



## Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in bipolar depression: Another puzzle of manic switch?

Repetitivna transkranijalna magnetna stimucija kod bipolarne depresije: još jedna zagonetka maničnog preokreta?

Jelena Krstić\*<sup>†</sup>, Tihomir V. Ilić<sup>‡</sup>

\*Psychiatric Hospital, Clinical Hospital Center “Dr Dragiša Mišović”- Dedinje, Belgrade, Serbia; <sup>†</sup>Faculty of Biology, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia; <sup>‡</sup>Department of Clinical Neurophysiology, Clinic of Neurology, Military Medical Academy and Faculty of Medicine of the Military Medical Academy, University of Defence, Belgrade, Serbia

**Key words:**  
bipolar disorder; diagnosis; transcranial magnetic stimulation; therapeutics; depression.

**Ključne reči:**  
psihoze, manično-depresione; dijagnoza; stimulacija, magnetna, transkranijalna; lečenje; depresija.

### Introduction

Bipolar depression is common disorder characterized by substantial comorbidity, mortality, the highest suicide rate among psychiatric illnesses and severe social impairment, but is still often misdiagnosed.

The real prevalence of bipolar depression could be much higher than is thought, because the problem of misdiagnosis.

A percentage of undiagnosed bipolar patients is especially high in population of treatment resistant depression. These patients are most frequently misdiagnosed as having unipolar depression and treated with antidepressant monotherapy, that result in worsening of the course of the illness and often lead to rapid cycling.

Two independent studies<sup>1,2</sup> in population of 203 and 250 patients with major depression found 40–49% of bipolar disorder. In 1994, the results of survey of National Depressive and Manic-Depressive Association showed that 73% of 500 bipolar patients were misdiagnosed as having unipolar major depression<sup>3</sup>. Unfortunately, 10 years later the same association survey found that nothing has changed and that 69% of another 600 bipolar patients were misdiagnosed in this period of time<sup>4</sup>.

Even when correctly diagnosed, treating bipolar depression can also be challenging, and after many treatment guidelines it is often much more difficult to manage bipolar depression than bipolar mania. In treatment of bipolar depression particularly delicate, often questionable, demanding “art and science”, are treatment resistance (require high doses and combinations of antidepressants) vs risk of manic switch (sometimes happen

even with the lowest doses of antidepressants in a first few days of treatment), but also problems of different treatment strategies in acute and maintenance therapy that often result in the problem of polypharmacy.

### Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in affective disorders

During the last decade, the use of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) in treatment of pharmacoresistant major depressive disorder (MDD) applies increasingly.

rTMS is a neurostimulative technique, in which a magnetic stimulation coil is placed over a strictly defined positions of subjects head. The passage of current through the copper wire in the coil, leads to induction of magnetic field whose direction is perpendicular to the direction of current flow in the coil. Such a magnetic field leads to the induction of electric field in the surface layers of the cerebral cortex, which causes the activation of interneurons and pyramidal neurons, depending on the intensity of stimulation<sup>5</sup>. The application of a single magnetic pulse, as described above, leads to firing of several descending potentials along the pyramidal tract, in case of stimulation of the motor cortex, with the possibility of recording short latency EMG response in the contralateral limb muscles (latency between 20 and 25 msec)<sup>6</sup>. However, using a series of magnetic stimuli with precisely defined frequency and intensity (usually lower than the threshold to cause motor response) is feasible to induce neuromodulatory effects, in

terms of its effects last beyond the duration of stimulation<sup>7</sup>. This led to a concept of purposeful modulation of cortical activity in order to induce plastic changes<sup>8</sup>. This concept was confirmed in humans in the example of motor cortex plasticity induced by motor learning<sup>9</sup>. Low-frequency repetitive TMS ( $\leq 1$  Hz) causes a consistent and lasting decrease in motor cortical excitability in healthy individuals in contrast to the “facilitatory” effects induced by high-frequency repetitive TMS (5–20 Hz)<sup>10,11</sup>. The knowledge gained in the experiments on the motor cortex, moreover, as an analogy, used in the explanation of mechanisms of therapeutic effects of rTMS treatment of depression<sup>12</sup>.

Efficacy of rTMS in major depression has been demonstrated in many randomized controlled trials<sup>13–16</sup>. However, only a few rTMS trials in bipolar depression have been published in the last 10 years with contradictory findings.

