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We introduce a new modified Ishikawa iterative process and a new W-mapping for computing fixed points of an infinite family of strict pseudocontractions mapping in the framework of q-uniformly smooth Banach spaces. Then, we establish the strong convergence theorem of the proposed iterative scheme under some mild conditions. The results obtained in this paper extend and improve the recent results of Cai and Hu 2010, Dong et al. 2010, Katchang and Kumam 2011 and many others in the literature.

1. Introduction

Let $E$ be a real Banach space with norm $\| \cdot \|$ and $C$ a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$. Let $E^*$ be the dual space of $E$, and let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the generalized duality pairing between $E$ and $E^*$. For $q > 1$, the generalized duality mapping $J_q : E \to 2^{E^*}$ is defined by

$$J_q(x) = \left\{ f \in E^* : \langle x, f \rangle = \|x\|^q, \|f\| = \|x\|^{q-1} \right\}, \quad (1.1)$$

for all $x \in E$. In particular, if $q = 2$, the mapping $J = J_2$ is called the normalized duality mapping and $J_q(x) = \|x\|^{q-2}j_2(x)$ for $x \neq 0$. It is well known that if $E$ is smooth, then $J_q$ is single-valued, which is denoted by $j_q$. 
A mapping $T : C \rightarrow C$ is called nonexpansive if

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in C. \quad (1.2)$$

We use $F(T)$ to denote the set of fixed points of $T$; that is, $F(T) = \{ x \in C : Tx = x \}$. $T$ is said to be a $\lambda$-strict pseudocontraction in the terminology of Browder and Petryshyn [1] if there exists a constant $\lambda > 0$ and for some $j_q(x - y) \in J_q(x - y)$ such that

$$\langle Tx - Ty, j_q(x - y) \rangle \leq \|x - y\|^q - \lambda \|(I - T)x - (I - T)y\|^q, \quad \forall x, y \in C. \quad (1.3)$$

$T$ is said to be a strong pseudocontraction if there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\langle Tx - Ty, j_q(x - y) \rangle \leq k\|x - y\|^q, \quad \forall x, y \in C. \quad (1.4)$$

**Remark 1.1** (see [2]). Let $T$ be a $\lambda$-strict pseudocontraction in a Banach space. Let $x \in C$ and $p \in F(T)$. Then,

$$\|Tx - p\| \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/q-1}}\right)\|x - p\|. \quad (1.5)$$

Recall that a self mapping $f : C \rightarrow C$ is contraction on $C$ if there exists a constant $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $x, y \in C$ such that

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \alpha \|x - y\|. \quad (1.6)$$

We use $\Pi_C$ to denote the collection of all contractions on $C$. That is, $\Pi_C = \{ f \mid f : C \rightarrow C \text{ a contraction} \}$. Note that each $f \in \Pi_C$ has a unique fixed point in $C$.

Very recently, Cai and Hu [3] also proved the strong convergence theorem in Banach spaces. They considered the following iterative algorithm:

$$x_1 = x \in C \text{ chosen arbitrarily,}$$

$$y_n = P_C \left[ \beta_n x_n + (1 - \beta_n) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_i^{(n)} Ti x_n \right], \quad (1.7)$$

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n \gamma f(x_n) + \gamma_n x_n + \left((1 - \gamma_n)I - \alpha_n A\right)y_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1,$$

where $T_i$ is a non-self-$\lambda_i$-strictly pseudocontraction, $f$ is a contraction, and $A$ is a strongly positive linear bounded operator.
Dong et al. [2] proved the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) converges strongly in Banach spaces under certain appropriate assumptions and used the \( W_n \) mapping defined by (1.11). Let the sequences \( \{x_n\} \) be generated by

\[
x_0 = x \in C \text{ chosen arbitrarily,}
\]
\[
y_n = \delta_n x_n + (1 - \delta_n) W_n x_n,
\]
\[
x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + \gamma_n W_n y_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0.
\]

On the other hand, Katchang and Kumam [4, 5] introduced the following new modified Ishikawa iterative process for computing fixed points of an infinite family nonexpansive mapping in the framework of Banach spaces; let the sequences \( \{x_n\} \) be generated by

\[
x_0 = x \in C \text{ chosen arbitrarily,}
\]
\[
z_n = \gamma_n x_n + (1 - \gamma_n) W_n x_n,
\]
\[
y_n = \beta_n x_n + (1 - \beta_n) W_n z_n,
\]
\[
x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x) + (I - \alpha_n A) y_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0,
\]

where \( f \) is a contraction, \( A \) is a strongly positive linear bounded self-adjoint operator, and \( W_n \) mapping (see [6, 7]) is defined by

\[
U_{n+1} = I,
\]
\[
U_{n,n} = \lambda_n T_n U_{n,n+1} + (1 - \lambda_n) I,
\]
\[
U_{n,n-1} = \lambda_{n-1} T_{n-1} U_{n,n} + (1 - \lambda_{n-1}) I,
\]
\[
\vdots
\]
\[
U_{n,k} = \lambda_k T_k U_{n,k+1} + (1 - \lambda_k) I,
\]
\[
U_{n,k-1} = \lambda_{k-1} T_{k-1} U_{n,k} + (1 - \lambda_{k-1}) I,
\]
\[
\vdots
\]
\[
U_{n,2} = \lambda_2 T_2 U_{n,3} + (1 - \lambda_2) I,
\]
\[
W_n = U_{n,1} = \lambda_1 T_1 U_{n,2} + (1 - \lambda_1) I,
\]

where \( T_1, T_2, \ldots \) is an infinite family of nonexpansive mappings of \( C \) into itself and \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots \) is real numbers such that \( 0 \leq \lambda_n \leq 1 \) for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). In 2010, Cho [8] considered and proved the strong convergence of the implicit iterative process for an infinite family of strict pseudocontractions in an arbitrary real Banach space.
In this paper, motivated and inspired by Cai and Hu [9], we consider the mapping $W_n$ defined by

\begin{align*}
U_{n,1} &= I, \\
U_{n,n} &= t_n T_{n,n} U_{n,n+1} + (1 - t_n)I, \\
& \vdots \\
U_{n,k} &= t_k T_{n,k} U_{n,k+1} + (1 - t_k)I, \\
U_{n,k-1} &= t_{k-1} T_{n,k-1} U_{n,k} + (1 - t_{k-1})I, \\
& \vdots \\
U_{n,2} &= t_2 T_{n,2} U_{n,3} + (1 - t_2)I, \\
W_n &= U_{n,1} = t_1 T_{n,1} U_{n,2} + (1 - t_1)I,
\end{align*}

