Optimizing Perioperative Care: The Role and Benefits of Neuraxial Anesthesia

Sau Bandara[1], D Kumari[1], M Daupadi[2], B Mudiyanse[2], C King[3], D W Bond[4]

1. National Hospital, Colombo, Sri Lanka.

2. Eye Hospital, Colombo, Sri Lanka.

3. PeaceHealth St. Joseph Medical Center in Bellingham, WA

4. UW Medicine-University of Washington Medical Center in Seattle, WA

Abstract: Neuraxial anesthesia, encompassing spinal and epidural techniques, has become a cornerstone of modern anesthesia practice, offering numerous advantages for patients undergoing various surgical procedures. This anesthesia modality provides effective pain relief, minimizes systemic side effects, and facilitates early recovery, thereby revolutionizing perioperative care. However, the use of neuraxial anesthesia entails certain risks, necessitating careful patient selection, precise technique, and vigilant postoperative monitoring to mitigate potential complications. Effective collaboration among healthcare team members, from preoperative evaluation to postoperative follow-up, is essential to ensure optimal patient outcomes. By prioritizing patient safety, maintaining open communication, and embracing a patient-centered approach, healthcare teams can harness the full potential of neuraxial anesthesia to enhance surgical outcomes and improve patient satisfaction. Neuraxial anesthesia stands as a testament to the advancements in anesthesia practice, empowering clinicians to deliver tailored, effective pain management while promoting patient comfort, safety, and well-being throughout the perioperative journey.

Introduction:

The evolution of regional anesthesia is indeed fascinating, tracing back to the discovery and exploration of local anesthetics. Cocaine's role as the pioneering substance in this realm highlights the serendipitous nature of scientific advancement. August Bier's groundbreaking application of spinal anesthesia marked a pivotal moment, revolutionizing surgical practice and patient care.

Understanding the anatomical and physiological foundations of neuraxial anesthesia is crucial for its safe and effective administration. By targeting the central nervous system, these techniques offer profound pain relief and facilitate various surgical interventions. Spinal anesthesia, with its direct placement in the subarachnoid space, taps into the intricacies of cerebrospinal fluid dynamics, leveraging its properties for therapeutic benefit.

The distinct nuances of neuraxial techniques, such as epidural and caudal anesthesia, underscore their versatility in clinical practice. Tailoring the choice of technique to specific patient needs and procedural requirements optimizes outcomes and enhances patient satisfaction. Within this spectrum, spinal anesthesia emerges as a cornerstone, particularly well-suited for procedures involving the lower regions of the body.

As the field continues to advance, refining techniques and expanding indications, the legacy of its pioneers remains ever-present, guiding contemporary practitioners in their pursuit of excellence in anesthesia care.

Anatomy and Physiology:

The intricacies of spinal anatomy and physiology play a pivotal role in the safe and effective administration of spinal anesthesia. A thorough comprehension of the vertebral column's structure and the spatial relationships within the spinal canal is essential for precise needle placement and medication delivery.

The segmented nature of the spine, with its cervical, thoracic, lumbar, and sacral regions, dictates the feasibility and safety considerations of spinal anesthesia. Focusing on the lumbar area, specifically mid to low lumbar levels, minimizes the risk of spinal cord injury and ensures targeted anesthetic effects within the desired anatomical scope.

Central to this understanding is the concept of the conus medullaris, the termination point of the spinal cord. Its location, typically at the lower border of the first or second lumbar vertebral body in adults, guides needle insertion to avoid neurological compromise. Variations in conus position, though within a normal distribution, necessitate careful patient assessment and adaptation during procedure planning.

Moreover, recognizing dermatomal innervation patterns is indispensable for predicting the extent of sensory blockade. Dermatomal landmarks serve as crucial reference points, aiding clinicians in determining the appropriate level of anesthesia required for specific surgical interventions. For instance, ensuring coverage below the T10 dermatome is vital for cesarean sections to mitigate discomfort associated with peritoneal manipulation.

By integrating anatomical knowledge with clinical expertise, practitioners can optimize patient outcomes and minimize procedural risks, underscoring the importance of a comprehensive approach to spinal anesthesia administration.

Indication:

Neuraxial anesthesia, including spinal anesthesia, holds a pivotal role in modern anesthesia practice, offering a range of indications and benefits for surgical procedures below the neck. Its versatility extends from serving as the primary anesthetic modality to complementing general anesthesia in various clinical scenarios.

