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We established some theorems under the aim of deriving variants of the Banach contraction principle, using the classes of inner contractions and outer contractions, on the structure of fuzzy modular spaces.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The concept of a modular space was introduced by Nakano [1]. Soon after, Musielak and Orlicz [2] redefined and generalized the notion of modular space. After that, Kumam et al. [3–7] studied fixed points and some properties in modular spaces. In 2007, Nourouzi [8] proposed probabilistic modular spaces based on the theory of modular spaces and some researches on the Menger’s probabilistic metric spaces. A pair \((X, \rho)\) is called a probabilistic modular space if \(X\) is a real vector space and \(\rho\) is a mapping from \(X\) into the set of all distribution functions (for \(x \in X\), the distribution function \(\rho(x)\) is denoted by \(\rho_x\), and \(\rho_x(t)\) is the value \(\rho_x\) at \(t \in \mathbb{R}\)) satisfying the following conditions:

- \((PM1)\ \rho_x(0) = 0;\)
- \((PM2)\ \rho_x(t) = 1\) for all \(t > 0\) if and only if \(x = \theta;\)
- \((PM3)\ \rho_{-x}(t) = \rho_x(t);\)
- \((PM4)\ \rho_{ax+by}(s + t) \geq \rho_a(s) \wedge \rho_y(t)\) for all \(x, y \in X\) and \(\alpha, \beta, s, t \in \mathbb{R}^+\), \(\alpha + \beta = 1\).

For every \(x \in X, t > 0\) and \(\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}\), if

\[
\rho_{ax}(t) = \rho_x \left( \frac{t}{|\alpha|^\beta} \right),
\]

where \(\beta \in (0, 1]\), then we say that \((X, \rho)\) is \(\beta\)-homogeneous.

Recently, further studies have been made on the probabilistic modular spaces. Nourouzi [8] extended the well-known Baire’s Theorem to probabilistic modular spaces by using a special condition. Nourouzi [8] investigated the continuity and boundedness of linear operators defined between probabilistic modular spaces in the probabilistic sense. After that, Shen and Chen [9] following the idea of probabilistic modular space and the definition of fuzzy metric space in the sense of George and Veeramani [10], applied fuzzy concept to the classical notions of modular and modular spaces, and in 2013, Shen and Chen [9] introduced the concept of a fuzzy modular space.

**Definition 1** (Vasuki [11]). A fuzzy set \(A\) in \(X\) is a function with domain \(X\) and value in \([0, 1]\).

**Definition 2** (Arul Selvaraj and Sivakumar [12]). A triangular norm is a function \(* : [0,1] \times [0,1] \to [0,1]\) satisfying, for each \(a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]\), the following conditions:

- \((1)\ a * 1 = a;\)
- \((2)\ a * b \leq c * d\) whenever \(a \leq c, b \leq d;\)
- \((3)\ a * b = b * a\) and \((a * b) * c = a * (b * c).\)

**Definition 3** (Shen and Chen [9]). Let \(V\) be a real or complex vector space with a zero \(\theta\), \(*\) a continuous triangular norm, and \(\mu\) a fuzzy set on the product \(V \times \mathbb{R}^+\). Suppose that the following properties hold for \(x, y \in V\) and \(s, t > 0\):
This triangular norm has a very special property that if
\[ (V, \mu, *) \]
then, we write
\[ \mu(x, t) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty \]
for all \( x \in V \) and \( t > 0 \).

The space \( (V, \mu, *) \) is said to be \( \mu \)-complete if \( \mu \)-Cauchy sequences actually \( \mu \)-convergences. We note that it makes more sense if we require a complete fuzzy modular space to be equipped with the strongest triangular norm.

A mapping \( f \) from \( V \) into itself is said to be an inner contraction if there exists a positive constant \( q < 1 \) such that
\[ \mu \left( f x - f y, q t \right) \geq \mu \left( x - y, t \right), \]
for all \( x, y \in V \) and \( t > 0 \).

