Peer-reviewed paper; submitted May 2017; accepted July 2017

# Molar-Incisor Hypomineralisation – a review of its public health aspects

Naysmith K, Thomson WM

#### **Abstract**

Since Molar-Incisor Hypomineralisation (MIH) was defined by Weerheijm et al (2001), it has been the focus of considerable research attention. In assessing whether MIH is a dental public health problem, the prevalence, aetiology, treatment needs, and impacts of the condition need to be considered. MIH has been found to be a common dental anomaly with significant short and long-term impacts to the individual and treating dentist that justifies it being considered a dental public health problem. The research to date has made it difficult to interpret the extent of its public health significance due to the lack of standardisation of diagnostic criteria, the different age ranges of examined children, and differences in sampling methods. The modified version of the EAPD criteria (Elfrink et al, 2015) shows promise for the fields of MIH epidemiological and aetiological research. It would be helpful for future service planning and improved clinical outcomes to have a standardised criterion for future national oral health studies and routinely collected data from the Community Oral Health Service. It is clear that there are considerable impacts on children with moderate to severe MIH, their families and treating clinicians; however, there has been very little investigation of either the psychological impacts or the costs (both in treatment and in time off work and school). Dental public health and paediatric dentists should work together on the many aspects of MIH to undertake research on its prevalence, aetiology, and clinical outcomes. This research would help improve awareness of this complex condition among patients, their families, dental professionals and policy-makers.

#### Introduction

Molar-Incisor Hypomineralisation (MIH) has been the focus of considerable research attention in recent years, mostly from paediatric dentists. Weerheijm et al (2001) suggested the term Molar-Incisor Hypomineralisation (MIH) for a specific pattern of enamel defects defined as "Hypomineralisation of systemic origin of one to four permanent first molars frequently associated with affected incisors". Prior to this naming of MIH as a specific clinical entity, many names had been used in the literature, such as hypomineralised first molars, cheese molars, idiopathic enamel hypomineralisation, and non-fluoride hypomineralisation (Weerheijm et al, 2015).

MIH is a qualitative defect of enamel that can range clinically from localised chalky white defects, to yellow/ brown defects, and has a clear demarcation between the affected and normal enamel. Examples of MIH are shown in Figures 1, 2 and 3. Severe cases will feature post-eruptive breakdown or atypical restorations. An association between its colour and the degree of porosity or protein content has been established, with yellow-brown defects having lower hardness and higher protein content than white defects (Jälevik and Norén, 2000; Farah et al, 2010a,b). The opacities are usually limited to the incisal (or cuspal) one-third of the crown, rarely affecting the cervical enamel (Jälevik and Norén, 2000; Farah et al, 2010c). Farah et al (2010c) found that the enamel of MIH affected teeth is not thinner than that of unaffected teeth suggesting that the aetiological insult occurs during the maturation phase of tooth development. Ameloblasts have been shown in animal models to be very sensitive to environmental





**Figure 1.** An example of MIH affecting permanent first molars and mandibular permanent incisors (Photos courtesy of Dr Erin Mahoney).



**Figure 2.** An example of MIH affecting maxillary central incisors and mandibular permanent first molars in a 7 year-old child. The child's lower right mandibular permanent first molar has had post-eruptive breakdown and rapid caries causing pain.



**Figure 3.** An example of a MIH demarcated brown enamel defect with post-eruptive breakdown.

disturbances in the early stages of enamel maturation (Suga, 1989).

Even though the four permanent first molars in a given individual have been subjected to the same systemic insult, the resulting severity and pattern of enamel defects is often asymmetrical (Weerheijm, 2004). It has been suggested that MIH may be a spectrum of severity ranging from small white enamel defects affecting just the permanent first molars to the more severely affected brown enamel defects on multiple permanent first molars and some permanent incisors (Chawla et al, 2008; Oliver et al, 2014). The number of permanent first molars affected can range from one to four. The probability of the permanent incisors being affected appears to be greater in cases with higher numbers of permanent first molars involved, and when those permanent first molars have more severe brown enamel lesions (Weerheijm, 2003; Ghanim et al, 2011; Oliver et al, 2014).

MIH has been recently linked to similar enamel defects in the second primary molars, with the later referred to as Hypomineralised Second Primary Molars (HSPM). A population-based, prospective cohort study by Elfrink et al (2012) found those with HSPM to be four times more likely to have MIH. Surveys of representative samples of 6-9 year-old children in India and Spain have also shown higher odds of MIH among those with HSPM (Mittal and Sharma, 2015a; Negre-Barber et al, 2016). HSPM can therefore be considered a predictive marker for MIH, and may help with its early diagnosis, although the absence of HPSMs does not preclude a child from having MIH.

The tips of the permanent canines and cusps of second molars have also been observed to have similar demarcated opacities (Weerheijm, 2003; Lygidakis et al, 2010). The prevalence of enamel defects on canines is often not reported, due to the fact that these teeth do not erupt until around age 11 years and most studies concerning MIH have observed younger participants. A study of Norwegian 16-year-olds found that one in four participants with MIH had at least one affected canine,

which was significantly more frequent than in the non-MIH participants (Schmalfuss et al, 2015).

The term "enamel hypoplasia" has sometimes been incorrectly used when there has been post-eruptive breakdown. Enamel hypoplasia is a quantitative defect of the enamel resulting from the disturbance of the ameloblasts in the secretory phase of enamel formation. By contrast, enamel hypomineralisation is a qualitative defect of the enamel resulting from a disturbance during the maturation phase of enamel formation, which causes the enamel to be weaker. This weak enamel can break down easily under masticatory forces, a process is known as post-eruptive breakdown. The two entities can be difficult to distinguish clinically (Ghanim et al, 2015; Weerheijm et al, 2015).

MIH creates challenging problems for both the affected child and the treating dentist. Teeth with MIH defects are often hypersensitive, difficult to anaesthetise, more susceptible to rapidly progressing dental caries, and they can be difficult to restore due to loss of tooth substance and the young age of the patient. Moreover, demarcated opacities on the permanent incisors can result in aesthetic problems. The treatment ranges (depending on the symptoms and severity of the case) from topical fluoride varnish, preventive coatings, adhesive restorations or stainless steel crowns, to extractions; in severely affected molars, extraction may be the treatment of choice to prevent long-term treatment burdens and costs. If extraction is required, it is ideally timed with the calcification of the bifurcation in the lower second permanent molar and in consultation with an orthodontist or paediatric dentist (Jälevik and Klingberg, 2002; Weerheijm, 2004; Mejàre et al, 2005; Oliver et al, 2014).

In considering whether an issue is a public health problem, the criteria of Burt and Eklund (2005) can be useful: first, it needs to be a widespread actual or potential cause of morbidity or mortality; and second, there should be a perception on the part of the public, government, or health authorities that the condition is a public health problem. When considering whether MIH is a dental public health problem, its prevalence and impact of the condition need to be analysed (Marshman et al, 2009). Given the significant long-term burden of MIH to the individual (both functionally and aesthetically), and the potential high cost of treating (both to the families and the State), it is important in planning care delivery to assess the prevalence, treatment needs, and impacts of the condition. Research into the prevalence of MIH is important in establishing evidence of the scale of MIH as a dental public health problem and to help secure resources to help treat affected individuals. Moreover, research into its aetiology is an important part of the process for determining appropriate preventive strategies.

# Diagnostic criteria

One of the difficulties in MIH research is that there have been many different examination protocols, diagnostic criteria, case definitions and reporting used in the past. Some studies have excluded carious, extracted or restored permanent first molars, and this has likely meant

that the prevalence of MIH has been underestimated (Crombie at al, 2009). The misdiagnosis of post-eruptive breakdown as "hypoplasia" may also have meant that MIH is under-recorded (Weerheijm et al, 2015). Ideally, research in MIH and HSPM needs to be standardised to allow comparisons to be made among studies (Elfrink et al, 2015).

Many different indices have been used for collecting MIH data. The modified Dental Defects of Enamel (mDDE) index has been used in many MIH studies; it classifies the enamel defects as either demarcated opacities, diffuse opacities, or hypoplasia (Clarkson and O'Mullane, 1989). Further adaptations to address limitations of the mDDE index were developed by Jälevik et al (2001a), who subdivided the demarcated lesions into mild, moderate and severe categories. Teeth with PEB or an existing restoration are considered to be in the severe category. However, the mDDE index was still considered to be time-consuming to use and to have limitations for MIH research. Accordingly, the European Academy of Paediatric Dentistry (EAPD) judgement criteria were developed by Weerheijm et al (2003) and then modified by Lygidakis et al (2010) to standardise the diagnosis and characteristics to be used in epidemiological studies. The EAPD criteria recommend that teeth be examined wet after brushing, at around the age of eight years old. The four permanent first molars and eight permanent incisors are examined for: absence or presence of demarcated opacities; post-eruptive enamel breakdown; atypical restorations; extraction of molars due to MIH; and the failure of eruption of a molar or an incisor.

