Self-stigma in Serious Mental Illness: A Systematic Review of Frequency, Correlates, and Consequences

Julien Dubreucq^{*,1-3,0}, Julien Plasse^{4,5}, and Nicolas Franck^{1,5,6}

¹Centre de Neurosciences Cognitive, UMR 5229, CNRS & Université Lyon 1, Lyon, France; ²Centre référent de réhabilitation psychosociale et de Remédiation Cognitive (C3R), Centre Hospitalier Alpes Isère, Grenoble, France; ³Fondation FondaMental, Créteil, France; ⁴Réseau Handicap Psychique, Grenoble, France; ⁵Centre Ressource de Réhabilitation Psychosociale et de Remédiation cognitive, Centre Hospitalier Le Vinatier, Bron, France; ⁶Pôle Centre Rive Gauche, Centre Hospitalier Le Vinatier, Bron, France

*To whom correspondence should be addressed; Centre référent de réhabilitation psychosociale et de Remédiation Cognitive (C3R), CH Alpes Isère, 1 place du Conseil National de la Résistance, 38400 Saint Martin d'Hères, France; tel: +33-4-56-58-88-00, e-mail: jdubreucq@ch-alpes-isere.fr

Self-stigma is associated with poor clinical and functional outcomes in Serious Mental Illness (SMI). There has been no review of self-stigma frequency and correlates in different cultural and geographic areas and SMI. The objectives of the present study were: (1) to review the frequency, correlates, and consequences of self-stigma in individuals with SMI; (2) to compare self-stigma in different geographical areas and to review its potential association with cultural factors; (3) to evaluate the strengths and limitations of the current body of evidence to guide future research. A systematic electronic database search (PubMed, Web of Science, PsycINFO, Scopus, and Ovid SP Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature [CINAHL]) following PRISMA guidelines, was conducted on the frequency, correlates, and consequences of self-stigma in SMI. Out of 272 articles, 80 (29.4%) reported on the frequency of self-stigma (n = 25458), 241 (88.6%) on cross-sectional correlates of self-stigma and 41 (15.0%) on the longitudinal correlates and consequences of self-stigma. On average, 31.3% of SMI patients reported high self-stigma. The highest frequency was in South-East Asia (39.7%) and the Middle East (39%). Sociodemographic and illnessrelated predictors yielded mixed results. Perceived and experienced stigma-including from mental health providers-predicted self-stigma, which supports the need to develop anti-stigma campaigns and recovery-oriented practices. Increased transition to psychosis and poor clinical and functional outcomes are both associated with selfstigma. Psychiatric rehabilitation and recovery-oriented early interventions could reduce self-stigma and should be better integrated into public policy.

Key words: self-stigma/serious mental illness/prevalence /correlates/psychiatric rehabilitation

Introduction

For a long time, research on stigma in psychiatric disorders focused on public stigma, or the endorsement of negative beliefs and discriminating attitudes towards individuals by the general population. Over the last two decades, attention has shifted towards the effects of stigma on individuals with Serious Mental Illness (SMI). According to Link and Phelan,¹ stigma involves "elements of labeling, stereotyping, separation, status loss, and discrimination" that "co-occur in a power situation that allows the components of stigma to unfold." The effects of stigma on individuals include perceived, experienced, anticipated, and self-stigma. Perceived stigma is defined as one's beliefs about the attitudes of the general public towards people with SMI.² Experienced stigma refers to people with SMI's experience of discrimination.³ Anticipated stigma—or the expectation that a person will be discriminated against because of their SMI-can occur even if the person has no previous experience of discrimination and contributes to social withdrawal and self-stigma.³ Self-stigmaor internalized stigma-describes the transformation process wherein a person's previous social identity (defined by social roles such as son, brother, sister, friend, employee, or potential partner) is progressively replaced by a devalued and stigmatized view of oneself, known as "illness identity."⁴ Self-stigma occurs when a person moves beyond mere awareness of public stigma and actually agrees with it and applies it to themself.² Stigma resistance is defined as a person's ability to deflect or challenge stigmatizing beliefs.^{5,6}

There is a growing body of evidence—including metaanalyses—on the effects of self-stigma on people with SMI.⁷⁻¹² In a meta-analysis of 127 articles, Livingston

[©] The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Maryland Psychiatric Research Center. All rights reserved. For permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com

and Boyd⁷ reported that most studies were conducted in Europe or North America (77.5%), and that schizophrenia was the most common diagnosis (54.3%). Selfstigma is frequent in Europe (41.7% of 1229 participants with schizophrenia and 21.7% of 1182 participants with mood disorders^{9,10}) and North America (36.1% of 144 people with SMI¹³). Less is known about self-stigma in other geographic areas. The level of self-stigma might vary according to cultural factors (eg, causal attributions of mental illness¹⁴) and sociopolitical ideology.¹⁵ According to Yang,¹⁴ stigma affects "what matters most" in a local social world, by threatening one's capacity to meet social expectations (eg, the ability to engage in key life activities such as work or marriage^{14,16}) and the whole family's moral standing and socioeconomic status. Self-stigma might be more common in non-Western countries than in Western countries but this remains unproven.

Compared with those with nonpsychotic disorders, people at risk of psychosis face higher levels of public stigma that can lead to self-stigma.^{17,18} People with BPD are prone to self-criticism and feelings of shame that can make them more vulnerable to self-stigma.¹⁹ They face high levels of stigma, not only from the general public but also from mental health professionals.²⁰ Gerlinger⁸ reported in a systematic review on stigma in schizophrenia the lack of studies comparing stigma at different stages of illness. It is still unclear whether self-stigma is greater in cases of prolonged psychosis compared with early psychosis, at-risk stages, or other SMI diagnoses.

To date, there have been no literature reviews comparing self-stigma frequency and correlates in different geographical and cultural areas (Europe, North America, South America, Middle East, South Asia, South-East Asia, and Oceania). Similarly, to our knowledge, no literature review has been conducted comparing internalized stigma in at-risk stages, schizophrenia, BD, MDD, BPD, and anxiety disorders. Self-stigma was negatively associated with self-esteem, self-efficacy, quality of life (QoL), and clinical and functional outcomes.7 However, it is difficult to disentangle the specific effects of self-stigma, as measures of perceived or experienced stigma are often used to assess the correlates of self-stigma (43.9% of the 127 studies⁷). Most studies were cross-sectional (86.7%) of 127 studies⁷). The longitudinal effects of self-stigma remain largely unknown. Several interventions (combinations of psychoeducation and cognitive restructuring in most cases, making empowered decisions about disclosure in others) have been designed to reduce self-stigma and its impact on patients' outcomes.²¹ Psychiatric rehabilitation brings together a wide range of recoveryoriented interventions.²² Psychiatric rehabilitation could indirectly reduce self-stigma (eg, through improved psychiatric symptoms, self-esteem, cognitive, social, and

vocational functioning) although this remains to be investigated. However, the use of psychiatric rehabilitation services also carries the risk of increased labeling and self-stigma.^{23,24}

In summary, three reviews have been conducted on self-stigma since 2010, in schizophrenia⁸ and BD.^{11,12} One meta-analysis investigated the correlates of stigma resistance in SMI.⁶ To our knowledge, there has been no review of self-stigma frequency and correlates in different cultural and geographic areas and for different SMI conditions; nor any specific reviews of self-stigma, excluding explicitly perceived or experienced stigma. The effects of nonspecific recovery-oriented practices on self-stigma remain unknown. Based on the literature, we would expect to find a higher frequency of self-stigma in Eastern countries compared with Western countries. We made the hypotheses that cultural factors would influence self-stigma and that self-stigma would be associated with poor recovery-related outcomes. The present review has three objectives: (1) to review the frequency, correlates, and consequences of self-stigma in individuals with SMI; (2) to compare self-stigma in different geographical areas and to review its potential association with cultural factors; (3) to evaluate the strengths and limitations of the current body of evidence to guide future research.

