# BJC

British Journal of Cancer (2014), 1–9 | doi: 10.1038/bjc.2014.538

Keywords: gliomas; TERT promoter mutation; prognostic impact; IDH mutation; gliomas molecular classification

## TERT promoter mutations in gliomas, genetic associations and clinico-pathological correlations

M Labussière<sup>1,2,3</sup>, A L Di Stefano<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, V Gleize<sup>1,2,3</sup>, B Boisselier<sup>1,2,3,5</sup>, M Giry<sup>1,2,3</sup>, S Mangesius<sup>1,2,3</sup>, A Bruno<sup>1,2,3</sup>, R Paterra<sup>6</sup>, Y Marie<sup>5,7</sup>, A Rahimian<sup>1,2,3,7</sup>, G Finocchiaro<sup>6</sup>, R S Houlston<sup>8</sup>, K Hoang-Xuan<sup>1,2,3,9</sup>, A Idbaih<sup>1,2,3,9</sup>, J-Y Delattre<sup>1,2,3,7,9</sup>, K Mokhtari<sup>1,2,3,7,10</sup> and M Sanson<sup>\*,1,2,3,7,9</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Sorbonne Universités, UMPC Univ Paris 06, Centre de Recherche de l'Institut du Cerveau et de la Moelle épinière, Paris 75013, France; <sup>2</sup>INSERM U 1127, Paris 75013, France; <sup>3</sup>CNRS, UMR 7225, Paris 75013, France; <sup>4</sup>National Neurological Institute C. Mondino, University of Pavia, 27100 Pavia, Italy; <sup>5</sup>Institut du Cerveau et de la Moelle épinière (ICM), Plateforme de Génotypage Séquençage, Paris 75013, France; <sup>6</sup>Dipartimento di Neuro Oncologia Molecolare Fondazione I.R.C.C.S. Istituto Neurologico C. Besta, Milano 20134, Italy; <sup>7</sup>Onconeurothèque, Paris 75013, France; <sup>8</sup>Division of Genetics and Epidemiology, Institute of Cancer Research, Surrey SM2 5NG, UK; <sup>9</sup>AP-HP, Groupe Hospitalier Pitié-Salpêtrière, Service de Neurologie 2, Paris 75013, France and <sup>10</sup>AP-HP, Groupe Hospitalier Pitié-Salpêtrière, Laboratoire de Neuropathologie R. Escourolle, Paris 75013, France

**Background:** The role of telomerase reverse transcriptase (*TERT*) in gliomagenesis has been recently further strengthened by the frequent occurrence of *TERT* promoter mutations (*TERT*p-mut) in gliomas and evidence that the *TERT* SNP genetic rs2736100 influences glioma risk. *TERTp*-mut creates a binding site for Ets/TCF transcription factors, whereas the common rs2853669 polymorphism disrupts another Ets/TCF site on *TERT* promoter.

**Methods:** We sequenced for *TERT*p-mut in 807 glioma DNAs and in 235 blood DNAs and analysed *TERT* expression by RT-PCR in 151 samples. *TERT*p-mut status and *TERT*p polymorphism rs2853669 were correlated with histology, genomic profile, *TERT* mRNA expression, clinical outcome and rs2736100 genotype.

**Results:** *TERT*p-mut identified in 60.8% of gliomas (491 out of 807) was globally associated with poorer outcome (Hazard ratio (HR) = 1.50). We defined, based on *TERT*p-mut and *IDH* mutation status, four prognostic groups: (1) *TERT*p-mut and *IDH*-mut associated with 1p19q codeletion, overall survival (OS) > 17 years; (2) *TERT*p-wt and *IDH*-mut, associated with *TP53* mutation, OS = 97.5 months; (3) *TERT*p-wt and *IDH*-wt, with no specific association, OS = 31.6 months; (4) *TERT*p-mut and *IDH*-wt, associated with *EGFR* amplification, OS = 15.4 months. *TERT*p-mut was associated with higher *TERT* mRNA expression, whereas the rs2853669 variant was associated with lower *TERT* mRNA expression. The mutation of *CIC* (a repressor of ETV1-5 belonging to the Ets/TCF family) was also associated with *TERT* mRNA upregulation.

**Conclusions:** In addition to *IDH* mutation status, defining the *TERT*p-mut status of glial tumours should afford enhanced prognostic stratification of patients with glioma. We also show that *TERT*p-mut, rs2853669 variant and *CIC* mutation influence Tert expression. This effect could be mediated by Ets/TCF transcription factors.

The telomerase reverse transcriptase (*TERT*) gene encodes a highly specialised reverse transcriptase, which adds hexamer repeats to the 3' end of chromosomes (Aubert and

Lansdorp, 2008; Cesare and Reddel, 2010). The increased telomerase activity seen in cancer leads to preservation of telomeres, allowing tumours to avoid induction of

\*Correspondence: Dr M Sanson; E-mail: marc.sanson@psl.aphp.fr

Received 27 April 2014; revised 18 July 2014; accepted 12 September 2014

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$  2014 Cancer Research UK. All rights reserved 0007 – 0920/14

senescence (Smogorzewska and de Lange, 2004; Shay and Wright, 2011).

Somatic mutations of the *TERT* promoter (*TERT*p-mut) have recently been documented in various cancers (Griewank *et al*, 2013; Horn *et al*, 2013; Huang *et al*, 2013; Liu *et al*, 2013), but particularly in glioma (Aapola *et al*, 2000; Arita *et al*, 2013; Killela *et al*, 2013; Liu *et al*, 2013). The two most common mutations in *TERT*, C228T and C250T, map -124 and -146 bp, respectively, upstream of the *TERT* ATG site (chr5, 1,295,228 C>T and 1,295,250 C>T, respectively), creating binding sites for Ets/TCF transcription factors that are associated with a two- to four-fold increased transcriptional activity (Brennan *et al*, 2013; Huang *et al*, 2013).