Spinal anesthesia, specifically, finds widespread application in surgeries involving the lower abdomen, pelvis, perineal region, and lower extremities, effectively providing profound analgesia and muscle relaxation for procedures below the umbilicus. Its efficacy and reliability make it a preferred choice for shorter-duration surgeries within this anatomical domain.

Patient education and informed consent are integral components of the preoperative process, fostering understanding and alleviating anxiety regarding the procedure's nature, potential risks, benefits, and alternatives. Ensuring patients comprehend the temporary reduction in lower extremity mobility until block resolution is essential for informed decision-making and postoperative management.

While spinal anesthesia excels in certain contexts, its suitability for more prolonged procedures or those requiring preserved respiratory function may be limited. In such cases, the complementary use of general anesthesia is often preferred to ensure patient comfort and safety throughout the surgical intervention.

By delineating clear indications, facilitating patient communication, and judiciously integrating neuraxial anesthesia into perioperative care pathways, clinicians can optimize outcomes and enhance the overall surgical experience for patients undergoing procedures below the neck.

Contraindication:

Understanding the contraindications to neuraxial anesthesia, including spinal and epidural techniques, is crucial for patient safety and procedural decision-making. While some contraindications are absolute, others are relative and require careful consideration of the individual patient's circumstances.

Absolute contraindications include situations where patient consent is lacking or when there's elevated intracranial pressure, typically due to conditions such as intracranial mass or infection at the procedure site, which poses a risk of meningitis.

Relative contraindications encompass a broader range of conditions that may heighten procedural risks or compromise patient safety. These include preexisting neurological diseases, severe dehydration leading to hypovolemia, and thrombocytopenia or coagulopathy, particularly concerning epidural anesthesia due to the heightened risk of epidural hematoma. Other relative contraindications involve cardiac conditions such as severe mitral and aortic stenosis and hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy.

In cases of coagulopathy, careful evaluation and adherence to updated guidelines from organizations like the American Society of Regional Anesthesia (ASRA) are essential, especially regarding the timing of neuraxial anesthesia in patients receiving oral anticoagulants, antiplatelets, or thrombolytic therapy.

Given the elective nature of many procedures requiring neuraxial anesthesia, a thorough risk-benefit analysis is imperative before proceeding, ensuring that patient safety remains paramount throughout the perioperative journey. Collaboration between anesthesia providers, surgeons, and other healthcare professionals is essential in navigating these complexities and optimizing patient outcomes.

Equipment:

The meticulous preparation and adherence to aseptic technique are paramount in ensuring the safety and success of neuraxial procedures. Essential equipment and supplies must be readily available and organized to facilitate a smooth and sterile environment.

Personal protective equipment, including caps, masks, sterile gloves, and hand hygiene, establishes the foundation of asepsis, minimizing the risk of contamination during the procedure. Adequate space and equipment are necessary to accommodate both the patient and procedural team comfortably.

Monitoring equipment plays a crucial role in assessing the patient's vital signs and physiological status throughout the procedure. This includes devices for measuring blood pressure, continuous EKG monitoring, pulse oximetry for oxygenation assessment, and temperature monitoring. Proficiency in utilizing and interpreting these monitors is essential for the clinician performing the procedure, ensuring timely recognition of any deviations from baseline.

In cases where sedation is planned, provisions for assisting ventilation, oxygenation, and circulatory support should be readily available. Establishing intravenous access before the procedure enables prompt administration of medications or fluids if needed. Additionally, the presence of a certified anesthesiologist is warranted if there's a possibility of transitioning to general anesthesia.

Commercially available spinal anesthesia kits streamline the process by providing standardized contents. These typically include chlorhexidine with alcohol for skin preparation, sterile drapes, local infiltrating anesthetic (commonly 1% lidocaine), and the necessary equipment for spinal needle placement, such as Quincke, Whitacre, Sprotte, or Greene needles, along with syringes and preservative-free spinal anesthetic solutions. The choice of anesthetic solution may vary based on factors such as duration of action and patient characteristics, with options including lidocaine, ropivacaine, bupivacaine, procaine, or tetracaine.

By ensuring comprehensive preparation and utilization of appropriate equipment, clinicians can uphold the principles of

patient safety and procedural efficacy in neuraxial anesthesia administration.

