

LINEAR ESTIMATION OF STANDARD DEVIATION OF LOGISTIC DISTRIBUTION: THEORY AND ALGORITHM

Patrick G. O. Weke and Thomas Achia
Department of Mathematics, University of Nairobi, Kenya.
Email: pweke@yahoo.com, achiathomas@hotmail.com

Abstract: *The paper presents a theoretical method based on order statistics and a FORTRAN program for computing the variance and relative efficiencies of the standard deviation of the logistic population with respect to the Cramer-Rao lower variance bound and the best linear unbiased estimators (BLUE's) when the mean is unknown. A method based on a pair of single spacing and the 'zero-one' weights rather than the optimum weights are used. A comparison of an estimator based on four order statistics with the traditional estimators is considered.*

Key words: *Order Statistics, Logistic Distribution, Parameter Estimation, Fortran, Relative Efficiencies.*

2000 Math. Subject Classification: 62 G30, 62 F10, 65 C60

INTRODUCTION

Let $X_{1:n} \leq \dots \leq X_{n:n}$ denote the order statistics from a random sample of size n from the logistic distribution whose cumulative distribution function is

$$F(x; m, S) = \left[1 + \exp\left\{-\frac{(x - m)}{tS}\right\} \right]^{-1},$$

where $-\infty < x < \infty$, $-\infty < m < \infty$,

$S > 0$ and $t = \sqrt{3}/\rho$. The distribution is absolutely continuous, symmetric about the location parameter, the mean m , and with scale parameter S . Dixon [4] introduced the notion of using 'zero-one' weights rather than the optimum weights and noted that high efficiencies are achievable. This work has since then been extended by many authors, notably, Raghunandanan and Srinivasan [11] for the logistic distribution and Wang Cheng-guan [12] for the normal distribution. Raghunandanan and Srinivasan [11] constructed simplified estimators of the location and scale parameters for complete and symmetrically censored samples for sample sizes $4 \leq n \leq 20$.

The principal object of this paper is to develop, based on the work of Wang Cheng-guan [12], a simplified linear estimator of the scale parameter of the population when its location parameter is unknown into a FORTRAN computer program. The desired procedure should be applicable to both censored and uncensored samples and follows the work of Keats *et al.*[8]. This estimator will be shown to have bias less than 0.5% for $n \geq 5$ and this bias reduces rapidly to zero as n increases. The asymptotic variance and asymptotic efficiency are also given. Furthermore, it is shown that the estimator has high relative efficiency with respect to the 'zero-one' linear estimator of the scale parameter (eff_{0-1}) for n between 5 and 20 and we note that this procedure is developed as a compromise between lack of efficiency and quickness and ease of computation.

ESTIMATOR OF STANDARD DEVIATION

The method discussed here is based on a pair of single spacing and the expectation of

the sum of consecutive order statistics in a sample of size n . Let a spacing c be defined in relation to the rank i of order statistics $X_{i:n}$ and sample size n as

$$i = [nc + 0.5] \quad (1)$$

where $[x]$ denotes the greatest integer $\leq x$ and let

$$G(u) = F^{-1}(u) = t \log(u/(1-u)), \quad (2)$$

$0 < u < 1$

be the inverse function, then this expectation is given by

$$E(X_{i:n} + X_{i+1:n}) = 2 \left\{ G(c^*) + G'(c^*) \left(\frac{i}{n} - c^* \right) + \frac{G''(c^*)}{12n^2} \right\} + O(n^{-3}), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1. \quad (3)$$

In this paper, we have used $c^* = i/n$ in all the calculations. Let R_i denote the i -th quasi-range

$$R_i = X_{n-i:n} - X_{i+1:n}. \quad (4)$$

Table 1: Ranks, Bias, Variances and Efficiencies for various $n=5(1)20(5)50, \infty$

