Vestibulo-Ocular Reflex Modification after Virtual Environment Exposure
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Immersion in an illusory world is possible by means of virtual reality (VR), where environmental perception is modified by artificial sensorial stimulation. The application of VR for the assessment and rehabilitation of pathologies affecting the vestibular system, in terms of both diagnosis and care, could represent an interesting new line of research. Our perception of reality is in fact based on static and dynamic spatial information perceived by our senses. During head movements in a virtual environment the images on the display and the labyrinthine information relative to the head angular accelerations differ and therefore a visuo-vestibular conflict is present. It is known that mismatches between visual and labyrinthine information may modify the vestibulo-oculomotor reflex (VOR) gain. We studied the post-immersion modifications in 20 healthy subjects (mean age 25 years) exposed to a virtual environment for 20 min by wearing a head-mounted display. VOR gain and phase were measured by means of harmonic sinusoidal stimulation in the dark before, at the end of and 30 min after VR exposure. A VOR gain reduction was observed in all subjects at the end of VR exposure which disappeared after 30 min. Our data show that exposure to a virtual environment can induce a temporary modification of the VOR gain. This finding can be employed to enable an artificial, instrumental modification of the VOR gain and therefore opens up new perspectives in the assessment and rehabilitation of vestibular diseases. Key words: gain, virtual reality, vestibular-oculomotor reflex.

INTRODUCTION

Virtual reality (VR) can be defined as a set of computer technologies which provide an interface with a three-dimensional, computer-generated world. During VR exposure relationships between the subjects and the real world are modified (1). As the subject is immersed in an artificial world by wearing a head-mounted display (HMD) normal visuo-vestibular interaction is altered (2, 3). The resultant visual-vestibular conflict may generate cybersickness, characterized by ataxia, nausea and oscillopsia (4–7).

In recent years concern has been raised about the potential effects of VR (8). Research into the effects of altered or simulated visual environments has shown that subjects adapted to a new visual environment may experience effects of postural instability when they re-enter the natural environment, as shown by post-immersion postural instability after VR exposure (9–12). In this case the effects are mild and not long-lasting. Kennedy and co-workers (13–15) suggest that it is the adaptation to the simulated environment that disrupts balance and coordination on returning to the real environment and therefore the extent of instability will depend on the amount of adaptation that has affected the vestibulo-spinal reflex (VSR). The vestibulo-ocular reflex (VOR), a low latency reflex (10–12 ms), is the other output of the vestibular system and allows the eyes to compensate for head rotation in order to maintain a stable gaze during movement. To our knowledge, no data have been reported in the literature concerning the effect of VR on the VOR. Physiological VOR gain is close to one, thus indicating that ocular movements are able to almost completely compensate for head rotation. Different stimuli can create a mismatch between the current gain setting of the VOR and that required to keep an image stabilized on the retina. This mismatch can be generated by changes in the visual stimuli scene in response to head movements, such as would occur when putting on prescription spectacles. Moreover, it can be due to the effects of age, disease or trauma on the vestibular apparatus and eye muscles. The VOR is able to make adaptive changes to its gain setting to correct for the difference and re-stabilize the image (plasticity). In fact, Demer et al. (16) demonstrated that visual feedback using telescopic spectacles can modify the VOR gain. In particular they observed a significant VOR gain increase of 7–46% after a 15 min exposure to combined visuo-vestibular stimuli. Other authors (17) demonstrated plastic reduction of VOR gain in humans induced by mirror reversal of vision during head rotation. Wearing of Dove prism reversing spectacles for many days can reduce human VOR gain by 75% (18).

During VR exposure subjects experience a mismatch between actual VOR gain and the gain demanded and therefore the sensation of an unstable visual world can arise. The aim of our study was to evaluate the occurrence of VOR gain changes after virtual environment exposure.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS
Twenty healthy volunteers (12 males, 8 females) aged between 22 and 34 years (mean ± SD 25.3 ± 2.2) were studied after giving their informed consent. All subjects underwent an ophthalmologic examination to verify that they were visually normal and their vestibular reflectivity was tested using the Fitzgerald–Hallpike method.

