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#### Abstract

This study examines the potential of using smart card data in public transit systems to infer attributes of travelers, thereby facilitating a more user-centered public transport service design while reducing the use of expensive and time-consuming travel surveys. This is challenging since travel behaviors vary significantly over the population, space, and time and developing meaningful links between them and traveler attributes are not trivial. To achieve this, we conduct an extensive analysis of spatio-temporal travel behavior patterns using smart card data from the Greater Sydney area (Opal card), and then develop a Hybrid Neural Network to utilize spatial and temporal dependencies in the dataset. In particular, we first empirically analyze passengers' movements and mobility patterns from both spatial and temporal perspectives and design a set of discriminative features to characterize the patterns. We then propose a deep-learningbased framework to investigate spatial and temporal features in order to infer traveler attributes. The proposed modeling framework consists of two components, i.e., a Product-based SpatialTemporal Module (PSTM) and an Auto-Encoder-based Compression Module (AECM). PSTM encodes the relationships across a variety of features while AECM derives useful spatial information from a transit stop matrix. The proposed model is tested and evaluated using a large-scale public transport dataset in the Greater Sydney area to infer two attributes of passengers, i.e., the age group and residential area. The experimental results demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed method against a number of established tools in the literature. The developed techniques can be potentially adapted to other domains where spatio-temporal features are critical, such as commercial/entertainment site selection and urban service planning.


## 1. Introduction

With the accelerating urbanization, around $70 \%$ of the world's population is expected to live in cities by 2050 . Urban public transport systems (buses, trains, and ferries, etc.) serve a large number of passengers on a daily basis and play an important role in metropolitan areas. However, current public transport system/service designs are often capacity-maximizing while individual preference is only considered to a limited extent. There is a growing trend to allow a more user-centered public transport system, which better accommodates, e.g., different age groups and the disabled. This requires improvements from at least the following aspects: infrastructures/equipment (vehicles, stations, access facilities, etc.); operation (line planning and scheduling); added services (connection information, entertainment TV programs, and advertisements). To provide such a user-centered public transport system, where individual preference is well accommodated, a critical input is the attributes of travelers. A conventional way is to conduct travel surveys to identify individual travel patterns and preferences, which can be costly and time-consuming. For example, Shiftan et al. (2008) proposed to identify travelers' behaviors with the data collected from the Utah Transit Authority household survey. Differently, this study develops methods to infer traveler attributes (e.g., age groups, residential areas) based on individual travel trajectories while avoiding costly and time-consuming large-scale surveys. In particular, we test the effectiveness of the proposed model, a new hybrid spatial-temporal correlation model, for inferring age groups and residential areas of passengers. This has the potential to be utilized to improve transit services to accommodate requirements and preferences of different passenger groups (e.g., the elderly may be less demanding in terms of travel time, while they prefer quiet buses or trains; and young commuters/workers with work trips may be more demanding in terms of travel time and reliability). Moreover, the proposed method for inference may also be used to recover missing information/labels associated with individuals in a dataset. Besides, the generated

[^0]insights from this study on how to link personal attributes/information with observable travel trajectories and mobility patterns may also be incorporated in other application domains with spatio-temporal complexity.

Location information with different levels of time resolution can be regarded as human trajectories with different sampling rates. The digital human trajectories collected by different types of data (e.g., GPS positions of mobile phones, smart card data, etc.) can be utilized to analyze human travel behaviors and mobility patterns (Song et al., 2010) and further infer the attributes of travelers. For example, Gonzalez et al. (2008) indicated that human trajectories exhibit a high degree of temporal-spatial regularity. Therefore, some statistical models and traditional machine learning methods were utilized to uncover travel patterns of passengers based on individual trajectories. Recently, the travel pattern regularity of public transport was analyzed by using the rough set theory and K-Means++ in Ma et al. (2013) without considering the spatial patterns of transit riders. Sun and Axhausen (2016) applied the probabilistic factorization framework to reveal spatial-temporal patterns of urban mobility, which repeats the estimation process to improve solution quality. Further studies indicated that the mobility patterns extracted from human trajectories are related to passenger attributes (Zhong et al., 2015; Luo et al., 2016; Olmos et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019). Although some researchers studied the spatial and/or temporal travel behaviours based on human trajectories, and analyzed the relations between these behaviours and traveler attributes by traditional clustering methods, e.g., Density-Based Spatial Clustering of Applications with Noise (DBSCAN) and K-means (Mohamed et al., 2016; Kieu et al., 2014), the complex spatio-temporal inter-correlation among features of mobility patterns have not yet been fully studied and uncovered to infer traveler attributes.

In this study, we propose to uncover mobility patterns of passengers by inferring passenger attributes in public transport systems with the help of large-scale smart card usage data and land use data. We focus on identifying the passengers into three age groups (i.e., adults, seniors, and children) and inferring the residential areas of passengers. In particular, we will take the age group as an example to present the critical features of mobility patterns including both the spatial and temporal information together with an analysis of their relationships. Based on the extracted mobility features and analysis, a hybrid neural network consisting of two components is developed for traveler attributes inference. The first component, the Product-based Spatial-Temporal Module (PSTM), is used to analyze and capture the spatial-temporal correlations from the extracted features, where we tested two specific modules, i.e., Inner-Productbased Module (Inner-PNN) and Outer-Product-based Module (Outer-PNN). The second component, Compression Module (CM), is utilized for compressing the transit stop sparse matrix (reflecting spatial patterns of trips), and further extracting useful information and learning the embedding vectors from this matrix, where we also tested two specific models, i.e., the fully connected layers (FCLs) and Auto-Encoder-based Compression Module (AECM).

The main contributions of this paper are summarized in the following. (i) We uncover and extract representative spatial and temporal passenger behavior patterns from a large-scale real-world dataset collected in the largest metropolitan area in Australia (Greater Sydney area). To provide empirical insights regarding mobility patterns associated with different attributes of travelers, we use age group information as an example and quantify the correlations/mapping between the mobility patterns and age groups. The travel pattern analysis is further enhanced by utilizing land use information (Point of Interest or PoI), which enriches the analysis to emphasize both the temporal and spatial dimensions. (ii) To the best of our knowledge, this paper is among the earliest to illustrate the potential of inferring individual attributes from observable trajectories based on smart card data of public transport with deep-learning-based methods. In this context, we propose a hybrid Neural Network, which combines PSTM and CM for the age group and residential area inference. The developed approach can also be utilized to either infer or recover unknown or missing attributes or labels in a dataset, as will be further discussed in Section 6.1. (iii) We evaluate the proposed method on a large-scale real-world dataset collected in the largest metropolitan area in Australia (Greater Sydney area) and demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed method against several baselines and state-of-the-art methods.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. First, we review some related works in Section 2. Then, we provide Jdetailed introduction to the dataset used, the descriptive data statistics, mobility feature representation, and travel pattern analysis in Section 3. Section 4 presents the proposed inference model and related techniques. The test and evaluation of the proposed method and comparison to other methods are presented in Section 5. Section 6 discusses the potential applications and implications from this study and future research directions, and then concludes the paper.

## 2. Related Work

In this section, we review works related to this study from two aspects: travel behavior and individual attributes studies with different data sources; and the inference and mining/learning strategies of travel patterns and/or traveler
attributes.
(Travel behavior and individual attribute studies) A branch of studies on travel behaviors are mainly based on surveys. For instance, Axhausen et al. (2002) collected 6-week continuous data from a travel diary survey to show the dynamic changes of travel choices including taking public transport, cycling, walking, and driving. Similarly, based on a household survey, Shiftan et al. (2008) grouped passengers who had similar attitudes toward travel by attitudinal factor score calculated from structural equation modeling.

In recent years, with the rapid expansion of data in the digital era, the massive transport data provides new opportunities to explore behaviours and attributes of travelers. For example, the location check-ins from online social networks became one of the popular sources to record the human mobility patterns for passenger attributes analysis (Zhong et al., 2015). However, it is not always appropriate to use such datasets as representative samples for the whole targeted population. GPS-based data were also utilized to learn travel trajectories for inferring demographic attributes (Wu et al., 2019). However, GPS taggers may not work well in urban areas due to signal multi-path and urban canyon obstructions (Calabrese et al., 2011).