In 2002, the first rTMS study in bipolar depression<sup>17</sup> showed a significant rTMS efficacy compared to sham, Nahas<sup>18</sup> did not have a significant response in the group of 23 bipolar patients, but a recent trial showed also rTMS efficacy and safety in mixed episodes of bipolar disorder<sup>19</sup>.

rTMS protocols in major depression predominantly used high frequencies of stimulation ( $\geq 5$  Hz) of the left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) and only a few trials used low frequent ( $\leq 1$  Hz) rTMS of the right DLPFC<sup>14, 20–22</sup> where antidepressant efficacy was also shown, besides much better safety profile.

Generally, it is considered that major depression is related with the functional insufficiency of both left and right hemispheres, with lower excitability and metabolic hypoactivity in the left prefrontal cortex compared to higher right frontal cortical excitability. Use of rTMS in treatment of major depression is based on the opposite effects of high frequency ( $\geq 5$  Hz) and low frequency ( $\leq 1$  Hz) on cortical excitability<sup>23</sup>, where low-frequency rTMS leads to reduced intracortical excitability, regional metabolism and blood flow<sup>10, 24</sup> and *vice versa* for high frequency rTMS<sup>11, 25</sup>.

### **Iatrogenic induction of hypomanic/manic switch – a form of bipolar illness?**

Antidepressant associated manic switch was reported to be higher in bipolar type I disorder than unipolar depression<sup>26,27</sup> or bipolar type II<sup>28</sup>, and antidepressant induced mania during the treatment of unipolar depression is considered as a sign of latent bipolar disorder<sup>29</sup>.

In unipolar depression, estimates of the rate of antidepressant associated mania have been in the range of 0–25%<sup>26, 27, 30</sup>. Higher rates of antidepressant-associated mania have been reported in bipolar disorder<sup>26</sup>.

There is still no general consensus should antidepressant-induced mania be coded as a form of bipolar disorder. The International Classification of Diseases-10 (ICD-10) and the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders-IV (DSM-IV) still do not code this state as a specific form of bipolar disorder. However, there is a widespread proposal, strongly supported by clinical evidences and many expert opinions, for antidepressant induced mania to be included in

bipolar spectrum, provisionally with the categorization of bipolar III affective disorder<sup>29</sup> and it is also in consideration to be coded as bipolar affective disorder in DSM-V and ICD-11.

As with pharmacotherapy, iatrogenic induction of manic or hypomanic switch may occur during almost all other biological, non-pharmacological treatment for major depression, such as electroconvulsive therapy<sup>31</sup>, vagus nerve stimulation<sup>32</sup>, phototherapy<sup>33</sup> and therapeutic sleep deprivation<sup>34, 35</sup>.

### **Switch to hypomania/mania during rTMS treatment of major depression**

Fitzgerald and Daskalakis<sup>36</sup>, in an article addressed the issue of practical guidelines to use rTMS in depression, based both by review of the literature and experience these authors have in rTMS in the treatment of major depression more than 10 years found that the trials specifically of bipolar depression have been too limited at this stage to allow any conclusions, however rTMS could be reasonable treatment option. They suggest concurrent use of mood stabilizers during rTMS in these patients, even the risk of manic switch, in their opinion, is low.

In 2008, Xia et al.<sup>37</sup> published a review focused on treatment-emergent mania/hypomania (TEM) associated with rTMS (37). This review included 53 randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of rTMS in unipolar and bipolar depression, published until 2006, where in 10 trials a total of 13 cases of mania/hypomania were reported (3 cases in RCTs, other 10 in single or multiple case reports). They found that TEM occurrence rate in RCTs, in this sample of both unipolar and bipolar disorders was 0.84% for active treatment group, and 0.73% for sham group, which was not statistically different. In total of 65 bipolar patients the switching rate in the active rTMS group was 3.1% and for unipolar depression was only 0.34%, that all indicate that rTMS does not have a higher risk of manic switch than antidepressants pharmacotherapy.

Furthermore, this comprehensive review evaluated phenomenon of hypomanic/manic switch in rTMS trials in relation with diagnosis (unipolar and bipolar depression), the parameters of stimulation (laterality, frequency, intensity, duration of stimulus train and intertrain intervals, number of pulses per session, frequency of sessions), and found that switch was more often in bipolar depression, in patients who received two rTMS sessions per day, with no specific relation with laterality, frequency and duration of stimulus train.