(1.11)

where $t_1, t_2, \ldots$ are real numbers such that $0 \leq t_n \leq 1$. $T_{n,k} = \theta_{n,k} S_k + (1 - \theta_{n,k})I$, where $S_k$ is a $\lambda_k$-strict pseudocontraction of $C$ into itself and $\theta_{n,k} \in (0, \mu], \mu = \min\{1, \sqrt{q^{1/q}/C_q^{1/q-1}}\}$, where $\lambda = \inf \lambda_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 2.3, we know that $T_{n,k}$ is a nonexpansive mapping, and therefore, $W_n$ is a nonexpansive mapping. We note that the $W$-mapping (1.10) is a special case of a $W$-mapping (1.11) when $\theta_{n,k} = \theta_k$ is constant for all $n \geq 1$.

Throughout this paper, we will assume that $\inf \lambda_i > 0$, $0 < t_n \leq b < 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{\theta_{n,k}\}$ satisfies

(H1) $\theta_{n,k} \in (0, \mu], \mu = \min\{1, \inf_k \{q^{1/q}/C_q^{1/q-1}\} \}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

(H2) $|\theta_{n+1,k} - \theta_{n,k}| \leq a_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$, where $\{a_n\}$ satisfies $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n < \infty$.

The hypothesis (H2) secures the existence of $\lim_{n \to \infty} \theta_{n,k}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Set $\theta_{1,k} := \lim_{n \to \infty} \theta_{n,k}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, we assume that

(H3) $\theta_{1,k} > 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

It is obvious that $\theta_{1,k}$ satisfy (H1). Using condition (H3), from $T_{n,k} = \theta_{n,k} S_k + (1 - \theta_{n,k})I$, we define mappings $T_{1,k}x := \lim_{n \to \infty} T_{n,k}x = \theta_{1,k} S_k x + (1 - \theta_{1,k})x$ for all $x \in C$.

Our results improve and extend the recent ones announced by Cai and Hu [3], Dong et al. [2], Katchang and Kumam [4, 5], and many others.

2. Preliminaries

Recall that $U = \{x \in E : \|x\| = 1\}$. A Banach space $E$ is said to be uniformly convex if, for any $\epsilon \in (0, 2]$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $x, y \in U$, $\|x - y\| \geq \epsilon$ implies $\|(x + y)/2\| \leq 1 - \delta$.

It is known that a uniformly convex Banach space is reflexive and strictly convex (see also [10]). A Banach space $E$ is said to be smooth if the limit $\lim_{t \to 0} (\|x + ty\| - \|x\|)/t$ exists for all $x, y \in U$. It is also said to be uniformly smooth if the limit is attained uniformly for $x, y \in U$. 
In a smooth Banach space, we define an operator $A$ as strongly positive if there exists a constant $\gamma > 0$ with the property
\[
\langle Ax, J(x) \rangle \geq \gamma \|x\|^2, \quad \|aI - bA\| = \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \| (aI - bA)x, J(x) \| \quad a \in [0,1], \quad b \in [-1,1],
\] (2.1)
where $I$ is the identity mapping and $J$ is the normalized duality mapping.

If $C$ and $D$ are nonempty subsets of a Banach space $E$ such that $C$ is a nonempty closed convex and $D \subset C$, then a mapping $Q : C \to D$ is sunny [11, 12] provided that $Q(x + t(x - Q(x))) = Q(x)$ for all $x \in C$ and $t \geq 0$ whenever $x + t(x - Q(x)) \in C$. A mapping $Q : C \to C$ is called a retraction if $Q^2 = Q$. If a mapping $Q : C \to C$ is a retraction, then $Qz = z$ for all $z$ in the range of $Q$. A subset $D$ of $C$ is said to be a sunny nonexpansive retract of $C$ if there exists a sunny nonexpansive retraction $Q$ of $C$ onto $D$. A sunny nonexpansive retraction is a sunny retraction, which is also nonexpansive. Sunny nonexpansive retractions play an important role in our argument. They are characterized as follows [11, 12]: if $E$ is a smooth Banach space, then $Q : C \to D$ is a sunny nonexpansive retraction if and only if there holds the inequality
\[
\langle x - Qx, J(y - Qx) \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall x \in C, \quad y \in D.
\] (2.2)

We need the following lemmas for proving our main results.

**Lemma 2.1** (see [13]). In a Banach space $E$, the following holds:
\[
\|x + y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2\langle y, j(x + y) \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in E,
\] (2.3)
where $j(x + y) \in J(x + y)$.

**Lemma 2.2** (see [14]). Let $E$ be a real $q$-uniformly smooth Banach space, then there exists a constant $C_q > 0$ such that
\[
\|x + y\|^q \leq \|x\|^q + q\langle y, j_q x \rangle + C_q \|y\|^q, \quad \forall x, y \in E.
\] (2.4)
In particular, if $E$ be a real 2-uniformly smooth Banach space with the best smooth constant $K$, then the following inequality holds:
\[
\|x + y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2\langle y, j x \rangle + 2\|Ky\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in E.
\] (2.5)

The relation between the $\lambda$-strict pseudocontraction and the nonexpansive mapping can be obtained from the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.3** (see [15]). Let $C$ be a nonempty convex subset of a real $q$-uniformly smooth Banach space $E$ and $S : C \to C$ a $\lambda$-strict pseudocontraction. For $\alpha \in (0,1)$, one defines $Tx = (1 - \alpha)x + \alpha Sx$. Then, as $\alpha \in (0,\mu), \mu = \min\{1, \{q^2/C_q \}^{1/q-1}\}, T : C \to C$ is nonexpansive such that $F(T) = F(S)$, where $C_q$ is the constant in Lemma 2.2.
Concerning $W_n$, we have the following lemmas, which are important to prove the main results.

