# Total Synthesis of a Functional Designer Eukaryotic Chromosome 

Narayana Annaluru, ${ }^{1 *}$ Héloïse Muller, ${ }^{1,2,3,4 *}$ Leslie A. Mitchell, ${ }^{2,5}$ Sivaprakash Ramalingam, ${ }^{1}$ Giovanni Stracquadanio, ${ }^{2,6}$ Sarah M. Richardson, ${ }^{6}$ Jessica S. Dymond, ${ }^{2,7}$ Zheng Kuang, ${ }^{2}$ Lisa Z. Scheifele, ${ }^{2,8}$ Eric M. Cooper, ${ }^{2}$ Yizhi Cai, ${ }^{2,9}$ Karen Zeller, ${ }^{2}$ Neta Agmon, ${ }^{2,5}$ Jeffrey S. Han, ${ }^{10}$ Michalis Hadjithomas, ${ }^{11}$ Jennifer Tullman, ${ }^{6}$ Katrina Caravelli, ${ }^{2,12}$ Kimberly Cirelli, ${ }^{1,12}$ Zheyuan Guo, ${ }^{1,13}$ Viktoriya London, ${ }^{1,13}$ Apurva Yeluru, ${ }^{1,13}$ Sindurathy Murugan, ${ }^{6}$ Karthikeyan Kandavelou, ${ }^{1,14}$ Nicolas Agier, ${ }^{15,16}$ Gilles Fischer, ${ }^{15,16}$ Kun Yang, ${ }^{2,6}$ J. Andrew Martin, ${ }^{2,5}$ Murat Bilgel, ${ }^{13}$ Pavlo Bohutski, ${ }^{13}$ Kristin M. Boulier, ${ }^{12}$ Brian J. Capaldo, ${ }^{13}$ Joy Chang, ${ }^{13}$ Kristie Charoen, ${ }^{13}$ Woo Jin Choi, ${ }^{13}$ Peter Deng, ${ }^{14}$ James E. DiCarlo, ${ }^{13}$ Judy Doong, ${ }^{13}$ Jessilyn Dunn, ${ }^{13}$ Jason I. Feinberg, ${ }^{12}$ Christopher Fernandez, ${ }^{12}$ Charlotte E. Floria, ${ }^{12}$ David Gladowski, ${ }^{12}$ Pasha Hadidi, ${ }^{13}$ Isabel Ishizuka, ${ }^{12}$ Javaneh Jabbari, ${ }^{12}$ Calvin Y. L. Lau, ${ }^{13}$ Pablo A. Lee, ${ }^{13}$ Sean Li, ${ }^{13}$ Denise Lin, ${ }^{12}$ Matthias E. Linder, ${ }^{12}$ Jonathan Ling, ${ }^{13}$ Jaime Liu, ${ }^{13}$ Jonathan Liu, ${ }^{13}$ Mariya London, ${ }^{12}$ Henry Ma, ${ }^{13}$ Jessica Mao, ${ }^{13}$ Jessica E. McDade, ${ }^{13}$ Alexandra McMillan, ${ }^{12}$ Aaron M. Moore, ${ }^{12}$ Won Chan Oh, ${ }^{13}$ Yu Ouyang, ${ }^{13}$ Ruchi Patel, ${ }^{13}$ Marina Paul, ${ }^{12}$ Laura C. Paulsen, ${ }^{13}$ Judy Qiu, ${ }^{13}$ Alex Rhee, ${ }^{13}$ Matthew G. Rubashkin, ${ }^{13}$ Ina Y. Soh, ${ }^{12}$ Nathaniel E. Sotuyo, ${ }^{12}$ Venkatesh Srinivas, ${ }^{13}$ Allison Suarez, ${ }^{13}$ Andy Wong, ${ }^{13}$ Remus Wong, ${ }^{13}$ Wei Rose Xie, ${ }^{12}$ Yijie Xu, ${ }^{13}$ Allen T. Yu, ${ }^{12}$ Romain Koszul, ${ }^{3,4}$ Joel S. Bader, ${ }^{2,6}$ Jef D. Boeke, ${ }^{2,11,5} \dagger$ Srinivasan Chandrasegaran ${ }^{1} \dagger$

[^0]*These authors contributed equally to this work.
$\dagger$ Corresponding author. E-mail: jef.boeke@nyumc.org (J.D.B.); schandra@jhsph.edu (S.C.)
Rapid advances in DNA synthesis techniques have made it possible to engineer viruses, biochemical pathways and assemble bacterial genomes. Here, we report the synthesis of a functional 272,871-base pair designer eukaryotic chromosome, synIII, which is based on the 316,617-base pair native Saccharomyces cerevisiae chromosome III. Changes to synIII include TAG/TAA stop-codon replacements, deletion of subtelomeric regions, introns, transfer RNAs, transposons, and silent mating loci as well as insertion of loxPsym sites to enable genome scrambling. SynIII is functional in S. cerevisiae. Scrambling of the chromosome in a heterozygous diploid reveals a large increase in a-mater derivatives resulting from loss of the MATa allele on synIII. The complete design and synthesis of synlII establishes S. cerevisiae as the basis for designer eukaryotic genome biology.

