TIRA: Configuring, Executing, and Disseminating Information Retrieval Experiments

Tim Gollub, Benno Stein, Steven Burrows, Dennis Hoppe
Bauhaus-Universität Weimar
99421 Weimar, Germany
<first name>,<last name>@uni-weimar.de

Abstract—With its close ties to the Web, the information retrieval community is destined to leverage the dissemination and collaboration capabilities that the Web provides today. Especially with the advent of the software as a service principle, an information retrieval community is conceivable that publishes executable experiments by anyone over the Web. A review of recent SIGIR papers shows that we are far away from this vision of collaboration. The benefits of publishing information retrieval experiments as a service are striking for the community as a whole, including potential to boost research profiles and reputation. However, the additional work must be kept to a minimum and sensitive data must be kept private for this paradigm to become an accepted practice. In order to foster experiments as a service in information retrieval, we present the TIRA (Testbed for Information Retrieval Algorithms) web framework that addresses the outlined challenges and possesses a unique set of compelling features in comparison to existing web-based solutions. To describe TIRA in a practical setting, we explain how it is currently used as an official evaluation platform for the well-established PAN international plagiarism detection competition. We also describe how it can be used in future scenarios for search result clustering of non-static collections of web query results, as well as within a simulation data mining setting to support interactive construction design in civil engineering.

I. MOTIVATION AND SURVEY

In all scientific disciplines, researchers strive for a detailed specification of how they designed their experiments in order to achieve their published results. For modern computer science disciplines, where experiments are made on top of an advanced stack of hardware and software components, providing all the necessary information within the scope of a paper is commonly impossible. In this regard, reproducing results from published experiment descriptions in order to improve upon published baselines is cumbersome.

Within information retrieval research, the integration of previous work in own experiments is simplified if the data and software assets are published with the papers. In this respect, Armstrong et al. [1] pointed out the verification problems that arise if research assets are not streamlined with the latest publications. In our view, the most convenient way to enhance comparability in experiments is to publish experiments as an online service where researchers can verify experiment results and explore alternate parameter settings. In order to explore how information retrieval research is published today, we reviewed all 108 full papers from the 30 sessions of the SIGIR 2011 proceedings concerning the experiment assets that were used as part of the research.

The results in Figure 1 show the extent to which the authors published their data, their software, and whether the experiments were hosted as a service. All three aspects need to be addressed to make publishing data and software more widespread. The three questions we posed when examining the proceedings and our findings are given as follows.

**Data.** Are any of the datasets used in the research publicly available? We observe that 51% of the papers use a publicly available dataset for experiments. In this respect, evaluation initiatives such as TREC do a wonderful job in supplying researchers with shared datasets. The papers from the Image Search, Indexing, Retrieval Models, Test Collections, and Web Queries sessions all used public datasets. Other datasets such as search engine query logs require non-disclosure conditions due to commercial and sensitivity reasons, which accounts for much of the unavailability of data. Correspondingly, only two of the eight Query Analysis papers used public datasets, which was second lowest and only ahead of the Summarization papers, where no public datasets were used.
Software. Are any of the software assets used in the research publicly available? We observe that 18% of the papers use shared software contributions, and hence publishing software is currently a minority practice in information retrieval research. Only the Linguistic Analysis papers published accompanying software in all cases. One explanation for this low ratio is the lack of acknowledgment researchers get for publishing software. Some recent conferences are reviewing software contributions as a part of paper review processes, but new incentives must be created that turn invested time in developing reusable software into reputation gain for the researchers to foster further software release.

Service. Are any of the experiments in the research provided as an online service? We observe that providing experiment software as a service is not practiced in the examined papers. The application closest to a web service is the ViewSer [2] demonstration. The lack of a compelling web framework that researchers can use to transform their experiments into a web service is a plausible explanation.

