

# Classification of special Anosov endomorphisms of infra-nil-manifolds

Seyed Mohsen Moosavi and Khosro Tajbakhsh

ABSTRACT. In this paper we give a classification of special endomorphisms of infra-nil-manifolds : Let  $f : N/\Gamma \rightarrow N/\Gamma$  be a covering map of an infra-nil-manifold and denote by  $A : N/\Gamma \rightarrow N/\Gamma$  the infra-nil-endomorphism which is homotopic to  $f$ . If  $f$  is a special  $TA$ -map, then  $A$  is a hyperbolic infra-nil-endomorphism and  $f$  is topologically conjugate to  $A$ .

## 1. Introduction

Finding a universal model for Anosov diffeomorphisms has been an important problem in dynamical systems. In this general context, Franks and Manning proved that every Anosov diffeomorphism of an infra-nil-manifold is topologically conjugate to a hyperbolic infra-nil-automorphism [4, 5, 9, 10]. Based on this result, Aoki and Hiraide has been studied the dynamics of covering maps of a torus [2]. The importance of infra-nil-manifolds comes from the following Conjecture 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 :

The first non-toral example of an Anosov diffeomorphism was constructed by S. Smale in [13]. He conjectured that, up to topologically conjugacy, the construction in Smale's example gives every possible Anosov diffeomorphism on a closed manifold.

CONJECTURE 1.1. *Every Anosov diffeomorphism of a closed manifold is topologically conjugate to a hyperbolic affine infra-nil-automorphism.*

THEOREM 1.2 (Gromov [6]). *Every expanding map on a closed manifold is topologically conjugate to an expanding affine infra-nil-endomorphism.*

The conjecture has been open for many years (see [3] page 48). An interesting problem is to consider the conjecture for endomorphisms of a closed manifold. Our main theorem is a partial answer to the conjecture.

In this paper we give a classification of special endomorphisms of infra-nil-manifolds. Infact, Aoki and Hiraide [2] in 1994 proposed two problems:

PROBLEM 1.3. Is every special Anosov differentiable map of a torus topologically conjugate to a hyperbolic toral endomorphism?

PROBLEM 1.4. Is every special topological Anosov covering map of an arbitrary closed topological manifold topologically conjugate to a hyperbolic infra-nil- endomorphism of an infra-nil-manifold ?

Aoki and Hiraide answered problem 1.3 partially as follows:

THEOREM 1.5 ([2] Theorem 6.8.1). *Let  $f : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$  be a  $TA$ -covering map of an  $n$ -torus and denote by  $A : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$  the toral endomorphism homotopic to  $f$ . Then  $A$  is hyperbolic. Furthermore the inverse limit system of  $(\mathbb{T}^n, f)$  is topologically conjugate to the inverse limit system of  $(\mathbb{T}^n, A)$ .*

THEOREM 1.6 ([2] Theorem 6.8.2). *Let  $f$  and  $A$  be as Theorem 1.5. Suppose  $f$  is special, then the following statements hold :*

- (1) *if  $f$  is a  $TA$ -homeomorphism, then  $A$  is a hyperbolic toral automorphism and  $f$  is topologically conjugate to  $A$ ,*
- (2) *if  $f$  is a topological expanding map, then  $A$  is an expanding toral endomorphism and  $f$  is topologically conjugate to  $A$ ,*
- (3) *if  $f$  is a strongly special  $TA$ -map, then  $A$  is a hyperbolic toral endomorphism and  $f$  is topologically conjugate to  $A$ .*

In [14], Sumi has altered the condition "strongly special" (part (3) of Theorem 1.6) to just "special" as follows:

THEOREM 1.7 ([14]). *Let  $f$  and  $A$  be as Theorem 1.5. If  $f$  is a special  $TA$ -map, then  $A$  is a hyperbolic toral endomorphism and  $f$  is topologically conjugate to  $A$ .*

In [15], Sumi generalized Theorem 1.5 and parts (1) and (2) of Theorem 1.6 for infra-nil-manifolds as follows:

THEOREM 1.8 ([15] Theorem 1). *Let  $f : N/\Gamma \rightarrow N/\Gamma$  be a covering map of an infra-nil-manifold and denote as  $A : N/\Gamma \rightarrow N/\Gamma$  the infra-nil-endomorphism homotopic to  $f$ . If  $f$  is a  $TA$ -map, then  $A$  is hyperbolic and the inverse limit system of  $(N/\Gamma, f)$  is topologically conjugate to the inverse limit system of  $(N/\Gamma, A)$  .*

THEOREM 1.9 ([15] Theorem 2). *Let  $f$  and  $A$  be as in Theorem 1.8. Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) *if  $f$  is a  $TA$ -homeomorphism, then  $A$  is a hyperbolic infra-nil-automorphism and  $f$  is topologically conjugate to  $A$ ,*
- (2) *if  $f$  is a topological expanding map, then  $A$  is an expanding infra-nil-endomorphism and  $f$  is topologically conjugate to  $A$ .*

In the paper, by using Theorem 1.7, we partially answer problem 1.4 of Aoki and Hiraide as follows:

**THEOREM 1.10 (Main Theorem).** *Let  $f : N/\Gamma \rightarrow N/\Gamma$  be a covering map of an infra-nil-manifold and denote as  $A : N/\Gamma \rightarrow N/\Gamma$  the infra-nil-endomorphism homotopic to  $f$ . If  $f$  is a special  $TA$ -map, then  $A$  is a hyperbolic infra-nil-endomorphism and  $f$  is topologically conjugate to  $A$ .*

**COROLLARY 1.11.** *If  $f : N/\Gamma \rightarrow N/\Gamma$  is a special Anosov endomorphism of an infra-nil-manifold then it is conjugate to a hyperbolic infra-nil-endomorphism.*

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be compact metric spaces and let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow Y$  be continuous surjections. Then  $f$  is said to be topologically conjugate to  $g$  if there exists a homeomorphism  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $f \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ g$ .

Let  $X$  be a compact metric space with metric  $d$ . For  $f : X \rightarrow X$  a continuous surjection, we let

$$\begin{aligned} X_f &= \{\tilde{x} = (x_i) : x_i \in X \text{ and } f(x_i) = x_{i+1}, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \\ \sigma_f((x_i)) &= (f(x_i)). \end{aligned}$$

The map  $\sigma_f : X_f \rightarrow X_f$  is called the *shift map* determined by  $f$ . We call  $(X_f, \sigma_f)$  the *inverse limit* of  $(X, f)$ . A homeomorphism  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is called *expansive* if there is a constant  $e > 0$  (called an *expansive constant*) such that if  $x$  and  $y$  are any two distinct points of  $X$  then  $d(f^i(x), f^i(y)) > e$  for some integer  $i$ . A continuous surjection  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is called *c-expansive* if there is a constant  $e > 0$  such that for  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in X_f$  if  $d(x_i, y_i) \leq e$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  then  $\tilde{x} = \tilde{y}$ . In particular, if there is a constant  $e > 0$  such that for  $x, y \in X$  if  $d(f^i(x), f^i(y)) \leq e$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  then  $x = y$ , we say that  $f$  is *positively expansive*. A sequence of points  $\{x_i : a < i < b\}$  of  $X$  is called a  $\delta$ -pseudo orbit of  $f$  if  $d(f(x_i), x_{i+1}) < \delta$  for  $i \in (a, b-1)$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$  a  $\delta$ -pseudo orbit of  $\{x_i\}$  is called to be  $\epsilon$ -traced by a point  $x \in X$  if  $d(f^i(x), x_i) < \epsilon$  for every  $i \in (a, b-1)$ . Here the symbols  $a$  and  $b$  are taken as  $-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty$  if  $f$  is bijective and as  $-1 \leq a < b \leq \infty$  if  $f$  is not bijective.  $f$  has the *pseudo orbit tracing property* (abbrev. POTP) if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta > 0$  such that every  $\delta$ -pseudo orbit of  $f$  can be  $\epsilon$ -traced by some point of  $X$ . We say that a homeomorphism  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is a topological Anosov map (abbrev.  $TA$ -map) if  $f$  is expansive and has POTP. Analogously, We say that a continuous surjection  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is a topological Anosov map if  $f$  is  $c$ -expansive and has POTP, and say that  $f$  is a topological expanding map if  $f$  is positively expansive and open. We can check that every topological expanding map is a

$TA$ -map (see [2] Remark 2.3.10).

A *Lie group* is a smooth manifold obeying the group properties and that satisfies the additional condition that the group operations are differentiable. Let  $N$  be a Lie group. A vector field  $X$  on  $N$  is said to be *invariant under left translations* if for each  $g, h \in N$ ,  $(dl_g)_h(X_h) = X_{gh}$ , where  $(dl_g)_h : T_h N \rightarrow T_{gh} N$  and  $l_g : N \rightarrow N; x \mapsto gx$ . A *Lie algebra*  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a vector space over some field  $F$  together with a binary operation  $[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  called the *Lie bracket*, that satisfies:

- (1) Bilinearity:  $[ax+by, z] = a[x, z] + b[y, z]$ ,  $[z, ax+by] = a[z, x] + b[z, y] \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,
- (2) Alternativity:  $[x, x] = 0 \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,
- (3) The Jacobi Identity:  $[x, [y, z]] + [z, [x, y]] + [y, [z, x]] = 0 \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$

Let  $Lie(N)$  be the set of all left-translation-invariant vector fields on  $N$ . It is a real vector space. Moreover, it is closed under Lie bracket. Thus  $Lie(N)$  is a Lie subalgebra of the Lie algebra of all vector fields on  $N$  and is called the *Lie algebra* of  $N$ . A *nilpotent* Lie group is a Lie group which is connected and whose Lie algebra is a nilpotent Lie algebra. That is, its Lie algebra's central series eventually vanishes.