Saccharomyces cerevisiae has a genome size of $\sim 12 \mathrm{Mb}$ distributed among 16 chromosomes. The entire genome encodes $\sim 6000$ genes, of which $\sim 5000$ are individually nonessential (1). Which of these nonessential genes are simultaneously dispensable? Although a number of studies have successfully mapped pairwise "synthetic lethal" interactions between gene knockouts, those methods do not scale well to three or more gene combinations because the number of combinations rises exponentially. Our approach to address this question is to produce a synthetic yeast genome with all nonessential genes flanked by loxPsym sites to enable inducible evolution and genome reduction (a process we refer to as SCRaMbLEing) in vivo $(2,3)$. The availability of a fully synthetic $S$. cerevisiae genome will allow direct testing of evolutionary questions - such as the maximum number of nonessential genes that can be deleted without a catastrophic loss of fitness and the catalog of viable 3-gene, 4-gene, ... ngene deletions that survive under a given growth condition-that are not otherwise easily approachable in a systematic unbiased fashion. Engineering and synthesis of viral and bacterial genomes have been reported in the literature (4-11). An international group of scientists has embarked on constructing a designer eukaryotic genome, $\quad$ Sc2.0 (www.syntheticyeast.org), and here we report the total synthesis of a complete designer yeast chromosome.

Yeast chromosome III, the third smallest in $S$. cerevisiae [ 316,617 base pairs (bp)], contains the MAT locus determining mating type and was the first chromosome sequenced (12). We designed synIII according to fitness, genome stability, and genetic flexibility principles developed for the Sc2.0 genome (2). The native sequence was edited in silico by using a series of deletion, insertion, and base substitution changes to produce the desired "designer" sequence (Fig. 1, figs. S1 and S2, and supplementary text). The hierarchical wet-laboratory workflow used to construct synIII (Fig. 2) consisted of three major steps: (i) The 750bp building blocks (BBs) were produced starting from overlapping 60 - to 79-mer oligonucleotides and assembled by using standard polymerase chain reaction (PCR) methods ( 13,14 ) by undergraduate students in the Build-A-

Genome class at JHU (Fig. 2A) (15). The arbitrary naming scheme for the differently sized DNA molecules used in the Sc2.0 project is explained in fig. S3. (ii) The 133 synIIIL (left of the centromere) BBs and 234 synIIIR BBs were assembled into 44 and 83 overlapping DNA minichunks of $\sim 2$ to 4 kb , respectively (table S1, Fig. 2B, and fig. S4) (16, 17). (iii) All adjacent minichunks for synIII were designed to overlap one another by one BB to facilitate further assembly in vivo by homologous recombination in yeast $(18,19)$. By using an average of 12 minichunks and alternating selectable markers in each experiment, we systematically replaced the native sequence of $S$. cerevisiae III with its synIII counterpart in 11 successive rounds of transformation (Fig. 2C and table S2) $(20,21)$.

## Genome Comparisons

PCRTag analysis (2) revealed the presence of synIII synthetic PCRTags and absence of native PCRTags (Fig. 3A; see supplementary text and figs. S5 to S7 for the complete set of PCRTag analyses). The smaller size of synIII and intermediates in its full synthesis as compared with the native yeast chromosome was demonstrated by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (Fig. 3B and fig. S8) (22). Analysis of the intermediate strains revealed that the starting strain had some unexpected rearrangements in at least two chromosomes and that an additional rearrangement occurred during the assembly process; these did not affect synIII (fig. S8). These abnormalities were eliminated through back-crossing the synIIIL intermediate strain to strain BY4742 (table S3), yielding a MATa strain with an electrophoretic karyotype perfectly matching BY4742 but for the expected altered length III (compare lane 97 to $97^{*}$ in fig. S8). Southern blot analyses using arm-specific radiolabeled probes further verified and validated the structure of the left- and right-arm telomere ends of synIII, which had been specified by the universal telomere cap (UTC) sequence (fig. S9). Restriction fragment sizes on Southern blots are compatible with the deletion of $H M L, H M R$, and much of each subtelomere (fig. S9). This was further confirmed by complete genome sequencing of the synIII strain.