II. RELATED WORK AND DESIGN GOALS

Motivated by the observations from the paper study above, we propose the development of the TIRA online framework to foster publishable information retrieval experiments. The TIRA project is based on the needs for (1) local installation, (2) platform independence, (3) result retrieval, (4) web dissemination, and (5) peer-to-peer collaboration. Our assessment of existing web-based experimentation frameworks in Table I with respect to these goals shows that no system fully complies.

Table I: Assessment of existing web-based experimentation frameworks with respect to our five proposed design goals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tool</th>
<th>IR</th>
<th>ML</th>
<th>Any</th>
<th>NEMA</th>
<th>TunedIT</th>
<th>Yahoo! Pipes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>evaluatiR</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expDB</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLComp</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>myExperiment</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEMA</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TunedIT</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yahoo! Pipes</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Local Instantiation. If data must be kept confidential, the framework must be able to reside with the data, hence the framework must be locally installable. Unlike centralized experiment platforms like MLComp and myExperiment, local instantiation allows experiments on sensitive data to be published as a service from a local host. External researchers can then use the service for comparison and evaluation of their own research hypotheses, whilst the experiment provider is in full control of the experiment resources.

Platform Independence. The sophisticated and varying software and hardware requirements of information retrieval experiments as well as individual coding preferences of software developers render any development constraints imposed by the web framework critical for its success. Ideally, software developers can deploy experiments as a service unconstrained by the utilized operating system, parallelization paradigm, programming language, or data formats. Local instantiation is one key to realize this goal. Furthermore, the web framework must operate as a layer strictly on top of the experiment software and should use, instead of close intra-process communication such as in TunedIT, standard inter-process communication on the POSIX level and the file system to exchange information. This way, any running software can be deployed as a web service without internal modifications.

Result Retrieval. Especially for retrieval tasks with high computational costs, the maintenance of a public result repository can become a valuable asset of a research group. For example, experiment services that can index datasets with state-of-the-art natural language processing technology have the potential to raise the comparability of retrieval model research to a higher level. For clustering and result diversification research, comparability is enhanced by establishing static snapshots of the search results from major search engines regularly. The persistent storage of experiment results by the web framework is key to achieve this goal. Even if the public release of an experiment service is not desired, the framework is still useful if it assumes responsibility for managing the raw experiment results and making them available across a research team.

Web Dissemination. URLs are definitive identifiers for digital resources. With a URL, researchers can conveniently link the results in a paper with the experiment service used to produce them. Especially for standard pre-processing tasks or evaluations on private data, a web service can become a frequently cited resource. In addition, attention can be attracted to one’s work through integration of the service into home pages and blog articles. To address the issue of digital preservation, URLs should encode all information needed to recompute a resource, such as program and input parameter specifications, in case stored data is lost.

Peer-to-Peer Collaboration. Consider a scenario where a consortium of service providers become renowned gatekeepers for various streams of research, and maintain the community-wide repository of state-of-the-art algorithms, datasets, and experiment results on their web site. The gatekeepers drive the standardization of data formats and can, by utilizing the retrieval facility, stage competitions in a semi-automated fashion. A mechanism for connecting the local framework instances to a network of experimentation nodes has to be provided to achieve this scenario. It should be noted that none of the existing web-based experimentation platforms implement peer-to-peer collaboration.
III. SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

The basic functionality of TIRA is to take a locally executable program and turn it into a web service. To use TIRA for this purpose, the software is first downloaded and instantiated on the local computing infrastructure. System compatibility should not become an issue here, since we distribute TIRA as an executable Java JAR file.¹ For the deployment of new programs, TIRA requires a program specification file in JSON format: the ProgramRecord, as shown in Figure 2. In its minimal form, the ProgramRecord comprises (1) a unique name for the program, (2) the generic structure of the program execution command, (3) the value range of each input parameter that affects the output of the program, as well as (4) the names of all important output files. An example of a generic program execution command and its respective input parameter specification is given in Figure 3. In general, more complex commands are possible that concatenate multiple programs via UNIX-pipes or define parameter substitutions which produce non-terminals (further parameters).