Methods

A stepwise systematic literature review (PRISMA guidelines)²⁵ was conducted by searching PubMed, Medline, Web of Science, PsycINFO, the Scopus citation index, and Ovid SP Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL) for published, peer-reviewed articles using the following keywords: "schizophrenia" / "bipolar disorder" / "borderline personality disorder" / "major depression" / "depression" / "anxiety disorder" / "serious mental illness" AND "stigma" / "self-stigma" / "internalized stigma"/ "internalised stigma." No time restriction was set. Only published articles in English or French were included in the review. The reference list of three metaanalyses^{6,7,26} and three literature reviews^{8,11,12} on stigma and schizophrenia or BD were screened for additional relevant articles. To be included in this review articles had to meet all of the following criteria: (1) report explicitly on self-stigma (ie, articles on public stigma or using measures of perceived or experienced stigma were excluded); (2) concern a diagnosis of schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, borderline personality disorder, major depression or anxiety disorders; (3) provide quantitative data on the prevalence, correlates, or consequences of internalized stigma or stigma resistance. The first author applied the eligibility criteria and screened the records to select the included studies. The last author reviewed each decision. Disputed items were solved through discussion and by reading the

article in detail to reach a final decision. For each study, we extracted the following information: general information (author, year of publication, country, design, population considered, setting, total number of participants, mean age, or age range), outcome measure (scale/items used to measure self-stigma, reliability), the main findings, and variables relating to quality assessment (see supplementary table 2 for the detailed characteristics of the included studies). Quality assessment was performed using the Systematic Appraisal of Quality in Observational Research (SAQOR) tool. This tool comprises six domains (sample, control/comparison group, exposure/outcome measurements, follow-up, confounders, and reporting of data²⁷) and has been adapted for cross-cultural psychiatric epidemiology studies.²⁸ An overall quality score (high, moderate, or low) was determined based on adequacy in the six domains. Means and percentages were weighted for the number of cases per study to obtain prevalence data. Derived weighted means by geographical area and pooled standard deviations were calculated. One-way ANOVA was conducted from these summary data and post hoc pairwise test comparisons were computed using the Tukey-Kramer method. Weighted scores were calculated using proportions of rating scale scores. The frequency of self-stigma is often measured using the Internalized Stigma of Mental Illness Scale.²⁹ A score above the midpoint indicates a moderate to high level of self-stigma.^{9,29} This choice was made for practical reasons (ie, facilitating comparisons between the studies) as there is no valid cutoff for measuring self-stigma. Stigma resistance is often measured using the ISMI stigma resistance subscale, which shows variable internal consistency (0.56 in Firmin et al meta-analysis⁶). Only the studies reporting internal consistency of above 0.50 were considered when extracting the correlates of stigma resistance. The study protocol was registered on the PROSPERO database on July 4, 2019 (ID 141282).

Results

Our search on July 5, 2019 found 3215 articles on PubMed and 11 472 on Web of Science. It was completed by searching PsycINFO, the Scopus citation index, and Ovid SP CINAHL on March 25, 2020 then updated on April 16, 2020. The search was completed on August 22, 2020 using the additional terms "psychosis," "depression," "internalized stigma," and "internalised stigma." This resulted in 5371 supplementary articles. After manually removing all duplicates, there were 4250 remaining references. Based on their titles and abstracts, 3811 articles were excluded for lack of relevance. Most of these articles focused on public stigma, perceived or experienced stigma, or self-stigma in other discriminated populations. Our search strategy yielded 429 full-text articles. After conducting a full-text analysis of all these articles and excluding those which did not meet the inclusion criteria, we ended up with 272 relevant articles (figure 1). See supplementary table 5 for the list of the excluded studies.

The 272 articles included were characterized by the heterogeneity of the samples, methods, scales, and reported outcomes. Most were published after 2010 (244 studies; 89.7%) and used cross-sectional designs (231 studies; 84.9%) with only 41 studies (15.1%) reporting longitudinal outcomes. A total of 89 (95 studies (34.9%) were conducted in Europe, 76 (27.9%) in North America, 44 in South-East Asia (16.2%), 24 (8.8%) in the Middle East, 13 (4.8%) in Africa, 10 in South Asia (3.7%), 4 in South America (1.5%), 4 in Oceania (1.5%), and 2 studies (0.7%) compared internalized stigma in different geographical areas (see supplementary table 1 for the geographical distribution of the included studies).^{30,31} Most studies included outpatients (211 studies, 77.6%). A total of 30 studies (11.3%) were conducted in a psychiatric rehabilitation context, 5 studies (1.8%) in consumeroperated service programs or advocacy groups and 6 studies (2.2%) in prison settings.

A total of 114 studies (41.9%) concerned schizophrenia, 14 (5.1%) BD, 13 (4.8%) MDD, and 13 (4.8%) at-risk stages or first episode psychosis, 2 (0.7%) anxiety disorders and 1 obsessive-compulsive disorder (0.4%). A total of 115 studies (42.3%) looked at SMI. There were large variations in the definition of SMI. Twentyfive studies (9.6%) defined SMI as schizophrenia, BD, or MDD. Fifty-four studies (20%) used a broad definition of SMI and included participants with anxiety disorders (AD, n = 25), obsessive-compulsive disorder (n = 11), and personality disorders (13 studies). Twelve studies (4.6%) investigated self-stigma in schizophrenia and BD (n = 6), schizophrenia and MDD (n = 1), mood disorders (n = 2), schizophrenia and AD (n = 1), AD and MDD (n = 1), and BPD and social phobia (n = 1). Twentyfour studies (8.1%) did not specify the different forms of SMI. Most studies included young (18-34 years old; 50 studies [18.4%]) or middle-aged participants (35-50 years old; 185 studies [68%]). See supplementary table 6 for the characteristics of studies that included people less than 18 years old. One hundred and ninety-two studies (70.6%)used the Internalized Stigma of Mental Illness²⁹ scale to measure internalized stigma and 33 studies (12.1%) the Self-Stigma of Mental Illness Scale³² (SSMI). Forty-seven studies (17.3%) measured self-stigma with other scales. Most of the instruments used to measure self-stigma in non-Western countries were adaptations of scales designed in Europe or North America (n = 74; 80%). The quality ratings of the included studies obtained using SAQOR²⁷ ranged from high to moderate (respectively, 57.7% and 42.3%). The results are shown in table 1.

Frequency of Self-stigma

Eighty articles (29.4%) reported data on self-stigma extent in SMI, or on the proportion of individuals with



Fig. 1. Review process (Prisma flow diagram)

moderate to high self-stigma, or on both outcomes. Thirty-three studies were conducted in Europe, 20 studies in North America, 17 in South-East Asia, 9 in Africa, 12 in Middle East, 6 in South Asia, 1 in South America, 1 in Australia, and 1 in Austria and Japan. Nine studies compared the frequency of self-stigma in different countries,^{9,10,30,33,34} cities,³⁵ or settings.^{13,36,37} The samples were mostly composed of individuals with schizophrenia (31 studies; 43.4%) or SMI (33 studies; 40.5%). The results are shown in table 2. Forty-seven articles reported on the proportion of moderate to high self-stigma (ISMI >2.5 or above the midpoint on other scales) in a total of 15 871 participants (7500 SMI, 5518 schizophrenia, 1582 BD, 1188 MDD, 64 BPD, 19 AD). Eighty-five articles documented self-stigma extent (ISMI mean total score and standard deviation) in 25 458 participants (11 028 with SMI, 9661 with schizophrenia, 2083 with BD, 2154 with MDD, 377 with AD, 155 with BPD).