As was mentioned above, in most rTMS studies of major depression high frequencies of stimulation were used, as in reported cases of switch to hypomania/mania but only a few studies using low frequencies of stimulation in depression reported switch to hypomania/mania. Ella<sup>38</sup> reported two cases of manic switch in patients previously thought to have unipolar depression during slow (1 Hz) rTMS treatment of the right DLPFC where number of stimuli per session they used was 1,200 stimuli/day; in one patient stimulation was applied during 2 weeks (10 sessions) and in another patient 3 weeks (15 sessions); in both cases the switch occurred few days after the last sessions.

In the first placebo-controlled, randomized trial of different frequencies of rTMS in posttraumatic stress disorder two cases of mania were reported – one in group stimulated with 1 Hz, another in group stimulated with 10 Hz, in both cases after only 3 days of stimulation<sup>39</sup>. Hausmann et al.<sup>40</sup> used bilateral rTMS (20 Hz, 100% of the resting motor threshold (RMT) over the left DLPFC and 1 Hz, 120% RMT over the right DLPFC) to enhance antidepressant outcome but patient switched to mania on day 7 of stimulation; in this study citalopram was also started on the first day of stimulation, and it's questionable was switch related to effect of rTMS, citalopram, or both. In this patient bipolar affective disorder was already diagnosed, so it's not clear why she received antidepressants without mood stabilizer.

Fitzgerald et al.<sup>41</sup> 2003 in double-blind, parallel design study reported one switch to mania with stimulation of 1 Hz, 100% RMT, 300 stimuli/session (in group stimulated with 10 Hz was no switch), but that particular patient was the only one diagnosed as bipolar among the unipolar depressed patients, and in 2006, the same author published parallel-crossover study with 1 and 2 Hz, where in 130 stimulated patients only one manic switch occurred (again, in patient with bipolar depression).

In addition, Nedjat and Folkerts<sup>42</sup> also reported transient hypomanic symptoms in a period of a day during high frequency rTMS of the left prefrontal cortex (PFC) in 3 of 50 healthy volunteers.

### **How to manage hypomanic/manic switch if occurs during rTMS ?**

Most of the reported cases of switch to mania occurred within safety guidelines; the problem is that available guidelines focused on prevention of undesired seizures, but still do not consider prevention and management of manic switch.

This explains why the reported methods of managing hypomania/mania switches induced by rTMS in all published case reports were different.

In our case, hypomania has occurred at the end of the treatment when rTMS was already stopped as scheduled and we decided to keep antidepressant and carefully monitor patient during more frequent visits on outpatient clinic. During follow-up period symptoms of hypomania vanished in 2 weeks<sup>43</sup>. In this case, patients also continued to receive fixed dose of velafaxine during rTMS treatment associated with partial sleep deprivation (applied twice during two weeks), so in this patient hypomanic switch could be induced by synergistic effect of antidepressants treatment with rTMS and partial sleep deprivation as add-on therapy. In the above mentioned case reports in one patient after manic switch Ella<sup>38</sup> reported quick switch back to depressive mood; valproate and sertraline were started and patient recovered in 5 weeks.

Sakkas et al.<sup>44</sup> in their study protocol used more aggressive stimulation with 20 Hz, 110% RMT, 1,600 stimuli/session, two sessions/day and reported one case of hypomania and one case of mania. They firstly tried to manage hypomania symptoms in one patient with discontinuation of antidepressant medication and continued rTMS treatment,

but the patient became more manic; when rTMS was stopped the patient became depressed again and finally, euthymic after reintroduction of rTMS, this time stimulation was less aggressive – only once a day with concomitant use of mood stabilizers. This report definitely shows that mania-induced potential of rTMS correlates with the intensity of rTMS, but our protocol and above mentioned previous case reports<sup>38,40</sup> with less intensive stimulation also result in switch to hypomania, that makes understanding of hypomanic/manic switch during rTMS much more unclear.

In contrary to Sakkas et al.<sup>44</sup> Cohen et al.<sup>39</sup> tried to manage mania during rTMS in posttraumatic stress disorder patients discontinuing firstly rTMS, then also antidepressant medication and manic symptoms abated in a 5 days. Hausmann et al.<sup>40</sup> decided to immediately stop both rTMS and antidepressant medication and started clozapine treatment; manic symptoms vanished in 5 days, but the patient switched back to depression and finally recovered after long lack of response on several antidepressants regimens in the next 3 months; this showed that rTMS treatment had even stronger antidepressant effect than all used medication. These authors also hypothesized that rTMS in combination with antidepressant medications might modulate kindling and sensitization phenomena, which enhance cycle acceleration in bipolar spectrum patients.