Since the EAPD judgement criteria were developed in 2003, there has been a marked increase in clinical and research interest in MIH. However, that research continues to be criticised for a lack of standardisation which may have contributed to the large variations in estimated prevalence rates among studies (Crombie et al, 2009; Jälevik, 2010; Elfrink et al, 2015; Ghanim et al, 2015). Elfrink et al (2015) have recently recommended another modified version of the EAPD criteria, developed at the 12th EAPD Congress in Poland in 2014. They recommended the inclusion of at least 300 children for prevalence studies and 1000 children for aetiological factor studies. It is also recommended for HSPM studies that the children be 5 years old and, for MIH studies, they be 8 years old. Importantly, they recommended that the examiners be calibrated and use a standardised recording form as described by Ghanim et al (2015). They argued that doing this would improve the grade of evidence and enable meta-analyses to compare studies. The standardised forms published by Ghanim et al (2015) have integrated elements of the EAPD criteria and the mDDE index. They have developed two forms: the short form, designed for simple screening surveys, which only grades the index teeth for MIH and HSPM; and the long form, designed for longitudinal research, and which includes all teeth and a severity grading score for MIH/ HSPM teeth. The new standardised EAPD criteria show promise for MIH research, but they require validation and reliability testing in different populations (Ghanim et al, 2015).

Table 1. A summary of the MIH prevalence studies with representative samples

Investigators	Country	Year	Sample size	Age (years)	Score criteria	Prevalence (%)	95% Confidence Interval
Kirthiga et al (2015)	India	2013	2000	11-16	EAPD 2003	8.9	7.1, 10.1
Weerheijm et al (2001)	The Netherlands	1999	497	11	Weerheijm 2001	9.7	7.1, 12.3
Muratbegovic et al (2007)	Bosnia & Herzegovina	2004	560	12	EAPD 2003	12.3	9.6, 15.0
Jasulaityte et al (2008)	The Netherlands	2003	442	9	MIH 2001	14.3	11.0, 17.6
Zawaideh et al (2011)	Jordan	2009	3241	7-9	EAPD 2003	17.6	16.3, 18.9
Garcia-Margarit et al (2014)	Spain	2009	840	8	EAPD 2003	21.8	19.0, 24.6

Another recently developed index, the Molar Hypomineralised Severity Index (MHSI), has been developed based on clinical characteristics and the EAPD judgement criteria (Chawla et al, 2008). It was validated in a practice-based study of paediatric dental specialists in Melbourne, Australia (Oliver et al, 2014), but to date has not been used elsewhere.

#### Prevalence

Reported prevalence estimates for MIH differ depending on the region and population studied (Weerheijm, 2004). A review of the literature on the prevalence of MIH and HSPM by Elfrink et al (2015) found a wide range in reported prevalence (MIH 2.9 to 44.0%; HSPM 0-21.8%). This may represent real differences among regions, or it could be due to a lack of standardisation of the diagnostic criteria, different ages of children at examination, differences in sampling, and different birth cohorts (Weerheijm and Mejàre, 2003). Very few studies have used representative samples protocol. In the studies that have done so (Table 1), a higher prevalence has been reported from those using younger participants; this may be because, in some of the older children, the more severely affected permanent molars have already been extracted by the time of data collection. Some of the studies reporting higher MIH prevalence have included small enamel defects, while others have excluded defects smaller than 2 mm. Data from studies using non-representative samples (Table 2) should be interpreted with caution. For example, Balmer et al (2005) reported on small samples of children attending two orthodontic clinics (in Sydney and Leeds) over a two-week period and found very high rates of MIH (44% and 40% respectively). These should not be interpreted as prevalence estimates: it is likely that any such clinical sample will feature higher proportions of children with the condition because dealing with the consequences of major enamel defects is one of the indications for orthodontic treatment.

Most of the MIH prevalence studies have been from Europe, with none from North America and very few from the Middle East (Ghanim et al, 2011; Meligy et al, 2014). This raises the question of why dental researchers have not reported on MIH in North America; is it less prevalent in North America and therefore not a concern? Closer to home in New Zealand, Mahoney and Morrison (2011) reported the prevalence of MIH to be 15.7%, surveying 756 children from different socioeconomic

areas in the Wellington region. This means that one in seven children may have this condition, and dental professionals in New Zealand will have to deal with this problem on a regular basis.

There has been some speculation that the prevalence of MIH is increasing. However, this could be from improved awareness and recognition of the condition, and an ongoing decrease in the prevalence of dental caries in the permanent dentition making MIH more noticeable (Weerheijm et al, 2015). More research with standardised research criteria and representative samples is needed to know whether there has actually been an increase in MIH prevalence. Such work should be conducted using standard oral epidemiological techniques. Reports from clinical samples are not helpful in this respect.

#### Aetiology

Since the description of MIH as a clinical entity by Weerheijm et al (2001), there have been many studies of its aetiology. However, despite that work, the cause of MIH is currently unclear. Given the development of the enamel in the permanent first molars and second primary molars, the timing of any causative environmental disruption can be estimated to be between the 18<sup>th</sup> week of pregnancy and around 3-5 years of age (Weerheijm et al, 2015). However, the most vulnerable period is thought to be from birth until 6-7 months of age (Fagrell et al, 2013).

Most of the studies investigating the aetiology of MIH to date have been retrospective using questionnaires or interviews, and a limitation of this method is recall bias from information provided by the parents for information of their children's early years (Crombie et al, 2009). Another limitation of clinical studies in MIH is the small numbers of participants; hence, the recommendation by Elfrink et al (2015) to have 1000 participants for studies on the aetiological factors of MIH. Larger prospective studies starting with pregnant mothers and following to the eruption of the permanent first molars are required for more understanding of the factors involved (Weerheijm, 2003).

The literature mentions many possible aetiological factors as the cause for MIH, and these can occur in the pre-, peri-, or postnatal periods. Some possible factors reported are respiratory tract problems, dioxins, pregnancy complications, perinatal complications, low birth weight, oxygen starvation, calcium and phosphate metabolic disorders, frequent childhood diseases and high

