

Difference Scheme for Semilinear Reaction-Diffusion Problems on a Mesh of Bakhvalov Type

Enes Duvnjaković and Samir Karasuljić

The paper examines a semilinear singular reaction-diffusion problem. Using the collocation method with naturally chosen splines of exponential type, a new difference scheme on a mesh of Bakhvalov type is constructed. A difference scheme generates the system of nonlinear equations, and the theorem of existence and this system's solution uniqueness are also provided. At the end, a numerical example is given as well, which points to the convergence of the numerical solution to the exact one.

MSC2010: 65L10, 65L50, 65L60

Key Words: singular perturbation, nonlinear, boundary layer, Bakhvalov mesh, layer-adapted mesh

1 Introduction

We consider the semilinear problem

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \epsilon^2 y'' &= f(x, y), & x \in I = [0, 1], \\ y(0) &= 0, \quad y(1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here ϵ is a positive parameter, $f(x, y) \in C^2(I \times \mathbf{R})$ has bounded partial derivatives and

$$(1.2) \quad \gamma \geq f_y(x, y) \geq m > 0,$$

for all $(x, y) \in I \times \mathbf{R}$. Problem (1.1) under condition (1.2), has a unique solution.

Problems like (1.1) are differential equations that depend on a small positive parameter ϵ , and whose solution (or their derivatives) approach a discontinuous limit as ϵ approaches zero. Such problems are said to be singularly perturbed, where we regard ϵ as the perturbation parameter.

The solutions of singular perturbation problems typically contain layers. If any discretization technique is applied to a parameter-dependent problem, then the behavior of the discretization depends on the parameter. For singularly perturbed problems, conventional techniques often lead to discretization that are worthless if the singular perturbation parameter is close to some critical values. Our paper is devoted to the construction of approximations using the collocation method with the natural choice exponential splines on Bakhvalov mesh.

Many authors have considered the problem (1.1), under various hypotheses on $f(x, y)$, for example Vulanović [5], Uzelac and Surla [4]. Bakhvalov [1] was the first to use the special mesh to solve singularly perturbed problems.

Numerical examples show the convergence of the numerical solution to the exact one and they also offer better results when compared to previous difference schemes (i.e. [4]).

2 Construction of the nonlinear difference scheme on a Bakhvalov mesh

We apply the mesh of Bakhvalov type $0 = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_N < 1$ on the interval $[0, 1]$. The interval $[0, 1]$ will be divided to three subintervals, namely $[0, h_\epsilon]$, $[h_\epsilon, 1 - h_\epsilon]$ and $[1 - h_\epsilon, 1]$ where $h_\epsilon = \frac{2\epsilon}{m_1} \ln |\epsilon|$ and $m_1 = \frac{\sqrt{m}}{2}$. The subinterval $[0, h_\epsilon]$ is divided by the points $x_i = -\frac{2\epsilon}{m_1} \ln [1 - (1 - \epsilon)i\delta]$, ($i = 1, \dots, j$), and the subinterval $[h_\epsilon, 1 - h_\epsilon]$ is divided by $x_{j+i} = x_j + ih$, ($i = 1, \dots, k$), while the last interval $[1 - h_\epsilon, 1]$ is divided by $x_{j+k+i} = 1 + \frac{2\epsilon}{m_1} \ln [1 - (1 - \epsilon)(j - i)\delta]$, ($i = 1, \dots, j$), where $\delta = \frac{1}{j}$, $j = \frac{N}{4}$ and $k = \frac{N}{2} - 1$ represent the chosen integer so that $N = 2j + k + 1$. It can be noticed that the choice of j , k and N , as well as δ , does not depend on the parameter ϵ . In order to construct a difference scheme, which will later help us to get a numerical solution of the problem (1.1), the following function is introduced $\psi(x, y) = f(x, y) - \gamma y$. Now, the problem (1.1) becomes

$$(2.1) \quad L_\epsilon y(x) := \epsilon^2 y''(x) - \gamma y(x) = \psi(x, y(x)) \text{ on } [0, 1].$$

The following mesh expresses further problems:

