Health Hazards of Phthalates vis-à-vis Idiopathic Male Infertility

-- Arun Kumar Jain, Rashmi Tomar, Shashi N. Kumar, N. K Mohanty, V. P Singh

Abstract: The use of plastics has increased many-folds worldwide. Phthalate compounds, which are used as plasticizers for the manufacture of plastics, leach in to the surrounding medium and pose an environmental threat to developing countries including India. Now it is established that many chemicals exhibit biological activities associated with female sex hormone oestrogen. Untimely exposure to natural or synthetic estrogens can adversely affect human health, particularly with regards to the reproductive cycle and reproductive function. In recent years, there have been a plethora of publications discussing man-made oestrogen-mimicking chemicals, the so-called xenoestrogens. Reports of declining semen quality have been followed by hypotheses that this phenomenon may be linked to an increase in the exposure of humans to xenoestrogens, specifically *in utero*. Evidence of the estrogenic behaviour of certain phthalates *in vitro* has previously been reported. These environmental oestrogens may bind with hormone receptor in the body and inhibit the activity of natural hormone or elicit hormone like effects by themselves. There may be several other mechanisms that may be responsible for reproductive abnormalities induced by these chemicals.

While several investigators have recorded the effects of phthalate compounds on reproductive parameters in experiments conducted on laboratory animals, there have been conflicting reports about effects of phthalates on human reproductive system. Thus, in view of the recent studies on the role of endocrine disrupters in reproductive dysfunction and reported declining trend of human semen quality, it is essential that a planned study be undertaken to fulfil the gaps in the database on human exposure to phthalates and correlate phthalate levels in the human body with various health hazards especially male infertility.

Key words: Plastic, Phthalate, Endocrine Disruptor, Male Infertility, xenoestrogens, sperm, testis

Plastics have been recognized as one of the greatest discovery of the millennium becoming an integral part of our life. Nobody can just think life without plastics. We start our day with a plastic tooth brush and end it by going to bed on pillows & mattresses made up of some form of plastic (foam). During the day, we use cars, computers, television, cell phones etc. with each of them having several plastic components. It is used for packaging goods, for insulating electric wires and cords, in building and construction as well as in automotive industries.

Unveiled by Alexander Parkes in 1862 at the Great International Exhibition in London, these synthetic polymers have the capability of being moulded, extruded, cast into various shapes and

Postal Address: Environmental Biology Laboratory, Institute of Pathology (ICMR) PB 4909, Safdarjung Hospital Campus, New Delhi 100029, India. Email: <u>drakjain@gmail.com</u>

meeting the wide range of needs of the society. Several types and variants of plastics have been developed, viz., bakelite, polyvinyl chloride (PVC), polyethylene, polyurethane, polyester, polypropylene, polycarbonate etc. The polymer industry in India has been growing at about 12 to 15% annually and demand for plastics is expected to touch 12.4 million tons by 2010-11, becoming third largest consumer after US and China.

The two most common plastics in the world, in terms of production volume are polyethylene and PVC. Both are used in medical devices, packaging, electrical insulation, flooring, and some auto components. Additionally about 60% of PVC production is destined for the construction industry, where it is used for everything from water pipes to siding. Most of the remaining 40 percent is used in consumer goods. PVC is the only major commercial plastic that contains up to 45 percent chlorine by weight which renders the raw PVC useless making it brittle and prone to degrade rapidly when exposed to UV light and necessitating addition of several other chemicals such as antioxidants, lubricants, pigments, flame retardants and plasticizers to the resin to achieve desirable qualities. Almost all such PVC additives are a health concern; but in terms of the quantities used, the most important additives are plasticizers.

Plasticizers (Phthalates)

Plasticizers are materials incorporated into certain plastics to increase flexibility and workability. These are not chemically bonded to the resin, so they may migrate to the surface of the material and leak (off-gas) into the surrounding environment. Off-gassing increases with mechanical stress (i.e., bending, pressure, and chewing) and exposure to solvents such as fats, oils, saliva, and temperatures over 85°F.

Almost 90% of plasticizers belong to a family of industrial compounds called phthalates (PAEs) which are used for a variety of purposes, including personal care, paints, industrial plastics, building materials, food packaging, clothing, toys, blood bags, intravenous fluid bags and infusion sets, and other medical devices (Huber et al., 1996). They are also used in detergents, solvents, lubricating oils, fixatives, adhesives, printing inks, aerosols, antifoaming agent, and coatings for paper (NTP-CERHR, 2003). The lipophilic nature of these chemicals indicates that fatty food such as cream, cheese, and butter are most likely to be subject to contamination. Very roughly, global phthalate production appears to be in the range of 5.5 million tons a year. DEHP is the most commonly used plasticizer with an annual production of about 2 to 4 million tons. Different important PAEs and their major applications are listed in Table I.