n	i	i+1	n-i	n-i+1	BIAS	$V(\hat{\xi}_1)/S^2$	$V(\hat{\xi}_2)/S^2$	eff_1	eff_2	eff_{0-1}
5	1	2	4	5	0.0002	0.1720	0.1704	0.813	0.991	1.000
6	1	2	5	6	0.0001	0.1377	0.1429	0.847	0.995	0.999
7	1	2	6	7	0.0000	0.1160	0.1232	0.861	0.987	0.999
8	1	2	7	8	0.0000	0.1011	0.1052	0.865	0.973	0.999
9	1	2	8	9	0.0000	0.0903	0.0918	0.861	0.956	0.978
10	1	2	9	10	0.0000	0.0820	0.0850	0.853	0.937	0.958
11	1	2	10	11	0.0000	0.0754	0.0788	0.843	0.917	0.943
12	1	2	11	12	0.0000	0.0701	0.0711	0.831	0.898	0.920
13	1	2	12	13	0.0000	0.0658	0.0648	0.818	0.878	0.900
14	1	2	13	14	0.0000	0.0621	0.0634	0.805	0.860	0.881
15	2	3	13	14	0.0000	0.0600	0.0584	0.777	0.826	0.862
16	2	3	14	15	0.0000	0.0559	0.0541	0.782	0.828	0.843
17	2	3	15	16	0.0000	0.0525	0.0527	0.784	0.827	0.830
18	2	3	16	17	0.0000	0.0495	0.0491	0.784	0.825	0.827
19	2	3	17	18	0.0000	0.0470	0.0460	0.783	0.822	0.826
20	2	3	18	19	0.0000	0.0448	0.0439	0.781	0.818	0.818
25	3	4	22	23	0.0000	0.0372	0.0352	0.751	0.779	
30	3	4	27	28	0.0000	0.0310		0.752	0.775	
35	4	5	31	32	0.0000	0.0271		0.736	0.755	
40	4	5	36	37	0.0000	0.0238		0.736	0.752	
45	5	6	40	41	0.0000	0.0214		0.726	0.741	
50	5	6	45	46	0.0000	0.0193		0.726	0.737	
∞					0.0000	1.0227/n		0.684		

Then based on Equations (3) and (4), we propose to use

$$\hat{S}_1 = \frac{(R_i + R_{i-1})}{2E_{i,i+1:n}}, \quad (5)$$

where $E_{i,i+1:n} = -E_{n-i,n-i+1:n}$ due to symmetry,

$$E_{i,i+1:n} \approx 2 \left\{ G(1-c^*) - G''(c^*) / 12n^2 \right\}, \quad (6)$$

$$= 2t \left\{ \log \left(\frac{n-i}{i} \right) + \frac{n(n-2i)}{12i^2(n-i)^2} \right\} \quad (7)$$

as the estimator of the scale parameter S . The methods for estimating the location parameter, m , of logistic distribution is not covered in this paper and readers are referred to Weke *et al.* [13].

EXAMPLE

A single spacing value of 0.10293 has been established from Gupta and Gnanadesikan [5] and is used here in all computations. Let $V(\hat{S}_1)$ (given in the program as VAR) and $V(\hat{S})$ be the variances of \hat{S}_1 and that of the estimator based on four optimum order statistics, respectively, then we have

$$eff_1 = \frac{9}{n(3+p^2)V(\hat{S}_1)} \quad (8)$$

and

$$eff_2 = \frac{Var[BLUE(S)]}{V(\hat{S}_1)} = \frac{V_{opt}}{VAR}$$

as the relative efficiencies of \hat{S}_1 with respect to the Cramer-Rao lower bound for the variance of an unbiased estimator of S and the variance of BLUE of S , respectively. The efficiencies eff_1 and eff_2 are given in the program as AEF and R.E., respectively. Table 1 gives the ranks of order statistics, the bias and variance of the estimator \hat{S}_1 and the efficiencies relative to Cramer-Rao lower variance bound, the BLUE and the 'zero-one' linear estimators of scale parameter for various values of n . For illustration, we consider the case when $n = 20$ and by using Equations (1) and (5) we have the numerator as $2(1.37563+1.06933) = 4.88992$ and denominator as 4.89096

when $i = 2$. This leads to a bias of 0.00002. This method uses four order statistics instead of the usual two order statistics. It should be mentioned that bias equal to zero does not imply that the estimate in Equation (7) coincides with the expected value of the two consecutive order statistics; it simply means that the error is less than 5×10^{-5} .

