill-conceived, although future advances in instrumentation may someday make 392 this a worthwhile pursuit (e.g., Yuan et al., 2015). Additionally, since CZ materials may 393 exhibit significant seismic anisotropy (Eppinger et al., 2021; Novitsky et al., 2018), ac-394 counting for anisotropy may further improve FWI results, although this would likely re-395 quire some prior information about the anisotropy of the study site or 3D, multi-component 396 data coverage (e.g., Toyokuni and Zhao, 2021). 397

Another limitation in our FWI models relates to what extent the separately inverted 398 Vp and Vs fields can be used to calculate Poisson's ratio in the CZ, given that the Vp 399 FWI model is significantly more heterogeneous than the Vs model (Figures 8 and 10). 400 It is possible that the contrast in Vp vs. Vs heterogeneity is caused by variations in the 401 fluid content of the pore spaces, since shear velocity is insensitive to water saturation. 402 Another possible explanation is that the information contained in the surface waves varies 403 from that of the body waves. Considering that anelasticity usually correlates with ve-404 locity (e.g., Asian et al., 2007; Borisov et al., 2020), the surface waves traveling primar-405 ily through lower-velocity material likely attenuate more than the body waves. This would 406

-21-

result in the surface waves having a lower frequency content (e.g., Figure 2) and may cause models derived with them to lack high-wavenumber information. Alternatively, the Vp model may contain excess heterogeneity, namely inversion artifacts caused by the lower signal-to-noise ratio of the body waves. We think it would be constructive for future work to investigate which of these possible explanations is most plausible.

Future work could also make various theoretical advancements to our FWI work-412 flow. For example, using source encoding could significantly reduce the computational 413 cost of FWI in the CZ or reserve computational resources for incorporating 3D model-414 ing and more data into workflows (e.g., Tromp and Bachman, 2019). More investigation 415 into which misfit function is best for FWI in the CZ would be beneficial. Looking into 416 measurements that limit errors associated with source estimation and instrument response 417 while simultaneously increasing resolution, such as the double difference measurement 418 (e.g., Yuan et al., 2016) would be worthwhile. Trialing other misfit functions that cap-419 ture traveltime differences of multiple events, such as the local traveltime inversion method 420 proposed by Hu et al., (2020) could also be advantageous. Another promising branch of 421 research is uncertainty quantification for FWI in the CZ, as these methods may help re-422 searchers to identify and avoid interpreting inversion artifacts (e.g., Thurin et al., 2019). 423

#### 424

#### 5.2 Implications for Critical Zone Heterogeneity

One of the primary challenges in capturing and characterizing critical zone processes 425 is the vast range in scales they span. At the smallest scales, chemical weathering occurs 426 at the molecular and grain scale, driven by chemical reactions on individual mineral sur-427 faces, often aided by symbiotic fungi at the micron scale (e.g., Brantley et al., 2017; Navarre-428 Sitchler et al., 2015; Sak et al., 2010). At larger scales, we might expect weathering to 429 depend on climatic patterns that can vary at regional or watershed scales (e.g., Good-430 fellow et al., 2013). Other processes might be relevant at intermediate scales, including 431 compositional heterogeneity, fracture zones, slope-aspect contrasts, or bedrock foliation 432 (Callahan et al., 2022; Eppinger et al., 2021; Leone et al., 2020; Novitsky et al., 2018; 433 West et al., 2019). This diverse set of processes acting across multiple scales creates het-434 erogeneity in subsurface CZ structure, which is visible in outcrops (e.g., Dethier and Lazarus, 435 2006), corestones (Sak et al., 2010), and thin sections (e.g., Holbrook et al., 2019). Cap-436 turing such heterogeneity in the subsurface critical zone is a formidable challenge, for 437 which improved geophysical methods like FWI are needed. 438

-22-

Our results show that critical zone structure is laterally heterogeneous at scales much 439 smaller than can be attributed to large-scale forcing functions like climate or tectonic 440 stress. For example, the depth at which fast velocities associated with intact bedrock (Vp 441  $> \sim 4000$  m/s) is reached varies by more than a factor of two over only 15 m horizon-442 tal distance, from  $\sim 20$  m at x = 110 m to greater than 50 m at x = 125 m (Fig. 10). 443 Over that same stretch, the thickness of the weathered bedrock layer (1,200 m/s < Vp)444 < 4,000 m/s) goes from only a few meters to more than 25 m. Contrasts at this hori-445 zontal scale cannot be the consequence of differing climate, and given the location of this 446 profile along a ridgeline, it is similarly difficult to imagine other top-down processes (e.g., 447 hydrology, vegetation) could produce such variability. Instead, we must seek bottom-up 448 explanations for these changes, sourced in the local geology (e.g., composition or frac-449 tures). 450

