# A new Dondice Marcus Er. 1958 (Gastropoda: Nudibranchia) from the Mediterranean Sea reveals interesting insights into the phylogenetic history of a group of Facelinidae taxa 
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#### Abstract

The diversity of Mediterranean nudibranchs has yet to be thoroughly studied: new species are constantly described, and molecular approaches have revealed some cryptic species. A new facelinid species has been discovered based on specimens collected from the Tyrrhenian Sea (Mediterranean Sea). Integrative results of molecular analyses and of anatomical investigations support the description of Dondice trainitoi sp. nov. The characteristic chromatic body pattern and the black epithelium covering the masticatory jaws allow an unambiguous identification of the new taxon. Preliminary phylogenetic analyses based on multi-locus molecular markers (nuclear H3 gene and mitochondrial markers 16 S rDNA and COI) surprisingly revealed paraphyly of the genus Dondice and the need of further studies including more taxa assigned to the currently accepted family Facelinidae. Furthermore, following an integrative taxonomy approach, considerations on the ecological behaviour characterizing most of the species involved in this study provide useful insights for understanding the evolutionary history of this facelinid group.
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## Introduction

Nudibranch diversity has fascinated amateurs and scientists for decades and is still far from being fully understood. New elusive and rare species have been revealed by recent studies (Evertsen \& Bakken 2013;Colucci et al. 2015; Trainito et al. 2017) and cryptic species have been discovered, challenging traditional morphological species determination (Churchill et al. 2014; Furfaro et al. 2016a, 2018b; Korshunova et al. 2017). Several studies investigating nudibranchs with molecular approaches (DNA barcoding, species delimitation analyses, phylogenetic inference) helped in detecting such hidden diversity, all over the world.

In 2014, during a scientific SCUBA dive on a wreck in the central Tyrrhenian Sea (Mediterranean Sea), two specimens were found which could not be assigned to any known Mediterranean heterobranch species. Their morphology recalled the facelinid Dondice banyulensis Portmann \& Sandmeier, 1960, with some important differences. Within Nudibranchia, the Facelinidae Bergh, 1889 is one of the most speciose families, showing a great diversity with 34 currently accepted genera (Facelinidae, MolluscaBase 2018a). Focusing on different eolid families, recent molecular works questioned the monophyly of Facelinidae (Gosliner et al. 2007; Carmona et al. 2015), but phylogenetic relationships among the members of the family are still unresolved.

The genus Dondice Marcus, 1958 belongs to the Facelinidae family and was originally described as " $a$ facalanine with simple jaws, unarmed penis, and produced foot corners" (Marcus Er. 1958) on the basis of Brazilian specimens of Caloria occidentalis (Engels, 1925), which Marcus established as type species of the new genus. Currently, the genus Dondice includes four species (Gofas 2004): D. banyulensis, D. galaxiana Millen \& Hermosillo, 2012, D. occidentalis Engel, 1925 and D. parguerensis Brandon \& Cutress, 1985. Dondice banyulensis is the only species of Dondice occurring in the Mediterranean Sea, while the other taxa show an Eastern Pacific and a Western

Atlantic Ocean distribution. Millen \& Hermosillo provisionally placed D. galaxiana in the genus Dondice, because of some peculiarities shared with $D$. occidentalis (i.e. cerata in arches with multiple rows, a separate and unstalked penial gland and the unarmed penis). Neither further systematic assessment, nor molecular data were produced for this species whose taxonomical position must still be considered uncertain. Dondice occidentalis, the type species of the genus, was described by Engel (1925) from specimens living in Montego Bay, Jamaica. To date, its geographical distribution includes Brazil (Sao Paulo), North Carolina, USA, many spots of the Caribbean Sea (seaslug forum: http://www.seaslugforum.net/find/dondocci) and Canary islands (OPK: https://opistobranquis.info/en/guia/ nudibranchia/cladobranchia/aeolidioidea/dondice-occidentalis/). Dondice parguerensis is another questioned species: morphologically similar to $D$. occidentalis, it differs from it by coloration, size, number of ceratal groups, larval development and primarily by different preys [Cassiopea Péron \& Lesueur, 1810 (Cnidaria, Scyphozoa) for D. parguerensis and hydroids (Cnidaria) for D. occidentalis] (Brandon \& Cutress 1985; Gonzalez et al. 2013). Anyway, these two Dondice species were molecularly analysed by Gonzalez et al. (2013) revealing a very low genetic divergence at the 16 S mitochondrial molecular marker and no differences at all at the nuclear H 3 marker. This unexpected result raised doubts about the validity of the specimens included in the aforementioned work and/or about the validity of the morphological features used as diagnostic for the species and this controversy has yet to be resolved.

In this study we tested the identification of the hypothetical Mediterranean new species, based on external morphology, with a molecular DNA barcoding approach. Furthermore, to investigate the monophyly of the genus Dondice, we include members belonging to other genera historically considered close to Dondice genus or, accordingly to previous studies, molecularly related to it. In particular, we included in the definitive dataset members of the genera Babakina Roller, 1973, Cratena Bergh, 1864, Dicata Schmekel, 1967, Facelina Alder \& Hancock, 1855, Favorinus Gray, 1850, Godiva Macnae, 1954 and Phyllodesmium Ehrenberg, 1831. We explored the systematics of the genus Dondice and all the possibly evolutionary related genera, following an Integrative Taxonomic method, by comparing results obtained by different molecular and morphological analyses. In particular, by using anatomical data and a multilocus molecular approach with the nuclear H3 gene and the two mitochondrial markers 16 S rRNA and COI, we here: i) describe the new taxon Dondice trainitoi sp. nov., from the Central Mediterranean Sea; ii) unravel the diversity of the Mediterranean species; iii) explore the phylogenetic relationships among the genus Dondice and other related genera.

## Materials and Methods

Individuals, egg spawns and the hydroids on which they were feeding were documented in situ with high definition photographs. Each collected specimen was photographed, measured, preserved in $95 \%$ ethanol (EtOH) and deposited as a voucher at the Department of Science of the Roma Tre University. The holotype MNHN IM-2000-33722 and the paratypes MNHN IM-2000-33723 and MNHN IM-2000-33724 were deposited at the Muséum national d'histoire naturelle (MNHN, Paris, France); other paratypes (RM3_1101, RM3_1102 and RM3_621) and additional specimens (RM3_1034-RM3_1036, RM3_1153, RM3_1536, RM3_1537, RM3_1540 and RM3_1541) are stored at Department of Science, University of Roma Tre, Italy.