There is increasing evidence that *TERT* variation also influences cancer susceptibility. Notably, the SNP rs2736100 is associated with glioblastoma (GBM) risk, especially for *IDH1* wild-type GBM (Shete *et al*, 2009; Simon *et al*, 2010; Di Stefano *et al*, 2013). Recently, germline mutation of the TERT promoter at position -57 has been shown to cause familial melanoma (Horn *et al*, 2013).

Here, we have (1) determined the prevalence and prognostic impact of *TERT* promoter mutations, in 807 patients with glioma (WHO grades II, III and IV). (2) examined the relationship between *TERT* promoter mutation and tumour subtype and (3) assessed the contribution of germline mutations in these patients and in familial glioma and patients with glioma and melanoma.

#### PATIENTS AND METHODS

**Patients and tissue samples.** Collection of patient samples and clinico-pathological information was undertaken with informed consent and ethical board approval in accordance with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Patients studied fulfilled the following criteria: histologic diagnosis of primary glial tumour according to the WHO classification; complete clinical data and follow-up information available within in the neuro-oncology database (Onconeurothèque Paris). Blood DNAs from 80 patients with familial glioma requited through the Onconeurothèque service were also studied. For controls we made use of data previously generated on 1090 French individuals, which have been described previously (Shete *et al*, 2009).

**Molecular analysis.** DNA was extracted from fresh-frozen tumours or formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumours using the QIAmp DNA minikit (Qiagen, Courtaboeuf, France) and the iPrep ChargeSwitch Forensic kit (Life Technologies, Saint Aubin, France), respectively, DNA was extracted from EDTA-venous blood samples using a standard saline method. DNAs were quantified using Nanodrop (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Villebon sur Yvette, France).

Genomic profiling was performed by CGH-array analysis or SNP array, as previously described (Idbaih *et al*, 2008; Gonzalez-Aguilar *et al*, 2012). Mutational status of *IDH1*, *IDH2* and *TP53* was determined by Sanger sequencing, as described (Sanson *et al*, 2009). *MGMT* promoter methylation status was determined by bisulphite modification and subsequent two-stage nested methylation-specific PCR (Everhard *et al*, 2006).

Mutation analysis of exons 1–20 of *CIC* was undertaken using 454 Sequencing Technology (Roche Applied Science, Meylan, France). Details of PCR primers are shown in Supplementary Table 1. All variations were then validated by Sanger sequencing using the same primers.

Genotyping of rs2736100 has been previously described (Shete et al, 2009).

The *TERT* promoter was amplified using GGCCGATTC GACCTCTCT (GTCCTGCCCCTTCACCTT for FFPE samples)

and AGCACCTCGCGGTAGTGG primers and Sanger sequencing performed using an ABI Prism 3730 DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Villebon sur Yvette, France).

To determine *TERT* mRNA expression, tumours were lysed using Lysing Matrix D tube and FastPrep instrument (MP Biomedicals, Illkirch, France) and RNA extracted using the iPrep Trizol Plus RNA Kit (Life Technologies). In all, 300 ng of RNA was retrotranscribed with the Maxima First-Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Scientific, Villebon sur Yvette, France). The cDNA obtained was used as a template for the determination of *TERT* mRNA expression by qPCR using a QuantiFast assay (Qiagen). The  $\Delta\Delta$ Cp method was applied to normalise to the expression of *TERT* mRNA, using both the expression of  $\beta$  actin and a nontumour brain tissue sample.

**Statistical analysis.** The  $\chi^2$  test was used to compare the distribution of categorical variables and unpaired *t*-test or Mann–Whitney test associations with continuous variables.

Overall survival (OS) was defined as the time between the diagnosis and death or last follow-up. Patients who were still alive at last follow-up were considered as a censored event in the analysis. Progression-free survival (PFS) was defined as the time between the diagnosis and recurrence or last follow-up. Patients who were recurrence free at last follow-up were considered as a censored event in analysis. To identify clinical and/or genomic factors associated with OS or PFS, survival curves were calculated by the Kaplan–Meier method and differences between curves assessed using the log-rank test. Variables with a significant *P*-value were then used to develop a multivariate Cox model. In all analyses a *P*-value of  $\leq 0.05$  (two-sided) was considered to be statistically significant.

#### RESULTS

**Somatic and constitutional** *TERTp***-mut status.** Tumours from 807 patients (451 male; median age at diagnosis 51.0 years, range, 17.3–89.1; 206 grade II, 206 grade III and 395 grade IV) were screened for *TERTp*-mut. Complete patient characteristics are shown in Supplementary Table 2.

Tumours from 491 of the 807 patients (60.8%) were *TERT*pmut-355 C228T (72.3%) and 136 C250T (27.7%). One GBM and two grade II oligodendrogliomas carried both C250T and C228T. These three cases were considered as *TERT* C228T mutant in all subsequent analyses. To confirm the mutations were somatic, we screened germline DNA of 91 of the cases. No mutation was detectable in germline DNA. We also investigated for the presence of *TERT*p-mut, in 80 familial glioma patients and 64 glioma patients with a second cancer -14 with melanoma (Supplementary Table 2). In none of the cases was a -149, -124or -57 mutation identified.

rs2853669 genotypes were available for 385 of the tumours. The distribution of genotypes showed no significant departure from HWE (39 CC, 161 CT, 185 TT P = 0.650). There was no difference in the distribution of genotypes between the *TERTp* mut and the *TERTp* wt cases (TT 45.8 *vs* 53.5%, CT 44.3 *vs* 36.0% and CC: 10.0 *vs* 10.5%, respectively).

We then investigated a purported association between somatic *TERT*p-mut and rs2736100 genotype in 518 glioma patients, finding no association in the whole group (allele A frequency 371 out of 616 = 60% vs 249 out of 420 = 59%, P = 0.9) or when stratifying by *IDH* status and tumour class (Supplementary Table 3).