A group  $G$  is a *torsion group* if every element in  $G$  is of finite order.  $G$  is called *torsion free* if no element other than identity is of finite order. A *discrete* subgroup of a topological group  $G$  is a subgroup  $H$  such that there is an open cover of  $H$  in which every open subset contains exactly one element of  $H$ . In other words, the subspace topology of  $H$  in  $G$  is the discrete topology. A *uniform* subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  is a closed subgroup such that the quotient space  $G/H$  is compact.

Let  $N$  be a Lie group and  $Aut(N)$  be the set of all automorphisms of  $N$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be a uniform discrete subgroup of  $N$  such that for every  $\bar{A} \in Aut(N)$ ,  $\bar{A}(\Gamma) = \Gamma$ . Then  $N/\Gamma$  is a closed manifold and  $\bar{A}$  induces a diffeomorphism  $A : N/\Gamma \rightarrow N/\Gamma$ ,  $g\Gamma \mapsto \bar{A}(g)\Gamma$  for  $g \in N$ . If we want this diffeomorphism to be Anosov,  $\bar{A}$  must be hyperbolic, i.e. the derivative  $D_{e_N} \bar{A}$  at the identity  $e_N$  of  $N$  has no eigenvalues of modulus 1. It is known that this can happen only when  $N$  is nilpotent. So, if  $N$  is nilpotent then  $N/\Gamma$  is called a *nil-manifold*. Such a diffeomorphism  $\bar{A}$  is called a *nil-automorphism* and is said to be *hyperbolic nil-automorphism* when  $\bar{A}$  is hyperbolic.

Let  $X$  be a topological space and let  $G$  be a group. We say that  $G$  *acts* (continuously) on  $X$  if to  $(g, x) \in G \times X$  there corresponds a point  $g \cdot x$  in  $X$  and the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1)  $e \cdot x = x$  for  $x \in X$  where  $e$  is the identity,
- (2)  $g \cdot (g' \cdot x) = gg' \cdot x$  for  $x \in X$  and  $g, g' \in G$ ,
- (3) for each  $g \in G$  a map  $x \mapsto g \cdot x$  is a homeomorphism of  $X$ .

When  $G$  acts on  $X$ , for  $x, y \in X$  letting

$$x \sim y \Leftrightarrow y = g \cdot x \text{ for some } g \in G$$

an equivalence relation  $\sim$  in  $X$  is defined. Then the identifying space  $X/\sim$ , denoted as  $X/G$ , is called the orbit space by  $G$  of  $X$ . It follows that for  $x \in X$ ,  $[x] = \{g \cdot x : g \in G\}$  is the equivalence class.

An action of  $G$  on  $X$  is said to be *properly discontinuous* if for each  $x \in X$  there exists a neighborhood  $U(x)$  of  $x$  such that  $U(x) \cap gU(x) = \emptyset$  for all  $g \in G$  with  $g \neq e_G$ . Here  $gU(x) = \{g \cdot y : y \in U(x)\}$ .

Let  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  be a covering map. A homeomorphism  $\alpha : Y \rightarrow Y$  is called a *covering transformation* for  $\pi$  if  $\pi \circ \alpha = \pi$  holds. We denote as  $G(\pi)$  the set of all covering transformations for  $\pi$ . It is easy to see that  $G(\pi)$  is a group, which is called the *covering transformation group* for  $\pi$ .

Now we give an extended definition of nil-manifolds. Let  $N$  be a connected simply connected nilpotent Lie group and  $C$  be a compact subgroup of  $Aut(N)$ . Consider the semidirect product  $G = N \rtimes C$ . An element of  $G$  is of the form  $(x, \alpha)$  where  $x$  is the *translational part* and  $\alpha$  is the *linear part*.  $G$  by multiplication  $(x_1, \alpha_1)(x_2, \alpha_2) = (x_1\alpha_1(x_2), \alpha_1 \circ \alpha_2)$  is a group which acts on  $N$  by  $(x, \alpha) \cdot y = x\alpha(y)$ , for  $x, y \in N, \alpha \in C$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be a uniform discrete torsion free subgroup of  $G$  such that  $\Gamma \cap G$ , the subgroup of all pure translations, is isomorphic to a uniform subgroup of  $N$ , which denoted again by  $\Gamma \cap G$ , with finite index  $[\Gamma, \Gamma \cap G]$ . Note that  $N/\Gamma \cap G$  is a nil-manifold.  $\Gamma$  acts on  $N$  by  $\gamma \cdot x := \gamma(x) = (y, \alpha) \cdot x = y\alpha(x)$ , for all  $\gamma = (y, \alpha) \in \Gamma$  where  $x, y \in N, \alpha \in C$ . The quotient space of  $N$  under the action of  $\Gamma$ ,  $N/\Gamma$ , is called an *infra-nil-manifold*. Since  $\Gamma$  is discrete, it acts properly discontinuously on  $N$  (see [3]). When  $C = \{id_{Aut(N)}\}$  then the subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $G$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $N$  denoted again by  $\Gamma$  and  $N/\Gamma$  is a nil-manifold (see [13]). Let  $\bar{A} : N \rightarrow N$  be an automorphism of  $N$  such that by conjugating  $\Gamma$  by  $\bar{A}$  in  $Diff(N)$ ,  $\bar{A} \circ \Gamma \circ \bar{A}^{-1} \subset \Gamma$ . Then  $\bar{A}$  projects to a linear covering map  $A$  of  $N/\Gamma$ . The map  $A$  is called an *infra-nil-endomorphism*. If the derivative  $D_e \bar{A}$  at the identity  $e$  of  $N$  has no eigenvalues of modulus 1, we say  $A$  is *hyperbolic*. If  $A$  is hyperbolic, then  $A$  is a *TA-covering map* (See [2], Theorem 1.2.1).

**THEOREM 2.1** ([2] Theorem 6.3.4). *If  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  is the universal covering, then for each  $b \in Y$*

- (1) *the map  $\alpha \mapsto \alpha(b)$  is a bijection from  $G(\pi)$  onto  $\pi^{-1}(\pi(b))$ ,*
- (2) *the map  $\psi_b : G(\pi) \rightarrow \pi_1(X, \pi(b))$  by  $\alpha \mapsto [\pi \circ u_{\alpha(b)}]$  is an isomorphism where  $u_{\alpha(b)}$  is a path from  $b$  to  $\alpha(b)$ .*

*Furthermore, the action of  $G(\pi)$  on  $Y$  is properly discontinuous and  $Y/G(\pi)$  is homeomorphic to  $X$ .*

**THEOREM 2.2** ([2] Theorem 6.3.7). *Let  $G$  be a group and  $X$  a topological space. Suppose that  $G$  acts on  $X$  and the action is properly discontinuous. Then*

- (1) *the natural projection  $\pi : X \rightarrow X/G$  is a covering map,*
- (2) *if  $X$  is simply connected, then the fundamental group  $\pi_1(X/G)$  is isomorphic to  $G$ .*

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *Let  $N/\Gamma$  be an infra-nil-manifold and  $\pi : N \rightarrow N/\Gamma$  be the natural projection. Then*

$$\Gamma \cong \pi_1(N/\Gamma) \cong G(\pi).$$

**PROOF.** Since  $\Gamma$  acts on  $N$  properly discontinuous, the natural projection  $\pi : N \rightarrow N/\Gamma$  is a covering map. Since  $N$  is simply connected, by Theorem 2.2 we have  $\Gamma \cong \pi_1(N/\Gamma)$ .

On the other hand, since  $N$  is simply connected and  $\Gamma$  acts on  $N$  properly discontinuous the natural projection  $\pi : N \rightarrow N/\Gamma$  is the universal covering map. So by Theorem 2.1 we have  $\Gamma \cong G(\pi)$ .  $\square$

Let  $M$  be a closed smooth manifold and let  $C^1(M, M)$  be the set of all  $C^1$  maps of  $M$  endowed with the  $C^1$  topology. A map  $f \in C^1(M, M)$  is called an *Anosov endomorphism* if  $f$  is a  $C^1$  regular map and if there exist  $C > 0$  and  $0 < \lambda < 1$  such that for every  $\tilde{x} = (x_i) \in M_f = \{\tilde{x} = (x_i) : x_i \in M \text{ and } f(x_i) = x_{i+1}, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  there is a splitting

$$T_{x_i}M = E_{x_i}^s \oplus E_{x_i}^u, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

(we show this by  $T_{\tilde{x}}M = \bigcup_i (E_{x_i}^s \oplus E_{x_i}^u)$ ) so that for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

- (1)  $D_{x_i}f(E_{x_i}^\sigma) = E_{x_{i+1}}^\sigma$  where  $\sigma = s, u$ ,
- (2) for all  $n \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_{x_i}f^n(v)\| &\leq C\lambda^n \|v\| \quad \text{if } v \in E_{x_i}^s, \\ \|D_{x_i}f^n(v)\| &\leq C\lambda^n \|v\| \quad \text{if } v \in E_{x_i}^u. \end{aligned}$$

If, in particular,  $T_{\tilde{x}}M = \bigcup_i E_{x_i}^u$  for all  $\tilde{x} = (x_i) \in M_f$ , then  $f$  is said to be *expanding differentiable map*, and if an Anosov endomorphism  $f$  is injective then  $f$  is called an *Anosov diffeomorphism*. We can check that every Anosov endomorphism is a TA-map, and that every expanding differentiable map is a topological expanding map (see [2] Theorem 1.2.1).