Electronic smart cards, as a widely used tool for accessing public transport services (Pelletier et al., 2011), provide valuable ready-to-use passenger transit data and a potentially efficient and effective way to identify travel patterns and traveler attributes. It should be noted that the socioeconomic information of users is often not included during the usage of smart cards for protecting privacy (Cottrill, 2009). Numerous works (Lathia et al., 2010; Kieu et al., 2014; Mohamed et al., 2016; Bai et al., 2019a; Zhao et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020) used the smart card data to examine travel mode choices, travel time, travel demand, trip purposes of the users, or mobility regularity. Moreover, smart card data and a household survey were also combined to examine the spatio-temporal travel patterns of commuters (Long and Thill, 2015). However, inferring traveler attributes based on smart card data in public transit systems has been rarely studied, which is the main focus of this paper.
(Inference, mining or learning strategies) Statistical tools and conventional machine learning algorithms have been applied to analyze behaviours and attributes of travelers such as travel choices, travel time, commuting patterns, and personal information with respect to both the spatial and temporal dimensions. For instance, Liu et al. (2014) provided a mobility pattern analysis by integrating the taxi traces and bus transactions to model travelers' mode choices. Kieu et al. (2014) segmented passengers into a number of identifiable types of similar behaviors and needs. Kusakabe and Asakura (2014) estimated the arrival time and duration of stay at the station by naive Bayes Classifier to observe the continuous long-term changes of passengers' trip purposes. The results were validated by the person trip survey data, which was often hard (if not impossible) to achieve in other studies. Furthermore, Zhong et al. (2015) collected a large real-world check-in dataset from an online social network to infer users' demographic attributes by exploiting human mobility patterns through the Location to Profile framework (L2P). More recently, Luo et al. (2016) constructed space-time trajectories from the location-based social media data and further found that urban human mobility patterns are affected by the demographic attributes of travelers. The long-term spatio-temporal travel behaviours of commuters were visualized leveraging modified DBSCAN algorithm and multi-criteria detection analysis approaches in Ma et al. (2017b). To better understand human mobility patterns and their relationships with socioeconomic status of travelers, Xu et al. (2018) adopted a social stratum model to group the users into various classes based on the mobility indicators (e.g., the number of activity locations and travel diversity).

With the widespread use of deep learning in recent years, a growing number of neural-network-based models (e.g., Recurrent Neural Network, Convolutional Neural Network, and Graph Convolutional Network) have been utilized in spatial-temporal data mining (Wang et al., 2019). In particular, Karlaftis and Vlahogianni (2011) compared statistical methods and Neural Network (NN) for modeling and analyzing transport data. They indicated that NN had potential and stronger learning ability to capture nonlinear and complex relations among features. Many aspects of transport or traffic issues dealing with spatial-temporal features were explored recently, including those for time-series prediction (e.g., traffic speed prediction (Ma et al., 2015, 2017a; Guo et al., 2020), traffic flow forecasting (Van Lint, 2008; Van Hinsbergen et al., 2009; Li et al., 2017; Chu et al., 2018; Ma and Qian, 2018; Bai et al., 2019b; Liu et al., 2019; Guo et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020), and parking occupancy prediction (Yang et al., 2019)), traffic incident detection (Ren et al., 2018), trajectory representations (Li et al., 2018; Yao et al., 2017), and PoI recommendation (Chang et al., 2018). Although there are quite many deep-learning-based studies for spatial-temporal analysis of transport systems, few of them looked at inferring individual attributes from observed travel behaviors.

In general, previous works often explored travel patterns and passenger attributes by traditional machine learning or statistical tools, where the complex and non-linear spatial-temporal features and relations in the datasets are not necessarily well captured. The deep-learning-based methods were mostly used for prediction, but not for passenger

Table 1
A summary of related attributes in the smart transit card dataset

| Attribute | Definition |
| :--- | :--- |
| Hashed Card Identification Number | A unique identification number for the Opal card |
| Passenger Type Code | Description of card type |
| Journey Segment Start Date Foreign Key | Date of the journey |
| Journey Segment Start Time | The time the journey segment commenced |
| Journey Segment Duration Seconds | The length of time between the journey segment start time and end time |
| Transit Stop Type Code | A description of the type of transit stop |
| Inter-modal Transfer Type Code | A code representing the inter-modal transfer type related to the journey segment |
| Transfer Indicator | Indication of whether the tag of the journey segment represents a transfer |
| Transit Stop Name | A description of the transit stop where the journey segment occurred |
| Latitude and Longitude Value | The latitude and longitude coordinate indicating the position of the journey location |

travel patterns analysis. Moreover, little has been examined in relation to inferring passenger attributes based on observed travel trajectories. Different from the literature, deep learning models are utilized in this paper to explore the relevance among diverse spatial and temporal mobility features for traveler attributes inference.

## 3. Data Description and Behavioural Features

This section describes the real-world dataset from Sydney used in this study and mobility feature extraction details. Then, spatial and temporal mobility features are analyzed based on age groups as an example to illustrate the mapping between mobility patterns and individual attributes.

### 3.1. Dataset Description

Smart Card Dataset is collected from Opal (https://www.opal.com.au/en/about-opal/), the electronic smart card ticket system in Sydney covering main public transport services (buses, trains, ferries, and light rails). The dataset is collected from 01/Apr/2017 to 30/Jun/2017 and records 171.77 million trips covering 6.374 million users. The dataset does not involve personal information that can be used to identify individuals.

There are ten attributes in the dataset that are particularly relevant to this study, which are summarized in Table 1. Other less relevant attributes in the original dataset are not listed here. These redundant attributes are mainly about the operating agency of the system, types of devices used for the tag of journeys, and the information of drivers. We focus on utilizing travel pattern related data to infer the attributes of travelers, where those excluded attributes are not directly related to travel patterns of travelers.

Traveler attributes can include information such as age, gender, income level, residential areas. Specifically, in this study, the age group and residential areas of travelers, are studied and inferred. The age groups covered in this dataset are adults ( $16-60$ years old), children ( $4-15$ years old), and seniors ( 60 years old or older) according to the card types of Opal (https://transportnsw.info/tickets-opal/opal/opal-card-types). Children aged under 4 years travel free on public transport in Sydney (the data does not involve their trips). The distribution of passengers with respect to the age group is highly imbalanced (with $85.87 \%$ adults, $12.29 \%$ seniors, and only $1.84 \%$ children in the dataset). Therefore, Synthetic Minority Oversampling Technique (SMOTE) algorithm (Chawla et al., 2002) is utilized as the data augmentation method to up-sample and generate synthetic data for classes with fewer samples. SMOTE does not simply copy the types with a few samples. It helps avoid/limit over-fitting of the classifier to a certain extent. The main steps of SMOTE are summarized in the following:
(i) For each sample $x$ in class $C$ with fewer samples, the Euclidean distances between x and other samples in $C$ are calculated to obtain its $k$ nearest neighbors.
(ii) According to the sample imbalance ratio, the sampling magnification is determined. For each minority sample $x$, several samples are randomly selected from their $k$ nearest neighbors $x_{n}$.
(iii) For $x_{n}$, a new sample $x_{\text {new }}$ is constructed with the original sample according to the formula:
$x_{\text {new }}=x+\operatorname{rand}(0,1) \times\left|x-x_{n}\right|$.
For the inference of residential areas, we do not have true information of the residential areas of each passenger. Instead, we assume that the administrative area (defined by postcodes in Sydney) contains the station associated with
the first trip of the passenger in a day is the residential area of the passenger. For those with different first-stations on different days, we take the station with the most occurrences as the passenger's residential area. In particular, in order to avoid utilizing the departure place information that may directly reflect the passenger' residential areas, we exclude latitude and longitude values of first-stations (or assumed origins) for inference. That is to say, the information of the assumed origins is not directly utilized in the inference framework (for residential area inference). The inference is still based on the observed travel trajectories of passengers. In the experiments, we excluded administrative areas with a relatively small number of residents (i.e., less than 3000 passengers associated with the area in the dataset) and finally used 11 areas including 49.1 thousand passengers for residential areas inference.