**Lemma 2.4** (see [2]). Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a $q$-uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach space $E$. Let $S_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots$, be a $\lambda_i$-strict pseudocontraction from $C$ into itself such that $\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(S_n) \neq \emptyset$, and let $\inf \lambda_i > 0$. Let $t_n, n = 1, 2, \ldots$, be real numbers such that $0 < t_n \leq b < 1$ for any $n \geq 1$. Assume that the sequence $\{\theta_{n,k}\}$ satisfies (H$_1$) and (H$_2$). Then, for every $x \in C$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the limit $\lim_{n \to \infty} U_{n,k}x$ exists.

Using Lemma 2.4, we define the mappings $U_{i,k}$ and $W : C \to C$ as follows:

$$U_{i,k}x := \lim_{n \to \infty} U_{n,k}x,$$

$$Wx := \lim_{n \to \infty} W_nx = \lim_{n \to \infty} U_{n,1}x,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.6)

for all $x \in C$. Such $W$ is called the $W$-mapping generated by $S_1, S_2, \ldots$, $t_1, t_2, \ldots$ and $\theta_{n,k}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$.

**Lemma 2.5** (see [2]). Let $\{x_n\}$ be a bounded sequence in a $q$-uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach space $E$. Under the assumptions of Lemma 2.4, it holds

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|W_n x_n - W x_n\| = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.7)

**Lemma 2.6** (see [2]). Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a $q$-uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach space $E$. Let $S_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots$, be a $\lambda_i$-strict pseudocontraction from $C$ into itself such that $\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(S_n) \neq \emptyset$, and let $\inf \lambda_i > 0$. Let $t_n, n = 1, 2, \ldots$, be real numbers such that $0 < t_n \leq b < 1$ for any $n \geq 1$. Assume that the sequence $\{\theta_{n,k}\}$ satisfies (H$_1$)–(H$_3$). Then, $F(W) = \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(S_n)$.

**Lemma 2.7** (see [16]). Assume that $\{a_n\}$ is a sequence of nonnegative real numbers such that

$$a_{n+1} \leq (1 - \alpha_n)a_n + \delta_n \quad n \geq 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.8)

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence in $(0, 1)$ and $\{\delta_n\}$ is a sequence in $\mathbb{R}$ such that

(i) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$,

(ii) $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \delta_n / \alpha_n \leq 0$ or $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\delta_n| < \infty$.

Then, $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 0$.

**Lemma 2.8** (see [17]). Let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be bounded sequences in a Banach space $X$, and let $\{\beta_n\}$ be a sequence in $[0, 1]$ with $0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \beta_n \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \beta_n < 1$. Suppose that $x_{n+1} = (1 - \beta_n)y_n + \beta_n x_n$ for all integers $n \geq 0$ and $\limsup_{n \to \infty} (\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| + \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|) \leq 0$. Then, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|y_n - x_n\| = 0$.

**Lemma 2.9** (see [3]). Assume that $A$ is a strong positive linear bounded operator on a smooth Banach space $E$ with coefficient $\tilde{\gamma} > 0$ and $0 < \rho \leq \|A\|^{-1}$. Then, $\|I - \rho A\| \leq 1 - \rho \tilde{\gamma}$.
3. Main Results

In this section, we prove a strong convergence theorem.

Theorem 3.1. Let $E$ be a real $q$-uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach space which admits a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping $J$ from $E$ to $E^*$. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed and convex subset of $E$ which is also a sunny nonexpansive retraction of $E$ such that $C + C \subseteq C$. Let $A$ be a strongly positive linear bounded operator on $E$ with coefficient $\gamma > 0$ such that $0 < \gamma < 1/\alpha$, and let $f$ be a contraction of $C$ into itself with coefficient $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Let $S_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, be $\lambda_i$-strict pseudocontractions from $C$ into itself such that $\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(S_n) \neq \emptyset$ and $\inf \lambda_i > 0$. Assume that the sequences $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}, \gamma_n > a$ for some $a \in (0, 1)$, and the sequence $\{\theta_{n,k}\}$ satisfies (H1)-(H3). Then, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by

$$
\begin{align*}
x_0 \in C & \text{ chosen arbitrarily,} \\
z_n & = \delta_n x_n + (1 - \delta_n) W_n x_n, \\
y_n & = \gamma_n x_n + (1 - \gamma_n) W_n z_n, \\
x_{n+1} & = \alpha_n y f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + ((1 - \beta_n) I - \alpha_n A)y_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

converges strongly to $x^* \in \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(S_n)$, which solves the following variational inequality:

$$
\langle y f(x^*) - Ax^*, J(p - x^*) \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall f \in \Pi_C, \quad p \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(S_n).
$$

Proof. By (i), we may assume, without loss of generality, that $\alpha_n \leq (1 - \beta_n) \|A\|^{-1}$ for all $n$. Since $A$ is a strongly positive bounded linear operator on $E$ and by (2.1), we have

$$
\|A\| = \sup \{|\langle Ax, J(x) \rangle| : x \in E, \|x\| = 1\}.
$$

Observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle ((1 - \beta_n) I - \alpha_n A)x, J(x) \rangle & = 1 - \beta_n - \alpha_n \langle Ax, J(x) \rangle \\
& \geq 1 - \beta_n - \alpha_n \|A\| \|x\| \\
& \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in E.
\end{align*}
$$
This shows that \((1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_nA\) is positive. It follows that

\[
\| (1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_nA \| = \sup \{ \| ((1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_nA)x, J(x) \| : x \in E, \| x \| = 1 \}
\]

\[
= \sup \{ 1 - \beta_n - \alpha_n(Ax, J(x)) : x \in E, \| x \| = 1 \}
\]

\[
\leq 1 - \beta_n - \alpha_nT.
\]  