Health Hazards of Phthalates

PAEs are not chemically bound to the plastic so they can be released from consumer products into the environment thus becoming ubiquitous environmental contaminants. Over a period of time, these accumulate in body tissues, and have been shown to damage liver, lungs, and reproductive organs in lower mammals. Population studies show that virtually everyone carries some level of phthalates in their body. According to U.S. EPA scientist Robert Menzer (1991) "It has become very difficult to analyze any soil or water sample without detecting phthalate esters". Some experts argue that even supposedly pure laboratory materials may be contaminated with phthalates, making it difficult to establish baseline levels of exposure.

Some of the most common phthalates are persistent organic pollutants (POP) or POP-like compounds. In both wildlife and laboratory animals, these have been linked to a range of adverse reproductive effects, viz., reduced fertility, miscarriage, birth defects, abnormal sperm counts, and testicular damage. Some of the phthalate compounds such as BBP and DBP some food additive like butylated hydroxyanisole (BRA) are reported to be weakly estrogenic in vitro (Jobling et al., 1995; Soto et al., 1995) as well as in vivo (Sharpe et al., 1995). Experimental studies have further suggested that oestrogenic and anti-androgenic properties of xenoestrogens can cause an increase of testicular tumours, hypospadia, cryptorchidism, and reduction of sperm density. Prenatal exposure to DBP, BBzP, DEHP, and DINP has been shown to disrupt reproductive tract development in male rodents through an antiandrogenic mechanism. Mylchreest *et al.*, (1998) reported that DBP specifically impaired the androgen dependent development of the male reproductive tract suggesting that DBP may not be estrogenic but anti androgenic in rats at high dose levels.

Circumstantial evidence indicative of disruption in human reproductive processes (Chalmers *et al.*, 1984, Anonymous, 1986, Giwercman and Skakkebaek, 1992) by these environmental xenobiotics has resulted in a great deal of concern about their developmental and reproductive toxicity particularly in males. Harris *et al.* (1997) observed that some phthalates are estrogenic *in vivo* and hence may disrupt normal male development. DEHP has been recognised for many years to be reproductive toxicants (Gangolli 1982, Gray and Gangolli 1986,

Table 1: Important pthalates and their application			
Full Name	Acronym	Examples of Applications	
Di-Ethyl-Hexyl-Phthalate	DEHP	Perfumes; medical devices (transfusion tubing blood bags, gloves, catheters), flexible PVC products (food containers, shower curtains, diapers, plastic film for food packaging)	
Di-Iso-Decyl-Phthalate	DIDP	Vinyl wall coverings, food packaging & gloves	
Di-Iso-Nonyl Phthalate	DINP	Toys, vinyl flooring, gloves, food packaging, garden hoses, drinking straws, etc.	
Di-Butyl-Phthalate	DBP	Insecticides; perfumes, deodorants, nail polish, hair sprays; printing ink, electron microscopy resin	
Di-Ethyl-Phthalate	DEP	Perfumes, deodorants, hair gels, shampoos, soaps, body lotions, nail polish, hair sprays	
Butyl-Benzyl-Phthalate	BBP	Perfumes, hair sprays, vinyl flooring, glues & adhesives	
Di-Octyl-Phthalate	DOP	Flexible plastic-based products	
Di-Methyl-Phthalate	DMP	Deodorants	

Siddiqui and Srivastava 1992). However, it demonstrated no estrogenic potential in the assay used by Harris *et al.* (1997). Moreover DEHP is suspected to be an endocrine disruptor through reduction in testosterone levels and there is a controversy as to whether it is a carcinogen.

Impairment of foetal testicular testosterone production can lead to cryptorchidism, hypospadia, and reduced fertility. According to a study by the National Human Adipose Tissue Survey, 31% of 46 composite human adipose tissue specimens analysed had detectable levels of DEHP. Persons receiving medical care may be exposed to much higher concentrations of phthalates than the general population. The reproductive toxicity of DEHP is attributable to the action of its primary metabolite, mono (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (MEHP), in Sertoli and germ cells (Sjoberg et al 1986, Li et al., 1998), although disruption of Leydig cell structure and function has also been reported (Mylchreest et al., 2000, Jones et al., 1993).

Given their high production volume, common use and wide spread environmental contamination; humans are exposed to these compounds through ingestion, inhalation, and dermal exposure on daily basis. Table 2 lists some of the sources of human exposure to PAEs.