Volume 113 December 2017

 Table 2. A summary of the MIH prevalence studies with non-representative samples

Chine Lat   (2008)	Investigators	Country	Year Examined	Sample type	Sample size	Age (years)	Score criteria	Prevalence (%)
Koch et al (1987)         Sweden         1979, 1983         Birth year group coborts         252         9-13         Koch et al. (9.14.5.4.) 1987         4.1-5.4. 1987           Dietrich et al (2003)         Germany         2002         School cluster sample         2008         10-17         mDoe         5.6           Prousser et al (2007)         Germany         Unknown         School cluster sample         1792         6-9         RPD 2003         6.3           Mittal et al (2014)         India         2009-2010         School cluster sample         1792         6-9         RPD 2003         7.3           Allazzam et al (2014)         Study         1986-2011         Dental clinic cross-sectional         267         8-12         EAPD 2003         7.3           Allazzam et al (2014)         India         2011         Dental clinic cross-sectional         266         8-12         EAPD 2003         8.6           Elfinik et al (2012)         India         2011-2012         Dental clinic cross-sectional         1173         8-13         EAPD 2003         9.5           Parkh et al (2014)         India         2011-2012         School cluster sample         287         6-9         EAPD 2003         9.7           Shassal et al (2015)         India         2012-2013	Cho et al (2008)		2006	-	2635	11-14	EAPD 2003	2.8
Interinder and (2003)   Germany   2002   School cluster sample   2008   Regrany   10   Regrany	Fteita et al (2006)	Libya	2004	School cluster sample	378	7-8	MIH 2001	2.9
Preusser et al (2007)         Germany         Unknown         School cluster sample         1002         6-12         Roch et al 1987         5-9           Mittal et al (2014)         India         2009-2010         School cluster sample         1792         6-9         EAPD 2003         6.3           Condo et al (2012)         Italy         1996-2011         Dental clinic random selection         1500         4-15         EAPD 2003         7.3           Sommez et al (2013)         Turkey         Unknown         School cluster sample         4049         7-12         EAPD 2003         8.6           Elfrink et al (2012)         India         2011-2012         Dental clinic cross-sectional         1661         6         EAPD 2003         8.7           Parikh et al (2012)         India         2011-2012         Dental clinic cross-sectional         1173         8-13         EAPD 2003         9.7           Parikh et al (2016)         India         2011-2012         Dental clinic cross-sectional         1173         8-13         EAPD 2003         9.7           Jassularity et al (2017)         Lithuania         2011-2012         Dental clinic cross-sectional         1173         8-13         EAPD 2003         9.7           Vannem et al (2014)         Germany         2011-2012 </td <td>Koch et al (1987)</td> <td>Sweden</td> <td>1979, 1983</td> <td>Birth year group cohorts</td> <td>2252</td> <td>9-13</td> <td></td> <td>4.4-15.4</td>	Koch et al (1987)	Sweden	1979, 1983	Birth year group cohorts	2252	9-13		4.4-15.4
Mittal et al (2014)	Dietrich et al (2003)	Germany	2002	School cluster sample	2408	10-17	mDDE	5.6
Cond et al (2012)         Italy         1996-2011         Dental clinic random selection         1500         4-15         EAPD 2003         7.3           Sommez et al (2013)         Turkey         Unknown         School cluster sample         4049         7-12         EAPD 2003         7.7           Allazzam et al (2014)         The Netherlands         2018         Dental clinic cross-sectional         161         6         EAPD 2003         9.2           Elrink et al (2012)         India         2018-2011         Birth orbort         1616         6         EAPD 2003         9.2           Bhaskar and Hedge (2014)         India         2011-2012         Pental clinic cross-sectional         1173         8-13         EAPD 2003         9.5           Jasubrityte et al (2007)         Lithuania         2012         Randomised school cluster sample         2864         8-12         EAPD 2003         9.7           Yannam et al (2016)         India         2012         School cluster sample         2864         8-12         EAPD 2003         9.0           Lygidaks et al (2016)         Gerece         2003-2005         School cluster sample         289         8-12         EAPD 2003         10.2           Mittal and Sharma (2015)         Italy         2012-2013         Scho	Preusser et al (2007)	Germany	Unknown	School cross-sectional sample	1002	6-12		5.9
Sommez et al (2013)   Turkey   Unknown   School cluster sample   4049   7-12   EAPD 2003   7.7	Mittal et al (2014)	India	2009-2010	School cluster sample	1792	6-9	EAPD 2003	6.3
Allazarm et al (2014)   Saudi Arabia   2011   Dental clinic cross-sectional   267   8-12   EAPD 2003   8-6	Condo et al (2012)	Italy	1996-2011	Dental clinic random selection	1500	4-15	EAPD 2003	7.3
Elfrink et al (2012)   The Netherlands   2008-2011   Birth cohort   Sample   Sampl	Sonmez et al (2013)	Turkey	Unknown	School cluster sample	4049	7-12	EAPD 2003	7.7
Parish et al (2012)   India   Unknown   School and dental clinic cluster sample	Allazzam et al (2014)	Saudi Arabia	2011	Dental clinic cross-sectional	267	8-12	EAPD 2003	8.6
Sample   S	Elfrink et al (2012)	The Netherlands	2008-2011	Birth cohort	6161	6	EAPD	8.7
Jasulaityte et al (2007)         Lithuania         2004         School cluster sample         1277         6-9         EAPD 2003         9.7           Yannam et al (2016)         India         2012         Randomised school cluster sample         2864         8-12         EAPD 2003         9.7           Petrou et al (2014)         Germany         2011-2012         School cluster sample         2395         8         EAPD 2003         10.2           Hygidakis et al (2008)         Greece         2003-2005         Retrospective dental clinic notes         3518         5-12         EAPD 2003         10.5           Ng et al (2015)         Singapore         2011         School cluster sample         1083         7.7         EAPD 2003         12.5           Calderara et al (2005)         Italy         2002         School cluster sample         227         7-8         MIH 2001         13.7           Kemoli (2008)         Kenya         2006         School cluster sample         794         15-17         EAPD 2003         13.9           Zagdwon et al (2005)         United Kingdom         Unknown         School cluster sample         794         15-17         EAPD 2003         13.9           Zagdwon et al (2001)         New East al (2005         United Kingdom         Unknow	Parikh et al (2012)	India	Unknown		1366	8-12	EAPD 2003	9.2
Yannam et al (2016)         India         2012         Randomised school cluster sample         2864         8-12         EAPD 2003         9.7           Petrou et al (2014)         Germany         2011-2012         School cluster sample         2395         8         EAPD 2003         9.9           Lygidakis et al (2008)         Greece         2003-2005         Retrospective dental clinic notes         3518         5-12         EAPD 2003         10.2           Mittal and Sharma (2015b)         India         2012-2013         School cluster sample         1240         8-12         EAPD 2003         10.5           Ng et al (2015)         Singapore         2011         School cluster sample         227         7-8         Mill 2001         13.7           Kemoli (2008)         Kenya         2006         School cluster sample         297         15-17         EAPD 2003         13.9           Schmalfuss et al (2015)         Norway         2010-2011         School cluster sample         794         15-17         EAPD 2003         13.9           Schmalfuss et al (2015)         Norway         2010-2011         School cluster sample         794         15-17         EAPD 2003         14.9           Kusku et al (20015)         Turkey         2007         Dental clinic cross	Bhaskar and Hedge (2014)	India	2011-2012	Dental clinic cross-sectional	1173	8-13	EAPD 2003	9.5
Petrou et al (2014)         Germany         2011-2012         School cluster sample         2395         8         EAPD 2003         9.9           Lygidakis et al (2008)         Greece         2003-2005         Retrospective dental clinic notes         3518         5-12         EAPD 2003         10.2           Mittal and Sharma (2015b)         India         2012-2013         School cluster sample         1240         8-12         EAPD 2003         10.5           Ng et al (2015)         Singapore         2011         School cluster sample         1083         7.7         EAPD 2003         12.5           Calderar a et al (2005)         Italy         2002         School cluster sample         227         7-8         Mill 2001         13.7           Kemoli (2008)         Kenya         2006         School cluster sample         3591         6-8         Kemoli         13.7           Zagdwon et al (2005)         United Kingdom         Unknown         School cluster sample         307         7         mDDE         14.5           Kusku et al (2008)         Turkey         2007         Dental clinic cross-sectional         147         7-9         EAPD 2003         15.8           Balmer et al (2017)         Mexico         Unknown         School cluster sample <t< td=""><td>Jasulaityte et al (2007)</td><td>Lithuania</td><td>2004</td><td>School cluster sample</td><td>1277</td><td>6-9</td><td>EAPD 2003</td><td>9.7</td></t<>	Jasulaityte et al (2007)	Lithuania	2004	School cluster sample	1277	6-9	EAPD 2003	9.7
Lygidakis et al (2008)         Greece         2003-2005         Retrospective dental clinic notes         3518         5-12         EAPD 2003         10.2           Mittal and Sharma (2015b)         India         2012-2013         School cluster sample         1240         8-12         EAPD 2003         10.5           Ng et al (2015)         Singapore         2011         School cluster sample         1083         7.7         EAPD 2003         12.5           Calderar et al (2005)         Italy         2002         School cluster sample         227         7-8         MiH 2001         13.7           Kemoli (2008)         Kenya         2010-2011         School cluster sample         794         15-17         EAPD 2003         13.9           Zagdwon et al (2002)         United Kingdom         Unknown         School cluster sample         307         7         mDDE         14.5           Kusku et al (2008)         Turkey         2007         Dental clinic cross-sectional         147         7-9         EAPD 2003         14.9           Mahoney and Morrison (2011)         New Zealand         2008, 2011         School cluster sample         756         7-10         mDDE         15.7           Gurrusquieta et al (2012)         Mexico         Unknown         School cluster	Yannam et al (2016)	India	2012	Randomised school cluster sample	2864	8-12	EAPD 2003	9.7
Mittal and Sharma (2015b)         India         2012-2013         School cluster sample         1240         8-12         EAPD 2003         10.5           Ng et al (2015)         Singapore         2011         School cluster sample         1083         7.7         EAPD 2003         12.5           Calderara et al (2005)         Italy         2002         School cluster sample         227         7-8         MIH 2001         13.7           Kemoli (2008)         Kerya         2006         School cluster sample         794         15-17         EAPD 2003         13.9           Zagdwon et al (2002)         United Kingdom         Unknown         School cluster sample         794         15-17         EAPD 2003         13.9           Zagdwon et al (2008)         Turkey         2007         Dental clinic cross-sectional         147         7-9         EAPD 2003         14.9           Mahoney and Morrison (2011)         New Zealand         2008, 2011         School cluster sample         756         7-10         mDDE         15.7           Gurrusquieta et al (2017)         Mexico         Unknown         School cluster sample         323         12         mDDE         15.9           Balmer et al (2012)         Great Britain         2008-2009         School stratified sampl	Petrou et al (2014)	Germany	2011-2012	School cluster sample	2395	8	EAPD 2003	9.9
