3-1-2011

Quantitative Assessment of the Shelf-Life of Ozonated Apple Juice

S. Patil
Dublin Institute of Technology

Vasilis Valdramidis
University College Dublin, vvaldram@gmail.com

Brijesh Tiwari
Manchester Metropolitan University

P J. Cullen
Dublin Institute of Technology, pjcullen@dit.ie

Paula Bourke
Dublin Institute of Technology, paula.bourke@dit.ie

Quantitative assessment of the shelf-life of ozonated apple juice

Sonal Patil   Vasilis Valdramidis   Brijesh K. Tiwari
                     vvaldram@gmail.com

PJ Cullen   Paula Bourke

— Use Licence —

Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 1.0

You are free:

- to copy, distribute, display, and perform the work

- to make derivative works

Under the following conditions:

- Attribution.
  You must give the original author credit.

- Non-Commercial.
  You may not use this work for commercial purposes.

- Share Alike.
  If you alter, transform, or build upon this work, you may distribute the resulting work only under a license identical to this one.

For any reuse or distribution, you must make clear to others the license terms of this work. Any of these conditions can be waived if you get permission from the author.

Your fair use and other rights are in no way affected by the above.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike License. To view a copy of this license, visit:

- URL (human-readable summary):
  http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/1.0/

- URL (legal code):
  http://creativecommons.org/worldwide/uk/translated-license

Quantitative assessment of the shelf-life of ozonated apple juice

S. Patil[1], V.P. Valdramidis[2]*, B.K. Tiwari[3], P.J. Cullen[1], P. Bourke[1]

[1]School of Food Science and Environmental Health, Dublin Institute of Technology,

Cathal Brugha Street, Dublin 1, Ireland

[2] Biosystems Engineering UCD School of Agriculture, Food Science and Veterinary Medicine University

College Dublin Belfield, Dublin 4, IRELAND

[3]Department of Food & Tourism Management, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, M14

6HR, UK

* Corresponding author. Tel: +353-876331281; E-mail: vvaldram@gmail.com

28   **Abstract**

29   Sterile apple juice inoculated with *S. cerevisiae* ATCC 9763 (10^3 CFU/mL) was

30   processed in a bubble column with gaseous ozone of flow rate of 0.12 L/min and

31   concentration of 33-40 µg/mL for 8 min. The growth kinetics of *S. cerevisiae* as an

32   indicator of juice spoilage was monitored at 4, 8, 12 and 16 ºC for up to 30 days. The

33   kinetics were quantitatively described by the primary model of Baranyi and Robert's and

34   the maximum specific growth rate was further modeled as a function of temperature by

35   the Ratkowsky type model. The developed model was successfully validated for the

36   microbial growth of control and ozonated samples during dynamic storage temperature of

37   periodic changes from 4 to 16 °C. Two more characteristic parameters were also

38   evaluated, the time of spoilage of the product under static temperature conditions and the

39   temperature quotient, Q_{10}. At lower static storage temperature (4 ºC) no spoilage occurred

40   either for unprocessed or ozone processed apple juice. In the case of ozone processed

41   apple juice, the shelf life was increased when compared with the controls and the Q_{10} was

42   found to be 7.17, which appear much higher than that of the controls, indicating the

43   effectiveness of ozonation for the extension of shelf-life of apple juice.

44   **Keywords:** yeast*,* ozone, apple juice, shelf-life, dynamic modeling

45

46

47

48

49

50

1. Introduction

Acidic products such as fruit juices contain substantial amounts of fermentable sugars. Spoilage of fruit and vegetable juices is primarily due to the proliferation of its natural acid tolerant and osmophilic micro flora [1]. Yeasts, lactic acid bacteria and moulds may account for the fermented taste, production of the carbon dioxide and the buttermilk off-flavour production, as well as the spoilage of juices [2]. Yeasts predominate in spoilage of acid food products as they have the ability to grow at low pH, high sugar concentration and low water activity conditions and resist inactivation by heat processing which enables them to survive or grow in fruit or fruit products [3, 4]. Fruit juices are generally rich in simple carbohydrates and complex nitrogen sources, and hence are ideal substrates for yeasts. More than 110 species of yeasts have been listed as associated with food and food products, of which large proportions occur on fruits, and more than 40 are associated with soft drinks [5]. The contamination of fruit juices with yeasts is normally indicative of highly contaminated raw materials, failure in fruit juice pasteurization, in sanitation practices or the presence of preservative resistant yeasts [6].

Saccharomyces cerevisiae is one of the most important yeasts causing spoilage of fruit juices and soft drinks [5, 7-9] and can be considered as shelf-life indicator [10, 11]. Several authors reported that fruit juice concentrates, fruit pulps, packaged fruit juices and soft drinks are particularly prone to fermentative spoilage with *S. cerevisiae, S. bayanus* and to a lesser extent *S. pastoranious* [4, 12-18]. Therefore, numerous heat inactivation studies have been conducted with *S. cerevisiae* because of its significance in the spoilage of heat pasteurized fruit juices and carbonated beverages [8, 17, 19]. Fermentation of sugars such as glucose, fructose, and sucrose is the principal spoilage

3

74   reaction of *Saccharomyces* species. Growth of yeasts is usually accompanied by

75   formation of carbon dioxide and alcohol. Carbon dioxide gives the product a gassy,

76   frothy appearance and causes a packaged product to swell and explode. In addition, the

77   products develop a distinctive alcoholic, fermentative smell and taste [20]. Spoilage of

78   fruit juice makes it unacceptable for human consumption.