## Molecular analyses

DNA was extracted from ten individuals belonging to the family Facelinidae (Table 1) from a small piece of tissue, by using the 'salting out' procedure as described in Aljanabi \& Martinez (1997). The analysed dataset consisted of a total of 134 sequences, of which 22 newly produced and the remaining retrieved from GenBank, from 47 individuals belonging to 32 different species, including the out group (Table 1). Amplifications were performed by PCR using universal primers: 16Sar-L and 16Sbr-H (Palumbi et al. 2001) for the 16S rRNA fragment, LCO1490 and HCO2198 (Folmer et al. 1994) for the barcode fragment of the Cytocrome Oxidase subunit I (COI), and H3AD-F and H3BD-R (Colgan et al. 1998) for the nuclear Histone 3 (H3) marker. PCR conditions were the same for the three molecular markers and included: 5 min of initial DNA denaturation step at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; 35$ cycles of $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 30 \mathrm{~s}$ (DNA denaturation step), $46-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 60 \mathrm{~s}$ (annealing step), $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 60 \mathrm{~s}$ (elongation step); and 7 min of final extension at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Furfaro et al. 2016b). All amplicons were sequenced at the European Division of Macrogen Inc. (Amsterdam, The Netherlands). Sequences from each DNA strain were assembled and edited with Staden Package 2.0.0b9 (Staden et al.

TABLE 1. Species name, collection localities, Voucher ID, sequence accession numbers of the specimens analysed and references.

| SPECIES | LOCALITY | VOUCHER | H3 | 16S | COI | REFERENCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aeolidiella alderi (Cocks, 1852) | Italy | ZSMMol20012341 | HQ616795 | HQ616766 | HQ616729 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Carmona et al. } \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ |
| Aeolidiella <br> sanguinea (Norman, 1877) | France (Atlantic Ocean) | MNCN/ADN51932 | JX087600 | JX087538 | JX087466 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Carmona et al. } \\ & 2013 \end{aligned}$ |
| Babakina anadoni (Ortea, 1979) | Brazil | MNRJ10893 | HQ616775 | HQ616709 | HQ616746 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Carmona et al. } \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ |
| Babakina anadoni (Ortea, 1979) | Galicia, Spain (Atlantic Ocean) | MNCN15.05/46704 | HQ616796 | HQ616730 | HQ616767 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Carmona et al. } \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ |
| Babakina indopacifica Gosliner, GonzalezDuarte \& Cervera, 2007 | Luzon, Batangas, Philippines | CASIZ177458 | HM162587 | HM162678 | HM162754 | Pola \& Gosliner $2010$ |
| Cratena peregrina (Gmelin, 1791) | Sabaudia, Latium, Italy | RM3_319 | LS483293 | LS483282 | LS483272 | Present study |
| Dicata odhneri Schmekel, 1967 | Ballanera, <br> Algesiras, Spain | BAU2674 | LT596569 | LT596549 | LT596560 | Furfaro et al. 2016a |
| Dicata odhneri <br> Schmekel, 1967 | Andalusia, Spain (Mediterranean Sea) | MNCN15.05/53692 | ---------- | HQ616739 | HQ616773 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Carmona et al. } \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ |
| Dondice <br> banyulensis <br>  <br> Sandmeier, 1960 | Djerba, Tunisia | RM3_129 | LS483284 | LS483274 | LS483267 | Present study |
| Dondice <br> banyulensis <br>  <br> Sandmeier, 1960 | Argentario, Tuscany, Italy | RM3_356 | LS483285 | LS483275 | LS483268 | Present study |
| Dondice <br> banyulensis <br>  <br> Sandmeier, 1960 | Sant'Agostino, Latium, Italy | RM3_290 | LS483286 | LS483276 | LS483269 | Present study |
| Dondice <br> banyulensis <br>  <br> Sandmeier, 1960 | ---------- | Db_60 | ---- | GQ403751 | GQ403773 | Wagele et al. 2010 |
| Dondice occidentalis (Engel, 1925) | Exuma, Bahamas | LACM177715 | KC526529 | KC526510 | ---------- | Gonzalez et al. 2013 |
| Dondice occidentalis (Engel, 1925) | ---------- | LACM2003-41.5 | JQ699394 | JQ699482 | JQ699570 | Churchill C.K.C. <br> et al. 2013 |
| Dondice occidentalis (Engel, 1925) | Exuma, <br> Bahamas | D252 | KC526527 | KC526518 | -------- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gonzalez et al. } \\ & 2013 \end{aligned}$ |
| Dondice occidentalis (Engel, 1925) | Jamaica | JG61 | KC526534 | KC526512 | ---------- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gonzalez et al. } \\ & 2013 \end{aligned}$ |

....Continued next page

TABLE 1. (Continued)

| SPECIES | LOCALITY | VOUCHER | H3 | 16S | COI | REFERENCES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dondice <br> parguerensis <br> Brandon \& Cutress, <br> 1985 | La Parguera, <br> Puerto Rico | LACM177705 | KC526535 | KC526520 | --------- | Gonzalez et al. <br> Dondice trainitoi <br> sp.nov. |
| Civitavecchia, <br> Dondice trainitoi <br> sp.nov. | Latium, Italy | RM3_425 |  |  |  |  |
| Cacelinavecchia, <br> annulicornis | RM3_596 | LS483287 | LS483277 | LS483270 | Present study |  |
| (Chamisso \& Italy |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 1. (Continued)

| SPECIES | LOCALITY | VOUCHER | H3 | 16S | COI | REFERENCES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Phyllodesmium <br> hyalinum <br> Ehrenberg, 1831 |  | Phy.orig. | -------- | GQ403756 | GQ403778 | Wagele et al. <br> 2a10 |
| Phyllodesmium <br> jakobsenae <br>  <br> Wägele, 2004 | Batangas, <br> Philippines | CASIZ 177576 | HQ010456 | HQ010524 | HQ010489 |  <br> Gosliner 2011 |
| Phyllodesmium <br>  <br> Gosliner, 2009 | Batangas, <br> Philippines | CASIZ 180384 | HQ010478 | HQ010544 | HQ010508 |  <br> Ghosliner 2011 |
| Phyllodesmium <br> koehleri Burghardt, <br> Schrödl \& Wägele, <br> 2008 | Batangas, <br> Philippines | CASIZ 177693 | HQ010462 | HQ010530 | HQ010494 |  |
| Phyllodesmium <br> lizardensis | Batangas, <br> Burghardt, Schrödl <br> \& Wägele, 2008 | Philippines | CASIZ 180382 | HQ010474 | HQ010540 | HQ010505 |