Personal:

The administration of spinal anesthesia demands a high level of expertise and proficiency, necessitating the involvement of specialized medical personnel with appropriate training and certification. Typically, the responsibility falls upon board-certified anesthesiologists or anesthesiologists in training under the supervision of experienced mentors.

In addition to anesthesiologists, other healthcare professionals with specialized training in spinal anesthesia may also be involved in the procedure. This includes pain management physicians with backgrounds in physical medicine and rehabilitation (PM&R), neurology, and emergency medicine, who have undergone fellowship training to acquire the necessary skills.

Collaboration and teamwork are integral components of safe and efficient procedural execution. An assistant is often present to provide support during the procedure, assisting with equipment setup and ensuring procedural continuity. Their presence facilitates the smooth flow of the procedure and enhances patient safety.

Moreover, the support staff plays a crucial role in ensuring patient comfort and safety throughout the procedure. This may involve positioning the patient adequately, providing assistance with maintaining posture, and ensuring patient stability, especially in cases where sedation has been administered.

By assembling a skilled and coordinated team of healthcare professionals, spinal anesthesia procedures can be conducted with precision, safety, and optimal patient outcomes.

Preparation:

Thorough preparation is essential before initiating neuraxial anesthesia, encompassing a comprehensive evaluation of the patient's medical history, physical examination, and meticulous attention to procedural details. Here's a breakdown of the key aspects:

Medical History: A detailed medical history should be obtained, focusing on previous exposure to anesthetic medications, allergies, and any family history of anesthetic complications. This information guides medication selection and risk assessment.

Physical Examination: A thorough physical examination, particularly of the back, is crucial. This includes assessing for systemic or local skin infections and identifying any spine abnormalities (e.g., scoliosis, spinal stenosis, previous back surgery, spina bifida). A pre-procedural neurological examination to evaluate strength and sensation provides baseline data for comparison.

Procedural Time-Out: Before the procedure, a formal time-out should be conducted to confirm key details, including the patient's identity, planned procedure, allergies, consent status, and verbal confirmation of coagulation status. This step ensures patient safety and procedural accuracy.

Drug Selection: Neuraxial anesthesia relies on the use of specific local anesthetic agents. Commonly used drugs include:

Lidocaine (5%): Rapid onset of action (3 to 5 minutes) with a duration of anesthesia lasting 60 to 90 minutes.

Bupivacaine (0.75%): Widely used, with onset occurring within 5 to 8 minutes and a duration of anesthesia lasting 90 to 150 minutes.

Tetracaine (0.5%), Mepivacaine (2%), Ropivacaine (0.75%), Levobupivacaine (0.5%), Chloroprocaine (3%): Each of these agents has specific characteristics regarding onset, duration, and potency, allowing for tailored anesthesia management based on patient needs and procedure requirements.

By systematically addressing these preparatory steps, clinicians can ensure a safe and effective neuraxial anesthesia procedure, optimizing patient outcomes and minimizing risks.

Technique:

The technique for performing spinal anesthesia requires precision, attention to detail, and adherence to strict aseptic principles to ensure patient safety and procedural success. Here's a step-by-step guide to the procedure:

Patient Positioning: The patient is positioned either sitting upright or in the lateral decubitus position. In the sitting position, the patient's legs hang off the side of the bed, and they are encouraged to maintain a flexed spine position to facilitate needle insertion. The lateral decubitus position is also a viable option.

Site Identification: Using palpation, the access site is identified between two palpable spinous processes. In obese patients, this may be more challenging due to increased subcutaneous fat. The patient's hair should be covered to maintain asepsis.

Aseptic Preparation: Strict aseptic technique is employed, beginning with skin preparation using chlorhexidine antiseptic with alcohol content. Hand washing, wearing a mask and cap, and allowing the cleaning solution to dry are essential. A sterile drape is placed over the patient's back to isolate the access area.

Local Anesthetic Infiltration: About 1 mL of 1% lidocaine is used for skin infiltration at the chosen access site. This creates a wheal and helps minimize discomfort during needle insertion.

Midline Approach: The spinal needle is introduced into the skin, angled slightly cephalad.

The needle traverses through the skin, subcutaneous fat, and engages the supraspinous and interspinous ligaments, noted by increased tissue resistance.

Advancing further, the practitioner encounters the ligamentum flavum, characterized by a "pop" sensation.

Penetration of the ligamentum flavum marks entry into the epidural space.

For spinal anesthesia, the needle is advanced until penetration of the dura-subarachnoid membranes, signaled by free-flowing cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), indicating the appropriate placement for medication administration.