79   Heat treatment is the most widely used method for preservation of fruit and vegetable

80   juices due to its effectiveness in microbial inactivation [21] although it has certain

81   disadvantages for nutritional and organoleptic values [22, 23]. There is consumer demand

82   for a wider range of less heavily processed foods of improved quality with longer shelf-

83   life and negligible changes in the organoleptic and nutritional values. This has enhanced

84   interest in non-thermal technologies which could be effective on the inactivation of the

85   undesired microorganisms [24].

86   Alternatives to thermal pasteurization such as ozone treatment are under investigation for

87   potential application in fruit juice preservation. Apple juice (or apple cider in North

88   America) is one of these products which is consumed by people of all ages for its sensory

89   and nutritional qualities. The FDA's approval of ozone as a direct additive to food in

90   2001 triggered interest in ozone applications development, and industry guidelines for

91   apple juice and cider were published by the USFDA in 2004, which also highlighted gaps

92   in the scientific knowledge [25].

93   Ozone is a powerful antimicrobial agent due to its potential oxidizing capacity and it

94   appears to be active against bacteria, fungi, viruses, protozoa, as well as bacterial and

95   fungal spores [26, 27]. Ozone destroys microorganisms by progressive oxidation of vital

96   cellular components. Oxidation reactions are caused by either dissolved molecular ozone

4

97   or free radical species formed during auto-decomposition of ozone [28]. Activated

98   oxygen species resulting from ozone decomposition include singlet oxygen, hydroxyl

99   radical, superoxide anion (perhydroxyl radical at low pH) and hydrogen peroxide which

100 elicit potent cidal activity against a broad-spectrum of microorganisms [29].

101 The objective of this study was to investigate the effect of ozone as a non-thermal

102 treatment to extend the shelf life of an apple juice system. Modeling approaches that

103 describe the growth dynamics of *S. cerevisiae* in previously inoculated ozone processed

104 apple juice under static (isothermal) and dynamic storage temperature conditions are also

105 developed in order to quantitatively assess the effect of ozonation on the shelf life of the

106 product.

107 **2   Materials and Methods**

108 *2.1   Yeast strain and growth conditions*

109 *S. cerevisiae* ATCC 9763 was obtained from microbiology stock culture of the School of

110 Food Science and Environmental Health of the Dublin Institute of Technology, Dublin,

111 Ireland. This strain was maintained as frozen stock at -70 ºC in the form of protective

112 beads, which were plated onto potato dextrose agar (PDA, Scharlau Chemie) and

113 incubated at 30 ºC for 48 h to obtain single colonies before storage at 4 ºC. Working

114 cultures were prepared by inoculating a single colony into malt extract broth (MEB,

115 Scharlau Chemie) and incubating at 30 ºC for 24 h.

116 *2.2   Apple juice inoculation*

117 *S. cerevisiae* cells grown for 24 h were harvested by centrifugation (SIGMA 2K15,

118 Bench Top Refrigerated Ultracentrifuge, AGB scientific LTD) at 10,000 rpm for 10min

119 at 4 ºC. The cell pellet was suspended in sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS, Oxoid

5

120 LTD, UK) re-centrifuged twice as described above. Finally, after two washes with PBS,

121 the cell pellet was re-suspended in PBS and the yeast density was determined by

122 measuring absorbance at 550 nm using McFarland standard (BioMérieux, Marcy -

123 l'Etoile, France).

124 Sterile, commercially prepared apple juice was obtained from a local retailer. This juice

125 was chosen as a food system that could serve for performing controlled microbial

126 experiments (e.g., [10, 30, 31]). The inoculum was then diluted in the juice to obtain

127 approximately 10^6 CFU/mL. For each investigation, the cell concentration was further

128 diluted in apple juice to yield a final working concentration of 10^3 CFU/mL. The

129 inoculated apple juice with *S. cerevisiae* sample was then processed with ozone.

130 Soluble solids content of untreated apple juice was measured using a hand held

131 refractometer (Bellingham and Stanley Ltd., UK). One drop of the juice was placed on

132 the refractometer glass prism and soluble solid content was obtained as Brix. The

133 measured °Brix was 11 ± 0.001. The pH of untreated product was measured using a pH

134 meter with a glass electrode (Orion Model, England) and was 3.23 ± 0.015. Titratable

135 acidity was determined by titrating 20 mL of the untreated apple juice sample diluted in

136 80 mL distilled water with 0.1N NaOH using phenolphthalein as an indicator. The

137 volume of NaOH was converted to g malic acid per 100 mL of juice. The measured

138 titratable acidity was 0.45 ± 0.009.