Both the boreholes and the details of the FWI inversion provide clues as to the causes 451 of these strong lateral contrasts in critical zone structure. In particular, the drilling re-452 sults at BW1 and BW4 combined with the FWI velocity model tell a story of two dis-453 tinct weathering fronts at these locations. At BW1, we observe strong vertical velocity 454 gradients in both the borehole log and FWI model and very few open fractures in the 455 underlying bedrock (Figure 11). Meanwhile, at BW4, the vertical velocity gradients in 456 the borehole log and FWI model are more diffuse, and more intensely fractured bedrock 457 exists at depth. These results imply that the sharpness of the transition from weathered 458 to unweathered materials depends on the fracture density of bedrock as it enters the CZ 459 weathering engine. Indeed, the thickness of the fractured bedrock layer appears to be 460 inversely correlated with the velocity of the underlying bedrock. In parts of the model 461 with very fast (> 4,500 m/s) bedrock velocities, there is a rapid transition to overlying 462 saprolite, with little (or no?) weathered bedrock, while elsewhere slower deep bedrock 463 underlies thick weathered bedrock layers – suggesting a bottom-up control on CZ archi-464 tecture here (Figure 10). Such bottom-up controls could include lateral changes in com-465 position (e.g., Brantley et al., 2017; Basilevskaya et al., 2013), foliation (Leone et al., 2020), 466 or fracture density (e.g., Novitsky et al., 2018). At our site, we suggest that changes in 467 bedrock fracture density are most likely, given the observation of fracture zones in ad-468 469 jacent outcrops.

Additional intriguing features in the FWI model include narrow, steeply dipping zones of very low velocity (< 1,000 m/s) that penetrate tens of meters into the subsur-

-23-

face at several places along the line (e.g., at  $x \approx 25$  m and  $x \approx 185$  m). These features might represent deep zones of intense chemical weathering and fracturing. While our boreholes were not placed to verify the presence of these features, such low-velocity zones might well play an outsized role in guiding water through the subsurface. Thus, full-waveform inversion promises to yield important new insights into catchment hydrology.

Given that the full waveform results show such heterogeneity, does this imply that 477 the ray-based tomograms that have been the primary seismic tool for imaging the crit-478 ical zone are wrong? To address this, we compared our FWI results with the FATT ini-479 tial model. At a glance, the FWI model is much more detailed and heterogeneous than 480 the FATT model (Figure 10). A comparison of the depth ranges of velocities associated 481 with the saprolite-bedrock transition (1.2 km/s), however, reveals that while the depths 482 distributions are more variable in the FWI model, the average saprolite thicknesses are 483 similar in the FWI and FATT results (Figure 12). The same can be said for the depth 484 to intact bedrock (Figure 12). Thus, FATT accurately captures long-wavelength features 485 in the CZ but misses smaller-scale heterogeneity. That is to say, FATT models aren't wrong, 486 but they are blurry. This point is further emphasized by the upper left panel of Figure 487 4, showing the banana-doughnut kernel for the first arrival. The large volume of the ker-488 nel implies that first arrival traveltimes are sensitive to the average velocity of a signif-489 icant portion of the subsurface, and this detail is reflected in the blurriness of FATT mod-490 els. These findings help contextualize previous conclusions based on FATT models, which 491 have elucidated large-scale, first-order controls on CZ structure such as slope aspect (Be-492 fus et al., 2011), regional tectonic stresses (St. Clair et al., 2015), and foliation (Leone 493 et al., 2020). Our findings show that FWI can build on this past research by unearthing 494 the effects of smaller-scale processes. In other words, the average saprolite thickness at 495 a site may reflect large-scale controls like climate or tectonic stress, while smaller-scale 496 lateral heterogeneity must have local causes, like variations in fracture density or com-497 position. 498



Figure 12. Overlain histograms of the depth to saprolite (left) and intact bedrock (right) in the FWI (red) and FATT (blue) models. The thick vertical lines indicate averages of the distributions displayed in the histograms.

499	Our results raise fundamental questions about the extent to which CZ architecture
500	is controlled by large-scale forcing functions like climate, topography, and tectonic stress,
501	versus local, smaller-scale characteristics of the bedrock. While past work has provided
502	useful theories for the role of large-scale processes on CZ structure, our results suggest
503	that smaller-scale factors also play an important role, as variability in bedrock charac-
504	teristics over lateral scales of tens of meters imparts profound impacts on the overlying
505	CZ architecture. We anticipate a concordance between the scale of forcing functions and
506	their products. Seeking the signal of top-down processes like climate in CZ architecture
507	will thus likely require comparing larger-scale averages across sites to filter out local vari-
508	ability (e.g., Callahan et al., 2022). We expect that future applications of the FWI work-
509	flow developed here will provide both new ideas and new hypothesis tests about the state
510	and evolution of Earth's critical zone.