PoI Dataset is also collected under the consideration that PoI (Point of Interest) information is closely related to a region's functionalities (Bai et al., 2019c), as well as travel patterns. One may infer the trip purposes of passengers, along with their attributes, through analyzing the PoI information of frequently visited places. In this study, we collect the PoI data of six different categories (shopping mall, church, school, hospital, club, and gym) in Sydney from Google Maps Platform (https://developers.google.com/maps/documentation/). Each PoI item contains the name, category, and location (latitude and longitude). We pre-process this dataset to map PoI data onto related transit stops (within a 500meter radius) with the help of latitude and longitude information.

### 3.2. Feature Extraction

As mentioned in Section 1, travel patterns of each passenger follow a certain regular distribution in spatial and temporal dimensions. Thus, we extract and analyze spatial and temporal features from the original data to provide intuitive understanding of the mobility patterns of passengers. In the process of feature extraction, we focus on the five most frequent routes that each passenger took as representatives. Note that trip records for the five most frequent routes contribute to $74.33 \%$ of the total number of trips. If we increase the scope to six most frequent routes for each passenger, this percentage increases by $2.91 \%$ (from $74.33 \%$ to $77.24 \%$ ), which means that the trips associated with the sixth route of each passenger rarely occur (only $2.91 \%$ ) and trips associated with other less used routes rarely occur as well (even less than $2.91 \%$ for each route). The routes rarely used by travelers are negligible since they can hardly be linked to travelers' recurrent behaviors. For each of the five routes, we extract five features, i.e., departure/arrival times, number of trips (or travel frequency), travel location, travel distance, and PoI of the destination:

- Departure/Arrival Times: We divide a day into six time intervals, i.e., morning rush hour ( 6 am to 10 am ), afternoon rush hour ( 2 pm to $4 \mathrm{pm}, 4 \mathrm{pm}$ to 8 pm ), and non-busy hour ( 0 am to $6 \mathrm{am}, 10 \mathrm{am}$ to $2 \mathrm{pm}, 8 \mathrm{pm}$ to 0 $\mathrm{am})$. We identify time intervals that departure/arrival times of passengers lie in.
- Number of trips or Travel Frequency: We count both the number of trips for the five selected (frequently used) routes and the total number of trips per passenger (all routes included). The number of trips or travel frequency may differ significantly over different groups.
- Travel Location: The latitude and longitude values of origins and destinations for the five selected routes are listed to explore whether the passenger only visits a few regular destinations and whether the passenger with same attributes prefer to visit nearby destinations or not.
- Travel Distance: Euclidean distance of each trip is calculated based on origin and destination locations. These can facilitate the analysis in the sense that different groups may have diverse preferences for public transport and alternative modes when the distances vary. We did not use the distance defined by the bus/train/light rail/ferry lines since they are governed by the services provided.
- PoI of Destination: PoI information includes six categories of the destinations (shopping mall, church, school, hospital, club, and gym) for each route. Destination information is often related to trip purpose, which can partially reflect passenger attributes.

Besides, in order to fully use the travel destinations information and identify the possible relations among all transit stops for travel pattern analysis and personal attributes inference, we have included all transit stop names and counted travel frequency of passengers to each place to form a sparse matrix. This transit stop sparse matrix will be utilized in the second component of the proposed deep learning model (refer to Section 4.2 for more details).

This study infers individual attributes based on the observed features of mobility patterns summarized in the above rather than raw data, i.e., we extract the above features from raw data for further inference. The proposed approach is
based on the reasoning that the extracted features are indicators of mobility patterns and individual attributes are related to regular mobility patterns. As will be seen in the feature analysis in Section 3.3, we use the age group as an example and show that different groups indeed have distinguished travel patterns. This means that the features/patterns we extracted can help to identify the groups with different attributes. Also, different users can have very different behavior patterns, which leads to generating a sparse dataset (e.g., each person only visits a very small set of places). The repetitive patterns of the raw data for each passenger are indeed redundant. Moreover, as will be shown in Section 5, considering the five most frequent routes and the extracted features for each passenger is sufficient to produce a highquality inference, which illustrates the great potential of linking the selected features of mobility patterns to individual attributes.

### 3.3. Behaviour Features Analysis

We now analyze spatial and temporal mobility characteristics against age group and their structural correlations based on the extracted features introduced in Section 3.2, which exhibit distinguished patterns for different groups.

### 3.3.1. Temporal Behaviour

Temporal Behaviour analysis in this subsection consists of three parts, i.e., the average numbers of trips in a week and a day, respectively, and the number of transit stops visited for three age groups.

Figure 1 shows the travel frequency (number of trips) of passengers on weekends and weekdays, where the x -axis is the average number of trips (per day) and the $y$-axis is the proportion of passengers. If a passenger does not travel on a certain day, he or she has zero trip on this day. The average number of trips for most people is less than 'three' times a day (for both weekends and weekdays). Specifically, on the weekend, the peak of adults exist at 'one' time a day while seniors have a larger peak value, 'two' times. Children do not have any obvious peak with a relatively uniform distribution from ' 0.5 ' to ' 1.5 ' times. Moreover, the average number of trips in a day for children is higher than adults since they are not able to drive. On weekdays, the number of trips with the highest proportion increases with age since children and adults need to study or work and they are less likely to visit other places.


Figure 1: Numbers of trips in a day for different age groups
Figure 2 shows the probability distributions of the average numbers of trips in six intervals of a day for different age groups ( x -axis is the number of trips while y -axis is the proportion of the passengers). The six time intervals are listed in Section 3.2, i.e., Interval $0(0 \mathrm{am}-6 \mathrm{am})$, Interval $1(6 \mathrm{am}-10 \mathrm{am})$, Interval $2(10 \mathrm{am}-2 \mathrm{pm})$, Interval 3 (2 $\mathrm{pm}-4 \mathrm{pm}$ ), Interval $4(4 \mathrm{pm}-8 \mathrm{pm})$, and Interval $5(8 \mathrm{pm}-0 \mathrm{am})$. On weekdays, from 6 am to 10 am , the proportion of adults and children who travel is larger than the elderly since they have to travel to work or study. From 10 am to 2 pm , the proportion of the elderly taking public transport is more than others. This is because, adults and kids usually stay in or around school or workplace for lunch while seniors may choose to visit other places or have lunch outside. The proportion of adults with trips between 4 pm and 8 pm is higher than the other two groups. This is likely since


Figure 2: The distribution of travel frequency in a day
their office hour ends in this period while the school closure time is between 2 pm and 4 pm . On the weekend, the elderly have more trips than the other two groups.

Figure 3 further shows the average numbers of trips over the clock time in a day for the three different age groups, which are consistent with the "Circadian Rhythm" of human activities (Liu et al., 2020). During weekdays, peaks occur around 8 am and 5 pm for adults while peaks occur around 8 am and 3 pm for children since they have to work or attend class around 8 am and the students' school closure time is around 3 pm , which is earlier than the work closure time for adults. The departure/arrival times are more flexible for seniors since most of them are not governed by study or work schedules. Therefore, there is no sharp peak or trough within the distribution.


Figure 3: Number of trips in a day


Figure 4: Number of visited stops

Furthermore, Figure 4 shows the distribution for the number of visited transit stops (as destinations). It indicates that the average number of stops that adults visited is 10.73 , which is relatively small. The average values of seniors and children are 18.94 and 17.40 , respectively. Then, for each passenger, let $\alpha_{1}$ be the total number of trips associated with the selected five regular routes, and let $\alpha_{2}$ be the total number of trips (includes all routes). We can define the ratio $r=\alpha_{1} / \alpha_{2}$, which is plotted in Figure 5 for each age group. For adults, as $r$ increases, the proportion increases. Differently, values of $r$ for the other two groups reach a peak at around $50 \%$ and then decrease. These results imply that adults travel more frequently on their regular routes than the other two groups. Also, we can calculate the proportion of passengers with a value of $r$ greater than $90 \%$, i.e., $23.74 \%$ for adults, $8.16 \%$ for the elderly and $6.50 \%$ for children. This is consistent with the previous results as adults need to work on weekdays and they repeatedly use a few routes to visit certain places. Also, many of the adults may choose to drive by themselves to destinations for leisure time (not regular places), which cannot be observed in the smart card data. Differently, some of the elderly and all children have to take public transport when they visit different places on their own.