139 *2.3   Ozone treatment*

140 Ozone gas was generated using an ozone generator (Model OL80, Ozone services,

141 Canada, Fig. 1). Ozone was produced by a corona discharge generator. Pure oxygen was

142 supplied via an oxygen cylinder (Air Products Ltd., Dublin, Ireland) and the flow rate

143   was controlled using an oxygen flow regulator. Apple juice samples (90 mL) inoculated

144   with *S. cerevisiae* (10^3 CFU/mL) were processed in a 100 mL ozone bubble column with

145   a diameter of approximately 3.7 cm and height of around 21.7 cm. A previously

146   determined optimum flow rate of 0.12 L/min [32] with an ozone concentration of 33-40

147   µg/mL was applied for each treatment for 8 min at ambient temperature (15-18ºC) [33].

148   In that study quality (color, phenolic content) and microbial parameters (*E. coli* strains

149   ATCC 25922 and NCTC 12900) during ozone processing were assessed [33]. The ozone

150   concentration was recorded using an ozone analyzer. Excess ozone was destroyed by an

151   ozone destroyer unit. It should be mentioned that the apple juice contains large amount of

152   organic matter which does not permit measurement of dissolved ozone in the liquid phase

153   but also there was not any residual ozone effects as all ozone not targeting on the

154   microbial cells is consumed by the organic matter. All experiments were carried out in

155   duplicate.

156   *2.4   Storage study*

157   Storage studies were performed for the following three types of samples. Apple juice

158   inoculated with 10^3 CFU/mL served as an unprocessed control 1. The second sample was

159   the ozonated apple juice. Subsequently an unprocessed control 2 was prepared by

160   inoculating *S. cerevisiae* cells with an inoculum level of 10^1 CFU/mL in order to start

161   with a similar inoculum level that was attained after 8 min of ozone treatment.

162   *2.4.1   Static storage temperature study* (SST)

163   Unprocessed control samples of apple juice and ozone processed apple juice samples (45

164   mL each) were stored at constant temperatures of 4, 8, 12, and 16 °C respectively in

165   incubators (LMS cooled incubators, Lennox Laboratory Supplies, Dublin, Ireland) for a

166   period up to 30 days. Aliquots of unprocessed and processed samples were taken daily for

167   analysis.

168   *2.4.2   Dynamic storage temperature study* (DST)

169   For the DST study, unprocessed and processed apple juice samples were stored in an

170   incubator where the lowest and the highest temperatures were set to 4 and 16 °C. The

171   temperature was programmed to fluctuate according to a profile consisting of 4 °C for 12

172   h, followed by an increase of temperature from 4 to 16 °C and maintained at 16 °C for a

173   further 12 h. The actual temperature profiles were recorded every 10 min using a

174   temperature sensor connected to a data logger (Grant 1000 series Squirrel meter/data

175   logger, UK). This specific profile was chosen in order to create a scenario of temperature

176   abuse enhancing the microbial growth on which the developed modeling approaches

177   could be validated.

178   *2.5   Microbiological analysis*

179   Yeast populations were determined by plating onto PDA. Aliquots (1mL) were

180   withdrawn every day from ozone processed and unprocessed juice stored at each different

181   temperature, serially diluted in MRD and 0.1mL of appropriate dilutions were surface

182   plated on PDA in duplicate. Plates were incubated at 30 ºC for 48 h and colony forming

183   units were counted. Results were reported as $Log_{10}CFU/mL$.

184   *2.6   Microbial modeling*

185   *2.6.1 Parameter identification under static conditions*

186   *S. cerevisiae* growth data in ozone processed apple juice stored under SST conditions

187   were fitted to the explicit version of the Baranyi, and Roberts [34] model (Eq.1-3).

188   Regression was performed by using the DMFit Excel add-in software, version

189   2.1(www.ifr.ac.uk/safety/DMFit). The model reads as follows

190

191    $$N(t) = N(0) + \mu_{max} A(t) - \ln\left(1 + \frac{e^{\mu_{max} A(t)} - 1}{e^{(N_{max} - N(0))}}\right) \qquad (1)$$

192   with $$A(t) = t + \frac{1}{\mu_{max}} \ln\left(\frac{e^{(-\mu_{max} t)} + q(0)}{1 + q(0)}\right) \qquad (2)$$

193

194   and $$\lambda = \ln\left(\frac{1 + \frac{1}{q(0)}}{\mu_{max}}\right) \qquad (3)$$

195   The kinetic parameters of maximum specific growth rate (μ_{max}) (1/days), lag phase (λ)

196   (days), initial microbial population ($N(0)$) ($Log_{10}CFU/mL$) and maximum population

197   density (N_{max}) ($Log_{10}CFU/ml$) have then been estimated. $q(0)$ (-) denotes the

198   concentration of substance critical to the microbial growth and is related to the

199   physiological state of the cells.