Provided with the information in the ProgramRecord, TIRA instantiates and updates all system components that are needed to establish a web service for the new program. The system components are all shown together in Figure 2. The operating principle of TIRA can be described as two major processes: the front-end process dealing with user interaction, and the back-end process dealing with program execution. As indicated in the component diagram, the ProgramDatabase takes on a special role in TIRA’s system architecture, since it links the two processes together. The ProgramDatabase is instantiated for each ProgramRecord individually, it stores past and pending program runs, and it indexes the input parameters of the runs to provide basic retrieval functionality. Note that besides the default local database, TIRA can also connect to a database on a foreign TIRA instance to accomplish peer-to-peer collaboration. The front-end and back-end process are unaffected from this distinction. In the remainder of this section, the components of these two processes are described from the back-end process first, followed by the front-end process lastly.

¹See http://tira.webis.de for the latest TIRA release information.

Figure 2: Component diagram of TIRA. Towards the left, the front-end process dealing with the user-interaction is illustrated. To the right, the back-end program execution process is shown. Requests are illustrated by arrows and imply a response from the requested component.

Figure 3: BNF grammar for a Python program “myexp” with two input parameters for execution in TIRA.

```plaintext
python myexp.py $param1 $param2 > result.txt
$param1 -> a | b | c
$param2 -> [0-9]+```

The back-end process involves the ProgramWrapper and ProgramScheduler system components. For each ProgramRecord, an individual ProgramWrapper is instantiated to continuously query its associated ProgramDatabase for pending program runs. Given that TIRA instances might be equipped with different resources in a collaborative environment, the lookup request sent may contain constraints with respect to accepted input parameter ranges. When a matching program run is received, the ProgramWrapper registers this at the ProgramScheduler for addition to an execution queue. The ProgramScheduler keeps a pool of system threads, which continuously take the next run in the queue and request its execution. To start the program, the generic command in the ProgramRecord is substituted with the run-specific values and is called inside a run-specific working directory. During execution, the ProgramWrapper listens on the error output stream and updates the database with notifications and results, which then become available to the front-end process.

The front-end process involves the TiraServer and HttpClient system components. The HttpClient is usually a web browser controlled by a TIRA user, but also a TIRA instance may fill this role to communicate with other TIRA instances. For each ProgramRecord, the HttpClient can access a web page on the TiraServer via a program-specific URL (e.g. http://<domain>/program/myexp). A screenshot of a TIRA web page is given in Figure 4. The TIRA web page features the program input parameters as HTML form elements, and offers functionality for retrieving program runs with specific parameter values (Search) and for executing new runs (Execute). The result table at the bottom contains the current execution status, and the results of all executed program runs are displayed. If the value range of an input parameter is specified in the ProgramRecord as an enumeration (cf. $param1 in Figure 3), the input values are listed in a selection box. Otherwise in the case of an intrinsic definition (cf. $param2 in Figure 3), a text input field is
Automated plagiarism detection involves the heuristic retrieval of candidate plagiarism matches, and the detailed comparison of each pair. The evaluation of plagiarism detection algorithms has been featuring prominently in the PAN series of international plagiarism detection competitions [3, 4, 5]. At PAN, participants are asked to develop plagiarism detection algorithms on large collections of training data, for evaluation on similarly large test collections. The competition organizers then evaluate the submissions using a combined measure of precision, recall, and granularity.

For the PAN plagiarism detection competition in 2012, TIRA is employed to create up-to-date alternatives for the organization of the competition. TIRA is on the one hand used for processing results during the competition training phase, where participants can submit their results. TIRA then evaluates the result submissions, provides instant feedback, and stores the submissions for later retrieval.

In order to take part in the final performance assessment, the participants use TIRA to submit their detection algorithms as executable software on either a Windows or Linux-based virtual machine. All submitted software is directly deployed to TIRA to perform a distributed evaluation on the holdout test set. This course of action is desired, since the test set contains data that is subject to non-disclosure. In addition, TIRA allows the runtime of the submitted approaches to be recorded for the first time.