#### 511 6 Conclusions

In this study, we present an FWI workflow specifically tailored to study weathering patterns in the CZ. Using existing and accessible open source packages, we show how forward and adjoint modeling rooted in the spectral element method can be used to invert surface and body waves to constrain Vs and Vp. Our FWI results agree significantly better with borehole data than previously published FATT models. This, along with synthetic FWI experiments, bolsters confidence in our findings, which show remarkable heterogeneity in the CZ, previously undetectable using traveltime tomography. We hypothesize that local heterogeneity in Earth's weathering engine reflects local variations in bedrock composition and structure, including fracture density, foliation, and mineralogy. We suggest that FWI can be used to investigate a wide range of important CZ processes at smaller scales than previously possible.

523 7 Open Research

All seismic data and borehole logging data have been uploaded to a Zenodo repository (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8219762) and MATLAB codes for source estimation as well as a copy of our fork of SeisFlows will be uploaded pending acceptance of this.

#### 528 Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge the tireless efforts of two Virginia Tech system 529 administrators, James Dunson and James Langridge. This project would not have been 530 possible without their help. We would also like to acknowledge Jean Virieux and Romain 531 Brossier for providing their perspectives on this project during a Zoom meeting. Spe-532 cial thanks goes to Dario Grana who read and provided comments on the manuscript 533 but did not feel he should be a coauthor. Funding for this project was provided NSF-534 EAR 2012353 (Holbrook) and 2012227 (Flinchum), and an NSF Graduate Research Fel-535 lowship awarded to B. J. Eppinger. 536

# **Citations:**

- Askan, A., Akcelik, V., Bielak, J., and Ghattas, O. (2007). Full waveform inversion for seismic velocity and anelastic losses in heterogeneous structures. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 97(6), 1990–2008. <u>https://doi.org/10.1785/0120070079</u>
- Befus, K. M., Sheehan, A. F., Leopold, M., Anderson, S. P., and Anderson, R. S. (2011). Seismic constraints on critical zone architecture, Boulder Creek watershed, Front Range, Colorado. *Vadose Zone Journal*, 10(4), 1342–1342. <u>https://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2010.0108er</u>
- Bazilevskaya, E., Lebedeva, M., Pavich, M., Rother, G., Parkinson, D. Y., Cole, D., & amp; Brantley, S. L. (2013). Where fast weathering creates thin regolith and slow weathering creates thick regolith. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 38(8), 847–858. https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3369
- Borisov, D., Gao, F., Williamson, P., & Tromp, J. (2020). Application of 2D full-waveform inversion on exploration land data. *GEOPHYSICS*, 85(2). <u>https://doi.org/10.1190/geo2019-0082.1</u>
- Bradley, W. C. (1987). Erosion surfaces of the Colorado Front Range: A review. In W. L. Graf (Ed.), Geomorphic systems of North America (pp. 215–220). Boulder, CO: *Geologic Society of America*.
- Brantley, S. L., Eissenstat, D. M., Marshall, J. A., Godsey, S. E., Balogh-Brunstad, Z., Karwan, D. L., Papuga, S. A., Roering, J., Dawson, T. E., Evaristo, J., Chadwick, O., McDonnell, J. J., and Weathers, K. C. (2017). Reviews and syntheses: On the roles trees play in building and plumbing the Critical Zone. *Biogeosciences*, 14(22), 5115–5142. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-14-5115-2017</u>
- Brantley, S. L., Goldhaber, M. B., & Ragnarsdottir, K. V. (2007). Crossing disciplines and scales to understand the critical zone. *Elements*, 3, 307–314. <u>https://doi.org/10.2113/gselements.3.5.307</u>
- Bunks, C., Saleck, F. M., Zaleski, S., & amp; Chavent, G. (1995). Multiscale seismic waveform inversion. *GEOPHYSICS*, 60(5), 1457–1473. https://doi.org/10.1190/1.1443880
- Callahan, R. P., Riebe, C. S., Sklar, L. S., Pasquet, S., Ferrier, K. L., Hahm, W. J., Taylor, N. J., Grana, D., Flinchum, B. A., Hayes, J. L., and Holbrook, W. S. (2022). Forest vulnerability to drought controlled by bedrock composition. *Nature Geoscience*, 15(9), 714–719. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-022-01012-2</u>
- Callahan, R. P., Riebe, C. S., Pasquet, S., Ferrier, K. L., Grana, D., Sklar, L. S., et al. (2020). Subsurface weathering revealed in hillslope-integrated porosity distributions. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 47, e2020GL088322. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL088322</u>