Dolberg et al.<sup>45</sup> used more intensive stimulation (10 Hz, 1,200 stimuli/day, 4 weeks) and reported two cases of manic switch that occurred even patients were on valproic acid during rTMS treatment; these authors decided to increase dose of mood stabilizer in one patient and added haloperidol in another patient, but in one of them manic symptoms lasted even 2 months.

In another case of manic switch during rTMS Ella et al.<sup>38</sup> first had enthusiastic try to stabilize a patient who switched during stimulation of right DLPFC with 1 Hz changing frequency of stimulation to 10 Hz at the same side, based on theories and neuroimaging findings of functional laterality of hemispheres in depression and mania and the opposite modulator effect of rTMS. This patient did not recover with this procedure, on the contrary, became more manic and recovered with valproate and risperidone treatment, but in the next few years a few case reports and controlled studies in mania were published, supporting efficacy of high frequency rTMS of right PFC in mania<sup>19,46,47</sup>.

Use of mood stabilizers during rTMS treatment of bipolar depression was proposed to maximize safety, but that also did not completely stop switches during rTMS<sup>37</sup>, similarly to use of mood stabilizers during antidepressant pharmacotherapy.

### **Conclusion**

We want to highlight again that in cases of treatment resistant depression clinicians should always be aware of possible underlying bipolar disorder.

As another antidepressive biological treatments, rTMS also has a potential to induce hypomanic/manic switch.

Considering the fact that studies where hypomanic/manic switches were reported included patients with unipolar and but also bipolar depression, patients also often received antidepressants during rTMS and protocols of stimulation were different, further controlled studies with more specific inclusion criteria should give precise guidelines.

The idea of changing the frequency of stimulation if switch occurs looks elegant and already shown promising results. Further controlled studies of rTMS in mania are needed to answer if the riddle of manic switch during rTMS treatment of depression could be solved in a way with the same therapy.