2000). BLASTN (Altschul et al. 1990) search was conducted in the GenBank database to confirm the identity of the sequenced fragment and to exclude contamination. Consensus sequences of each individual were aligned together with GenBank (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucleotide/) sequences using the Muscle algorithm implemented in MEGA 6.0 (Tamura et al. 2013). The number of COI base differences per site from averaging over all sequence pairs between groups were calculated. Mean $p$-distances between species were calculated on the COI dataset (including the outgroup) with the use of the program MEGA 6.0. The Automatic Barcode Gap Discovery (ABGD, available at http://wwwabi.snv.jussieu.fr/public/abgd/) was carried out to detect the so-called "barcode gap" in the distribution of pairwise distances calculated in a sequence alignment (Puillandre et al. 2012a, 2012b). The ABGD analysis was performed on the COI ingroup dataset using the Kimura two-parameter (K2p) model and the following settings: a prior for the maximum value of intraspecific divergence between 0.001 and $0.1,30$ recursive steps within the primary partitions defined by the first estimated gap, and a gap width of 0.1 . The analysis involved 43 COI sequences ( 588 bp , base pairs of nucleotides), 4716 S sequences ( 413 bp ) and 44 sequences ( 294 bp ) of the nuclear

H3, in the final dataset. We used Gblocks 0.91 b (Castresana 2000; Talavera \& Castresana 2007) to eliminate poorly aligned positions or hyper-divergent regions of the multiple sequence alignment of the 16 S rDNA (resulting 16 S dataset of 363 bp ). We used less stringent options such as 'allow gap positions (with half) within the final blocks'. For each gene alignment, the best evolutionary model was selected in JModel Test 0.1 (Posada 2008) according to the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC). Single genes and concatenated datasets (H3, 16S and COI markers) were analysed using Bayesian Inference (BI) and Maximum likelihood (ML) methods implementing the models selected by JModel Test for each gene. BI analyses were carried out with MrBayes 3.2.6 (Ronquist et al. 2012) with four Markov-chains of five million generations each, sampled every 1000 generations. Consensus trees were calculated on trees sampled after a burnin of $25 \%$. ML searches were performed using GARLI 0.96 (Zwickl 2006) with a starting tree topology generated by the ML stepwise-addition algorithm. Nodal support was assessed by means of 1000 bootstrap replicates. Nodes in the resulting phylogenetic trees with Bayesian posterior probabilities (PP) $\geq 0.96 \%$ and bootstrap values (BS) $\geq 90 \%$ were considered 'highly' supported, nodes with PP of $0.90-0.95 \%$ and BS of 80$89 \%$ were considered 'moderately' supported (lower support values were considered not significant). We carried out molecular analyses described above, on different datasets (not shown) in order to optimize the definitive dataset by including only taxa with a significant phylogenetic signal within this heterogeneous family group. The definitive in-group consisted of Facelinidae species belonging to the genera Babakina, Cratena, Dicata, Facelina, Favorinus, Godiva, Phyllodesmium and members of the families Aeolidiidae Gray, 1827 and Flabellinidae Bergh, 1889. Tritonia striata Haefelfinger, 1963 was used as the out-group for the molecular analyses, because of the basal placement within Cladobranchia showed by the genus Tritonia Cuvier, 1798 as proposed by Pola \& Gosliner (2010).

## Morphological analyses

Anatomical observations were conducted on the buccal apparatus and on the reproductive system to assess the status of these commonly used diagnostic morphological characters. The buccal apparatus of $D$. trainitoi $\mathbf{~ s p}$. nov. specimens ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) was analyzed by using both optical and Scanning Electronic Microscopies (SEM). Buccal masses were removed and dissolved in a $10 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ solution for radulae and jaws extraction. Radulae and jaws were rinsed in water, dried, and mounted for examination by optical microscopy as reported in Furfaro et al. (2016b). To obtain high resolution SEM images, dissected radulae were dehydrated in $100 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ through a graded series (50-70-90-95-100\% EtOH), critical point-dried in a Balzer Union CPD 030 unit, gold coated in an Emitech K550 unit, and finally examined by using the field emission SEM column of the Dualbeam (FIB/SEM) Helios Nanolab (FEI Company, Eindhoven, The Netherlands) at the LIME (Electron Microscopy Interdepartmental Laboratory, University Roma Tre), with secondary electrons and an operating voltage of 5 kV . The reproductive system (from two individuals each, three in the case of the new species) of D. trainitoi sp. nov., D. banyulensis and Godiva quadricolor (Barnard, 1927) respectively were observed and studied under a dissecting microscope.

## Results

The first records of the new taxon $D$. trainitoi sp. nov. occurred on the June 11th 2014, during a scuba dive at 30-40 $m$ depth on the "Asia" wreck ( 1 mile off Riva di Traiano Harbour, Civitavecchia, Central Tyrrhenian Sea, Mediterranean, $42^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 11^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ). Two years later, two new findings took place in the same locality and seasonal period (June 4th and August 28th 2016). During the years 2017 and 2018, several individuals were observed grazing on hydroids attached to the same wreck. New records occurred at "Liburna" another wreck located about 100 m far from the "Asia" ( $42^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 47^{\prime}$ " $\left.\mathrm{N}, 11^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}\right)$. This last finding occurred on hydroids at the same bathymetric range. Picture of one individual belonging to this new species was taken from Portofino promontory in Punta del Faro $\left(44^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 9^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}\right)$ (Liguria, Italy) on the May 30th 2018. On the August 13th of the same year, two individuals (RM3_1540 and RM3_1541) have been found in Procida Island near Naples ( $40^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 02.6^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 14^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 31.6^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ ) (Campania, Italy). Molecular and morphological analyses were performed on the new species (Fig. 1) and on specimens from other related taxa. The final dataset, comprising all the specimens involved in this study, is reported in Table 1.