- Chapin, C. E., & Kelley, S. A. (1997). The Rocky Mountain erosion surface in the Front Range of Colorado. In D. W. Bolyard & S. A. Sonnenberg (Eds.), Geologic history of the Colorado Front Range (pp. 1943–3048). Denver, CO: *Rocky Mountain Association of Geologists*.
- Chen, J., Zelt, C. A., and Jaiswal, P. (2017). Detecting a known near-surface target through application of frequency-dependent traveltime tomography and full-waveform inversion to P- and SH-wave seismic refraction data. *GEOPHYSICS*, 82(1). https://doi.org/10.1190/geo2016-0085.1
- Chen, G. X., Wu, R. S., & Chen, S. C. (2019). Multiscale direct envelope inversion: Algorithm and methodology for application to the salt structure inversion. *Earth and Space Science*, 6, 174–190. https://doi.org/10.1029/ 2018EA000453
- Choi, Y., & Alkhalifah, T. (2012). Application of multi-source waveform inversion to marine streamer DATA USING THE GLOBAL CORRELATION NORM. *Geophysical Prospecting*, 60(4), 748–758. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2478.2012.01079.x</u>
- Chow, B., Kaneko, Y., Tape, C., Modrak, R., & Townend, J. (2020). An automated workflow for adjoint tomography Waveform misfits and synthetic inversions for the north Island, New Zealand. *Geophysical Journal International*, 223(3), 1461–1480.
- Dawson TE, Hahm WJ, Crutchfield-Peters K (2020) Digging deeper: what the critical zone perspective adds to the study of plant ecophysiology. *New Phytol* 226(3):666–671
- Dethier, D. P., & Lazarus, E. D. (2006). Geomorphic inferences from regolith thickness, chemical denudation and CRN erosion rates near the glacial limit, Boulder Creek catchment and vicinity, Colorado. *Geomorphology*, 75(3-4), 384-399. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2005.07.029
- Eggler, D., Larson, E., & Bradley, W. (1969). Granites grusses and the Sherman erosion surface, southern Laramie Range Colorado-Wyoming. *American Journal of Science*, 267(4), 510– 522. <u>https://doi.org/10.2475/ajs.267.4.510</u>
- Eppinger, B. J., Hayes, J. L., Carr, B. J., Moon, S., Cosans, C. L., Holbrook, W. S., et al. (2021). Quantifying depth- dependent seismic anisotropy in the critical zone enhanced by weathering of a Piedmont schist. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 126, e2021JF006289. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JF006289
- Evanoff, E. (1990). Early Oligocene paleovalleys in southern and central Wyoming: Evidence of high local relief on the late Eocene unconformity. *Geology*, 18(5), 443–446. <u>https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1990)018%3C0443:EOPISA%3E2.3.CO;2</u>
- Fichtner, A., Kennett, B. L., Igel, H., & Bunge, H.-P. (2008). Theoretical background for continental- and global-scale full-waveform inversion in the time-frequency domain. *Geophysical Journal International*, 175(2), 665–685. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246x.2008.03923.x</u>

Fichtner, A. (2011.). Full seismic waveform modeling and inversion. Springer.

- Flinchum BA, Holbrook WS and Carr BJ (2022) What Do P-Wave Velocities Tell Us About the Critical Zone? *Front. Water* 3:772185. doi: 10.3389/frwa.2021.772185
- Flinchum, B. A., Holbrook, W. S., Rempe, D., Moon, S., Riebe, C. S., Carr, B. J., et al. (2018). Critical zone structure under a granite ridge inferred from drilling and three-dimensional seismic refraction data. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 123, 1317–1343. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2017jf004280</u>
- Frost, C. D., Frost, B. R., Chamberlain, K. R., & Edwards, B. R. (1999). Petrogenesis of the 1.43 Ga Sherman batholith, SE Wyoming, USA: A reduced, rapakivi-type anorogenic granite. *Journal of Petrology*, 40(12), 1771–1802. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/petroj/40.12.1771</u>
- Goodfellow, B. W., Chadwick, O. A., & Hilley, G. E. (2013). Depth and character of rock weathering across a basaltic-hosted climosequence on Hawai'i. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 39(3), 381–398. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3505</u>
- Górszczyk, A., Brossier, R., & Métivier, L. (2023). The Impact of the 3D Effect on the Regional-Scale Velocity Model Building Using 2D Full-Waveform Inversion. *European Geophysical* Union General Assembly. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu23-4387</u>
- Groos, L., Schäfer, M., Forbriger, T., & Bohlen, T. (2017). Application of a complete workflow for 2D elastic full-waveform inversion to recorded shallow-seismic Rayleigh Waves. *GEOPHYSICS*, 82(2). <u>https://doi.org/10.1190/geo2016-0284.1</u>
- Hahm, W. J., Rempe, D. M., Dralle, D. N., Dawson, T. E., Lovill, S. M., Bryk, A. B., et al. (2019). Lithologically controlled subsurface critical zone thickness and water storage capacity determine regional plant community composition. *Water Resources Research*, 55. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018WR023760
- Hahm, W. J., Riebe, C. S., Lukens, C. E., & amp; Araki, S. (2013). Bedrock composition regulates mountain ecosystems and landscape evolution. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(9), 3338–3343. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1315667111
- Hayes, J. L., Riebe, C. S., Holbrook, W. S., Flinchum, B. A., & Hartsough, P. C. (2019). Porosity production in weathered rock: Where volumetric strain dominates over chemical mass loss. *Science Advances*, 5(9), eaao0834. <u>https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/sciadv.</u> <u>aao0834</u>
- Holbrook, W. S., Marcon, V., Bacon, A. R., Brantley, S. L., Carr, B. J., Flinchum, B. A., et al. (2019). Links between physical and chem- ical weathering inferred from a 65-m-deep borehole through Earth 's critical zone. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-019-40819-9

