



The Challenge of Designing Key Performance Indicators for Academic Hospitals: Quality of Care for Patients with Ischemic Heart Disease

Ibtisam M. Jali¹, MD, Kamal W. AlGhalayini², MD, SCC Med, MSC-CARD, Wesam A. Alhejily², MD, FRCPC, Mohammed S. Abdulwahab², Mohamed Nabil AlAma², MD, FRCPC, Hind I. Fallatah³, MD, Hani A. Jawa³, MD, FRCPC, Yousef A. Qari³, MD, FRCPC, Salim M. Bazarah³, MD, FRCPC, Ahmed A. Al Johaney⁴, MD, FRCPC, Siraj O. Wali⁴, MD, FRCPC, Ayman K. Sanosi⁵, MD, Aisha A. Alshareef⁵, MD, Omar A. Ayoub⁵, MD, Abdulraheem M. Alshehri⁵, MD, Mohammed A. Almekhlafi⁵, MD, FRCPC, Shadi S. Alkhayyat⁶, MD, FRCPC, Atlal M. AbuSanad⁶, MD, FRCPC, Nisreen F. Bajnaid⁷, MD, Omar A. Fathaldin¹, MD, FRCPC, Sami M. Bahlas¹, MD, FRCPC, Amani M. Alhozali⁸, MD, Hala H. Mosli⁸, MD, FRCPC, Kholoud A. Ghamri⁸, MD, Nawal N. Binhasher⁹, MD, Fatma I. Al Beladi¹⁰, Hanadi M. Alhozali¹⁰, MD, FRCPC, Rana A. Nablawi¹⁰, MD, Mohammed A. Basheikh¹¹, MD, FRCPC, Tareef Y. Al Aama¹¹, MD, FRCPC, Faten N. Al Zaben¹², MBBS, FRCPC

Department of Medicine, Division of ¹Rheumatology, ²Cardiology, ³Gastroenterology, ⁴Respiratory, ⁵Neurology, ⁶Oncology, ⁷Infectious Diseases, ⁸Endocrinology, ⁹General Medicine, ¹⁰Nephrology, ¹¹Geriatrics, and ¹²Psychiatry, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

ABSTRACT

Background: This study assesses whether patients with ischemic heart disease receive comparable care and achieve similar outcomes compared to the rest of patients in the department of medicine at our tertiary academic center.

Methods: This retrospective study examined the level of care received by all patients who were admitted to the Internal Medical Services at King Abdulaziz University Hospital from January 2010 through December 2012. A number of potential performance indicators were evaluated to ascertain level of care, including clinical deterioration with unplanned intensive care unit transfers, in-hospital complications, in-hospital mortality, and the rate of 30-day readmission. The *t* test or Mann-Whitney U-test was used to compare means and medians, respectively. The chi-square test was used to compare categorical variables.

Results: Of 3838 patients, about a fifth of ischemic heart disease patients (19.3%) required intensive care unit transfer (P < 0.001). Patients admitted through the emergency department were the largest group to require subsequent intensive care unit transfer (65.9% of all cases). The length of stay was significantly shorter in patients admitted to the cardiac care unit, compared with those admitted to other services (3.5 [1.5] days versus 5.8 [5.5] days for patients admitted to other units; P < 0.001). Thirty-day readmission rate was significantly lower in ischemic heart disease patients (11.7%) compared with non- ischemic heart disease cases (18.5%) (P < 0.0001).

Conclusion: Although patients with ischemic heart disease had a higher risk of being mechanically ventilated or emergently transferred to the intensive care unit, they had lower readmission rates than non-ischemic heart disease patients.

Keywords

Key performance measures, Ischemic heart disease, Outcome, Readmission, Intensive care unit transfer.

Citation:

Jali IM. *et al.* The challenge of designing key performance indicators for academic hospitals: Quality of care for patients with ischemic heart disease. Saudi J Intern Med 2016; 6(2): 5-10.