About one-third of people with SMI (31.3%) reported elevated self-stigma. The highest frequency was found in

schizophrenia (35.8%). Higher frequency was found in South-East Asia and the Middle East for SMI, and in North America, Europe, and Africa for schizophrenia. Significant between-group differences in mean self-stigma scores were found between Europe and South Asia or South-East Asia for participants with SMI (P < 0.001; weighted mean difference = 0.150 and 0.140), schizophrenia (P < 0.001; 0.159 and 0.143), bipolar disorder (*P* < 0.001; 0.253 and 0.35), and MDD (*P* < 0.001; 0.148) and 0.07). Compared with Europe, self-stigma was higher in the Middle East and Africa for SMI (P < 0.001; 0.322 and 0.140) and in the Middle East and South America for schizophrenia (P < 0.001; 0.401 and 0.08). Box plots on the differences by geographical area are provided on table 3. Self-stigma did not differ between South-East Asia and Africa for SMI, South Asia and South-East Asia for schizophrenia, and North America and the Middle East for bipolar disorder. The results are shown in supplementary table S4. There were significant countryrelated differences in the proportion of people with SMI

Table 1.	Research	Characteristics	of the	272	Studies	Included in	n
the Revie	ew						

remitted BD; 26% USA,⁴² in non-adherent BD), MDD, obsessive-compulsive disorder and anxiety disorders.

Characteristic	All studies $(n = 272)$ %
Publication date	
Pre 2011	28 (10.3%)
2011 or later	244 (89.7%)
Study design	,
Cross-sectional	231 (84.9%)
Longitudinal	41 (15.1%)
Region of study	
North America	76 (27.9%)
Europa	95 (34.9%)
South Asia	10 (3.7%)
South East Asia	44 (16.2%)
Middle East	24 (8.8%)
South America	4 (1.5%)
Africa	13 (4.8%)
Australia	4 (1.5%)
Others	2 (0.7%)
Study sites	
Single site	270 (99.3%)
Multiple countries/sites	2 (0.7%)
Diagnosis	
Severe mental illness	115 (42.3%)
Bipolar disorder	14 (5.1%)
Obsessive-compulsive disorder	1 (0.4%)
Major depressive disorder	13 (4.8%)
Anxiety disorder	2 (0.7%)
Schizophrenia	114 (41.9%)
At risk stages/first episode psychosis	13 (4.8%)
Mean age	
<18 years old	3 (1.1%)
18–34 years old	50 (18.4%)
35–50 years old	185 (68%)
>50 years old	13 (4.8%)
Mixed	21 (7.7%)
% articles including people <18	
Yes	24 (8.8%)
No	248 (91.2%)
Internalized stigma measures	
Internalized Stigma of Mental Illness (ISMI)	192 (70.6%)
Self-Stigma of Mental Illness Scale (SSMIS)	33 (12.1%)
Others	47 (17.3%)
Patient status	
Inpatient	28 (10.3%)
Outpatient	211 (77.6%)
Mixed	32 (11.8%)
Not reported	1 (0.3%)
Psychiatric rehabilitation	
Yes	47 (17.3%)
No	225 (82.7%)
Quality rating	
High	157 (57.7%)
Moderate	115 (42 3%)

who reported high self-stigma in Europe (from 15.2% in Sweden⁹ to 57% in Croatia³³), North America (from 9% in Canada³⁷ to 37% in USA³⁸) and in South-East Asia (from 8.1% in South Korea³⁹ to 50% in Taiwan⁴⁰). Setting-related differences were also found.^{13,35} Similar variations were found for schizophrenia, BD (18.5% Turkey,⁴¹ in

Cross-sectional Correlates of Self-stigma

Two hundred and forty-one studies (88.6%) reported on cross-sectional internalized stigma correlates. The most common diagnosis was schizophrenia (n = 106; 44%), followed by BD (n = 11; 4.6%) and at-risk states or early psychosis (n = 9; 3.7%). Ninety studies (37.3%) concerned SMI. The results are shown in table 4. See supplementary table 3 for the detailed list of correlates/consequences.

Few sociodemographic characteristics correlated significantly with self-stigma. Immigrant status, history of incarceration or homelessness,^{36,43} parenting status (mothers > fathers⁴⁴), shame proneness, and avoidant or self-defeating personality traits (n = 6 studies) were associated with higher self-stigma. The results were contrasted for all other sociodemographic variables (age, gender, education level, employment, marital status, income, and source of income). Other personal characteristics (attachment style, self-compassion) were not associated with self-stigma.^{45,46} Residual psychiatric symptomatology, positive and negative symptoms for schizophrenia, and depressive symptoms for BD were associated with higher self-stigma in most studies (84.6%) significance; n = 65). Social anxiety (n = 3) and distress from sub-threshold psychotic symptoms⁴⁷ were positively correlated with self-stigma. Self-stigma was equally severe in participants with ultra-high risk and established psychosis.48 Internalized shame about mental illness and fear accuracy in an emotion recognition task were negatively associated in people at risk of psychosis.⁴⁹ Stigma stress, identified as a predictor of self-stigma in several studies (n = 4), was positively associated with transition to psychosis.⁵⁰ Comorbid post-traumatic stress disorder (n = 2)and an increased number of drug side effects (n = 4) were positively associated with self-stigma.

Public stigma⁵¹ and other dimensions of stigma were associated with self-stigma (perceived stigma, n = 18studies; perceived stigma from mental health providers,⁵² n = 1; experienced stigma, n = 9; anticipated stigma, n = 3). Cultural factors such as attributing mental illness to supernatural causes,^{53–55} a history of traditional treatment,^{53,55} and loss of face in Eastern countries^{35,56} were associated with self-stigma. Concerns about losing face (or the fear of losing face because of being diagnosed with SMI) mediated the relationship between perceived stigma and self-stigma.⁵⁶ Stigma stress (n = 4) and negative emotional reactions to involuntary psychiatric admission^{57,58} were significant correlates of self-stigma, in contrast with compulsory community treatment,³⁷ forensic status,³⁶ and the number of involuntary admissions.^{57–59} In-group value (ie, how people with SMI see their own group,⁶⁰ social networks and support (n = 11; n = 21), membership of an advocacy group, and family support protected against

	Area	Study	Country	Ν	Mean IS total score	SD	и	High IS (%)
Europe	SMI	Evans-Lacko ⁵¹	Multi-site	1835	2.2	0.5		·
		Grambal	Czech Kepublic	184	2.19	C.U	ı	
		Rusch ⁵⁸	Switzerland	186	1.9	0.6	ı	
		Xu ^{s7}	Switzerland	141	1.91	0.61	ı	ı
		Kamaradova ¹⁷⁰	Czech Republic	332	2.10	0.5	ı	
		Bradstreet ⁴⁵	UK	272	2.2	0.49	I	
		Krajewski ³³	Multi-site	786	2.29 (1.96–2.71)	0.5	786	33% (15.2% 57.4%)
		Dubreucq ⁹³	France	738	2.20	0.51	738	31.2%
		Oexle ⁹⁶	Switzerland	222	2.10	0.55	ı	
		Rusch ¹⁰⁷	Switzerland	116	2.15	0.54	ı	
		Szczesniak ¹⁷¹	Poland	114	2.23	0.5	ı	
	Weighted total			4926	2.18	0.26	1524	32%
	Schizophrenia	Brohan ⁹	Multi-site	1129	2.40 (2.0–2.97)	0.56	1129	41.7%
		Vidovic ¹²⁶	Croatia	149	2.13	0.93	149	22.8%
		Sibitz ¹⁷²	Austria	157	2.09	0.67	ı	
		Galderisi ¹⁷³	Italia	921	2.1	0.5	ı	
		Rossi ¹⁷⁴	Italia	910	2.2	0.44	ı	
		Aukst-Margetic ⁸¹	Croatia	117	2.13	0.44	I	
		Hofer ³⁰	Austria	52	2.01	0.51	ı	
		Bouvet ¹⁷⁵	France	62	2.23	0.46	ı	
		Vrbova ¹⁷⁶	Czech Republic	197	2.18	0.46	ı	ı
		Surmann ¹⁷⁷	Germany	80	2.12	0.47	ı	·
		Dubreucq ⁹³	France	466	2.18	0.51	466	29.8%
		Holubova ¹⁷⁸	Czech Republic	103	2.20	0.47	ı	ı
		Szczesniak ¹⁷¹	Poland	51	2.19	0.5	ı	ı
		Uhlmann ¹⁷⁹	Germany	23	2.0	0.5	ı	
		Vrbova ¹⁷⁶	Czech Republic	197	2.18	0.47	ı	
		Switaj ⁸³	Poland	110	2.39	0.53	I	ı

Table 2. Frequency of Internalized Stigma

Continued	
Table 2.	