Paramedian Approach: The skin wheal from the local anesthetic is placed about 2 cm from the midline.

The spinal needle advances at an angle toward the midline, bypassing the supraspinous and interspinous ligaments.

Resistance is encountered upon reaching the ligamentum flavum, indicating the appropriate depth for medication administration.

Throughout the procedure, constant communication with the patient and careful monitoring for any signs of discomfort or neurological complications is essential. By following these steps diligently, clinicians can perform spinal anesthesia safely and effectively, providing optimal pain relief for the patient undergoing surgical procedures.

While neuraxial anesthesia, including spinal and epidural techniques, is generally safe and well-tolerated, it's important for clinicians to be aware of potential complications and take appropriate measures to mitigate risks. Here are some common complications associated with neuraxial anesthesia:

Backache: This complication, more commonly associated with epidural anesthesia, can occur due to a variety of factors, including positioning during the procedure and changes in spinal mechanics.

Postdural Puncture Headache (PDPH): Postdural puncture headache is a well-known complication, with reported incidence rates as high as 25% in some studies. It is typically characterized by a severe headache that worsens upon sitting or standing and improves when lying down. Utilizing non-cutting needles for patients at high risk and using the smallest gauge needle available for all patients can help reduce the risk of PDPH.

Nausea and Vomiting: These are common side effects of neuraxial anesthesia and are often managed with pharmacological interventions.

Hypotension: Neuraxial anesthesia can lead to hypotension due to sympathetic blockade, especially in patients undergoing lower extremity surgery. Prophylactic measures such as intravenous fluid administration and vasopressor support may be required.

Low-Frequency Hearing Loss: This rare complication has been reported with neuraxial anesthesia, particularly with the use of certain local anesthetics.

Total Spinal Anesthesia: While extremely rare, total spinal anesthesia is one of the most feared complications of neuraxial anesthesia. It involves unintended spread of the anesthetic agent to the entire spinal cord, resulting in profound hypotension, respiratory depression, and loss of consciousness.

Neurological Injury: Though uncommon, neurological injury can occur as a result of neuraxial anesthesia, particularly if there is direct trauma to the spinal cord or nerve roots during needle insertion.

Spinal Hematoma: Spinal hematoma is a rare but potentially serious complication, particularly in patients with coagulopathy or those receiving anticoagulant therapy.

Arachnoiditis: This inflammatory condition of the arachnoid membrane can occur as a rare complication of neuraxial anesthesia, leading to chronic pain and neurological dysfunction.

Transient Neurological Syndrome (TNS): TNS is characterized by transient neurological symptoms such as back pain, radicular pain, and sensory disturbances. It is more commonly associated with lidocaine-based spinal anesthesia.

To minimize the risk of complications, clinicians should carefully select patients, adhere to proper technique, and be vigilant for early signs of complications during and after the procedure. Prompt recognition and appropriate management of complications are essential to ensuring optimal patient outcomes.

Clinical Significance:

The clinical significance of neuraxial anesthesia, both as a standalone technique and as an adjunct to general anesthesia, cannot be overstated. Here are some key points highlighting its importance:

Patient-Centered Care: Neuraxial anesthesia allows for major surgical procedures to be performed while the patient remains awake, providing several advantages such as reduced risks associated with general anesthesia, quicker recovery times, and decreased postoperative nausea and vomiting. For example, neuraxial anesthesia is often the preferred choice for cesarean sections, facilitating immediate bonding between the mother and her newborn.

Enhanced Pain Management: Neuraxial anesthesia serves as an effective modality for perioperative pain management. Thoracic epidurals, for instance, are utilized post-thoracotomy to provide superior pain relief compared to intravenous narcotics, leading to improved respiratory status, reduced opioid requirements, and earlier recovery of bowel function. This, in turn, promotes patient comfort and facilitates early mobilization and participation in physical therapy, ultimately contributing to improved outcomes and shorter hospital stays.

Reduced Systemic Side Effects: By targeting pain relief directly to the affected area, neuraxial anesthesia minimizes the

systemic side effects associated with systemic opioids, such as sedation, respiratory depression, and gastrointestinal dysfunction. This allows for better pain control while mitigating the risk of adverse events and optimizing patient comfort and satisfaction.