On the other hand, \( f \) is said to be an outer contraction if there exists a positive constant \( q < 1 \) such that
\[ \mu \left( f x - f y, t - 1 \right) \geq q \left( \mu \left( x - y, t - 1 \right) \right), \]
for all \( x, y \in V \) and \( t > 0 \).

In this paper, we shall be working under the aim of deriving some variants of the Banach contraction principle, by using the classes of mappings defined above, on the structure of fuzzy modular spaces.

2. Main Results

We divide this section into two parts, discussing independently about the two main categories of our contractions predefined in the previous section. Notice that it is clear from the definition that every fuzzy metric space is in turn a fuzzy modular space. Hence, our results are also supplied with corollaries in fuzzy metric spaces. We shall, however, omit such consequences since they are obvious.

2.1. Fixed-Point Theorem for an Inner Contraction

Theorem 6. Let \( V \) be a real vector space equipped with a \( \beta \)-homogeneous fuzzy modular \( \mu \) and the strongest triangular norm \( * \) such that \( (V, \mu, *) \) is \( \mu \)-complete. Suppose that at each \( x \in V \), \( \mu(x, t) \rightarrow 1 \) as \( t \rightarrow \infty \). If \( f : V \rightarrow V \) is an inner contraction with constant \( q \in (0, 1) \), then \( f \) has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Given a point \( x_0 \in V \), we suppose that \( f^n x_0 \neq f^{n+1} x_0 \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Let \( t > 0 \), observe that

\[ \mu \left( f^n x_0 - f^{n+1} x_0, t \right) \geq \mu \left( f^{n-1} x_0 - f^n x_0, \frac{t}{q} \right) \]
\[ \geq \mu \left( f^{n-2} x_0 - f^{n-1} x_0, \frac{t}{q^2} \right) \]
\[ \vdots \]
\[ \geq \mu \left( x_0 - f x_0, \frac{t}{q^n} \right). \]

As \( n \rightarrow \infty \), we have \( f^n x_0 - f^{n+1} x_0 \rightarrow \theta \) for every \( t > 0 \). That is, for any given \( t > 0 \) and \( \epsilon \in (0, 1) \), there exists \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) such that
\[ \mu \left( f^N x_0 - f^{N+1} x_0, t \right) \geq \mu \left( f^N x_0 - f^{N+1} x_0, \frac{t}{2^{\beta+1}} \right) > 1 - \epsilon. \]
We now claim to show by induction that \( \mu(f^N x_0 - f^{N+j} x_0, t) > 1 - \varepsilon \) for all \( p \in \mathbb{N} \). Let us assume first that 
\( \mu(f^N x_0 - f^{N+j} x_0, t) > 1 - \varepsilon \) holds at some \( j \in \mathbb{N} \). Observe that 
\[
\mu(f^N x_0 - f^{N+j+1} x_0, t)
\]
\[
= \mu(\frac{1}{2}(f^N x_0 - f^{N+1} x_0) + \frac{1}{2}(f^{N+j} x_0 - f^{N+j+1} x_0), \frac{t}{2^{p+1}})
\]
\[
\geq \mu(f^N x_0 - f^{N+1} x_0, \frac{t}{2^{p+1}}) \cdot \mu(f^{N+j} x_0 - f^{N+j+1} x_0, \frac{t}{2^{p+1}})
\]
\[
\geq \mu(f^N x_0 - f^{N+1} x_0, \frac{t}{2^{p+1}}) \cdot \mu(f^{N+j} x_0 - f^{N+j+1} x_0, \frac{t}{2^{p+1}})
\]
\[
\geq \mu(\frac{1}{2}(f^N x_0 - f^{N+1} x_0), \frac{t}{2^{p+1}}) \cdot \mu(\frac{1}{2}(f^{N+j} x_0 - f^{N+j+1} x_0), \frac{t}{2^{p+1}})
\]
\[
> (1 - \varepsilon) \cdot (1 - \varepsilon)
\]
\[
= 1 - \varepsilon.
\]

We have thus proved our claim.