Ng et al (2015)         Singapore         2011         School cluster sample         1083         7.7         EAPD 2003         12.5           Calderar et al (2005)         Italy         2002         School cluster sample         227         7-8         MIH 2001         13.7           Kemoli (2008)         Kenya         2006         School cluster sample         3591         6-8         Kemoli 2008         13.7           Schmalfuss et al (2015)         Norway         2010-2011         School cluster sample         794         15-17         EAPD 2003         13.9           Zagdwon et al (2002)         United Kingdom         Unknown         School cluster sample         77         mDDE         14.5           Kusku et al (2008)         Turkey         2007         Dettal clinic cross-sectional         147         7-9         EAPD 2003         14.9           Mahoney and Morrison (2011)         New Zealand         2008, 2011         School cluster sample         756         7-10         mDDE         15.7           Gurrusquieta et al (2017)         Mexico         Unknown         School cluster sample         3233         12         mDDE         15.9           Blondi et al (2011)         Argentina         2008-2009         Bental clinic cross-sectional         1098	Lygidakis et al (2008)	Greece	2003-2005	Retrospective dental clinic notes	3518	5-12	EAPD 2003	10.2
Calderara et al (2005)         Italy         2002         School cluster sample         227         7-8         MIH 2001         13.7           Kemoli (2008)         Kenya         2006         School cluster sample         3591         6-8         Kemoli 2008         13.7           Schmalfuss et al (2015)         Norway         2010-2011         School cluster sample         794         15-17         EAPD 2003         13.9           Zagdwon et al (2002)         United Kingdom         Unknown         School cluster sample         307         7         mDDE         14.5           Kusku et al (2008)         Turkey         2007         Dental clinic cross-sectional         147         7-9         EAPD 2003         14.9           Mahoney and Morrison (2011)         New Zealand         2008, 2011         School cluster sample         756         7-10         mDDE         15.7           Gurrusquieta et al (2017)         Mexico         Unknown         School cluster sample         1156         6-12         EAPD 2003         15.8           Balmer et al (2011)         Argentina         2008-2009         School strattified sample         3233         12         mDDE         15.9           Alaluusua et al (2011)         Argentina         208-2099         Dental clinic cross-s	Mittal and Sharma (2015b)	India	2012-2013	School cluster sample	1240	8-12	EAPD 2003	10.5
Kemoli (2008)         Kenya         2006         School cluster sample         3591         6-8         Kemoli 2008         13.7           Schmalfuss et al (2015)         Norway         2010-2011         School cluster sample         794         15-17         EAPD 2003         13.9           Zagdwon et al (2002)         United Kingdom         Unknown         School cluster sample         307         7         mDDE         14.5           Kusku et al (2008)         Turkey         2007         Dental clinic cross-sectional         147         7-9         EAPD 2003         14.9           Mahoney and Morrison (2011)         New Zealand         2008, 2011         School cluster sample         756         7-10         mDDE         15.7           Gurrusquieta et al (2017)         Mexico         Unknown         School cluster sample         1156         6-12         EAPD 2003         15.8           Balmer et al (2012)         Great Britain         2008-2009         School stratified sample         3233         12         mDDE         15.9           Blondi et al (2011)         Argentina         2010         Dental clinic cross-sectional         1098         7-17         DDE         15.9           Alaluusua et al (1996)         Spain         2008-2009         Dental clinic c	Ng et al (2015)	Singapore	2011	School cluster sample	1083	7.7	EAPD 2003	12.5
Schmalfuss et al (2015)         Norway         2010-2011         School cluster sample         794         15-17         EAPD 2003         13.9           Zagdwon et al (2002)         United Kingdom         Unknown         School cluster sample         307         7         mDDE         14.5           Kusku et al (2008)         Turkey         2007         Dental clinic cross-sectional         147         7-9         EAPD 2003         14.9           Mahoney and Morrison (2011)         New Zealand         2008, 2011         School cluster sample         756         7-10         mDDE         15.7           Gurrusquieta et al (2017)         Mexico         Unknown         School cluster sample         1156         6-12         EAPD 2003         15.8           Balmer et al (2012)         Great Britain         2008-2009         School cluster sample         3233         12         mDDE         15.9           Biondi et al (2011)         Argentina         2010         Dental clinic cross-sectional         1098         7-17         DDE         15.9           Alaluusua et al (1996)         Finland         1993-1994         Brith cohort         1096         505         6-14         EAPD 2003         17.8           Jalevik et al (2010)         Sweden         1998         <	Calderara et al (2005)	Italy	2002	School cluster sample	227	7-8	MIH 2001	13.7
Zagdwon et al (2002)         United Kingdom         Unknown         School cluster sample         307         7         mDDE         14.5           Kusku et al (2008)         Turkey         2007         Dental clinic cross-sectional         147         7-9         EAPD 2003         14.9           Mahoney and Morrison (2011)         New Zealand         2008, 2011         School cluster sample         756         7-10         mDDE         15.7           Gurrusquieta et al (2017)         Mexico         Unknown         School cluster sample         1156         6-12         EAPD 2003         15.8           Balmer et al (2012)         Great Britain         2008-2009         School cluster sample         3233         12         mDDE         15.9           Biondi et al (2011)         Argentina         2010         Dental clinic cross-sectional         1098         7-17         DDE         15.9           Alaluusua et al (1996)         Finland         1993-1994         Birth cohort         102         6-7         Alaluusua 1996         17.0           Martinez Gomez et al (2012)         Spain         2008-2009         Dental clinic cross-sectional         505         6-14         EAPD 2003         17.8           Jalevik et al (2001)         Iraq         2009-2010         Sc	Kemoli (2008)	Kenya	2006	School cluster sample	3591	6-8		13.7
Kusku et al (2008)         Turkey         2007         Dental clinic cross-sectional         147         7-9         EAPD 2003         14.9           Mahoney and Morrison (2011)         New Zealand         2008, 2011         School cluster sample         756         7-10         mDDE         15.7           Gurrusquieta et al (2017)         Mexico         Unknown         School cluster sample         1156         6-12         EAPD 2003         15.8           Balmer et al (2012)         Great Britain         2008-2009         School stratified sample         3233         12         mDDE         15.9           Biondi et al (2011)         Argentina         2010         Dental clinic cross-sectional         1098         7-17         DDE         15.9           Alaluusua et al (1996)         Finland         1993-1994         Birth cohort         102         6-7         Alaluusua 190         17.0           Martinez Gomez et al (2012)         Spain         2008-2009         Dental clinic random sample         505         6-14         EAPD 2003         17.8           Jalevik et al (2001)         Iraq         2008-2009         Dental clinic random sample         516         7-8         mDDE         18.4           Ghanim et al (2011)         Iraq         2009-2010         Sch	Schmalfuss et al (2015)	Norway	2010-2011	School cluster sample	794	15-17	EAPD 2003	13.9
Mahoney and Morrison (2011)         New Zealand         2008, 2011         School cluster sample         756         7-10         mDDE         15.7           Gurrusquieta et al (2017)         Mexico         Unknown         School cluster sample         1156         6-12         EAPD 2003         15.8           Balmer et al (2012)         Great Britain         2008-2009         School stratified sample         3233         12         mDDE         15.9           Biondi et al (2011)         Argentina         2010         Dental clinic cross-sectional         1098         7-17         DDE         15.9           Alaluusua et al (1996)         Finland         1993-1994         Birth cohort         102         6-7         Alaluusua plange         17.0           Martinez Gomez et al (2012)         Spain         2008-2009         Dental clinic random sample         505         6-14         EAPD 2003         17.8           Jalevik et al (2001)         Sweden         1998         School cluster sample         516         7-8         mDDE         18.4           Ghanim et al (2011)         Iraq         2009-2010         School cluster sample         823         7-9         EAPD 2003         18.6           Leppaniemi et al (2001)         Brazil         2008         School clust	Zagdwon et al (2002)	United Kingdom	Unknown	School cluster sample	307	7	mDDE	14.5
Gurrusquieta et al (2017)         Mexico         Unknown         School cluster sample         1156         6-12         EAPD 2003         15.8           Balmer et al (2012)         Great Britain         2008-2009         School stratified sample         3233         12         mDDE         15.9           Biondi et al (2011)         Argentina         2010         Dental clinic cross-sectional         1098         7-17         DDE         15.9           Alaluusua et al (1996)         Finland         1993-1994         Birth cohort         102         6-7         Alaluusua 17.0         1996           Martinez Gomez et al (2012)         Spain         2008-2009         Dental clinic random sample         505         6-14         EAPD 2003         17.8           Jalevik et al (2001)         Sweden         1998         School cluster sample         516         7-8         mDDE         18.4           Ghanim et al (2011)         Iraq         2009-2010         School cluster sample         823         7-9         EAPD 2003         18.6           Leppaniemi et al (2001)         Brazil         2008         School cluster sample         918         6-12         EAPD 2003         19.8           Ghanim et al (2014)         Iran         Unknown         Randomised school cluster s	Kusku et al (2008)	Turkey	2007	Dental clinic cross-sectional	147	7-9	EAPD 2003	14.9
Balmer et al (2012)         Great Britain         2008-2009         School stratified sample         3233         12         mDDE         15.9           Biondi et al (2011)         Argentina         2010         Dental clinic cross-sectional         1098         7-17         DDE         15.9           Alaluusua et al (1996)         Finland         1993-1994         Birth cohort         102         6-7         Alaluusua 1996         17.0           Martinez Gomez et al (2012)         Spain         2008-2009         Dental clinic random sample         505         6-14         EAPD 2003         17.8           Jalevik et al (2001)         Sweden         1998         School cluster sample         516         7-8         mDDE         18.4           Ghanim et al (2011)         Iraq         2009-2010         School cluster sample         823         7-9         EAPD 2003         18.6           Leppaniemi et al (2001)         Finland         1996         Dental clinic cross-sectional         488         7-13         Alaluusua 1996         19.3 1996           Da Costa-Silva et al (2010)         Brazil         2008         School cluster sample         918         6-12         EAPD 2003         19.8           Ghanim et al (2014)         Iran         Unknown         Randomised	Mahoney and Morrison (2011)	New Zealand	2008, 2011	School cluster sample	756	7-10	mDDE	15.7