Address for Correspondence:

DR. IBTISAM M JALI Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine King Abdulaziz University P.O. Box 80215, Jeddah, 21589, Saudi Arabia e-M: ijali@kau.edu.sa

Submission: 4 September 2015 - Accepted: 9 December 2015

5

INTRODUCTION

Key performance measures are increasingly being used as tools to evaluate adherence to practice guidelines in daily clinical practice, and they serve as the basis for several quality improvement initiatives^[1]. Key performance indicators (KPIs) are generally designed to address gaps in clinical care, especially where substantial variations in performance exist, and where evidence demonstrates that guideline-recommended therapies improve healthcare outcomes^[2].

In academic centers, clinicians are faced with the primary challenge of finding the appropriate balance between providing quality patient care and encouraging a conducive learning environment for medical students. It is therefore necessary to set standards in clinical settings, in the teaching profession and in medical science so that centers of excellence can be maintained. While these trends require the implementation of a quality management system in academic centers, changes in the healthcare environment, including overutilization of healthcare resources, strategic planning, and management are increasingly becoming important to these centers^[3,4].

Ischemic heart disease (IHD), or coronary artery disease (CAD), is a common cause for hospital admission and mortality worldwide. Recent reports^[5-7] in Saudi Arabia demonstrated a dramatic increase in IHD-related hospital admissions and death. Unfortunately, while quality indicators for the care of patients with IHD have been described in many centers abroad, a paucity of reports describe quality of care among IHD patients in the local region.

This study assesses whether patients with IHD receive comparable care and achieve similar outcomes compared to the rest of patients in the department of medicine of an academic hospital.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This retrospective study examined the records of all patients admitted to the Internal Medical Services at King Abdulaziz University Hospital—a tertiary community center and the main teaching hospital in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia—from January 2010 through December 2012. All patients with an admitting diagnosis of IHD who were admitted to the medical ward and coronary care unit were identified and enrolled. We excluded all cases with missing or incomplete data. Patients who had elective day care admission, including those admitted for cardiac catheterization, were also excluded from analysis.

The data were collected in three steps. Initially, we identified all admissions to medical services. Next, data were collected, both manually and electronically, from the patients' medical files and from the hospital information system. Then, admissions were categorized according to the admitting diagnosis and patients with diagnoses as acute myocardial infarction, acute coronary syndrome, ischemic heart disease, unstable angina, or subendocardial infarction were identified.

Each case was categorized according to the admitting department (emergency department, intensive care unit (ICU) or elective planned admission through the outpatient department). The time (day *vs.* night) and month of admission were obtained from the medical records. Similarly, we collected demographic (nationality, gender, and age) and clinical data, including the patients' vital signs and general condition (*e.g.*, mechanically ventilated or bedridden) upon admission. Other variables were also considered, namely the use of insulin infusion during hospitalization, administration of deep venous thrombosis prophylaxis, ICU transfer, length of stay, and development of bed sores. Data on in-hospital mortality and 30-day readmission were documented.

Statistical Analysis

The *t* test or Mann-Whitney U-test was used to compare means and medians, respectively. The chi-square (χ^2) test was used to compare categorical variables. A *p*-value < 0.05 was considered significant. Continuous variables are presented as means ± Standard Deviation (SD) or median (range), while categorical variables are presented as frequencies and percentages.

Ethical Approval

This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee at King Abdulaziz University.

RESULTS

Of 3,838 patients admitted to the internal medicine service during the study period, 452 had IHD (11.8% of all admissions). The mean SD age of the IHD cohort was 53.3 (19) years, with females comprising 48.5% of this sample (Table 1). The majority of IHD patients were non-Saudis (63.5%), similar to the proportion of non-Saudis in the non-IHD cohort (64.5%).

