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1. Introduction

High-speed packet access (HSPA) aims to advance the performance of the existing UMTS networks by improving the level of quality of service (QoS) and increasing the supported peak data rates at both the forward and the reverse link. HSPA consists of the HSDPA and high-speed uplink packet access (HSUPA) standards.

AMC procedure of HSDPA makes possible the adaptation of the employed transport format and resource combination (TFRC) to the wireless channel variations as well as to the varying rate requirements of the UE. However, as the rate of the wireless channel variation increases, more frequent CQI reports by the UE to Node B are needed in order to have an efficient AMC. On the other hand, as the number of CQI reports increases, uplink interference also increases, thus reducing uplink reception quality. The authors of [1–3] propose a number of CQI schemes which aim to keep high the efficiency of AMC while at the same time reduce the required CQI information. In this paper, we propose a new CQI reporting scheme which reduces the required CQI signaling by exploiting a CQI prediction method based on an FSMC model of the wireless channel.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we describe the CQI reporting procedure as it is determined by 3GPP specifications and subsequently we discuss the CQI interference problem at the uplink. The proposed prediction-based CQI reporting scheme (P-CQI) is presented in Section 3. The employed packet scheduler is illustrated in Section 4. Finally, in Section 5, we present and discuss the simulation results, while Section 6 concludes our paper.

2. System Concepts and Problem Statement

2.1. System Concepts

The HSDPA operation utilizes a number of new channels [4].

The user data are transmitted through the high-speed Downlink shared channel (HS-DSCH) while the associated signaling is transmitted through the high-speed shared control channel (HS-SCCH) at the forward link and at the high-speed dedicated physical control channel (HS-DPCCH) in the uplink.

The feedback information from the terminal to the base station, carried on the HS-DPCCH, is essential for the HSDPA operation as it makes possible the use of adaptive modulation and coding. The HS-DPCCH frame consists of three slots and has duration of 2 milliseconds. The first slot is used by the hybrid automatic repeat request (HARQ) process, while the other two slots are used for the transmission
of CQI. CQI is not a direct signal-to-interference and noise ratio (SINR) measurement but instead it is an integer index to the TFRC which the UE requests from the packet scheduler located at Node B [5].

Given a typical target error rate of 10%, the requested TFRC corresponds to the maximum transport block size which has a minimum of 90% probability to be transmitted correctly. Two successive CQI values correspond approximately to a step of 1 dB at the SINR of the HS-DSCH [4, 5].

At Release 5, 3GPP [6] proposes a periodic-CQI feedback scheme which, as illustrated at Figure 1(a), has a report cycle of \( r_c \). The possible values of \( r_c \) are 0, 1, 5, 10, 20, 40, 80 sub-frames or correspondingly 0, 2, 10, 20, 40, 80, 160 milliseconds. The enhanced-CQI reporting (E-CQI) scheme described at release 6 [7] extends Release 5 specifications by introducing additional CQI reports during periods of downlink activity. As shown in Figure 1(b), the additional CQI reports are transmitted with every packet acknowledgment (ACK) (and/or Non-ACK). The aim of the “enhanced-CQI reporting” is to use longer report cycles compared to the periodic-CQI scheme and increase the number of the CQI reports when it is needed (i.e., when the downlink activity increases).

**2.2. Problem Statement**

It is obvious that the shorter the report cycle is, the more advantageous for the AMC procedure of HSDPA it is, as it provides better adaptation to the variations of the wireless channel. However, at the same time, the frequent CQI signaling increases uplink interference and thus decreases the average UE throughput as well as the achievable energy-per-bit to noise \( E_b/N_0 \) ratio at the reverse link. The “enhanced-CQI reporting” scheme of [7] is a step towards the right direction, however, it will still perform as a periodic scheme in the case of CBR services such as VoIP or even during the bursty periods of VBR services such as FTP.