Biondi et al (2011)         Argentina         2010         Dental clinic cross-sectional         1098         7-17         DDE         15.9           Alaluusua et al (1996)         Finland         1993-1994         Birth cohort         102         6-7         Alaluusua 1996         17.0           Martinez Gomez et al (2012)         Spain         2008-2009         Dental clinic random sample         505         6-14         EAPD 2003         17.8           Jalevik et al (2001)         Sweden         1998         School cluster sample         516         7-8         mDDE         18.4           Ghanim et al (2011)         Iraq         2009-2010         School cluster sample         823         7-9         EAPD 2003         18.6           Leppaniemi et al (2001)         Finland         1996         Dental clinic cross-sectional         488         7-13         Alaluusua 19.3           Da Costa-Silva et al (2010)         Brazil         2008         School cluster sample         918         6-12         EAPD 2003         19.8           Ghanim et al (2014)         Iran         Unknown         Randomised school cluster sample         810         9-11         EAPD 2003         20.2           Arrow (2008)         Australia         2006-2007         School cluster sample	Gurrusquieta et al (2017)	Mexico	Unknown	School cluster sample	1156	6-12	EAPD 2003	15.8
Alaluusua et al (1996)         Finland         1993-1994         Birth cohort         102         6-7         Alaluusua 1996         17.0           Martinez Gomez et al (2012)         Spain         2008-2009         Dental clinic random sample         505         6-14         EAPD 2003         17.8           Jalevik et al (2001)         Sweden         1998         School cluster sample         516         7-8         mDDE         18.4           Ghanim et al (2011)         Iraq         2009-2010         School cluster sample         823         7-9         EAPD 2003         18.6           Leppaniemi et al (2001)         Finland         1996         Dental clinic cross-sectional         488         7-13         Alaluusua 1996         19.3 1996           Da Costa-Silva et al (2010)         Brazil         2008         School cluster sample         918         6-12         EAPD 2003         19.8           Ghanim et al (2014)         Iran         Unknown         Randomised school cluster sample         810         9-11         EAPD 2003         20.2           Arrow (2008)         Australia         2006-2007         School cluster sample         511         7.1         mDDE         22.0           Negre-Barber et al (2016)         Spain         2013         Birth cohort </td <td>Balmer et al (2012)</td> <td>Great Britain</td> <td>2008-2009</td> <td>School stratified sample</td> <td>3233</td> <td>12</td> <td>mDDE</td> <td>15.9</td>	Balmer et al (2012)	Great Britain	2008-2009	School stratified sample	3233	12	mDDE	15.9
Martinez Gomez et al (2012)         Spain         2008-2009         Dental clinic random sample         505         6-14         EAPD 2003         17.8           Jalevik et al (2001)         Sweden         1998         School cluster sample         516         7-8         mDDE         18.4           Ghanim et al (2011)         Iraq         2009-2010         School cluster sample         823         7-9         EAPD 2003         18.6           Leppaniemi et al (2001)         Finland         1996         Dental clinic cross-sectional         488         7-13         Alaluusua 19.3         19.8           Da Costa-Silva et al (2010)         Brazil         2008         School cluster sample         918         6-12         EAPD 2003         19.8           Ghanim et al (2014)         Iran         Unknown         Randomised school cluster sample         810         9-11         EAPD 2003         20.2           Arrow (2008)         Australia         2006-2007         School cluster sample         511         7.1         mDDE         22.0           Negre-Barber et al (2016)         Spain         2013         Birth cohort         414         8-9         EAPD 2003         24.2           Wogelius et al (2008)         Denmark         2005         Dental clinic cross-sectional<	Biondi et al (2011)	Argentina	2010	Dental clinic cross-sectional	1098	7-17	DDE	15.9
Jalevik et al (2001)         Sweden         1998         School cluster sample         516         7-8         mDDE         18.4           Ghanim et al (2011)         Iraq         2009-2010         School cluster sample         823         7-9         EAPD 2003         18.6           Leppaniemi et al (2001)         Finland         1996         Dental clinic cross-sectional         488         7-13         Alaluusua 1996         19.3           Da Costa-Silva et al (2010)         Brazil         2008         School cluster sample         918         6-12         EAPD 2003         19.8           Ghanim et al (2014)         Iran         Unknown         Randomised school cluster sample         810         9-11         EAPD 2003         20.2           Arrow (2008)         Australia         2006-2007         School cluster sample         511         7.1         mDDE         22.0           Negre-Barber et al (2016)         Spain         2013         Birth cohort         414         8-9         EAPD 2003         24.2           Wogelius et al (2008)         Denmark         2005         Dental clinic cross-sectional         745         6-8         EAPD 2003         37.3           Balmer et al (2005)         United Kingdom         Unknown         Orthodontic clinic cross-secti	Alaluusua et al (1996)	Finland	1993-1994	Birth cohort	102	6-7		17.0
Ghanim et al (2011)         Iraq         2009-2010         School cluster sample         823         7-9         EAPD 2003         18.6           Leppaniemi et al (2001)         Finland         1996         Dental clinic cross-sectional         488         7-13         Alaluusua 1996         19.3           Da Costa-Silva et al (2010)         Brazil         2008         School cluster sample         918         6-12         EAPD 2003         19.8           Ghanim et al (2014)         Iran         Unknown         Randomised school cluster sample         810         9-11         EAPD 2003         20.2           Arrow (2008)         Australia         2006-2007         School cluster sample         511         7.1         mDDE         22.0           Negre-Barber et al (2016)         Spain         2013         Birth cohort         414         8-9         EAPD 2003         24.2           Wogelius et al (2008)         Denmark         2005         Dental clinic cross-sectional         745         6-8         EAPD 2003         37.3           Balmer et al (2005)         United Kingdom         Unknown         Orthodontic clinic cross-sectional         25         8-18         mDDE         40.0           Soviero et al (2009)         Brazil         2006         School cross-sect	Martinez Gomez et al (2012)	Spain	2008-2009	Dental clinic random sample	505	6-14	EAPD 2003	17.8
Leppaniemi et al (2001) Finland 1996 Dental clinic cross-sectional 488 7-13 Alaluusua 1996  Da Costa-Silva et al (2010) Brazil 2008 School cluster sample 918 6-12 EAPD 2003 19.8  Ghanim et al (2014) Iran Unknown Randomised school cluster sample 810 9-11 EAPD 2003 20.2  Arrow (2008) Australia 2006-2007 School cluster sample 511 7.1 mDDE 22.0  Negre-Barber et al (2016) Spain 2013 Birth cohort 414 8-9 EAPD 2003 24.2  Wogelius et al (2008) Denmark 2005 Dental clinic cross-sectional 745 6-8 EAPD 2003 37.3  Balmer et al (2005) United Kingdom Unknown Orthodontic clinic cross-sectional 25 8-18 mDDE 40.0  Soviero et al (2009) Brazil 2006 School cross-sectional sample 249 7-13 EAPD 2003 40.2	Jalevik et al (2001)	Sweden	1998	School cluster sample	516	7-8	mDDE	18.4
Da Costa-Silva et al (2010)         Brazil         2008         School cluster sample         918         6-12         EAPD 2003         19.8           Ghanim et al (2014)         Iran         Unknown         Randomised school cluster sample         810         9-11         EAPD 2003         20.2           Arrow (2008)         Australia         2006-2007         School cluster sample         511         7.1         mDDE         22.0           Negre-Barber et al (2016)         Spain         2013         Birth cohort         414         8-9         EAPD 2003         24.2           Wogelius et al (2008)         Denmark         2005         Dental clinic cross-sectional         745         6-8         EAPD 2003         37.3           Balmer et al (2005)         United Kingdom         Unknown         Orthodontic clinic cross-sectional         25         8-18         mDDE         40.0           Soviero et al (2009)         Brazil         2006         School cross-sectional sample         249         7-13         EAPD 2003         40.2	Ghanim et al (2011)	Iraq	2009-2010	School cluster sample	823	7-9	EAPD 2003	18.6
Ghanim et al (2014)         Iran         Unknown         Randomised school cluster sample         810         9-11         EAPD 2003         20.2           Arrow (2008)         Australia         2006-2007         School cluster sample         511         7.1         mDDE         22.0           Negre-Barber et al (2016)         Spain         2013         Birth cohort         414         8-9         EAPD 2003         24.2           Wogelius et al (2008)         Denmark         2005         Dental clinic cross-sectional         745         6-8         EAPD 2003         37.3           Balmer et al (2005)         United Kingdom         Unknown         Orthodontic clinic cross-sectional         25         8-18         mDDE         40.0           Soviero et al (2009)         Brazil         2006         School cross-sectional sample         249         7-13         EAPD 2003         40.2	Leppaniemi et al (2001)	Finland	1996	Dental clinic cross-sectional	488	7-13		19.3
Arrow (2008)         Australia         2006-2007         School cluster sample         511         7.1         mDDE         22.0           Negre-Barber et al (2016)         Spain         2013         Birth cohort         414         8-9         EAPD 2003         24.2           Wogelius et al (2008)         Denmark         2005         Dental clinic cross-sectional         745         6-8         EAPD 2003         37.3           Balmer et al (2005)         United Kingdom         Unknown         Orthodontic clinic cross-sectional         25         8-18         mDDE         40.0           Soviero et al (2009)         Brazil         2006         School cross-sectional sample         249         7-13         EAPD 2003         40.2	Da Costa-Silva et al (2010)	Brazil	2008	School cluster sample	918	6-12	EAPD 2003	19.8
Negre-Barber et al (2016)Spain2013Birth cohort4148-9EAPD 200324.2Wogelius et al (2008)Denmark2005Dental clinic cross-sectional7456-8EAPD 200337.3Balmer et al (2005)United KingdomUnknownOrthodontic clinic cross-sectional258-18mDDE40.0Soviero et al (2009)Brazil2006School cross-sectional sample2497-13EAPD 200340.2	Ghanim et al (2014)	Iran	Unknown	Randomised school cluster sample	810	9-11	EAPD 2003	20.2
Wogelius et al (2008)Denmark2005Dental clinic cross-sectional7456-8EAPD 200337.3Balmer et al (2005)United KingdomUnknownOrthodontic clinic cross-sectional258-18mDDE40.0Soviero et al (2009)Brazil2006School cross-sectional sample2497-13EAPD 200340.2	Arrow (2008)	Australia	2006-2007	School cluster sample	511	7.1	mDDE	22.0
Balmer et al (2005) United Kingdom Unknown Orthodontic clinic cross-sectional 25 8-18 mDDE 40.0 Soviero et al (2009) Brazil 2006 School cross-sectional sample 249 7-13 EAPD 2003 40.2	Negre-Barber et al (2016)	Spain	2013	Birth cohort	414	8-9	EAPD 2003	24.2
Soviero et al (2009) Brazil 2006 School cross-sectional sample 249 7-13 EAPD 2003 40.2	Wogelius et al (2008)	Denmark	2005	Dental clinic cross-sectional	745	6-8	EAPD 2003	37.3
	Balmer et al (2005)	United Kingdom	Unknown	Orthodontic clinic cross-sectional	25	8-18	mDDE	40.0
Balmer et al (2005) Australia Unknown Orthodontic clinic cross-sectional 25 8-16 mDDE 44.0	Soviero et al (2009)	Brazil	2006	School cross-sectional sample	249	7-13	EAPD 2003	40.2
	Balmer et al (2005)	Australia	Unknown	Orthodontic clinic cross-sectional	25	8-16	mDDE	44.0