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be metric spaces. A continuous surjection  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is called a *covering map* if for  $y \in Y$  there exists an open neighborhood  $V_y$  of  $y$  in  $Y$  such that

$$f^{-1}(V_y) = \bigcup_i U_i \quad (i \neq i' \Rightarrow U_i \cap U_{i'} = \emptyset)$$

where each of  $U_i$  is open in  $X$  and  $f|_{U_i} : U_i \rightarrow V_y$  is a homeomorphism. A covering map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is especially called a *self-covering map* if

$X = Y$ . We say that a continuous surjection  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a local homeomorphism if for  $x \in X$  there is an open neighborhood  $U_x$ , of  $x$  in  $X$  such that  $f(U_x)$  is open in  $Y$  and  $f|_{U_x} : U_x \rightarrow f(U_x)$  is a homeomorphism. It is clear that every covering map is a local homeomorphism. Conversely, if  $X$  is compact, then a local homeomorphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a covering map (see [2] Theorem 2.1.1).

A map  $f \in C^1(M, M)$  is said to be  $C^1$ -structurally stable if there is an open neighborhood  $\mathcal{N}(f)$  of  $f$  in  $C^1(M, M)$  such that  $g \in \mathcal{N}(f)$  implies that  $f$  and  $g$  are topologically conjugate. Anosov [1] proved that every Anosov diffeomorphism is  $C^1$ -structurally stable, and Shub [12] showed the same result for expanding differentiable maps. However, Anosov endomorphisms which are not diffeomorphisms nor expanding do not be  $C^1$ -structurally stable ([8],[11]).

A map  $f \in C^1(M, M)$  is said to be  $C^1$ -inverse limit stable if there is an open neighborhood  $\mathcal{N}(f)$  of  $f$  in  $C^1(M, M)$  such that  $g \in \mathcal{N}(f)$  implies that the inverse limit  $(M_f, \sigma_f)$  of  $(M, f)$  and the inverse limit  $(M_g, \sigma_g)$  of  $(M, g)$  are topologically conjugate. Mané and Pugh [8] proved that every Anosov endomorphism is  $C^1$ -inverse limit stable. If the manifold  $M$  is an infra-nil-manifold, then this fact is a corollary of Theorem 1.8.

We define *special TA-maps* as follows. Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous surjection of a compact metric space. Define the *stable* and *unstable* sets

$$W^s(x) = \{y \in X : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) = 0\},$$

$$W^u(\tilde{x}) = \{y_0 \in X : \exists \tilde{y} = (y_i) \in X_f \text{ s.t. } \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{-i}, y_{-i}) = 0\}.$$

for  $x \in X$  and  $\tilde{x} \in X_f$ . A TA-map  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is special if  $f$  satisfies the property that  $W^u(\tilde{x}) = W^u(\tilde{y})$  for every  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in X_f$  with  $x_0 = y_0$ . Every hyperbolic infra-nil-endomorphism is a special TA-covering map (See [15] Remark 3.13). By this and Theorem 1.10 We have the following corollary:

**COROLLARY 2.4.** *A TA-covering map of an infra-nil-manifold is special if and only if it is conjugate to a hyperbolic infra-nil-endomorphism.*

### 3. Some properties of infra-nil-manifolds

Let  $X$  be a topological space. We write  $\Omega(X; x_0)$  the family of all closed paths from  $x_0$  to  $x_0$ . Let  $\Omega(X; x_0)/\sim$  be the identifying space with respect to the equivalence relation  $\sim$  by homotopy. We write this set

$$\pi_1(X; x_0) = \Omega(X; x_0)/\sim.$$

The group  $\pi_1(X; x_0)$  is called the *fundamental group* at a base point  $x_0$  of  $X$ . If, in particular,  $\pi_1(X; x_0)$  is a group consisting of the identity, then  $X$  is said to be *simply connected* with respect to a base point  $x_0$ .

Let  $x_0$  and  $x_1$  be points in  $X$ . If there exists a path  $w$  joining  $x_0$  and  $x_1$ , then we can define a map

$$w_{\#} : \Omega(X; x_1) \rightarrow \Omega(X; x_0), \text{ by } w_{\#}(u) = (w \cdot u) \cdot \bar{w},$$

where  $u \in \Omega(X; x_1)$ ,  $(w \cdot u)$  is the concatenation of  $w$  and  $u$  and  $\bar{w}$  is  $w$  in reverse direction. For  $u, v \in \Omega(X; x_1)$  suppose  $u \sim v$ . Then  $w_{\#}(u) \sim w_{\#}(v)$  and thus  $w_{\#}$  induces a map

$$w_* : \pi_1(X; x_1) \rightarrow \pi_1(X; x_0), \text{ by } w_*([u]) = [w_{\#}(u)],$$

this map is an isomorphism (see [2] Lemma 6.1.4).

**REMARK 3.1.** If  $X$  is a path connected space then we can remove the base point and write  $\pi_1(X; x_0) = \pi_1(X)$ .

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological spaces and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  a continuous map. Take  $x_0 \in X$  and let  $y_0 = f(x_0)$ . It is clear that  $f u = f \circ u \in \Omega(X, y_0)$  for  $u \in \Omega(X; x_0)$ . Thus we can find a map

$$f_{\#} : \Omega(X; x_0) \rightarrow \Omega(Y; Y_0), \text{ by } f_{\#}(u) = f u,$$

where  $u \in \Omega(X; x_0)$ . If  $u \sim v(F)$  for  $u, v \in \Omega(X; x_0)$ , then we have  $f u \sim f v(f \circ F)$ , from which the following map will be induced:

$$f_* : \pi_1(X; x_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y; y_0), \text{ by } f_*([u]) = [f_{\#}(u)] = [f u],$$

It is easy to check that  $f_*$  is a homomorphism. We say that  $f_*$  is a *homomorphism induced from a continuous map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$* .

Let  $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$  be homotopic and  $F$  a homotopy from  $f$  to  $g$ . Then for  $x_0 \in X$  we can define a path  $w \in \Omega(Y; f(x_0), g(x_0))$  by

$$w(t) = F(x_0, t) \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

and the relation between homomorphisms  $f_* : \pi_1(X; x_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y; f(x_0))$  and  $g_* : \pi_1(X; x_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y; g(x_0))$  is:  $g_* = \bar{w}_* \circ f_*$  (see [2] Lemma 6.1.9).

Let  $G$  be a group. We say that a path connected topological space  $X$  is of type  $K(G, 1)$  if  $\pi_1(X) = G$  and  $\pi_k(X) = 0$  for  $k \neq 1$ .  $\pi_k(X)$  is the  $k$ th homotopy group of  $X$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.2** ([2] Proposition 6.7.8). *Let  $N$  be a topological space of type  $K(G, 1)$  and let  $M$  be a compact connected topological manifold. Let  $x_0 \in M$  and  $y_0 \in N$ . Then, given a homomorphism  $\varphi : \pi_1(M, x_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(N, y_0)$ , there exists a continuous map  $f : M \rightarrow N$  with  $f(x_0) = y_0$  such that  $f_* = \varphi$ . Conversely, if  $f, g : M \rightarrow N$  are continuous maps with  $f(x_0) = g(x_0) = y_0$  and if  $f_*, g_* : \pi_1(M, x_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(N, y_0)$  satisfies  $f_*(\alpha) = \rho g_*(\alpha) \rho^{-1}$ ,  $\forall \alpha \in \pi_1(M, x_0)$  for some  $\rho \in \pi_1(N, y_0)$ , then  $f$  and  $g$  are homotopic.*



LEMMA 3.3 ([2] Remark 6.7.9). *Let  $f, g : N/\Gamma \rightarrow N/\Gamma$  be continuous maps of an infra-nil-manifold and let  $f(x_0) = g(x_0)$  for some  $x_0 \in N/\Gamma$ . Then  $f$  and  $g$  are homotopic if and only if  $f_* = g_* : \pi_1(N/\Gamma, x_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(N/\Gamma, f(x_0))$ . From this fact we have that if  $f : N/\Gamma \rightarrow N/\Gamma$  is a continuous map, then there is a unique infra-nil-endomorphism  $A : N/\Gamma \rightarrow N/\Gamma$  homotopic to  $f$ .*

LEMMA 3.4 ([15] Lemma 1.3). *Let  $f : N/\Gamma \rightarrow N/\Gamma$  be a self-covering map of an infra-nil-manifold and  $A : N/\Gamma \rightarrow N/\Gamma$  denote the infra-nil-endomorphism homotopic to  $f$ . If  $f$  is a TA-covering map, then  $A$  is hyperbolic.*

LEMMA 3.5 ([15] Lemma 1.5). *Let  $f : N/\Gamma \rightarrow N/\Gamma$  be a self-covering map and let  $\bar{f} : N \rightarrow N$  be a lift of  $f$  by the natural projection  $\pi : N \rightarrow N/\Gamma$ . If  $f$  is a TA-covering map then  $\bar{f}$  has exactly one fixed point.*

For continuous maps  $f$  and  $g$  of  $N$  we define  $D(f, g) = \sup\{D(f(x), g(x)) : x \in N\}$  where  $D$  denotes a left invariant,  $\Gamma$ -invariant Riemannian distance for  $N$ . Notice that  $D(f, g)$  is not necessary finite.

