

## ON ALMOST 2-ABSORBING SUBMODULES

**Mohammad Yasein<sup>1</sup>**

*Mathematics Department  
The Hashemite University  
Zarqa  
Jordan  
e-mail: myasein@hu.edu.jo*

**Rashid Abu-Dawwas**

*Mathematics Department  
Yarmouk University  
Irbid  
Jordan  
e-mail: rrashid@yu.edu.jo*

**Abstract.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity and let  $M$  be a unitary  $R$ -module. A proper submodule  $N$  of an  $R$ -module  $M$  will be called almost 2-absorbing submodule if  $a, b \in R$  and  $m \in M$  with  $abm \in N - (N : M)N$  implies that  $ab \in (N : M)$  or  $am \in N$ , or  $bm \in N$ . Also a proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$  will be called almost 2-absorbing ideal if  $a, b, c \in R$  with  $abc \in I - I^2$  implies that  $ab \in I$  or  $ac \in I$ , or  $bc \in I$ . These concepts are generalizations of the notions of 2-absorbing submodules and ideals respectively, which have been studied. In this paper we give several results concerning almost 2-absorbing submodules.

**Keywords:** 2-absorbing ideal, 2-absorbing submodule, Almost 2-absorbing ideal, Almost 2-absorbing submodule.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 13A15, 13C99, 13F05, 13F15.

### 1. Introduction

Through out this paper all rings are commutative with identity and all modules are unitary. Prime ideals play a central rule in commutative ring theory. A proper ideal  $P$  of  $R$  is said to be prime ideal if  $ab \in P$  implies that  $a \in P$  or  $b \in P$  where  $a, b \in R$ . Various generalizations of prime ideals have been studied as well as extending ideals to submodules. For example, a proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is said to be almost prime provided that  $a, b \in R$  with  $ab \in I - I^2$  imply that  $a \in I$  or  $b \in I$  (see [4], [2]). A number of generalizations of prime ideals in commutative

---

<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author.

rings can be found in [5], [6]. Also a proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is said to be 2-absorbing if whenever  $a, b, c \in R$  and  $abc \in I$ , then either  $ab \in I$  or  $ac \in I$  or  $bc \in I$  (see [1]). A proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is said to be almost 2-absorbing ideal if whenever  $a, b, c \in R$  with  $abc \in I - I^2$ , then either  $ab \in I$  or  $ac \in I$ , or  $bc \in I$  (see [8]). A proper submodule  $N$  of  $M$  is called a 2-absorbing (resp. weakly 2-absorbing) submodule of  $M$  if whenever  $a, b \in R$ ,  $m \in M$  and  $abm \in N$  (resp.  $0 \neq abm \in N$ ), then  $ab \in (N :_R M)$  or  $am \in N$ , or  $bm \in N$  (see [8]).

Clearly, if  $N$  is a prime  $R$ -submodule of  $M$ , then  $N$  is weakly prime and then it is weakly 2-absorbing and hence it is an almost 2-absorbing  $R$ -submodule of  $M$ . If  $N$  is an almost 2-absorbing  $R$ -submodule of  $M$ , then  $N$  need not to be weakly 2-absorbing; for example  $N = \langle 8 \rangle$  in the  $\mathbf{Z}$ -module  $M = \mathbf{Z}_{40}$ . So, almost 2-absorbing submodules are generalization of weakly 2-absorbing submodules. We show that if  $A, B$  are two ideals of  $R$ ,  $N$  is an almost 2-absorbing  $R$ -submodule of  $M$  and  $L$  is an  $R$ -submodule of  $M$  such that  $ABL \subseteq N - (N : M)N$  and  $8(AB + (A + B)(N : M))(L + N) \not\subseteq (N : M)N$ , then  $AB \subseteq (N : M)$  or  $AL \subseteq N$  or  $BL \subseteq N$ .

The purpose of this paper is to introduce the notion of almost 2-absorbing submodules as a new generalization of both 2-absorbing and almost prime ideals.

## 2. Almost 2-absorbing submodules

Following [3], a proper submodule  $N$  of an  $R$ -module  $M$  is weakly 2-absorbing if  $a, b \in R$ ,  $m \in M$  and  $0 \neq abm \in N$  then  $ab \in (N : M)$  or  $am \in N$ , or  $bm \in N$ . Now we introduce the concept of almost 2-absorbing submodule and generalize the concept of almost prime submodules (see [5]) to an almost 2-absorbing submodules.