## Molecular analyses

The ABGD analysis highlighted a barcoding gap between $1 \%$ and $5 \%$ of genetic distances at the COI mitochondrial marker in the in-group (Fig. 2). Genetic $p$-distances (of the COI dataset) among species analysed, excluding the out-group, were also calculated and results obtained are shown in Table 2. The resulting concatenated alignment
TABLE 2. Mean COI $p$-distances between species included in molecular analyses.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-D. banyulensis | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-D. trainitoi | 18\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sp. nov. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3-D. occidentalis | 19\% | 15\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4- G. quadricolor | 18\% | 20\% | 18\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5-P. karenae | 19\% | 19\% | 19\% | 20\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-P. colemani | 21\% | 19\% | 20\% | 18\% | 20\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7-P. jakobsenae | 19\% | 19\% | 20\% | 18\% | 20\% | 21\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 - P. opalescens | 19\% | 20\% | $21 \%$ | 18\% | 20\% | $22 \%$ | 20\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9-P. hyalinum | 20\% | 20\% | 19\% | 17\% | 19\% | 21\% | 8\% | 21\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $10-\mathrm{P}$. horridum | 17\% | 18\% | 16\% | 18\% | 18\% | 18\% | 19\% | 17\% | 20\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 - P. briareum | 17\% | 18\% | 19\% | 18\% | 21\% | 17\% | 18\% | 21\% | 18\% | 18\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 - P. crypticum | 18\% | 19\% | 21\% | 19\% | 19\% | 18\% | 17\% | 18\% | 15\% | 19\% | 19\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $13-\mathrm{P}$. | 18\% | 19\% | 19\% | 16\% | 19\% | 18\% | 14\% | 19\% | $14 \%$ | 17\% | 19\% | 14\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tuberculatum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 - P. parangatum | 21\% | 22\% | 20\% | 19\% | 19\% | 20\% | 19\% | 19\% | 21\% | 20\% | 22\% | 17\% | 18\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-P. koehleri | 19\% | 21\% | 18\% | 18\% | 20\% | 18\% | 15\% | 20\% | 15\% | 18\% | 19\% | 16\% | 14\% | 20\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 - P. lizardensis | 20\% | 20\% | 19\% | 19\% | 21\% | 19\% | 14\% | 21\% | 16\% | 20\% | 20\% | 17\% | 13\% | 18\% | 12\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 - P. poindimiei | 18\% | 17\% | 16\% | 18\% | 17\% | 19\% | 20\% | 17\% | 20\% | 16\% | 20\% | $22 \%$ | 19\% | 20\% | 20\% | 22\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $18-\mathrm{P}$. | 21\% | 20\% | 17\% | 17\% | 19\% | 18\% | 19\% | 18\% | 20\% | 17\% | 20\% | 22\% | 18\% | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 14\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| macphersonae |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 - P. rudmani | 20\% | 19\% | 20\% | 19\% | 18\% | 17\% | 13\% | 18\% | 15\% | 17\% | 18\% | 15\% | 13\% | 19\% | 13\% | 14\% | 19\% | 21\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20-D. odhneri | 19\% | 17\% | 19\% | 16\% | 21\% | 20\% | 19\% | 20\% | 18\% | 17\% | 20\% | 18\% | 17\% | 21\% | 20\% | 18\% | 20\% | 20\% | 18\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21-F. branchialis | 20\% | 19\% | 19\% | 20\% | $22 \%$ | 20\% | 21\% | 23\% | 22\% | 20\% | $22 \%$ | 22\% | 20\% | 21\% | 20\% | 20\% | 19\% | 21\% | 20\% | 20\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 - F. bostoniensis | 19\% | 21\% | 19\% | 20\% | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 21\% | 19\% | 16\% | 21\% | 22\% | 20\% | 23\% | 17\% | 18\% | 19\% | 20\% | 19\% | 19\% | 19\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23-F. annulicornis | 21\% | 21\% | $21 \%$ | 20\% | 21\% | $22 \%$ | 18\% | 21\% | 20\% | 19\% | 20\% | 21\% | 20\% | $22 \%$ | 21\% | 23\% | $22 \%$ | 20\% | 21\% | 20\% | 22\% | 20\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 - F. rubrovitata | 17\% | 17\% | 19\% | 19\% | 18\% | 20\% | 18\% | 21\% | 17\% | 17\% | 16\% | 18\% | 18\% | 23\% | 18\% | 20\% | 18\% | 18\% | 18\% | 19\% | 19\% | 15\% | 17\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25-C. peregrina | 18\% | 20\% | 20\% | 22\% | 20\% | 22\% | 24\% | 21\% | 25\% | 20\% | 21\% | 22\% | 22\% | 25\% | 22\% | 23\% | 20\% | 22\% | 23\% | 22\% | 18\% | 20\% | 21\% | 16\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 - B. anadoni | 20\% | 21\% | 19\% | 19\% | 20\% | 20\% | 18\% | 19\% | 20\% | 19\% | 20\% | 21\% | 20\% | 22\% | 20\% | 20\% | 20\% | 20\% | 20\% | 18\% | 20\% | 20\% | $22 \%$ | 19\% | 20\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 - B. indopacifica | 21\% | 22\% | 20\% | 19\% | 21\% | 18\% | 21\% | 21\% | 20\% | 17\% | 21\% | 20\% | 20\% | 20\% | $21 \%$ | 20\% | 20\% | 19\% | 21\% | 18\% | 19\% | 21\% | 20\% | 20\% | 20\% | 16\% | - |  |  |  |  |
| 28 - A. sanguinea | 20\% | 18\% | 18\% | 19\% | 18\% | 19\% | 19\% | 20\% | 20\% | 18\% | 19\% | 20\% | 19\% | 19\% | $21 \%$ | 20\% | 19\% | 20\% | 19\% | 18\% | 20\% | 20\% | 19\% | 19\% | 19\% | 17\% | 18\% | - |  |  |  |
| 29 - A. alderi | 20\% | 20\% | 19\% | 19\% | 20\% | 20\% | $22 \%$ | 20\% | 20\% | 17\% | 20\% | 21\% | 19\% | 20\% | 19\% | 21\% | 20\% | 19\% | 20\% | 17\% | 20\% | 19\% | 20\% | 20\% | 19\% | 17\% | 16\% | 14\% | - |  |  |
| $30-$ F. affinis | 19\% | 20\% | 20\% | 20\% | 21\% | 19\% | 21\% | $22 \%$ | 22\% | 19\% | 20\% | $22 \%$ | 21\% | 23\% | 22\% | 23\% | 21\% | 19\% | 21\% | 19\% | 20\% | 20\% | 21\% | 20\% | 19\% | 19\% | 19\% | 17\% | 19\% | - |  |
| 31-T. striata | 21\% | 22\% | 20\% | 22\% | 20\% | 23\% | 20\% | 24\% | 19\% | 21\% | $22 \%$ | 20\% | $22 \%$ | 21\% | 23\% | $22 \%$ | 23\% | $22 \%$ | 20\% | 20\% | 21\% | 22\% | 21\% | 20\% | 24\% | $22 \%$ | 19\% | 22\% | 23\% | $24 \%$ | - |