Numerous studies show that people are probably being contaminated by substantial quantities of PAEs although no 'safe' level of exposure has been suggested in humans. Hospital patients receiving intravenous infusions have been shown to be at risk of exposure to DEHP, which can directly leach out from intravenous tubes into patients' bloodstreams. Similarly neonates in Neonatal ICU environment are particularly at high risk to DEHP exposure from multiple medical devices due to their small body size, their physical condition combined with their developmental vulnerability. Various studies have also shown that children who chew on PVC toys-such as teething rings-absorb phthalates into their bodies. Young children may absorb phthalates from vinyl flooring and have been shown to have an 89% greater chance of developing bronchial obstruction and asthma than the control group. Recently, phthalates have been detected in urine from women of childbearing age, at levels that cause foetal abnormalities in laboratory animals. Male workers in PVC plants have risk of developing seminoma which is six times greater than the general population.

Several studies on low-dose effects of different phthalates have revealed even more disturbing trends. *In utero* exposure to DEHP or DBP can deform the male sex organs and cause other types of 'demasculinization' in laboratory animals at levels far below the reported levels of previous toxicological concern. Swan *et al.* (2005) found an inverse relationship between prenatal phthalate levels and anogenital distance in male infants. In another study Puerto Rican girl, aged 6 months to 2 years, showed premature breast development and the level of DEHP in their blood was observed to be seven times the normal level.

A study of 85 infant boys found a correlation between increased exposure to some forms of the chemical phthalate and smaller penis size and incomplete testicular descent. Strangely these infants didn't experience levels even close to the high doses used in rat experiments and the boys' exposures, measured by analyzing their mothers' urine during pregnancy, were similar to those

Table II: Sources of pthalate exposure on human beings			
Source of exposure	Exposed Group		
Breast Milk and Formula (Dietary)	Children		
Toys, milk bottles and teethers	Children		
Food processing & packaging (Dietary)	All		
Household Products	All		
Cosmetic products (Dermal)	Adults/?All		
Medical devices (Leaching)	All		
Dust	All		
Food	All		
Off-gassing from building materials (Inhalation)	AII		

found among the general population. Blount *et al.* (2000) suggested that health-risk assessments for phthalate exposure in humans should include diethyl, dibutyl, and benzyl butyl phthalates in addition to DEHP and DINP

The controversy

The applicability of animal toxicity studies to humans remains incompletely characterized and controversial because of limited and conflicting human studies which are suggestive of an association between phthalate exposure and health risks. Further, the presence of phthalate metabolites in human body fluids by itself does not mean that PAEs can cause disease. As early as 1970s, scientists had observed that chicken embryos died when subjected to a 0.4 percent solution of DEHP. Although this concentration may appear very high by the standards of modern toxicology, it has been reported that human blood stored in vinyl bags can reach this level in a day or two. People can get exposed to DEHP at much higher levels than previously believed and the exposure of children in terms of body weight is twice as high as that of adults (Koch *et al.*, 2004). Yet, cause-and-effect relationships in the human population remain unresolved.

Carlsen *et al.* (1992) reported a decrease in the quality of human semen in terms of progressive reduction in sperm counts and decreased fertility during the past 50 years. It is now being slowly realized that there may be some adverse effects of environmental chemicals particularly estrogenic compounds on the reproductive function of human as well as animals. Sharpe and Skakkeback (1993) have postulated that apparent drop in sperm count may be due to the developmental exposure to estrogenic xenobiotics. The endocrine disruptor hypothesis suggests that a global decrease in male reproductive capacity and increased incidence of breast cancer in women may be due to background environmental exposures to endocrine disruptors. But this hypothesis has become quite controversial, and resolution of this debate will depend upon future evaluations of human cohorts.

One school of investigators are of the opinion that environmental contamination with POP residues may be an important etiologic factor in breast cancer and reproductive toxicological disorders while the other school states that the levels of PAEs at which adverse health effects have been observed in experimental animals are unlikely to reach human body and thus correlative studies do not uniformly support a role for these chemicals in such disorders. Yet, in spite of apparently no toxicity of PAEs in adult humans in acute low doses, the toxic effects of cumulative exposure when ingested chronically (over a long period of time) cannot be ruled out.

Conclusion

Hence, it is right time that concerted multidisciplinary and multi-institutional studies should be undertaken to:

- Monitor and establish a reference range of phthalates, pesticides and other POPs in the Indian population and fully characterize the risk of these compounds to human health.
- Assess health hazards & risk of various POPs including PAEs on human reproduction.
- Determine the effects of oral exposure on pre- & postnatal development in non-rat species.
- Examine the effects of PAEs on reproductive development, structure and fertility in a multigenerational study of rodents.

• Effects in non-rodents using non-oral routes of administration.

In nutshell planned animal studies as well as monitoring and correlation of POPs with human health status is the needed urgently. With further data on the toxicology of phthalates, and the human burden of exposure, we will hopefully be able to more accurately characterize the potential for human effects from these ubiquitous environmental contaminants.