**Definition 2.1** Let  $R$  be an integral domain,  $M$  be an  $R$ -module and  $N$  a proper submodule of  $M$ .  $N$  is called an almost 2-absorbing submodule of  $M$  if  $a, b \in R$  and  $m \in M$  with  $abm \in N - (N : M)N$  then either  $ab \in (N : M)$  or  $am \in N$ , or  $bm \in N$ .

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $M$  an  $R$ -module, then every weakly 2-absorbing submodule  $N$  of  $M$  is an almost 2-absorbing submodule of  $M$ . But the converse need not to be true. For example, consider  $R = \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $M = \mathbf{Z}_{40}$  and  $N = \langle \bar{8} \rangle$  then  $(N : M)N = N$ . So  $N$  is almost prime submodule of  $M$  and hence  $N$  is an almost 2-absorbing submodule of  $M$ . On the other hand,  $0 \neq 2 \cdot 2(\bar{2}) = \bar{8} \in N$  while  $2 \cdot 2 \notin (N : M)$  and  $2 \cdot \bar{2} \notin N$ , so  $N$  is not weakly 2-absorbing submodule of  $M$ . So almost 2-absorbing submodules are generalization of both almost prime submodules and weakly 2-absorbing submodules.

**Theorem 2.2** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module and  $N, K$  be a proper submodules of  $M$  with  $K \subseteq N$ . Then  $N$  is an almost 2-absorbing submodule of  $M$  if and only if  $N/K$  is an almost 2-absorbing submodule of  $M/K$ .

**Proof.** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $N$  be an almost 2-absorbing submodule of  $M$ . Now, for any  $a, b \in R$  and  $m \in M$  assume that  $ab(m + K) \in N/K - (N/K : M/K)N/K$ . It follows that  $ab(m + K) \in N/K - (N : M)N/K$  and so  $abm \in N - (N : M)N$ . Since  $N$  is an almost 2-absorbing then  $ab \in (N : M)$  or  $am \in N$ , or  $bm \in N$ . Hence  $ab \in (N/K : M/K)$  or  $a(m + K) \in N/K$ , or  $b(m + K) \in N/K$ . Thus  $N/K$  is an almost 2-absorbing submodule of  $M/K$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $N/K$  be an almost 2-absorbing submodule of  $M/K$ ,  $a, b \in R$  and  $m \in M$  with  $abm \in N - (N : M)N$ . It follows that  $ab(m + K) \in N/K - (N/K : M/K)N/K$ . Since  $N/K$  is an almost 2-absorbing submodule of  $M/K$  then  $ab \in (N/K : M/K)$  or  $a(m + K) \in N/K$ , or  $b(m + K) \in N/K$ . So  $ab \in (N : M)$  or  $am \in N$ , or  $bm \in N$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Proposition 2.3** *Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module and  $N$  be a submodule of  $M$ . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $N$  is an almost 2-absorbing submodule of  $M$ .
- (ii) For  $r_1r_2 \in R - (N :_R M)$ ,  

$$(N :_M \langle r_1r_2 \rangle) = (N :_M \langle r_1 \rangle) \cup (N :_M \langle r_2 \rangle) \cup ((N : M)N :_M \langle r_1r_2 \rangle).$$
- (iii) For  $r_1r_2 \in R - (N : M)$ ,  

$$(N :_M \langle r_1r_2 \rangle) = (N :_M \langle r_1 \rangle) \text{ or } (N :_M \langle r_1r_2 \rangle) = (N :_M \langle r_2 \rangle), \text{ or}$$
  