Patients were mainly admitted through the emergency department (68.8%) followed by day care services (9.8%) and the outpatient department (21.4%). The cardiology service admitted 20.6% of the IHD cohort. The remaining patients were admitted through different services, including the endocrinology and gastroenterology departments (17.1% and 9.3%, respectively). Diabetes was documented in 194 IHD patients (42.9%), and approximately half of IHD cases (n = 184; 43.1%) received venous thromboembolism (VTE) prophylaxis while 38 patients (8%) had long-term oral anticoagulation with warfarin or dabigatran.

About a fifth of IHD patients (19.3%) required ICU transfer as against 7.9% of non-IHD patients (P < 0.001). Patients admitted through the emergency department were the largest group to require subsequent ICU transfer (65.9% of all IHD cases). Among IHD patients, ICU transfer was significantly associated with unstable baseline blood pressure at the time of admission (n = 52; 59.8%; P = 0.001). In all the 87 ICU transfer cases, transfer was associated with tachycardia.

A significantly higher proportion of patients with IHD required mechanical ventilation during their stay in the

TABLE 1.

Comparison of demographic and clinical characteristics between patients with and without ischemic heart disease¹.

Variables	IHD Cohort	Non-IHD Cohort	P-value
	(n = 452)	(n = 3386)	
Female	219 (48.5)	1738 (51.3)	.250
Mean age (SD)	53.30 (19.0)	51.2 (19.)	.075
Non-Saudi	287 (63.5)	2183 (64.5)	.680
Bedridden on admission	53 (11.7)	399 (11.8)	.760
Mechanical ventilation required	76 (16.8)	148 (4.4)	< .0001
Unanticipated ICU transfer	87 (19.2)	269 (7.9)	< .001
In-hospital mortality	5 (1.1)	39 (1.2)	.900
30-Day readmission	53 (11.7)*	625 (18.5)	< .0001

Abbreviation: ICU, intensive care unit; IHD, ischemic heart disease; SD, standard deviation.

¹Data are presented as frequency (percent) unless otherwise specified.

* Data were available for 52 out of the 53 readmission episodes.

high observation section of the medical ward (16.8% *vs.* 4.4% for the non-IHD cohort; P < 0.0001). Bedsores were documented in 4.4% of the IHD cohort compared to 6.1% of the non-IHD cohort.

The mean SD length of hospital stay was 5.3 (4.9) days (range, 2-50 days) in IHD patients compared to 6.2 (7.6) days (range, 2-134 days) in non-IHD cases. The length of stay was significantly shorter in IHD patients who were admitted to the cardiac care unit (CCU) compared with those who were admitted to other services (3.5 (1.5) days *vs.* 5.8 (5.5) days for patients admitted to other units; P < 0.001).

In-hospital mortality rate was not different between IHD and non-IHD patients (Table 1). Thirty-day readmission rate was significantly lower in IHD patients (11.7%) compared with non-IHD cases (18.5%) (P < 0.0001). Furthermore, 30-day readmission in IHD patients was significantly associated with unstable blood pressure at the time of first admission. Of the 52 readmission episodes, 47 (90.3%; P = 0.005) occurred in those who showed unstable blood pressure during their initial admission. Similarly, all 52 reported readmission episodes were associated with baseline tachycardia at the time of admission.

DISCUSSION

Although advances in cardiovascular care have resulted in a substantial decline in mortality and morbidity associated with IHD, there is little evidence that the current best treatments and strategies for these patients are practiced. In order to determine whether patients with IHD at our institution are receiving the same quality of care as other patients admitted to internal medicine, we compared clinical target measures between IHD and non-IHD patients. Unplanned ICU transfer, mechanical ventilation, as well as 30-day readmission and in-hospital mortality rates were selected as performance measures owing to their potential responsiveness to evidence-based care.