	Area	Study	Country	Ν	Mean IS total score	SD	и	High IS (%)
	Weighted total			4724	2.21	0.27	1744	36.9%
	Bipolar disorders/MDD	Brohan ¹⁰	Multi-site	577	1.94 BD 1.97	0.87	1182	21.7%
				603	2.11 MDD			
	Bipolar disorders	Post ¹⁸⁰	Austria	60	1.9 BD	0.57	,	
		Dubreucq ⁹³	France	117	2.2	0.53	117	29.9%
		Quenneville ¹⁸¹	Switzerland	69	2.27	0.5		ı
		Szczesniak ¹⁷¹	Poland	19	2.39	0.5		ı
	MDD	Lanfredi ¹⁸²	Multi-site	516	2.2	0.5		·
		Dubreucq ⁹³	France	27	2.29	0.56	27	40.7%
		Holubova ¹⁷⁸	Czech Republic	80	1.96	0.42		ı
		Prasko ⁹⁵	Czech Republic	72	2.36	0.49		ı
		Szczesniak ¹⁷¹	Poland	42	2.46	0.6		ı
	Weighted total	BD		842	2.01	09.0	1326	22.7%
		DDD		1340	2.16	0.47		
	Anxiety disorders	Ociskova ¹⁸³	Czech Republic	109	2.24	0.49		
		Grambal ¹⁶⁹	Czech Republic	37	1.98	0.54		
		Dubreucq ⁹³	France	19	2.35	0.56	19	42.1%
	OCD	Moritz ¹⁸⁴	Germany	50	1.99	0.48		
	Weighted total			215	2.14		19	42.1%
	BPD	Grambal ¹⁶⁹	Czech Republic	35	2.45	0.50		
		Dubreucq ⁹³	France	64	2.36	0.47	64	43.8%
		Quenneville ¹⁸¹	Switzerland	39	2.56	0.66	ı	ı
	Personality disorder	Kamaradova ¹⁷⁰	Czech Republic	17	2.37	0.58		
	Weighted total			155	2.43		64	43.8%
North America	IWS	Livingston ³⁶ Livingston ³⁷	Canada Canada	91 71	2.13 2.10	0.38 0.35	91 71	10% 9%
		Livingston - West ¹³	USA USA	44 144	1.72	0.49 0.57	144 144	36.1% (31% - 41%)
		Ritscher-Boyd ¹¹² Ritscher-Bovd ¹⁸⁵	USA USA	- 149	- 2.19	-0.52	82 149	28% 24.8%
		Drapalski ¹⁸⁶	USA	100	2.3	0.4	100	35%
		Harris ³⁸ Chronister ¹⁸⁷	USA USA	235 101	2.31 2.2	0.47 0.45	235 101	37% 25%

	Area	Study	Country	N	Mean IS total score	SD	и	High IS (%)
		Kira ¹⁸⁸	USA	330	2.16	0.72	330	40.1%
		Tomar ¹⁸⁹	USA	108	2.31	0.5	108	40.7%
		Pearl ¹⁰⁵	USA	319	2.11	0.53	ı	ı
		Jahn ¹⁹⁰	USA	516	2.25	0.49	,	ı
		Villotti ¹⁹¹	USA	170	1.95	0.47		
	Weighted total			2428	2.16		1505	31.4%
	Schizophrenia	Firmin ¹⁹²	USA	ı	-	ı	111	36.5% (25%-45%)
	4	O'Connor ¹³³	USA	ı		ı	353	50%
		Link ¹⁹³	USA		I		65	26.2%
		Lysaker ¹⁰¹	USA	70	2.36	0.54		
	Weighted total			70	2.36	0.29	529	44.2%
	Bipolar disorders	Howland ⁴²	USA	115	2.22	0.48	115	26%
	Weighted total	Dassifilia	VCD	211 777	1.94 2 08	0.27		
South America	Schizophrenia	Caqueo-Uritzar ³⁴	Multi-site	253	2.3	0.54	253	38.6% (28.6%-48.7%)
	Weighted total			253	2.3	0.29		
Australia	Schizophrenia	Hill ¹⁹⁵	Australia	09	2.56	0.49	ı	·
-	Weighted total	2	;	09	2.56	0.24		
South Asia	SMI	Grover ¹⁹⁶	India	1,403	2.29	0.47	1403	29.4%
		Maharjan	Nepal	- 1	-		180	0%75
	Weighted total	; (; ,	1403	2.29	0.22	1283	28.6%
	Schizophrenia	Grover	India	707	2.37	0.51	707	37.9%
		Singh ¹⁹⁸	India	100	2.3	0.40	100	29%
		Pal^{199}	India	32	2.74	0.40	ı	I
	Weighted total	I		839	2.37	0.24	807	36.8%
	Bipolar disorders	Grover ¹⁹⁶	India	344	2.23	0.38	344	20.6%
		Pal^{199}	India	59	2.25	0.38		I
		Grover ²⁰⁰	India	185	2.33	0.43	185	28%
	Weighted total	I		588	2.26	0.15	529	23.2%
	MDD	Grover ¹⁹⁶	India	352	2.19	0.45	352	21%
		Sahoo ²⁰¹	India	107	2.39	0.57	107	40.1%
	Weighted total	I		459	2.23	0.23	459	25.5%
	Anxiety disorders	\mathbf{Pal}^{199}	India	30	1.97	0.37		
South-East Asia	SMI	Picco ²⁰²	Singapore	280	2.37	0.54	280	43.6%
		Ho^{97}	China	136	2.3	0.6	136	36.8%
		Young ³⁵	China	474	2.42 (2.34–2.50)	0.52	474	43.5% (38.3%-49.5%)
		Kim^{39}	South Korea	160	2.06	0.36	160	8.1%
		Kao^{40}	Taiwan	251	2.26	0.51	251	50%
	Weighted total			1301	2.32	0.26	1301	39.7%
	Schizophrenia	Lv^{138}	China	95	2.17	0.38	95	20%
		Hsia0 ⁶¹	Taiwan	111	2.33	0.53	111	27%
		Lien ⁷⁶	Taiwan	170	2.36	0.52	170	39.4%
		Kao^{40}	Taiwan	151	2.42	0.44	151	51%
		Lj^{139}	China	384	2.30	0.39	·	
		Hofer ³⁰	Japan	60	2.16	0.42	·	
		Ran ¹²⁷	China	232	2.46	0.28	,	