Case–control comparison of showed a stronger association with rs2736100 with *IDH*-wt gliomas but not with *TERT*p-mut gliomas (Supplementary Table 4). Collectively, these data imply there is no association between *TERT*p-mut and rs2736100 genotype. In

addition, we did not find any significant association between *TERT* promoter mutation and the other gliomas susceptibility SNPs rs11979158, rs2252586, rs4295627, rs4977756, rs498872 and rs6010620 (data not shown).

TERTp-mut is associated with GBM and EGFR amplification, and with oligodendroglioma, 1p19q codeletion and CIC mutation. TERTp-mut was associated with an older age at diagnosis in all gliomas (median age 56.1 years for TERT mutated patients vs 40.0 years; t-test P < 0.0001) and when stratified by grade (median age at diagnosis 40.4 years vs 36.1 for grade II, P = 0.008; 53.3 vs 37.8 for grade III, P < 0.0001 and 59.6 vs 53.6 years for grade IV, P < 0.0001).

*TERT*p-mut was more frequent in GBM than in grade II or III tumours (299 out of 395 = 75.8% vs 189 out of 412 = 45.9%;  $\chi^2$  test  $P < 10^{-17}$ ), more frequent in oligodendrogliomas than in astrocytomas/oligoastrocytomas for grade III (52 out of 81 = 64.2% vs

Table 1. Distribution of TERT promoter mutations in gliomas   according to WHO histological classification									
		C250T mutations (%)	C228T mutations (%)	All TERT mutations (%)					
Grade II		26/206 (12.5)	65/206 (31.4)	91/206 (44.0)					
	All	0/13 (0.0)	1/13 (7.7)	1/13 (7.7)					
	OAII	7/74 (9.5)	13/74 (17.6)	20/74 (27.0)					
	OII	19/119 (16.0)	51/119 (42.9)	70/119 (58.8)					
Grade III		30/206 (14.6)	68/206 (33.1)	98/206 (47.8)					
	All	0/30 (0.0)	12/30 (40.0)	12/30 (40.0)					
	OAIII	15/95 (15.8)	19/95 (20.0)	34/95 (35.8)					
	OIII	15/81 (18.5)	37/81 (45.7)	52/81 (64.2)					
Grade IV	GBM	77/395 (19.5)	222/395 (56.2)	299/395 (75.7)					
Abbreviations: All-diffuse astrocytoma: All-anaplastic astrocytoma: GBM-									

Abbreviations: All=diffuse astrocytoma; AllI= anaplastic astrocytoma; GBM = glioblastoma; OII= oligodendroglioma; OIII= anaplastic oligodendroglioma; OAII= oligoastrocytoma; OAII= anaplastic oligoastrocytoma; TERT=telomerase reverse transcriptase; WHO=world health organisation.

46 out of 125 = 30.7%:  $\chi^2$  test P = 0.0001) and for grade II (70 out of 119 = 58.8% vs 21 out of 87 = 24.1%;  $\chi^2$  test  $P < 10^{-6}$ ). Additionally, there was no difference in the ratio of C228T/ C250T mutations among the different grades (Table 1).

TERTp-mut was identifiable in 87.9% (94 out of 107 of gliomas with 1p19q codeletion (90 oligodendrogliomas, 17 oligoastrocytomas; 26 (24.3%) on C250T and 68 (63.6%) on C228T) as compared with 58.8% of non-codeleted gliomas (341 out of 580,  $\chi^2$  test P < 0.0001). EGFR amplification was present in 183 tumours (142) GBM) and was mutually exclusive with 1p19q codeletion: 163 (89.1%) having TERTp-mut (121, C228T) as compared with 51.8% (323 out of 624) of EGFR non-amplified tumours ( $\chi^2$  test P < 0.0001). The association of TERT promoter mutations with other molecular alterations commonly seen in glioma is detailed in Supplementary Table 5 and Supplementary Figure 1. We investigated whether there was a relationship between CIC inactivating mutations and TERTp-mut in grades II and III. CIC mutation was associated with TERTp-mut in 85% of the cases (28 out of 33), compared with 61% (25 out of 41) in CIC-wt tumours  $(\chi^2 \text{ test } P < 0.04).$ 

**TERTp-mut is associated with increased TERT mRNA expression.** We investigated the transcriptional consequences of *TERTp*-mut in 153 tumours for which mRNA was available. We found a three-fold increase in mRNA expression between *TERTp*-mut and non-mutated groups (mean  $\pm$  s.e.m. 1.03  $\pm$  0.37 vs 3.44  $\pm$  0.88 AU; Mann–Whitney test P < 0.0001. Figure 1A).

Since the presence of the rs2853669 -C allele disrupts an Ets2 binding site (Rachakonda *et al*, 2013), we investigated the effect of rs2853669 genotype on *TERT* mRNA expression. Tumours harbouring the variant allele (CC+CT) showed a two-fold reduction in *TERT* expression, as compared with TT homozygotes (respective means  $\pm$  s.e.m. 2.97  $\pm$  1.01 and 6.57  $\pm$  2.04 AU; Mann–Whitney test P = 0.005). This relationship was also seen in the *TERTp* mutant cohort, however we did not evidence any significant association in *TERTp* wt tumours (Figure 1B and C).