Suppose that  $f : N/\Gamma \rightarrow N/\Gamma$  is a TA-covering map. Let  $A : N/\Gamma \rightarrow N/\Gamma$  be the infra-nil-endomorphism homotopic to  $f$ , and let  $\bar{A} : N \rightarrow N$  be the automorphism which is a lift of  $A$  by the natural projection  $\pi$ . Since  $D_e \bar{A}$  is hyperbolic by Lemma 3.4, the Lie algebra  $Lie(N)$  of  $N$  splits into the direct sum  $Lie(N) = E_e^s \oplus E_e^u$  of subspaces  $E_e^s$  and  $E_e^u$  such that  $D_e \bar{A}(E_e^s) = E_e^s$ ,  $D_e \bar{A}(E_e^u) = E_e^u$  and there are  $c > 1, 0 < \lambda < 1$  so that for all  $n \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_e \bar{A}^n(v)\| &\leq c\lambda^n \|v\| \quad (v \in E_e^s), \\ \|D_e \bar{A}^{-n}(v)\| &\leq c\lambda^n \|v\| \quad (v \in E_e^u), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  is the Riemannian metric. Let  $\bar{L}^\sigma(e) = \exp(E_e^\sigma)$  ( $\sigma = s, u$ ) and let  $\bar{L}^\sigma(x) = x \cdot \bar{L}^\sigma(e)$  ( $\sigma = s, u$ ) for  $x \in N$ . Since left translations are isometries under the metric  $D$ , it follows that for all  $x \in N$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{L}^s(x) &= \{y \in N : D(\bar{A}^i(x), \bar{A}^i(y)) \rightarrow 0 \ (i \rightarrow \infty)\}, \\ \bar{L}^u(x) &= \{y \in N : D(\bar{A}^i(x), \bar{A}^i(y)) \rightarrow 0 \ (i \rightarrow -\infty)\}. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3.6 ([7] Lemma 3.2). *For  $x, y \in N$ ,  $L^s(X) \cap L^u(y)$  consists of exactly one point.*

For  $x, y \in N$  denote as  $\beta(x, y)$  the point in  $L^s(X) \cap L^u(y)$ .

LEMMA 3.7 ([7] Lemma 3.2). *(1) For  $L > 0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $J > 0$  such that for  $x, y \in N$  if  $D(\bar{A}^i(x), \bar{A}^i(y)) \leq L$  for all  $i$  with  $|i| \leq J$ , then  $D(x, y) \leq \epsilon$ .*

*(2) For given  $L > 0$ , if  $D(\bar{A}^i(x), \bar{A}^i(y)) \leq L$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $x = y$  ( $x, y \in N$ ).*

LEMMA 3.8 ([15] Lemma 2.3). *Under the assumptions and notations as above, there is a unique map  $\bar{h} : N \rightarrow N$  such that*

- (1)  $\bar{A} \circ \bar{h} = \bar{h} \circ \bar{f}$ ,
- (2)  $D(\bar{h}, id_N)$  is finite,

where  $id_N : N \rightarrow N$  is the identity map of  $N$ . Furthermore  $\bar{h}$  is surjective and uniformly continuous under  $D$ .

In addition, if  $f$  is not an expanding map then  $\bar{h}$  is a homeomorphism i.e.  $\bar{h}$  is  $D$ -biuniformly continuous. (See [2] Proposition 8.4.2)

LEMMA 3.9 ([15] Lemma 2.4). *For the semiconjugacy  $\bar{h}$  of lemma 3.8, we have the following properties:*

- (1) There exists  $K > 0$  such that  $D(\bar{h} \circ \gamma(x), \gamma \circ \bar{h}(x)) < K$  for  $x \in N$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .
- (2) For any  $\lambda > 0$ , there exists  $L \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D(\bar{h} \circ \gamma(x), \gamma \circ \bar{h}(x)) < \lambda$  for  $x \in N$  and  $\gamma \in \bar{A}_*^L(\Gamma)$ .
- (3) For  $x \in N$  and  $\gamma \in \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} \bar{A}_*^i(\Gamma)$ , we have  $\bar{h} \circ \gamma(x) = \gamma \circ \bar{h}(x)$ .
- (4) For  $x \in N$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , we have  $\bar{h} \circ \gamma(x) \in \bar{L}^s(\gamma \circ \bar{h}(x))$ .

REMARK 3.10. By part (2) of theorem 3.8, there is a  $\delta_K > 0$  such that  $D(\bar{h}(x), x) < \delta_K$  for  $x \in N$ , we have (see [2] page 270 (8.5))

$$\bar{W}^s(x) \subset U_{\delta_K}(\bar{L}^s(\bar{h}(x))) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{W}^u(x; \mathbf{e}) \subset U_{\delta_K}(\bar{L}^u(\bar{h}(x))).$$

By lemma 3.5 if  $f : N/\Gamma \rightarrow N/\Gamma$  is a  $TA$ -map and  $\bar{f} : N \rightarrow N$  a lift of it, then there exists a unique fixed point say  $b$  such that  $\bar{f}(b) = b$ . For simplicity we can suppose that  $b = e$ . Indeed, we can choose a homeomorphism  $\varphi$  of  $N$  such that  $\varphi(\pi(b)) = e$ . Then  $\varphi \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1}$  is a  $TA$ -covering map such that  $\varphi \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1}(e) = e$ .

LEMMA 3.11. *Let  $f : N/\Gamma \rightarrow N/\Gamma$  be a continuous map of an infra-nil-manifold, and  $A : N/\Gamma \rightarrow N/\Gamma$  be the unique infra-nil-endomorphism homotopic to  $f$ , then  $\bar{f}_* = \bar{A}_* : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ .*

PROOF. By corollary 2.3,  $\bar{f}_*$  and  $\bar{A}_*$  are two maps on  $\Gamma$ . For  $[e] = \{x \in N : \gamma(x) = \gamma.x = e \text{ for some } \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ , we have

$$f([e]) = f \circ \pi(e) = \pi \circ \bar{f}(e) = \pi(\bar{f}(e)) = \pi(e) = [e] = A([e]).$$

So according to lemma 3.3,  $\bar{f}_* = \bar{A}_*$ . □

Let  $x \in N$ , we define the stable set and unstable sets of  $x$  for  $f$  and  $A$  as follow (for more details see [2]):

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{W}^s(x) &= \{y \in N : \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} D(\bar{f}^i(x), \bar{f}^i(y)) = 0\}, \\ \bar{W}^u(x, \mathbf{e}) &= \{y \in N : \lim_{i \rightarrow -\infty} D(\bar{f}^i(x), \bar{f}^i(y)) = 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

Where  $\mathbf{e} = (\dots, e, e, e, \dots)$ .

REMARK 3.12. By lemma 3.8, since  $\bar{h}$  is  $D$ -uniformly continuous then  $\bar{h}(\overline{W}^s(x)) = \overline{L}^s(\bar{h}(x))$  and  $\bar{h}(\overline{W}^u(x; \mathbf{e})) = \overline{L}^u(\bar{h}(x))$ .

LEMMA 3.13. *The following statements hold:*

- (1)  $\gamma(\overline{W}^s(x)) = \overline{W}^s(\gamma(x))$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $x \in N$ ,
- (2)  $\gamma(\overline{W}^u(x; \mathbf{e})) = \overline{W}^u(\gamma(x); \mathbf{e})$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $x \in N$ ,

PROOF. It is an easy corollary of lemma 6.6.11 of [2]. According to corollary 2.3, in the mentioned lemma put  $\Gamma$  instead of  $G(\pi)$  and  $N$  instead of  $\overline{X}$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 3.14. *The following statements hold:*

- (1)  $\gamma(\overline{L}^s(x)) = \overline{L}^s(\gamma(x))$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $x \in N$ ,
- (2)  $\gamma(\overline{L}^u(x)) = \overline{L}^u(\gamma(x))$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $x \in N$ ,

PROOF. Proof is the same as in lemma 3.13.  $\square$

LEMMA 3.15 ([15] Lemma 5.4). *Let  $N/\Gamma$  be an infra-nil-manifold. If  $f : N/\Gamma \rightarrow N/\Gamma$  is a  $TA$ -covering map, then the nonwandering set  $\Omega(f)$  coincides with the entire space  $N/\Gamma$ .*

LEMMA 3.16 ([2] Lemma 8.6.2). *For  $\epsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $D(x, y) < \delta$ ,  $x, y \in N$  then  $\overline{W}^s(x) \subset U_\epsilon(\overline{W}^s(y))$  and  $\overline{W}^u(x; \mathbf{e}) \subset U_\epsilon(\overline{W}^u(y; \mathbf{e}))$ . Where for a set  $S$ ,  $U_\epsilon(S) = \{y \in N : D(y, S) < \epsilon\}$ .*

#### 4. Proof of the main theorem

In this section, we give the proof in several lemmas and propositions, each of them based on the previous ones.

**Sketch of proof.** we suppose that  $f : N/\Gamma \rightarrow N/\Gamma$  is a special  $TA$ -covering map of an infra-nil-manifold which is not injective or expanding, and  $A : N/\Gamma \rightarrow N/\Gamma$  is the unique infra-nil-endomorphism homotopic to  $f$ . By lemma 3.8, there is a unique semiconjugacy  $\bar{h} : N \rightarrow N$  between  $\bar{f}$  and  $\bar{A}$ , such that by proposition 4.2.(3),  $\bar{h}(\gamma(v)) = \gamma(\bar{h}(v))$ , for each  $\gamma \in (\overline{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e}) \rtimes \{id_{Aut(N)}\}) \cap \Gamma$  and  $v \in \overline{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e})$ . Through proposition 4.3 to proposition 4.13 we show that for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $x \in N$ ,  $\bar{h}(\gamma(x)) = \gamma(\bar{h}(x))$ . Based on this result,  $\bar{h}$  induces a homeomorphism  $h : N/\Gamma \rightarrow N/\Gamma$  which is the conjugacy between  $f$  and  $A$ .