First, we show that \(\{x_n\}\) is bounded. Let \(p \in \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(S_n)\). By the definition of \(\{z_n\}, \{y_n\},\) and \(\{x_n\}\), we have

\[
\| z_n - p \| = \| \delta_n x_n + (1 - \delta_n)W_n x_n - p \|
\]

\[
\leq \delta_n \| x_n - p \| + (1 - \delta_n) \| W_n x_n - p \|
\]

\[
\leq \delta_n \| x_n - p \| + (1 - \delta_n) \| x_n - p \|
\]

\[
= \| x_n - p \|,
\]  

and from this, we have

\[
\| y_n - p \| = \| \gamma_n x_n + (1 - \gamma_n)W_n z_n - p \|
\]

\[
\leq \gamma_n \| x_n - p \| + (1 - \gamma_n) \| W_n z_n - p \|
\]

\[
\leq \gamma_n \| x_n - p \| + (1 - \gamma_n) \| z_n - p \|
\]

\[
\leq \gamma_n \| x_n - p \| + (1 - \gamma_n) \| x_n - p \|
\]

\[
= \| x_n - p \|.
\]  

It follows that

\[
\| x_{n+1} - p \| = \alpha_n \| y_n (x_n) + \beta_n x_n + ((1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_nA)y_n - p \|
\]

\[
= \| \alpha_n \| y_n (x_n) - Ap \| + \beta_n \| x_n - p \| + ((1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_nA) \| y_n - p \|
\]

\[
\leq \| \alpha_n \| y_n (x_n) - Ap \| + \beta_n \| x_n - p \| + (1 - \beta_n - \alpha_n \| y_n - p \|
\]

\[
\leq \| \alpha_n \| y_n (x_n) - Ap \| + \beta_n \| x_n - p \| + (1 - \beta_n - \alpha_n \| x_n - p \|
\]

\[
\leq \| \alpha_n \| y_n (x_n) - Ap \| + \| y_n (p) \| + \alpha_n \| y_n (p) - Ap \| + (1 - \alpha_n \| x_n - p \|
\]

\[
\leq \alpha_n \| x_n - p \| + \| y_n (p) \| + \alpha_n \| y_n (p) - Ap \| + (1 - \alpha_n \| x_n - p \|
\]

\[
= (1 - (\bar{\alpha} - \gamma \| x_n - p \| + (\bar{\alpha} - \gamma \| x_n - p \| \alpha_n \| y_n (p) - Ap \| \ |
\]

\[
\leq \max \left\{ \| x_1 - p \|, \frac{\| y_n (p) - Ap \|}{\bar{\alpha} - \gamma \| x_n - p \|} \right\}.
\]
By induction on $n$, we obtain $\|x_n - p\| \leq \max\{\|x_0 - p\|, \|y f(p) - A p\|, \|\gamma f(p - \gamma x)\|\}$ for every $n \geq 0$ and $x_0 \in C$, then $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. So, $\{y_n\}, \{z_n\}, \{A y_n\}, \{W_n x_n\}, \{W_n z_n\}$, and $\{f(x_n)\}$ are also bounded.

Next, we claim that $\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Let $x \in C$ and $p \in \cap_{n=0}^\infty F(S_n)$. Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq k$, and since $T_{n,k}$ and $U_{n,k}$ are nonexpansive, we have $\|T_{n,k} x - p\| \leq \|x - p\|$ and $\|U_{n,k} x - p\| \leq \|x - p\|$, respectively. From (1.5), it follows that $\|T_{n,k} x - p\| \leq (1 + (1/\lambda_k^{1/\theta})) \sup_n \|x - p\|$. We can set

$$M_1 = \inf_i \left(2 + \frac{1}{\lambda_i^{1/\theta}}\right) \sup_n \|x_n - p\| < \infty,$$

$$M_2 = \inf_i \left(2 + \frac{1}{\lambda_i^{1/\theta}}\right) \sup_n \|y_n - p\| < \infty.$$

From (1.11), we have

$$\|W_n x_n - W_n x_n\| = \|U_{n+1,1} x_n - U_{n+1,1} x_n\|
= \|t_1 T_{n+1,1} U_{n+1,2} x_n + (1 - t_1) x_n - t_1 T_{n,1} U_{n,2} x_n - (1 - t_1) x_n\|
= t_1 \|T_{n+1,1} U_{n+1,2} x_n - T_{n,1} U_{n,2} x_n\|
= t_1 \|((\theta_{n+1,1} S_1 + (1 - \theta_{n+1,1})) U_{n+1,2} x_n - T_{n,1} U_{n,2} x_n\|
= t_1 \|((\theta_{n,1} S_1 + (1 - \theta_{n,1})) U_{n+1,2} x_n

\|T_{n,1} U_{n,2} x_n + (\theta_{n+1,1} - \theta_{n,1})(S_1 U_{n+1,2} x_n - U_{n+1,2} x_n)\|
\leq t_1 \|T_{n,1} U_{n+1,2} x_n - T_{n,1} U_{n,2} x_n\| + t_1 |\theta_{n+1,1} - \theta_{n,1}| \|S_1 U_{n+1,2} x_n - U_{n+1,2} x_n\|
\leq t_1 \|U_{n+1,2} x_n - U_{n,2} x_n\| + t_1 |\theta_{n+1,1} - \theta_{n,1}| M_1
\leq t_1 \|U_{n+1,2} x_n - U_{n,2} x_n\| + t_1 a_n M_1
\vdots
\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i \|U_{n+1,1} x_n - U_{n+1,1} x_n\| + a_n M_1 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{i} t_i
\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i t_{n+1} T_{n+1,1} x_n - x_n\| + a_n M_1 \frac{b}{1 - b}
\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} t_i \|T_{n+1,1} x_n - x_n\| + a_n M_1 \frac{b}{1 - b}
\leq \left(b^{n+1} + a_n \frac{b}{1 - b}\right) M_1.$$
for all $n \geq 0$. Similarly, we also have $\|W_{n+1}z_n - W_nz_n\| \leq (b^{n+1} + a_n(b/(1-b)))M_2$ for all $n \geq 0$. We compute that