Figure 1. Expression of TERT mRNA in gliomas. The Mann–Whitney test was used to compare the expression of the different groups. (A) Expression of TERT mRNA according to TERT promoter mutation status. TERTp mutation (C228T n = 88 or C250T n = 30) is associated with higher TERT mRNA expression compared with TERTp-wt group (n = 35) ( $P \le 0.0001$  in both cases). (B) Expression of TERT mRNA according to rs2853669 status. Variant allele carriers (n = 70) present a lower TERT expression than TT homozygotes (n = 66) (P = 0.0053). (C) Expression of TERT mRNA according to TERTp and rs2853669 status. TERT mRNA expression is lower for the variant allele carriers (n = 62) compared with TT (n = 56) in TERTp-mut subgroup (P = 0.0079). For TERTp-wt group, only seven CC + CT samples and eight TT samples were available. (D) Expression of TERT mRNA according to CIC mutation status. TERT mRNA expression is increased in CIC mutant tumours (n = 18) compared with CIC wild type (n = 11; P = 0.043). (E) Impact of rs2853669 and CIC mutational status TERT expression. In the CIC-wt cohort, TERT expression was lower in CC + CT subgroup, as compared with TT subgroup (P = 0.0159). For the variant allele carriers (CC + CT), expression of TERT was increased in the CIC mutant group (n = 8), as compared with CIC wt (n = 5) (P = 0.0016). \*P < 0.05; \*\*P < 0.001; \*\*\*P < 0.0001. Since Ets/TCF transcription factors, including ETV1-4 transcription factors are controlled by *CIC* (Dissanayake *et al*, 2011), we also investigated a specific relationship with *CIC* mutation. We found *TERT* mRNA expression was two-fold higher in *CIC* mutant tumours, compared with *CIC* wild-type gliomas (Mann–Whitney test P = 0.043) for the whole group (Figure 1D), and for the carriers of the variant allele (Figure 1E). The variant allele C was also associated with a decrease in *TERT* mRNA expression in the *CIC* wt group.

**Prognostic impact of** *TERT***p-mut is dependent on tumour grade.** For patients with grade III and IV gliomas *TERT***p**-mut was associated with a significantly shorter PFS and OS (Figure 2; Supplementary Table 6). For example in grade III gliomas, median OS of *TERT* promoter normal patients was twice longer (62.6 vs 29.4 months) than OS of *TERT* promoter mutated (logrank test P = 0.013). This was in sharp contrast with low-grade gliomas, where OS was better for patients with *TERT***p**-mut (>16 years vs 97.5 months, P = 0.013). There was no difference in outcome between C228T and C250T *TERT***p**-mut in any of the analyses.

In a multivariate Cox model analysis incorporating *IDH* mutation, age at diagnosis, 1p19q codeletion, *MGMT* promoter methylation, Karnofsky performance status, WHO grade and extension of surgery (Table 2) *TERT*p-mut was seen to be an independent negative prognostic factor for OS (Hazard ratio (HR) = 1.50; 95% CI: 1.07–2.09, P = 0.018).

**TERTp-mut is associated with specific prognostic and molecular subgroups.** Given *TERTp*-mut is associated with both 1p19q codeletion and *EGFR* amplification, which are mutually exclusive alterations with opposite prognostic effects and *TERTp*-mut had a different effect in low- and high-grade gliomas, prompted us to refine our survival analysis (Figure 3). Gliomas can be stratified into four distinct prognostic groups according to *IDH* and *TERTp*-mut status: (1) *TERTp*-mut and *IDH*-mut, highly associated with 1p19q codeletion (83.9%, 94 out of 11), OS > 17 years; (2) *TERTp*-wt and *IDH*-mut, associated with *TP53* mutation (67.7%, 67 out of 99, OS = 97.5 months); (3) *TERTp*-wt and *IDH*-wt, with no specific association (all negative), OS = 31.6 months; (4) *TERTp*-mut and *IDH*-wt, highly associated with *EGFR* amplification (44.1%, 161 out of 365, OS = 15.0 months) (Figure 4).

**TERTp-mut confers a poor prognosis except if associated with 1p19q codeletion.** We considered the prognostic impact of the above classification in grades II, III and IV (Figure 5; Supplementary Table 7). In grades II and III, *TERTp-mut* was predictive of a longer survival in the *IDH* mutated group, but shorter survival in the *IDH* wt group. This finding can be explained by the fact that 94 out of 114 of *TERTp-mut-IDH-mut* are 1p19q codeleted. Indeed in the GBM group that do not include any 1p19q codeletion, *TERTp-mut* is associated with a particularly poor prognosis in *IDH-wt* tumours (OS = 13.8 vs16.5 months, P = 0.006) but surprisingly also in *IDH-mut* (OS = 13.8 vs 29.1



Figure 2. Prognostic impact of *TERT* promoter mutation status on overall survival and PFS, according to grade. Survivals were compared using the log-rank test (Mantel Cox). In grade II gliomas (n = 206), *TERT*p mutation is associated with better survival (median > 16 years vs 97.5 months; P = 0.013). There is also a trend for better PFS (median 41.3 vs 33.3 months; P = 0.068) (**A**) whereas in grade III (**B**; n = 206) and grade IV gliomas (**C**; n = 395), *TERT*p mutation is associated with poorer survival (median 29.4 vs 62.6 months P = 0.013 and 13.8 vs 18.4 months P < 0.0001) and PFS (median 15.1 vs 22.4 months P = 0.006 and 8.3 vs 10.4 months P < 0.0001).

#### Table 2. Cox model for overall survival and progression-free survival

	Overall survival			Progression-free survival		
Parameters	HR	95% CI for HR	Р	HR	95% CI for HR	Р
Age at diagnosis<60 years	0.631	0.470-0.848	0.002	0.798	0.610-1.044	0.0991
IDH mutation	0.586	0.369–0.930	0.023	0.707	0.473–1.056	0.090
1p19q codeletion	0.182	0.076–0.436	< 0.0001	0.433	0.257-0.730	0.002
Surgery vs biopsy	0.586	0.435–0.791	< 0.0001	0.896	0.682–1.178	0.432
MGMT promoter methylation	0.652	0.497–0.855	0.002	0.691	0.539–0.887	0.004
KPS>70	0.553	0.404–0.757	< 0.0001	0.567	0.421–0.763	< 0.0001
Grade	1.850	1.400–2.444	< 0.0001	1.215	0.989–1.494	0.064
TERT promoter mutation	1.497	1.071-2.092	0.018	1.766	1.299–2.401	< 0.0001
Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; HR = h conducted on 362 tumours with all parameters	nazard ratio: IDH = isoc available.	itrate dehydrogenase; KPS=K	arnofsky Performance	Status; TERT = telo	omerase reverse transcriptase	e. The analysis was