LEMMA 4.1. *The following statements hold:*

- (1) *Let  $D$  be the metric of  $N$  as above. for each  $x \in N$ ,  $D(x^{-1}, e) = D(x, e)$ .*
- (2) *If  $x \in \overline{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e})$ , then  $\overline{W}^u(x; \mathbf{e}) = \overline{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e})$ .*
- (3) *If  $x \in \overline{L}^u(e)$ , then  $\overline{L}^u(x) = \overline{L}^u(e)$ .*

PROOF. (1)

$$\begin{aligned} D(x^{-1}, e) &= D(x^{-1}e, x^{-1}x) \\ (D \text{ is left invariant}) &= D(e, x) \\ &= D(x, e) \end{aligned}$$

(2) Since  $x \in \overline{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e})$ , we have  $D(\overline{f}^i(x), \overline{f}^i(e)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow -\infty$ . Let  $y \in \overline{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e})$ , then  $D(\overline{f}^i(y), \overline{f}^i(e)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow -\infty$ . We have,

$$D(\overline{f}^i(y), \overline{f}^i(x)) < D(\overline{f}^i(y), \overline{f}^i(e)) + D(\overline{f}^i(e), \overline{f}^i(x)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } i \rightarrow -\infty.$$

So,  $y \in \overline{W}^u(x; \mathbf{e})$ , i.e.  $\overline{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e}) \subset \overline{W}^u(x; \mathbf{e})$ . Conversely, if  $y \in \overline{W}^u(x; \mathbf{e})$  then  $D(\overline{f}^i(y), \overline{f}^i(x)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow -\infty$ , and

$$D(\overline{f}^i(y), \overline{f}^i(e)) < D(\overline{f}^i(y), \overline{f}^i(x)) + D(\overline{f}^i(x), \overline{f}^i(e)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } i \rightarrow -\infty.$$

So,  $y \in \overline{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e})$ , i.e.  $\overline{W}^u(x; \mathbf{e}) \subset \overline{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e})$ .

(3) Its proof is the same as part (1).  $\square$

For simplicity, let  $\Gamma_{\overline{f}} = (\overline{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e}) \rtimes \{id_{Aut(N)}\}) \cap \Gamma$  and  $\Gamma_{\overline{A}} = (\overline{L}^u(e) \rtimes \{id_{Aut(N)}\}) \cap \Gamma$ .

PROPOSITION 4.2. *The following statements hold:*

- (1)  $\Gamma_{\overline{A}}$  and  $\Gamma_{\overline{f}}$  are subgroups of  $\Gamma$ .
- (2)  $\Gamma_{\overline{f}} \subset \Gamma_{\overline{A}}$ .
- (3)  $\overline{h}(\gamma(v)) = \gamma(\overline{h}(v))$ , for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\overline{f}}$  and  $v \in \overline{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e})$ .
- (4) If  $\overline{W}^u(\gamma_1(e); \mathbf{e}) = \overline{W}^u(\gamma_2(e); \mathbf{e})$ , for some  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$ , then we have

$$\gamma_1(\overline{h}(\gamma_1^{-1}(x))) = \gamma_2(\overline{h}(\gamma_2^{-1}(x))), \text{ for } x \in \overline{W}^u(\gamma_1(e); \mathbf{e}).$$

PROOF. (1) Let  $x, y \in \overline{L}^u(e)$ , since  $A^i(e) = e$ , for all  $i$ , then by definition,

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{i \rightarrow -\infty} D(A^i(x), e) &= \lim_{i \rightarrow -\infty} D(A^i(x), A^i(e)) = 0 \\ \lim_{i \rightarrow -\infty} D(A^i(y), e) &= \lim_{i \rightarrow -\infty} D(A^i(y), A^i(e)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

As  $D$  is left invariant we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \lim_{i \rightarrow -\infty} D(A^i(xy^{-1}), A^i(e)) = \lim_{i \rightarrow -\infty} D(A^i(x)A^i(y^{-1}), e) \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow -\infty} D(A^i(x)A^{-i}(y), A^i(x)A^{-i}(x)) \\ (D \text{ is left invariant}) &= \lim_{i \rightarrow -\infty} D(A^{-i}(y), A^{-i}(x)) \\ &\leq \lim_{i \rightarrow -\infty} D(A^{-i}(y), e) + D(e, A^{-i}(x)) \\ (Lemma 4.1.(1) and (4.1)) &= \lim_{i \rightarrow -\infty} D(A^i(y), e) + D(A^i(x), e) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $xy^{-1} \in \bar{L}^u(e)$  and  $\bar{L}^u(e)$  is a subgroup of  $N$ . So  $(\bar{L}^u(e) \rtimes \{id_{Aut(N)}\}) \cap \Gamma$  is a subgroup of  $\Gamma$ .

For the second part, Let  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma_{\bar{f}}$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is a group we have  $\gamma_1\gamma_2^{-1} \in \Gamma$ . Now consider that  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in (\bar{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e}) \rtimes \{id_{Aut(N)}\})$ . There exist  $x_1, x_2 \in \bar{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e})$  such that  $\gamma_1 = (x_1, id_N)$  and  $\gamma_2 = (x_2, id_N)$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} x_1\bar{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e}) &= \gamma_1(\bar{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e})) \\ (\text{Lemma 3.13}) \quad &= \bar{W}^u(\gamma_1(e); \mathbf{e}) \\ &= \bar{W}^u(x_1; \mathbf{e}) \\ (\text{Lemma 4.1 (2)}) \quad &= \bar{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,  $x_2\bar{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e}) = \bar{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e})$ . So we have  $x_1\bar{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e}) = x_2\bar{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e})$  and then  $x_1x_2^{-1} \in \bar{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e})$ . Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1\gamma_2^{-1} &= (x_1, id_N)(x_2, id_N)^{-1} \\ &= (x_1, id_N)(x_2^{-1}, id_N) \\ &= (x_1x_2^{-1}, id_N) \in \bar{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e}) \rtimes \{id_{Aut(N)}\}, \end{aligned}$$

and we have the result.

(2) Take  $(x, \alpha) = \gamma \in \Gamma_{\bar{f}}$  ( $x \in N, \alpha \in C$ ), such that  $\gamma \notin \Gamma_{\bar{A}}$ . So,  $x \notin \bar{L}^u(e)$  and for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \neq 0$ ,  $x^n \notin \bar{L}^u(e)$ . By part (1), remark 3.10 and the fact that  $\bar{h}(e) = e$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $x^n \in \bar{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e}) \subset U_{\delta_K}(\bar{L}^u(e))$ , which is impossible.

(3) Let  $\gamma = (x, id_N)$ , for some  $x \in N$  and  $v \in \bar{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e})$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(v) &\in \gamma(\bar{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e})) \\ (\text{Lemma 3.13}) \quad &= \bar{W}^u(\gamma(e); \mathbf{e}) \\ &= \bar{W}^u(x; \mathbf{e}) \\ (\text{Lemma 4.1 (2)}) \quad &= \bar{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e}), \end{aligned}$$

so,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{h}(\gamma(v)) &\in \bar{h}(\bar{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e})) \\ (\text{Remark 3.12}) \quad &= \bar{L}^u(e). \end{aligned}$$

By part (2),  $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\bar{A}}$ , Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(\bar{h}(v)) &\in \gamma(\bar{h}(\bar{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e}))) \\ (\text{Remark 3.12}) \quad &= \gamma(\bar{L}^u(e)) \\ (\text{Lemma 3.14}) \quad &= (\bar{L}^u(\gamma(e))) \\ &= \bar{L}^u(x) \\ (\text{Lemma 4.1 (3)}) \quad &= \bar{L}^u(e). \end{aligned}$$

Again by Lemma 4.1 (3) and last part of the above relation,  $\overline{L}^u(\gamma(\overline{h}(v))) = \overline{L}^u(e)$ , and

$$\overline{h}(\gamma(v)) \in \overline{L}^u(e) = \overline{L}^u(\gamma(\overline{h}(v))).$$

On the other hand, by part (4) of lemma 3.9,  $\overline{h}(\gamma(v)) \in \overline{L}^s(\gamma(\overline{h}(v)))$ . Since  $\overline{L}^u(\gamma(\overline{h}(v))) \cap \overline{L}^s(\gamma(\overline{h}(v))) = \{\gamma(\overline{h}(v))\}$  (see [15] Lemma 2.1), then  $\overline{h}(\gamma(v)) = \gamma(\overline{h}(v))$ .