consisted of 1245 different positions with TIM2ef +G , TPM3uf $+\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{G}$ and $\mathrm{TrN}+\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{G}$ as the best model of evolution for $\mathrm{H} 3,16 \mathrm{~S}$ and COI respectively. The concatenated and partitioned Bayesian inference and Maximum Likelihood analyses were congruent to each other and showed higher statistical support values than single genes analyses. The resulting topology (Fig. 2) highlighted a well-supported monophyletic clade ( $\mathrm{BI}=1$; $\mathrm{ML}=99$ ) grouping all species belonging to Phyllodesmium Ehrenberg, 1831. This monophyletic group is clustered ( $\mathrm{BI}=0.98$; $\mathrm{ML}=69$ ) with a grade composed by G. quadricolor, the type species of Godiva, as sister ( $\mathrm{BI}=0.79$; $\mathrm{ML}=\mathrm{ns}$ ) to a clade with three Dondice species: $D$. occidentalis, the type species of the genus Dondice, showing no genetic differences to $D$. parguerensis ( $\mathrm{BI}=1$; $\mathrm{ML}=98$ ), and $D$. trainitoi $\mathbf{s p}$. nov. which is a well-supported clade ( $\mathrm{BI}=1 ; \mathrm{ML}=100$ ). Dondice banyulensis is sister, with high statistical support ( $\mathrm{BI}=1 ; \mathrm{ML}=100$ ), to the clade including Phyllodesmium, Godiva and other Dondice species, revealing the genus Dondice as non-monophyletic. The latter highly supported monophyletic big group clustered with another clade ( $\mathrm{BI}=0.93$; $\mathrm{ML}=52$ ) composed by Babakina anadoni $(\mathrm{Ortea}, 1979)$ and B. indopacifica Gosliner, Gonzalez-Duarte \& Cervera, 2007 (BI=0.99; ML=70) sister to Dicata odhneri Schmekel, 1967 (BI=0.59; $\mathrm{ML}=<50$ ), and altogether sister to two Aeolidiella species ( $\mathrm{BI}=0.94$; $\mathrm{ML}=<50$ ). Flabellina affinis (Gmelin, 1791) is sister to all the species mentioned above ( $\mathrm{BI}=0.97 ; \mathrm{ML}=60$ ). The big clade which includes members of Aeoliidae, Facelinidae and Flabellinidae families is grouped ( $\mathrm{BI}=0.97$; $\mathrm{ML}=<50$ ) with a clade $(\mathrm{BI}=1 ; \mathrm{ML}=90)$ composed by four other Facelinidae species. More in detail this clade is composed by Facelina bostoniensis (Couthouy, 1838) and F. rubrovittata (Costa A., 1866) ( $\mathrm{BI}=1$; $\mathrm{ML}=95$ ), sister to Cratena peregrina (Gmelin, 1791) $(\mathrm{BI}=0.67 ; \mathrm{ML}=<50)$ and with F. annulicornis (Chamisso \& Eysenhardt, 1821) as the sister to all of them. Favorinus branchialis (Rathke, 1806 ) showed a basal placement $(\mathrm{BI}=1 ; \mathrm{ML}=100)$ resulting as the sister to all other eolids reported above.

## Morphological analyses

Results from optical and SEM microscopical examinations of the masticatory system of $D$. trainitoi sp. nov. are shown in figures 3 and 4. Pictures and drawings of the reproductive systems are depicted in figure 5.

## TAXONOMY

Family FACELINIDAE Bergh, 1890

## Genus Dondice Marcus, Er. 1958

## Dondice trainitoi sp. nov. (Figures 1 A-F)

Zoobank: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:5072B304-A2F2-4AB0-A0EF-6DF26EC0242B

Holotype: MNHN IM-2000-33722, 10 mm in length, Riva di Traiano, Civitavecchia, Latium, Italy, Mediterranean Sea, August 03 2017, 28 m depth, $42^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 15^{\prime}$ 'N, $11^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 45^{\prime}$ 'E.

Paratypes: paratype MNHN IM-2000-33723, 5 mm in length, Riva di Traiano, Civitavecchia, Latium, Italy, Mediterranean Sea, June 11 2016, 30 m depth; paratype MNHN IM-2000-33724, 5 mm long, Riva di Traiano, Civitavecchia, Latium, Italy, Mediterranean, June 04 2016, 32 m depth; paratype RM3_1101, 8 mm in length; paratype RM3_1102, 11 mm in length, Riva di Traiano, Civitavecchia, Latium, Italy, Mediterranean Sea, August 03 2017, 30 m depth; paratype RM3_621, 16 mm in length, Riva di Traiano, Civitavecchia, Latium, Italy, Mediterranean Sea, August 25 2016, 30 m depth. All type material sampled at the "Asia" wreck on hydroids.

Etymology: The species name is after Egidio Trainito, expert in nudibranch biology and Mediterranean marine biodiversity, underwater photographer and good friend of the authors.

Holotype morphological description: The body is slender, with a narrow foot. The anterior part of the foot is bilabiate and extended into well-defined propodial tentacles. The elongate body is translucent cream, with bright white spots along the border of the foot, oral foot corners and tail. A characteristic iridescent light blue band is present on the tail, along the dorsum and the head where it bifurcates into two lines ending at the half part of the oral tentacles. Two other lateral lines of the same iridescent color are present dorsally, starting from the lateral part of the head below the rhinophores, becoming narrower and lighter through the body and terminating in the tail joining the dorsal band. A typical black pigment covering the mandibles is clearly visible through the epithelium. The cerata
are translucent cream with a digestive gland that is yellowish in the basal portion but becoming gradually orange in the sub terminal part. The upper part of the cerata has a brightly yellowish ring larger in the anterior part than in the back where it is tapered. At the base of the rhinophores, the head is diaphanous, allowing the dark eyes to be seen (Figs 1A, C-E).