References

Anonymous (1986) Cryptorchidism: an apparent substantial increase since 1960 John Radcliffe Hospital Cryptorchidism Study Group. *Br Med J.* 293: 1401–1404

Blount BC, Silva MJ, Caudill SP, Needham LL, Prikle JL, Sampson EJ, Lucier GW, Jackson RJ, Brock JW (2000) Levels of seven urinary phthalate metabolites in a human references population. *Environ. Health Perspect* 108: 979-82.

Bulger WH, Kupfer D (1985) Estrogenic activity of pesticides and other xenobiotics on the uterus and male reproductive tract In: Thomas JA, Korach, KS McLachlan JA eds Endocrine Toxicology *Reven Press* New York, pp 1-34

Carlsen E, Giwercman A, Keiding N, Skakkebaek NE (1992) Evidence for decreasing quality of semen during past 50 years. *Br. Med. J* 305: 609-613

Chilvers, C, Pike, MC, Forman, D, Fogelman, K, Wadsworth, ME (1984) Apparent doubling of frequency of undescended testis in England and Wales in 1962-81. *Lancet*, 2: 330-332.

Gangolli SD (1982) Testicular effects of phthalate esters. *Environ Health Perspect* 45: 77-84

Giwercman A, Skakkebaek NE (1992) The human testis - an organ at risk? *Int J Androl*, 15: 373–375

Gray TJB, Gangolli SD (1986) Aspects of the testicular toxicity of phthalate esters. *Environ Health Perspect* 65: 229-

Harris CA, Henttu P, Parker MG, Sumpter JP (1997) The estrogenic activity of phthalate esters *in vitro; Environ; Health Perspect* 105: 802-811

Huber WW, Grasyk-Kraupp B, Schulte-Hermanm R (1996) Hepato-carcinogenic potential of di(2-ethyl hexyl) phthalate in rodent and its implications on human risk. *Crit Rev Toxicol* 26 : 365-381.

Jobling S, Reynolds T, White R, Parker MG, Sumpter JP (1995) A variety of environmentally persistent chemicals, including some phthalate plasticizers, are weakly estrogenic. *Environ. Health Perspect* 103: 582-587

Jones HB, Garside DA, Liu R, Roberts JC (1993) The influence of phthalate esters on Leydig cell structure and function *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Exp Mol Pathol*, 58: 179-193

Koch HM, Drexler H, Angerer J (2004) Internal exposure of nursery-school children and their parents and teachers to di (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP). *Int J Hyg Environ Health* 207: 15-22.

Li LH, Jester WF Jr, Orth JM (1998) Effects of relatively low levels of mono-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate on co cultured Sertoli cells and gonocytes from neonatal rats. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 153: 258-265

Menzer RE. (1991) Water and Soil Pollutants In: Toxicology: The Basic Science of Poisons. Fourth edition. J. Doull, C.D. Klaassen, and MO Amdur, Editors. Macmillan, New York, NY pp. 872-902.

Mylchreest E, Cattley RC, Foster PMD (1998) Male reproductive tract malformation in rats following gestational and lactational exposure to di (n-butyl) phthalate: An anti androgenic mechanism. *Toxicological Sciences* 43: 47- 60 Mylchreest E, Wallace DG, Cattley RC, Foster PMD (2000) Dose-dependent alterations in androgen-regulated male reproductive development in rats exposed to di (n-butyl) phthalate during late gestation. *Toxicol Sci* 55: 143-151

NTP-CERHR (2003) Monograph on the Potential Human Reproductive and Developmental Effects of Din-Butyl Phthalate (DBP) NTP CERHR MON Apr (4): i-III90.

Sharpe RM, Fisher JS, Millar MM, Jobling S, Sumpter JP (1995) Gestational and lactational exposure of rats to xenoestrogens results in reduced testicular size and sperm production. *Environ. Health Perspect* 103: 1136-1143

Sharpe RM, Skakkebaek NE (1993) Are oestrogens involved in falling sperm counts and disorders of the male reproductive tract? *Lancet* 341: 1392-1395

Siddiqui A, Srivastava SP (1992) Effect of di (2ethylhexyl) phthalate administration on rat sperm count and on sperm metabolic enzymes. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 48: 115-119

Sjoberg P, Bondesson U, Gray TJ, Ploen L (1986) Effects of di (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate and five of its metabolites on rat testis in vivo and in vitro. *Acta Pharmacol Toxicol* (*Copenb*). 58: 225-233

Soto AM, Sonnenschein C, Chung KL, Fernandez MF, Olea N, Serrano FO (1995) The E-screen assay as a tool to identify estrogens: an update on estrogenic environmental pollutants. *Environ. Health Perspect* 103(suppl 7):113-122

Swan SH, Main KM, Liu F, Stewart SL, Kruse RL, *et al.* (2005) Decrease in anogenital distance among male infants with prenatal phthalate exposure. *Environ Health Perspect.*113: 1056-61.