In conclusion, we notice that $eff_1 \leq eff_2 \leq eff_{0-1}$. A comparison with an estimator, abbreviated by \hat{S} , based on four optimum order statistics given by Chan *et al.* [3] reveals that the variances of the estimator in Equation (5) is smaller than $V(\hat{S})$ for most values of n within the range $5 \leq n \leq 25$. The variances required to calculate eff_{0-1} values are available in Balakrishnan and Cohen [1] page 255 for $2 \leq n \leq 20$. All computations were performed in double precision by FORTRAN 77 language programs to produce results for values up to $n = 100$ but to save space we have not included all these cases in our table. The computer programs for the calculation of the means, product moments, variances and covariances of the logistic order statistics and their respective data files necessary for the construction of Table 1 are too huge to be reproduced here. However, their values are found to coincide with those values in Birnbaum and Dudman [2], Gupta *et al.* [6], and Harter and Balakrishnan [7]. The procedure discussed in this paper produces reasonably good results, quick and easy computations. Finally, it is noted that no reference is made to pre-existing tables for the computation of the estimator and its variance and efficiencies.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The FORTRAN program computes the variance of the estimator given in Equation (5), its efficiencies relative to the Cramer-Rao lower variance bound and the BLUE's and gives the variance-covariance matrix of

the logistic order statistics as an output. All the features are clearly illustrated in the program, notably,

INPUT FILES: LOGIS1.EXP and LOGIS1.COV are DATA FILES for the expected and covariance values for order statistics. These have been calculated by using a different program. However, similar values can be found in Chan *et al.* [3]. Input $KK2 = 2$; $N0, N6 = 5, n$ and $IJ(I) = i, CF1(I) = i + 1$ according to Table 1.

DISPLAY: The lower-triangular matrix of covariance. We have used the Cholesky's inverse matrix and Lloyd's optimal methods

(Lloyd [9]) which are similar to Ogawa's method (Ogawa [10]).

EFFICIENCIES: $AEF = eff_1$ and $R.E. = V_{opt} / VAR = eff_2$.

SUBROUTINES: MINV - Employs Cholesky's method to compute inverse of the matrix.

VARML - Output a lower triangular matrix row by row. The lower triangle is stored in a 1-dimensional array.

VLTV - Output a vector with a title.

OUTPUT: LGEST1.VAR

N= 20 EXP= 4.88992 NUM= 1.07004 VAR= .04475 AEF= .78136
IJ(K): 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
R1(K): .00000 .20450 .20450 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000
Vopt= .0366 R.E.=Vopt/VAR= .81795
COP(K): -.0729 -.0784 -.0774 -.0726 -.0651 -.0556 -.0447 -.0327 -.0199 -.0063

REFERENCES

- [1] Balakrishnan, N. and Cohen, A. C. (1991). *Order Statistics and Inference: Estimation Methods*. Academic, Boston.
- [2] Birnbaum, A. and Dudman, J. (1963). "Logistic order statistics". *Ann. Math. Statist.* **34**, 658-663.
- [3] Chan, L. K., Chan, N. N. and Mead, E. R. (1971). "Best Linear Unbiased Estimates of the Parameters of the Logistic Distribution Based on Selected Order Statistics". *Journal of the American Statistical Association* **66**, 889-892.
- [4] Dixon, W. J. (1957). "Estimates of the mean and standard deviation of a normal population". *Annals of Mathematical Statistics* **28**, 806-809.
- [5] Gupta, S. S. and Gnanadesikan, M. (1966). "Estimation of the parameters of the logistic distribution". *Biometrika* **53**, 565-570.
- [6] Gupta, S. S., Qureishi, A. S., and Shah, B. K. (1967). "Best linear unbiased estimators of the parameters of the logistic distribution using order statistics". *Technometrics* **9**, 43-56.
- [7] Harter, H. L. and Balakrishnan, N. (1996). *CRC Handbook of Tables for the Use of Order Statistics in Estimation*. CRC Press, Boca Raton.
- [8] Keats, J. B., Lawrence, F. P., and Wang, F. K. (1997). "Weibull Maximum Likelihood Parameter estimates with

Censored Data". *Journal of Quality Technology* 29, 105-110.

[9] Lloyd, E. H. (1952). "Least-Squares Estimation of Location and Scale Parameters using order statistics". *Biometrika* 39, 88-95.

[10] Ogawa, J. (1951). "Contributions to the Theory of Systematic statistics, I". *Osaka Mathematical Journal* 3, 175-213.

[11] Raghunandan, K. and Srinivasan, R. (1970). "Simplified estimation of parameters in a logistic distribution". *Biometrika* 57, 677-679.