Figure 3. Association of *TERT* promoter mutations with the major genetic alterations in gliomas (n = 806). Each tumour is represented by a column. A yellow box indicates the presence of the genetic alteration, the absence in blue, and the cases not assessed are indicated in grey. The stratification has been done using four groups: *IDH* mut-*TERTp* mut, *IDH* mut-*TERTp* wt, *IDH* wt-*TERTp* wt and *IDH* wt *TERTp* mut. *TERTp* mutation is associated with two mutually exclusive alterations: 1p19g codeletion and *EGFR* amplification.



Figure 4. Prognostic stratification of gliomas according to *IDH* and *TERT* promoter mutation status (n = 804). (A) Overall survival. (B) Progression-free survival. We identified four prognostic subgroups, (1) *TERT*p-mut and *IDH*-mut (OS > 17 years, PFS 46.9 months), (2) *TERT*p-wt and *IDH*-mut (OS = 97.5 months, PFS 28.6 months), (3) *TERT*p-wt and *IDH*-wt, (OS = 31.6 months, PFS14.1 months) and (4) *TERT*p-mut and *IDH*-wt (OS = 15.0 months), PFS 8.5 months).

months, P = 0.022) (Figure 6). In contrast *TERT*p-mut was associated with a poorer outcome in *IDH*-wt gliomas irrespective of grade (OS: 76.2 vs 94.8 months in grade II P = ns; 18.0 vs 36.5 months in grade III P = 0.007; 13.7 vs 17.5 months in grade IV P = 0.006).

#### DISCUSSION

Given that 60% of tumours being *TERT*p-mut, *TERT* is the most frequently mutated gene in gliomas thus far identified (Arita *et al*, 2013; Killela *et al*, 2013; Liu *et al*, 2013). We found *TERT*p-mut glioma patients were older, consistent with previous reports of other malignancies (Griewank *et al*, 2013; Killela *et al*, 2013).

Unlike melanomas, in which germline *TERTp* mutations have been reported to cause familial melanoma (Horn *et al*, 2013), we found no evidence that *TERTp*-mut contributes substantially to predisposition to gliomas or the glioma/melanoma syndrome.

Our data showed that *TERT*p-mut is generally associated with poorer outcome in high-grade gliomas, consistently with previous data, on glioma (Killela *et al*, 2013, 2014), and other tumours (Rachakonda *et al*, 2013). In contrast, however we observed a trend for better outcome in low-grade gliomas. Stratifying tumours by both *IDH1/2* and *TERT*p-mut status provides insight into this apparent paradox, identified four molecular subtypes of gliomas with distinct prognosis, In *IDH* mutated tumours, *TERT*p-mut is largely confined to 1p19q codeleted oligodendroglial tumours that have the best outcome (Kaloshi *et al*, 2007; van den Bent *et al*, 2013). Mutation of *CIC*, recently identified (Bettegowda *et al*, 2011;



Figure 5. Prognostic stratification of gliomas according to *IDH* and *TERT* promoter mutation status (four subgroups) in grade II (n=205), grade III (n=206) and grade IV (n=394). Median survivals are indicated in months if not otherwise stated. *TERT*p mutation is associated with a poorer outcome in *IDH*-wt gliomas whatever the grade. *TERT*p mutation is associated with better outcome in *IDH* mut grades II and III (OS: >16 years vs 107.8 months in grade II P=0.004; >16 years vs 102.4 in grade III P=0.0005). In contrast, the survival of grade IV with *TERT*p mutation and *IDH*-mut is extremely poor compared with *TERT*p-wt (13.8 vs 29.1 months; P=0.022).

Yip *et al*, 2012) is also primarily a feature of this group (Figure 3). In contrast, if *TERT*p-mut is associated with *IDH1/2* wild-type tumours, then it is mainly seen in the context of GBM (276 out of 340) with almost half of them (124 out of 276) having an *EGFR* amplification which is associated with poor outcome. In our study, this subgroup also included 54 grade III gliomas that had a particularly poor OS of 20.1 months. Taken together, our data show that the prognostic impact of *TERT*p-mut is highly contextual and depends on the histologic and genomic background of the tumour.

From a mechanistic point of view, *TERTp* mutation leads to the creation of a putative binding site for Ets/TCF transcription factors (Huang *et al*, 2013), leading to a two- to four-fold higher expression of telomerase (Arita *et al*, 2013; Huang *et al*, 2013; Nault *et al*, 2013; Rachakonda *et al*, 2013). The activity of telomerase reverse transcriptase is closely correlated with *TERT* mRNA level. The expression of *TERT* is regulated by many transcription factors binding motives located in its promoter and

by epigenetic and chromatin remodelling mechanisms (Kyo *et al*, 2008; Zhu *et al*, 2010). Among the complex regulation of telomerase expression, rs2853669 has been shown to modulate both *TERT* expression and impact on prognosis in bladder cancer (Rachakonda *et al*, 2013). Indeed, the presence of the variant allele disrupts a pre-existing Ets2 binding site and results in the decrease of *TERT* expression in our series. However, unlike bladder cancer, rs2853669 variant does not modify the prognostic impact of *TERTp* mutation in our glioma series (data not shown). Our data also suggest a link between *CIC* mutation and *TERT* expression in the context of glial tumours. Indeed, the presence of the variant allele of rs2853669 did not result in a reduction of *TERT* expression in the *CIC* mutant subgroup.