$$(N :_M \langle r_1r_2 \rangle) = ((N : M)N :_M \langle r_1r_2 \rangle).$$

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) If  $r_1r_2 \in R - (N :_R M)$  and  $m \in (N :_M \langle r_1r_2 \rangle)$  then  $r_1r_2m \in N$ . But if  $r_1r_2 \notin R - (N :_R M)N$  then  $r_1r_2m \in N - (N :_R M)N$ . So  $r_1m \in N$  or  $r_2m \in N$ . Hence  $m \in (N :_M \langle r_1 \rangle)$  or  $m \in (N :_M \langle r_2 \rangle)$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) It is well known that if a module equals to the union of two modules then it is one of them.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Let  $a, b \in R$  and  $m \in M$  with  $abm \in N - (N : M)N$ . Now assume that  $ab \notin (N : M)$ , we show that  $am \in N$  or  $bm \in N$ . By (ii)  $(N :_M \langle ab \rangle) = (N :_M \langle a \rangle)$  or  $(N :_M \langle ab \rangle) = (N :_M \langle b \rangle)$ , or  $(N :_M \langle ab \rangle) = ((N : M)N :_M \langle ab \rangle)$ . Since  $abm \notin (N : M)N$  then  $m \notin ((N : M)N :_M \langle ab \rangle)$ . Therefore  $m \in (N :_M \langle a \rangle)$  or  $m \in (N :_M \langle b \rangle)$ . Hence  $am \in N$  or  $bm \in N$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Lemma 2.4** *Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module,  $N$  be an almost 2-absorbing  $R$ -submodule of  $M$  and  $r, s \in R$ . If  $L$  is an  $R$ -submodule of  $M$  such that  $rsL \subseteq (N : M)N$  and  $2rsL \not\subseteq (N : M)$ , then  $rs \in (N : M)$  or  $rL \subseteq N$  or  $sL \subseteq N$ .*

**Proof.** Assume  $K = (N : M)$  and suppose  $rs \notin K$ . It is enough to prove  $L \subseteq (N :_M r) \cup (N :_M s)$ . Let  $x \in L$ . If  $rsx \notin (N : M)N$ , then since  $N$  is almost 2-absorbing and  $rs \notin K$ , either  $rx \in N$  or  $sx \in N$  and then  $x \in (N :_M r) \cup (N :_M s)$ . Suppose  $rsx \in (N : M)N$ . Since  $2rsL \not\subseteq (N : M)$ , there exists  $z \in L$  such that  $2rsz \notin (N : M)N$  and then  $2rsz \in N - (N : M)N$ . Since  $N$  is almost 2-absorbing and  $rs \notin K$ , either  $rz \in N$  or  $sz \in N$ . Let  $m = z + x$ . Then  $rsm \in N - (N : M)N$  and since  $rs \notin K$ , either  $rm \in N$  or  $sm \in N$ . We study three cases:

**Case 1.**  $rz \in N$  and  $sz \in N$ . Clearly,  $rm \in N$  or  $sm \in N$  and then either  $rx \in N$  or  $sx \in N$ .

**Case 2.**  $rz \in N$  and  $sz \notin N$ . Suppose  $rx \notin N$ . Then  $rm \in N$  and then  $sm \in N$  which implies that  $r(m+z) \notin N$  and  $s(m+z) \notin N$ . Since  $N$  is almost 2-absorbing and  $rs \notin K$ ,  $rs(m+z) \in (N : M)N$  which is a contradiction. Hence,  $rx \in N$ .

**Case 3.** Similarly, as Case 2. ■

**Lemma 2.5** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module,  $N$  be an almost 2-absorbing  $R$ -submodule of  $M$  and  $r \in R$ . If  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$  and  $L$  is an  $R$ -submodule of  $M$  such that  $rIL \subseteq N$  and  $4rIL \not\subseteq (N : M)N$ , then  $rI \subseteq (N : M)$  or  $rL \subseteq N$  or  $IL \subseteq N$ .