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} L_\epsilon u_i(x) &:= 0 \text{ on } (x_i, x_{i+1}), u_i(x_i) = 1, u_i(x_{i+1}) = 0, (i = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1), \\ L_\epsilon u_i(x) &:= 0 \text{ on } (x_i, x_{i+1}), u_i(x_i) = 0, u_i(x_{i+1}) = 1, (i = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad L_\epsilon y_i(x) = \psi(x, y_i) \text{ on } (x_i, x_{i+1}), \quad y_i(x_i) = y(x_i), \quad y_i(x_{i+1}) = y(x_{i+1}),$$

$$(i = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1).$$

We denote the solutions of problems (2.2) by $u_i^I(x)$, $u_i^{II}(x)$, ($i = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1$), respectively. Using the solution of the above mentioned problems (2.2) and the Green's function for the operator L_ϵ , the solution of the problem (2.3) is

$$(2.4) \quad y_i(x) = y_i u_i^I(x) + y_{i+1} u_i^{II}(x) + \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} G_i(x, s) \psi(s, y(s)) ds,$$

where $G_i(x, s)$ is the Green's function. While $y_i(x) \equiv y(x)$ on $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$ and $y_i'(x)|_{x=x_i} = y_{i-1}'(x)|_{x=x_i}$, ($i = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1$), after the differentiation of the solution $y_{i-1}(x)$ and $y_i(x)$, it can be observed that

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & a_i y_{i-1} - c_i y_i + b_i y_{i+1} = \\ & \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left[\int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} u_{x_{i-1}}^{II}(s) \psi(s, y(s)) ds + \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} u_{x_i}^I(s) \psi(s, y(s)) ds \right], \\ & y_0 = 0, \quad y_N = 0, \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, we cannot generally explicitly compute the integrals in (2.5). We approximate the function $\psi(x, y(x))$ on the interval $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$ by

$$(2.6) \quad \bar{\psi}_{i-1} = \bar{\psi}(x, y(x)) = \psi\left(\frac{x_{i-1} + x_i}{2}, \frac{\bar{y}_{i-1} + \bar{y}_i}{2}\right) \text{ on } [x_{i-1}, x_i],$$

$$(i = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1),$$

where \bar{y}_i , ($i = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1$), are approximation values of the solution $y(x)$ of the problem (1.1) – (1.2) in the points x_i , ($i = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1$). Finally, from (2.5) and (2.6) we get the difference scheme

$$(2.7) \quad a_i \bar{y}_{i-1} - (d_i + d_{i+1}) \bar{y}_i + b_i \bar{y}_{i+1} = \frac{1}{\gamma} \bar{\psi}_{i-1} (d_i - a_i) + \frac{1}{\gamma} \bar{\psi}_i (d_{i+1} - a_{i+1}),$$

$$(i = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1),$$

where $a_i = \frac{\beta}{\sinh(\beta h_{i-1})}$, $b_i = \frac{\beta}{\sinh(\beta h_i)}$, $d_i = \frac{\beta}{\tanh(\beta h_{i-1})}$ and $\beta = \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{\epsilon}$, (see [2]).

Theorem 2.1. *The difference scheme (2.7), has a unique solution \bar{y} , where $\bar{y} = (\bar{y}_0, \bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2, \dots, \bar{y}_{N-1}, \bar{y}_N)$.*

Proof. We use a technique from [5]. From (2.7), since $\psi(x, y) = f(x, y) - \gamma y$, we get

$$(2.8) \quad a_i \bar{y}_{i-1} - c_i \bar{y}_i + b_i \bar{y}_{i+1} - \frac{1}{\gamma} \left\{ \left(\frac{\beta}{\tanh(\beta h_{i-1})} - a_i \right) \left[\bar{f}_{i-1} - \gamma \frac{\bar{y}_{i-1} + \bar{y}_i}{2} \right] + \left(\frac{\beta}{\tanh(\beta h_i)} - b_i \right) \left[\bar{f}_i - \gamma \frac{\bar{y}_i + \bar{y}_{i+1}}{2} \right] \right\} = 0,$$

$$\bar{y}_0 = \bar{y}_N = 0, \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1).$$

Let us denote the left-hand side (2.8) with $G\bar{y}$, then (2.8) becomes

$$G\bar{y} = 0.$$

The Fréchet derivate $A := G'(\bar{y})$ is a tridiagonal matrix, and the non-zero elements of this tridiagonal matrix are

$$(2.9) \quad a_{i,i} = -c_i - \frac{1}{\gamma} \left\{ \left(\frac{\beta}{\tanh(\beta h_{i-1})} - a_i \right) \left[\frac{1}{2} f_{y_{i-1}} \left(\frac{x_{i-1} + x_i}{2}, \frac{\bar{y}_{i-1} + \bar{y}_i}{2} \right) - \frac{\gamma}{2} \right] + \left(\frac{\beta}{\tanh(\beta h_i)} - b_i \right) \left[\frac{1}{2} f_{y_i} \left(\frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2}, \frac{\bar{y}_i + \bar{y}_{i+1}}{2} \right) - \frac{\gamma}{2} \right] \right\},$$

$$a_{i,i-1} = a_i - \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{\beta}{\tanh(\beta h_{i-1})} - a_i \right) \left[\frac{1}{2} f_{y_{i-1}} \left(\frac{x_{i-1} + x_i}{2}, \frac{\bar{y}_{i-1} + \bar{y}_i}{2} \right) - \frac{\gamma}{2} \right],$$

$$a_{i,i+1} = b_i - \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{\beta}{\tanh(\beta h_i)} - b_i \right) \left[\frac{1}{2} f_{y_i} \left(\frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2}, \frac{\bar{y}_i + \bar{y}_{i+1}}{2} \right) - \frac{\gamma}{2} \right].$$