DNA sequencing of the synIII strain genome revealed sequence differences at 10 sites in synIII compared with our designed sequence (table S4). Nine of the changes are base substitutions or 1-bp insertions or deletions (indels). Three of the nine mutations correspond to preexisting but apparently innocuous mutations in the minichunks and BBs. Of the remainder, two correspond to the wild-type (WT) base at this position and thus may simply reflect inheritance of WT sequence. Because PCRTag analysis (table S5) was the method used to validate transformants during the 11 intermediate construction steps, the recombination events involved are patchy transformants, with tiny patches of native DNA instead of synthetic sequence that would have been missed during the PCRTag analysis. The remaining four mutations, which must have originated during the integration process, all occur in regions of overlap in the synIII minichunks, suggesting that the homologous recombination process may be somewhat error-prone relative to baseline error rates (23). The tenth change is the absence of an expected loxPsym site.

To check for negative effects of modifications on fitness of synIIIcontaining strains from the WT (BY4742), we examined colony size, growth curves, and morphology under various conditions. A growth curve analysis established that synIII and the isogenic native strain had no detectable fitness difference (fig. S10). The strains were also indistinguishable from each other on colony-size tests (Fig. 3C), indicating that defects in fitness attributable to the synIIIL intermediate or synIII are very modest, with only 1 condition out of 21 (high sorbitol) showing a subtle fitness defect for synIII (fig. S11). Cell morphology of all intermediate strains was similar to that of WT (fig. S12) except that, during replacement round R3 (giving rise to strain 219 kb -synIII), a very low frequency ( $\sim 1 \%$ of cells) of morphologically abnormal buds were observed (fig. S12). We performed transcript profiling to identify possible
changes in gene expression across synIII or genome-wide resulting from synonymous substitutions, introduction of loxPsym sites, and other changes. Although 10 loci are differentially expressed at genome-wide significance ( $P<7.4 \times 10^{-6}$ for $5 \%$ family-wise error rate based on 6756 loci with at least one mapped read and also corresponding to $1 \%$ false discovery rate), eight of these correspond to loci intentionally deleted from synIII. The remaining two loci are HSP30 on synIII, $\sim 16$-fold down, and PCL1 on native chromosome XIV, $\sim 16$-fold up (fig. S13).

The inclusion of hundreds of designed changes in the synthetic chromosome, including the removal of 11 transfer RNA (tRNA) genes said to be important sites of cohesin loading, might result in subtle or overt destabilizing effects on the synthetic chromosome; alternatively, removal of repetitive DNA sequences might increase stability by reducing the likelihood of "ectopic" recombination events involving two different repeat copies. Because of the 98 loxPsym sites added to synIII (and all the other changes), it was important to evaluate the genome integrity and the loss rate of the chromosome in the absence of Cre expression. PCRTag analysis revealed that synIII is stable over 125 mitotic generations in 30 independent lineages (Fig. 4A). To evaluate the loss rate of synIII, we used the a-like faker assay in which MATa cells carrying synIII were monitored for acquiring the ability to mate as MATa cells, a consequence of losing chromosome III (24). Despite the extensive chromosome engineering, the frequency of $M A T \alpha /$ synIII loss was not significantly different from that of the WT control (Fig. 4B).

It is not known whether cohesin accumulation at a tRNA gene region directly depends on the presence of the tRNA gene, nor is its effect on chromosome stability clear. We compared the map of cohesin binding sites on native chromosome III and synIII by using chromatin immunoprecipitation sequence (ChIP-seq) analysis (fig. S14). The overall cohe$\sin$ binding pattern is similar between the two chromosomes. However, at three tRNA genes that show a prominent peak in the native chromosome, that peak is reduced or in one case [the glutamine tRNA gene tQ(UUG)C] completely absent from synIII (fig. S14). Thus, we conclude that tRNA genes and their documented interactions with both cohesin and condensin $(25,26)$ are dispensable for high levels of chromosome stability. We also compared the replication dynamics of synIII and native III (supplementary text, table S9, and fig. S15) and saw few dramatic changes in dynamics in spite of several autonomously replicating sequences having been deleted.

SCRaMbLEing in haploid strains containing chromosome synIII leads to lethality via essential gene loss (fig. S16). We looked for more subtle effects of SCRaMbLE in a heterozygous MATa/ $\alpha$ (mating incompetent) diploid strain with a synthetic MATa chromosome and a native MATa chromosome (synIII/III; fig. S17). We introduced the Cre-EBD plasmid into such strains, as well as into WT MATa/ $\alpha$ diploids (III/III), and very briefly induced with estradiol. In spite of the minimal level of SCRaMbLEing induced, we observed a massive increase in the frequency of a-mater derivatives in the native III/synIII heterozygous strains (Fig. 4C and fig. S18). Such a-mater derivatives can arise from the loss of the MATa locus, because such MAT-less strains express a-specific genes. PCRTag mapping of several such derivatives showed that these variants had indeed lost different sections of synIII, all of which included the MAT locus (fig. S18).