Table 2. Continued

ontinued
Ŭ
H
Table

	Area	Study	Country	N	Mean IS total score	SD	и	High IS (%)
		Mak ⁶⁷	China	162	2.34	0.61		
		Shin ⁸⁸	South Korea	70	2.11	0.53	ı	
		Pribadi ²⁰³	Indonesia	300	2.5	0.94	300	34%
		Picco ²⁰²	Singapore	74	2.41	0.52		
		Kim^{204}	South Korea	123	2.15	0.50	I	
		Lu^{205}	China	92	2.11	0.45	ı	ı
		$Park^{206}$	South Korea	321	2.51	0.65	I	ı
	Weighted total			2345	2.36	0.32	827	32.4%
	Bipolar disorder	Ran ¹²⁷	China	39	2.36	0.30		
	Weighted total				2.36	0.09		
	MDD	$Picco^{202}$	Singapore	74	2.44	0.55	ı	
		Woon ²⁰⁷	Malaysia	66	2.0	0.6	66	23.2%
		Ran ¹²⁷	China	182	2.43	0.28	ı	
	Weighed total			355	2.31	0.20	66	23.2%
	Anxiety Disorders	$Picco^{202}$	Singapore	71	2.23	0.56		
	OCD	Picco ²⁰²	Singapore	61	2.41	0.49	,	
	Weighted total			132	2.31			
Middle East	SMI	Ghanean ²⁰⁸	Iran	•		,	138	39%
		Tanriverdi ²⁰⁹	Turkey	217	2.59	0.33	ı	
		Hasson-Ohayon ²¹⁰	Israel	107	2.28	0.49		
		Korkmaz ²¹¹	Turkey	224	2.53	0.48		
	Weighted total			548	2.50	0.18		
	Schizophrenia	Sarisoy ⁴¹	Turkey	109	2.17	0.51	109	29.4%
	4	Çapar ²¹²	Turkey	250	2.76	0.37	ı	I
		Ólçun ²¹³	Turkey	76	2.51	0.55	ı	
		Yilmaz ²¹⁴	Turkey	63	2.63	0.49	ı	
		Yildirim ⁹⁴	Turkey	200	2.74	0.46	,	
		Tanriverdi ²⁰⁹	Turkey	46	2.54	0.25		
	Weighted total			744	2.61	0.32	109	29.4%
	Bipolar disorders	Sarisoy ⁴¹	Turkey	118	2.10	0.46	118	18.5%
	4	Cerit ²¹⁵	Turkey	80	2.12	0.39	I	·
		Sadighi ²¹⁶	Iran	126	1.90	0.87	126	27.6%
		Tanriverdi ²⁰⁹	Turkey	63	2.52	0.32	ı	
	Weighted total		2	387	2.10	0.35	244	19.2%
Africa	SMI	Adewuya ²¹⁷	Nigeria	ı			340	21.6%
		Girma ^{sa}	Ethiopia	422	2.32	0.30	422	25.1%
		Ibrahim ⁵⁵	Nigeria	ı		ı	370	22.5%
		Asrat ²¹⁸	Ethionia	ı	1	ı	317	32.1%
	Weighted total		mdomm	422	2 32	0.09	1449	25 1%
	Cohizonhanio	M 060 125	Nicorio	256	1.04	0.0	756	10 20/
	ocilizopiitellia	Endinga Ending219	Nigeria	012	1.74 2.00	0.00	370	16.0/0
		A confection	Tripcila	0/0	2.03	0.4.0	0/0	10.270
		ASSEId	Eunopia	ı	1	·	717	40.1%
		BIIIU	Ethiopia	I (1 0	411	48.6%
	Weighted total			626	2.03	0.29	1249	32.6%
Note: MDD, Majc	or Depressive Disorder; OCD	, Obsessive Compulsive I	Disorder ; BPD , Bord	erline Perso	nality Disorder; SMI, Seve	re Mental	Illness. Mear	n Internalized Stigma
refers to ISMI mea	an total score. High Internaliz	ted Stigma refers to the p	roportion of patient	s with ISMI	> 2.5 or above the midpoin	nt on othe	r scales Bold	faces represents the
					·			

J. Dubreucq et al

Table 3. Between-groups Differences by Geographical Area

Serious Mental Illness



Table 3. Continued



Means and percentages were weighted for the number of cases per study to obtain prevalence data. Derived weighted means by geographical area and pooled standard deviations were calculated. One-way ANOVA was conducted from these summary data and Post hoc pairwise test comparisons were computed with Tukey–Kramer method. Plots shows the ISMI mean score for each studies and each area with 95% confidence interval.

self-stigma.^{61,62} Family expressed emotion and associative stigma in mental health professionals were associated with higher self-stigma.^{63,64} Self-stigma mediated the effects of family expressed emotion and in-group value on psychosocial function and personal recovery.^{64,65}

Insight into illness (n = 32 studies), parental insight,⁶⁶ self-perception of clinical severity (n = 3), perceived cognitive dysfunction (n = 4), and attributions of personal responsibility⁶⁷ were associated with higher self-stigma. Impairments in cognitive and metacognitive function (n = 5; n = 7), dysfunctional attitudes⁶⁸ and avoidant coping strategies (n = 8) were associated with higher self-stigma. Conversely preserved cognitive abilities,⁶⁹⁻⁷¹ empowerment, self-efficacy, self-agency, and stigma resistance protected against self-stigma.^{9,10,62,72} Mixed results were found for other illness-related correlates (age of onset, psychiatric diagnosis, illness duration, history of suicide attempts, inpatient status, past psychiatric admission, and number of hospitalizations).

In general, self-stigma was positively associated with depressive symptoms (n = 41) and suicidal ideation (n = 14) and negatively correlated with hope (n = 19), help seeking (n = 5), and treatment adherence (n = 15). Single studies found negative associations with therapeutic alliance⁷³ and shared decision making.⁷⁴ Insight into illness,^{70,75–80} avoidant personality traits,⁸¹ and coping strategies,⁸² loneliness,⁸³ and resilience⁸⁴ moderated the relationship with depression and self-esteem mediated the effects of self-stigma on hope.⁸⁵ Self-stigma mediated the effects of perceived cognitive dysfunction and experienced stigma on suicidality^{86,87} and QoL.⁸⁸ Self-stigma was negatively associated with QoL (n = 41), self-esteem (n = 44), self-efficacy (n = 13), well-being (n = 9), life satisfaction (n = 8), empowerment (n = 11), resilience (n = 7), stigma resistance (n = 11), and personal recovery (n = 22). Self-stigma positively correlated with the "why try effect"⁸⁹ and later stages of self-stigma with a higher impact on hope, self-esteem, psychosocial function, and personal recovery.^{89,90} Participants in the late stages of self-stigma reported more reasons for not disclosing their psychiatric diagnosis, in contrast with those in the early stages who reported greater benefits from being "out".⁹¹

Self-stigma was negatively associated with global functioning (n = 27). Higher demoralization and decreased resilience mediated the relationship between self-stigma and psychosocial function.^{75,92} Self-stigma was negatively associated with relational satisfaction.^{41,93} Self-stigma positively correlated with sense of loneliness,^{83,94} fear of intimate relationships,⁴¹ and self-stigma on parenting abilities for mothers living without their children.⁴⁴

Self-stigma and Longitudinal Outcomes

Forty-one studies reported longitudinal outcomes associated with internalized stigma. These studies mainly included participants with SMI (n = 15; 36.6%), schizophrenia (n = 16; 39%) or at-risk stages/early psychosis (n = 5; 12.2%). Twelve studies included young participants (<18, n = 1; 18–35, n = 11) and three individuals over 50 years old (10.7%). Fifteen were conducted in psychiatric rehabilitation settings (36.5%). The duration of follow-up ranged from 6 weeks⁹⁵ to 2 years.⁹⁶ The results are shown in table 5.