After the competition, the participants have the opportunity to opt-in for a public release of their plagiarism detection software as a service. In consideration of all of the above benefits, the TIRA web service provides an excellent framework as a competition evaluation forum to make the competition activities highly visible and reproducible.

B. Search Result Clustering

Search result clustering addresses the following task: given an informational query, group the ranked list of search results retrieved from a search engine into coherent clusters to reduce time and effort for finding relevant information. Each cluster is ideally associated with a meaningful cluster label and exclusively represents a particular query aspect.

Recently, a proposal was made to eliminate undesired topic repetition in result clusterings by filtering out search results which address query aspects already covered in the top hits of a search [6]. It was also proposed to avoid extreme clusterings in order to facilitate effective navigation: neither the number of cluster labels nor the number of search results per cluster should exceed the size of the result list head [7]. In both papers, a key component for the experiments is the quantification of the query aspect coverage in search results. Since existing strategies for this purpose are evaluated on different non-public datasets, and because search results retrieved from a search engine for a query are dynamic, it is impossible to directly assess the best strategy.

TIRA provides a convenient means to publish a search engine module for research purposes – the consequence being that experiments can be performed on static, publicly available data. A search engine module developed in a recent project supports well-known search engines such as Yahoo! and Bing, knowledge resources such as Wikipedia, and news
portals such as Yahoo! News and the New York Times. Due to the caching of results, experiments done on the basis of this TIRA service are out-of-the-box reproducible and accessible by others. It can be anticipated that from this approach, not only external researchers benefit, but also the service publisher will be acknowledged. The fact that each piece of data stored by TIRA can be referenced by a unique URL supports this both-win scenario additionally.

C. Simulation Data Mining

Simulation data mining combines the systems simulation and data mining fields to develop knowledge and intelligence from simulated data, where real data is difficult to obtain or cost-inefficient to generate [8]. A recent project to support interactive bridge design in civil engineering was undertaken where simulation data mining was applied [9]. The project group has been simulating bridge models based on varying geometries and materials to demonstrate an interactive design process for conceptual design phases.

In the cited project, the input parameter space includes material and geometry features from bridge models, the range of values for each parameter, and the number of increments for each parameter. The output parameter space includes displacement, stress, and strain simulation measurements at various coordinates within the bridge models. Given that the multiplicity of the parameter space is enormous, the design of TIRA experiments to facilitate the exploration of subsets of the parameter space is a valuable addition to the project. Therefore the ability to embed parameters in TIRA experiment workflows is key to managing large parameter spaces and big data experiments.

This simulation data mining scenario also highlights how researchers working on TIRA can collaborate more effectively. The project as described is multidisciplinary, and has brought civil engineers and computer scientists together to work on common problems. Given that each discipline has well-established methods and habits for going about their work, the online availability of TIRA facilitates a tighter interaction of these groups to explore new experiment designs and outcomes together. The simple web-based experiment framework of TIRA is key to engage fruitful collaboration between multiple research groups.

V. Summary

In this paper, we proposed the TIRA web framework for information retrieval experiments as a service motivated by low trends in sharing data and software in recent information retrieval research. The fundamental design decisions concerning local instantiation, web dissemination, platform independence, result retrieval, and peer to peer collaboration address the specific needs of information retrieval research. In comparison with existing tools and services of this purpose, TIRA possesses a unique set of features. The current version of TIRA allows us to integrate the software into the projects of our group and we put TIRA to widespread use as part of the PAN competition series 2012. Further application will allow non-static collections of web query results to be processed for search result clustering, and easier collaboration opportunities for researchers of multidisciplinary research projects. The TIRA service and supporting information is available online.
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