- Holbrook, W. S., Riebe, C. S., Elwaseif, M., Hayes, J. L., Harry, D. L., Basler-Reeder, K., et al. (2014). Geophysical constraints on deep weathering and water storage potential in the Southern Sierra Critical Zone Observatory. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 39, 366–380. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3502</u>
- Huang, M. H., Hudson-Rasmussen, B., Burdick, S., Lekic, V., Nelson, M. D., Fauria, K. E., & Schmerr, N. (2021). Bayesian seismic refraction inversion for critical zone science and nearsurface applications. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 22, e2020GC009172. https://doi. org/10.1029/2020GC009172
- Hu, Y., Han, L. G., Liu, Y. S., & Jin, Z. Y. (2020). Wave equation-based local traveltime inversion. *Earth and Space Science*, 7, e2020EA001193. https://doi. org/10.1029/2020EA001193
- Klos, P. Z., Goulden, M. L., Riebe, C. S., Tague, C. L., O'Geen, A. T., Flinchum, B. A., et al. (2018). Subsurface plant-accessible water in mountain ecosystems with a Mediterranean climate. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews*: Water. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1277</u>
- Köhn, D., Wilken, D., De Nil, D., Wunderlich, T., Rabbel, W., Werther, L., Schmidt, J., Zielhofer, C., & Linzen, S. (2019). Comparison of time-domain SH waveform inversion strategies based on sequential low and bandpass filtered data for improved resolution in nearsurface prospecting. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, *160*, 69–83. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jappgeo.2018.11.001</u>
- Komatitsch, D., & Tromp, J. (1999). Introduction to the spectral element method for threedimensional seismic wave propagation. *Geophysical Journal International*, *139*(3), 806–822. <u>https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-246x.1999.00967.x</u>
- Komatitsch, D., Vilotte JP. (1998). The spectral element method: An efficient tool to simulate the seismic response of 2D and 3D geological structures. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*. <u>https://doi.org/10.1785/BSSA0880020368</u>
- Lei, W., Ruan, Y., Bozdağ, E., Peter, D., Lefebvre, M., Komatitsch, D., Tromp, J., Hill, J., Podhorszki, N., and Pugmire, D. (2020). Global adjoint tomography—model GLAD-M25. *Geophysical Journal International*, 223(1), 1–21.<u>https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggaa253</u>
- Leone, J. D., Holbrook, W. S., Riebe, C. S., Chorover, J., Ferré, T. P. A., Carr, B. J., and Callahan, R. P. (2020). Strong slope-aspect control of regolith thickness by bedrock foliation. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 45(12), 2998–3010. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.4947</u>
- Li, J., Feng, Z., & Schuster, G. (2016). Wave-equation dispersion inversion. *Geophysical Journal International*, 208(3), 1567–1578. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggw465</u>

