





Nutrient acquisition in intercropping: Insights from a rhizobox study and a field experiment

Schwerdtner, Ulrike¹; Spohn, Marie¹

¹Soil Biogeochemistry I Bayreuth Center of Ecology and Environmental Research (BayCEER) I University of Bayreuth I Germany I contact: ulrike.schwerdtner@uni-bayreuth.de



Introduction

- <u>Intercropping</u> = growing (at least) two crop species simultaneously
- \rightarrow can contribute to increased plant nutrient uptake (P: phosphorus; N: nitrogen) resulting in higher yields and improved grain nutritional quality
- Potential <u>mechanisms</u> of nutrient acquisition in intercropping include:

R

Ε

S

S

- Increased phosphatase activities and changed concentrations of protons and/ or organic anions in the rhizosphere of companion plants that increase P mobilization and P availability also for main crop



N input to soil by legumes can increase phosphatase activity and N transfer from the legume to the main crop can increase N availability

The <u>aim</u> of this study was to test these potential mechanisms of nutrient acquisition in two intercropping experiments (greenhouse and field).

Rhizobox study:

- Maize (*Zea mays*) intercropped with either blue lupin (*Lupinus angustifolius*), faba bean (Vicia faba) or white mustard (Sinapis alba) in comparison to maize monoculture (control)
- Rhizoboxes (30 x 50 x 3 cm / inclined by 50°) \rightarrow five replicates
- Soil: loamy sand from field experiment \rightarrow pH(H₂O) = 6.9; P(H₂O) = 244 mg kg⁻¹ soil; TN = 2.2 g kg⁻¹ soil
- Soil zymography¹ to study the spatial distribution of phosphatase activities in the rhizosphere
- pH imaging² to investigate pH changes in the rhizosphere

Field experiment:

- Maize intercropped with either blue lupin, faba bean, white mustard or soy (*Gylcine max*) and in monoculture (control)
- Plots (1.7 x 2.5 m) with row intercropping (eight rows with twelve plants each) \rightarrow five replicates
- Row intercropping: 20 cm row and plant distance
- Harvest of 10 plants per species & plot \rightarrow analysis of biomass
- production (dry mass), nutrient contents (P, N) and isotopic N signature (decreased $\delta^{15}N$ signature as indicator for N transfer)

Rhizobox study:

- Phosphatase activity was highest in the rhizosphere of legumes
- pH changes: faba bean decreased pH and white mustard increased pH; maize and blue lupin showed inconsistent changes



Fig. 1

Phosphatase activity

8 - 11.5 11.5 - 15

[pmol mm⁻² h⁻¹]

0 - 1

Examples of phosphatase activities in the rhizosphere of maize (on the left) intercropped with (a) faba bean or (b) blue lupin (on the right), incl. calibration line

4.5 - 8

tested

Field experiment:

IVI

Ε

Η

0

D

S

• Biomass, yield and nutrient uptake of maize intercropped with blue lupin, soy, or white mustard were significantly higher as in monoculture



a) Maize & faba bean





Fig. 3 Examples of pH changes in the rhizosphere of maize (on the left)



Fig. 4

Grain yield and additional aboveground biomass of maize in monoculture (ZmZm) or intercropped with faba bean (VfZm), blue lupin (LaZm), soy (GmZm) or white mustard (SaZm). Columns show means ± SD (n = 5). Lowercase letters indicate significant differences (p < 0.05) between treatments, tested separately for biomass and yield.





Acknowledgements and references:

A VfZm ZmZm LaZm GmZm SaZm

Fig. 5

N and P uptake of aboveground maize biomass (grouping and statistics as before, cf. Fig. 4; tested separately for N and P)

VfŻm LaZm GmZm SaZm ZmZm

Fig. 6 δ^{15} N signatures of aboveground maize biomass (grouping and statistics as before, cf. Fig. 4)

Conclusion:

• Companion crops affect rhizosphere properties by changing phosphatase activities and/ or soil pH that can increase P mobilization • Legumes additionally transfer N to maize plants Intercropping can facilitate maize nutrient uptake and maize grain yields

US thanks the German Federal Environmental Foundation (DBU) for financial support. US & MS thank Uwe Hell, Renate Krauß, Karin Söllner, the BayCEER Keylab of Isotope Biogeochemistry, and the BayCEER Chemical Analytics (CAN) for support.

¹ Spohn M, Carminati A, Kuzyakov Y (2013): Soil zymography – A novel *in situ* method for mapping distribution of enzyme activity in soil. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 58: 275–280. ² Marschner H, Römheld V, Ossenberg-Neuhaus H (1982): Rapid Method for Measuring Changes in pH and Reducing Processes Along Roots of Intact Plants. Zeitschrift für Pflanzenphysiologie 105: 407–416.

International Phosphorus Workshop (IPW9) I ETH Zurich, Switzerland I 8–12 July 2019