Among the 40% gliomas lacking *TERTp* mutation, ~50% harbour an *IDH* mutation (mostly astrocytomas (43 out of 180) and oligoastrocytomas (91 out of 180), which are frequently *TP53* mutated. In this group, mutations in the *ATRX* gene (alpha thalassaemia/mental retardation syndrome X-linked), or in its



Figure 6. Prognostic stratification of gliomas according to grade in the four molecular subgroups (*IDH* mut *TERTp* mut n = 122; *IDH* mut *TERTp* mut n = 180; *IDH* wt *TERTp* wt n = 114, *IDH* wt *TERTp* mut n = 340). There is a dramatic difference of survival between grade IV (OS = 13.8 months) and grades II + III (OS > 16 years) in the *IDH*-mut-*TERT*-mut group (*P* < 0.0001). In contrast, the group 2 (*IDH*-mut-*TERT*-wt) is much more homogeneous through grades II–IV.

partner death domain-associated protein (*DAXX*), which are involved in alternative lengthening telomere (ALT) phenotype, have been frequently documented (Jiao *et al*, 2012; Kannan *et al*, 2012; Liu *et al*, 2012; Killela *et al*, 2013) and are mutually exclusive with telomerase reactivation. The *IDH*-wt and *TERT*p-wt group includes mostly GBM tumours (58%, 66 out of 114). The 'triple negative' low-grade gliomas, characterised by a poorer outcome also conform to these categories (Metellus *et al*, 2010). Telomere maintenance mechanism has not been investigated yet in this subgroup.

A more detailed analysis shows the four group classification, recently reported (Killela *et al*, 2014) is an oversimplification (see Supplementary Figure 2 and Figure 5); for example, the *TERT*p-mut-*IDH*-mut is indicative of better outcome for grades II and III with an OS > 17 years, but is associated with a poorer outcome in GBM (OS = 13.8 months), whereas in GBM the best group

prognostic is those patients with *TERT*p-wt-*IDH*-mut group (OS = 29.1 vs 13.8 months for the *TERT*p-mut-*IDH* mut group). This discrepancy is unlikely to be solely explained by the relationship between *TERT*p mutation and 1p19q codeletion, present in 89% (94 out of 106) of our *TERT*p-mut-*IDH*-mut grades II and III, but none of our grade IV tumours. In fact, the survival of patients with *TERT*p-mut-*IDH*-mut grades II and III, without 1p19q codeletion was not significantly different from those with 1p19q codeleted tumours (median OS = 10 years) (Supplementary Figure 3).

In conclusion, our data confirm the high frequency of *TERT*p-mut in glioma and show that these mutations clusterise into specific entities, with distinct clinical significances. *TERT*p mutations are mostly associated with poor outcome, except for 1p19q codeleted grade II and grade III, and for *EGFR* amplified grade III and grade IV (Supplementary Figure 2A and B, respectively). A telomere maintenance mechanism (either *TERTp* mutation or *ATRX/DAXX* mutations) is involved in >80% of gliomas and appears therefore as a unique feature in these tumors, offering the prospect of new therapeutic approaches.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study is supported by grants from the Institut National du cancer (INCa), the Association pour la Recherche contre le Cancer (ARC), the Ligue Nationale contre le Cancer (LNCC), and Association pour la Recherche sur les Tumeurs cérébrales (ARTC). ALDS is supported by an investigator fellowship from Collegio Ghislieri, Pavia, Italy. VG and SM are supported by a grant from the Association pour la Recherche contre le Cancer (ARC). SM is supported by a grant from the Ligue Nationale contre le Cancer (LNCC).

### REFERENCES

- Aapola U, Kawasaki K, Scott HS, Ollila J, Vihinen M, Heino M, Shintani A, Minoshima S, Krohn K, Antonarakis SE, Shimizu N, Kudoh J, Peterson P (2000) Isolation and initial characterization of a novel zinc finger gene, DNMT3L, on 21q22.3, related to the cytosine-5-methyltransferase 3 gene family. *Genomics* 65(3): 293–298.
- Arita H, Narita Y, Fukushima S, Tateishi K, Matsushita Y, Yoshida A, Miyakita Y, Ohno M, Collins VP, Kawahara N, Shibui S, Ichimura K (2013) Upregulating mutations in the TERT promoter commonly occur in adult malignant gliomas and are strongly associated with total 1p19q loss. *Acta Neuropathol* **126**(2): 267–276.
- Aubert G, Lansdorp PM (2008) Telomeres and aging. *Physiol Rev* 88(2): 557–579.
- Bettegowda C, Agrawal N, Jiao Y, Sausen M, Wood LD, Hruban RH, Rodriguez FJ, Cahill DP, McLendon R, Riggins G, Velculescu VE, Oba-Shinjo SM, Marie SK, Vogelstein B, Bigner D, Yan H, Papadopoulos N, Kinzler KW (2011) Mutations in CIC and FUBP1 contribute to human oligodendroglioma. *Science* 333(6048): 1453–1455.
- Brennan CW, Verhaak RG, McKenna A, Campos B, Noushmehr H, Salama SR, Zheng S, Chakravarty D, Sanborn JZ, Berman SH, Beroukhim R, Bernard B, Wu CJ, Genovese G, Shmulevich I, Barnholtz-Sloan J, Zou L, Vegesna R, Shukla SA, Ciriello G, Yung WK, Zhang W, Sougnez C, Mikkelsen T, Aldape K, Bigner DD, Van Meir EG, Prados M, Sloan A, Black KL, Eschbacher J, Finocchiaro G, Friedman W, Andrews DW, Guha A, Iacocca M, O'Neill BP, Foltz G, Myers J, Weisenberger DJ, Penny R, Kucherlapati R, Perou CM, Hayes DN, Gibbs R, Marra M, Mills GB, Lander E, Spellman P, Wilson R, Sander C, Weinstein J, Meyerson M, Gabriel S, Laird PW, Haussler D, Getz G, Chin L (2013) The somatic genomic landscape of glioblastoma. *Cell* 155(2): 462–477.
- Cesare AJ, Reddel RR (2010) Alternative lengthening of telomeres: models, mechanisms and implications. *Nat Rev Genet* 11(5): 319–330.