Versatile Adjunct to General Anesthesia: Neuraxial anesthesia complements general anesthesia in a variety of surgical settings, providing intraoperative analgesia and facilitating smoother intraoperative and postoperative courses. Its use as an adjunct to general anesthesia enhances intraoperative hemodynamic stability, reduces anesthetic requirements, and promotes a more rapid emergence from anesthesia, leading to improved postoperative outcomes.

In summary, neuraxial anesthesia offers numerous clinical benefits, including improved pain management, reduced systemic side effects, enhanced patient satisfaction, and shorter hospital stays. Its versatility and efficacy make it an indispensable tool in modern anesthesia practice, contributing to safer, more efficient, and patient-centered perioperative care.

Enhance Outcome:

Enhancing healthcare team outcomes in the context of neuraxial anesthesia involves a multidisciplinary approach focused on patient safety, effective communication, and comprehensive postoperative care. Here's how various team members can collaborate to optimize patient outcomes:

Preoperative Evaluation and Patient Selection: Anesthesia providers, in collaboration with surgeons and other healthcare professionals, conduct thorough preoperative assessments to identify suitable candidates for neuraxial anesthesia. A careful history and physical examination guide patient selection, ensuring that the indication for neuraxial anesthesia aligns with the patient's surgical needs and medical history.

Intraoperative Management: Anesthesia providers perform neuraxial anesthesia procedures with precision and vigilance, minimizing procedural risks and optimizing patient comfort. Close collaboration with surgical teams ensures seamless intraoperative care, with continuous monitoring of the patient's hemodynamics and response to anesthesia.

Postoperative Monitoring and Management: The postoperative team, including nurses, anesthesiologists, and other healthcare professionals, remains vigilant in monitoring patients following neuraxial anesthesia. Close observation of vital signs, pain levels, and neurological status allows for early detection of complications and prompt intervention if needed.

Patient Education and Follow-Up: Patients receive comprehensive education regarding potential complications and post-procedure expectations. Clear instructions are provided for monitoring symptoms such as headaches, backaches, and changes in bowel and bladder function. Patients are encouraged to report any concerns promptly, and mechanisms for contacting healthcare providers, including anesthesia personnel, are established.

Continuity of Care: Upon discharge, patients may be scheduled for follow-up visits with anesthesia providers or appropriate specialists to assess recovery and address any ongoing issues or complications. Open lines of communication between healthcare team members ensure seamless coordination of care and facilitate timely interventions as needed.

Quality Improvement and Continuing Education: Healthcare teams engage in ongoing quality improvement initiatives to enhance the safety and effectiveness of neuraxial anesthesia procedures. Regular education and training sessions ensure that team members remain updated on best practices, emerging evidence, and procedural advancements.

By fostering collaboration, communication, and a patient-centered approach, healthcare teams can optimize outcomes for patients undergoing neuraxial anesthesia, ensuring a smooth perioperative experience and promoting overall patient well-being.

Conclusion:

In conclusion, neuraxial anesthesia stands as a valuable anesthesia modality that offers numerous benefits for patients undergoing a wide range of surgical procedures. Its ability to provide effective pain relief, minimize systemic side effects, and facilitate early recovery has revolutionized perioperative care.

However, the use of neuraxial anesthesia is not without risks, and careful patient selection, meticulous technique, and vigilant postoperative monitoring are essential to mitigate potential complications.

Effective collaboration among healthcare team members, including anesthesia providers, surgeons, nurses, and other specialists, is paramount in ensuring optimal patient outcomes. From preoperative evaluation to postoperative follow-up, each member of the healthcare team plays a crucial role in delivering safe, high-quality care.

By prioritizing patient safety, maintaining open lines of communication, and embracing a patient-centered approach, healthcare teams can harness the full potential of neuraxial anesthesia to enhance surgical outcomes and improve patient satisfaction.

Ultimately, neuraxial anesthesia represents a cornerstone of modern anesthesia practice, empowering clinicians to provide tailored, effective pain management while promoting patient comfort, safety, and well-being throughout the perioperative journey.