The total synthesis of the synIII chromosome represents a major step toward the design and complete synthesis of a novel eukaryotic genome structure using the model $S$. cerevisiae as the basis for a synthetic designer genome, Sc2.0. The many changes made to synIII, including intron deletion, tRNA gene removal, and loxPsym sites and PCRTags introduction, do not appear to significantly decrease the fitness or alter the transcriptome or the replication timing of the synIII strain, supporting the very pliable nature of the yeast genome and potentially allowing for much more aggressively redesigned future genome versions. Sc2.0 represents just one of myriad possible arbitrary genome designs, and we
anticipate that synthetic chromosome design will become a new means of posing specific evolutionary and mechanistic questions about genome structure and function. Rapid advances in synthetic biology coupled with ever decreasing costs of DNA synthesis suggest that it will soon become feasible to engineer new eukaryotic genomes, including plant and animal genomes, with synthetic chromosomes encoding desired functions and phenotypic properties based on specific design principles.
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Fig. 1. SynlII design. Representative synIII design segments for loxPsym site insertion (A and B) and stop codon TAG to TAA editing (C) are shown. Green diamonds represent loxPsym sites embedded in the 3' untranslated region (UTR) of nonessential genes and at several other landmarks. Fuchsia circles indicate synthetic stop codons (TAG recoded to TAA). Complete maps of designed synlll chromosome with common and systematic open reading frame (ORF) names, respectively, are shown in figs. S1 and S2.

## A Step 1: Synthesize Building Blocks (BBs) from oligonucleotides



B Step 2: Assemble 2-4 kb minichunks


C Step 3: Replace native III with minichunks


Fig. 2. SynIII construction. (A) BB synthesis. JHU students in the Build-A-Genome course synthesized 750-bp BBs (purple) from oligonucleotides. nt, nucleotides. (B) Assembly of minichunks. Two- to 4-kb minichunks (yellow) were assembled by homologous recombination in S. cerevisiae (table S1). Adjacent minichunks were designed to encode overlap of one BB to facilitate downstream assembly steps. Minichunks were flanked by a rare cutting restriction enzyme (RE) site, Xmal or Notl. (C) Direct replacement of native yeast chromosome III with pools of synthetic minichunks. Eleven iterative one-step assemblies and replacements of native genomic segments of yeast chromosome III were carried out by using pools of overlapping synthetic DNA minichunks (table S2), encoding alternating genetic markers (LEU2 or URA3), which enabled complete replacement of native III with synIII in yeast.


Fig. 3. Characterization and testing of synIII strain. (A) PCRTag analysis (one PCRTag per $\sim 10 \mathrm{~kb}$ ) of the left arm of synIII and WT yeast (BY4742) DNA is shown. Analysis of the complete set of PCRTags is shown in figs. S4 to S6. (B) Karyotypic analysis of synIII and synIIIL strains by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis revealed the size reduction of synIII and synIIIL compared with native III. Yeast chromosome numbers are indicated on the right side. SynIII ( $272,871 \mathrm{bp}$ ) and native chromosome VI ( $270,148 \mathrm{bp}$ ) comigrate in the gel. A karyotypic analysis of synlll and all intermediate strains is shown in fig. S8. (C) Synlll and synIIIL phenotyping on various types of media. Tenfold serial dilutions of saturated cultures of WT (BY4742), synIIIL, and synIII strains were plated on the indicated media and temperatures. YPD, yeast extract peptone dextrose; YPGE, yeast extract peptone glycerol ethanol; MMS, methyl methanosulfate. A complete set of synIII and synIIIL phenotyping under various conditions is shown in fig. S11.
A

B

| Strain | Total number <br> cells plated | Colonies <br> on SD | Average loss rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| synIII | $\sim 1.6 \times 10^{9}$ | 1013 | $6.7 \times 10^{-7} \pm 3.9 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| WT | $\sim 1.9 \times 10^{9}$ | 830 | $4.6 \times 10^{-7} \pm 1.3 \times 10^{-7}$ |



Fig. 4. Genomic stability of the synlll strain. (A) PCRTag analysis of synlll strain after $\sim 125$ generations. We assayed for the loss of 58 different segments lacking essential genes in the absence of SCRaMbLEing; no losses were observed after over 200,000 segment-generations analyzed; reported frequency is a maximum estimate of segment loss frequency per generation. gDNA, genomic DNA. (B) Evaluation of the loss rate of synIII chromosome using a-like faker assay. No significant change in the loss frequency was observed, although the absolute loss rate value is modestly higher in synllI. SD, standard dextrose. (C) SCRaMbLE leads to a gain of mating type a behavior in synlll heterozygous diploids. Frequencies are of a-mater and $\alpha$-mater colonies post-SCRaMbLE (induction with estradiol) in synIII/III and IIIIII strains. A complete SCRaMbLE analysis is shown in fig. S18.
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