### 3.3.2. Spatial Behaviour

In this subsection, we examine travel patterns from the spatial perspective. In particular, we consider two aspects: travel distances and PoI categories of the destinations.


Figure 5: Distribution of the ratio of the number of trips for the five frequent routes to the total number of trips


Figure 6: Spatial features based on age groups

The Euclidean distance between the origin and the destination of each route is calculated. The journey distance distributions of three age groups are displayed in Figure 6(a) where the $x$-axis represents the distances (mile) and $y$-axis represents the proportion of the passengers. It can be seen that $98.98 \%$ of the trips are within 40 miles. The overall distributions of travel distances for the three groups follow similar patterns. However, there also exist several differences. For example, the percentage of travel distances within 10 miles for children is $90.66 \%$, which is the largest among the three groups while that of adults is only $70.82 \%$. This is likely since in most cases children will not travel to places that are very far away from home on their own and their schools are usually close to home locations.

We further examine the distributions of the six categories collected from PoI of the destinations, which are related to possible trip purposes, and thus related to individual attributes, such as age group information. We indeed can visualize clear patterns for different age groups from Figure 6(b). For example, 30.93\% of the places where children visit most often are schools and the ratio is higher than that of adults and the elderly. Adults hold $0.19 \%$ to visit clubs while the other two groups hold almost zero. And the elderly have the highest probability to visit churches, $6.82 \%$.

### 3.3.3. Structural Spatio-Temporal Dependencies

We now move to analyze spatio-temporal patterns. The following analysis consists of two major parts, i.e., PoI categories distribution of destinations based on arrival time, and the correlation between travel destination and time.


Figure 7: Relationship between PoI and temporal distribution

Figure 7 displays the proportions of trips related to PoI (of the destinations) in the two-dimensional domain of age group and arrival time. As mentioned earlier, there are six categories of PoI, which are also labeled in Figure 7. And z-axis represents the proportion of passengers going to a certain type of place to the total number of people in that age group. The PoI that a trip is related is only an estimate of one's destination (i.e., PoI is very close to the destination bus stop). Therefore, the six categories PoIs we choose do not necessarily mean that people were traveling to these places. They may indeed travel to other places near to those six types of PoI.

As can be observed from Figure 7, adults visit clubs during 0 am - 6 am while kids and seniors do not have this travel pattern. From 6 am to 10 am on weekdays, children have to attend school while the other two groups hold less probability to school. From 10 am to 2 pm , the elderly more likely to visit churches and the ratio is higher than the


Figure 8: The geographical distribution of destinations for passengers
other two groups. Furthermore, during $6 \mathrm{am}-10 \mathrm{am}$, on weekdays and weekends, the proportion of adults to go to the hospital is relatively high. This is explained below. First, there are a large number of hospitals distributed over suburbs in Sydney and they often cover large areas. And many bus stops are designed close to hospitals. Second, as we mentioned in Section 3.2, we only choose six types of PoI (there are some more types from Google API) in this study. Therefore, people who go to other places different than the six types of PoI may be regarded as going to hospitals.

This is to say, many going to work in the morning are counted as going to the hospitals. Thus, the proportion of adults going to the hospital is high.

We also visualize the spatial distribution of destinations for the three age groups over the six intervals on weekends and weekdays in Figure 8. The colors indicate the frequency of this location as the destination, i.e., approximately blue $\rightarrow$ yellow $\rightarrow$ red $\rightarrow$ dark red indicating a growing density/frequency of passengers. On weekdays, for seniors and children, the distribution difference between $0 \mathrm{am}-6 \mathrm{am}$ and $6 \mathrm{am}-10 \mathrm{am}$ is larger than adults. And the geographical distribution from 10 am to 2 pm is larger than that from 2 pm to 4 pm while the adults have a similar distribution in these two intervals. On the weekend, the geographic range of children and elderly destinations is more concentrated in the city center while adults have a much broader range. Also, the overall geographical distribution of adults on weekdays is much wider than that on the weekend since they prefer to stay at home or drive to visit other places.

It is worth mentioning that depending on the age of the children, they may be codependent with adults in terms of their travel patterns. However, the distinguished features of adults and children in the above analysis indicate that they might be dependent to a very limited extent. The first reason is that the proportion of families with children is only $26.7 \%$ in Sydney, which means that a significant number of adults do not have children, and their activity patterns are almost irrelevant to those of children. Second, for those with children, the activity dependency is further governed by the ages of children. In Sydney, children aged 0-14 years made up $4.5 \%$ of the population, where those aged 0-4 years made up $2.7 \%$, and those aged $5-9$ years made up $1.1 \%$, and those aged $10-14$ years made up $0.8 \%{ }^{1}$ Those aged 0-4 years are not in the dataset (they can travel free in Sydney), those aged 10-14 years already have relatively independent within-city daily trips. This is to say, there is only around $1.1 \%$ of the population that may exhibit clear activity dependency on others (adults). Furthermore, people with similar travel patterns may work or live in similar places, which does not necessarily mean that they are a family. They may be just "familiar strangers" who appear on the same bus (Sun et al., 2013). Thus, our method which will be introduced in Section 4 yields very effective inference results by treating adults and children separately since they exhibit different travel patterns.

## 4. Methodology

We now present the proposed modeling framework of the hybrid neural network incorporating spatial-temporal dependencies to infer the traveler attributes. The overall architecture of the proposed model is depicted in Figure 9, where we have two parallel sub-networks: (i) a Product-based Spatial and Temporal Module (PSTM) with an innerproduct operation (Inner-PNN) for capturing spatial-temporal dependencies from the extracted features introduced in Section 3.2; (ii) an Auto-Encoder-based Compression Module (AECM) for compressing the transit stop sparse matrix shown in Section 3.2. The concatenation of them will be sent for attribute inference (i.e., classifying the age group and residential areas). By doing so, spatial-temporal features of mobility patterns can be well accounted for and the model is able to distinguish passengers of different groups (with different attributes).

It is noteworthy that for the two sub-networks introduced in the above, we may replace Inner-PNN and AECM by other modules, e.g., the Outer-Product-based Module (Outer-PNN) and the fully connected layers (FCLs). We have tested different combinations for the two sub-networks, which will be presented in Section 5.3. We only present the formulations of Inner-PNN and AECM in the following since this combination yields the best performance for individual attribute inference.

### 4.1. Inner-Product-based Spatial-Temporal Module

We now introduce the Product-based Spatial-Temporal Module with inner-product operation (Inner-PNN), a very capable way to capture and investigate the pairwise relevance among the extracted spatial and temporal features for better inference.

As can be seen in Section 3.3, different mobility features exhibit dependencies over each other. Following He et al. (2018), we propose an inner-product module in our network to investigate the relations among all fields (each field corresponds to one type of feature) in pairwise since the inner-product module is more powerful than pure concatenation or addition operation, which are not included any correlation among features. Moreover, the product module combined with the fully connected layer is able to inspect high-order feature reactions. Also, the deep neural network is capable to capture non-linear latent patterns among spatial and temporal features. It breaks the limit that traditional models may only identify the shallow relationships among data.

[^1]Figure 9: Hybrid Spatial-Temporal Correlation Neural Network Architecture
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The feature matrix $P_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D_{1}}$ is embedded into five fields, where $N$ and $D_{1}$ denote the number of test samples and dimension of all features, respectively. $i^{\text {th }}$ filed is defined as $v_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times \delta_{i}}$, where $\delta_{i}$ is the length of $i^{\text {th }}$ field. Correspondingly, $\boldsymbol{V}=\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{1}, \boldsymbol{v}_{2}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{v}_{i}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{v}_{I}\right)$ is defined as the output of embedding layer that will be sent into innerproduct layer to find the pairwise connection, where $I$ is the number of fields.