In this paper, we propose an improved CQI reporting scheme which aims to reduce the required CQI signaling even when the downlink data activity is high by employing a CQI prediction method. According to this scheme, Node B predicts all the intermediary CQI reports between two subsequent CQI reports by utilizing an FSMC model of the wireless channel. Thus, as shown in Figure 1(c), in our proposed mechanism, a number of CQI reports can be predicted instead of transmitted.

The proposed prediction-based CQI reporting scheme (P-CQI) is based on the optimum periodic-CQI feedback scheme [6] which has the minimum reporting cycle of 2 milliseconds. Therefore, every 2 milliseconds, a CQI report is either transmitted by the UE or predicted at Node B. By increasing the number of intermediary CQI predictions, the actual reporting cycle is increased and consequently CQI transmissions are decreased. Thus, by employing P-CQI, a significant interference reduction in the uplink can be achieved.

Adopting the simplified interference analysis presented at [8], we can measure the benefit of using P-CQI or any other report scheme which omit the same percentage of CQI reports. Considering a fixed number \( M_u = 30 \) of users in the cell, we calculate an approximate estimation of the signal reception quality gain at Node B. Table 1 shows how the gain of the \( E_b/N_0 \) ratio increases, as the ratio of predicted CQI reports to the total number of CQI reports increases. The periodic-CQI scheme with a reporting cycle of 2 milliseconds is used as a reference base.

As we can see in Table 1, the uplink reception quality improves as the number of CQI predictions increases. Although a high ratio of CQI predictions may be impractical in a real system, as prediction accuracy decreases when the number of predicted samples is increased, we can conclude from Table 1 that even by predicting, and thus avoiding, the transmission of just one every two CQI reports, we can achieve a significant gain of approximately 1.5 dB.

### 3. Prediction-Based CQI Scheme

The CQI reports indicate the requested transport format by the UE and thus they reflect the current channel conditions. Therefore, they can be interpreted to SINR measurements. The obtained SINR values are then used by the P-CQI scheme to predict, through an FSMC model, the next state of the wireless channel and therefore the next CQI. Consequently, we can predict the next CQI at Node B reducing thus the number of the needed CQI reports.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: ( E_b/N_0 ) gain versus increasing ratio of CQI predictions.</th>
<th>( E_b/N_0 ) Gain (dB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of predicted CQI reports/total CQI reports</td>
<td>1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E_b/N_0 ) Gain (dB)</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.1. Defining the FSMC Model of the Wireless Channel Conditions

Let $\psi_i$ be a random variable denoting the value of the received SINR, of user $i$ in a Rayleigh multipath fading environment, which is proportional to the square of the signal envelop. The probability density function of $\psi_i$ can be expressed [9] as $p(\psi_i) = (1/\psi_0)e^{-\psi_i/\psi_0}$, $\psi_i \geq 0$, where $\psi_0 = E(\psi_i)$ is the mean value of SINR, which can be estimated by averaging the SINR of all $M_a$ active users in the cell as $\psi_0 = \sum_{j \in M_a} \psi_j / M_a$.

According to the FSMC model, $K + 1$ increasing SINR values $\Psi_0 = 0 < \Psi_1 < \Psi_2 < \cdots < \Psi_K = \infty$ define $K$ states that describe the channel condition as follows: the wireless channel of user connection $i$ is considered to be in state $S_k$ if the measured $\psi_i$ lies in the interval $[\Psi_k, \Psi_{k+1})$.