fever (Jälevik et al, 2001b; Beentjes et al, 2002; Weerheijm, 2004; Lygidakis et al. 2008; Whatling and Fearne, 2008; Alaluusua, 2010). The use of antibiotics (in particular amoxicillin) has also been mentioned, but it is difficult to determine whether the association with MIH is caused by the antibiotic or the illness itself (Jälevik et al, 2001b; Weerheijm, 2004; Whatling and Fearne, 2008; Laisi et al, 2009; Alaluusua, 2010). Exposure to high levels of dioxins or polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) has been associated with opacities and/or hypoplasia via the mother's breast milk (Alaluusua et al, 1996). Other studies have not found a link between breastfeeding duration and MIH (Jälevik et al, 2001b; Leppäniemi et al, 2001; Whatling and Fearne, 2008). In fact, studies of developing nations' populations report that breastfeeding has a protective role against enamel defects and suggest that nutrition may be a more important factor (Agarwal et al, 2003; Crombie et al, 2009). Fluoride does not appear to be a factor in the causation of MIH (Whatling and Fearne, 2008; Crombie et al, 2009; Alaluusua, 2010; Balmer et al, 2012).

An alternative aetiology has been proposed by Viera and Kup (2016) who suggested that MIH may be a genetic condition involving the genes which guide enamel formation. They justify their theory by the geographic prevalence variation and lack of clear associations of environmental risk factors and MIH. A study of DNA samples from MIH cases and control cases found that several genes that are involved with enamel formation were also associated with MIH (Jeremias et al, 2013). More prospective cohort and laboratory studies will help us understand this better and answer questions such as why MIH is not common on other teeth (Whatling and Fearne, 2008; Alaluusua, 2010).

Very few studies have reported on ethnic differences in the aetiology of MIH. In Singapore, Ng et al (2015) found that a significantly higher proportion of Malay children compared to Chinese children had MIH. A Wellington study (Mahoney and Morrison, 2009; Mahoney and Morrison, 2011) found no statistically significant ethnic differences in MIH prevalence in New Zealand. It also found no difference by school area deprivation status. This contrasts with a study by Balmer et al (2005), which showed a significant association between higher socioeconomic status and MIH prevalence in Northern England.

Three systematic reviews into the aetiology of MIH (Crombie et al, 2009; Alaluusua, 2010; Silva et al, 2016) have all concluded that none of the research so far has identified clear risk factors, but all agree that the aetiology is likely to be multifactorial. Silva et al (2016) reported in a review that childhood illness is likely to play a role in MIH, but further prospective studies that account for confounding are needed, together with clear definitions for exposures. The authors surmised that it is likely that the cause of MIH is multifactorial, with genetic and epigenetic influences involved.

### Psychosocial impacts

The importance of psychosocial research is becoming more appreciated in the dental literature and it is a relatively new area of enquiry, especially in relation to children and young people. Over recent times, there has been an increase in reporting on the relationship between oral health status and children's Oral Health Related Quality of Life (OHRQoL) (Do et al, 2016; Thomson, 2016). However, there has been very little research on the psychosocial impacts of MIH to date. The psychosocial impacts of oral health can be researched by using quantitative and qualitative measures. The quantitative measures are normally by OHRQoL questionnaires, such as the Child Perceptions Questionnaire (CPQ), and Child Oral Health Impact Profile (COHIP). Qualitative methods such as focus groups, interviews, and written diaries could be used to gain more insight into the impacts of MIH (Marshman and Rodd, 2015). A follow-up study in Western Australia found that child OHRQoL was not associated with the presence of enamel defects, but this could have been due to the short-form CPQ<sub>11-14</sub> scale used not being discriminative enough for use with enamel defects (Arrow, 2017).

Most of the research on the psychosocial impacts of developmental defects of enamel has been in respect of dental fluorosis. One study by Marshman et al (2009) used in-depth interviews to explore the appearance-related impacts of developmental defects of enamel in the permanent incisors. There were twenty-one participants, of whom five had demarcated opacities and eleven had diffuse opacities. They found that there was a range of impacts for young people, but these were associated with defining aspects of sense of self, rather than age, gender or severity of enamel defect.

The impact of repeated treatment for MIH can also have an effect on the child. Jälevik and Klingberg (2002) found that children with severe MIH had treatment on the first permanent molars nearly ten times as often as a comparison group without MIH. The children with MIH also had more fear and anxiety about dental treatment. The authors recommended early treatment planning, good local anaesthetic and to the consideration of sedation. A follow-up study by Jälevik and Klingberg (2012) to assess the long-term outcomes of children who had severe MIH at age 9 years were studied again at age 18 years. They found that participants with severe MIH had poorer oral health and had undergone treatment on their permanent fist molars more than four times as often as the control group by age 18 years. Interestingly, although the severe MIH group had significantly more behaviour management problems, there was no difference in dental fear scores between the two groups, but this could be due to the small sample size.

Another impact to consider with MIH treatment is the time spent away from school and work for dental appointments by the child and accompanying family members. In some cases, multiple restorative appointments are needed, or a general anaesthetic may be required because of the treatment complexity and/or age of the child. These impacts should be included in any future longitudinal MIH research, along with information on the condition's psychosocial impacts (Marshman and Rodd, 2015).

### Conclusions

From a the dental public health perspective, it is important to establish how prevalent the condition is,

its burden to the individual, whether the condition can be prevented, and the financial cost of the condition to the health system. While MIH is less prevalent than dental caries—with approximately two in five year-8 New Zealand school children being affected by dental caries, and one in seven children being affected by MIH—MIH is still a significant oral health issue for our children (Mahoney and Morrison, 2011; Ministry of Health, 2014). However, we still do not know how many of these children are then going on to require treatment and what the cost of this treatment is to the individual and the healthcare system.

It is clear that there are large impacts on the children affected by severe MIH, with hypersensitivity, invasive treatments, aesthetic concerns and dental anxiety. In severe MIH cases, there is often a need for general anaesthetics for extractions or stainless steel crowns, and orthodontic treatment. However, very little research has investigated the psychosocial aspects for children affected by MIH. Again, there is a need for prospective cohort studies that include some OHRQoL questions, together with more in depth qualitative investigations to help answer these questions.

The role of research into the prevalence and aetiology of MIH is of importance to help address the oral health of the child population. From a public health point of view, it would be helpful to include a standardised score criterion in future national oral health studies and routinely collected data by New Zealand Community Oral Health Service to measure changes over time. Identifying trends and possible preventive strategies is an important role of public health dentistry. Having high quality standardised research is important for this. Prospective cohort research following children prenatally until the eruption of the permanent first molars and permanent incisors could help identify the predisposing and aetiological factors of MIH and could help with limiting or even preventing its occurrence. As with dental caries, the adage that prevention is better than treatment applies to MIH.

Children who are identified as at risk of MIH can be monitored closely around the time of permanent first molar eruption to allow early detection and preventive measures. Using HSPMs as a predictive risk indicator to enhance monitoring around 6 years of age could be included into the New Zealand Community Oral Health Service's clinical guidelines.

It is important for dental public health and paediatric dental specialists to work together on the many aspects of MIH to improve research, clinical outcomes and education for patients, their families, dental professionals and policy-makers for this condition.