**Proof.** Assume  $K = (N : M)$  and suppose  $rI \not\subseteq K$ . The there exists  $s \in I$  such that  $rs \notin K$ . We show that there exists  $t \in I$  such that  $4rtL \not\subseteq (N : M)N$  and  $rt \notin K$ . Since  $4rIL \not\subseteq (N : M)N$ , there exists  $\alpha \in I$  such that  $4r\alpha L \not\subseteq (N : M)N$ . If  $r\alpha \notin K$  or  $4rsL \not\subseteq (N : M)N$ , then by taking  $t = \alpha$  or  $t = s$ , we obtain our result. Suppose  $r\alpha \in K$  and  $4rsL \subseteq (N : M)N$ . Then  $4r(s+\alpha)L \subseteq N - (N : M)N$  and  $r(s+\alpha) \notin K$  and then by taking  $t = s+\alpha$ , we obtain our result. Hence,  $2rtL \not\subseteq (N : M)N$  and then by Lemma 2.4,  $L \subseteq (N : r) \cup (N : t)$ . If  $rL \subseteq N$ , we are done. Suppose  $rL \not\subseteq N$ . Then  $tL \subseteq N$ . We show that  $I \subseteq (K : r) \cup (N : L)$ . Let  $\beta \in I$ . If  $2r\beta L \not\subseteq (N : M)N$ , then by Lemma 2.4,  $r\beta \in K$  or  $rL \subseteq N$  or  $\beta L \subseteq N$ . Since  $rL \not\subseteq N$ ,  $\beta \in (K : r) \cup (N : L)$ . Suppose  $2r\beta L \subseteq (N : M)N$ . Then  $2r(t+\beta)L \subseteq N - (N : M)N$  and then Lemma 2.4,  $r(t+\beta) \in K$  or  $rL \subseteq N$  or  $(t+\beta)L \subseteq N$ . Since  $rL \not\subseteq N$ ,  $(t+\beta) \in (K : r) \cup (N : L)$ . If  $t+\beta \in (N : L)$ , then since  $t \in (N : L)$ ,  $\beta \in (N : L)$ . Suppose  $t+\beta \in (K : r) - (N : L)$ . Now,  $2r(2t+\beta)L \not\subseteq (N : M)N$  and  $2r(2t+\beta)L \subseteq N$ . Since  $rt \notin K$  and  $r(t+\beta) \in K$ ,  $r(2t+\beta) \notin K$ . So, by Lemma 2.4,  $L \subseteq (N : r) \cup (N : 2t+\beta)$ . Since  $t+\beta \notin (N : L)$  and  $t \in (N : L)$ ,  $2t+\beta \notin (N : L)$  and then  $L \subseteq (N : r)$  which is a contradiction. So,  $t+\beta \in (N : L)$  and since  $t \in (N : L)$ ,  $\beta \in (N : L)$ . Thus,  $I \subseteq (K : r) \cup (N : L)$  and since  $rI \not\subseteq K$ ,  $IL \subseteq N$ . ■

**Theorem 2.6** Let  $A, B$  be two ideals of  $R$ . Suppose  $M$  is an  $R$ -module and  $N$  be an almost 2-absorbing  $R$ -submodule of  $M$ . If  $L$  is an  $R$ -submodule of  $M$  such that  $ABL \subseteq N - (N : M)N$  and  $8(AB + (A+B)(N : M))(L + N) \not\subseteq (N : M)N$ , then  $AB \subseteq (N : M)$  or  $AL \subseteq N$  or  $BL \subseteq N$ .

**Proof.** We study three cases:

**Case 1.**  $8ABL \not\subseteq (N : M)N$ . Then there exists  $r \in B$  such that  $8rAL \not\subseteq (N : M)N$  and then  $4rAL \not\subseteq (N : M)N$ . By Lemma 2.4,  $rA \subseteq (N : M) = K$  or  $rL \subseteq N$  or  $AL \subseteq N$ . If  $AL \subseteq N$ , then we are done. Suppose  $AL \not\subseteq N$ . Then  $r \in (K : A) \cup (N : L)$ . We show that  $B \subseteq (K : A) \cup (N : L)$ . Let  $s \in B$ . If  $4sAL \not\subseteq (N : M)N$ , then by Lemma 2.4,  $s \in (K : A) \cup (N : L)$  as  $AL \not\subseteq N$ . Suppose  $4sAL \subseteq (N : M)N$ . Then  $4(r+s)AL \subseteq N - (N : M)N$  and then by Lemma 2.4,  $r+s \in (K : A) \cup (N : L)$  as  $AL \not\subseteq N$ . We study four subcases:

**Subcase 1.**  $r + s \in (K : A)$  and  $r \in (K : A)$ . Then  $s \in (K : A)$ .

**Subcase 2.**  $r + s \in (N : L)$  and  $r \in (N : L)$ . Then  $s \in (N : L)$ .

**Subcase 3.**  $r \in (K : A) - (N : L)$  and  $r + s \in (N : L) - (K : A)$ . Then  $2r + s \notin (K : A)$  and  $2r + s \notin (N : L)$  and then  $2r + s \notin (K : A) \cup (N : L)$ . Now,  $4(2r + s)AL \not\subseteq (N : M)N$  and hence by Lemma 2.4,  $2r + s \in (K : A) \cup (N : L)$  as  $AL \not\subseteq N$ , which is a contradiction. As  $r \in (K : A) \cup (N : L)$  and  $r + s \in (K : A) \cup (N : L)$ , we have two situations:

- (1)  $r \in (N : L)$  and  $r + s \in (N : L) - (K : A)$ , so  $s \in (N : L)$ .
- (2)  $r \in (K : A) - (N : L)$  and  $r + s \in (K : A)$ , so  $s \in (K : A)$ .