200   The maximum specific growth rates estimated under SST conditions were further

201   modeled as a function of storage temperature by using the Square root model [35, 36]:

202

203    $$\mu_{max} = b\left(T - T_{min}\right)^2 \qquad (4)$$

204   where b is a constant, T is the storage temperature (ºC), T_{min} is the theoretical minimum

205   temperature for the growth of the organism. Eq. (4) has been used without the commonly

206   applied square root transformation of the μ_{max} value. This required the performance of a

207   non-linear regression which is available from the DMFit software. A (geometric) mean

208   value for $h_0 = \lambda * \mu_{max}$ for each of the experimental set-ups (Control 1, Control 2,

209   Ozonated) was estimated from the individual growth curves, considering that the

210   parameter is constant, independent of the storage temperature [34, 37, 38] and the fact

211   that the resulting h_o was derived from the 3 levels of temperatures (refer to results). $q(0)$

212   is related to the parameter h_0 by the following equation [34]:

213

214    $$q(0) = \frac{1 - e^{-h_0}}{e^{-h_0}}$$ (5)

215   *2.6.2 Model validation under dynamic storage temperature* (DST) *conditions*

216   The validation of the yeast growth model was performed under DST conditions based on

217   the time temperature profile of apple juice samples during storage (control and ozone

218   processed), in conjunction with the square root model Eq. (4). The predictions were

219   performed with the differential equation of Baranyi and Roberts model (Eq. (6), (7)) in

220   which the Runge-Kutta method (ode23s, Matlab, The Mathworks) was applied for the

221   approximation of solutions of these ordinary differential equations:

222    $$\frac{dN(t)}{dt} = \left(b\left(T(t) - T_{min} \right)^2 \right) \left(\frac{q(t)}{q(t)+1} \right) \left(1 - \frac{N(t)}{N_{max}} \right) N(t)$$ (6)

223    $$\frac{dq(t)}{dt} = \left(b\left(T(t) - T_{min} \right)^2 \right) q(t)$$ (7)

224   The root mean squared error (Eq. 8) [39] was used for evaluating the model fitting while

225   the accuracy and the bias factors presented by Baranyi et al. [40](Eq. 9, 10) were

226   considered in order to assess the prediction capability of the developed model.

227    $$RMSE = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n_t} \frac{\left(y_{exp\,i} - y_{pre} \right)^2}{n_t - n_p}}$$ (8)

228 Where y_{expi} are experimental observations, y_{pre} are model predictions, n_t are number of

229 data points and n_p are number of estimated model parameters.

$$A_f = 10\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\log_{10}\hat{N}_i - \log N_i\right)^2}{n}} \qquad (9)$$

$$B_f = 10^{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\log_{10}\hat{N}_i - \log_{10} N_i\right)}{n}} \qquad (10)$$

232 Where $\log_{10}\hat{N}_i$ is the predicted microbial load and n is the number of the experimental

233 measurements.

234   *2.6.3 Calculation of the Q_{10} value*

235 The temperature quotient (Q_{10}) was also calculated from the information obtained in

236 Section 2.6.1 (parameter identification under static conditions). Q_{10} shows the effect of

237 temperature on the shelf-life and it is given as follows [41, 42]:

238

$$239 \quad Q_{10} = \frac{\text{shelf life at } T^0\text{C}}{\text{shelf life at } (T+10^0\text{C})} \qquad (11)$$

240

241 Observe that this parameter was developed for a zero order reaction when the influence

242 of temperature on the reaction rate is described by using the Arrhenius relationship [43].

243 Nevertheless this approach is proposed and applied for the current microbial kinetic study

244 as an alternative method to assess the efficacy of the ozonated juice.

245 This Q_{10} value can be easily calculated by performing a regression between the ln shelf

246 life (days) versus the temperature which yields a straight line. Consequently, Q_{10}=exp

247 (10·k) with k the slope of the regression line. The estimation of the time of the shelf-life

248 (t_s) was calculated considering that a microbial level $> 10^6$ CFU/mL resulted in a failure

249   (spoilage) of the product (see for similar examples in other products: Al-Kadamany, et al.

250   [44]).   The shelf-life time, t_s, was obtained by solving Eq. (1-3) (*solve* command in

251   Matlab, The Mathworks) for the estimated parameters of the two controls and the

252   ozonated growth kinetics when $\log N(t_s) = 6 \log(CFU/mL)$.

253   **3   Results**

254   The growth of *S. cerevisiae* in unprocessed and ozone processed apple juice was assessed

255   at SST conditions from 4 °C to 16 °C. Representative growth curves of the yeast

256   population are shown in Fig. 2. The initial inoculum of control 1 was similar to

257   previously reported levels of 10^3 CFU/mL [30, 45], while this level has also been

258   reported in sound apples [46]. Finally, Kisko et al. [47] recorded *ca.* 10^3 CFU/mL level of

259   *S. cerevisiae* in unprocessed apple juice. In the case of the unprocessed control samples 1

260   and 2 (i.e., initial inoculum level of 3.0 and 1.30 log CFU/mL, respectively) the lag phase

261   was not obvious when the juice was stored under high SST (12 °C and 16 °C) (Fig. 2a

262   and 2b). However, a typical growth pattern of *S. cerevisiae* was observed in the ozone

263   processed apple juice stored under SST of 12 °C and 16 °C, consisting of an initial lag

264   phase, an exponential growth phase followed by a stationary phase (Fig.2c).