(4) We have  $x \in \overline{W}^u(\gamma_1(e); \mathbf{e}) = \gamma_1(\overline{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e}))$ . Thus,  $\gamma_1^{-1}(x) \in \overline{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e})$ . Similarly,  $\gamma_2^{-1}(x) \in \overline{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e})$ . Now, by part (3),

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1(\overline{h}(\gamma_1^{-1}(x))) &= \overline{h}(\gamma_1(\gamma_1^{-1}(x))) \\ &= \overline{h}(x) \\ &= \overline{h}(\gamma_2(\gamma_2^{-1}(x))) \\ &= \gamma_2(\overline{h}(\gamma_2^{-1}(x))). \end{aligned}$$

□

According to part (4) of proposition 4.2, we can define a map  $\overline{h}' : \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \overline{W}^u(\gamma(e); \mathbf{e}) \rightarrow \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \overline{L}^u(\gamma(e))$ , by

$$\overline{h}'(x) = \gamma(\overline{h}(\gamma^{-1}(x))) \quad x \in \overline{W}^u(\gamma(e); \mathbf{e}) \quad (\gamma \in \Gamma).$$

Next lemma shows some properties of  $\overline{h}'$ :

**PROPOSITION 4.3.** *The following statements hold:*

- (1)  $\overline{A} \circ \overline{h}' = \overline{h}' \circ \overline{f}$  on  $\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \overline{W}^u(\gamma(e); \mathbf{e})$ ,
- (2)  $D(\overline{h}', id_{\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \overline{W}^u(\gamma(e); \mathbf{e})}) < \infty$ ,
- (3)  $\overline{h}'(\gamma(e)) = \gamma(e)$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,
- (4) if  $x \in \overline{W}^u(\gamma(e); \mathbf{e})$  ( $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ), then  $\overline{h}'(x) \in \overline{L}^u(\gamma(e))$  and  $\overline{h}'(x) \in \overline{L}^s(\overline{h}(x))$ ,
- (5) if  $y \in \overline{W}^s(x)$  for  $x, y \in \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \overline{W}^u(\gamma(e); \mathbf{e})$ , then  $\overline{h}'(y) \in \overline{L}^s(\overline{h}'(x))$ .

**PROOF.** (1) Suppose that  $x \in \overline{W}^u(\gamma(e); \mathbf{e})$ , for some  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Notice that  $\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \overline{W}^u(\gamma(e); \mathbf{e}) = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\overline{f}}} \overline{W}^u(\gamma(e); \mathbf{e})$ , for if  $\gamma = (x, \alpha) \in \Gamma$  and  $\gamma' = (x, id_N) \in \Gamma_{\overline{f}}$  ( $x \in N$ ,  $\alpha \in C$ ), then

$$\gamma(e) = \gamma \cdot e = (x, \alpha) \cdot e = x\alpha(e) = x = x id_N(e) = (x, id_N) \cdot e = \gamma' \cdot e = \gamma'(e).$$

Hence, suppose that  $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\overline{f}}$ . Since  $x \in \overline{W}^u(\gamma(e); \mathbf{e}) = \gamma(\overline{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e}))$ , then

$$(4.2) \quad \gamma^{-1}(x) \in \overline{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e}).$$

By [2] page 205, we have  $\overline{f}(\overline{W}^u(\gamma(e); \mathbf{e})) = \overline{W}^u(\overline{f}(\gamma(e)); \mathbf{e})$ . Here  $\overline{f}(\gamma(e))$  means  $\overline{f}_*(\gamma) \cdot e$  which by lemma 3.11 is equal to  $\overline{A}_*(\gamma) \cdot e$  and  $\overline{A}_*(\gamma) \in \Gamma$ . Therefore,

$$(4.3) \quad \overline{f}(x) \in \overline{f}(\overline{W}^u(\gamma(e); \mathbf{e})) = \overline{W}^u(\overline{A}(\gamma(e)); \mathbf{e}) \Rightarrow (\overline{A}_*(\gamma))^{-1}(\overline{f}(x)) \in \overline{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e}).$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{A} \circ \bar{h}'(x) &= \bar{A}(\gamma(\bar{h}(\gamma^{-1}(x)))) \\
 ((4.2) \text{ and proposition 4.2.(3)}) &= \bar{A}(\bar{h}(\gamma(\gamma^{-1}(x)))) \\
 &= \bar{A} \circ \bar{h}(x) \\
 (\text{lemma 3.8}) &= \bar{h} \circ \bar{f}(x) \\
 &= \bar{h} \left( (\bar{A}_*(\gamma)) ((\bar{A}_*(\gamma))^{-1}(\bar{f}(x))) \right) \\
 ((4.3) \text{ and proposition 4.2.(3)}) &= (\bar{A}_*(\gamma)) \left( \bar{h}((\bar{A}_*(\gamma))^{-1}(\bar{f}(x))) \right) \\
 &= \bar{h}'(\bar{f}(x)) \\
 &= \bar{h}' \circ \bar{f}(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

(2) Let  $x \in \bar{W}^u(\gamma(e); \mathbf{e})$ , for some  $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\bar{f}}$ , and let  $\delta_K > 0$  be satisfying  $D(\bar{h}, id_N) < \delta_K$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(\bar{h}'(x), x) &= D(\gamma(\bar{h}(\gamma^{-1}(x))), x) \\
 (\text{lemma 4.1.(1)}) &= D(\bar{h}(\gamma^{-1}(x)), x) \\
 (\text{lemma 4.1.(1)}) &= D(\bar{h}(\gamma^{-1}(x)), \gamma^{-1}(x)) \\
 &< \delta_K.
 \end{aligned}$$

(3) For any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , by definition we have

$$\bar{h}'(\gamma(e)) = \gamma(\bar{h}(\gamma^{-1}(\gamma(e)))) = \gamma(\bar{h}(e)) = \gamma(e).$$

(4) Let  $x \in \bar{W}^u(\gamma(e); \mathbf{e})$ , for some  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{h}'(x) &= \gamma(\bar{h}(\gamma^{-1}(x))) \in \gamma(\bar{h}(\gamma^{-1}(\bar{W}^u(\gamma(e); \mathbf{e})))) \\
 (\text{lemma 3.13}) &= \gamma(\bar{h}(\bar{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e}))) \\
 (\text{proposition 4.2.(3)}) &= \bar{h}(\gamma(\bar{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e}))) \\
 (\text{lemma 3.13}) &= \bar{h}(\bar{W}^u(\gamma(e); \mathbf{e})) \\
 (\text{remark 3.12}) &= \bar{L}^u(\gamma(e)),
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{h}'(x) &\in \bar{L}^s(\bar{h}'(x)) \\
 &= \bar{L}^s(\gamma(\bar{h}(\gamma^{-1}(x)))) \\
 (\text{proposition 4.2.(3)}) &= \bar{L}^s(\bar{h}(\gamma(\gamma^{-1}(x)))) \\
 &= \bar{L}^s(\bar{h}(x)).
 \end{aligned}$$

(5) By the second part of proof of (4), we have

$$\bar{L}^s(\bar{h}'(y)) = \bar{L}^s(\bar{h}(y)) = \bar{h}(\bar{W}^s(y)) = \bar{h}(\bar{W}^s(x)) = \bar{L}^s(\bar{h}(x)) = \bar{L}^s(\bar{h}'(x)).$$

□

LEMMA 4.4 ([15] Lemma 7.6). *For each  $u, v \in N$ ,  $\overline{W}^u(u; \mathbf{e}) \cap \overline{W}^s(v)$  is the set of one point.*

According to the above lemma, define  $\bar{v}(u, v) = \overline{W}^u(u; \mathbf{e}) \cap \overline{W}^s(v)$ .

LEMMA 4.5. *For  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is  $\delta > 0$  such that*

$$D(u, v) < \delta \Rightarrow \max\{D(\bar{v}(u, v), u), D(\bar{v}(u, v), v)\} < \epsilon \quad (u, v \in N)$$

PROOF. Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Since  $\bar{h}$  is  $D$ -biuniformly continuous there exists  $\epsilon' > 0$  such that

$$D(x, y) < \epsilon' \Rightarrow D(\bar{h}^{-1}(x), \bar{h}^{-1}(y)) < \epsilon \quad (x, y \in N).$$

By [2] theorem 6.6.5 or [15] lemma 7.2, since  $N$  is simply connected,  $\overline{A}$  has local product structure (for definition and details, see [2]), and then for  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta' > 0$  such that

$$D(u, v) < \delta' \Rightarrow \max\{D(\beta(u, v), u), D(\beta(u, v), v)\} < \epsilon' \quad (u, v \in N)$$

Again since  $\bar{h}$  is  $D$ -biuniformly continuous, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$D(u, v) < \delta \Rightarrow D(\bar{h}(u), \bar{h}(v)) < \delta' \quad (u, v \in N).$$

We know that  $\bar{h}(\bar{v}(u, v)) = \beta(\bar{h}(u), \bar{h}(v))$  therefore

$$\begin{aligned} D(u, v) < \delta &\Rightarrow D(\bar{h}(u), \bar{h}(v)) < \delta' \\ &\Rightarrow \max\{D(\beta(\bar{h}(u), \bar{h}(v)), \bar{h}(u)), D(\beta(\bar{h}(u), \bar{h}(v)), \bar{h}(v))\} < \epsilon' \\ &\Rightarrow \max\{D(\bar{h}(\bar{v}(u, v)), \bar{h}(u)), D(\bar{h}(\bar{v}(u, v)), \bar{h}(v))\} < \epsilon' \\ &\Rightarrow \max\{D(\bar{v}(u, v), u), D(\bar{v}(u, v), v)\} < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

□

PROPOSITION 4.6.  $\bar{h}'$  is  $D$ -uniformly continuous.

PROOF. Suppose that the statement is false. So there is  $\epsilon_0 > 0$ , for all  $\delta > 0$ , there are  $x, y \in \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \overline{W}^u(\gamma(e); \mathbf{e})$  such that

$$(4.4) \quad D(x, y) < \delta \text{ and } D(\bar{h}'(x), \bar{h}'(y)) > \epsilon_0.$$

By definition of  $\overline{L}^\sigma(x)$  ( $x \in N$ ,  $\sigma = s, u$ ), for  $w \in \overline{L}^s(v)$  there is  $\epsilon_1 > 0$  such that

$$(4.5) \quad D(v, w) < \epsilon_0/2 \Rightarrow D(\overline{L}^u(v), \overline{L}^u(w)) > \epsilon_1.$$

Take  $n > 0$  and  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that  $\epsilon^n \geq 2\delta_K$  and  $\delta_1^n \leq 2\delta_K$ .

