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Abstract—This article is an extension and a practical application approach of Wheeler’s NEBIC theory (Net Enabled Business Innovation Cycle). NEBIC theory is a new approach in IS research and can be used for dynamic environment related to new technology. Firms can follow the market changes rapidly with support of the IT resources. Flexible firms adapt their market strategies, and respond more quickly to customers changing behaviors. When every leading firm in an industry has access to the same IT resources, the way that these IT resources are managed will determine the competitive advantages or disadvantages of firm. From Dynamic Capabilities Perspective and from newly introduced NEBIC theory by Wheeler, we know that only IT resources cannot deliver customer value but good configuration of those resources can guarantee customer value by choosing the right emerging technology, grasping the economic opportunities through business innovation and growth. We found evidences in literature that SOA (Service Oriented Architecture) is a promising emerging technology which can deliver the desired economic opportunity through modularity, flexibility and loose-coupling. SOA can also help firms to connect in network which can open a new window of opportunity to collaborate in innovation and right kind of outsourcing.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In this high velocity [1] and hyper competitive [2] business environment, inter-organization collaboration is becoming a tool of survival. Inter-organization networks provide opportunities to exploit complementary resources that reside beyond the boundary of the firm [3]. Everything the business does, need collaboration. So what is collaboration in business? It can be said as passing information back and forth between entities. The entities can be organizations or departments. So we have inter and intra organizational collaboration in business. In this article, first, we are addressing the issues relating to the importance of inter and intra organizational collaboration. Our discussion will also highlight the importance of SOA and outsourcing as a tool to achieve competitive advantage. Second, we discuss briefly about absorptive capacity and here in our discussion, we provide sufficient academic references linking SOA and outsourcing to innovation. In this two part of our discussion, we are trying to convince that the cluster of SOA and outsourcing and the cluster of RBV, Dynamic Capabilities and Absorptive capacity are some how inter-linked. In the last part of this article, we are mapping SOA and outsourcing on newly introduced Wheeler’s NEBIC theory which is followed by the contribution, future research direction and conclusion.

II. SOA: A SOLUTION FOR THE FLEXIBLE FIRMS

Before the internet era, the techniques used for collaboration, were mostly defined by the technology that was available at that time. Business used postal mails, telegrams, telephones, faxes etc for this purpose. Afterwards, ERP came to the scene and solved most of the intra collaboration needs of an organization. Soon organizations realized that, an ERP doesn’t address everything that an organization needs. So the organizations bought CRM (Customer Relationship Management), PLM (Product Lifecycle Management) etc. and sometimes an extra ERP (Enterprise Resource Planning) altogether. But above mentioned software are not compatible among each other. The reasons can be many things, no viable technology, lack of standards etc.

Under dynamic business environment, the effective renewal of products/services and how they are delivered are critical capabilities for many high-technology industries [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9]. But it was becoming more and more complex to integrate, with each purchase of new software or acquisition of new companies. This introduced the spaghetti in to the organization’s IT, trying to integrate all these multiple software.

Intra organization collaboration is already in a mess and now what about the inter organization collaboration? Software industry made some headway in to these uncharted waters, so we can see the emergence of CORBA (Common Object Requesting Broker Architecture), DCOM (Distributed Component Object Model) etc. But it still proved to be challenging, if not impossible, to collaborate with the business partners. The software ecosystem of one organization is completely different from the software ecosystem of its partner. The internet showed that, when there is a standard set
III. SOA AND OUTSOURCING: THE DYNAMIC CAPABILITY

Teece et al. [10] introduced the notion of dynamic capabilities through which managers integrate, build, and reconfigure internal and external competencies to address changing environment. Due to the emergence of SOA, organizations are enjoying flexibility and inter-operability to achieve the above mentioned goals. In fact, Dynamic Capability perspective is an extension of RBV (Resource Based View). In highly dynamic business environment, RBV has some limitations and due to this the original proposition of the RBV has been challenged as static and neglecting the influence of market dynamism [11], [12], [13]. Dynamic capabilities are organizational routines deployed to alter a resource base by acquiring, creating, shedding, integrating and recombining existing resources to generate new value creating strategies [14]. The new value or the real value is coming from the resource configuration but not from the resources itself.

Competitive advantage cannot be achieved through the manipulation of resources available with in the boundary of a single firm but rather from with in a network of heterogeneous firms. So, we can say that competitive advantage can be achieved through SOA and outsourcing because both expands the boundary of the firm and also enhance inter-organization collaboration. Research is beginning to illustrate how relatively organizational forms such as “network” are being deployed to access new technologies and new business opportunities [15], [16].

IV. ABSORPTIVE CAPACITY PERSPECTIVE: A DYNAMIC CAPABILITY

Organizations develop their capabilities not only through internal learning but also through the absorption of knowledge from external sources such as competitors, trade associations, suppliers, customers, and formal and informal meetings [17], [18].

In this context, it will not be irrelevant to say that from outsourcing or from service providers, service consumers have the opportunity to enhance their internal knowledge capability.