It can be shown that

$$a_{i,i-1} > 0 \quad a_{i,i+1} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad a_{i,i} < 0.$$

Hence, A is an L matrix. Let us show that A is an M matrix. Now,

$$(2.10) \quad a_{i,i} - a_{i,i-1} - a_{i-1,i} = -c_i - a_i - b_i < 0.$$

Based on (2.10), we have proved that A is M -matrix. Since A is an M -matrix, $Ae^h \geq me^h$ holds. Now, we obtain that $\|A^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{m}$. Now, by the Hadamard Theorem (5.3.11 from [3]), the statement of our theorem follows. ■

$\epsilon \setminus n$	64	128	256	512	1024	
2^{-4}	$1.05e - 4$	$2.60e - 5$	$6.48e - 6$	$1.62e - 6$	$4.05e - 7$	E_N
	2.01	1.99	2.00	2.00		Ord
2^{-6}	$1.17e - 4$	$2.77e - 5$	$6.69e - 6$	$1.65e - 6$	$4.06e - 7$	E_N
	2.08	2.05	2.02	2.02		Ord
2^{-8}	$1.26e - 4$	$3.01e - 5$	$7.34e - 6$	$1.72e - 6$	$4.22e - 7$	E_N
	2.03	2.07	2.09	2.02		Ord
2^{-10}	$1.24e - 4$	$3.03e - 5$	$7.37e - 6$	$1.79e - 6$	$4.30e - 7$	E_N
	2.04	2.04	2.04	2.04		Ord
2^{-12}	$1.24e - 4$	$3.03e - 5$	$7.39e - 6$	$1.80e - 6$	$4.49e - 7$	E_N
	2.04	1.99	2.04	2.04		Ord
2^{-15}	$1.24e - 4$	$3.03e - 5$	$7.39e - 6$	$1.80e - 6$	$4.49e - 7$	E_N
	2.04	1.99	2.04	2.04		Ord

Table 1: Error E_N and convergence rates Ord for approximate solution

3 The numerical example

Example 3.1. Consider the following problem

$$(3.1) \quad \epsilon^2 y'' = (1 + y)(1 + (1 + y)^2) \quad \text{on} \quad (0, 1),$$

$$(3.2) \quad y(0) = y(1) = 0.$$

The exact solution of the problem (3.1)–(3.2) is unknown. The nonlinear system of equations is solved by Newton’s method with initial guess $y_0 = -1$. Because the exact solution is unknown, we define E_N in the usual way

$$(3.3) \quad E_N = \max_{0 \leq i \leq N} \left| \bar{y}^{2N}(x_i) - \bar{y}^N(x_i) \right|,$$

where $\bar{y}^N(x_i)$ and $\bar{y}^{2N}(x_i)$ are the numerical solutions on a mesh with N and $2N$ subintervals, respectively. Also, we define in the usual way the order of convergence Ord

$$(3.4) \quad \text{Ord} = \frac{\ln E_N - \ln E_{2N}}{\ln 2}.$$

References

- [1] N. S. Bakhvalov, On optimization of methods to solve boundary value problems with boundary, *Zh. Vychisl. Mat. Fiz.*, 9(1969), 841-859 (in Russian).
- [2] E. Duvnjaković, A class of difference schemes for singular perturbation problem, *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Operational research, Croatian OR Society*, pp. 197-208, (1999).
- [3] J. M. Ortega, W. C. Rheinboldt. *Iterative solution of nonlinear equations in several variables*, SIAM, Philadelphia, USA, (2000).
- [4] Z. Uzelac, K. Surla, A uniformly accurate collocation method for a singularly perturbed problem, *Novi Sad Journal of Mathematics*, Vol. 33, No. 1, (2003), 133-143.
- [5] R. Vulcanović, On numerical solution of semilinear singular perturbation problems by using the Hermite scheme, *Review of Research Faculty of Science-University of Novi Sad*, Volume 23, 2 (1993).

University of Tuzla
Faculty of natural science and mathematics
Tuzla 75000, Bosnia and Herzegovina
E-MAIL: enes.duvnjakovic@untz.ba

High grammar school
"Meša Selimović" Tuzla
Tuzla 75000, Bosnia and Herzegovina
E-MAIL: samir.karasuljic@bih.net.ba