FIGURE 1 Dondice trainitoi sp. nov. (A) Specimen from Portofino promontory ( $\left.44^{\circ} 17{ }^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 9^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}\right)$ photographed in situ by Michele Solca and not collected. (B) In laboratory photograph of the holotype (Voucher MNHN IM-2000-33722) from 'Asia' wreck ( $42^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 11^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 45^{\prime}$ 'E). (C) Specimen from 'Asia' wreck ( $42^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 11^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 45^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ) photographed in situ by Jonathan Vulcano and not collected. (D) Cephalic portion of the paratype MNHN IM-2000-33724. (E) Lateral view of the paratype MNHN IM-2000-3372. (F) Egg mass of the paratype MNHN IM-2000-33723. Scale bar $=2 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{~B}),=1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{D})$


FIGURE 2 The Bayesian tree portrays the phylogenetic relationships based on the H3+16S+COI combined dataset. Numbers at nodes are Bayesian posterior probability (BI, left) and ML bootstrap support (BS, right), respectively. The histogram in the upper left part results from the ABGD analysis at the COI barcoding region showing the distribution of the pairwise estimated genetic distances (K2p) in intraspecific (left, light grey) and interspecific (right, dark grey) comparisons.

The rhinophores are lamellate with ten dish-shaped annulations and end with a cylindrical tip (Fig. 1D). The basal portion and the narrow posterior furrow of the rhinophores are smooth. In their upper portion, there is a brightly white/yellowish stripe that is wider in the anterior than in the posterior part. The oral tentacles are longer than the rhinophores, cylindrical and slender with a bright band in the same color of the apical portion of the rhinophores. The notum showed four clusters of cerata composed by two rows, with the exception of the last group that has only one row of small cerata. There is one precardiac cluster with 22 cerata, two median groups with 16 and 14 cerata respectively, and the posterior one with a single row of 5 cerata. The cerata are slender and cylindrical, light orange, and with a yellow ring which is expanded in the front part and jointed in the rear (Fig. 1). The head is slender. The anus is cleioproct, located within the first post-hepatic arch.

Internal anatomy: Three specimens, including the paratype MNHN IM-2000-33724 (Fig. 1D), were examined. The masticatory jaws are covered with a typical black epithelium (Fig. 1E). The underlying chitinous jaws are visible from the muscular lips (Fig. 3A). These structures are pale yellowish and characterized by 16 well-developed, triangular denticles per each side (Figs 3B-D). The radular formula is $8-12 \times(0.1 .0)$ (Figs 4A-D). The rachidian tooth has a median cusp, bearing one denticle for each side, and it has 4-5 triangular denticles on each side of the cusp (Figs 4E, F). The reproductive system (Fig. 5) is diaulic. The genital openings are on the right side of the body, between the first and the second group of cerata (Fig. 5B). The long tubular ampulla runs the length of the female gland mass and the hermaphroditic duct then bifurcates into the oviduct and the vas deferens (Figs 5D-F). The male gland terminates into the globular and unarmed penis (Fig. 5F). The penial bulb is smooth and muscular externally.

The vagina is connected to an ovoidal receptaculum seminis and to the convoluted duct of the female gland mass. The female gland mass is white and complex, with a central rounded and a lateral tortuous mass (Fig. 5F).


FIGURE 3 Optical (A, B, C) and SEM (D) images of the masticatory jaws of the buccal apparatus of Dondice trainitoi sp. nov. at different magnification levels. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}),=40 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{C}),=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{D})$

Distribution: Currently, this species is known from the Latium coast (Civitavecchia), type locality, and from Portofino Marine Protected Area (MPA) (Gulf of Genoa, Liguria) and Procida island (Naples, Campania) which are to date respectively the northernmost and the southernmost distribution sites. In particular, most of the findings took place on the 'Asia' and the near 'Liburna' wrecks, located near the harbour of Civitavecchia where this sea
slug is really abundant (with a total of 15-20 individuals observed per each dive). Four years after the first finding in Civitavecchia, about seven individuals were observed from Portofino MPA, while only two specimens were photographed and collected from Procida Island. The specimens were found feeding on colonies of hydroids covering the artificial substrate, made of torn nets from fishing trawlers, wrapping both wrecks, or on colonies of hydroids in a Coralligenous rocky bottom, from June to early September. The geographic distributions of the species is reported in Figure 6.


FIGURE 4 Optical (A-C, E) and SEM (D, F) images of the radula of Dondice trainitoi sp. nov. at different magnification levels. (A-D) Radula. (E, F) Rachidian tooth. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{D}),=20 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~F})$.

Remarks: The morphological variability shown by living animals (Fig. 1) consisted in the body length of the specimens (ranging from 8 to 15 mm ), rhinophores with usually 10 or 12 (range 6-17) dish-shaped annulations and the notum with 4 or 5 clusters of cerata. The precardiac cluster of cerata could have up to 24 cerata, the two median clusters up to 18 cerata each one and the posterior one with a single row of maximum 6 cerata. The new species shows $15 \%$ mean $p$-distance at the COI with $D$. occidentalis and $18 \%$ with the Mediterranean $D$. banyulensis. It also differs morphologically from the three formerly known congeners (Table 3). Dondice trainitoi sp. nov. can be


FIGURE 5 Reproductive system of D. trainitoi sp. nov. (A) Picture in situ (B) female and male genital openings of the living specimen (voucher RM3_1536) (C-E) pictures from specimens dissected at different steps (F) schematic drawing of the reproductive system. Scale bar: 1 mm . Abbreviations: am=ampulla, $\mathrm{fg}=$ female gland, $\mathrm{mg}=\mathrm{male} \mathrm{gland}, \mathrm{p}=\mathrm{penis}, \mathrm{sr}=\mathrm{seminal}$ receptacle.