Fifteen studies reported on the baseline factors influencing the level of self-stigma at follow-up. Residual psychiatric symptoms,^{97–100} negative emotional reactions to involuntary hospitalization,57,99 and emotional distress^{98,101} were the most significant baseline factors associated with self-stigma at follow-up. Duration of untreated psychosis⁹⁷ and baseline coping strategies¹⁰² were associated with higher self-stigma in single studies. Mixed results were found for self-stigma stability over time with no specific intervention.^{86,102-104} Attending psychiatric rehabilitation was associated with significant reductions in self-stigma extent (from a mean total ISMI score of 2.31 on admission to 1.96 at discharge;³⁸ 2.36–2.20;¹⁰¹ 2.11–1.96;¹⁰⁵ 2.1–2.04;¹⁰⁶ 1.80–1.50 in participants having worked in the past year without being discriminated against¹⁰⁷) and in the proportion of participants with high self-stigma (ISMI > 2.5 from 37% to 13.7%;³⁸ reduction in ISMI levels at follow up > 25% in 38% of the participants with mean self-stigma > 2^{103}). Not receiving disability benefits during psychiatric rehabilitation was associated with a greater reduction in self-stigma.³⁸ Attending consumer-operated service programs was associated with self-stigma reduction.¹⁰⁸

Twenty-eight studies reported on the longitudinal consequences of self-stigma. Self-stigma at baseline was associated with increased positive symptoms,¹⁰⁹ emotional discomfort,¹⁰⁰ social anxiety,¹¹⁰ depression,^{111,112} suicidal ideation,^{96,113} and an increased risk of psychiatric hospitalization¹¹⁴ at follow-up. Participants with high selfstigma reported reduced self-esteem,¹¹² decreased life satisfaction,¹¹⁵ lower personal recovery,¹¹⁶ less use of adaptive coping strategies,¹⁰² and lower treatment adherence¹¹⁷ at follow-up. Baseline self-stigma was associated with poorer social and vocational functioning^{109,118} at follow-up, and less benefits from vocational rehabilitation.¹¹⁹ A change in self-stigma during follow-up predicted depression. Increases in self-stigma were associated with more depressive symptoms¹⁰⁹ and higher suicidality.^{99,120} Decreases in self-stigma were associated with less depression.^{104,105} Increased self-stigma was associated with more negative attitudes towards psychiatric medication,^{120,121} poorer social function,¹²² reduced self-esteem,⁹⁹ and lower personal recovery.¹¹⁶ Reduced self-stigma was associated with decreased subjective clinical severity,¹⁰⁵ higher self-esteem,¹⁰¹ and improved global functioning.¹⁰⁵ Baseline self-stigma was not associated with QoL at follow-up.³⁷ Decreased self-stigma during follow-up was,

		A		в		۲)		D		Ш
	$Nu \\ of s \\ (m = m)$	mber tudies = 272)	N significant significant $(P > (P > (P > (P > (P > (P > (P > (P$	on- ficant onship 0.05)	Signi relatio $(P < (P < P))$	ficant onship 0.05)	Posi latic $(P < (P $	tive re- onship < 0.05)	Nega latic (P <	tive re- onship : 0.05)
Variables	и	%	и	%	и	%	и	%	и	%
Sociodemographic	0		0		-	6 	,			
Cender (1)	79	22.8	00	102	17	19.3 77 0	ω -	0.02	ז ע	0.67
Age Education	00 09	0.07 1.00	4 7 7	1.27	ر ا ک	38.3	101	00.2 43	13	0.0C
Employment(2)	37	13.6	16	43.2	21	56.8	20	9.5	19	90.5
Marital status(3)	40	14.7	28	70	12	30	ŝ	25.0	6	75.0
Income Source of income (4)	11	5.9 4 0	<u>n</u> v	31.2	11	68.8 45.5	0 "	0 09	1	100
Jourte of incours (7) Immigrant status		0.4	00	0	о —	100	о –	100	10	<u>}</u> 0
History of incarceration/homelessness	0	0.7	0	0	7	100	0	100	0	0
Experience of victimization	00	0.7	0,	0	61	100_{10}	0,	100	0	0
Parenting status (5)	C1 V	0.7		05 0	1 7	50	I V	100	0 0	0 0
Internanzing personanty traits Self-compassion/mindfulness	0 (7.7	0 -	05	0	20	0 0	001	o -	100
Locality (urban/rural) (6)		2.6	4	57.1	ŝ	42.9	1	33.3	- 0	66.7
Illness-related										
Severity of psychiatric symptoms	65	23	10	15.4	55	84.6	55	100	0 0	0 0
ப்போல் பிலை பிலை பில் குறையில் குறையில் குறையில் குறையில் குறையில் குறையில் குறையில் குறையில் குறையில் குறையில கிறை கிறையில் குறையில் குறையில	76	0./	0 0	0 23 0	1	0 L C		001	0 ٢	100
Illness duration	32	11.8	22	68.7	10	31.3		70.0	- m	30.0
Stage of illness	- 3	0.4		100	0	0		ı		·
Diagnosis(7)	38	14.0 11 °	20	52.6	18 2	47.4	۲A VA	- 1001	Υ Δ	
unsigut Parental insight	7 ^C	0.4		00	70 1	100	7c 1	100	00	00
Subjective clinical severity	б	1.1	0	0	б	100	б	100	0	0
Perceived cognitive dysfunction	4	1.5	0 0	0	4	100	4,	100	0,	0
Hospitalizations Treatment setting (8)	38 9	14.0 2 2	57	60.0 05	<u>را</u> د	50.5	1 -	93.3 33.3	- (0.7 66.7
Involuntary hospitalizations (IH)	с С	1.1	ר נ ו	33.3	0 0	66.7	- 0	100	10	0.00
Negative emotional reactions to IH	0	0.7	0	0	10	100	10	100	0	0
Forensic patient status	б	1.1	0	66.7	1	33.3	1	100	0	0
Compulsory community treatment	1	0.4 4.0		100	0 4	0 0	, 4	- 100		- 1
ristory or surgue auctupt Social anxiety	0 (1	7.7	- 0	0./	о с	001 001	о (r	100		0
Comorbid post-traumatic stress disorder	0	0.7	0	0	0	100	0	100	0	0
Comorbid personality disorder	7	0.7	0	0	2	100	2	100	0	0
Cormorbid substance use disorder	ς, ω	1.1		33.3	07	66.7	07	100	00	00
Drug ekura-pyrannuan suce-enecus Coonitive functioning	t v	1.1 C C	> −	u 16.7	tν	100 83 3	+ ⊂	0	ט ע	100
Social cognition	94	1.5		25	n m	75	00	0	s m	100

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/schizophreniabulletin/advance-article/doi/10.1093/schbul/sbaa181/6103776 by guest on 24 January 2021