265   The estimated kinetic parameters and statistical indices resulting from the regression of

266   the microbial data by the Baranyi and Roberts model are shown in Table 1. The values of

267   μ_{max} and λ varied according to the storage temperature. The μ_{max} of the unprocessed

268   control samples increased from 0. 35 log CFU /day to 1.23 log CFU /day and for ozone

269   processed apple juice increased from 0.275 log CFU /day to 1.270 log CFU /day with

270   increase of the temperature from 8 to 16 °C. However, the lag phase for ozone processed

271   apple juice was decreased from 15.07 days at 8 °C to 2.84 days at 16 °C. For both

272   unprocessed and ozone processed apple juice, the maximum population density (N_{max})

273   was found to be unaffected when stored under high SST (12 °C and 16 °C). The effect of

274   storage temperature on μ_{max} was further modeled as a function of temperature by using

275   the secondary square root model. The estimated parameters of the model are shown in

276   Table 2. The model described satisfactorily the effect of temperature on the growth of *S.*

277   *cerevisiae*. The calculated value for the theoretical minimum temperature of growth in

278   ozone processed apple juice was 0.28 °C. The h_0 values obtained for the static

279   environments studied were 0.336, 0.671 and 3.417 for unprocessed control 1,

280   unprocessed control 2 and ozone processed apple juice samples, respectively.

281   The model developed under SST conditions was validated under DST conditions by

282   using a periodically changing temperature profile and performing predictions with Eq. (6)

283   and (7). As the maximum population density was independent of the applied storage

284   temperature it was fixed at 7.5 logs CFU/mL (average of N_{max} estimated during

285   isothermal conditions for which microbial stationary phase was reached). For the initial

286   concentration $N(0)$, a nominal value was taken from the measured plate count result, i.e.,

287   3.02 (for control 1), 1.32 (for control 2), 1.24 (for ozonated) log (CFU/mL). Finally, the

288   nominal values for $q(0)$ were 2.49, 1.05 and 0.03 for control 1, control 2 and ozonated

289   apple juice respectively, calculated using Eq.(5) and after estimation of the h_o from the

290   parameters derived under static environmental conditions. The comparison between the

291   predicted and observed growth of *S. cerevisiae* in unprocessed apple juice and ozone

292   processed apple juice samples are shown in Fig. 3. The performance of the model was

293   evaluated statistically by the calculation of the bias (B_f) and accuracy (A_f) factors.

294 Two more characteristic parameters were evaluated, the Q_{10} and the time of spoilage of

295 the product under SST conditions (Fig. 4). At the lowest SST (4 ºC) no spoilage occurred

296 either for unprocessed or ozone processed apple juice. However, with the higher SST's

297 used product spoilage was observed in 9.45, 3.78, and 2.35 days for unprocessed control

298 1 at 8, 12 and 16 ºC, respectively. For unprocessed control 2, the spoilage occured after

299 15.08, 6.30 and 4.29 days at 8, 12 and 16 ºC respectively. In the case of ozone processed

300 apple juice, the shelf life was increased when compared with both type of controls and

301 resulted in 34.26, 10.34 and 7.08 days at 8, 12 and 16 ºC, respectively. Finally the Q_{10}

302 was found to be 7.17 in the case of ozonated juice. This was much higher than that

303 obtained for the controls, i.e., 5.68, 4.81, indicating the effectiveness of ozonation for

304 extension of the shelf-life of apple juice.

305 **4. Discussion**

306 The results of the present study showed that *S. cerevisiae* ATCC 9763 is able to grow in

307 apple juice stored within a temperature range of 8 to 16 ºC. The Baranyi, and Roberts

308 model as well as the square root model described the growth of yeast populations in

309 unprocessed and ozone processed apple juice. Based on the static data, a new model was

310 developed that described the growth of *S. cerevisiae* population well in unprocessed and

311 ozone processed apple juice under dynamic conditions that simulated a storage

312 temperature abuse. At the lower SST's (4 and 8 ºC), the longer lag phase indicates that

313 the yeast population needed longer time to adapt to the environment. However, at higher

314 storage temperatures this effect was not evident, indicating the ability of yeasts to grow at

315 these temperatures with a reduced or seemingly absent lag time. By comparison, in the

316 case of ozone processed apple juice stored at 8, 12 or 16 ºC, the lag phase (λ) was

14

317   increased, indicating the effect of temperature and applied ozone stress on growth of *S.*

318   *cerevisiae* populations. Panagou et al. [10] reported a very short lag phase in different

319   pasteurized fruit juices even at the lowest storage temperatures, suggesting that

320   inoculated yeasts' adaptation time was unaffected by these temperatures (4, 8, 12 and16

321   ºC) . However, in this study a lag phase was observed for all ozone processed samples.

322   This could be due to the oxidizing action of the applied ozone treatment, which may exert

323   additional stress prior to allowing growth. Ozone has been reported to inactivate cytosolic

324   enzymes, with the most drastic inactivation for glyceraldehyde 3 phosphate

325   dehydrogenase and to lesser extent to other cytosolic enzymes. It also affects the quantity

326   of ATP and other nucleoside triphosphates, reducing to about 50% of its initial level [48].