Then, the definition of inner-product between two vectors is $\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}=\boldsymbol{a}^{T} \boldsymbol{b}$, where $T$ means the transpose operation. In the geometric sense, we can see the proximity of two vectors in the direction from the inner-product values. Therefore, we expand the inner-product to two matrices (e.g., matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$ and matrix $B$ ) to quantify the relation between them. The inner-product in the layer is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \cdot B=\sum_{m, n} A_{m, n} B_{m, n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the sum of the product of the elements at the corresponding positions from two matrices. Thus, the innerproduct of two fields is $\left\langle\boldsymbol{v}_{i}, \boldsymbol{v}_{j}\right\rangle=W_{p}^{i} \boldsymbol{v}_{i} \cdot W_{p}^{j} \boldsymbol{v}_{j}$, where $W_{p}^{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{\Gamma \times \delta_{i}}$ and $W_{p}^{j} \in \mathbb{R}^{\Gamma \times \delta_{j}}$. $\Gamma$ is the output dimension of each field. $W_{p}^{i}$ is defined as the weight matrix of $i_{t h}$ field in the product layer. Therefore, the output of product layer can be represented as $\boldsymbol{L}_{p}=\left(\boldsymbol{l}_{1}, l_{2}, \cdots, l_{\psi}, \cdots, l_{\Psi}\right)$, where $\Psi$ is the number of pairs (two different fields form a pair), and $\boldsymbol{l}_{\psi}$ is calculated as $\boldsymbol{l}_{\psi}=\left\langle\boldsymbol{v}_{i}, \boldsymbol{v}_{j}\right\rangle$.
$\boldsymbol{L}_{p}$ is then fed into a fully connected layer and produce the output $\boldsymbol{L}_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{D_{1}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{L}_{1}=\operatorname{relu}\left(W_{1} \boldsymbol{L}_{1}+\boldsymbol{b}_{1}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{W}_{1}$ denotes the weight matrices while $\boldsymbol{b}_{1}$ represents the bias vector. And relu is the Rectified linear unit activa-
tion function, i.e.,

$$
\operatorname{relu}(x)= \begin{cases}x & x>0  \tag{3}\\ 0 & x \leq 0\end{cases}
$$

### 4.2. Auto-Encoder-based Compression Module

As discussed earlier, $P_{2}$ is sparse with redundant information, which need to be compressed for further inference. Thus, this section discusses how to take advantage of Auto-Encoder-based Compression Module (AECM) to fully utilize the travel destinations information from the transit stop matrix $P_{2}$ and reduce the loss of information during the compression process. The learned embedding vectors by AECM will be further used to infer the traveler attributes. Note that using the fully connected layers (FCLs) may also achieve the same compression objective, however, more information may be lost during the compression process. As discussed in Hinton and Salakhutdinov (2006), AutoEncoder (AE) for dimensional reduction is able to retain most of the original data information which can be more powerful to extract information from $P_{2}$.

As shown in Figure 9, AECM is composed of an Auto-Encoder and a fully connected layer. In detail, $P_{2}$ is fed into an Auto-Encoder at first to fuse features from different domains together while keeping most of the useful information. The encoding and decoding processes are employed with two-layer fully-connected networks and the transformation of the encoder and decoder can be described as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{t}\left(r_{i}\right)=\operatorname{encoder}\left(P_{2}\right)  \tag{4}\\
& \hat{P}_{2}=\operatorname{decoder}\left(H_{t}\left(r_{i}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\operatorname{encoder}(\cdot)$ and $\operatorname{decoder}(\cdot)$ represent the transformations of the encoder part and decoder part, respectively. $H_{t}\left(r_{i}\right)$ is the hidden representation of $P_{2}$, and $\hat{P}_{2}$ is the output. The encoder part is used to identify the compressed representation of the input data while the decoder part is used for the representation of the reconstruction that is similar to the original input. The cost function of Auto-Encoder is MSE (mean squared error) of $P_{2}-\hat{P}_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
M S E=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(P_{2 i}-\hat{P}_{2 i}\right)^{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus we drive $\hat{P}_{2}$ to be close to $P_{2}$ as much as possible. The hidden representation $H_{t}\left(r_{i}\right)$ is the most valuable part of Auto-Encoder, which is then fed into one fully connected layer for concatenation. And the result of this module is $\boldsymbol{L}_{2}$. The activation functions of all fully connected layers are again relu.

### 4.3. Combination and Classification

To fuse the spatio-temporal relevance information extracted in Section 4.1 and transit stops information derived in Section 4.2 for traveler attributes inference, we concatenate $\boldsymbol{L}_{1}$ with $\boldsymbol{L}_{2}$ together to form $\boldsymbol{L}_{3}$. At last, $\boldsymbol{L}_{3}$ is fed into one fully connected layer activated by relu to produce the final classification/inference result $\hat{y}$. The objective function of the proposed network consists of two parts: the constraint loss Loss ${ }_{1}$ of Auto-Encoder in AECM and the loss Loss ${ }_{2}$ of final classification/inference, which are given as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Loss}_{1}=\operatorname{MSE}\left(P_{2}, \hat{P}_{2}\right)  \tag{6}\\
\operatorname{Loss}_{2}=\text { Softtmax_cross_entropy }(y, \hat{y})^{\text {afs }}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $y$ is the true label of the input samples, MSE is the mean square error, and Softmax_cross_entropy is the cross entropy loss for softmax function. The softmax function is to map a K-dimensional arbitrary real vector into another K-dimensional real vector, where each element in the vector has a value between zero and one. For example, the probability of $z$ belongs to class $j$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{softmax}\left(z_{j}\right)=\frac{e^{z_{j}}}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} e^{z_{k}}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The cross entropy function is used to measure the loss between the predicted standard probability distribution from the softmax function and the correct category label, which is represented as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Loss}_{2}=-[y \log \hat{y}+(1-y) \log (1-\hat{y})] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the overall loss can be calculated as $\operatorname{Loss}(\theta)=(1-\lambda) \times \operatorname{Loss}_{1}+\lambda \times \operatorname{Loss}_{2}$, where $\theta$ represents all learnable parameters in the network. The parameter $\lambda$ is used to balance the two types of loss functions and the suitable values will be tested in Section 5.4. It is obtained/solved via back-propagation and Gradient Descent optimizer. The detailed training steps of the proposed model is illustrated in Algorithm 1.

```
Algorithm 1 Spatio-Temporal Neural Network Model Training
Input:
    Spatio-Temporal Feature Matrix \(P_{1}\)
    Transit Stop Sparse Matrix \(P_{2}\)
    Learning Rate
    \(\lambda\) in the loss function
Output:
    Spatio-Temporal Correlation Model with learned parameters
    Initialize parameters: weights and biases
    repeat
        for all \(\left(x_{k}, y_{k}\right) \in N\) do
            \(P_{1}\) is embedded and sent into product layer and two fully connected layers to get the output \(\boldsymbol{L}_{1}\)
            \(P_{2}\) is sent into an Auto-Encoder to get the output \(\boldsymbol{L}_{2}\)
            Send the Concatenation of \(\boldsymbol{L}_{1}\) and \(\boldsymbol{L}_{2}\) into the fully connected layer
            Calculate the output \(\hat{y}_{k}\) based on current samples
            Estimate the parameters by the loss function \(\operatorname{Loss}(\theta)=(1-\lambda) \times \operatorname{Loss}_{1}+\lambda \times \operatorname{Loss}_{2}\)
            end for
    Until convergence criterion met
    end procedure
```


## 5. Experiments

In this section, we first introduce the experiment settings and evaluation metrics. Then, we present the experiment results from three perspectives: overall comparison, network architecture analysis, and ablation study. In particular, the proposed model is compared with ten existing strategies, i.e., LDA, QDA, SVM, Ada, DT, XGBoost, MLP, PPC, C2AE and DeepSD. Also, we test different models for the two sub-networks defined in Section 4 (network architecture analysis). Moreover, we conduct a number of ablation studies in relation to the model, where in the ablation study we remove or change some features/components of the model and examine how doing so can affect the model performance (in terms of inference accuracy). It consists of two parts: feature selection by removing one feature in each experiment; and parameter tuning on learning rate, $\lambda$ in the loss function, and the ratio of training data to testing data.