## R E F E R E N C E S

1. Benazzi F. Prevalence of bipolar II disorder in outpatient depression: a 203-case study in private practice. *J Affect Disord* 1997; 43(2): 163–6.
2. Hantouche EG, Akiskal HS, Lancrenon S, Allilaire JF, Sechter D, Azorin JM, et al. Systematic clinical methodology for validating bipolar-II disorder: data in mid-stream from a French national multi-site study (EPIDEP). *J Affect Disord* 1998; 50(2–3): 163–73.
3. Lish JD, Dime-Meenan S, Whybrow PC, Price RA, Hirschfeld RM. The National Depressive and Manic-depressive Association (DMDA) survey of bipolar members. *J Affect Disord* 1994; 31(4): 281–94.
4. Hirschfeld RM, Lewis L, Vornik LA. Perceptions and impact of bipolar disorder: how far have we really come? Results of the national depressive and manic-depressive association 2000 survey of individuals with bipolar disorder. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2003; 64(2): 161–74.
5. Ilic TV, Petkovic S. Principles and application of transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Vojnosanit Pregl* 2005; 62(5): 389–402. (Serbian)
6. Ilic TV, Meintzschel F, Cleff U, Ruge D, Kessler KR, Ziemann U. Short-interval paired-pulse inhibition and facilitation of human motor cortex: the dimension of stimulus intensity. *J Physiol* 2002; 545(Pt 1): 153–67.
7. Hallett M. Transcranial magnetic stimulation: a primer. *Neuron* 2007; 55(2): 187–99.
8. Ilic TV, Ziemann U. Exploring motor cortical plasticity using transcranial magnetic stimulation in humans. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2005; 1048: 175–84.
9. Ziemann U, Ilic TV, Pauli C, Meintzschel F, Ruge D. Learning modifies subsequent induction of long-term potentiation-like and long-term depression-like plasticity in human motor cortex. *J Neurosci* 2004; 24(7): 1666–72.
10. Chen R, Classen J, Gerloff C, Celnik P, Wassermann EM, Hallett M, et al. Depression of motor cortex excitability by low-frequency transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Neurology* 1997; 48(5): 1398–403.
11. Pascual-Leone A, Valls-Sole J, Wassermann EM, Hallett M. Responses to rapid-rate transcranial magnetic stimulation of the human motor cortex. *Brain* 1994; 117(Pt 4): 847–58.
12. Ilic TV. Motor cortex plasticity: what was the lesson we learnt from transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Psihijatrija danas* 2006; 38(2): 139–50. (Serbian)
13. Bares M, Kopecek M, Novak T, Stopkova P, Sos P, Kozeny J, et al. Low frequency (1-Hz), right prefrontal repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) compared with venlafaxine ER in the treatment of resistant depression: a double-blind, single-centre, randomized study. *J Affect Disord* 2009; 118(1–3): 94–100.
14. Fitzgerald PB, Huntsman S, Gunewardene R, Kulkarni J, Daskalakis ZJ. A randomized trial of low-frequency right-prefrontal-cortex transcranial magnetic stimulation as augmentation in treatment-resistant major depression. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol* 2006; 9(6): 655–66.
15. Hausmann A, Pascual-Leone A, Kemmler G, Rupp CI, Lechner-Schoner T, Kramer-Reinstadler K, et al. No deterioration of cognitive performance in an aggressive unilateral and bilateral antidepressant rTMS add-on trial. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2004; 65(6): 772–82.
16. O'Reardon JP, Solvason HB, Janicak PG, Sampson S, Isenberg KE, Nahas Z, et al. Efficacy and safety of transcranial magnetic stimulation in the acute treatment of major depression: a multisite randomized controlled trial. *Biol Psychiatry* 2007; 62(11): 1208–16.
17. Dolberg OT, Dannon PN, Schreiber S, Grunhaus L. Transcranial magnetic stimulation in patients with bipolar depression: a double blind, controlled study. *Bipolar Disord* 2002; 4 (Suppl 1): 94–5.
18. Nahas Z, Kozel FA, Li X, Anderson B, George MS. Left prefrontal transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) treatment of depression in bipolar affective disorder: a pilot study of acute safety and efficacy. *Bipolar Disord* 2003; 5(1): 40–7.
19. Zeeuw D, De RK, De RR, De BM, Baeken C, Vanderbruggen N. Intensive high-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation treatment in an electroconvulsive shock therapy-resistant bipolar I patient with mixed episode. *Brain Stimul* 2011; 4(1): 46–9.
20. Hoppner J, Schulz M, Irmisch G, Mau R, Schlafke D, Richter J. Antidepressant efficacy of two different rTMS procedures. High frequency over left versus low frequency over right prefrontal cortex compared with sham stimulation. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2003; 253(2): 103–9.
21. Klein E, Kreinin I, Chistyakov A, Koren D, Meez L, Marmur S, et al. Therapeutic efficacy of right prefrontal slow repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in major depression: a double-blind controlled study. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1999; 56(4): 315–20.
22. Krstić J, Diligenski V, Ilic TV. Low-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation conjugated with partial sleep deprivation in treatment of major depression-pilot study. *Clin Neurophysiology* 2010; 121(4): e9–10.
23. Sachdev PS, McBride R, Loo C, Mitchell PM, Malbi GS, Croker V. Effects of different frequencies of transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) on the forced swim test model of depression in rats. *Biol Psychiatry* 2002; 51(6): 474–9.
24. Wassermann EM, Grafman J, Berry C, Hollnagel C, Wild K, Clark K, et al. Use and safety of a new repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulator. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* 1996; 101(5): 412–7.
25. Speer AM, Benson BE, Kimbrell TK, Wassermann EM, Willis MW, Herscovitch P, et al. Opposite effects of high and low frequency rTMS on mood in depressed patients: relationship to baseline cerebral activity on PET. *J Affect Disord* 2009; 115(3): 386–94.
26. Bond DJ, Noronba MM, Kauer-Sant'Anna M, Lam RW, Yatham LN. Antidepressant-associated mood elevations in bipolar II disorder compared with bipolar I disorder and major depressive disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2008; 69(10): 1589–601.