327   The performance of the developed model was validated under dynamic conditions. Ross

328   et al. [49] reported that predictive models should ideally have an A_f and B_f = 1.00,

329   indicating a perfect model fit where the predicted and actual response values are equal

330   and satisfactory. B_f limits are more difficult to define because limits of acceptability are

331   related to the specific application of the model. Ranges of 0.6-3.99 have been reported for

332   the growth pathogen and spoilage microorganisms when compared with independent

333   published data [49]. The values of B_f and A_f indicated good agreement between observed

334   data and predicted data points. Nevertheless, in the case of Control 2 some discrepancy

335   was evident (Table 3). This could be attributed to the effect of the inoculum size on the

336   microbial adaptation phenomena. This observation may require further evaluation of the

337   inoculum size effects which could elucidate if different values of h_0 should be considered

338   for each of the performed microbial predictions.

Different technologies have been applied for inhibiting the growth of spoilage microorganism in fruit juices. Patrignani et al. [30] evaluated the potential of high pressure homogenization (HPH) for inactivation of *S. cerevisiae* 635 inoculated in apricot and carrot juice and its shelf life extension. Four or more repeated passes at 100 MPa of HPH to the apricot juice samples inoculated at a level of 3 $\log_{10}$ CFU/mL showed that *S. cerevisiae* population remained under the detection limit at least up to 144 h at 25 ℃. For carrot juice samples subjected to five or more repeated HPH passes, the *S. cerevisiae* cell load was lower than 5 $\log_{10}$ CFU/mL after 144 h at 25 ℃. However, refrigerated storage (4 ℃) indicated satisfactory extension of shelf life of HPH processed juices. Qin et al. [50] reported over 3 weeks extension of standard shelf life of pulsed electric field (PEF) processed apple juice when stored at 4 ℃ and 25 ℃. Ferrentino et al. [51] concluded that high pressure carbon dioxide (HPCD) treatment proved to be a promising alternative technique yielding juices with fresh-like characteristics and extension of shelf life with safety. Suarez-Jacobo et al. [52] reported the efficacy of ultra high pressure homogenization to develop fresh apple juice with an equivalent shelf life to pasteurized apple juice with respect to the microbiological characteristics. Valdramidis et al. [53] observed that no spoilage of apple juice was evident at storage temperatures of 4, 8 and 12 ℃ for 36 days after treatment with high hydrostatic pressure at 500 MPa and 550 MPa. From the present work it is evident that ozone is another non thermal technology which can be employed for extending the shelf life of apple juice. The present results proved an increase of the shelf-life of the ozonated product that varied between 2.79 to 24.81 days depending on the storage temperatures when compared with the control samples.

362 Validation of the developed modeling approaches will be expanded based on the

363 suggestions by Pin et al. [54]. More specifically, kinetic data that come from competition

364 of inoculated *S. cerevisiae,* pathogenic microorganism with a naturally occurring

365 microflora of fresh apple juice will be incorporated in future model developments while

366 comparative studies between ozonated and other treated technologies will be applied.

367 This will permit the application of this model to apple juice products with different

368 properties. Further studies will focus on defining the failure (spoilage) of processed apple

369 juice based on the effect of ozone on additional to previously reported quality parameters

370 (e.g., color, phenolic content) [33] including volatiles responsible for flavor, odour and

371 sensory evaluation. Effect of the different inoculums levels on the microbial adaptation

372 phenomena will also be assessed to interpret possible modeling discrepancies.

373 **Acknowledgement**

377 **References**

378 1. Tahiri I, Makhlouf J, Paquin P, Fliss I (2006) Inactivation of food spoilage bacteria and

379 *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in phosphate buffer and orange juice using dynamic high

380 pressure. Food Res Int 39:98–105

381 2. Tournas VH, Heeres J, Burgess L (2006) Moulds and yeasts in fruit salads and fruit

382 juices. Food Microbiol 23:684–688

383   3. Put HMC, De Jong J (1980) The heat resistance of selected yeast causing spoilage of

384   canned soft drinks and fruit products In: Skinner FA, Passmore SM, Davenport RR (eds.),

385   Biology and Activities of Yeasts (pp 181-214). Academic Press, London

386   4. Stratford M, Hofman PD, Cole MB (2000) Fruit juice, fruit drinks and soft drinks In:

387   Lund BM, Baird-Parker TC, Gould GW (eds.), The Microbiological Safety and Quality

388   of Food (pp 836-869). Aspen publishers, Gaithersberg, MD

389   5. Barnett JA, Payne RW, Yarrow D (2000) Yeast Characteristics and identification (3rd

390   ed.) Cambridge University Press, Cambridge

391   6. Lima Tribst AA, de Souza Sant'Ana A, de Massaguer PR (2009) Review:

392   Microbiological quality and safety of fruit juices- past, present and future perspectives.

393   Crit Rev Microbiol 35(4):310-339

394   7. Deak T, Beuchat LR (1996). Handbook of food spoilage yeasts. CRC press Boca

395   Raton FL

396   8. Fleet GH (1992) Spoilage yeasts. Crit rev Biotechnol 12:1-44

397   9. Pitt JI, Hocking AD (1997) Fungi and food spoilage, 2nd edn. Aspen Publishers,

398   Gaithersburg, MD

399   10. Panagou EZ, Karathanassi S, Le Marc Y, Nychas, GJE (2009) Development of a