### 5.1. Experiments Setting

To test the performance of the proposed traveler attributes inference model, we choose $70 \%$ of users in each group for training and validation, and use the remaining users for testing. The extracted features are normalized by Euclidean norm and shuffled before feeding into the model. The formula of Euclidean norm is: $\|x\|_{2}=\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}}$. The output dimension $\Gamma$ of each field is set to 10 . We also set the learning rate to 0.1 and batch size to 128 . The $\lambda$ in the loss function is set to 0.5 . In the confusion matrix, True Positive (TP) means that positive class is predicted as positive class, True Negative (TN) means that negative class is predicted as negative class, False Positive (FP) means that negative class is predicted as positive class, and False Negative (FN) means that positive class is predicted as negative class. Three evaluation metrics used in our work, i.e., accuracy, precision, and recall, are calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Accuracy }=\frac{T P+T N}{T P+T N+F P+F N} ; \quad \text { Precision }=\frac{T P}{T P+F P} ; \quad \text { Recall }=\frac{T P}{T P+F N} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 5.2. Overall Comparison

We evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed model against several widely used classification algorithms and three state-of-the-art deep-learning-based models, which are briefly summarized in the following:

- Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA): One or more explanatory variables can be used in LDA to represent a binomial result. It measures the relationship between a class-dependent variable and one or more independent variables by using a logic function to estimate the probability, the latter obeying the cumulative logical distribution.
- Quadratic Discriminant Analysis (QDA): Different from the LDA in the above, QDA assumes that the classification boundary is quadratic, which may provide more accurate prediction than linear models.
- Support Vector Machine (SVM): Traditional SVM algorithm is a binary classification algorithm, which gives two types of points in N-dimensional coordinates. In particular, SVM generates an (N-1)-dimensional hyperplane to divide the points into two groups. Improved SVM can be used for multi-label classification, Jahangiri and Rakha (2015) found that SVM produced the best performance to classify travel mode.
- Adaptive Boosting (Ada): The core idea of Ada is to train different classifiers (weak classifiers) for the same training set, and then combine these weak classifiers to form a stronger final classifier (strong classifier). For age group inference, the number of estimators is 50 while the learning rate is 0.3 . For residential area inference, the number of estimators is 900 while the learning rate is 1.5 .
- Decision Tree (DT): The decision process using DT is to start from the root node, test the corresponding feature attributes in the item to be classified, and select the output branch according to its value until the leaf node is reached. The category stored by the leaf node is used as the decision result. The maximum depth of the tree is 12 for two inference problems.
- eXtreme Gradient Boosting (XGBoost): XGBoost was proposed by Chen and Guestrin (2016) for classification. It originated from the Boosting integrated learning method and incorporates the advantages of Bagging integrated learning methods in the evolution process. The custom loss function through the Gradient Boosting framework improves the ability of the algorithm to solve common problems and introduces more controllable parameters for scene optimization. For two reference problems, the number of estimator is 50 , the learning rate is 0.01 , and the maximum depth is set to 5 .
- Multilayer Perceptron (MLP): MLP is an improvement of perceptrons, which overcomes the weakness that perceptrons cannot identify non-linear data. It has fully connected layers and non-linear activation functions. A supervised learning method called back-propagation algorithm is often used to train MLP. Note that the way to solve the optimization problem in our experiment is the gradient descent method. Two hidden layers are set for residential area inference and the hidden sizes are 128 and 64. Similarly, the hidden sizes of age group inference are set to 50 and 20. The learning rate is 0.001 .
- Propagation Path Classification Model (PPC): PPC was built by Liu and Wu (2018) for fake news detection and classification which adopted recurrent and convolutional neural networks to capture the variations of user features along the propagation path. For two inference problems, the hidden size of RNN encoder is 25 while the output channel of CNN is 10 . The kernel size of CNN is set to $(1,56)$. The learning rates are 0.0008 and 0.001 for age group and residential area, respectively.
- Canonical Correlated Auto-Encoder (C2AE): C2AE was proposed by Yeh et al. (2017) for multi-label classification. It integrated deep Canonical Correlation Analysis and Auto-Encoder structures to learn a latent subspace for better classification. Four fully connected layers are set for embedding the features and labels. For age group inference, the sizes of these layers are $32,64,32,16$. The number of units of the output layer is 16 . For residential area inference, the sizes of these layers are $64,128,64,32$. The number of units of the output layer is 32 . The learning rate is set to 0.0001 .
- Deep Supply-Demand Neural Network (DeepSD): Wang et al. (2017) proposed DeepSD to automatically learn the patterns across different spatio-temporal attributes for the real-time car-hailing supply-demand forecasting. We use the basic version of this model and change the output layer to infer the age groups and residential areas in the experiments. For the two inference problems, the embedding sizes of temporal features (i.e., departure/arrival time intervals in one day, the day of a week, and the day of a month) are set to 16,8 , and 16 . The hidden sizes of other features (i.e., total number of trips, the number of occurrences of the selected routes, PoI of destinations,

Table 2
Overall comparison between the proposed method and several existing methods

| Model | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  | Residential Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Accuracy | Recall |  |  | Precision |  |  | Accuracy | Average Recall | Average Precision |
|  |  | Adult | Senior | Child | Adult | Senior | Child |  |  |  |
| LDA | 0.6072 | 0.6859 | 0.5765 | 0.5590 | 0.6787 | 0.5799 | 0.5617 | 0.4273 | 0.3845 | 0.3938 |
| QDA | 0.4265 | 0.3741 | 0.8486 | 0.0570 | 0.6345 | 0.3802 | 0.3190 | 0.5672 | 0.5582 | 0.5601 |
| SVM | 0.5151 | 0.7720 | 0.0040 | 0.7692 | 0.5955 | 0.6430 | 0.4532 | 0.4194 | 0.3516 | 0.4124 |
| Ada | 0.6370 | 0.7518 | 0.5474 | 0.5114 | 0.8729 | 0.5592 | 0.5269 | 0.5769 | 0.6058 | 0.5616 |
| DT | 0.7613 | 0.9262 | 0.7545 | 0.6027 | 0.8585 | 0.7006 | 0.7143 | 0.6160 | 0.6004 | 0.6325 |
| XGBoost | 0.6879 | 0.8312 | 0.5982 | 0.6341 | 0.8299 | 0.6112 | 0.6218 | 0.6854 | 0.6604 | 0.7473 |
| MLP | 0.7849 | 0.8135 | 0.7512 | 0.7867 | 0.8747 | 0.7226 | 0.7576 | 0.6917 | 0.6835 | 0.6789 |
| PPC | 0.6824 | 0.6571 | 0.6490 | 0.7349 | 0.6809 | 0.6649 | 0.6978 | 0.6886 | 0.6766 | 0.6828 |
| C2AE | 0.6354 | 0.6997 | 0.6799 | 0.5247 | 0.7116 | 0.5241 | 0.7074 | 0.6717 | 0.6329 | 0.6889 |
| DeepSD | 0.8741 | 0.8738 | 0.8210 | 0.9274 | 0.8250 | 0.8506 | 0.9498 | 0.6412 | 0.6234 | 0.6345 |
| Our Model | 0.9237 | 0.8664 | 0.9068 | 0.9989 | 0.9027 | 0.8854 | 0.9831 | 0.8516 | 0.8520 | 0.8618 |

travel distance, and travel location) are set to $64,32,64,32,64$. The hidden size of all features is set to 32 . The learning rate is set to 0.001 .