By Lemma 3.16, there exists  $\delta_2 > 0$  such that

$$(4.6) \quad D(v, w) < \delta_2 \Rightarrow \overline{W}^u(w, \mathbf{e}) \subset U_{\delta_1}(\overline{W}^u(v, \mathbf{e})).$$

Since  $\bar{h}$  is continuous, take  $\delta_3 > 0$  such that

$$(4.7) \quad D(u, v) < \delta_3 \Rightarrow D(\bar{h}(u), \bar{h}(v)) < \epsilon_0/2.$$



By lemma 4.5, there is  $0 < \delta < \delta_2$  such that

$$(4.8) \quad D(x, y) < \delta \Rightarrow D(y, \bar{\iota}(y, x)) < \delta_3 \quad (x, y \in N).$$

Now consider  $x, y \in \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \overline{W}^u(\gamma(e); \mathbf{e})$  as above. There exist  $\gamma_x, \gamma_y \in \Gamma$  such that  $x \in \overline{W}^u(\gamma_x(e), \mathbf{e})$  and  $y \in \overline{W}^u(\gamma_y(e), \mathbf{e})$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} D(\bar{h}'(x), \bar{h}'(\bar{\iota}(y, x))) &\geq D(\bar{h}'(x), \bar{h}'(y)) - D(\bar{h}'(y), \bar{h}'(\bar{\iota}(y, x))) \\ &\text{(by (4.4))} \geq \epsilon_0 - D(\gamma_y(\bar{h}(\gamma_y^{-1}(y))), \gamma_y(\bar{h}(\gamma_y^{-1}(\bar{\iota}(y, x))))) \\ \text{(by proposition 4.2.(3))} &= \epsilon_0 - D(\bar{h}(\gamma_y(\gamma_y^{-1}(y))), \bar{h}(\gamma_y(\gamma_y^{-1}(\bar{\iota}(y, x))))) \\ &= \epsilon_0 - D(\bar{h}(y), \bar{h}(\bar{\iota}(y, x))) \\ (4.9) \quad &\text{(by (4.7) and (4.8))} > \epsilon_0 - \epsilon_0/2 = \epsilon_0/2. \end{aligned}$$

By proposition 4.3.(4)

$$\begin{aligned} x \in \overline{W}^u(\gamma_x(e), \mathbf{e}) &\Rightarrow \bar{h}'(x) \in \overline{L}^u(\gamma_x(e)), \\ \bar{\iota}(y, x) \in \overline{W}^u(\gamma_y(e), \mathbf{e}) &\Rightarrow \bar{h}'(\bar{\iota}(y, x)) \in \overline{L}^u(\gamma_y(e)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus by proposition 4.3.(5), (4.9) and (4.5) we have

$$D(\overline{L}^u(\gamma_x(e)), \overline{L}^u(\gamma_y(e))) > \epsilon_1.$$

Suppose  $\gamma = \gamma_x^{-1}\gamma_y$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_x\gamma(e) = \gamma_y(e) \notin U_{\epsilon_1}(\overline{L}^u(\gamma_x(e))) &\Rightarrow \gamma(e) \notin U_{\epsilon_1}(\overline{L}^u(\gamma_x^{-1}\gamma_x(e))) = U_{\epsilon_1}(\overline{L}^u(e)) \\ (4.10) \quad &\Rightarrow \gamma^n(e) \notin U_{\epsilon_1^n}(\overline{L}^u(e)) \supset U_{2\delta_K}(\overline{L}^u(e)). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{W}^u(\gamma_x\gamma(e); \mathbf{e}) &= \overline{W}^u(\gamma_y(e); \mathbf{e}) \\ (y \in \overline{W}^u(\gamma_y(e); \mathbf{e})) &= \overline{W}^u(y; \mathbf{e}) \\ \text{(by (4.6))} &\subset U_{\delta_1}(\overline{W}^u(x; \mathbf{e})) \\ (x \in \overline{W}^u(\gamma_x(e); \mathbf{e})) &= U_{\delta_1}(\overline{W}^u(\gamma_x(e); \mathbf{e})). \end{aligned}$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_x^{-1}(\overline{W}^u(\gamma_x\gamma(e); \mathbf{e})) &\subset U_{\delta_1}(\overline{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e})) \Rightarrow \overline{W}^u(\gamma(e); \mathbf{e}) \subset U_{\delta_1}(\overline{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e})) \\ &\Rightarrow \overline{W}^u(\gamma^2(e); \mathbf{e}) \subset U_{\delta_1}(\overline{W}^u(\gamma(e); \mathbf{e})) \\ \text{(by induction)} &\Rightarrow \overline{W}^u(\gamma^n(e); \mathbf{e}) \subset U_{\delta_1}(\overline{W}^u(\gamma^{n-1}(e); \mathbf{e})) \\ &\Rightarrow \overline{W}^u(\gamma^n(e); \mathbf{e}) \subset U_{\delta_1^n}(\overline{W}^u(e; \mathbf{e})) \subset U_{2\delta_K}(\overline{L}^u(e)) \\ (4.11) \quad &\Rightarrow \gamma^n(e) \in \overline{W}^u(\gamma^n(e); \mathbf{e}) \subset U_{2\delta_K}(\overline{L}^u(e)). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, (4.10) and (4.11) make a contradiction.  $\square$

Let  $\tilde{u} = (u_i) \in N_{\bar{f}}$  and for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\bar{f}_{u_i, u_{i+1}}$  be the lift of  $f$  by  $\pi$  such that  $\bar{f}(u_i) = u_{i+1}$  and define

$$\bar{f}_{\tilde{u}}^i = \begin{cases} \bar{f}_{u_{i-1}, u_i} \circ \dots \circ \bar{f}_{u_0, u_1} & \text{for } i > 0, \\ (\bar{f}_{u_i, u_{i+1}})^{-1} \circ \dots \circ (\bar{f}_{u_{-1}, u_0})^{-1} & \text{for } i < 0, \\ id_N & \text{for } i = 0. \end{cases}$$

We define a map  $\tau_{\tilde{u}} = \tau_{\tilde{u}}^f : N \rightarrow (N/\Gamma)_f$  by

$$\tau_{\tilde{u}}(x) = (\pi \circ \bar{f}_{\tilde{u}}^i(x))_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \quad (x \in N).$$

Since  $\bar{f}(e) = e$ , then  $\tau_e(e) = \tau_{\tilde{e}}(e) = (\pi(e))_{i=-\infty}^{\infty}$ .

LEMMA 4.7 ([2] Lemma 6.6.8 (1)). *If  $x \in X$  and  $\tilde{u} \in N_f$  then  $\pi(\overline{W}^u(x; \tilde{u})) = W^u(\tau_{\tilde{u}}(x))$ .*

Let  $X$  be a compact metric set and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  a continuous surjection. A point  $x \in X$  is said to be a *nonwandering point* if for any neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  there is an integer  $n > 0$  such that  $f^n(U) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ . The set  $\Omega(f)$  of all nonwandering points is called the *nonwandering set*. Clearly  $\Omega(f)$  is closed in  $X$  and invariant under  $f$ .

$f$  is said to be *topologically transitive* (here  $X$  may be not necessarily compact), if there is  $x_0 \in X$  such that the orbit  $O^+(x_0) = \{f^i(x_0) : i \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}\}$  is dense in  $X$ . It is easy to check that if  $X$  is compact, a continuous surjection  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is topologically transitive if and only if for any  $U, V$  nonempty open sets there is  $n > 0$  such that  $f^n(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ .

A continuous surjection  $f : X \rightarrow X$  of a metric space is *topologically mixing* if for nonempty open sets  $U, V$  there exists  $N > 0$  such that  $f^n(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$  for all  $n > N$ . Topological mixing implies topological transitivity.

For continuing, we need next theorem for which proof one can see [2] Theorem 3.4.4.

THEOREM 4.8 (Topological decomposition theorem). *Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous surjection of a compact metric space. If  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is a TA-map, then the following properties hold:*

- (1) (*Spectral decomposition theorem due to Smale*) *The nonwandering set,  $\Omega(f)$ , contains a finite sequence  $B_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq l$ ) of  $f$ -invariant closed subsets such that*
  - (i)  $\Omega(f) = \bigcup_{i=1}^l B_i$  (*disjoint union*),
  - (ii)  $f|_{B_i} : B_i \rightarrow B_i$  *is topologically transitive.**Such the subsets  $B_i$  are called basic sets.*
- (2) (*Decomposition theorem due to Bowen*) *For  $B$  a basic set there exist  $a > 0$  and a finite sequence  $C_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq a - 1$ ) of closed subsets such that*

- (i)  $C_i \cap C_j = \emptyset$  ( $i \neq j$ ),  $f(C_i) = C_{i+1}$  and  $f^a(C_i) = C_i$ ,
  - (ii)  $B = \bigcup_{i=0}^{a-1} C_i$ ,
  - (iii)  $f|_{C_i} : C_i \rightarrow C_i$  is topologically mixing,
- Such the subsets  $C_i$  are called elementary sets.

LEMMA 4.9 ([15] Lemma 5.4).  $\Omega(f) = N/\Gamma$ .

LEMMA 4.10.  $N/\Gamma$  is indeed an elementary set.