The subjects were exposed to a PC-generated virtual environment (VE) for 20 min by wearing a HMD. The HMD used was the i*glasses™ manufactured by Virtual i*O™. The i*glasses! consist of a head piece with two 7-in. full-color LCDs, each having a field of view of 30°. Each LCD panel has a resolution of 180,000 pixels. A tracker with a sample rate of 250 Hz is mounted on the back of the unit to monitor head position. The update rate is 60–70 Hz and the entire unit weighs 450 g. The stimulus for the experiment was a computer game called Ascent, produced by Gravity Inc. for Virtual i*O, which was selected because it is relatively easy to learn, non-violent and moderately engaging. Furthermore the game is such that each research participant received essentially the same stimulus which could be cycled continuously for the required amount of time. This rock jumping game comes bundled with the i*glasses!. The control device was a standard mouse and only the left mouse button was required while playing the game. Players start the game on a ledge overlooking a canyon with rock walls on both sides and hot lava flowing on the ground below. A path of stones is suspended in the air in front of them. The player’s task is to jump from stone to stone and “ascend” to the final stone, whereupon they automatically proceed to the next level. Players must be careful not to miss any stone or else they will fall into the hot lava. The direction of movement in the game is controlled by a tracker located at the back of the i*glasses!. A cross-hairs device is located in the center of the field-of-view. Players must move their heads to look around the virtual world and aim the cross-hairs where they want to go, thus naturally creating eye and head movements. During immersion, participants faced a black screen so that they were forced to look into the HMD rather than at the monitor. Lights in the room were dimmed to reduce glare and reflections within the HMD during immersion.

While engaged in the VR task and at the end of the exposure subjects were seated on a rotatory chair. Horizontal eye movements were recorded by bitemporal DC-coupled silver–silver chloride electrodes (impedance < 20 kΩ) connected to a Nystagliner Toennies 1996 (Toennies, Germany) electronystagmograph. The electronystagmography (ENG) signal was filtered with a 4-pole, high-pass filter with a bandwidth of 25 Hz. The signal was then digitally sampled at 200 Hz before being stored for subsequent computer analysis. ENG calibration was achieved by measuring the digitized change in ENG potential for saccades to illuminated targets from center to 15° left, and from center to 15° right. ENG recordings were obtained during a torsion test in complete darkness with the subject wearing Frenzel’s glasses. The chair performed pendular sinusoidal movements, with a frequency of 0.1 Hz. Velocity was set at 90°/s. Rise and fall time were both set at 5 s and the plateau was 65 s. The subject’s head was bent by 30°. They were instructed to look straight ahead and alertness was maintained using mental arithmetic and alphabetical listing tasks. The VOR gain, i.e. the ratio between the response amplitude (slow-phase eye velocity) and the stimulus amplitude (head rotation), was automatically calculated by Fourier analysis to avoid bias from human intervention.

The subjects underwent ENG recording before (PRE-VR), at the end (POST-VR1) and 30 min after (POST-VR2) VR exposure. One week before the test the subjects were submitted to a double ENG registration (PRE-1 and PRE-2), with a 30 min interval without VR exposure, in order to verify the intra-individual reproducibility and to exclude vestibular adaptation. During the week before the test the subjects were asked not to consume drugs, alcohol, caffeine or nicotine. All tests were carried out at almost the same clock time and under the same environmental conditions.

Statistical evaluations among groups were carried out using a non-parametric paired test (Wilcoxon test) and differences were considered significant at $p < 0.05$.