**Subcase 4.**  $r + s \in (K : A) - (N : L)$  and  $r \in (N : L) - (K : A)$ . Then, as Subcase 3,  $s \in (K : A) \cup (N : L)$ . Thus,  $B \subseteq (K : A) \cup (N : L)$ .

**Case 2.**  $8ABN \not\subseteq (N : M)N$  and  $8ABL \subseteq (N : M)N$ . Then  $8AB(L + N) \subseteq N - (N : M)N$  and then, by Case 1,  $BA \subseteq (N : M)$  or  $B(L + N) \subseteq N$  or  $A(L + N) \subseteq N$  and then  $BA \subseteq (N : M)$  or  $BL \subseteq N$  or  $AL \subseteq N$ .

**Case 3.**  $8B(N : M)L \not\subseteq (N : M)N$  and  $8ABL \subseteq (N : M)N$ . Then,  $8B(A + (N : M))L \not\subseteq (N : M)N$  and then, by Case 1,  $8(A + (N : M)) \subseteq (N : M)$  or  $BL \subseteq N$  or  $(A + (N : M))L \subseteq N$  which implies that  $BA \subseteq (N : M)$  or  $BL \subseteq N$  or  $AL \subseteq N$ . Similarly, if  $8A(N : M)L \not\subseteq (N : M)N$ . ■

**Theorem 2.7** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module and  $N$  be an almost 2-absorbing  $R$ -submodule of  $M$ . Suppose  $r, s \in R$  and  $m \in M$  such that  $rsm \in (N : M)N$ ,  $rs \notin (N : M)$ ,  $rm \notin N$  and  $sm \notin N$ . Then  $rsN \subseteq (N : M)N$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $rsN \not\subseteq (N : M)N$ . Then there exists  $n \in N$  such that  $rsn \notin (N : M)N$  and then  $rs(m + n) \in N - (N : M)N$ . Since  $N$  is almost 2-absorbing,  $rs \in (N : M)$  or  $r(m + n) \in N$  or  $s(m + n) \in N$  and then  $rs \in (N : M)$  or  $rm \in N$  or  $sm \in N$  which are contradiction. Hence,  $rsN \subseteq (N : M)N$ . ■

**Proposition 2.8** Let  $(R, M)$  be a quasi-local ring. Then every proper ideal of  $R$  is almost 2-absorbing ideal if  $M^3 = 0$ .

**Proof.** Let  $a, b, c \in M$  with  $abc \neq 0$ . Since  $abc \neq 0$  then  $abc \in M - M^2$ . So  $ab \in M$  or  $ac \in M$ , or  $bc \in M$  then  $a$  or  $b$ , or  $c$  is not in  $M$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $abc = 0$ . ■

**References**

- [1] BADAWI, A., *On 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 75 (2007), 417-429.
- [2] BADAWI, A., YOUSEFIAN DARANI, A., *On weakly 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings*, Houston J. Math. To appear.
- [3] YOUSEFIAN DARANI, A., SOHEILNIA, F., *2-Absorbing and weakly 2-absorbing submodules*, Thai Journal of Mathematics, 9 (2011), 577 - 584.
- [4] ANDERSON, D.D., SMITH, E., *Weakly prime ideals*, Houston J. Math., 29 (2003), 831-840.
- [5] ANDERSON, D.D., BATAINEH, M., *Generalizations of prime ideals*, Comm. Algebra, 36 (2008), 686-696.
- [6] KHASHAN, H., *On Almost prime submodules*, Acta Math. Sci., 32B (2012), 645-651.
- [7] BHATWADEKAR, M.S., SHARMA, P.K., *Unique factorization and birth of almost primes*, Comm. Algebra, 33 (2005), 43-49.
- [8] MORADI, S., AZIZI, A., *2-Absorbing and n-weakly prime submodules*, Miskolc Mathematical Notes, 13 (2012), 75-86.

Accepted: 20.06.2016