FIGURE 6 Geographical distribution of the Mediterranean $D$. trainitoi sp. nov. with the red stars indicating the collection localities. 1 = Punta del Faro, Portofino promontory, Liguria ( $44^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 53^{\prime}$ 'N, $9^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 47^{\prime}$ 'E), $2=$ 'Asia' wreck, Civitavecchia, Latium ( $42^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 15^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 11^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), $3=$ Procida Island, Naples ( $40^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 02.6^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 14^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 31.6^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ ).
distinguished from $D$. banyulensis by the presence of the black pigment covering the jaws and forming two black patches clearly visible at the cephalic portion. The only other species which shares this very typical character is the D. occidentalis 'sensu Marcus Er.' (1958), who described a specimen from Cananèia (San Paolo, Brazil) which '...differs from the Jamaican specimens in some details. The black pigmented epithelium covering the jaws of our species is very striking, even in living slugs, but apparently is wanting in the Jamaican material as Engel does not mention it.' (Marcus Er. 1958). Dondice occidentalis 'sensu Marcus' however, has '... a median red stripe along the head and a stripe along each side' (see the Brazilian specimen photographed by Lindner A. 2014, page 48) that clearly differs from the white ones characterizing $D$. trainitoi $\mathbf{~ s p}$. nov. Dondice galaxiana is morphologically entirely different from $D$. trainitoi $\mathbf{~ s p}$. nov., in fact it has rhinophores with large dish-shaped annulations, the cerata are clustered on raised cushions and its body has rhomboid-shaped patches on the dorsum between the cerata. These features are completely lacking in the new species. Dondice parguerensis is morphologically similar to $D$. occidentalis but differing from it for its particular diet which consists of Cassiopea sp. and by the epithelium covering the jaws that is brown amber (Brandon \& Cutress 1985) and not dark or black as in D. occidentalis.

Specimens of the new species were observed and photographed in situ feeding on a reddish/orange hydroid belonging to the family Campanulariidae Johnston, 1836. The egg mass is a white lace coiled around the hydroid (Fig. 1F). Interestingly, as known for other facelinid species, $D$. trainitoi sp. nov., when disturbed, autotomized its cerata to distract potential predators.

## Discussion

The Facelinidae family is characterized by members showing heterogeneous morphotypes leading to several controversies and to a confused taxonomy. In the last decade, with the advent of molecular techniques, the monophyly of this eolid family was also questioned since many phylogenetic works (Gosliner et al. 2007; Carmona et al. 2015) have revealed contrasting relationships occurring between members historically assigned to the Facelinidae family highlighting the need to deepen the study this group of molluscs. The new species was here analysed and described by both morphological and molecular approaches and revealed to be strongly related to D. occidentalis,
the type species of the genus Dondice. Furthermore the new species seems to be morphologically more similar to the Brazilian specimens of $D$. occidentalis described by Marcus Er. (1958) than to the ones originally described by Engel (1925) as Caloria occidentalis from Jamaica. In fact, the Mediterranean D. trainitoi sp. nov. shares with the Brazilian morphotype of $D$. occidentalis, the black pigment covering the masticatory jaws, anyhow a recent picture of a Brazilian specimen (Lindner 2014) showed some striking differences between the two species supporting their validity, as reported in Table 3.


FIGURE 7 Reproductive system of G. quadricolor, voucher RM3_792. (A-C) pictures from specimens dissected at different steps (D) schematic drawing of the reproductive system. Scale bar: 1 mm . Abbreviations: $\mathrm{am}=a \mathrm{mpulla}, \mathrm{fg}=\mathrm{female}$ gland, $\mathrm{md}=$ male duct, $\mathrm{p}=$ penis, $\mathrm{pr}=$ prostate, $\mathrm{ps}=$ penis spine, $\mathrm{sr}=$ seminal receptacle.

To investigate interactions between species also by molecular methods, phylogenetic relationships of Dondice species and other related taxa were explored. Molecular approaches have confirmed the Facelinidae family as nonmonophyletic. In particular, Dondice, Godiva and Phyllodesmium genera are grouped in a monophyletic clade with


FIGURE 8 Reproductive system of D. banyulensis, voucher RM3_197. (A-C) pictures from specimens dissected at different steps (D) schematic drawing of the reproductive system. Scale bar: -1 mm . Abbreviations: am=ampulla, dmd=distal male duct, $\mathrm{p}=$ penis, $\mathrm{pmd}=$ proximal male duct, $\mathrm{sr}=$ seminal receptacle, $\mathrm{v}=$ =vagina
a strong statistical support but with the genus Dondice as paraphyletic. In fact, $D$. trainitoi sp. nov. shows a close relationship with the clade composed by the type-tax on $D$. occidentalis and $D$. parguerensis (whose validity as a species remains not clear) but $D$. banyulensis appears, with high statistical support $(\mathrm{BI}=1 ; \mathrm{ML}=100)$, as the sister species of a clade including the abovementioned Dondice species, G. quadricolor and all the Phyllodesmium taxa (Fig. 2) supporting the paraphyly of the genus. To investigate these unexpected molecular evidences, we have analysed members of Godiva and Dondice genera by morphological and anatomical comparison, together with indications obtained by ecological knowledge, in an integrated taxonomic view. Consequently, in order to examine the diagnostic characters used in the past to assign species (Figs 7, 8), we have performed anatomical analyses on $G$. quadricolor and $D$. banjulensis which have a critical role in the molecular topology obtained, (specimens collected during this study and stored at the collection of the Roma Tre University) (see figure captions for the vouchers). Since Marcus Er. (1958) stated that the main morphological feature distinguishing the two genera was the male copulatory organ, unarmed in Dondice but armed and with a terminal hook in Godiva, we confirmed the presence of the armed penis in G. quadricolor (Fig. 7D) and the unarmed penis in D. banyulensis (Fig. 8). Interestingly, Ed
TABLE 3. Morphological comparison among species belonging to Dondice genus,

|  | Body colour | Cerata colour | Cerata distribution | Rhinophores | Foot anterior border | Radular formula | Jaws colour | Gonopore | Anus | Penial glands |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dondice <br> banyulensis <br>  <br> Sandmeier, <br> 1960 | Rather clear transparent orange. Deep thick opaque orange covers upper half of rhinophores, head in front of rhinophores, anterior border of the basis of oral tentacles, foot tentacles, forms a sub-apical ring beneath the top of cerata. Upper half of oral tentacles opaque white. Median opaque white line from the anterior border of oral tentacles to end of tail. On either sides a white opaque line from the oral tentacles to the tail connecting the basal region of cerata groups. An incomplete white line on the notum between the median and the lateral ones. Edge of foot lined with bluish iridescent opaque white | A narrow brown vein becomes dark red beneath the very small cnidosac | 5 groups horseshoe shaped | Lamellate along the entire length | Foot corners tentaculiform | $18 \times 0.1 .0$ | Dark red | On the right beneath the first group of cerata | On the r i g h t inside the second group of cerata | Absent |
| Dondice galaxiana <br>  <br> Hermosillo, 2012 | Translucent cream with rhomboid shaped-patches on the dorsum between the cerata. The center of each rhombus is light opaque cream surrounded by a light brown band and contains four equidistant bright turquoise spots forming a square. Near them a larger dark olive-black spot. On the rest of the body random bright white spots. Sides with reddish brown patches and light cream patches with dark brown spots | Light pinkish brown with sub-terminal brown band and dark reddish brown cores. Surface covered with random white spots | 1 pericardic group, 2-3 posterior and a row of 2-3 cerata | Short with cylindrical tip, $\quad 6-7$ dish shaped annulations | 2 tentacles 1 mm length | $\begin{aligned} & 15-16 \\ & 0.1 .0 \end{aligned}$ | Covered with black melanophores in the epithelium | On the right side anterior of the precardic group of cerata | Within the arch of the first posterior group of cerata | Present |
|  | .. Continued next page |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 3. (Continued)