External sources of knowledge are critical to innovation. [19], [20], [21], [22]. March and Simon [23] have suggested that “borrowing” is the catalyst for innovation, not “invention.”

Here again we can see a positive co-relation between outsourcing and innovation. A service consumer firm is able to absorb information or knowledge from the service provider firm. The service provider firm definitely has some advantage in technology, economy of scale or in time scale. This “borrowing” frequently forms the basis for the development of capabilities which evolve over time as new knowledge is learned and integrated into any organization [10].

Organizations have an ‘absorptive capacity’ which is the ability of an organization to evaluate and assimilate external knowledge and is a function of the level of a firm’s prior related knowledge [24]. Absorptive capacity enables a firm to recognize valuable new information, assimilate it, and apply it to the development and refinement of dynamic capabilities. Interfacing with the external environment is critical to an organization’s dynamic capabilities. The structure of communication between the external environment and the organization enhances the learning capacity of individual firms. Some recent work develops the absorptive capacity construct as a change oriented dynamic capability [25].

V. NEBIC, SOA AND OUTSOURCING

As Barney’s RBV has been extended by Teece et al. as the notion of Dynamic Capabilities to address the highly dynamic nature of business environment, in the same way Wheeler has introduced NEBIC as an extension of Dynamic Capabilities to make it more specific for IS research. NEBIC is an ideal theory for IS research because it is addressing the issue of tacit resources and capabilities, such as Emerging Technology (ET), Economic Opportunity (EO), Business Innovation (BI) and Customer Value (CV).

According to Wheeler [26] net-enablement is a dynamic capability because net enabled organizations continually reconfigure their internal and external resources to employ digital networks to exploit business opportunities. Net enabled organizations exemplify the characteristics of dynamic capabilities as they engage routines, prior and emergent knowledge, analytic processes, and simple rules to turn IT into customer value [27], [28]. Wheeler’s NEBIC theory is a promising perspective on how organizations can benefit from digitally induced transformations [25].

A. Choosing Emerging Technology

"Things should be made as simple as possible, but no simpler." -- Albert Einstein

NEBIC theory is opening a new window in IS research. This field is very dynamic and hyper competitive due to the rapid and frequent change in technology. First of the four theorized construct proposed by wheeler is the Emerging technology. Choosing emerging information technology is a very difficult task because it remains unclear when or will this chosen technology ever become a pervasive enabling technology. Despite this risk, ET construct is represented on Fig. 1 as low on the potential value vertical axis. Because only choosing the right ET, is not a guarantee of producing customer value. Customer value is the outcome of the good configuration of those four constructs.

We consider SOA as an emerging technology which has many characteristics (loose coupling, reusability, coarse granularity) to contribute in achieving customer value. SOA directly supports organizations in achieving agility, flexibility and fast adaptation to change. Enterprise architects believe that SOA can help businesses respond more quickly and cost-
effectively to changing market conditions [29]. In 21st century, business became highly competitive and the market is very volatile. Organizations should adopt technologies which can neutralize the effect of unstable market and can absorb the undesirable shocks. SOA architecture is capable to support organizations in uncertain atmosphere [30].

B. Matching Economic Opportunity

Now the question remains, if we choose SOA as emerging technology then how SOA can drive firms towards economic opportunity? Due to the standardization [XML (Extensible Markup Language), WSDL (Web Service Description Language), SOAP (Simple Object Access Protocol), UDDI (Universal Description Discovery and Integration)] in software programming, SOA enhances inter-organization collaboration. This increased inter-organization collaboration can create new opportunities in outsourcing. As SOA enhances interoperability, applications can be integrated among the organizations. Outsourcing of the entire processes may not be necessary any more. New mode of outsourcing can emerge, such as out-tasking, custom-built outsourcing and ready-built services. SOA could open the opportunity to outsource functionality to a group of service providers which we can call a ‘marketplace’ [31]. “Since information systems support processes, standardization allows uniform information systems within companies as well as standard systems interfaces among different firms. Standard processes also allow easier outsourcing of process capabilities” [32].

“Problems from outsourcing can partially be avoided by Information System that is based on SOA. Due to the use of accepted standards, the technical dependence can be reduced, and by keeping the control of the process, the essential competences are kept in the company” [31].

C. Executing Business Innovation for Growth

Outsourcing or off-shore outsourcing is simply a natural evolution in modern business process. In fact this was already in practice since centuries in different parts of the world probably in different form and bearing different name. Since last fifty years, it was more pervasive in manufacturing sector but now service sector is also competing equally due to new business practices, enabled by advances in telecommunications and information technology, organizations are heavily committed in outsourcing to achieve competitive advantage. When automation emerged in business process in 60s, there were also concerns about the rising unemployment and loosing competencies etc. But this didn’t happen. We learnt to cope up with new developments. This view is also expressed by Feenstra [33] and Jaffee [34] by asserting that off-shoring like automation in the 1960s, is simply another innovative way to efficiently reallocate existing factors or production.