- Li, J., Hanafy, S., & Schuster, G.(2018). Wave-Equation dispersion inversion of guided P waves in a waveguide of arbitrary geometry. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 123, 7760–7774. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JB016127</u>
- Liu, D.C., Nocedal, J. On the limited memory BFGS method for large scale optimization. *Mathematical Programming* 45, 503–528 (1989). <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01589116</u>
- Liu, X., Zhu, T., & Hayes, J. (2022). Critical zone structure by elastic full waveform inversion of seismic refractions in a sandstone catchment, central Pennsylvania, USA. *Journal of Geophysical Research*: Solid Earth, 127, e2021JB023321. https://doi. org/10.1029/2021JB023321
- Liu, Z., Li, J., Hanafy, S. M., & Schuster, G. (2019). 3D wave-equation dispersion inversion of Rayleigh Waves. *GEOPHYSICS*, 84(5). <u>https://doi.org/10.1190/geo2018-0543.1</u>
- Mao, J., Sheng, J., Hart, M., and Kim, T. (2016). High-resolution model building with multistage full-waveform inversion for narrow-azimuth acquisition data. *The Leading Edge*, 35(12), 1031–1036. <u>https://doi.org/10.1190/tle35121031.1</u>
- McCormick EL, Dralle DN, Hahm WJ, Tune AK, Schmidt LM, Chadwick KD, Rempe DM. Widespread woody plant use of water stored in bedrock. *Nature*. 2021 Sep;597(7875):225-229. doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-03761-3.
- Meunier, A., Sardini, P., Robinet, J. C., & Pret, D. (2007). The petrography of weathering processes: Facts and outlooks. *Clay Minerals*, 42(4), 415–435. https://doi.org/10.1180/claymin.2007.042.4.01
- Modrak, R. T., Borisov, D., Lefebvre, M., & Tromp, J. (2018). Seisflows—flexible waveform inversion software. *Computers & Geosciences*, 115, 88–95. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2018.02.004</u>
- Moravec, B. G., White, A. M., Root, R., Sanchez, A., Olshansky, Y., Paras, B. K., et al. (2020). Resolving deep critical zone architecture in complex volcanic terrain. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 125, e2019JF005189. https://doi.org/ 10.1029/2019JF005189
- Natural Resources Conservation Service (2015). Crow Creek SNOTEL site, United States Department of Agriculture, SNOTEL surveys. Retrieved from http://wcc.sc.egov.usda.gov/nwcc/site?sitenum=1045, Accessed 07/15/2017.
- Navarre-Sitchler, A., Brantley, S. L., & Rother, G. (2015). How porosity increases during incipient weathering of crystalline silicate rocks. *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry*, 80(1), 331–354. <u>https://doi.org/10.2138/rmg.2015.80.10</u>
- Novitsky, C. G., Holbrook, W. S., Carr, B. J., Pasquet, S., Okaya, D., & Flinchum, B. A. (2018). Mapping inherited fractures in the critical zone using seismic aniso- tropy from circular

surveys. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45, 3126–3135. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL075976

- Pan, Y., Gao, L., & Bohlen, T. (2019). High-resolution characterization of near-surface structures by surface-wave inversions: From dispersion curve to full waveform. *Surveys in Geophysics*, 40(2), 167–195. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10712-019-09508-0</u>
- Parsekian, A. D., K. Singha, B. J. Minsley, W. S. Holbrook, and L. Slater (2015), Multiscale geophysical imaging of thecritical zone, *Rev. Geophys.*, 53,1–26, doi:10.1002/2014RG000465.
- Pasquet, S., W. S. Holbrook, B. J. Carr, and K. W. W. Sims (2016), Geophysical imaging of shallow degassing in a Yellowstone hydrothermal system, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 43, 12,027– 12,035, doi:10.1002/2016GL071306.
- Peterman, Z. E., & Hedge, C. E. (1968). Chronology of Precambrian events in the Front Range, Colorado. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 5(3), 749–756. <u>https://doi.org/10.1139/e68-073</u>
- Pratt, R. G. (1999). Seismic waveform inversion in the frequency domain, part 1: Theory and verification in a physical scale model. *GEOPHYSICS*, 64(3), 888–901. <u>https://doi.org/10.1190/1.1444597</u>
- Riebe, C. S., Hahm, W. J., and Brantley, S. L. (2016). Controls on deep critical zone architecture: A historical review and four testable hypotheses. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 42(1), 128–156. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.4052</u>
- Sak, P. B., Navarre-Sitchler, A. K., Miller, C. E., Daniel, C. C., Gaillardet, J., Buss, H. L., Lebedeva, M. I., and Brantley, S. L. (2010). Controls on rind thickness on basaltic andesite clasts weathering in Guadeloupe. *Chemical Geology*, 276(3–4), 129–143. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2010.05.002</u>
- Schuster G. T. (2017). Seismic inversion. Society of Exploration Geophysicists.
- Sheng, J., Leeds, A., Buddensiek, M., & Schuster, G. T. (2006). Early arrival waveform tomography on near-surface refraction data. *GEOPHYSICS*, 71(4). <u>https://doi.org/10.1190/1.2210969</u>
- Smith, J. A., Borisov, D., Cudney, H., Miller, R. D., Modrak, R., Moran, M., Peterie, S. L., Sloan, S. D., Tromp, J., & Wang, Y. (2019). Tunnel detection at Yuma Proving Ground, Arizona, USA — part 2: 3D full-waveform inversion experiments. *GEOPHYSICS*, 84(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.1190/geo2018-0599.1</u>
- St. Clair, J., Moon, S., Holbrook, W. S., Perron, J. T., Riebe, C. S., Martel, S. J., et al. (2015). Geophysical imaging reveals topographic stress control of bedrock weathering. *Science* 350, 534–538. doi: 10.1126/science. aab2210