## References

- Agarwal KN, Narula S, Faridi MMA, Kalra N (2003). Deciduous dentition and enamel defects. *Indian Pediatrics* 40:124-129.
- Alaluusua S (2010). Aetiology of molar-incisor hypomineralisation: a systematic review. *Eur Arch Paediatr Dent* 11(2): 53-58.
- Alaluusua S, Lukinmaa P-L, Vartiainen T, Partanen M, Torppa J, Tuomisto J (1996). Polychlorinated dibenzop-dioxins and dibenzofurans via mother's milk may cause developmental defects in the child's teeth. *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol* 1:193-197.
- Allazzam SM, Alaki SM, El Meligy OAS (2014). Molar Incisor Hypomineralization, Prevalence, and Etiology. *Int J Dent* doi:10.1155/2014/234508.
- Arrow P (2008). Prevalence of developmental enamel defects of the first permanent molars among school children in Western Australia. *Aus Dent J* 53:250-259.
- Arrow P (2017). Dental enamel defects, caries experience and oral health-related quality of life: a cohort study. *Australian Dent J* doi:10.1111/adj.12449.
- Balmer RC, Laskey D, Mahoney E, Toumba KJ (2005). Prevalence of enamel defects and MIH in non-fluoridated and fluoridated

- communities. Eur J Paediatr Dent 6(4):209-212.
- Balmer R Toumba J, Godson J, Duggal M (2012). The prevalence of molar incisor hypomineralisation in Northern England and its relationship to socioeconomic status and water fluoridation. *Int J Paediatr Dent* 22(4):250-257.
- Biondi AM, Cortese SG, Martinez K, Ortolani AM, Sebelli PM, Lenco M et al (2011). Prevalence of molar incisor hypomineralization in the city of Buenos Aires. *Acto Odontol Latinoam* 24(1):81-85.
- Beentjes VE, Weerheijm KL, Groen HJ (2002). Factor involved in the aetiology of molar-incisor hypomineralisation (MIH). European J Pediatr Dent 1:9-13.
- Bhasker SA, Hegde S (2014). Molarincisor hypomineralization: Prevalence, severity and clinical characteristics in 8- to 13-year-old children of Udaipur, India. *J Indian* Soc Pedod Prev Dent 32(4):322-329.
- Burt BA, Eklund SA (2005). Dentistry, Dental Practice and the Community. 6<sup>th</sup> ed. St Louis: Elsevier Saunders.
- Calderara PC, Gerthoux PM, Mocarelli P, Lukinmaa P-L, Tramacere PL, Alaluusua S (2005). The prevalence of Molar Incisor Hypomineralisation (MIH) in a group of Italian school children. Eur J Paediatr Dent 6(2):79-83.

- Chawla N, Messer LB, Silva M (2008). Clinical Studies on Molar-Incisor-Hypomineralisation Part 2: Development of a Severity Index. Eur Arch Paediatr Dent 9(4):191-199.
- Clarkson J, O'Mullane (1989). A Modified DDE Index for Use in Epidemiological Studies of Enamel Defects. *J Dent Res* 68:445-450.
- Crombie F, Manton D, Kilpatrick N (2009). Aetiology of molar-incisor hypomineralisation: a critical review. *Int J Paediatr Dent* 19:73-83.
- Condo R, Perugia C, Maturo P, Docimo R (2012). MIH: Epidemiologic Clinic Study in Peadiatric Patient. *Oral Implantol* 5(2-3):58-69.
- Cho S-Y, Ki Y, Chu V (2008). Molar incisor hypomineralization in Hong Kong Chinese children. *Int J Paediatr Dent* 18:348-352.
- Da Costa-Silva CM, Jeremias F, de Souza JF, de Cassia Loiola Cordeiro R, Santos-Pinto L, Cristina A et al (2010). Molar incisor hypomineralization: prevalence, severity and clinical consequences in Brazilian children. *Int J Paediatr Dent* 20(6): 426-434.
- Dietrich G, Sperling S, Hetzer G (2003). Molar Incisor Hypomineralisation in a group of children and adolescents living in Dresden (Germany). Eur J Paediatr Dent 3: 133-137.

NZ DENTAL **JOURNAL** 

- Do LG, Ha, DH, Spencer AJ (2016). Natural history and long-term impact of dental fluorosis: a prospective cohort study. *Med J Aus* 204(1):25e1-e7.
- Elfrink ME, Ghanim A, Manton DJ, Weerheijm KL (2015). Standardised studies on Molar Incisor Hypomineralisation (MIH) and Hypomineralised Second Primary Molars (HSPM): a need. *Eur Arch Paediatr Dent* 16:247-255.
- Elfrink ME, ten Cate JM, Jaddoe VW, Hofman A, Moll HA, Veerkamp JS (2012). Deciduous Molar Hypomineralization and Molar Incisor Hypomineralization. *J Dent Res* 91(6): 551-555.
- Fagrell TG, Salmon PL, Melin L, Noren J (2013). Onset of Molar Incisor Hypomineralization. Swed Dent J 37(2):61-70.
- Farah R, Drummond B, Swain M, Williams S (2010a). Linking the clinical presentation of molar-incisor hypomineralisation to its mineral density. *Int J Paediatr Dent* 20:353-360.
- Farah RA, Monk BC, Swain MV, Drummond BK (2010b). Protein content of molar-incisor hypomineralisation enamel. *J Dent* 38:591-596.
- Farah RA, Swain MV, Drummond BK, Cook R, Atieh M (2010c). Mineral density of hypomineralised enamel. *J Dent* 38:50-58.
- Fteita D, Ali A, Alaluusua S (2006).

  Molar-incisor hypomineralization
  (MIH) in a group of school-aged
  children in Benghazi, Libya. *Eur Arch Peadriatr Dent* 1(2):92-96.
- Garcia-Margarit M, Catalá-Pizarro M, Montiel-Company JM, Almerich-Silla JM (2014). Epidemiologic study of molar-incisor hypomineralization in 8-year-old Spanish children. *Int J Paediatr Dent* 24:14-22.
- Ghanim A, Bagheri R, Golkari A, Manton D (2014). Molar-incisor hypomineralisation: a prevalence study amongst primary schoolchildren of Shiraz, Iran. *Eur Arch Paediatr Dent* 15:75-82.
- Ghanim A, Elfrink M, Weerheijm K, Marino R, Manton D (2015). A practical method for use in epidemiological studies on enamel hypomineralisation. Eur Arch Paediatr Dent 16:235-246.
- Ghanim A, Morgan M, Marino R, Bailey D, Manton D (2011). Molar-incisor hypomineralisation: prevalence and defect characteristics in Iraqi children. *Int J Paediatr Dent* 21:413-421.
- Gurrusquieta BJ, Nunez VMM, Lopez MLAJ (2017). Prevalence of Molar Incisor Hypomineralization in Mexican children. *J Clin Pediatr Dent* 41(1):18-21.
- Jälevik B (2010). Prevalence and
  Diagnosis of Molar-IncisorHypomineralisation (MIH): A

- systematic review. *Eur Arch Paediatr Dent* 11(2):59-64.
- Jälevik B, Klingberg GA (2002). Dental treatment, dental fear and behaviour management problems in children with severe enamel hypomineralisation of their permanent first molars. *Int J Paediatr Dent* 12:24-32.
- Jälevik B, Klingberg GA (2012).
  Treatment outcomes and dental anxiety in 18-year-olds with MIH, comparisons with healthy control a longitudinal study. *Int J Paediatr Dent* 22:85-91.
- Jälevik B, Klingberg G, Barregard L, Norén J (2001a). The prevalence of demarcated opacities in permanent first molars in a group of Swedish children. *Acta Ondontol Scand* 59:255-260.
- Jälevik B, Norén JG (2000). Enamel hypomineralisation of permanent first molars: a morphological study and survey of possible aetiological factors. *Int J Pediatr Dent* 10:278-289.
- Jälevik B, Norén JG, Klingberg G, Barregard L (2001b). Etiologic factors influencing the prevalence of demarcated opacities in permanent first molars in a group of Swedish children. *Eur J Oral Sci* 109:230-234.
- Jasulaityte S, Veerkamp JS, Weerheijm KL (2007). Molar incisor hypomineralization: review and prevalence data from the study of primary school children in Kaunas/ Lithuania. Eur Arch Paediatr Dent 8(2):87-94.
- Jasulaityte S, Weerheijm KL, Veerkamp JS (2008). Prevalence of molar-incisor-hypomineralisation among children participating in the Dutch National Epidemiological Survey (2003). Eur Arch Paediatr Dent 9(4):218-223.
- Jeremias F, Koruyucu M, Kuchler EC, Bayram M, Tuna EB, Deeley K, et al (2013). Genes expressed in dental enamel development are associated with molar-incisor hypomineralization. *Arch Oral Bio* 58:1434-1442.
- Kemoli AM (2008). Prevalence of molar incisor hypomineralisation in six to eight year-olds in two rural divisions in Kenya. *East African Med J* 85(10): 514-519.
- Kirthiga M, Poornima P, Praveen, R, Gayathri P, Manju M, Priya M (2015). Prevalence and severity of molar incisor hypomineralization in children aged 11-16 years of a city in Karnataka, Davangere. *J Indian Society Pedodontics and Preventive Dent* 33(3):213-217.
- Koch G, Hallonsten A-L, Ludvigsson N, Hansson BO, Holst A, Ullbro C (1987). Epidemiologic study of idiopathic enamel hypomineralization in

- permanent teeth of Swedish children. *Comm Dent Epidemiol* 15:279-285.
- Kusku OO, Caglar E, Sandalli N (2008). The prevalence and aetiology of molar-incisor hypomineralisation in a group of children in Istanbul. *Eur J Paediatr Dent* 9(3):139-144.
- Laisi S, Ess A, Sahlberg C, Arvio P, Likinmaa P-L, Alaluusua S (2009). Amoxicillin may cause molar incisor hypomineralisation. J Dent Res 88(2):132-136.
- Leppäniemi A, Lukinmaa P-L, Alaluusua S (2000). Nonfluoride Hypomineralization in the Permanent First Molars and Their Impact on the Treatment Need. *Caries Res* 35:36-40.
- Lygidakis NA, Dimou G, Marinou D (2008). Molar-incisor-hypomineralisation (MIH). A retrospective clinical study in Greek children. II. Possible medical aetiological factors. *Eur Archives Paediatr Dent* 9(4):207-217.
- Lygidakis NA, Wong F, Jälevik B, Vierrou A-M, Alaluusua S, Espelid I (2010). Best clinical practice guidance for clinicians dealing with children presenting with Molar-Incisor-Hypomineralisation (MIH): an EAPD Policy Document. Eur Arch Paediatr Dent 11(2):75-81.
- Mahoney EK, Morrison DG (2009). The prevalence of Molar-Incisor Hypomineralisation (MIH) in Wainuiomata children. *NZ Dent J* 105(4):121-127.
- Mahoney EK, Morrison DG (2011). Further examination of the prevalence on MIH in the Wellington region. *NZ Dent J* 107(3): 79-84.
- Marshman Z, Gibson B, Robinson PG (2009). The impact of developmental defects of enamel on young people in the UK. *Comm Dent Oral Epidemiol* 37:45-57.
- Marshman Z, Rodd HD (2015).