[12] Wang, Cheng-guan (1980). "The expectation of the sum of consecutive AL-variables and the double "zero-one" linear estimates for the standard deviation of normal population". *Journal of Harbin Institute of Technology* 1, 1-33 (in Chinese).

[13] Weke, P. G. O., Wang, C-G and Wu, C-X. (2001). "Nearly best linear estimates of the logistic parameters based on complete ordered statistics". *Journal of Harbin Institute of Technology* 8(2): 178-183.

PROGRAM LISTING

```
C VARIL.FOR by PATRICK WEKE at
HIT/UoN
C COMPUTE THE VARIANCES of The
ESTIMATES for THE SCALE
PARAMETER OF
C LOGISTIC POPULATION.
C I=[c*(N+1-2*a)+a] , a=0.5
INTEGER N,N0,N6,M,I,J ,I2,J2,L,K, M1, M2
,K1,K2,IW,KK,KK2
INTEGER N1(100),N2(100), N3(100),
N4(100), N5(100),IJ(100),S(100)
REAL RN,BB1,BB2,RN1(100),RN2(100) ,
RN3(100), RN4(100),RN5(100)
REAL a,c,NUM,DE,SC(10),CF(100),
CF1(100), W(100),RX(820),R(100)
REAL VAR,AEF,RX(820),COP(100),R1(100)
CHARACTER*8 FNAME
C M2: NUMBER of ORDER STATISTICS
USED, M1=(M2+1)/2
C CF(K): COEFFICIENTS of PAIR of
CONSECUTIVE ORDER STATISTICS
C CF1(k): COEFFICIENTS of EVERY
ORDER STATISTICS
C W(K): WEIGHT of EVERY ORDER
STATISTICS
C N: SIZE, STARTING:N0, FINISH:N6
C R(L) : THE SUM of EXPECTATION of
ORDER STATISTICS
C R1(K): COEFFICIENTS of ESTIMATION
C RX(K): THE VARIANCES of ORDER
STATISTICS USED
C NUM: NUMERATOR, EXP: DE:
DENOMINATOR
C IJ(K); RANKS of ORDER STATISTICS
USED
C SC(K), c: SPACING, S(K): RANK
C A: BLOM'S CONSTANT
```

```
C K1,K2: THE STARTING, FINISH and
NUMBER of SPACING for N
C IW: SWITCH
C VAR: VARIANCE of ESTIMATION
C AEF: ASYMPTOTIC EFFICIENCY
C KK: SWITCH of INTERACTIVE
INPUT
C KK2: SWITCH of SPACING and INPUT
for ALL SIZE from N0 to N6
C COP: COEFFICIENTS of BLUE
C Vopt: VARIANCE of BLUE
C R.E.: EFFICIENCY Vopt/VAR
C WRITE FOUR DISK FILES
C FUNCTION: <1> DISPLAY and SAVE
THE VECTOR of EXPECTATION and THE
MATRIX of
C COVARIANCES of ORDER STATISTICS
USED
C <2> COMPUTE COEFFICIENTS of
ESTIMATION ACCORDING TO THE
PROPORTION
C of ITS COEFFICIENTS
C <3> COMPUTE THE VARIANCE and
EFFICIENCY of ESTIMATION
C <4> RANK of ORDER STATISTICS MAY
BE OBTAINED by THE SPACING and
C THE INTERACTIVE INPUT
CCCC (1): SPACING:
SC(1)=.10293
SC(2)=.25
SC(3)=.125
SC(4)=0.0625
C m=5-2=3, K1=2, M1=4, K2=5
SC(5)=0.03125
SC(6)=1./FLOAT(2**6)
SC(7)=1./FLOAT(2**7)
SC(8)=1./FLOAT(2**8)
```

```

SC(9)=1./FLOAT(2**9)
SC(10)=1./FLOAT(2**10)
C WRITE(*,*) SPACING: ',SC
CCCC (2): COMPUT THE N1,N2,N3,N4,N5
and RN1,RN2,RN3,RN4,RN5
a=.5
I=1
DO 10 L=2,10
c=SC(L)
DO 20 N=2,1024
IF((c*(FLOAT(N)+1.-2.*a)+a) .GE.
FLOAT(I)) GO TO 25
20 CONTINUE
25 RN1(L)=N
N1(L)=N
DO 30 N=2,1024
IF((c*(FLOAT(N)+1.-2.*a)+a).GE.
FLOAT(I+1)) GO TO 35
30 CONTINUE
C PAUSE'WARNING !'
35 RN2(L)=N-1
N2(L)=N-1
N3(L)=(N1(L)+N2(L)+1)/2
RN3(L)=N3(L)
N4(L)=N3(L)*2
RN4(L)=N4(L)
N5(L)=(N1(L)+N3(L))/2
RN5(L)=N5(L)
10 CONTINUE
CCCC (3): THE START, FINISH and
NUMBER of SPACING: K1,K2 for N

OPEN(1,FILE='LOGIS1.EXP',STATUS='OLD')

OPEN(2,FILE='LOGIS1.COV',STATUS='OLD'
)
OPEN(4,FILE='LGEST1.VAR',STATUS='NEW
')
KK2=0
WRITE(*,*) KK2=1 : FINDING RANK
by SPACING'
WRITE(*,*) KK2=2 : FINDING RANK
by INTERACTIVE INPUT'
WRITE(*,*) KK2=OTHER: FINDING
RANK by VALUE KK, ONE by ONE'
WRITE(*,*) THERE ARE THE BLUES
WHEN AND ONLY WHEN KK2=2 OR KK2=2'
WRITE(*,*) INPUT KK2=?'
READ(*,*)KK2
WRITE(*,*) INPUT THE STARTING and
FINISH N0,N6 =?'
READ(*,*)N0,N6
48 FORMAT(2X,' THE STARTING and
FINISH of SIZE: N0,N6=',2I4)
WRITE(*,48)N0,N6
DO 170 N=N0,N6