$$
\|z_{n+1} - z_n\| = \|(\delta_{n+1}x_{n+1} + (1 - \delta_{n+1})W_{n+1}x_{n+1}) - (\delta_nx_n + (1 - \delta_n)W_nx_n)\|
\leq (1 - \delta_{n+1})\|W_{n+1}x_{n+1} - W_nx_n\| + |\delta_{n+1} - \delta_n|\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \delta_{n+1}\|x_{n+1} - x_n\|
+ (1 - \delta_n)\|W_{n+1}x_n - W_nx_n\|
\leq (1 - \delta_{n+1})\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + |\delta_{n+1} - \delta_n|\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \delta_{n+1}\|x_{n+1} - x_n\|
+ (1 - \delta_n)\|W_{n+1}x_n - W_nx_n\|
= \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + |\delta_{n+1} - \delta_n|\|W_{n+1}x_n - x_n\| + (1 - \delta_n)\|W_{n+1}x_n - W_nx_n\|
\leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + |\delta_{n+1} - \delta_n|\|W_{n+1}x_n - x_n\| + \|W_{n+1}x_n - W_nx_n\|
\leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + |\delta_{n+1} - \delta_n|\|W_{n+1}x_n - x_n\| + \left(b^{n+1} + a_n\frac{b}{1-b}\right)M_1,
$$

(3.11)

and

$$
\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| = \|(\gamma_{n+1}x_{n+1} + (1 - \gamma_{n+1})W_{n+1}z_{n+1}) - (\gamma_nx_n + (1 - \gamma_n)W_nz_n)\|
\leq \|\gamma_{n+1}x_{n+1} + (1 - \gamma_{n+1})W_{n+1}z_{n+1} - (1 - \gamma_{n+1})W_nz_n + (1 - \gamma_{n+1})W_{n+1}z_n
- \gamma_nx_n - (1 - \gamma_n)W_nz_n - (1 - \gamma_n)W_{n+1}z_n + (1 - \gamma_n)W_nz_n - \gamma_nx_n + \gamma_{n+1}x_n\|
\leq \|(1 - \gamma_{n+1})(W_{n+1}z_{n+1} - W_nz_n) + (\gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_n)W_nz_n + \gamma_{n+1}(x_{n+1} - x_n)
+ (\gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_n)x_n + (1 - \gamma_n)(W_{n+1}z_n - W_nz_n)\|
\leq (1 - \gamma_{n+1})\|W_{n+1}z_{n+1} - W_nz_n\| + |\gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_n|\|x_n - W_{n+1}z_n\| + \gamma_{n+1}\|x_{n+1} - x_n\|
+ (1 - \gamma_n)\|W_{n+1}z_n - W_nz_n\|
\leq (1 - \gamma_{n+1})\|z_{n+1} - z_n\| + |\gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_n|\|W_{n+1}z_n - x_n\| + \gamma_{n+1}\|x_{n+1} - x_n\|
+ \|W_{n+1}z_n - W_nz_n\|
\leq (1 - \gamma_{n+1})\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + |\delta_{n+1} - \delta_n|\|W_{n+1}x_n - x_n\| + \left(b^{n+1} + a_n\frac{b}{1-b}\right)M_1
+ |\gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_n|\|W_{n+1}z_n - x_n\| + \gamma_{n+1}\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \left(b^{n+1} + a_n\frac{b}{1-b}\right)M_2
\leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + |\delta_{n+1} - \delta_n|\|W_{n+1}x_n - x_n\| + |\gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_n|\|W_{n+1}z_n - x_n\|
+ 2\left(b^{n+1} + a_n\frac{b}{1-b}\right)M_3
$$

(3.12)
where $M = \inf_i (2 + (1/\lambda_i^{1/q-1})) \sup_i (\|x_n - p\| + \|z_n - p\|) < \infty$. Observe that we put $l_n = (x_{n+1} - \beta_n x_n) / (1 - \beta_n)$, then

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \beta_n) l_n + \beta_n x_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0. \quad (3.13)$$

Now, we have

$$\|l_{n+1} - l_n\| = \|\frac{\alpha_n y f(x_{n+1}) + ((1 - \beta_n^i) I - \alpha_n^i A) y_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_n^i} - \frac{\alpha_n y f(x_n) + ((1 - \beta_n^i) I - \alpha_n^i A) y_n}{1 - \beta_n^i}\|$$

$$= \|\frac{\alpha_n^i y f(x_{n+1}) + (1 - \beta_n^i) y_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_n^i} - \frac{\alpha_n^i A y_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_n^i} - \frac{\alpha_n^i y f(x_n)}{1 - \beta_n^i} + \frac{\alpha_n^i A y_n}{1 - \beta_n^i}\|$$

$$\leq \frac{\alpha_n^i}{1 - \beta_n^i} \|y f(x_{n+1}) - A y_{n+1}\| + \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \beta_n} \|A y_n - y f(x_n)\| + \|y_{n+1} - y_n\|$$

$$\leq \frac{\alpha_n^i}{1 - \beta_n^i} \|y f(x_{n+1}) - A y_{n+1}\| + \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \beta_n} \|A y_n - y f(x_n)\|$$

$$+ \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + |\delta_{n+1} - \delta_n||W_{n+1} x_n - x_n| + |\gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_n||W_{n+1} z_n - x_n|$$

$$+ 2\left(b^{n+1} + a_n \frac{b}{1-b}\right) M. \quad (3.14)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\|l_{n+1} - l_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \leq \frac{\alpha_n^i}{1 - \beta_n^i} \|y f(x_{n+1}) - A y_{n+1}\| + \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \beta_n} \|A y_n - y f(x_n)\|$$

$$+ |\delta_{n+1} - \delta_n||W_{n+1} x_n - x_n| + |\gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_n||W_{n+1} z_n - x_n| \quad (3.15)$$

$$+ 2\left(b^{n+1} + a_n \frac{b}{1-b}\right) M.$$

From the conditions (i)–(iv), (H2), $0 < b < 1$ and the boundedness of $\{x_n\}$, $\{f(x_n)\}$, $\{A y_n\}$, $\{W_n x_n\}$, and $\{W_n z_n\}$, we obtain

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} (\|l_{n+1} - l_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|) \leq 0. \quad (3.16)$$