Assuming that the channel fades slowly with respect to the CQI feedback report cycle and the Doppler shift $f_d$ in the carrier frequency $f_c$ is $f_d = f_c/\nu$, then, following the equal probability method, the steady-state probability of state $k$ is [10]

$$\pi_k = \int_{\Psi_k}^{\Psi_{k+1}} p(\psi) \, d\psi = e^{-\psi_0/\psi_k} - e^{-\psi_{k+1}/\psi_0}. \tag{1}$$

Then the transition probabilities at the FSMC model can be approximated by the following equation:

$$p_{k,k+1} = 1 / n_k \cdot f_d T_s \sqrt{2\pi \Psi_{k+1} / \psi_0} e^{-\psi_{k+1}/\psi_0}, \tag{2}$$

$$p_{k,k-1} = 1 / n_k \cdot f_d T_s \sqrt{2\pi \Psi_k / \psi_0} e^{-\psi_k/\psi_0},$$

$$p_{k,k} = 1 - (p_{k,k+1} + p_{k,k-1}),$$

where $T_s$ is the HSDPA subframe duration (2 milliseconds). We also assume that the channel remains in one state at each HSDPA subframe and that the transition probability between nonadjacent states is very small. Therefore, we can assume that transitions happen at the end of the HSDPA subframe and occur only between adjacent states.

3.2. Prediction of the Next CQI

The CQI prediction is based on the constructed FSMC wireless channel model. Given the current state of the channel which is computed by a CQI report, the next state $m$ is the one in which the Markov chain will transit to with the highest state transition probability $p_{m,k} = \max(p_{k,k}, p_{k,k+1}, p_{k,k-1})$.

More future states may be predicted in the same manner using the same calculated transition probabilities. The SINR value is then translated at Node B to the respective CQI value (i.e., TFRC). The state transition probabilities are updated periodically based on the real SINR levels received from the mobiles each time the real CQI measurements are collected. The steps involved in the prediction procedure are illustrated in Figure 2.

4. Node B Packet Scheduling

For the packet scheduling, we employ the scheduler presented at [11] and adapt it to HSDPA. Thus, the scheduling period $T_s$ is decreased to 2 milliseconds while multicode operation and AMC are employed.

4.1. Priority Sorting

According to this scheme, a priority $P_i$ that can be calculated by the following equation is assigned to the traffic flow of user $i$:

$$P_i = \frac{T_{Q,i}(nT_s)}{T_{th,i}} \times (1 - P_{e,i}) \quad i \in M_a, n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \tag{3}$$

where $T_{Q,i}$ is the delay of the head of line (HOL) packet in the queue during the $n$th scheduling period, $T_{th,i}$ is the delay threshold of packets for the specific service, and $P_{e,i}$ is the bit-error probability during the next frame determined by the state of the wireless channel which is predicted by the FSMC model of the channel. If $k$ is the predicted next state of the wireless channel of user $i$, $P_{e,i}$ is given by [10]

$$P_{e,i} = \frac{1}{n_k} \int_{\Psi_k}^{\Psi_{k+1}} p_{em}(\psi) \cdot p(\psi) \, d\psi, \tag{4}$$

where $p_{em}(\psi)$ denotes the error probability for a specific modulation scheme.

In HSDPA, the transmitted signal is modulated with either QPSK or 16QAM. The $p_{em}(\psi)$ for the QPSK and 16QAM is given, respectively, by the following equation [12]:

$$P^{QPSK}_{em}(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} \text{erf}(\sqrt{\psi}),$$

$$P^{16QAM}_{em}(\psi) = \frac{3}{8} \text{erf}(\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}) - \frac{9}{64} \text{erf}^2(\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}). \tag{5}$$

4.2. Rate Allocation

The flows are sorted in decreasing order of their priorities and the scheduler assigns, to the highest priority connection, the maximum TFRC for the current subframe according to
5. Performance Evaluation

The performance of the proposed P-CQI reporting scheme is evaluated via event-driven simulation. We consider a cell with a radius of 1 km. Node B is located at the centre of the cell. The session arrival process is modelled by a Poisson distribution, while the session duration is exponentially distributed with equal mean. The traffic load increases by increasing the number of users in the cell. At the downlink, the user’s data are transmitted through HSDPA while on the uplink the HS-DPCCH is employed for the transmission of the signaling data.