```

```

RN=FLOAT(N)
K1=2
DO 50 L=2,10
C THE START, FINISH and NUMBER of
SPACING: K1,K2 for N
IF(N.GE.N5(L)) K2=L
50 CONTINUE
60 FORMAT(' N,N5(K2),K1,K2=',5I4)
WRITE(*,60)N,N5(K2),K1,K2
DO 65 L=K1,K2
70 FORMAT('L,N1,N5,N3,N2=',2I5,' ',4I5)
WRITE(*,70)L,N1(L),N5(L),N3(L),N2(L),
N4(L)
65 CONTINUE
CC PDF f(x)=exp(-x)/[(1+exp(-x))**2]
C CDF F(x)=1/(1+exp(-x))
C with 'O=TAO=0.55132890 G(u) =X=-ln[(1-
u)/u]=ln[u/(1-u)]
C G'(u) =1/[u(1-u)]
C G''(u) =-(1-2u)/[u(1-u)**2]
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC
C 1/2**L C
C N1 N5 N3 N2 N4 C
C RN1 RN5 RN3 RN2 RN4 C
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC
CCCC (4):
KK=1
IF(KK2.EQ.1) GO TO 90
IF(KK2.EQ.2) GO TO 77
WRITE(*,*)'INPUT CF1(K)? NO/YES 1/2'
READ(*,*)IW
IF(IW.EQ.1) GO TO 90
77 KK=2
WRITE(*,*)'INPUT NUMBER of ORDER
STATISTICS USED, M2?'
READ(*,*)M2
M1=(M2+1)/2
DO 75 K=1,M1
W(K)=1.
78 FORMAT('IJ(',I2,')=?',' CF1(',I2,')=?')
WRITE(*,78)K,K
READ(*,*)IJ(K),CF1(K)
75 CONTINUE
GO TO 120
90 DO 80 L=1,10
CF(L)=.0
80 CONTINUE
DO 82 L=K1,K2
WRITE(*,*)'INPUT THE COEFFICIENTS
CF(L) =?'
84 FORMAT('CF(',I2,')=?')
WRITE(*,84)L
READ(*,*)CF(L)
82 CONTINUE
WRITE(*,86)CF
86 FORMAT(2X,' CF:',5F9.4/,' ',5F9.4)