It follows from Lemma 2.8 that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|l_n - x_n\| = 0$. Noting (3.13), we see that

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = (1 - \beta_n) \|l_n - x_n\| \longrightarrow 0, \quad (3.17)$$
as \( n \to \infty \). Therefore, we have
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0. \tag{3.18}
\]

We also have \( \|y_{n+1} - y_n\| \to 0 \) and \( \|z_{n+1} - z_n\| \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \). Observing that
\[
\|x_n - y_n\| \leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - y_n\|
\leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \alpha_n \|yf(x_n) - Ay_n\| + \beta_n \|x_n - y_n\|, \tag{3.19}
\]
it follows that
\[
(1 - \beta_n) \|x_n - y_n\| \leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \alpha_n \|yf(x_n) - Ay_n\|. \tag{3.20}
\]

By the conditions (i), (ii), (3.18), and the boundedness of \( \{x_n\}, \{f(x_n)\}, \) and \( \{Ay_n\} \), we obtain
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - y_n\| = 0. \tag{3.21}
\]

Consider
\[
\|y_n - W_n z_n\| = \|\gamma_n x_n + (1 - \gamma_n) W_n z_n - W_n z_n\| = \gamma_n \|x_n - W_n z_n\|,
\|z_n - x_n\| = \|\delta_n x_n + (1 - \delta_n) W_n x_n - x_n\| = (1 - \delta_n) \|W_n x_n - x_n\|. \tag{3.22}
\]

It follows that
\[
\|x_n - W_n x_n\| \leq \|x_n - y_n\| + \|y_n - W_n z_n\| + \|W_n z_n - W_n x_n\|
\leq \|x_n - y_n\| + \gamma_n \|x_n - W_n z_n\| + \|z_n - x_n\|
\leq \|x_n - y_n\| + \gamma_n \|x_n - W_n x_n\| + \gamma_n \|W_n x_n - W_n z_n\| + \|z_n - x_n\|
\leq \|x_n - y_n\| + \gamma_n \|x_n - W_n x_n\| + (1 + \gamma_n) \|z_n - x_n\|
\leq \|x_n - y_n\| + \gamma_n \|x_n - W_n x_n\| + (1 + \gamma_n) (1 - \delta_n) \|W_n x_n - x_n\|. \tag{3.23}
\]

This implies that
\[
(\delta_n (1 + \gamma_n) - 2\gamma_n) \|W_n x_n - x_n\| \leq \|x_n - y_n\|. \tag{3.24}
\]

From the condition (v) and (3.21), we get
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|W_n x_n - x_n\| = 0. \tag{3.25}
\]

On the other hand,
\[
\|W x_n - x_n\| \leq \|W x_n - W_n x_n\| + \|W_n x_n - x_n\|. \tag{3.26}
\]
From the boundedness of \( \{x_n\} \) and using (2.7), we have \( \|Wx_n - W_nx_n\| \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \). It follows that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|Wx_n - x_n\| = 0. \tag{3.27}
\]

Next, we prove that

\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle \gamma f(x^*) - Ax^*, J(x_n - x^*) \rangle \leq 0, \tag{3.28}
\]

where \( x^* = \lim_{t \to 0} x_t \) with \( x_t \) being the fixed point of contraction \( x \mapsto t \gamma f(x) + (1 - tA)Wx \). Noticing that \( x_t \) solves the fixed point equation \( x_t = t \gamma f(x_t) + (1 - tA)Wx_t \), it follows that

\[
\|x_t - x_n\| = \|(I - tA)(Wx_t - x_n) + t(\gamma f(x_t) - Ax_n)\|. \tag{3.29}
\]

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that

\[
\|x_t - x_n\|^2 = \|(I - tA)(Wx_t - x_n) + t(\gamma f(x_t) - Ax_n)\|^2 \\
\leq (1 - \bar{\gamma}t)^2 \|Wx_t - x_n\|^2 + 2t \langle \gamma f(x_t) - Ax_n, J(x_t - x_n) \rangle \\
= (1 - \bar{\gamma}t)^2 \|Wx_t - Wx_n + Wx_n - x_n\|^2 + 2t \langle \gamma f(x_t) - Ax_n, J(x_t - x_n) \rangle \\
\leq (1 - \bar{\gamma}t)^2 \left[ \|Wx_t - Wx_n\|^2 + 2\|Wx_n - x_n\| (\|Wx_t - x_n\| + \|Wx_n - x_n\|) \right] \\
+ 2t \langle \gamma f(x_t) - Ax_n, J(x_t - x_n) \rangle \\
\leq (1 - \bar{\gamma}t)^2 \left[ \|x_t - x_n\|^2 + 2\|Wx_n - x_n\| (\|x_t - x_n\| + \|Wx_n - x_n\|) \right] \\
+ 2t \langle \gamma f(x_t) - Ax_n, J(x_t - x_n) \rangle \\
= \left(1 - 2\bar{\gamma}t + (\bar{\gamma}t)^2\right) \|x_t - x_n\|^2 + 2(1 - \bar{\gamma}t)^2 \|Wx_n - x_n\| (\|x_t - x_n\| + \|Wx_n - x_n\|) \\
+ 2t \langle \gamma f(x_t) - Ax_n, J(x_t - x_n) \rangle + 2t \langle Ax_t - Ax_n, J(x_t - x_n) \rangle, \tag{3.30}
\]

where

\[
f_n(t) = 2(1 - \bar{\gamma}t)^2 \|Wx_n - x_n\| (\|x_t - x_n\| + \|Wx_n - x_n\|) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \tag{3.31}
\]

Since \( A \) is linearly strong and positive and using (2.1), we have

\[
\langle Ax_t - Ax_n, J(x_t - x_n) \rangle = \langle A(x_t - x_n), J(x_t - x_n) \rangle \geq \bar{\gamma} \|x_t - x_n\|^2. \tag{3.32}
\]
Substituting (3.32) in (3.30), we have

\[
\langle Ax_1 - \gamma f(x), J(x_1 - x_n) \rangle \leq \left( \frac{\bar{t}^2}{2} - \bar{r} \right) \|x_1 - x_n\|^2 + \frac{1}{2t} f_n(t) + \langle Ax_1 - Ax_n, J(x_1 - x_n) \rangle
\]

\[
\leq \left( \frac{\bar{t}^2}{2} - 1 \right) \langle Ax_1 - Ax_n, J(x_1 - x_n) \rangle + \frac{1}{2t} f_n(t) + \langle Ax_1 - Ax_n, J(x_1 - x_n) \rangle
\]

\[
= \frac{\bar{t}^2}{2} \langle Ax_1 - Ax_n, J(x_1 - x_n) \rangle + \frac{1}{2t} f_n(t).
\]