- Tape, C., Liu, Q., Maggi, A., & Tromp, J. (2010). Seismic tomography of the Southern California crust based on spectral-element and adjoint methods. *Geophysical Journal International*, 180(1), 433–462. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246x.2009.04429.x</u>
- Thurin, J., Brossier, R., & Métivier, L. (2019). Ensemble-based uncertainty estimation in full waveform inversion. *Geophysical Journal International*. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggz384</u>
- Toyokuni, G., & Zhao, D. (2021). P-wave tomography for 3-D radial and azimuthal anisotropy beneath Greenland and surrounding regions. *Earth and Space Science*, 8, e2021EA001800. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021EA001800
- Tromp, J., & Bachmann, E. (2019). Source encoding for adjoint tomography. *Geophysical Journal International*, 218(3), 2019–2044. https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggz271
- Tromp, J., Tape, C., & Liu, Q. (2005). Seismic tomography, adjoint methods, time reversal and banana-doughnut kernels. *Geophysical Journal International*, 160(1), 195–216. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246x.2004.02453.x</u>
- Wang, W., Chen, P., Keifer, I., Dueker, K., Lee, E.-J., Mu, D., Jiao, J., Zhang, Y., & Carr, B. (2019 a). Weathering Front under a granite ridge revealed through full-3d seismic ambientnoise tomography. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 509, 66–77. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2018.12.038</u>
- Wang, W., Chen, P., Lee, E.-J., and Mu, D. (2019 b). Full-3D Seismic Tomography for Structure of the Critical Zone. *In Earthquake and Disaster Risk: Decade Retrospective of the Wenchuan Earthquake* (pp. 203–231). essay, Higher Education Press and Springer Nature Singapore.
- Wang, Y., Miller, R. D., Peterie, S. L., Sloan, S. D., Moran, M. L., Cudney, H. H., Smith, J. A., Borisov, D., Modrak, R., & Tromp, J. (2019 c). Tunnel detection at Yuma Proving Ground, Arizona, USA — part 1: 2D full-waveform inversion experiment. *GEOPHYSICS*, 84(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.1190/geo2018-0598.1</u>
- West, N., Kirby, E., Nyblade, A. A., and Brantley, S. L. (2019). Climate preconditions the critical zone: Elucidating the role of subsurface fractures in the evolution of asymmetric topography. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 513, 197–205. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eps1.2019.01.039</u>
- Virieux, J., & Operto, S. (2009). An overview of full-waveform inversion in exploration geophysics. *GEOPHYSICS*, 74(6). <u>https://doi.org/10.1190/1.3238367</u>
- Xia, J., Miller, R. D., & Park, C. B. (1999). Estimation of near-surface shear-wave velocity by inversion of Rayleigh Waves. *GEOPHYSICS*, 64(3), 691–700. <u>https://doi.org/10.1190/1.1444578</u>

- Yuan, Y. O., Simons, F. J., & Bozdağ, E. (2015). Multiscale adjoint waveform tomography for surface and body waves. *GEOPHYSICS*, 80(5). <u>https://doi.org/10.1190/geo2014-0461.1</u>
- Yuan, Y. O., Simons, F. J., and Tromp, J. (2016). Double-difference adjoint seismic tomography. *Geophysical Journal International*, 206(3), 1599–1618. https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggw233
- Zielinski, R. A., Peterman, Z. E., Stuckless, J. S., Rosholt, J. N., & Nkomo, I. T. (1982). The chemical and isotopic record of rock-water interaction in the Sherman granite, Wyoming and Colorado. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology*, 78(3), 209–219. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00398915</u>

Earth and Space Science

# Supporting Information for

# Near-Surface Full Waveform Inversion Reveals Bedrock Controls on Critical Zone Architecture

B.J. Eppinger<sup>1</sup>, W.S. Holbrook<sup>1</sup>, Z. Liu<sup>2</sup>, B.A. Flinchum<sup>3</sup>, J. Tromp<sup>4,5</sup>

1Department of Geosciences, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA, USA

2Ocean University of China, Qingdao, China; Previously, Department of Geosciences, Princeton 5 University, Princeton, NJ, USA

3Clemson University, Environmental Engineering and Earth Sciences, Clemson, SC, USA

4Department of Geosciences, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA

5Program in Applied & Computational Mathematics, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544-1000,9 USA

# **Contents of this file**

Figures S1 to S7

# Introduction

Here we present supplementary data pertaining to our source time function estimation and full waveform inversion results. Specifically, Figure S1 shows all 20 of the estimated source time functions. Figures S2 to S4 show waveform fits before and after each stage of the multiscale surface wave inversion. Similarly, Figures S5 to S7 show waveforms fits before and after each stage of the multiscale body wave inversion.