Table 2 summarizes the inference results of the age group and residential areas for the proposed method and the aforementioned existing tools. We test different settings of hyperparameters for all the compared methods based on the validation set. The setting of hyperparameters that yields the best performance is then utilized to conduct testing and comparison based on the testing set. Several observations from Table 2 are discussed below. First, the proposed method significantly outperforms the traditional classification strategies including LDA, QDA, SVM, Ada, DT, and XGBoost. This is because the proposed model based on deep neural networks can better accommodate non-linear relations among different features. Specifically, the Auto-Encoder-based Compression Module carries out sparse matrix analysis and compression operation that SVM cannot solve. Second, the Inner-Product-based Module explores the interactive patterns among spatial and temporal features, which yields higher accuracy than MLP. Thus, the proposed model performs better than other three listed deep-learning-based models, i.e., PPC, C2AE, and DeepSD. As for PPC which is based on the recurrent neural network, it is hard to capture the correlations among features since RNN-based models are often used for sequential series analyzing not for capturing parallel relations. Similarly, the component of C2AE, deep Canonical Correlation Analysis, is often used to joint feature and label embedding but not to find correlations among features. Moreover, DeepSD is developed for car-hailing supply-demand prediction which can extract spatial-temporal correlations but performs worse in attributes inference/classification. Overall, the proposed approach achieves better performance than the listed approaches in inferring the age group and residential areas, indicating the effectiveness of the proposed modeling framework that consists of Inner-PNN and AECM for traveler attributes inference. Note that although our definition of residential areas does not necessarily represent true information of residential area for passengers, under the same assumptions/conditions, our model can still yield higher accuracy than other listed strategies.

It is observed that the inference results of children have relatively high precision and recall. This is further explained below. Generally speaking, the mobility patterns of kids have a high level regularity and their travels are often more limited. For instance, as can be seen from Section 3.3, most kids travel in the morning rush hour ( $6 \mathrm{am}-10 \mathrm{am}$ ) and the afternoon rush hour ( $2 \mathrm{pm}-4 \mathrm{pm}$ ) on weekdays. And the five selected high-frequency travel routes for children account for a high percentage of total trips. This observed regularity in relation to children indeed helps the inference.

### 5.3. Network Architecture Analysis

As discussed in Section 4, we propose a basic framework consisting of two sub-networks for traveler attributes inference. In this subsection, utilizing age group inference as an example, we compare the performance of utilizing different components in the framework including the Fully Connected Layer (FCL), Auto-Encoder-based Compression Module (AECM), Inner-Product-based Module (Inner-PNN), and Outer-Product-based Module (Outer-PNN). We also test each of the above four modules for inference.

For the combination of different modules in the two sub-networks framework, FCL or AECM is utilized to com-

Table 3
Performance under different combinations of model components

| Model |  | Accuracy | Recall |  |  | Precision |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Adult | Senior | Child | Adult | Senior | Child |  |
| FCL | 0.8051 | 0.7936 | 0.7354 | 0.8864 | 0.8105 | 0.7952 | 0.8086 |  |
| AECM | 0.8194 | 0.6226 | 0.8518 | 0.9849 | 0.8404 | 0.7275 | 0.9046 |  |
| Inner-PNN | 0.8624 | $\mathbf{0 . 8 8 7 4}$ | 0.8304 | 0.8694 | 0.8575 | 0.8238 | 0.9087 |  |
| Outer-PNN | 0.8009 | 0.8682 | 0.7254 | 0.8090 | 0.8130 | 0.7868 | 0.8009 |  |
| Outer-PNN + FCL | 0.8792 | 0.7711 | 0.8774 | 0.9897 | 0.8754 | 0.8193 | 0.9441 |  |
| Inner-PNN + FCL | 0.9053 | 0.8352 | 0.8896 | 0.9719 | 0.8807 | 0.8615 | 0.9719 |  |
| Outer-PNN + AECM | 0.9023 | 0.8295 | 0.8890 | 0.9889 | 0.8792 | 0.8552 | 0.9723 |  |
| Inner-PNN + AECM | $\mathbf{0 . 9 2 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 6 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 0 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 9 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 0 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 8 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 8 3 1}$ |  |

press the transit stop matrix and extract useful information for further inference. Inner-PNN or Outer-PNN investigates the relevance among the extracted spatial and temporal features to infer different groups. Therefore, we have four combinations, where the results are summarized in Table 3. We discuss the four modules and their combinations in the following.

FCL or AECM can be used to compress the public transit stop matrix and extract useful knowledge from it (e.g., destination information). However, the transit stop matrix does not contain much temporal information. Also, the transit stop matrix consisting of all destinations of passengers may enclose some noises (occasional travel destinations cannot be counted as a part of passenger's regular travel patterns). Therefore, FCL-only or AECM-only yields worse performance than the combination of two sub-networks. Both AECM and FCLs are able to extract important information from the transit stop matrix. However, compared to AECM, more information may be lost when compressing the transit stop matrix with FCLs. As introduced in Section 4.2,the constraint loss function in AE helps drive the output from the decoder to be close to the input data as much as possible. Thus, the derived features from the encoder are more powerful and complete than that from FCLs, and thus may help yield a higher level of inference accuracy in many occasions.

Inner-PNN and Outer-PNN both help capture and utilize the relevance among extracted spatial and temporal features. However, only using PNN-based modules for inference may ignore some destination information of passengers since the extracted features only contain the features of the most frequent travel routes. The overall destination information is better captured by the transit stop matrix. Thus, the performance of PNN-based modules is worse than the complete framework with two sub-networks. Furthermore, the results in Table 3 show that Inner-PNN achieves better performance than Outer-PNN. Outer-PNN has been proposed by He et al. (2018) to explicitly model the pairwise correlations among different types of one-hot encoded features for the recommendation system. Although the data in our experiments consists of several types of features, it is not a one-hot encoded sparse matrix. Thus, directly adapting Outer-PNN for attributes inference may not obtain distinguished performance. Also, in terms of the geometric meaning, inner-product operation indeed judges the angle between two vectors but the outer-product does not have such a meaning.

The combination of Inner-PNN and AECM achieves the highest accuracy, since it takes advantage of two subnetworks, and provides a capable and balanced way to capture information in the dataset. It is worth mentioning that Inner-PNN performing better than this combination for the adult group indicates that the extracted features are sufficient to identify adults and the transit stop matrix may contain noises (occasional travel destinations), which may have a negative impact on the age group inference.

### 5.4. Ablation Study on Feature Selection and Parameter Tuning

In this section, taking age group inference as an example, we evaluate the importance of features as shown in Section 3.2 by an ablation study of removing one feature in each experiment. Then, we evaluate the performance of the proposed method under different learning rates, $\lambda$ in the loss function, and the ratio of training and testing data.

Figure 10(a) shows the importance score of each type of feature listed in Section 3.2 in our model. As can be seen, all the features positively contribute to the classification/inference while their significance differs. To further verify that the extracted features do make a contribution in the proposed model, we remove one feature each time and conduct an independent experiment to infer the age group and the testing results are shown in Table 4. Keeping all features

Table 4
Performance under different combinations of features

| Features |  | Accuracy |  | Recall |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Adult | Senior | Child | Adult | Senior | Child |
| Without Pol | 0.8938 | 0.8076 | 0.8808 | 0.9922 | 0.8714 | 0.8453 | 0.9626 |
| Without Time | 0.8930 | 0.8033 | 0.8875 | 0.990 | 0.8728 | 0.8383 | 0.9700 |
| Without Distance | 0.8974 | 0.8686 | 0.8440 | 0.9787 | 0.8349 | 0.8784 | 0.9785 |
| Without Location | 0.9133 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 1 3 5}$ | 0.8305 | 0.9956 | 0.8458 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 1 8 5}$ | 0.9811 |
| Without Frequency | 0.9166 | 0.8935 | 0.8630 | 0.9926 | 0.8642 | 0.9062 | 0.9791 |
| All Features | $\mathbf{0 . 9 2 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 6 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 0 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 9 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 0 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 8 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 8 3 1}$ |

yields a higher level of accuracy than other settings. It is also noteworthy that removing location or travel frequency yields relatively close performance to the proposed model with all five features. This is partially due to that the sparse matrix training by AECM also includes location and travel frequency information of passengers.

In Figure 10(b), we display the values of loss functions $\operatorname{Loss}_{1}$ (from the constraint of Auto-Encoder) and Loss $_{2}$ (the loss of final classification in the concatenation part) when we vary $\lambda$ from 0.1 to $0.9(\lambda=0.5$ in the benchmark case). As can be seen, when $\operatorname{Loss}_{1}$ decreases, $\operatorname{Loss}_{2}$ increases. These points are expected to lie along the Pareto frontier, where we minimize the two objectives $\operatorname{Loss}_{1}$ and $\operatorname{Loss}_{2}$ simultaneously.