400   product specific model for spoilage of pasteurized fruit juices by *Saccharomyces*

401   *cerevisiae* and validation under dynamic temperature conditions. Predictive Modelling in

402   Foods. September 8–12, Washington DC, USA (2009), (CDROM)

403   11. Valverde MT, Marín-Iniesta F, Calvo L (2010) Inactivation of *Saccharomyces*

404   *cerevisiae* in conference pear with high pressure carbon dioxide and effects on pear

405   quality. J Food Eng 98:421-428

406   12. Arias CR, Burns JK, Friedrich LM, Goodrich RH, Parish ME (2002) Yeast species

407   associated with orange juice: evaluation of identification methods. Appl Environ

408   Microbiol 68:1955-1961

409   13. Deak T, Beuchat LR (1993a) Yeasts associated with fruit juice concentrates. J Food

410   Prot 56: 777-782

411   14. Deak T, Beuchat LR (1993b) Comparison of SIM API 20C and ID32C systems for

412   identification of yeasts from fruit juice concentrates and beverages. J Food Prot 56:585-

413   592

414   15. Las Heras-Vazquez FJL, Mingorance-Cazorla L, Clemente-Jimenez, JF, Rodriguez-

415   Vico F (2003) Identification of yeast species from orange fruit and juice by RFLP and

416   sequence analysis of the 58S r RNA gene and two internal transcribed spacers. FEMS

417   Yeast Res 3:3-9

418   16. Sancho T, Gimenez-Jurado G, Malfeito-Ferreira M, Loureiro V (2000) Zymological

419   indicators: a new concept applied to the detection of potential spoilage yeast species

420   associated with fruit pulps and concentrates. Food Microbiol 17:613-624

421   17. Thomas S (1993) Yeasts as spoilage organisms in beverages In: Rose AH, Harrison

422   JS (eds.), The Yeasts, volume 5, 2nd edition, (pp 517-561). Academic press, London

423   18. Torok T, King AD (1991) Comparative study on the identification of foodborne

424   yeasts. Appl Environ Microbiol 57:1207-1212

425   19. Stratford M, James SA (2003) Non-alcoholic beverages and yeasts In: Boekhout T,

426   Robert V (eds.), Yeasts in Food: Beneficial and Detrimental Aspects, (pp 309-345).

427   Behr's-Verlag, Germany

428   20. Fleet GH (2006) *Saccharomyces* and related genera In: Clive de W Blackburn (ed),

429   Food Spoilage Microorganisms (pp. 306-335). CRC press, England

430   21. Tribst AAL, Franchi MA, Cristianini M (2008) Ultra-high pressure homogenization

431   treatment combined with lysozyme for controlling *Lactobacillus brevis* contamination in

432   model system. Innov Food Sci Emerg Technol 9:265–271

433   22. Pathanibul P, Taylor TM, Davidson PM, Harte F (2009) Inactivation of *Escherichia*

434   *coli* and *Listeria innocua* in apple and carrot juices using high pressure homogenization

435   and nisin. Int J Food Microbiol 129:316–320

436   23. Vachon JF, Kheadr EE, Giasson J, Paquin P, Fliss I (2002) Inactivation of foodborne

437   pathogens in milk using dynamic high pressure. Journal of Food Prot 65:345–352

438   24. Diels AMJ, Callewaert L, Wuytack EY, Masschalck B, Michiels CW (2005)

439   Inactivation of *Escherichia coli* by high-pressure homogenisation is influenced by fluid

440   viscosity but not by water activity and product composition. Int J Food Microbiol

441   101:281–291

442   25. US Food and Drug Administration (USFDA) (2004) FDA Guidance to Industry,

443   2004: Recommendations to Processors of Apple Juice or Cider on the Use of Ozone for

444   Pathogen   Reduction   Purposes.   Available   online:

445   http://www.fda.gov/Food/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/GuidanceDocume

446   nts/Juice/ucm072524.htm (Accessed 5 July 2010)

447   26. Khadre MA, Yousef AE, Kim JG (2001) Microbiological aspects of ozone

448   applications in food: A review. J Food Sci 66(9):1241-1252

449   27. Cullen PJ, Valdramidis VP, Tiwari BK, Patil S, Bourke, P, O'Donnell CP (2010)

450   Ozone Processing For Food Preservation: An Overview on Fruit Juice Treatments.

451   Ozone: Sci Eng 32(3):166-179

452   28. Hunt NK, Marinas BJ (1997) Kinetics of *Escherichia coli* inactivation with ozone.