**Figure S1**. All 20 of the source time functions estimated using the method described in section 3.2 of the main text. Note the general similarity of each source time function to others implying consistent quality in the data and estimation process.



**Figure S2**. Waveform comparisons of preprocessed data for the 6-14 Hz stage of the surface wave multiscale strategy. The top three panels show shot gathers of observed data, synthetic waveforms after applying FWI for 15 iterations, and the synthetic waveforms corresponding to the model derived with surface wave dispersion inversion. The middle panel shows waveform comparison of every 10<sup>th</sup> trace for observed data and synthetic data corresponding to the model derived with surface wave dispersion inversion. The bottom panel shows waveform comparison of every 10<sup>th</sup> trace for observed data and synthetic data and synthetic data corresponding to the model derived with surface wave dispersion inversion. The bottom panel shows waveform comparison of every 10<sup>th</sup> trace for observed data and synthetic data corresponding to the model derived with surface wave dispersion inversion.


**Figure S3**. Waveform comparisons of preprocessed data for the 6-18 Hz stage of the surface wave multiscale strategy. The top three panels show shot gathers of observed data, synthetic waveforms after applying FWI for 30 iterations, and the synthetic waveforms corresponding to the model derived after applying FWI for 15 iterations. The middle panel shows waveform comparison of every 10<sup>th</sup> trace for observed data and synthetic data corresponding to the model derived after applying FWI for 15 iterations. The bottom panel shows waveform comparison of every 10<sup>th</sup> trace for observed data and synthetic data corresponding to the model derived after applying FWI for 15 iterations.



**Figure S4**. Waveform comparisons of preprocessed data for the 6-22 Hz stage of the surface wave multiscale strategy. The top three panels show shot gathers of observed data, synthetic waveforms after applying FWI for 45 iterations, and the synthetic waveforms corresponding to the model derived after applying FWI for 30 iterations. The middle panel shows waveform comparison of every 10<sup>th</sup> trace for observed data and synthetic data corresponding to the model derived after applying FWI for 30 iterations. The bottom panel shows waveform comparison of every 10<sup>th</sup> trace for observed data and synthetic data corresponding to the model derived after applying FWI for 30 iterations.



**Figure S5**. Waveform comparisons of preprocessed data for the 8-24 Hz stage of the body wave multiscale strategy. The top three panels show shot gathers of observed data, synthetic waveforms after applying FWI for 20 iterations, and the synthetic waveforms corresponding to the model derived with ray-based travel time tomography. The middle panel shows waveform comparison of every 10<sup>th</sup> trace for observed data and synthetic data corresponding to the model derived with ray-based travel time tomography. The bottom panel shows waveform comparison of every 10<sup>th</sup> trace for observed data and synthetic data corresponding to the model derived with ray-based travel time tomography. The bottom panel shows waveform comparison of every 10<sup>th</sup> trace for observed data and synthetic data corresponding to the model derived after 20 iterations of FWI.



**Figure S6**. Waveform comparisons of preprocessed data for the 8-40 Hz stage of the body wave multiscale strategy. The top three panels show shot gathers of observed data, synthetic waveforms after applying FWI for 60 iterations, and the synthetic waveforms corresponding to the model derived after applying FWI for 20 iterations. The middle panel shows waveform comparison of every 10<sup>th</sup> trace for observed data and synthetic data corresponding to the model derived after applying FWI for 20 iterations. The bottom panel shows waveform comparison of every 10<sup>th</sup> trace for observed data and synthetic data corresponding to the model derived after applying FWI for 20 iterations. The bottom panel shows waveform comparison of every 10<sup>th</sup> trace for observed data and synthetic data corresponding to the model derived after applying FWI for 20 iterations. The



**Figure S7**. Waveform comparisons of preprocessed data for the 8-56 Hz stage of the body wave multiscale strategy. The top three panels show shot gathers of observed data, synthetic waveforms after applying FWI for 100 iterations, and the synthetic waveforms corresponding to the model derived after applying FWI for 60 iterations. The middle panel shows waveform comparison of every 10<sup>th</sup> trace for observed data and synthetic data corresponding to the model derived after applying FWI for 60 iterations. The bottom panel shows waveform comparison of every 10<sup>th</sup> trace for observed data and synthetic data corresponding to the model derived after applying FWI for 60 iterations. The bottom panel shows waveform comparison of every 10<sup>th</sup> trace for observed data and synthetic data corresponding to the model derived after applying FWI for 60 iterations. The