PROOF. By lemma 3.5, let  $\bar{f} : N \rightarrow N$  be the lift of  $f$  such that  $\bar{f}(e) = e$ . By the commuting diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & N \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ N/\Gamma & \xrightarrow{f} & N/\Gamma \end{array}$$

we have,

$$f([e]) = f(\pi(e)) = \pi(\bar{f}(e)) = \pi(e) = [e].$$

Therefore,  $[e]$  is a fixed point of  $f$ .

By lemma 4.9,  $\Omega(f) = N/\Gamma$ . As  $N$  is connected and  $\pi$  is a continuous surjection then  $N/\Gamma$  is connected. In the proof of part (1) of spectral decomposition theorem, they prove that basic sets are close and open. Hence by connectedness of  $\Omega(f) = N/\Gamma$ , it consists of only one basic set, say  $B$ . On the other hand, by part (2) of spectral decomposition theorem,  $N/\Gamma = B$  is the union of elementary sets. There is an elementary set, say  $C$ , such that  $[e] \in C$ . Since elementary sets are disjoint, by condition  $f(C_i) = C_{i+1}$ ,  $N/\Gamma = B$  consists of only one elementary set.  $\square$

LEMMA 4.11 ([2] Remark 5.3.2 (2)). Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a TA-map of a compact metric space and let  $C$  be an elementary set of  $f$ . If  $\tilde{u} = (u_i) \in N_f$  and  $x_i \in C$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  then  $W^u(\tilde{x}) \cap C$  is dense in  $C$ .

LEMMA 4.12.  $\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \overline{W}^u(\gamma(e); e)$  is dense in  $N$ .

PROOF. By lemma 3.13 and lemma 4.7 we have

$$(4.12) \quad \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \overline{W}^u(\gamma(e); e) = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma(\overline{W}^u(e; e)) = \pi^{-1}(W^u(\tau_e(e))).$$

We have  $\tau_e(e) = (\pi(e))_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \in (N/\Gamma)_f$ . On the other hand, Since by lemma 4.10,  $\Omega(f) = N/\Gamma$  is an elementary set, say  $C$ , and for  $(\pi(e))_{i=-\infty}^{\infty}$  we have  $\pi(e) \in N/\Gamma = C$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , by lemma 4.11 we have

$$W^u(\tau_e(e)) = W^u(\tau_e(e)) \cap (N/\Gamma) = W^u(\tau_e(e)) \cap C$$

is dense in  $C = N/\Gamma$ . By relation (4.12), we have the desired result.  $\square$

By lemma 4.6,  $\bar{h}'$  is extended to a continuous map  $\tilde{h} : N \rightarrow N$ . From proposition 4.3 (1), (2) and (3), and lemma 3.8, we have  $\bar{h} = \tilde{h}$  and  $\bar{h}(\gamma(e)) = \gamma(e)$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

PROPOSITION 4.13. *For all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $x \in N$ ,  $\bar{h}(\gamma(x)) = \gamma(\bar{h}(x))$ .*

PROOF. According to lemma 3.9.(4), we have

$$(4.13) \quad \bar{h}(\gamma(x)) \in \bar{L}^s(\gamma(\bar{h}(x))).$$

Suppose that  $x \in \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \bar{W}^u(\gamma(e); \mathbf{e})$ . Then there is  $\gamma_x \in \Gamma$  such that  $x \in \bar{W}^u(\gamma_x(e); \mathbf{e})$ . For each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  we have

$$\gamma(x) \in \bar{h}(\bar{W}^u(\gamma_x(e); \mathbf{e})) = \bar{W}^u(\gamma(\gamma_x(e)); \mathbf{e}).$$

Thus

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{h}(\gamma(x)) &\in \bar{h}\left(\bar{W}^u(\gamma(\gamma_x(e)); \mathbf{e})\right) \\ &\text{(by Remark 3.12)} = \bar{L}^u(\bar{h}(\gamma(\gamma_x(e)))) \\ &= \bar{L}^u(\gamma(\gamma_x(e))). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \gamma(\bar{h}(x)) &\in \gamma(\bar{h}(\bar{W}^u(\gamma_x(e); \mathbf{e}))) \\ &\text{(by Remark 3.12)} = \gamma(\bar{L}^u(\bar{h}(\gamma_x(e)))) \\ &= \gamma(\bar{L}^u(\gamma_x(e))) \\ &\text{(by Lemma 3.14)} = \bar{L}^u(\gamma(\gamma_x(e))). \end{aligned}$$

By (4.15), we have  $\bar{L}^u(\gamma(\gamma_x(e))) = \bar{L}^u(\gamma(\bar{h}(x)))$ . Therefore, by (4.14) we have

$$(4.16) \quad \bar{h}(\gamma(x)) \in \bar{L}^u(\gamma(\bar{h}(x))).$$

By (4.13) and (4.16) we have

$$(4.17) \quad \bar{h}(\gamma(x)) \in \bar{L}^u(\gamma(\bar{h}(x))) \cap \bar{L}^s(\gamma(\bar{h}(x))) = \{\gamma(\bar{h}(x))\}.$$

Thus for each  $x \in \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \bar{W}^u(\gamma(e); \mathbf{e})$  we have  $\bar{h}(\gamma(x)) = \gamma(\bar{h}(x))$ . Since  $\bar{h}$  is continuous and  $\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \bar{W}^u(\gamma(e); \mathbf{e})$  is dense in  $N$ , we have the desired result.  $\square$

According to proposition 4.13,  $\bar{h}$  induces a homeomorphism  $h : N/\Gamma \rightarrow N/\Gamma$  such that  $h \circ \pi = \pi \circ \bar{h}$ . i.e. the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N & \xrightarrow{\bar{h}} & N \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ N/\Gamma & \xrightarrow{h} & N/\Gamma \end{array}$$

$h$  is the conjugacy between  $f$  and  $A$ . For if  $x \in N/\Gamma$  then there is  $y \in N$  such that  $x = \pi(y)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} h \circ f(x) &= h \circ f(\pi(y)) = h(f \circ \pi(y)) = h(\pi \circ \bar{f}(y)) \\ &= h \circ \pi(\bar{f}(y)) = \pi \circ \bar{h}(\bar{f}(y)) = \pi(\bar{h} \circ \bar{f}(y)) \\ &= \pi(\bar{A} \circ \bar{h}(y)) = \pi \circ \bar{A}(\bar{h}(y)) = A \circ \pi(\bar{h}(y)) \\ &= A(\pi \circ \bar{h}(y)) = A(h \circ \pi(y)) = A \circ h(\pi(y)) \\ &= A \circ h(x). \end{aligned}$$

So the Main Theorem is proved.

**Proof of Corollary 1.11.** As mentioned in section 2, every endomorphism of a compact metric space is a covering map. Every Anosov endomorphism is a  $TA$ -map (see [2] Theorem 1.2.1). It is easy to show that if  $f$  is a diffeomorphism or an expanding map then it is special. By Theorem 1.9, it is conjugate to a hyperbolic infra-nil-automorphism or an expanding infra-nil-endomorphism, respectively, which are hyperbolic infra-nil-endomorphisms. In Theorem 1.10, we prove the case that  $f$  is not injective or expanding. So in this case  $f$  is conjugate to a hyperbolic infra-nil-endomorphism too.

## References

1. D. V. Anosov, *Geodesic flows on closed Riemannian manifolds with negative curvature*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math., 90 (1967), 1-235.
2. N. Aoki and K. Hiraide, *Topological Theory of Dynamical Systems*, Mathematical Library, North Holland, 1994.
3. J. Deré, *Which infra-nilmanifolds admit an expanding map or an Anosov diffeomorphism?*, Dissertation presented in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor in Science, Uitgegeven in eigen beheer, Belgium, 2015.
4. J. Franks, *Anosov diffeomorphisms*, Global Analysis, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 14 (1970), 61-93.
5. J. Franks, *Anosov diffeomorphisms on tori*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 145 (1969), 117-124.
6. M. Gromov, *Groups of polynomial growth and expanding maps*. Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques, 53 (1981), 53-73.
7. K. Hiraide, *On an ordering of dynamics of homeomorphisms*, Algorithms, fractals and dynamics (1995), 63-78.
8. R. Mané and C. Pugh, *Stability of endomorphisms*, Lecture Notes in Math., 468, Springer-Verlag (1975), 175-184.
9. A. Manning, *Anosov diffeomorphisms on nilmanifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 38 (1973), 423-426.
10. A. Manning, *There are no new Anosov diffeomorphisms on tori*, Amer. J. Math., 96 (1974), 422-429.
11. F. Przytycki, *Anosov endomorphisms*, Studia Math., 58 (1976), 249-285.
12. M. Shub, *Endomorphisms of compact differentiable manifolds*, Amer. J. Math., 91 (1969), 175-199.
13. S. Smale, *Differential dynamical systems*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 73 (1967), 747-817.

14. Naoya Sumi, *Linearization of expansive maps of tori*, Proc. of International Conference on Dynamical Systems and Chaos, 1 (1994), 243-248.
15. Naoya Sumi, *Topological Anosov maps of infra-nil-manifolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 48 (1996), 607-648.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES,  
TARBIAT MODARES UNIVERSITY, TEHRAN 14115-134, IRAN  
*E-mail address:* `seyedmohsen.moosavi@modares.ac.ir`, `smohsenmoosavi2009@gmail.com`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES,  
TARBIAT MODARES UNIVERSITY, TEHRAN 14115-134, IRAN  
*E-mail address:* `khtajbakhsh@modares.ac.ir`, `arash@cnu.ac.kr`