Next, we shall show that \((f^n x_0)\) is Cauchy. Let \( t > 0 \) and \( \varepsilon \in (0, 1) \) be arbitrary, and we choose \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) according to the claim given above. For \( n > m > N \), we may write \( m = N + s \) and \( n = N + s + t \), for some \( s, t \in \mathbb{N} \). Now, consider that

\[
\mu(f^m x_0 - f^n x_0, t) = \mu(f^{N+s} x_0 - f^{N+s+t} x_0, t)
\]
\[
\geq \mu(f^N x_0 - f^{N+s} x_0, \frac{t}{q})
\]
\[
\geq \mu(f^N x_0 - f^{N+s} x_0, \frac{t}{q})
\]
\[
> 1 - \varepsilon.
\]
Thus, \((f^n x_0)\) is Cauchy, and so the \( \mu \)-completeness yields that \( f^n x_0 \to x_* \) for some \( x_* \in V \). It follows that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(f^n x_0 - f^n x_*, t) \geq \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(f^n x_0 - x_*, \frac{t}{q})
\]
\[
\geq \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(f^n x_0 - x_*, t) = 1,
\]
\[
\forall t > 0.
\]

This means \( f x_* = x_* \), since \( \mathcal{F}_\mu \) is Hausdorff. To show that the fixed point of \( f \) is unique, assume that \( y_* \in V \) is a fixed point of \( f \) as well. Finally, we obtain that

\[
\mu(x_* - y_, t) = \mu(f^n x_* - f^n y_*, t)
\]
\[
\geq \mu(x_* - y_, \frac{t}{q}) \rightarrow 1, \quad \forall t > 0.
\]

Therefore, it must be the case that \( x_* = y_* \), and so the conclusion is fulfilled.

2.2. Fixed-Point Theorem for an Outer Contraction. For this part, we consider a weaker form of a \( \mu \)-Cauchy sequence, namely, a \( \mu-G \)-Cauchy sequence. This concept has been used all along together with the notion of fuzzy spaces. For a fuzzy modular space \( V, (x_i) \) is called a \( \mu-G \)-Cauchy sequence if for each fixed \( p \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( t > 0 \), we have \( \lim_{n \to \infty}(x_n, p, x_n, t) = 1 \). If every \( \mu-G \)-Cauchy sequence \( \mu \)-converges, \( V \) is said to be \( \mu-G \)-complete. It is to be noted that the notion of \( \mu-G \)-completeness is slightly stronger than the ordinary completeness. It is enough to see that every \( \mu-G \)-Cauchy sequence is also a \( \mu-G \)-Cauchy sequence. For our result, it is still a question whether or not the \( \mu-G \)-completeness assumption can be weakened.

Theorem 7. Let \( V \) be a real vector space equipped with a \( \beta \)-homogeneous fuzzy modular \( \mu \) and the strongest triangular norm \( * \) such that \( (V, \mu, *) \) is \( \mu-G \)-complete. If \( f : V \to V \) is an outer contraction with constant \( q \in (0, 1) \), then \( f \) has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Given a point \( x_0 \in V \), we suppose that \( f^n x_0 \neq f^{n+1} x_0 \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). By the definition of an outer contraction, we can rewrite this notion in the following:

\[
\mu(f x - f y, t) \geq q \mu(x - y, t) + (1 - q),
\]

for all \( x, y \in V \) and \( t > 0 \).

Let \( t > 0 \), observe that

\[
\mu(f^n x_0 - f^{n+1} x_0, t) \geq q \mu(f^{n-1} x_0 - f^n x_0, t) + (1 - q)
\]
\[
\geq q^2 \mu(f^{n-2} x_0 - f^{n-1} x_0, t)
\]
\[
\geq q^{n-1} \mu(x_0 - f^n x_0, t) + q (1 - q) + (1 - q)
\]
\[
\geq q^n \mu(x_0 - f^n x_0, t) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} q^k (1 - q).
\]

As \( n \to \infty \), we have

\[
\mu(f^n x_0 - f^{n+1} x_0, t) \to 1 - \varepsilon, \quad \text{for } n \text{ being sufficiently large.}
\]