  The Psychosocial Impacts of
  Developmental Enamel defects
  in Children and Young People. In:
  Planning and Care for Children and
  Adolescents with Dental Enamel
  Defects. Drummond BK, Kilpatrick
  N, editors. Springer-Verlag Berlin:
  Heidelberg, pp. 85-97.
- Martinez Gomez TP, Guinot Jimeno F, Bellet Dalmau LJ, Giner Tarrida L (2012). Prevalence of molar-incisor hypomineralisation observed using transillumination in a group of children from Barcelona (Spain). *Int J Paediatr Dent* 22(2):100-109.
- Mejàre I, Bergman E, Grindefjord M (2005). Hypomineralized molars and incisors of unknown origin: treatment outcome at age 18 years. *Int J Paediatr Dent* 15:20-28.

Volume 113 December 2017

- Meligy OAESE, Alaki SM, Allazzam SM (2014). Molar Incisor Hypomineralization in Children: A Review of the Literature. *Oral Hyg Health* 2(4):139: doi: 10.4172/2332-0702.1000139.
- Ministry of Health. Year 8 oral health data from the community oral health service. Wellington: Ministry of Health, 2014.
- Mittal NP, Goyal A, Gauba K, Kapur A (2014). Molar incisor hypomineralisation: prevalence and clinical presentation in school children of the northern region of India. *Eur Arch Peadiatr Dent* 15:11-18.
- Mittal N, Sharma BB (2015a).

  Hypomineralised second primary molars: prevalence, defect characteristics and possible association with Molar Incisor Hypomineralisation in Indian children.

  Eur Arch Paediatr Dent 16:441-447.
- Mittal N, Sharma BB (2015b). Molar incisor hypomineralization:
  Prevalence and defect characteristics in Indian schoolchildren. *J Cranio-Maxillary Diseases* 4(1): 49-56.
- Muratbegovic A, Markovic N,
  Ganibegovic Selimovic M (2007).
  Molar incisor hypomineralisation in
  Bosnia and Herzegovina: aetiology
  and clinical consequences in medium
  caries activity population. *Eur Arch Paediatr Dent* 8(4): 189-194.
- Negre-Barber A, Montiel-Company JM, Boronat-Catalá M, Catalá-Pizarro M, Almerich-Silla JM (2016). Hypomineralized Second Primary Molars as Predictor of Molar Incisor Hypomineralization. *Sci Rep* 6, 31929; doi: 10.1038/srep31929.
- Ng JJ, Eu OC, Nair R, Hong CH (2015). Prevalence of molar incisor hypomineralization (MIH) in Singaporean children. *Int J Paediatr Dent* 25(2): 73-78.
- Oliver K, Messer LB, Manton DJ, Kan K, Ng F, Olsen C, Sheahan J, Silva M, Chawla N (2014). Distribution and severity of molar hypomineralisation: a trial of a new severity index. *Int J Paediatr Dent* 24: 131-151.
- Parikh DR, Ganesh M, Bhasker V (2012).
  Prevalence and characteristics of
  Molar Incisor Hypomineralisation
  (MIH) in the child population residing
  in Gandhinagar, Gujarat, India. Eur
  Arch Paediatr Dent 13(1): 21-26.
- Petrou MA, Giraki M, Bissar A-R, Basner R, Wempe C, Altarabulsi MB et al (2014). Prevalence of molar incisor hypomineralisation among school children in four German cities. *Int J Paediatr Dent* 24(6): 434-440.
- Preusser SE, Ferring V, Wieklinski C, Wetzel W-E (2007). Prevalence and severity of Molar Incisor

- Hypomineralization in a region of Germany A brief communication. *J Public Health Dent* 67(3): 148-150.
- Schmalfuss A, Stenhagen KR, Tveit AB, Crossner C-G, Espelid I (2015). Canines are affected in 16-year-olds with molar-incisor hypomineralisation (MIH): an epidemiological study based on the Tromsø study: "Fit Futures". Eur Arch Paediatr Dent 17(2): 107-113.
- Silva MJ, Scurrah KJ, Manton DJ, Kilpatrick N (2016). Etiology of molar incisor hypomineralization – A systematic review. *Comm Dent Oral Epidemiol* 44:342-353.
- Sonmez H, Yildirm G, Bezgin T (2013). Putative factors associated with molar incisor hypomineralisation: an epidemiological study. *Eur Arch Peadiatr Dent* 14:375-380.
- Soviero V, Haubek D, Trindade C, Da Matta T, Poulsen S (2009). Prevalence and distribution of demarcated opacities and their sequelae in permanent 1st molars and incisors in 7 to 13-year-old Brazilian children. *Acta Odontol Scand* 67(3): 170-175.
- Suga S (1989). Enamel Hypomineralization viewed from the pattern of progressive mineralization of human and monkey developing enamel. Adv Dent Res 3(2):188-198.
- Thomson WM (2016). Public Health Aspects of Paediatric Dental Treatment under General Anaesthetic. Dent J 4(20): doi:10.3390/dj4020020.
- Vieira AR, Kup E (2016). On the Etiology of Molar-Incisor Hypomineralization. *Caries Res* 50:166-169.
- Weerheijm KL (2003). Molar Incisor Hypomineralisation (MIH). *Eur J Paediatr Dent* 4(3):114-120.
- Weerheijm KL (2004). Molar incisor hypomineralisation (MIH): clinical presentation, aetiology and management. *Dent Update* 31:9-12.
- Weerheijm KL, Duggal M, Mejàre I, Papagiannoulis L, Koch G, Martens LC, Hallonsten A-L (2003). Judgement criteria for Molar Incisor Hypomineralisation (MIH) in epidemiologic studies: a summary of the European meeting on MIH held in Athens, 2003. Eur J Paediatr Dent 4(3):110-113.
- Weerheijm KL, Elfrink MEC,
  Kilpatrick N (2015). Molar
  Incisor Hypomineralization and
  Hypomineralized Second Primary
  Molars: Diagnosis, Prevalence, and
  Etiology. In: Planning and Care for
  Children and Adolescents with Dental
  Enamel Defects. Drummond BK,
  Kilpatrick N, editors. Springer-Verlag
  Berlin: Heidelberg, pp. 31-44.
- Weerheijm KL, Groen HJ, Beentjes VE, Poorterman JH (2001). Prevalence of cheese molars in eleven-year-old

- Dutch children. ASDC J Dent Child 68(4):259-262.
- Weerheijm KL, Jälevik B, Alaluusua S (2001). Molar-Incisor Hypomineralisation. *Caries Res* 35:390-391.
- Weerheijm KL, Mejàre I (2003).

  Molar incisor hypomineralization:
  a questionnaire inventory of its
  occurrence in member countries of
  the European Academy of Paediatric
  Dentistry (EAPD). Int J Paediatr Dent
  13:411-416.
- Whatling R, Fearne JM (2008). Molar incisor hypomineralisation: a study of aetiological factors in a group of UK children. *Int J Paediatr Dent* 18:155-162.
- Wogelius P, Haubek D, Poulsen S (2008). Prevalence and distribution of demarcated opacities in permanent 1st molars and incisors in 6 to 8-year-old Danish children. *Acta Odontol Scand* 66(1):58-64.
- Yannam S, Amarlal D, Rekha C (2016). Prevalence of molar incisor hypomineralization in school aged 8-12 years in Chennai. *J Indian Society Pedodontics Preventive Dent* 34(2):134-141.
- Zagdwon AM, Toumba KJ, Curzon ME (2002). The prevalence of development enamel defects in permanent molars in a group of English school children. *Eur J Paediatr Dent* 3(2):91-96.
- Zawaideh FI, Al-Jundi SH, Al-Jaljoli MH (2011). Molar incisor hypomineralisation: prevalence in Jordanian children and clinical characteristics. *Eur Arch Paediatr Dent* 12(1): 31-36.

# Author details

Kate Naysmith (corresponding author)

Dental Department, Hutt Valley District Health Board, Private Bag 31907, Lower Hutt 5010 kate.naysmith@huttvalleydhb.org.nz

#### W Murray Thomson

Department of Oral Sciences, Faculty of Dentistry, University of Otago, PO Box 56, Dunedin 9054.