|  | Body colour | Cerata colour | Cerata distribution | Rhinophores | Foot anterior border | Radular formula | Jaws colour | Gonopore | Anus | Penial glands |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dondice occidentalis Engel, 1925 | Completely lost the colours, and looked very transparent, yellowish-white; everywhere the internal organs shone through clearly | No colour description | 7 groups | 5-7 rings | 2 long up to 5 mm | 0.1.0 | Dark | Onthe right side between the two first arches somewhat behind the hind limbs of the first of these two | On the right side in the interspace between the limbs of the second pair of transverse pairs | Present |
| Dondice occidentalis sensu Marcus Er. 1958 | White, with red markings. A median red stripe along the head and a stripe along each side that extends from the tentacle base dorsally to the genital pores, ventrally to the cerata, and caudally to the tail tip. Liver brown or reddish. In the skin white refractive elements | Under the cnidosacs, brilliantly white or pink, the cerata bear an orange-red ring | 6 groups | 15-18 rings | 2 tentacles 2,5 mm length | $21 \times 0.1 .0$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C o vered } \\ & \text { by black } \\ & \text { epithelium } \end{aligned}$ | On the right side under the first arch of cerata | In the center of thesecond arch of cerata | Present |
| Dondice parguerensis Brandon \& Cutress, 1985 | Translucid white; tips of rhinophores, foot tentacles and buccal tentacles refractive white. A white snow line longitudinally and not continuously along the back from the head, between the eyes, up to the tail. Similar lines along the sides circle each group of cerata and join on the tail | Brown terminations of digestive glands inside the cerata. Cnidosac white translucid | 2 precardic groups, 4 postcardic e 1 isolated | 5 annulations | 2 tentacles shorter than buccal tentacles | $15 \times 0.1 .0$ | Amber | On the right side between first and second group of cerata | Un der first group of pericardic cerata | Present |

TABLE 3. (Continued)

|  | Body colour | Cerata colour | Cerata distribution | Rhinophores | Foot anterior border | Radular formula | Jaws colour | Gonopore | Anus | Penial glands |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dondice trainitoi sp.nov. | Translucent cream, with brightly white spots along the border of the foot, the oral foot corners and along the tail. A characteristic iridescent light blue band goes from the tail along the dorsum and the head where it bifurcates into two lines ending at the half part of the oral tentacles. Two other lateral lines of the same iridescent colour go dorsally through the body terminating in the lateral part of the head | Light orange, with a yellowish band, expanded in the front part, joins barely in the rear | 4 (5) groups, 1 pericardic, 2 postcardic and 1 posterior row | Lamellate with usually 10 or 12 (6-17) dish-shaped annulations ending with a cylindrical tip | Well defined propodial tentacles | $\begin{array}{ll} 8-12 & x \\ 0.1 .0 & \end{array}$ | Black pigment covering the mandibles visible through the epithelium | On the right side between the first and the second group of cerata | On the right side in the middle of the second group of cerata | Present |
| Godiva quadricolor (Barnard, 1927) | Head pale orange above, with two white streaks from the tentacles to the bases of the rhinophores; tentacles and rhinophores of the same colour as head, with the tips sulphur yellow. Rest of body translucent white, the tail with a narrow median stripe of orange bordered by opaque (faintly blue) white, stomach and intestines showing through the skin a dull blue | Papillae dark brown with a purplish tinge, towards the end a band of bright orange and one of ultramarine blue separated by a narrow band of dark brown, apex sulphur yellow, the ground colour is also sprinkled lightly with sulphur yellow dots just below the orange band | Papillae fusiform in 5 groups, about 30 to 40 in the first, 30 in the second, 25 in the third, 20 in the fourth, and 12 to 15 in the fifth, the outer ones short, the innermost longest ( 5 to 6 mm . in the preserved state) | Rhinophores slender, simple in life, but wrinkled and seemingly annulate in the preserved specimen | Antero-lateral angles of foot produced, tentaculiform |  |  | On the right side immediately below the first group of cerata | On the right side in the middle of the second group of cerata | Absent |

> band
munds (1964) in its work on eolid Mollusca from Jamaica carried out an anatomical comparison of the diagnostic reproductive features of $D$. banyulensis with the ones observed in D. occidentalis and G. quadricolor and concluding that $D$. banyulensis was more likely to belong to Godiva rather than to Dondice genus, in line with the systematic hypothesis suggested by our molecular results. In our opinion, the absence or presence of the penial hook could not be considered as diagnostic at the genus level as indeed suggested by other authors (Macnae 1954; Rudman 1980). Furthermore, considering the fact that Phyllodesmium and Dondice species are characterized by an unarmed penis (Moore \& Gosliner 2014) as well as all the species showing a basal placement in the phylogenetic tree (with the exclusion of the Facelina ones) we can infer that this particular anatomical feature has evolved independently in $G$. quadricolor.

Our results could suggest unifying the three genera Phyllodesmium, Dondice and Godiva under the single genus Phyllodesmium, due to the morphological similarities and the molecular affinities. An important ethological feature could support this hypothesis: the capability to autotomize groups of cerata under stress conditions. This particular skill is shared by all of the Phyllodesmium species, by D. occidentalis, D. trainitoi sp. nov. and interestingly by $G$. quadricolor. It is missing instead in $D$. banyulensis, confirming its basal placement within the evolutionary history of the group. Anyhow, considering that G. quadricolor did not show a stable position in all the trees recovered from analyses performed on alternative datasets (not shown), we maintain a conservative approach not altering the classification of these three genera (Dondice, Godiva and Phyllodesmium).
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