RESULTS
Mean values ± 1 SD of VOR gain, obtained under the different experimental conditions, are reported in Table I. Data obtained one week before VR exposure (PRE1 and PRE2) showed VOR gain values almost superimposable with those of normative data (19) and data observed immediately before VR exposure (PRE VR), suggesting good intra-individual reproducibility and no vestibular adaptation. Immediately after VR exposure (POST VR1), a statistically significant decrease in VOR gain ($p < 0.005$) was observed in all subjects. In two subjects we had to terminate VR exposure due to the onset of severe neurovegetative symptoms. Thirty minutes after VR exposure (POST VR2) VOR gain returned to basal values, not significantly different from those observed 1 week before and immediately before VR exposure.
The ENG recordings obtained in one subject are reported in Fig. 1. The upper tracing represents the chair movement, the middle one the angular velocity of the low phase (open and filled symbols) before VR exposure and the lower one the angular velocity of the low phase immediately after VR exposure. The numerical data on the right-hand side of the traces represent the gain as a function of stimulus frequency. The amplitude spectra of the stimulus and eye velocity are plotted in Fig. 2.

**DISCUSSION**

The brain constantly monitors the ocular motor control and shows a remarkable ability to adapt the VOR to new visual circumstances. These physiological adaptive changes of VOR gain are continuously performed by the vestibulo-cerebellum, in order to correct changes due to age, traumas, diseases of the oculomotor system or modified visual conditions (20), and a number of adaptive mechanisms with

**Table I. VOR gain before and after VR exposure**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>PRE 1</th>
<th>PRE 2</th>
<th>PRE VR</th>
<th>POST VR 1</th>
<th>POST VR 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 1.** ENG recorded before and after VR exposure.
Fig. 2. Gain calculated as a function of stimulus frequency. The amplitude spectra of the stimulus and eye velocity are plotted.

different time courses and capabilities have been identified (21).

When the subject tracks or acquires targets, the head and eyes usually move together and the VOR continuously balances the head movements in order to maintain a stable gaze. During VR exposure the computer, which monitors head movements by means of the tracker positioned on the helmet, continuously shifts the visual scene on the displays according to the recently acquired point of view. Under this condition the physiological strategy of eye–head coordination is no longer available because the new visual scene, usually obtained by complex and integrated movements of the head and eyes, is provided by the computer while the eyes are still in the middle of the orbit. Therefore, during VR exposure the function of the semicircular canals is partially substituted by the computer and the VOR, which usually drives the ocular movement in a direction opposite to the head movement, becomes an encumbrance.

This mismatch between the current gain setting of the VOR and that required to keep an image stabilized on the retina (visuo-vestibular conflict) might produce an adaptive shift in the oculomotor system, as demonstrated by the VOR gain reduction immediately after exposure to a VE in our subjects (22). This adaptation, which disrupts the eye–head coordination strategy, is the same as the VSR modification revealed by the impairment of the postural control (9, 10) observed after a similar simulated environmental exposure. Moreover this vestibular re-adaptation is clinically evident with the onset of ataxia (7), nausea and oscillopsia, all of which disappear when the VOR gain adapts to the new artificial environment.

The VOR gain modification during VR exposure is similar to that observed in patients wearing telescopic spectacles after a 15 min exposure to combined visuo-vestibular stimuli (16–18). In fact in this situation the current VOR gain also had to be modified because the relationship between the eye movements, controlled by the VOR, was no longer able to maintain stable vision during the head movements because of enlarged vision. The visual feedback reveals an incongruity between the real and imaginary scenery. This incongruity induces the vestibular system to readapt the VOR. When the subject returns to a natural environment the VOR gain quickly returns to the initial value, as observed in our data obtained 30 min after the end of VR exposure.

It may be concluded that exposure to a VE has the potential to produce not only motion sickness and postural impairment but also adaptive shifts in the central vestibular system. Moreover, an artificial modification of the gain, phase and direction of the eye movements opens new perspectives in the assessment and rehabilitation of vestibular diseases.

REFERENCES

6. Howarth PA, Costello PJ. The occurrence of virtual simulation sickness symptoms when an HMD was used as a personal viewing system. Displays 1997; 18: 107–16.
VOR gain reduction after virtual environment exposure


Address for correspondence: Stefano Di Girolamo
Clinica ORL
Policlinico Largo a. Gemelli, 8
IT-00168 Rome
Italy
Tel.: +39 06 30154858
Fax: +39 06 35501584
E-mail: sdi@unicatt.it