```

```

CCCC (5): THE RANK S(L),CF1(K),W(K),
IJ(K)
  RN=N
  DO 100 L=K2,K1,-1
  S(L)=INT(RN*SC(L)+0.5)
98  FORMAT(2X,'S(',I3,')=' ,I3)
  WRITE(*,98)L,S(L)
100 CONTINUE
  CF1(1)=CF(K2)
  CF1(2)=CF(K2)
  W(1)=1.
  W(2)=1.
  IJ(1)=S(K2)
  IJ(2)=S(K2)+1
  K=2
  DO 110 L=K2-1,K1,-1
  IF(S(L+1).EQ.S(L)) THEN
  CF1(K-1)=CF1(K-1)+CF(L)
  CF1(K)=CF1(K)+CF(L)
  W(K-1)=W(K-1)+1.
  W(K)=W(K)+1.
  GO TO 110
  ELSE
  ENDIF
  IF((S(L+1)+1).EQ.S(L)) THEN
  CF1(K)=CF1(K)+CF(L)
  W(K)=W(K)+1.
  K=K+1
  IJ(K)=S(L)+1
  CF1(K)=CF(L)
  W(K)=1.
  GO TO 110
  ELSE
  ENDIF
  IF((S(L+1)+1).LT.S(L)) THEN
  K=K+1
  IJ(K)=S(L)
  CF1(K)=CF(L)
  W(K)=1.
  K=K+1
  IJ(K)=S(L)+1
  CF1(K)=CF(L)
  W(K)=1.
  ELSE
  ENDIF
110 CONTINUE
  M1=K
  M2=2*M1
120 DO 130 J=1,M1
  W(M2+1-J)=W(J)
  IF((M2+1-J).EQ.J) CF1(J)=.0
  CF1(M2+1-J)=-CF1(J)
  IJ(M2+1-J)=N+1-IJ(J)
130 CONTINUE
140 FORMAT(10I4)
150 FORMAT(1X,' N=',I3)

160 FORMAT(10F9.5)
  WRITE(*,150)N
  WRITE(*,*) IJ,CF1,W='
  WRITE(*,140)(IJ(K) ,K=1,M2)
  WRITE(*,160)(CF1(K),K=1,M2)
  WRITE(*,160)(W(K) ,K=1,M2)
CCCC (6):THE EXPECTATION of ORDER
STATISTICS USED
180 FORMAT(2I4,F9.5)
  DO 200 I=1,M1
195 READ(1,180)M,I2,BB1
  IF((M.EQ.N).AND.(I2.EQ.IJ(I)))
  GO TO 210
  GO TO 195
210 R(I)=BB1
200 CONTINUE
  DO 212 K=1,M1
  R(M2+1-K)=-R(K)
212 CONTINUE
  CALL VLTV(R,M1,'EXPECT-L', 20F9.4 ')
CCCC (7): FIND OUT THE COVARIANCES
of ORDER STATISTICS USED
220 FORMAT(3I4,F9.5)
  DO 224 I=1,M1
  DO 228 J=I,M2+1-I
230 READ(2,220)M,I2,J2,BB2
  IF((M.EQ.N).AND.(I2.EQ.IJ(I)).AND.(J2.EQ.IJ
(J))) GO TO 240
  GO TO 230
C  SPACING: from K1=2 to K2
240 RX((J-1)*J/2+I)=BB2
  RX((M2+1-I-1)*(M2+1-I)/2+M2+1-J)=BB2
228 CONTINUE
224 CONTINUE
CCCC LAST TWO LINES of COV-MATRIX
  DO 234 K=1,M2
  RX(M2*(M2+1)/2 +K)=R(K)
  IF(K.GT.M1) RX(M2*(M2+1)/2 +K)=-
R(M2+1-K)
234 CONTINUE
  RX((M2+1)*(M2+2)/2)=RN
  DO 238 K=1,M2
  RX((M2+1)*(M2+2)/2 +K)=IJ(K)
238 CONTINUE
  RX((M2+1)*(M2+2)/2 +M2+1)=K1
  RX((M2+2)*(M2+3)/2)=K2
  CALL VARML(RX,M2+2,'COV---RX',
8F9.5 ',1)
CCCC (8): OUTPUT
  WRITE(*,*)'DISPLAY THE LOWER-
TRIANGULAR MATRIX of COV YES/NO 1/2'
  READ(*,*)IW
  IF(IW.NE.1) GO TO 245
  CALL VARML(RX,M2+2,'COV---RX',
8F9.5 ',1)
245 CONTINUE