453   Water Res 31:1355-1362

454   29. Korycka-Dahl M, Richardson T (1978) Activated oxygen species and oxidation of

455   food constituents. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr 10:209-241

456   30. Patrignani F, Vannini L, Kamdem SLS, Lanciotti R, Guerzoni ME (2009) Effect of

457   high pressure homogenization on *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* inactivation and physico-

458   chemical features in apricot and carrot juices. Int J Food Microbiol 136(1):26-31

459   31. Mosqueda-Melgar J, Raybaudi-Massilia RM, Martín-Belloso O (2008) Combination

460   of high-intensity pulsed electric fields with natural antimicrobials to inactivate pathogenic

461   microorganisms and extend the shelf-life of melon and watermelon juices. Food

462   Microbiology 25:479-491

463   32. Patil S, Cullen PJ, Kelly B, Frias JM, Bourke P (2009) Extrinsic control parameters

464   for ozone inactivation of *Escherichia coli* using ozone bubble column. J Appl Microbiol

465   107(3):830-837

466   33. Patil S, Torres B, Tiwari BK, Wijngaard HH, Bourke P, Cullen PJ, O'Donnell CP,

467   Valdarmidis VP (2010) Safety and quality assessment during the ozonation of cloudy

468   apple juice. J Food Sci 75(7):M437-M443

469   34. Baranyi J, Roberts TA (1994) A dynamic approach to predicting bacterial growth in

470   food. Int J Food Microbiol 23:277-294

471 35. Ratkowsky DA, Olley J, McMeekin TA, Ball A (1982) Relationship between

472 temperature and growth-rate of bacterial cultures. J Bacteriol 149:1-5

473 36. Ratkowsky DA, Lowry RK, McMeekin TA, Stokes AN, Chandler RE (1983) Model

474 for bacterial cultures growth rate throughout the entire biokinetic temperature range. J

475 Bacteriol 154:1222-1226

476 37. Fu B, Taoukis PS, Labuza TP (1991) Predictive microbiology for monitoring

477 spoilage of dairy products with time-temeprature indicators. J Food Sci 56:1209-1215

478 38. Le Marc Y, Valik L, Medved'ova A (2009) Modelling the effect of the starting

479 culture on the growth of *Staphylococcus aureus* in milk. Int J Food Microbiol 129:306-

480 311

481 39. Neter, Wasserman, Whitmore (1992*)* Applied statistics, 4th edn. (pp. 1-989). Prentice

482 hall, Englewood cliffs, NJ

483 40. Baranyi J, Pin C, Ross T (1999) Validating and comparing predictive models. Int J

484 Food Microbiol 48:159-166

485 41. Duyvesteyn WS, Shimoni E, Labuza TP (2001) Determination of end of shelf-life for

486 milk using Weibull hazard method. Lebensm Wiss Technol 34:143-148

487 42. Labuza TP (1982) Shelf-life dating of foods pp 54-58, 223, Food and Nutrition Press

488 Inc., Westport, Connecticut

489 43. Man D, Jones (2000) Shelf-life evaluation of foods In: Singh RP (ed) Scientific

490 principles of shelf-life evaluation Aspen Publication, Gaithersburg, Maryland

491 44. Al-kadamany E, Toufelli I, Khattar M., Abou-Jawdeh Y, Harakeh S, Haddad T

492 (2002) Determination of shelf life of concentrated yogurt (Labneh) produced by in-bag

493 straining of set yogurt using hazard analysis J Dairy Sci 85:1023-1030

494 45. Thomas LV, Ingrama RE, Yub S, Delves-Broughtona J (2004) Investigation of the

495 effectiveness of Ascopyrone P as a food preservative. Int J Food Microbiol 93:319–323

496 46. Brackett RE (1994) Microbiological spoilage and pathogens in minimally processed

497 refrigerated fruits and vegetables. In: Wiley RC (ed), Minimally Processed Refrigerated

498 Fruits and Vegetables (pp. 269-312). Chapman & Hall, New York, London

499 47. Kisko G, Sharp R, Roller S (2005) Chitosan inactivates spoilage yeasts but enhances

500 survival of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in apple juice. J Appl Microbiol 98: 872-880

501 48. Hinze H, Prakash D, Holzer H (1987) Effect of ozone on ATP, cytosolic enzymes and

502 permeability of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Arch Microbiol 147:105-108

503 49. Ross T, Dalgaard P, Tienungoon S (2000) Predictive modeling of the growth and

504 survival of *Listeria* in fishery products. Int J Food Microbiol 62:231-245

505 50. Qin BL, Chang FJ, Barbosa-Canovas GV, Swanson BG (1995) Nonthermal

506 inactivation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* in apple juice using pulsed electric fields.

507 Lebensmm Wiss Technol 28:564-568

508 51. Ferrentino G, Bruno M, Ferrari G, Poletto M, Balaban MO (2009) Microbial

509 inactivation and shelf life of apple juice treated with high pressure carbon dioxide. J Biol

510 Eng 3:3

511 52. Suarez-Jacobo A, Gervilla R, Guamis B, Roig-Sagues AX, Saldo J (2009) Effect of

512 UHPH on indigenous microbiota of apple juice: a preliminary study of microbial shelf

513 life. Int J Food Microbiol 136(3):261-267

514 53. Valdramidis VP, Graham WD, Beattie A, Linton M, McKay A, Fearon AM,

515 Patterson M F (2009) Defining the stability interfaces of apple juice: implications on the

516   optimisation and design of high hydrostatic pressure treatment. Innov Food Sci Emerg

517   Technol 10(4): 396-404

518   54. Pin C, Sutherland JP, Baranyi J (1999) Validating predictive models of food spoilage

519   organisms. J Appl Microbiol 87:491-499

520

521

522