Letting \( n \to \infty \) in (3.33) and noting (3.31) yield that

\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle Ax_1 - \gamma f(x), J(x_1 - x_n) \rangle \leq \frac{\bar{t}}{2} M_3,
\]

where \( M_3 > 0 \) is a constant such that \( M_3 \geq \bar{t} \langle Ax_1 - Ax_n, J(x_1 - x_n) \rangle \) for all \( t \in (0, 1) \) and \( n \geq 0 \). Taking \( t \to 0 \) from (3.34), we have

\[
\limsup_{t \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle Ax_1 - \gamma f(x), J(x_1 - x_n) \rangle \leq 0.
\]

On the other hand, we have

\[
\langle \gamma f(x^*) - Ax^*, J(x_n - x^*) \rangle = \langle \gamma f(x^*) - Ax^*, J(x_n - x^*) \rangle
\]

\[
- \langle \gamma f(x^*) - Ax^*, J(x_n - x_i) \rangle + \langle \gamma f(x^*) - Ax^*, J(x_n - x_i) \rangle
\]

\[
- \langle \gamma f(x^*) - Ax^*, J(x_n - x_i) \rangle + \langle \gamma f(x^*) - Ax^*, J(x_n - x_i) \rangle
\]

\[
= \langle \gamma f(x^*) - Ax^*, J(x_n - x^*) \rangle - J(x_n - x_i)
\]

\[
+ \langle Ax_1 - Ax^*, J(x_n - x_i) \rangle
\]

\[
+ \langle \gamma f(x) - \gamma f(x), J(x_n - x_i) \rangle + \langle \gamma f(x) - Ax_1, J(x_n - x_i) \rangle
\]

\[
(3.36)
\]

which implies that

\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle \gamma f(x^*) - Ax^*, J(x_n - x^*) \rangle \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle \gamma f(x^*) - Ax^*, J(x_n - x^*) \rangle - J(x_n - x_i)
\]

\[
+ \|A\| \|x_t - x^*\| \limsup_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - x_i\|
\]

\[
+ \gamma a \|x^* - x_i\| \limsup_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - x_i\|
\]

\[
+ \limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle \gamma f(x) - Ax_1, J(x_n - x_i) \rangle.
\]

\[
(3.37)
\]
Noticing that \( f \) is norm-to-norm uniformly continuous on bounded subsets of \( C \), it follows from (3.35) that

\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} (\gamma f(x^*) - Ax^*, J(x_n - x^*)) = \limsup_{t \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} (\gamma f(x^*) - Ax^*, J(x_n - x^*)) \leq 0. \tag{3.38}
\]

Therefore, we obtain that (3.28) holds.

Finally, we prove that \( x_n \to x^* \) as \( n \to \infty \). Now, from Lemma 2.1, we have

\[
\|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 = \|\alpha_n y f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + [(1 - \beta_n)] y_n - x^*\|^2 \\
= \|[(1 - \beta_n)] y_n - x^* + \alpha_n (y f(x_n) - Ax^*) + \beta_n (x_n - x^*)\|^2 \\
\leq (1 - \beta_n - \alpha_n \gamma) \| y_n - x^*\|^2 + 2 \alpha_n (y f(x_n) - Ax^*) + \beta_n (x_n - x^*, J(x_{n+1} - x^*)) \\
= (1 - \beta_n - \alpha_n \gamma) \| y_n - x^*\|^2 + 2 \alpha_n (y f(x_n) - Ax^*), J(x_{n+1} - x^*) + 2 \beta_n (x_n - x^*, J(x_{n+1} - x^*)) \\
\leq (1 - \beta_n - \alpha_n \gamma) \| x_n - x^*\|^2 + \alpha_n \gamma \alpha \left( \| x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 + \| x_n - x^*\|^2 \right) \\
+ 2 \alpha_n (y f(x_n) - Ax^*, J(x_{n+1} - x^*) + \beta_n \left( \| x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 + \| x_n - x^*\|^2 \right) \\
= \left[ (1 - \beta_n - \alpha_n \gamma) + \alpha_n \gamma \alpha + \beta_n \right] \| x_n - x^*\|^2 + (\alpha_n \gamma \alpha + \beta_n) \| x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 \\
+ 2 \alpha_n (y f(x_n) - Ax^*, J(x_{n+1} - x^*)), \tag{3.39}
\]