Our findings showed that patients with IHD were more likely to be transferred to the ICU or ventilated mechanically than non-IHD patients. While it is difficult to recognize IHD patients who are at risk for ICU transfer, risk stratification in these patients is essential in order to provide optimal care^[2,8]. We identified that patients with unstable vital signs at the time of admission required ICU transfer. This could serve as an important indicator that such patients who do not meet ICU admission criteria at the time of their presentation would still require close observation in a monitored setting, such as a high observation medical bed or CCU bed, given their high risk for future deterioration that would then require ICU transfer.

Most IHD patients who were subsequently transferred to the ICU of our institution were admitted through the emergency department. Although clinical deterioration may have occurred when the patients were hospitalized on the medical ward, we cannot overlook other contributing factors such as delays in admission to the emergency department or transfer from the emergency department to the medical ward^[9,10], procedural standards and staffing issues^[11], as well as the patient's diagnosis and condition^[12,13]. It is thus important to plan specific interventions that will allow identification of the underlying mechanisms for indirect admissions to the ICU.

The most striking finding is that the mean length of hospital stay was shorter in IHD patients compared to the non-IHD group. Furthermore, IHD patients who were admitted to the CCU had significantly shorter hospital stays than those admitted to other wards. Differences in outcomes of IHD patients, especially in those with non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction, have been described following initial admission to specialized cardiac units (cardiac care units or dedicated cardiology wards) compared with non-specialized units, including general medical wards^[14]. As previously reported, admission to cardiac care units is associated with shorter length of hospital stay, improved access of care (higher angiography rates and implementation of secondary prevention prior to discharge) and outcome in patients with IHD^[15].

Interestingly, IHD patients had lower 30-day readmission rates, suggesting a potential success in the initial treatment, and consequently, better quality of care in this

group. However, we believe that because of the stochastic nature of patients' responses to management, readmissions after treatment may sometimes be unavoidable, even after optimal treatment. In addition, the risk of readmission will typically depend on specific patient characteristics, including age, severity of the patient's condition on admission, and presence of comorbidities^[16,17].

This study is limited in focus to only length of stay, ICU transfer, mechanical ventilation, 30-day readmission and in-hospital mortality rates. As a result, other relevant dimensions of patient outcome, such as functional status or quality of life could not be taken into consideration. In addition, several aspects of IHD performance measures—access to early-stage rehabilitation, invasive or non-invasive monitoring, echocardiography, proportion of patients discharged on antiplatelets or lipid lowering agents, and smoking cessation advice, *etc.*^[18] — are not discussed in this report.

CONCLUSIONS

This study is the first to address performance measures in IHD patients at our institution. Our results demonstrate that although patients with IHD had a higher risk of being mechanically ventilated or emergently transferred to the ICU, they had lower readmission rates than non-IHD patients. Whether the selected IHD performance measures discussed in this study can be improved to achieve better outcomes in our patients is debatable. Nevertheless, these can serve as quality indicators to improve the quality of care in patients with IHD in future studies.

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no conflict of interest.

Disclosure

None of the authors received any type of commercial support either in forms of compensation or financial for this study. They have no financial interest in any of the products or devices, or drugs mentioned in this article.

Ethical Approval

Obtained.

REFERENCES

- [1] Batalden PB, Davidoff F. What is "quality improvement" and how can it transform healthcare? Qual Saf Health Care. 2007; 16(1): 2-3.
- [2] Spertus JA, Eagle KA, Krumholz HM, Mitchell KR, Normand SL; American College of Cardiology; American Heart Association Task Force on Performance Measures. American College of Cardiology and American Heart Association methodology for the selection and creation of performance measures for quantifying the quality of cardiovascular care. Circulation. 2005; 111(13): 1703-1712.
- [3] Dillon PW, Paz HL. Team-based surgical care: an important role for academic health centers. JAMA Surg. 2014; 149(10): 999-1000.