Number significant significant significant (i = 222)Non- significant (i = 222)Non- significant (i = 222)Non- significant (i > 0, > 0,05)Non- significant (i > 0,05)Non-Mater Social terr Social			Α	В							Ш
Writhles n k_{c}		Nu of side $(n = n)$	mber tudies : 272)	No signifi relation (P > 0)	n- cant nship 0.05)	Signif relatio (P < 0	icant nship 0.05)	Positi latior (P < 0	ve re- 1ship 0.05)	Nega latic $(P < (P < (P > (P > (P > (P > (P > (P > $	ttive re- onship c 0.05)
Metacognitive abilities 7 2.6 0 7 100 0 Dysfunctional attitudes Use of negative constrateds 8 2.9 0 6 100 8 Use of negative constrateds Taritomanner elated 8 2.9 0 6 100 8 100 8 Country level of public stigma 56 0 0 7 100 0 8 100 8 100 8 100 8 100 8 100 8 100 8 100 8 100 8 100 1 100 1 100 1 100 1 100 1 100 1 100 1 100 1 100 1 <th>Variables</th> <th>и</th> <th>%</th> <th>и</th> <th>%</th> <th>и</th> <th>%</th> <th>и</th> <th>%</th> <th>и</th> <th>%</th>	Variables	и	%	и	%	и	%	и	%	и	%
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Metacognitive abilities	7	2.6	0	0	2	100	0	0	2	100
	Dysfunctional attitudes	1	0.4	0	0		100	-	100	0	0
	Use of negative coping strategies	8	2.9	0	0	8	100	8	100	0	0
	Environment-related										
Precised signation Image of the second signation Image of the	Country level of public stigma	9	2.2	0	0	9	100	9	100	0	0
Self-labeling Signa stress Group value Histors Graup value Group Value Value Group Value Group Value Group Value Group Value Value Group Value	Perceived stigma	18	9.9	0	0	18	100	18	100	0	0
Nigma stressSigma stressSigma stressSigma stressSocial nupport2004Precived legitimes/Precived legitimes/20021002Precived legitimes/Sense of belogingExperimed actial support20021002Prevised actial support21 8.4 0011002Prevised actial support21 8.4 0011001Experimed actial support21 8.4 0011002Prevised and anticipated stigmaExperimed anticipated stigma10.4011001Experimed anticipated stigma110.40110011Experimed anticipated stigma110.40110011Experimed anticipated stigma110.40110011Experimed anticipated stigma110.40110011Experimed anticipated stigma110.40011001Experimed anticipated stigma110.40011001Experimed anticipated stigma110.40011001Experimentation110.400110011Experimentation121200011001	Self-labelling	ω.	1.1	0	0	ς, .	100	ς, .	100	0	0
	Stigma stress	4 (1.5	0	0	4 (100	4	100	0	0
	Group value	m (I.I	0 0	0 0	m (100	00	0	m d	100
	Perceived legitimacy	7	0.7	0 0	0 0	7 :	100	7	00	0	0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Social network	= c	4.0 7	0 0	0 0	= '	100	0 0	0 0	Ξ,	100
$ \begin{array}{ccccc} \mbox{recover available} \\ \mbox{Functions} \\ \mbox{Family sense of coherence} \\ \mbox{Family sense of name in MHP} \\ \mbox{Family sense of name in MHP} \\ \mbox{Fasociative stigma in MHP} \\ \mbox{Fasociational treatment} \\ \mbox{Fasocial} \\ \m$	Demonstrate of Demonstrate	7 6	0.7			[→] 7	100			4 c	1001
Family sense of coherence10.4001Experienced and anticipated stigmaExperienced and anticipated stigmaAssociative stigma in MHPCultural factorsAttributing mental illues to supernatural causesHistory of traditional treatmentLoss of face in Eastern countriesSpelencedPsychosocialPsychosocialSef-efficacyElf-efficacyElf-efficacyElf-efficacyElf-esteenHopeHopeHopeSef-efficacySeff-esteenHopeHopeSeff-esteenSeff-esteenHopeSeff-esteenSeff-esteenHopeSeff-esteenSeff-esteenHopeSeff-esteenSeff-esteenSeff-esteenSeff-esteenHopeSeff-esteenSeff-esteenSeff-esteenSeff-esteenHopeSeff-esteenSeff-esteenSeff-esteenSeff-esteenSeff-esteenSeff-esteenSeff-esteenSeff-esteenSeff-esteenSeff-esteenSeff-esteenSeff-esteenSeff-esteenSeff-esteen	retectived social support	17	0.4			17	100	<i>د</i> د	100	17 0	<u> </u>
Experienced and anticipated stigma13 4.8 0 0 13 100 13 Expressed emotion in familiesExpressed emotion in familiesAssociative stigma in MHPCultural factorsAstoria treatmentLoss of traditional treatmentSeff-efficacySeff-efficacySeff-efficacySeff-esteraHopeHopeDepressionNetherSeff-esteraSeff-esteraHopeSeff-esteraHopeSeff-esteraHopeSeff-esteraSeff-esteraHopeSeff-esteraSeff-esteraSeff-esteraSeff-esteraSeff-esteraSeff-esteraSeff-esteraSeff-esteraSeff-esteraSeff-esteraSeff-esteraSeff-esteraSeff-esteraSeff-esteraSeff-esteraSeff-estera <td>Family sense of coherence</td> <td>1</td> <td>0.4</td> <td>0 0</td> <td>0 0</td> <td>1 1</td> <td>100</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>100</td>	Family sense of coherence	1	0.4	0 0	0 0	1 1	100		0		100
	Experienced and anticipated stigma	13.	4.8		0	- 1	100	, (100	- 0	0
Associative stigma in MHP1 0.4 0 0.1 100 1 Katroitative stigma in MHPCultural factors3 1.1 0 0 1 100 1 Katroitative stigma mental filness to supernatural causes3 1.1 0 0 3 100 2 PsychosocialLoss of face in Eastern countries2 0.7 0 0 2 100 2 PsychosocialEastern countries2 0.7 0 0 2 100 2 Self-efficacy11 4.0 0 0 11 100 0 11 Self-estern11 4.0 0 0 11 100 0 Self-estern 11 4.0 0 0 11 100 0 Self-estern 11 4.0 0 0 11 100 0 Self-estern 11 16.7 14 15.1 0 0 11 Self-estern 11 16.7 0 0 11 100 0 Self-esternSelf-estern 11 0.0 11 100 0 0 Self-esternSelf-estern 11 0.0 0 11 <td>Expressed emotion in families</td> <td>5 –</td> <td>0.4</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td><u>-</u></td> <td>100</td> <td><u>-</u></td> <td>100</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Expressed emotion in families	5 –	0.4			<u>-</u>	100	<u>-</u>	100		
Cultural factorsCultural factors31.10031003Attributing mental illness to supernatural causes 3 1.1 00 3 100 3 Attributing mental illness to supernatural causes 2 0.7 00 2 100 2 Loss of traditional treatment $Loss of traditional treatment20.70021002PsychosocialSelf-efficacy114.0001114000111000Self-efficacy114.00011100014Empowerment114.00011100014Depression12516.71493.314Hope10.4001110000Subjective social status10.400111000Psychosocial function10.400111000Psychosocial function10.400111000Psychosocial function10.400110.000Psychosocial function10.40010000Psychosocial function10.40$	Associative stigma in MHP		0.4	0	0		100		100	0 0	0 0
Attributing mental illness to supernatural causes31.10031003History of traditional treatmentLoss of face in Eastern countries2 0.7 0021002PsychosocialSelf-efficacy13 4.8 001110002Self-efficacyEmpowerment11 4.0 001110002Self-efficacyEmpowerment11 4.0 001110000Self-efficacy11 4.0 001110000Self-efficacy11 4.0 001110000Self-esteen19 7.0 004410000HopeExponented15 5.5 1 6.7 1493.314Self-esteen15 5.5 001510000Self-esteen15 5.5 000111000Subjective social status15 5.5 001510000Subjective social status2 0.7 00 0 110000Subjective social status2 0.7 00 0 1100000000000000000000000<	Cultural factors										
History of traditional treatment 2 0.7 0 0 2 100 2 Loss of face in Eastern countriesLoss of face in Eastern countries 2 0.7 0 0 2 100 2 PychosocialSelf-efficacy 11 4.0 0 0 11 100 0 Self-esternEmpowerment 11 4.0 0 0 11 100 0 Self-esternHope 11 4.0 0 0 11 100 0 Self-esternHope 11 5.1 0 0 11 100 0 Self-esternSelf-estern 11 5.1 0 0 11 100 0 Self-esternSubjective social status 11 5.5 1.6 0 0 11 100 0 Subjective social statusSubjective social status 2 0.7 0 0 11 100 0 Subjective social status 1 0.4 0 0 1 100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Attributing mental illness to supernatural causes	3	1.1	0	0	Э	100	3	100	0	0