```

```

WRITE(*,*)'WRITE COV FILE? YES/NO
1/2'
READ(*,*)IW
IF(IW.NE.1) GO TO 255
WRITE(*,*)'INPUT THE FILE NAME
(LG??,COV) '
READ(*,260)FNAME
260 FORMAT(A)
OPEN(3,FILE=FNAME ,STATUS='NEW')
270 FORMAT(I4)
CALL VARML(RX,M2+2,'COV---RX','
8F9.5 ',2)
CLOSE(3)
255 NUM=.0
DO 280 I=1,M1
DO 295 K=1,I
NUM=NUM+W(I)*CF1(K)*RX((I-1)*I/2
+K)*CF1(I)*W(I)
295 CONTINUE
DO 300 K=I+1,M2
NUM=NUM+W(K)*CF1(K)*RX((K-1)*K/2
+I)*CF1(I)*W(I)
300 CONTINUE
280 CONTINUE
EXP=.0
DO 310 K=1,M1
EXP=EXP+W(I)*CF1(K)*R(K)
310 CONTINUE
EXP=-2.*EXP
DO 320 K=1,M1
R1(K)=CF1(K)/EXP
R1(M2+1-K)=R1(K)
320 CONTINUE
CALL VLTV(R1,M2,'COEFIC-L',' 20F9.4 ')
DE=EXP*EXP
NUM=2.*NUM
VAR=NUM/DE
WRITE(*,*)'By Using The Cramer-Rao
Lower Bound, We Obtain:'
AEF=(9./(3.+3.1415926**2))/(RN*VAR)
330 FORMAT(2X,'N=',I3,'EXP=',F9.5,
NUM=', F9.5,'VAR=',F7.5,
/ ' AEF=',F7.5)
WRITE(*,330)N,EXP,NUM,VAR,AEF
WRITE(4,330)N,EXP,NUM,VAR,AEF
WRITE(*,340)(IJ(K),K=1,M1)
WRITE(4,340)(IJ(K),K=1,M1)
340 FORMAT('IJ(K):',10(6X,I3),/
',10(6X,I3))
WRITE(4,350)(R1(K),K=1,M1)
350 FORMAT('R1(K): ',10F9.5/, ' ',10F9.5)
IF(KK2.EQ.2) GO TO 353
IF((KK.EQ.1).OR.(KK2.EQ.1)) GO TO 375
353 CALL MINV(RX,RY,R,COP,M2,Vopt)
360 FORMAT(' Vopt=',F9.4,'
R.E.=Vopt/VAR=',F7.5)

```

```

WRITE(*,360)Vopt,Vopt/VAR
WRITE(4,360)Vopt,Vopt/VAR
CALL VLTV(COP,M2,'COP-L ', 20F9.4 ')
370 FORMAT(' COP(K):',10F9.4/, ' ',10F9.4)
WRITE(4,370)(COP(K),K=1,M2)
CALL VARML(RY,M2,'INV-MATR','
8F9.5 ',1)
375 CONTINUE
CC TURN TO NEXT SIZE N
170 CONTINUE
CLOSE(1)
CLOSE(2)
CLOSE(4)
STOP
END
C Cholesky's Inverse Matrix and Lloyd's
Optimal-Method (as Ogawa Method)
SUBROUTINE MINV(RX,RZ,R, COP, M,
Vopt)
DIMENSION RX(*),RY(820),R(M),
COP(M), S(100,100)
REAL SUM,Vopt,RZ(*)
INTEGER M,K,I,J,L
S(1,1)=SQRT(RX(1))
DO 10 J=2,M
10 S(1,J)=RX((J-1)*J/2 +1)/S(1,1)
DO 20 I=2,M
L=I-1
SUM=.0
DO 30 K=1,L
30 SUM=SUM+S(K,I)*S(K,I)
S(I,I)=SQRT(RX((I-1)*I/2 +I)-SUM)
DO 20 J=1,M
SUM=.0
IF(I-J) 25,20,35
25 DO 40 K=1,L
40 SUM=SUM+S(K,I)*S(K,J)
S(I,J)=(RX((J-1)*J/2 +I)-SUM)/S(I,I)
GO TO 20
35 S(I,J)=0
20 CONTINUE
WRITE(*,*)'M=',M
RY(1)=1./S(1,1)
DO 50 J=2,M
RY((J-1)*J/2 +J)=1./S(J,J)
SUM=.0
L=J-1
DO 60 I=1,L
60 SUM=SUM+RY((I-1)*I/2 +1)*S(I,J)
50 RY((J-1)*J/2 +1)=-SUM/S(J,J)
DO 70 I=2,M
DO 70 J=1,M
IF(I-J) 65,70,75
65 SUM=.0
L=J-1
DO 80 K=I,L