- [4] Szekendi M, Prybil L, Cohen DL, Godsey B, Fardo DW, Cerese J. Governance Practices and Performance in US Academic Medical Centers. Am J Med Qual. 2014. pii: 1062860614547260.
- [5] Alhabib KF, Hersi A, Alfaleh H, Alnemer K, Alsaif S, Taraben A, Kashour T, Bakheet A, Qarni AA, Soomro T, Malik A, Ahmed WH, Abuosa AM, Butt MA, Almurayeh MA, Zaidi AA, Hussein GA, Balghith MA, Abu-Ghazala T. Baseline characteristics, management practices, and in-hospital outcomes of patients with acute coronary syndromes: Results of the Saudi Project for Assessment of Coronary Events (SPACE) Registry. J Saudi Heart Assoc. 2011; 23(4): 233-239.
- [6] Memish ZA, Jaber S, Mokdad AH, AlMazroa MA, Murray CJ, Al Rabeeah AA; Saudi Burden of Disease Collaborators. Burden of disease, injuries, and risk factors in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, 1990–2010. Prev Chronic Dis. 2014; 11: E169.
- [7] Soofi MA, Youssef MA. Prediction of 10-year risk of hard coronary events among Saudi adults based on prevalence of heart disease risk factors. J Saudi Heart Assoc. 2015; 27(3): 152-159.
- [8] Bonow RO, Douglas PS, Buxton AE, Cohen DJ, Curtis JP, Delong E, Drozda JP Jr, Ferguson TB Jr,Heidenreich PA, Hendel RC, Masoudi FA, Peterson ED, Taylor AJ. ACCF/AHA methodology for the development of quality measures for cardiovascular technology: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Performance Measures. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2011; 58(14): 1517-1538.
- [9] Perimal-Lewis L, Ben-Tovim DI, Li JY, Hakendorf PH, Thompson CH. Emergency department lengths of stay: characteristics favouring a delay to the admission decision as distinct from a delay while awaiting an inpatient bed. Intern Med J. 2014; 44(4): 384-389.
- [10] Molina JA, Seow E, Heng BH, Chong WF, Ho B. Outcomes of direct and indirect medical intensive care unit admissions from the emergency department of an acute care hospital: a retrospective cohort study. BMJ Open. 2014; 4(11): e005553.
- [11] Oglesby KJ, Durham L, Welch J, Subbe CP. 'Score to Door Time', a benchmarking tool for rapid response systems: a pilot multi-centre service evaluation. Crit Care. 2011; 15(4): R180.
- [12] Sinuff T, Kahnamoui K, Cook DJ, Luce JM, Levy MM; Values Ethics and Rationing in Critical Care Task Force. Rationing critical care beds: A systematic review. Crit Care Med. 2004; 32(7): 1588–1597.
- [13] Louriz M, Abidi K, Akkaoui M, Madani N, Chater K, Belayachi J, Dendane T, *et al.* Determinants and outcomes associated with decisions to deny or to delay intensive care unit admission in Morocco. Intensive Care Med. 2012; 38(5): 830–837.
- [14] Birkhead JS, Weston CF, Chen R. Determinants and outcomes of coronary angiography after non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. A cohort study of the Myocardial Ischaemia National Audit Project (MINAP). Heart. 2009; 95(19): 1593-1599.
- [15] Walker DM, West NE, Ray SG; British Cardiovascular Society Working Group on Acute Cardiac Care. Republished: from coronary care unit to acute cardiac care unit: the evolving role of specialist cardiac care. Postgrad Med J. 2012; 88(1042): 431-432.

- [16] Laudicella M, Li Donni P, Smith PC. Hospital readmission rates: signal of failure or success? J Health Econ. 2013; 32(5): 909-921.
- [17] Donzé J, Lipsitz S, Bates DW, Schnipper JL. Causes and patterns of readmissions in patients with common comorbidities: retrospective cohort study. BMJ. 2013; 347: f7171.
- [18] Krumholz HM, Anderson JL, Brooks NH, Fesmire FM, Lambrew CT, Landrum MB, Weaver WD, et al.; American College of Cardiology; American Heart Association Task Force on Performance Measures; Writing Committee to Develop Performance Measures on ST-Elevation and Non-ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction. ACC/AHA clinical performance measures for adults with ST-elevation and non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Performance Measures (Writing Committee to Develop Performance Measures on ST-Elevation and Non-ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction). J Am Coll Cardiol. 2006; 47(1): 236-265.