- Di Stefano AL, Enciso-Mora V, Marie Y, Desestret V, Labussiere M, Boisselier B, Mokhtari K, Idbaih A, Hoang-Xuan K, Delattre JY, Houlston RS, Sanson M (2013) Association between glioma susceptibility loci and tumour pathology defines specific molecular etiologies. *Neuro Oncol* 15(5): 542–547.
- Dissanayake K, Toth R, Blakey J, Olsson O, Campbell DG, Prescott AR, MacKintosh C (2011) ERK/p90(RSK)/14-3-3 signalling has an impact on expression of PEA3 Ets transcription factors via the transcriptional repressor capicua. *Biochem J* 433(3): 515–525.
- Everhard S, Kaloshi G, Criniere E, Benouaich-Amiel A, Lejeune J, Marie Y, Sanson M, Kujas M, Mokhtari K, Hoang-Xuan K, Delattre JY, Thillet J (2006) MGMT methylation: a marker of response to temozolomide in low-grade gliomas. *Ann Neurol* **60**(6): 740–743.
- Gonzalez-Aguilar A, Idbaih A, Boisselier B, Habbita N, Rossetto M, Laurenge A, Bruno A, Jouvet A, Polivka M, Adam C, Figarella-Branger D, Miquel C, Vital A, Ghesquieres H, Gressin R, Delwail V, Taillandier L, Chinot O, Soubeyran P, Gyan E, Choquet S, Houillier C, Soussain C, Tanguy ML, Marie Y, Mokhtari K, Hoang-Xuan K (2012) Recurrent mutations of MYD88 and TBL1XR1 in primary central nervous system lymphomas. *Clin Cancer Res* 18(19): 5203–5211.
- Griewank KG, Murali R, Schilling B, Scholz S, Sucker A, Song M, Susskind D, Grabellus F, Zimmer L, Hillen U, Steuhl KP, Schadendorf D, Westekemper H, Zeschnigk M (2013) TERT promoter mutations in ocular melanoma distinguish between conjunctival and uveal tumours. *Br J Cancer* 109(2): 497–501.
- Horn S, Figl A, Rachakonda PS, Fischer C, Sucker A, Gast A, Kadel S, Moll I, Nagore E, Hemminki K, Schadendorf D, Kumar R (2013) TERT promoter mutations in familial and sporadic melanoma. *Science (New York, NY)* 339(6122): 959–961.
- Huang FW, Hodis E, Xu MJ, Kryukov GV, Chin L, Garraway LA (2013) Highly recurrent TERT promoter mutations in human melanoma. *Science* 339(6122): 957–959.
- Idbaih A, Marie Y, Lucchesi C, Pierron G, Manie E, Raynal V, Mosseri V, Hoang-Xuan K, Kujas M, Brito I, Mokhtari K, Sanson M, Barillot E, Aurias A, Delattre JY, Delattre O (2008) BAC array CGH distinguishes mutually exclusive alterations that define clinicogenetic subtypes of gliomas. *Int J Cancer* **122**(8): 1778–1786.
- Jiao Y, Killela PJ, Reitman ZJ, Rasheed AB, Heaphy CM, de Wilde RF, Rodriguez FJ, Rosemberg S, Oba-Shinjo SM, Marie SK, Bettegowda C, Agrawal N, Lipp E, Pirozzi C, Lopez G, He Y, Friedman H, Friedman AH, Riggins GJ, Holdhoff M, Burger P, McLendon R, Bigner DD, Vogelstein B, Meeker AK, Kinzler KW, Papadopoulos N, Diaz LA, Yan H (2012) Frequent ATRX, CIC, and FUBP1 mutations refine the classification of malignant gliomas. Oncotarget 3(7): 709–722.
- Kaloshi G, Benouaich-Amiel A, Diakite F, Taillibert S, Lejeune J, Laigle-Donadey F, Renard MA, Iraqi W, Idbaih A, Paris S, Capelle L, Duffau H, Cornu P, Simon JM, Mokhtari K, Polivka M, Omuro A, Carpentier A, Sanson M, Delattre JY, Hoang-Xuan K (2007) Temozolomide for low-grade gliomas: predictive impact of 1p/19q loss on response and outcome. *Neurology* 68(21): 1831–1836.
- Kannan K, Inagaki A, Silber J, Gorovets D, Zhang J, Kastenhuber ER, Heguy A, Petrini JH, Chan TA, Huse JT (2012) Whole-exome sequencing identifies ATRX mutation as a key molecular determinant in lower-grade glioma. Oncotarget 3(10): 1194–1203.
- Killela PJ, Pirozzi CJ, Healy P, Reitman ZJ, Lipp E, Rasheed BA, Yang R, Diplas BH, Wang Z, Greer PK, Zhu H, Wang CY, Carpenter AB, Friedman H, Friedman AH, Keir ST, He J, He Y, McLendon RE, Herndon 2nd JE, Yan H, Bigner DD (2014) Mutations in IDH1, IDH2, and in the TERT promoter define clinically distinct subgroups of adult malignant gliomas. Oncotarget 5(6): 1515–1525.
- Killela PJ, Reitman ZJ, Jiao Y, Bettegowda C, Agrawal N, Diaz Jr LA, Friedman AH, Friedman H, Gallia GL, Giovanella BC, Grollman AP, He TC, He Y, Hruban RH, Jallo GI, Mandahl N, Meeker AK, Mertens F, Netto GJ, Rasheed BA, Riggins GJ, Rosenquist TA, Schiffman M, Shih IeM, Theodorescu D, Torbenson MS, Velculescu VE, Wang TL, Wentzensen N, Wood LD, Zhang M, McLendon RE, Bigner DD, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B, Papadopoulos N, Yan H (2013) TERT promoter mutations occur frequently in gliomas and a subset of tumors derived from cells with low rates of self-renewal. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 110(15): 6021–6026.
- Kyo S, Takakura M, Fujiwara T, Inoue M (2008) Understanding and exploiting hTERT promoter regulation for diagnosis and treatment of human cancers. *Cancer Sci* **99**(8): 1528–1538.