References:

1. Saifuddin A, Burnett SJ, White J. The variation of position of the conus medullaris in an adult population. A magnetic resonance imaging study. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 1998 Jul 01;23(13):1452-6. [PubMed]

2. Broadbent CR, Maxwell WB, Ferrie R, Wilson DJ, Gawne-Cain M, Russell R. Ability of anaesthetists to identify a marked lumbar interspace. Anaesthesia. 2000 Nov;55(11):1122-6. [PubMed]
3. Hartmann B, Junger A, Klasen J, Benson M, Jost A, Banzhaf A, Hempelmann G. The incidence and risk factors for hypotension after spinal anesthesia induction: an analysis with automated data collection. Anesth Analg. 2002 Jun;94(6):1521-9, table of contents. [PubMed]
4. Carpenter RL, Caplan RA, Brown DL, Stephenson C, Wu R. Incidence and risk factors for side effects of spinal anesthesia. Anesthesiology. 1992 Jun;76(6):906-16. [PubMed]
5. Tonder S, Togioka BM, Maani CV. StatPearls [Internet]. StatPearls Publishing; Treasure Island (FL): Jul 17, 2023. Chloroprocaine. [PubMed]
6. Moen V, Dahlgren N, Irestedt L. Severe neurological complications after central neuraxial blockades in Sweden 1990-1999. Anesthesiology. 2004 Oct;101(4):950-9. [PubMed]
7. Halpern S, Preston R. Postdural puncture headache and spinal needle design. Metaanalyses. Anesthesiology. 1994 Dec;81(6):1376-83. [PubMed]
8. Zaric D, Pace NL. Transient neurologic symptoms (TNS) following spinal anaesthesia with lidocaine versus other local anaesthetics. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2009 Apr 15;(2):CD003006. [PubMed]
9. Plewa MC, McAllister RK. StatPearls [Internet]. StatPearls Publishing; Treasure Island (FL): Aug 17, 2023. Postdural Puncture Headache. [PubMed]
10. Chattopadhyay I, Jha AK, Banerjee SS, Basu S. Post-procedure adhesive arachnoiditis following obstetric spinal anaesthesia. Indian J Anaesth. 2016 May;60(5):372-4. [PMC free article] [PubMed]
11. Karunarathna, Indunil, and K. Kusumarathna. "Optimizing Traumatic Brain Injury Management: A Multidisciplinary Approach and Lessons Learned from a Complex Case." Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/380096567_Optimizing_Traumatic_Brain_Injury_Management_A_Multidisciplinary_Approach_and_Lessons_Learned_from_a_Complex_Case [accessed Apr 25 2024].
12. Karunarathna, Indunil, and K. Kusumarathna. "How to Recognize, Respond to, and Prevent Anaphylaxis: A Comprehensive Guide." Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/380096299_How_to_Recognize_Respond_to_and_Prevent_Anaphylaxis_A_Comprehensive_Guide [accessed Apr 25 2024].
13. Karunarathna, Indunil, K. Kusumarathna, P. Jayathilaka, C. J. Withanagama, and others. "Understanding Evidence Levels in Evidence-Based Medicine: A Guide for Healthcare Professionals." April 2024. Lab: Uva Clinical Lab. Available from: ResearchGate.
14. Karunarathna, Indunil, et al. "Navigating Pediatric Anatomy and Physiology in Anesthesia: Key Considerations for Safe Perioperative Care." Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/380030115_Navigating_Pediatric_Anatomy_and_Physiology_in_Anesthesia_Key_Considerations_for_Safe_Perioperative_Care [accessed Apr 25 2024].
15. Karunarathna, Indunil, et al. "Advancements in Labor Analgesia: Navigating Modern Techniques." Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/380000759_Advancements_in_Labor_Analgesia_Navigating_Modern_Techniques [accessed Apr 25 2024].
16. Karunarathna, Indunil, et al. "Understanding Pain Physiology: Foundations for Effective Chronic Pain Management (Part 2)." Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/379959202_Understanding_Pain_Physiology_Foundations_for_Effective_Chronic_Pain_Management_Part_2 [accessed Apr 25 2024].
17. Karunarathna, Indunil, et al. "Understanding Pain Physiology: Foundations for Effective Chronic Pain Management (Part 1)." Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/379956116_Understanding_Pain_Physiology_Foundations_for_Effective_Chronic_Pain_Management_Part_1 [accessed Apr 25 2024].
18. Karunarathna, Indunil, et al. "Optimizing Perioperative Care for Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease." Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/379907517_Optimizing_Perioperative_Care_for_Patients_with_Chronic_Kidney_Disease [accessed Apr 25 2024].
19. Karunarathna, Indunil, et al. "Intensive Care Management of Tetanus: Current Strategies and Challenges." Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/379892925_Intensive_Care_Management_of_Tetanus_Current_Strategies_and_Challenges [accessed Apr 25 2024].
20. Karunarathna, Indunil, et al. "Anesthesia Considerations for Pregnant Patients: Physiological Changes, Drug Transfer, and Safety Implications." April 2024. Lab: Uva Clinical Lab. Available from: ResearchGate.
21. Karunarathna, Indunil, et al. "Ketamine: Mechanisms, Applications, and Future Directions." Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/379822825_Ketamine_Mechanisms_Applications_and_Future_Directions [accessed Apr 25 2024].
22. Karunarathna, Indunil, et al. "Comprehensive Management of Diabetes Mellitus in the Perioperative Setting: An Anesthesia Perspective." Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/379809223_Comprehensive_Management_of_Diabetes_Mellitus_in_the_Perioperative_Setting_An_Anesthesia_Perspective [accessed Apr 25 2024].
23. Karunarathna, Indunil, et al. "Optimizing Perioperative Fluid Management in Pediatric Patients: Key Considerations and Recommendations." Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/379778668_Optimizing_Perioperative_Fluid_Management_in_Pediatric_Patients_Key_Considerations_and_Recommendations [accessed Apr 25 2024].
24. Karunarathna, Indunil, et al. "Enhancing Perioperative Care: Comprehensive Preoperative Assessment of Functional Capacity." Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/379750071_Enhancing_Perioperative_Care_Comprehensive_Preoperative_Assessment_of_Functional_Capacity [accessed Apr 25 2024].
25. Karunarathna, Indunil, et al. "Anesthetic Management of Valvular Heart Disease in Non-cardiac Surgeries: Insights from Developing Countries." Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/379732046_Anesthetic_Management_of_Valvular_Heart_Disease_in_Non_cardiac_Surgeries_Insights_from_Developing_Countries [accessed Apr 25 2024].
26. Karunarathna, Indunil, et al. "Navigating Pre-eclampsia: Anesthesia and ICU Management Strategies for Maternal