Figure 10: (a) Features importance ranking; (b) Loss value
In Figure 11, we show how the level of accuracy of the age group inference based on the proposed model varies under different parameter settings. We compare diverse learning rate values and change the value of $\lambda$ in the loss function. As the results show, learning_rate $=0.1$ and $\lambda=0.5$ yield the best performance. The previous experiments are tested with a fixed percentage of training and validation data $(70 \%)$. When the value of $\lambda$ varies from 0.1 to 1 , $\operatorname{Loss}_{1}$ weights less in the overall loss, and $\operatorname{Loss}_{2}$ weights more. When $\lambda$ equals one, $L o s s_{1}$ is not counted and the Auto-Encoder-based Compression Module becomes FCLs, which still has the ability for compression and extracting information from the transit stop matrix. The overall model becomes a combination of Inner-PNN and FCLs. The result is consistent with the result in Table 3. The two loss functions do not necessarily always conflict with each other since they all tend to minimize the difference between observed values and certain calculated/predicted values/metrics. Therefore, varying $\lambda$, i.e., changing the weights for the two loss functions does not lead to substantial changes in the prediction accuracy. At last, the ratio of training data (including training data and validation data) and testing data is changed from $7: 3$ to $1: 9$. Even only $10 \%$ of data is used for training, the proposed method still produces an overall level of accuracy at $77.29 \%$ for inferring the age group.

## 6. Discussion and Conclusion

### 6.1. Discussion

This study demonstrates the potential of inferring or recovering traveler attributes or labels based on the observed trajectories of travelers in public transit systems. Moreover, this study provides new perspectives on utilizing public


Figure 11: Performance under parameter tuning
transport data to understanding travel behavior patterns, which may be further utilized to improve public mobility services and added services. Several potential applications or implications from this study are briefly discussed below.

- Inferring other attributes:

In the experiments, this study focuses on inferring the age groups and residential areas of travelers since age group information can be validated and residential areas can be approximated. Other traveler attributes such as gender, occupation, income level, may also be inferred through the proposed model, given that these attributes are highly related to travel behaviours. The proposed method has to be further tested for inferring other attributes that have a low correlation with travel behaviours.
This study indeed offers a general framework to infer individual attributes based on user behaviours. For example, based on the extracted features of purchase records (e.g., the types, prices, and the number of the purchased commodities of the consumers), the attributes (related to purchase behaviors) of these consumers can be inferred. However, the effectiveness is expected to rely on the level of matching between behaviors and attributes.

- Recovering attributes under limited information:

If only a part of the data contains a certain attribute or label, the proposed model can be used to infer or recover attributes or labels for those without true attributes or labels (e.g., due to data loss). During the training process, only the part of the data with the attributes or labels in concern is used to train the model and fit the parameters of the model. Since the training and test data are drawn from the same feature space and have the same distribution, the rest data without true attributes or labels can be inferred by the model.
Furthermore, if a dataset does not contain the useful labels or attributes, one may conduct small-scale surveys and train the proposed model with survey data. Then, the proposed model can be used to infer the attributes or labels for the whole dataset, which avoids infeasible or very costly large-scale surveys for the whole dataset.
The proposed method can also be coupled with transfer learning techniques in order to produce or recover attributes/labels of passengers in datasets without labels or with insufficient labels for model training. Suppose we have a dataset with sufficient labels as the source domain and a dataset without labels (or with insufficient labels) as the target domain, the method of domain adaption, a strategy of transfer learning (Pan and Yang, 2009), can be coupled with the proposed model to infer the attributes in the target domain. In particular, one can first filter out the data with high similarities between the source domain and the target domain based on the extracted
features, and then train the proposed model in this paper based on these selected data with similarities and infer the attributes of passengers in the target domain.

## - Planning of Urban Public Services:

Since travel patterns can be linked to personal attributes and individual preferences, the attributes of passengers inferred by the proposed model can be helpful for decision making in public services development (e.g., personalized recommendation or advertising systems, commercial/entertainment site selection, and urban planning), where those inferred attributes of travelers could further help identify the travel preferences of travelers.

For instance, knowing the preferred transit lines or departure time of travelers with different attributes, we can design corresponding user-centered public transit systems (including vehicle sizes, transit routes, transit stop spacing, and scheduling). As discussed in Section 1, the transit lines preferred by the elderly may have to be quieter. This may improve the attractiveness and enhance the usability of the public transport systems to different passengers. Also, advertisements aimed at adults can be broadcast more often on the public transit lines that they take more often.
Similarly, knowing the origins/destinations of citizens with diverse travel behaviours and attributes can be useful in planning and operation for urban public facilities (Belanche et al., 2016). For instance, by analyzing the destination distribution of passengers, the preference of people in each age group can be further inferred. If the elderly prefers to visit place A , relevant planning authorities may provide more dedicated facilities (e.g., clinics) for the elderly. If the adults are likely to go to place B, more clubs or other entertainment facilities may have to be planned nearby. Overall, the proposed model can produce output that is the input for advertising, planning, and management problems of city-wide public facilities in order to provide a more user-centered service planning.

- Implications for Mobility Patterns and Demand Estimation:

This study provides additional evidence to the literature that mobility patterns and traveler attributes are highly correlated (e.g., see distinguished mobility patterns for different age groups in Section 3.3). More importantly, the matching between mobility patterns and traveler attributes can be well modelled and captured through data mining techniques (see competitive performance of the proposed method in this paper in Section 5). While this study does not focus on estimation of mobility patterns and demand, it indicates that further data mining techniques can be developed (in the reverse direction compared to this study) to quantify and utilize the matching between traveler attributes and mobility patterns, and thus help provide better estimations or forecasts for mobility patterns and travel demand.

## - Model Improvement for Attributes Inference:

Based on the extracted features presented in Section 3.2, the proposed inference method can be further improved by adopting an attention module (Vaswani et al., 2017) to assign different weights to different types of features, which may further improve individual attributes inference quality. Also, the compression module can be enhanced by more complicated compression frameworks such as Deep Compression model (Han et al., 2016), which is a three-stage pipeline including pruning, trained quantization, and Huffman coding. Such compression operation with a high compression rate can retain important information, while reduce the storage need, and may also further improve the inference accuracy.
Furthermore, one may infer the attributes of passengers based on the raw data (e.g., the transit stop matrix of origins/destinations for passengers, the matrix to record the number of trips for passengers in each time period) rather than the pre-defined features proposed in Section 3.2. For instance, utilizing the transit stop matrix of origins/destinations, the graph-based convolutional neural network (Bai et al., 2019b) can be adapted to analyze the spatial correlations among the origins/destinations of passengers. Utilizing the travel frequency matrix, the recurrent neural network (Ke et al., 2017) or its variants can be adapted to analyze the temporal relations and extract useful implicit information. The extracted knowledge can then be fused for further attributes inference. It should be noted that there is a large number of individual trajectories in the dataset, which may contain many irregular trips (or noises). Thus, the proposed model may have to be coupled with techniques to manage the negative effects of noise observations in the dataset on the inference.

### 6.2. Conclusion

This paper explores the relevance among temporal and spatial information of transit data to identify and visualize the travel patterns of travelers. These patterns are related to individual attributes (e.g. age groups and residential areas), where the patterns of correlations are identified by analyzing the extracted spatial and temporal mobility features. We then develop a new hybrid spatio-temporal neural network to infer the attributes from mobility patterns extracted from the observable trajectories. The proposed model is compared with several benchmark algorithms in the literature including LDA, QDA, SVM, Ada, DT, XGBoost, MLP, PPC, C2AE, and DeepSD. We evaluate the proposed approach by inferring age groups and residential areas of travelers based on real-world large-scale public transit data, which outperforms all the algorithms tested in this paper. The effectiveness of the proposed method mainly benefits from its capability of capturing the spatio-temporal characteristics of travel patterns. This is achieved by taking full advantage of the overall framework and further enhanced by the Inner-Product-based strategy and Auto-Encoder-based method. The pieces of evidence from the comparison of different components of the architecture and the features also suggest that characterizing spatial and temporal correlations in models can greatly improve the classification/inference accuracy. This study also provides insights regarding mobility patterns associated with traveler attributes and shows that people with different attributes may behave differently in a systematic manner.
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