27. Tondo L, Vazquez G, Baldessarini RJ. Mania associated with antidepressant treatment: comprehensive meta-analytic review. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 2010; 121(6): 404–14.
28. Altshuler LL, Kupka RW, Helleman G, Frye MA, Sugar CA, McElroy SL, et al. Gender and depressive symptoms in 711 patients with bipolar disorder evaluated prospectively in the Stanley Foundation bipolar treatment outcome network. *Am J Psychiatry* 2010; 167(6): 708–15.
29. Akiskal HS, Hantouche EG, Allilaire JF, Sechter D, Bourgeois ML, Azorin JM, et al. Validating antidepressant-associated hypomania (bipolar III): a systematic comparison with spontaneous hypomania (bipolar II). *J Affect Disord* 2003; 73(1–2): 65–74.
30. Muzina DJ, Calabrese JR. Recent placebo-controlled acute trials in bipolar depression: focus on methodology. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol* 2003; 6(3): 285–91.
31. Thirthalli J, Prasad M, Gangadhar B. Electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) in bipolar disorder: A narrative review of literature. *Asian J Psychiatry* 2012; 5(1): 11–7.
32. Rush AJ, Marangell LB, Sackeim HA, George MS, Brannan SK, Davis SM, et al. Vagus nerve stimulation for treatment-resistant depression: a randomized, controlled acute phase trial. *Biol Psychiatry* 2005; 58(5): 347–54.
33. Labbate LA, Lafer B, Thibault A, Sachs GS. Side effects induced by bright light treatment for seasonal affective disorder. *J Clin Psychiatry* 1994; 55(5): 189–91.
34. Benedetti F, Fresi F, Maccioni P, Smeraldi E. Behavioural sensitization to repeated sleep deprivation in a mice model of mania. *Behav Brain Res* 2008; 187(2): 221–7.
35. Colombo C, Benedetti F, Barbini B, Campori E, Smeraldi E. Rate of switch from depression into mania after therapeutic sleep deprivation in bipolar depression. *Psychiatry Res* 1999; 86(3): 267–70.
36. Fitzgerald PB, Daskalakis ZJ. A practical guide to the use of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in the treatment of depression. *Brain Stimul* 2012; 5(3): 287–96.
37. Xia G, Gajwani P, Muzina DJ, Kemp DE, Gao K, Ganocy SJ, et al. Treatment-emergent mania in unipolar and bipolar depression: focus on repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol* 2008; 11(1): 119–30.
38. Ella R, Zwanzger P, Stampfer R, Preuss UW, Muller-Siecheneder F, Moller HJ, et al. Switch to mania after slow rTMS of the right prefrontal cortex. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2002; 63(3): 249.
39. Cohen H, Kaplan Z, Kotler M, Konperman I, Moisa R, Grisaru N. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation of the right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in posttraumatic stress disorder: a double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Am J Psychiatry* 2004; 161(3): 515–24.
40. Hausmann A, Kramer-Reinstadler K, Lechner-Schoner T, Walpoth M, Rupp CI, Hinterhuber H, et al. Can bilateral prefrontal repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) induce mania? A case report. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2004; 65(11): 1575–6.
41. Fitzgerald PB, Brown TL, Marston NA, Daskalakis ZJ, De CA, Kulkarni J. Transcranial magnetic stimulation in the treatment of depression: a double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2003; 60(10): 1002–8.
42. Nedjat S, Folkerts HW. Induction of a reversible state of hypomania by rapid-rate transcranial magnetic stimulation over the left prefrontal lobe. *J ECT* 1999; 15(2): 166–8.
43. Krstic J, Ilic TV. Switch to hypomania induced by repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation and partial sleep deprivation added to antidepressant: a case report. *Vojnosanit Pregl* 2012; (In Press).
44. Sakkas P, Mihalopoulou P, Mourtzoubou P, Psarros C, Masdrakis V, Politis A, et al. Induction of mania by rTMS: report of two cases. *Eur Psychiatry* 2003; 18(4): 196–8.
45. Dolberg OT, Schreiber S, Grunbaus L. Transcranial magnetic stimulation-induced switch into mania: a report of two cases. *Biol Psychiatry* 2001; 49(5): 468–70.
46. Prabharaj SK, Ram D, Arora M. Efficacy of high frequency (rapid) suprathreshold repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation of right prefrontal cortex in bipolar mania: a randomized sham controlled study. *J Affect Disord* 2009; 117(3): 146–50.
47. Saba G, Rocamora JF, Kalalon K, Benadhira R, Plaze M, Lipski H, et al. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation as an add-on therapy in the treatment of mania: a case series of eight patients. *Psychiatry Res* 2004; 128(2): 199–202.

Received on June 21, 2012.

Revised on July 11, 2012.

Accepted on August 9, 2012.