9

مؤشرات الرعاية الصحية لمرضى نقص التروية القلبية

ابتسام جلي، وكمال الغلاييني، وسام الحجيلي، ومحمد عبدالوهاب، ومحمد نبيل الأعمى، وهند فلاتة، وهاني جاوة، ويوسف قاري، وسالم بازرعة، وأحمد الجهني، وسراج ولي، ووأيمن سنوسي، وعائشة الشريف، وعمر أيوب، وعبدالرحيم الشهري، ومحمد المخلافي، وشادي الخياط، وأطلال أبوسند، وعمر فتح الدين، وسامي بحلس، ونسرين باجنيد، وفاطمة البلادي، وأماني الهذلي، وهلا موصلي، وخلود غامري، ونوال بن حشر، وهنادي الهذلي، ورنا نبلاوي، ومحمد باشيخ، وطريف الأعمى، وفاتي جاوة، ويوسف

> قسم الطب الباطني ، كلية الطب، جامعة الملك عبدالعزيز جدة - المملكة العربية السعودية

> > المستخلص.

مقدمة: تناقش هذه الدراسة مستوى الرعاية الصحية لمرضى نقص التروية القلبية بما في ذلك النتائج المتعلقة بالعلاج والرعاية الطبية، مقارنة ببقية المرضى في قسم الطب في مركزنا الأكاديمي.

الطريقة: أجريت هذه الدراسة بأثر رجعي على جميع المرضى الذين تم قبولهم للعلاج، والتنويم في مستشفى جامعة الملك عبد العزيز خلال الفترة من يناير ٢٠١٠ إلى ديسمبر ٢٠١٢، تضمنت عددا من مؤشرات الأداء المحتملة وتقييمها، بما في ذلك تردي حالة المريض السريرة والنقل الطاريء لوحدة العناية المركزة (ICU)، ومضاعفات االتنويم في المستشفى بما في ذلك حالات الوفاة، ومعدل إعادة الإدخال في المستشفى خلال ٣٠ يوما، وقد تم استخدام اختبارات احصائية مناسبة لمقارنة تلك المتغيرات.

النتائج: من ٣٨٣٨ مريضا، نحو خمس مرضى نقص التروية القلبية (٣٩,٣٪) استدعى نقلهم لوحدة العناية المركزة (P < •,••۱) كما ظهر أن المرضى الذين تم إدخالهم من خلال قسم الطوارئ هم أكثر المرضى الذين استدعى نقلهم لوحدة العناية المركزة في وقت لاحق (٦٥,٩٪ من جميع حالات نقص التروية) وكانت مدة الاقامة أقصر بكثير لدى مرضى نقص التروية الذين نقلوا الى وحدة العناية المركزة القلبية، مقارنة مع الذين أدخلو إلى عنابر أخرى، وبلغ معدل إعادة التنويم خلال ثلاثين يوما أقل من ذلك بكثير في مرضى نقص التروية مقارنة مع المرضى الأخرين.

الخلاصة: على الرغم من أن المرضى الذين يعانون من نقص التروية القلبية كانوا أكثر حاجة للتنفس الصناعي الميكانيكي أو للنقل الطاريء إلى وحدة العناية المركزة، الا ان معدلات إعادة إدخالهم إلى المستشفى كانت منخفضة.

كلمات البحث: مؤشرات الأداء، أمراض نقص تروية القلب، إعادة التنويم، تبعيات النقل لوحدة العناية المركزة.