Deloitte surveyed 300 business and IT executives involved in outsourcing deals, 70% said they were satisfied with their relationships, and 83% said outsourcing projects had met their return-on-investment goals, with an ROI averaging just above 25%. But only one in three executives surveyed said they had gained important benefits from innovative ideas or transformation of their operations [35]. We should be optimistic here because 83% projects benefited average ROI of 25% and one third of them benefited from important innovative ideas. If service consumer organizations give more importance to innovation during their negotiations with service providers, it is reasonable to expect many more organizations benefiting from important innovative ideas and processes.

Off-shore service providers are not only specialized in low cost delivery of lower value jobs. They can be a partner in innovation, R&D and knowledge based job. Another emerging business practice of particular importance is off-shoring of knowledge work such as research and development. Examples include numerical analysis studies and software maintenance and development [36]. Firms are also off-shoring high technology research work [37], [38], [39]. Intel added to its engineering workforce by employing 600 engineers to its research and development operation in Russia [40]. A worldwide survey of 104 senior executives from a range of technology driven industries conducted by the Economist Intelligence Unit found that 70% of the respondents firms now employ R&D talent abroad and 52% plan to increase their investments in off-shore research in the next 3 years [41]. This trend is expected to continue [42]. Off-shoring R&D units can allow access to local knowledge not readily available at home, and can enable learning about complementary technologies [43], [44], [45], [46], [47]. Firms increasingly decide to locate their innovation effort wherever they believe the most propitious environment exists [48].

This is the conclusion after conducting a case study analysis: “With increasingly intensified competition in global market, outsourcing strategy has attracted more and more attention in recent years. However outsourcing does not merely rest on the relationship between outsourcer and supplier but tends to form a huge innovation network through the connection with the third and fourth party” [49]. We found further evidences in literature which supports our view that outsourcing can have a positive impact on innovation. Firms typically off-shore non-core activities, providing more time for higher value-added activities such as innovation [50], [51], [52]. Specialist suppliers to which the work is outsourced can find solutions that fragmented internal sources could never imagine – and they can implement those solutions rapidly without disruptive internal politics [53]. Outsourcing has emerged as one of the most important strategies to achieve innovation. Rather than doing everything by oneself, an organization can hire experts and specialists, and get the job done more efficiently and effectively. By outsourcing, the partner's resources when combined with internal capabilities can result in tremendous benefits [54].

D. Assessing Customer Value

“It is not the strongest among the species that survive nor is it the most intelligent. It's those that are most adaptive to change.” Charles Darwin

The ultimate goal of any business is profit. In long term strategy, this is only possible if firms can create customer value. From Dynamic Capabilities Perspective and from NEBIC theory we know that customer value can be achieved
if organizations appropriately adapt emerging technology, integrate and reconfigure it with economic opportunities, use internal and external organizational skills, resources and functional competences to generate business innovation for growth and to match the requirements of a changing environment [55].

This is the last construct proposed by Wheeler, where we have to assess if this entire process (last three construct) is able to offer customer value. Company level assessments note that off-shoring is a complex and often risky endeavor, but contend that, if done right, can have definite benefits for the firm [56], [57], [58], [50], [53]. Off-shoring can offer lower prices for customers, and the creation of the new business opportunities for existing firms and new entry [56], [59]. Existing macroeconomics studies suggest that off-shoring information technology and services is beneficial to the firm as well as the home nation [60], [61], [36].

VI. IMPLICATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTION

We believe in this conceptual research, enough references have been used to validate our view. This paper has uncovered fertile ground for a new debate on this issue and will encourage future empirical research. The NEBIC theory is very promising and can be an excellent tools for IS research but unfortunately, till to date we didn’t found any empirical research where this theory has been operationalized. For the current study, we relied on academic references but empirical research can establish our view firmly. We all know that outsourcing now became pervasive and all the forecasting research can establish our view firmly. We all know that outsourcing can lead to a sustainable growth and firms will be able to contribute to take right decision about outsourcing. It means that the decision making process in outsourcing will be less painstaking and as a result it will significantly improve the success rate in outsourcing. The most benefit, however, might arise, due to the use of accepted standards, the technical dependence can be reduced, and by keeping the control of the process, the essential competences are kept in the company.

Combining SOA and outsourcing and a good configuration of this two can offer firms in one hand, enhanced innovation capability and on the other hand, competitive advantage. This can lead to a sustainable growth and firms will be able to deliver customer value.

VII. CONCLUSION

From the above discussions and references mentioned, we can conclude that SOA is a viable technology to standardize IT architecture among organizations. This standardization can make organization agile, flexible and can enhance the ability to execute innovations. Fast competitive moves are an essential business capability to compete effectively in the current business environment. Research indicates that more agile firms outperform less agile firms [62]. Standardization and interoperability among firms can contribute to take right decision about outsourcing. It means that the decision making process in outsourcing will be less painstaking and as a result it will significantly improve the success rate in outsourcing. The most benefit, however, might arise, due to the use of accepted standards, the technical dependence can be reduced, and by keeping the control of the process, the essential competences are kept in the company.

Combining SOA and outsourcing and a good configuration of this two can offer firms in one hand, enhanced innovation capability and on the other hand, competitive advantage. This can lead to a sustainable growth and firms will be able to deliver customer value.
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