```



```

80 SUM=SUM+RY((K-1)*K/2 +I)*S(K,J)
   RY((J-1)*J/2 +I)=-SUM/S(J,J)
   GO TO 70
75 CONTINUE
70 CONTINUE
   CALL VARML(RY,M,'MAT--INV',' 8F9.4
',1)
CC(3) INVERSE MATRIX S of RX
   DO 90 I=1,M
   DO 100 J=1,M
   DO 92 K=1,M
   COP(K)=0
   IF(K.LT.J) GO TO 92
   COP(K)=RY((K-1)*K/2 +J)
92 CONTINUE
   SUM=.0
   DO 96 K=1,M
   IF(K.LT.I) GO TO 96
   SUM=SUM +COP(K)*RY((K-1)*K/2 +I)
96 CONTINUE
   S(I,J)=SUM
   IF(I.GT.J) GO TO 100
   RZ((J-1)*J/2 +I)=S(I,J)
100 CONTINUE
90 CONTINUE
CC(4) LOWER TRIANGLE RY of S
   CALL VARML(RZ,M,'MAT--INV',' 8F9.4
',1)
CC(5) CHECK:
   DO 120 I=1,M
   DO 130 J=1,M
   SUM=.0
   DO 140 K=1,J
   SUM=SUM+RX((J-1)*J/2 +K)*S(I,K)
140 CONTINUE
   DO 145 K=J+1,M
   SUM=SUM+RX((K-1)*K/2 +J)*S(I,K)
145 CONTINUE
   IF(J.GT.I) GO TO 148
   RY((I-1)*I/2 +J)=SUM
148 CONTINUE
130 CONTINUE
120 CONTINUE
CC(6) Lloyd Method:
   DO 150 I=1,M
   COP(I)=.0
   DO 160 K=1,M
   COP(I)=COP(I) +R(K)*S(I,K)
160 CONTINUE
150 CONTINUE
   Vopt=.0
   DO 170 K=1,M
   Vopt=Vopt +R(K)*COP(K)
170 CONTINUE
   DO 180 K=1,M
   COP(K)=COP(K)/Vopt
180 CONTINUE
   Vopt=1./Vopt
   CALL VLTV(COP,M,'COEF-OPT',' 6F9.4 ')
   RETURN
   END
C
SUBROUTINE VARML(A,M,TITLE,FM,
IW)
  INTEGER M,IW
  REAL A(*)
  CHARACTER*8 TITLE,FM
  CHARACTER F*48,FF(6)*8
  EQUIVALENCE (F,FF(1))
  DATA F' (1X,I5,2H) , /(8X, ) )'
  FF(3) = FM
  FF(5) = FM
  IF(IW.EQ.1) WRITE(*,805) TITLE,M,M
  IF(IW.EQ.2) WRITE(3,805) TITLE,M,M
  II = 0
  DO 10 I = 1,M
    II = II+1
    II = II+I
    IF(IW.EQ.1) WRITE(*,F) I,(A(IJ),IJ=I1,II)
    IF(IW.EQ.2) WRITE(3,F) I,(A(IJ),IJ=I1,II)
  10 CONTINUE
  800 FORMAT(/1X,2A4,4X,1H(I5,3H
*,I5,2X,20HSYMMETRICAL MATRIX ))
  805 FORMAT(/1X,A8,4X,1H(I5,3H
*,I5,2X,20HSYMMETRICAL MATRIX ))
  RETURN
  END
C
SUBROUTINE VLTV(V,N,TITLE,FM)
  INTEGER N
  REAL V(N)
  CHARACTER*8 TITLE,FM
  CHARACTER F*48,FF(6)*8
  EQUIVALENCE (F,FF(1))
  DATA F' (/1X,A8,4X,1H(I5,2H)/(1X,
))'
  FF(5) = FM
  WRITE(*,F) TITLE,N,(V(I),I=1,N)
  RETURN
  END

```