For each connection the traffic is assumed to arrive according to an “ON-OFF” model during the duration of the session. As long as the connection is in the “OFF” state, it has no arrivals. While in the “ON” state, a batch of $N$ packets arrives per timeslot. $N$ is uniformly distributed between $N_L$ and $N_H$, where $N_L, N_H \in R^+$. A packet is defined as the amount of bits that can be received during one timeslot at the lowest available rate $R$. The probability $P_{ON}$ of being in the ON state, as well as the $N_L, N_H$ are predefined for each connection.

The initial location of each UE is randomly distributed in the cell, the directions of movement of the users are uniformly distributed, while their velocity is also uniformly distributed in a [0,3] km/h interval. The macrocell propagation model proposed in [13] is adopted for calculating the path loss at distance $d_i$ (km) from Node B. Therefore, the attenuation $L_p$ of the transmitted signal for a Node B antenna height of 15 meter and a 2 GHz carrier frequency is defined as $L_p(d_i) = 128.1 + 37.6 \log(d_i)$ [dB]. The modeling of the wireless channel is performed through a four-state FSMC [10] which provides the required accuracy without adding excessive complexity. The equal probability method (EPM) [10, 14] is used to determine the steady-state probabilities and by this means the transition probabilities. The CQI signaling delay is not taken into account as it is expected to affect the performance of all the evaluated CQI schemes in a similar manner and thus does not alter our comparison.

5.1. Effect of the CQI Reporting Scheme in AMC

If the CQI information is not accurate, the AMC operation of HS-DSCH cannot be efficient. As a consequence, this affects various metrics, such as bit-error rate (BER), packet delay and throughput. In the following, we evaluate the proposed P-CQI scheme by measuring the effect of prediction on the above metrics.

Considering the worst case, where E-CQI performs as the periodic-CQI due to high downlink activity, we assume services with $P_{ON}$ equal to 1 while $N_L = 4, N_H = 6$ and the delay threshold $D_{th}$ is set to 100 milliseconds. Furthermore, given that the optimum performance of AMC is achieved when the CQI reporting is as frequent as possible, we use the periodic-CQI feedback scheme with a report cycle of 2
milliseconds as a reference. The evaluated P-CQI scheme has a report cycle of 2 milliseconds with a CQI prediction ratio of 1/2 (P-CQI_{2ms}^{1/2}). Hence, one every two CQI reports is predicted and the required CQI signaling is reduced by half corresponding to an actual report cycle of 4 milliseconds. The periodic-CQI feedback scheme with a report cycle of 4 milliseconds, which causes the same uplink interference as P-CQI_{2ms}^{1/2}, is also evaluated for comparison.

As we can see in Figure 3, the average BER for the periodic-CQI_{4ms} is significantly higher than that of P-CQI at medium-to-high traffic loads. On the other hand, the performance of P-CQI is close to the optimum performance of the periodic-CQI_{2ms} at all traffic loads. The difference between P-CQI and periodic-CQI_{2ms} is due to the fact that the CQI prediction procedure cannot always be accurate. Therefore, P-CQI can achieve performance comparable to the performance of the optimum periodic-CQI_{2ms} while produces as low interference as the periodic-CQI_{4ms}.

This conclusion can also be verified by Figures 4 and 5 where the average packet delay and the average cell throughput, as a percentage of the total capacity, are shown, respectively. While both metrics increase as the traffic load increases, we can see that again the performance of P-CQI is very close to the optimum performance while at the same time outperforms periodic-CQI_{4ms} especially at medium to high traffic loads.

6. Conclusions

In this paper, we propose a prediction-based CQI reporting scheme (P-CQI). P-CQI has better performance compared with a periodic-CQI scheme which causes the same uplink interference. Due to the prediction of a number of CQI reports, the P-CQI scheme reduces significantly the required CQI signaling while at the same time has a performance near to optimum. As a consequence, the reception quality at the uplink is increased. The only induced cost by the use of P-CQI is an insignificant increase of the complexity at Node B because Node B has to calculate a number of CQI reports instead of receiving them from the UE.
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