and consequently,

\[
\|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 \leq \frac{(1 - \beta_n - \alpha_n \gamma) + \alpha_n \gamma \alpha + \beta_n}{1 - \alpha_n \gamma \alpha - \beta_n} \| x_n - x^*\|^2 \\
+ \frac{2 \alpha_n}{1 - \alpha_n \gamma \alpha - \beta_n} (y f(x_n) - Ax^*, J(x_{n+1} - x^*)) \\
= \left[ 1 - \frac{2 \alpha_n (\gamma - \gamma^2 \alpha)}{1 - \alpha_n (\gamma - \gamma^2 \alpha)} \right] \| x_n - x^*\|^2 + \frac{\beta_n^2 + 2 \beta_n \alpha_n \gamma + \alpha_n^2 \gamma^2}{1 - \alpha_n \gamma \alpha - \beta_n} \| x_n - x^*\|^2 \\
+ \frac{2 \alpha_n}{1 - \alpha_n \gamma \alpha - \beta_n} (y f(x_n) - Ax^*, J(x_{n+1} - x^*)) \\
= \left[ 1 - \frac{2 \alpha_n (\gamma - \gamma^2 \alpha)}{1 - \alpha_n (\gamma - \gamma^2 \alpha)} \right] \| x_n - x^*\|^2 + \frac{2 \alpha_n (\gamma - \gamma^2 \alpha)}{1 - \alpha_n \gamma \alpha - \beta_n} (y f(x_n) - Ax^*, J(x_{n+1} - x^*)) \\
\times \left( \frac{\beta_n^2 + 2 \beta_n \alpha_n \gamma + \alpha_n^2 \gamma^2}{2 \alpha_n (\gamma - \gamma^2 \alpha)} M_4 + \frac{1}{\gamma - \gamma^2 \alpha} (y f(x_n) - Ax^*, J(x_{n+1} - x^*)) \right), \tag{3.40}
\]
where \( M_4 \) is an appropriate constant such that \( M_4 \geq \sup_{n \geq 0} \| x_n - x^* \|^2 \). Setting \( c_n = 2\alpha_n (\gamma - \gamma_a) / (1 - \alpha_n \gamma_a - \beta_n) \) and \( b_n = (\beta_n^2 + 2\beta_n \alpha_n \gamma_a + \alpha_n^2 \gamma_a^2) / (2\alpha_n (\gamma - \gamma a)) \) if \((1/ (\gamma - \gamma a)) \langle y, f(x^*) - A x^*, J(x_{n+1} - x^*) \rangle \), then we have

\[
\| x_{n+1} - x^* \|^2 \leq (1 - c_n) \| x_n - x^* \|^2 + c_n b_n. \tag{3.41}
\]

By (3.28), (i) and applying Lemma 2.7 to (3.41), we have \( x_n \to x^* \) as \( n \to \infty \). This completes the proof. \( \square \)

**Corollary 3.2.** Let \( E \) be a real \( q \)-uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach space which admits a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping \( J \) from \( E \) to \( E^* \). Let \( E \) be a nonempty closed and convex subset of \( E \) which is also a sunny nonexpansive retraction of \( E \) such that \( C + C = C \). Let \( A \) be a strongly positive linear bounded operator on \( E \) with coefficient \( \gamma > 0 \) such that \( 0 < \gamma < \gamma / \alpha \), and let \( f \) be a contraction of \( C \) into itself with coefficient \( \alpha \in (0,1) \). Let \( S_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, \) be \( \lambda_i \)-strict pseudocontractions from \( C \) into itself such that \( \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(S_n) \neq \emptyset \) and \( \inf \lambda_i > 0 \). Assume that the sequences \( \{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}, \text{ and } \{\delta_n\} \) in \( (0,1) \) satisfy the following conditions:

1. \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty \) and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0 \),
2. \( 0 < \lim \inf_{n \to \infty} \beta_n \leq \lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \beta_n < 1 \),
3. \( \lim_{n \to \infty} |\gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_n| = 0 \),
4. \( \lim_{n \to \infty} |\delta_{n+1} - \delta_n| = 0 \),
5. \( \delta_n (1 + \gamma_n) - 2\gamma_n > a \) for some \( a \in (0,1) \),

and the sequence \( \{\theta_n\} \) satisfies (H1). Then, the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) generated by

\[
x_0 \in C \text{ chosen arbitrarily},
\]

\[
z_n = \delta_n x_n + (1 - \delta_n) W_n x_n,
\]

\[
y_n = \gamma_n x_n + (1 - \gamma_n) W_n z_n,
\]

\[
x_{n+1} = \alpha_n y f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + ((1 - \beta_n) I - \alpha_n A) y_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0
\]

converges strongly to \( x^* \in \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(S_n) \), which solves the following variational inequality:

\[
\langle y f(x^*) - A x^*, J(p - x^*) \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall f \in \Pi_C, \ p \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(S_n). \tag{3.43}
\]

**Corollary 3.3.** Let \( E \) be a real \( q \)-uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach space which admits a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping \( J \) from \( E \) to \( E^* \). Let \( C \) be a nonempty closed and convex subset of \( E \) which is also a sunny nonexpansive retraction of \( E \) such that \( C + C = C \). Let \( A \) be a strongly positive linear bounded operator on \( E \) with coefficient \( \gamma > 0 \) such that \( 0 < \gamma < \gamma / \alpha \), and let \( f \) be a contraction of \( C \) into itself with coefficient \( \alpha \in (0,1) \). Let \( S_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, \) be a nonexpansive mapping from \( C \) into itself such that \( \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(S_n) \neq \emptyset \) and \( \inf \lambda_i > 0 \). Assume that the sequences \( \{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}, \text{ and } \{\delta_n\} \) in \( (0,1) \) satisfy the following conditions:

1. \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty \) and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0 \),
(ii) \(0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \beta_n \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \beta_n < 1\),

(iii) \(\lim_{n \to \infty} |\gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_n| = 0\),

(iv) \(\lim_{n \to \infty} |\delta_{n+1} - \delta_n| = 0\),

(v) \(\delta_n(1 + \gamma_n) - 2\gamma_n > a\) for some \(a \in (0, 1)\).

Then, the sequence \(\{x_n\}\) generated by

\[
x_0 \in C \text{ chosen arbitrarily},
\]

\[
z_n = \delta_n x_n + (1 - \delta_n) W_n x_n,
\]

\[
y_n = \gamma_n x_n + (1 - \gamma_n) W_n z_n,
\]

\[
x_{n+1} = \alpha_n \gamma f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + ((1 - \beta_n) I - \alpha_n A) y_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0
\]

converges strongly to \(x^* \in \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(S_n)\), which solves the following variational inequality:

\[
\langle \gamma f(x^*) - Ax^*, J(p - x^*) \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall f \in \Pi_C, \quad p \in \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(S_n).
\]

**Remark 3.4.** Theorem 3.1, Corollaries 3.2, and 3.3, improve and extend the corresponding results of Cai and Hu [3], Dong et al. [2], and Katchang and Kumam [4, 5] in the following senses.

(i) For the mappings, we extend the mappings from an infinite family of nonexpansive mappings to an infinite family of strict pseudocontractive mappings.

(ii) For the algorithms, we propose new modified Ishikawa iterative algorithms, which are different from the ones given in [2–5] and others.
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