- Liu X, Wu G, Shan Y, Hartmann C, von Deimling A, Xing M (2013) Highly prevalent TERT promoter mutations in bladder cancer and glioblastoma. *Cell Cycle (Georgetown, Tex)* **12**(10): 1637–1638.
- Liu XY, Gerges N, Korshunov A, Sabha N, Khuong-Quang DA, Fontebasso AM, Fleming A, Hadjadj D, Schwartzentruber J, Majewski J, Dong Z, Siegel P, Albrecht S, Croul S, Jones DT, Kool M, Tonjes M, Reifenberger G, Faury D, Zadeh G, Pfister S, Jabado N (2012) Frequent ATRX mutations and loss of expression in adult diffuse astrocytic tumors carrying IDH1/IDH2 and TP53 mutations. Acta Neuropathol 124(5): 615–625.
- Metellus P, Coulibaly B, Colin C, de Paula AM, Vasiljevic A, Taieb D, Barlier A, Boisselier B, Mokhtari K, Wang XW, Loundou A, Chapon F, Pineau S, Ouafik L, Chinot O, Figarella-Branger D (2010) Absence of IDH mutation identifies a novel radiologic and molecular subtype of WHO grade II gliomas with dismal prognosis. Acta Neuropathol 120(6): 719–729.
- Nault JC, Mallet M, Pilati C, Calderaro J, Bioulac-Sage P, Laurent C, Laurent A, Cherqui D, Balabaud C, Zucman-Rossi J (2013) High frequency of telomerase reverse-transcriptase promoter somatic mutations in hepatocellular carcinoma and preneoplastic lesions. *Nat Commun* 4: 2218.
- Rachakonda PS, Hosen I, de Verdier PJ, Fallah M, Heidenreich B, Ryk C, Wiklund NP, Steineck G, Schadendorf D, Hemminki K, Kumar R (2013) TERT promoter mutations in bladder cancer affect patient survival and disease recurrence through modification by a common polymorphism. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **110**(43): 17426–17431.

Sanson M, Marie Y, Paris S, Idbaih A, Laffaire J, Ducray F, El Hallani S, Boisselier B, Mokhtari K, Hoang-Xuan K, Delattre JY (2009) Isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 codon 132 mutation is an important prognostic biomarker in gliomas. J Clin Oncol 27(25): 4150–4154.

- Shay JW, Wright WE (2011) Role of telomeres and telomerase in cancer. Semin Cancer Biol **21**(6): 349–353.
- Shete S, Hosking FJ, Robertson LB, Dobbins SE, Sanson M, Malmer B, Simon M, Marie Y, Boisselier B, Delattre JY, Hoang-Xuan K, El Hallani S, Idbaih A, Zelenika D, Andersson U, Henriksson R, Bergenheim AT, Feychting M, Lonn S, Ahlbom A, Schramm J, Linnebank M, Hemminki K,

Kumar R, Hepworth SJ, Price A, Armstrong G, Liu Y, Gu X, Yu R, Lau C, Schoemaker M, Muir K, Swerdlow A, Lathrop M, Bondy M, Houlston RS (2009) Genome-wide association study identifies five susceptibility loci for glioma. *Nat Genet* **41**(8): 899–904.

- Simon M, Hosking FJ, Marie Y, Gousias K, Boisselier B, Carpentier C, Schramm J, Mokhtari K, Hoang-Xuan K, Idbaih A, Delattre JY, Lathrop M, Robertson LB, Houlston RS, Sanson M (2010) Genetic risk profiles identify different molecular etiologies for glioma. *Clin Cancer Res* 16(21): 5252–5259.
- Smogorzewska A, de Lange T (2004) Regulation of telomerase by telomeric proteins. Annu Rev Biochem 73: 177–208.
- van den Bent MJ, Brandes AA, Taphoorn MJ, Kros JM, Kouwenhoven MC, Delattre JY, Bernsen HJ, Frenay M, Tijssen CC, Grisold W, Sipos L, Enting RH, French PJ, Dinjens WN, Vecht CJ, Allgeier A, Lacombe D, Gorlia T, Hoang-Xuan K (2013) Adjuvant procarbazine, lomustine, and vincristine chemotherapy in newly diagnosed anaplastic oligodendroglioma: long-term follow-up of EORTC brain tumor group study 26951. J Clin Oncol **31**(3): 344–350.
- Yip S, Butterfield YS, Morozova O, Chittaranjan S, Blough MD, An J, Birol I, Chesnelong C, Chiu R, Chuah E, Corbett R, Docking R, Firme M, Hirst M, Jackman S, Karsan A, Li H, Louis DN, Maslova A, Moore R, Moradian A, Mungall KL, Perizzolo M, Qian J, Roldan G, Smith EE, Tamura-Wells J, Thiessen N, Varhol R, Weiss S, Wu W, Young S, Zhao Y, Mungall AJ, Jones SJ, Morin GB, Chan JA, Cairncross JG, Marra MA (2012) Concurrent CIC mutations, IDH mutations, and 1p/19q loss distinguish oligodendrogliomas from other cancers. J Pathol 226(1): 7–16.
- Zhu J, Zhao Y, Wang S (2010) Chromatin and epigenetic regulation of the telomerase reverse transcriptase gene. *Protein Cell* 1(1): 22–32.

This work is published under the standard license to publish agreement. After 12 months the work will become freely available and the license terms will switch to a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Share Alike 3.0 Unported License.

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper on British Journal of Cancer website (http://www.nature.com/bjc)