Next, we shall show that \((f^n x_0)\) is Cauchy. Let \( t > 0 \) and \( \varepsilon \in (0, 1) \) be arbitrary, and we choose \( N \in \mathbb{N} \). For \( n > N \), \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and for each \( p > 0 \), we have that

\[
\mu(f^n x_0 - f^{n+p} x_0, t)
\]
\[
\geq \mu(f^n x_0 - f^{n+1} x_0, \frac{t}{2^{p+1}}) \cdot \mu(f^{n+1} x_0 - f^{n+p} x_0, \frac{t}{2^{p+1}})
\]
\[
\geq \mu(f^n x_0 - f^{n+1} x_0, \frac{t}{2^{p+1}}) \cdot \mu(f^{n+1} x_0 - f^{n+2} x_0, \frac{t}{2^{p+1}})
\]
\[ \ast \mu \left( f^{n+2}x_0 - f^{n+1}x_0, \frac{t}{2^{(n+1)}} \right) \]
\[ \ast \cdot \cdot \cdot \ast \mu \left( f^{n+p}x_0 - f^{n+p-1}x_0, \frac{t}{2^{(n+p)}} \right) \geq \mu \left( f^n x_0 - f^{n+1}x_0, \frac{t}{2^{(n+1)}} \right) \ast \mu \left( f^{n+1}x_0 - f^{n+2}x_0, \frac{t}{2^{(n+2)}} \right) \ast \mu \left( f^{n+2}x_0 - f^{n+3}x_0, \frac{t}{2^{(n+3)}} \right) \ast \cdot \cdot \cdot \ast \mu \left( f^{n+p}x_0 - f^{n+p+1}x_0, \frac{t}{2^{(n+p+1)}} \right) \]
\[ \geq \mu \left( f^n x_0 - f^{n+1}x_0, \frac{t}{2^{(n+1)}} \right) \ast \mu \left( f^{n+1}x_0 - f^{n+2}x_0, \frac{t}{2^{(n+2)}} \right) \ast \mu \left( f^{n+2}x_0 - f^{n+3}x_0, \frac{t}{2^{(n+3)}} \right) \ast \cdot \cdot \cdot \ast \mu \left( f^{n+p}x_0 - f^{n+p+1}x_0, \frac{t}{2^{(n+p+1)}} \right) \]
\[ > (1 - \epsilon) \ast (1 - \epsilon) \ast \cdot \cdot \cdot \ast (1 - \epsilon) = 1 - \epsilon. \]

(15)

Thus, the sequence \( f^n x_0 \) is Cauchy, and so the \( \mu \)-completeness yields that \( f^n x_0 \to x_\ast \) for some \( x_\ast \in V \). It follows that

\[ \mu \left( f^{n+1}x_0 - f x_\ast, t \right) \geq q \mu \left( f^n x_0 - x_\ast, t \right) + (1 - q), \]
\[ \forall t > 0. \]

(16)

Taking \( n \to \infty \), we have

\[ \mu \left( f^{n+1}x_0 - f x_\ast, t \right) \to 1. \]

(17)

This means \( f x_\ast = x_\ast \), since \( T_\mu \) is Hausdorff. To show that the fixed point of \( f \) is unique, assume that \( y_\ast \in V \) is a fixed point of \( f \) as well. Finally, we obtain that

\[ \mu \left( x_\ast - y_\ast, t \right) = \mu \left( f x_\ast - f y_\ast, t \right) \geq q \mu \left( x_\ast - y_\ast, t \right) + (1 - q). \]

(18)

Hence, we have \( \mu(x_\ast - y_\ast, t)(1 - q) \geq (1 - q) \) which implies that \( \mu(x_\ast - y_\ast, t) = 1 \). Therefore, it must be the case that \( x_\ast = y_\ast \), and so the conclusion is fulfilled.

Open Question 1. Is Theorem 7 true under the assumption that \( V \) is \( \mu \)-complete as in Theorem 6?
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