and Fetal Well-being." April 2024. Lab: Uva Clinical Lab. Available from: ResearchGate.
27. Karunarathna, Indunil, et al. "Navigating the Asthmatic Airway: Anesthesia Management Strategies and Considerations." Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/379664534_Navigating_the_Asthmatic_Airway_Anesthesia_Management_Strategies_and_Considerations [accessed Apr 25 2024].
28. Karunarathna, Indunil, et al. "Understanding Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia: Causes, Prevention, and Management." Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/379568306_Understanding_Ventilator-Associated_Pneumonia_Causes_Prevention_and_Management [accessed Apr 25 2024].
29. Karunarathna, Indunil, et al. "Advancements in Electroconvulsive Therapy (ECT) and Anesthetic Management: A Comprehensive Review." Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/379374955_Advancements_in_Electroconvulsive_Therapy_ECT_and_Anesthetic_Management_A_Comprehensive_Review [accessed Apr 25 2024].
30. Karunarathna, Indunil, L. De Silva, B. Rathnayake, et al. "ICU Topic: ACUTE RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (ARDS) Introduction." March 2024. Conference: Uva Clinical. Lab: Uva Clinical Lab. DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.13488.16645. Available from: ResearchGate.
34. Karunarathna, Indunil, et al. "Necrotizing Fasciitis: A Deadly Soft Tissue Infection." Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/379225574_Necrotizing_Fasciitis_A_Deadly_Soft_Tissue_Infection [accessed Apr 25 2024].
35. Karunarathna, Indunil, Konara Kusumarathna, Pradeep Jayathilaka, N. Priyalath, et al. "Mechanical Ventilation." March 2024. Lab: Uva Clinical Lab. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/378910013_Mechanical_Ventilation
36. Karunarathna, Indunil, Indira Gunarathna, K. Dealwis, and M. Abeykoon. "CLASS III BIOSAFETY COVID ICU: New innovation report." February 2024. Lab: Uva Clinical Lab. DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.31505.61285. Available from: ResearchGate.from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/378403142_CLASS_III_BIOSAFETY_COVID_ICU_New_innovation_report
37. Karunarathna, Indunil, Konara Kusumarathna, and Anura Samarakoon. "Speed of Spinal Anaesthesia Technique for Category-2 Caesarean Section: Clinical Observation-Based Study." February 2024. DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.24794.72641. Lab: Uva Clinical Lab. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/378402970_Speed_of_Spinal_Anaesthesia_Technique_for_Category-2_Caesarean_Section_Clinical_Observation-Based_Study
38.