Loss of face in Eastern countries2 0.7 0021002PsychosocialSelf-efficacy11 4.0 00111000Self-efficacyEmpowerment11 4.0 00111000Self-esterim11 4.0 00111000Hope11 4.0 00111000Self-esterim19 7.0 00411000Depression15 5.5 1 6.7 1493.314Help-secking/Therapeutic alliance15 5.5 1 6.7 1493.314Teatment adherence15 5.5 1 6.7 1493.314Niejective social status1 0.4 0011000Self-reported physical health2 0.7 0.9 0 0.7 1 0.0 0Self-reported physical health2 0.7 0.0 0.7 0.0 0.7 0.0 0.7 Self-reported parenting experiences1 0.4 0.0 0.7 0.0 0.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 Self-reported parenting experiences2 0.7 0.0 0.7 0.0 0.7 0.0 0.0 Self-reported parenting experiences 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Self-reported parenting experiences 0.0 <td< td=""><td>History of traditional treatment</td><td>7</td><td>0.7</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>100</td><td>0</td><td>100</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></td<>	History of traditional treatment	7	0.7	0	0	0	100	0	100	0	0
	Loss of face in Eastern countries	2	0.7	0	0	7	100	7	100	0	0
$ \begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Empowerment} & 11 & 4.0 & 0 & 11 & 100 & 0 \\ \text{Empowerment} & \text{Self-estem} & 11 & 4.0 & 0 & 0 & 11 & 100 & 0 \\ \text{Self-estem} & \text{Hope} & 0 & 0 & 19 & 100 & 0 \\ \text{Depression} & 19 & 7.0 & 0 & 0 & 41 & 100 & 0 \\ \text{Depression} & 15 & 5.5 & 1 & 6.7 & 14 & 93.3 & 14 \\ \text{Help-secking/Therapeutic alliance} & 5 & 1.8 & 0 & 0 & 15 & 100 & 0 \\ \text{Treatment adherence} & 15 & 5.5 & 0 & 0 & 15 & 100 & 0 \\ \text{Subjective social status} & 1 & 0.4 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 100 & 0 \\ \text{Subjective social function} & 2.7 & 9.9 & 0 & 0 & 2.7 & 100 & 0 \\ \text{Activity} & \text{Activity} & 1 & 0.4 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 100 & 0 \\ \text{Self-reported physical health} & 2 & 0.7 & 0 & 0 & 2.7 & 100 & 0 \\ \text{Self-reported parenting experiences} & 1 & 0.4 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 100 & 0 \\ \text{Wellheino} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \text{Wellheino} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \end{array}$	rsycnosocial Calf affrancy	13	7 8	0	0	13	100	0	0	13	100
Self-esteen 44 16.2 0 44 100 0 HopeHope 19 7.0 0 0 41 100 0 HopeSuicide risk 15.1 0 0 41 100 41 Suicide risk 15.1 0 0 19 100 0 Depression 15.1 0 0 14 93.3 14 Suicide risk 15.1 0 0 0 14 100 0 Treatment adherence 5 1.8 0 0 14 93.3 14 Subjective social function 5 1.8 0 0 11 100 0 Subjective social function 2 1.8 0 0 11 100 0 Subjective social function 2 1.9 0 0 11 100 0 Subjective social function 2 1.0 0 0 11 100 0 Subjective social function 2 1.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Subjective social function 2 1.0 0 0 1.1 0.4 0 0 11 100 Subjective social function 0 <	Empowerment	51 11	4.0	00		5 II	100	00	00	5 E	100
Hope197.00191000Depression2155.516.71493.314Suicide risk155.516.71493.314Fleip-seeking/Therapeutic alliance155.5007100Treatment adherence10.40011000Subjective social status10.40011000Psychosocial function279.900271000Activity10.40011000Self-reported physical health20.700271000Capacity for intimacysatisfaction in intimate relationships10.40011000Outlify of life00333411000Wellbring0000000000	Self-esteem	44	16.2	0	0	4	100	0	0	44	100
	Hope	19	7.0	0	0	19	100	0	0	19	100
Suicide risk 15 5.5 1 6.7 14 93.3 14 Help-seeking/Therapeutic alliance 5 1.8 0 0 5 100 0 Treatment adherence 15 5.5 0 0 15 100 0 Subjective social status 1 0.4 0 0 1 100 0 Psychosocial function 27 9.9 0 0 27 100 0 Psychosocial function 27 9.9 0 0 27 100 0 Reperted physical health 1 0.4 0 0 1 100 0 Self-reported parenting experiences 1 0.4 0 0 1 100 0 Wellbeing 0 0 3.3 0 0 0 0 0 0	Depression	41	15.1	0	0	41	100	41	100	0	0
Help-seeking/Therapeutic alliance51.80051000Treatment adherence155.500151000Subjective social status10.40011000Psychosocial function279.900271000Reitvity10.40011000Activity20.700271000Self-reported physical health20.70021000Self-reported parenting experiences10.40011000Wellbeing93.30000000	Suicide risk	15	5.5	1	6.7	14	93.3	14	100	0	0
Treatment adherence155.500151000Subjective social status1 0.4 0011000Psychosocial function27 9.9 00271000Activity1 0.4 0011000Activity2 0.7 00271000Self-reported physical health2 0.7 0021000Capacity for intimacy/satisfaction in intimate relationships4 1.5 0041000Self-reported parenting experiences1 0.4 00100Wellbeing93.30091000	Help-seeking/Therapeutic alliance	5	1.8	0	0	5	100	0	0	5	100
Subjective social status 1 0.4 0 0 1 100 0 Psychosocial function 27 9.9 0 0 27 100 0 Activity 1 0.4 0 0 1 100 0 Activity 1 0.4 0 0 1 100 0 Self-reported physical health 2 0.7 0 0 2 100 0 Self-reported physical health 2 0.7 0 0 2 100 0 Capacity for intimacy/satisfaction in intimate relationships 4 1.5 0 0 1 0 <	Treatment adherence	15	5.5	0	0	15	100	0	0	15	100
resyctosocial function z_1 y_2 0 0 z_1 100 0 Activity 1 0.4 0 0 1 100 0 Activity 2 0.7 0 0 2 100 0 Self-reported physical health 2 0.7 0 0 2 100 0 Capacity for intimacy/satisfaction in intimate relationships 4 1.5 0 0 1 0 <td>Subjective social status</td> <td>- 6</td> <td>0.4</td> <td>0 0</td> <td>0 0</td> <td></td> <td>100</td> <td>0 0</td> <td>0 0</td> <td>- 6</td> <td>100</td>	Subjective social status	- 6	0.4	0 0	0 0		100	0 0	0 0	- 6	100
Activity Detrivity Detrivity <thdetrivity< th=""> Detrivity <thdetrity< th=""> <thdetrity< th=""> Detrit</thdetrity<></thdetrity<></thdetrivity<>	Psychosocial lunction		ע.ע ר ר	> <	> <	17	100		⊃ <	17-	100
Capacity for intimacy/satisfaction in intimate relationships 2 0.0 0 2 100 0 Capacity for intimacy/satisfaction in intimate relationships 1 0.4 0 0 1 0 0 Self-reported parenting experiences 1 0.4 0 0 41 100 0 Quality of life 0 0 0 0 41 100 0 Wellheiro	ACUVILY Salf-renorted nhusival health	- (0.4 0			- (100			- (1001
Self-reported parenting experiences 1 0.4 0 1 0	Capacity for intimacy/satisfaction in intimate relationships	14	1.5	0	0	14	100	0 0	0 0	14	100
Quality of life 7 A1 15.1 0 0 41 100 0 Wellheing 9 3.3 0 0 9 100 0	Self-reported parenting experiences	1	0.4	0	0	-	0	0	0		100
Wellheima 0 33 0 0 0 100 0	Quality of life	41	15.1	0	0	41	100	0	0	41	100
	Wellbeing	9	3.3	0	0	6	100	0	0	6	100
Satisfaction with life 8 2.9 0 0 8 100 0	Satisfaction with life	8	2.9	0	0	8	100	0	0	8	100

Table 4. Continued

tinuec
Con
4
Table

_

	A	4	В		0	7)		D		[T]
	Num of stu $(n = \lambda)$	uber adies 272)	No signific relation $(P > 0)$	n- cant nship).05)	Signif relatio (P <	ficant inship 0.05)	Posi latio $(P \leftarrow (P \leftarrow P))$	ltive re- onship < 0.05)	Negat latio $(P < (P < P))$	ive re- nship 0.05)
Variables	и	%	и	%	и	%	и	%	и	%
"why try effect"	2	0.7	0	0	5	100	2	100	0	0
Coming out (CO)/CO assertiveness	1	0.4	-	100	0	0	ı	·	ı	ı
Benefits from being out	1	0.4	0	0	1	100	0	0	1	100
Stigma resistance	11	4.0	0	0	11	100	0	0	11	100
Resilience	7	2.6	0	0	7	100	0	0	L	100
Personal recovery	22	8.1	0	0	22	100	0	0	22	100
 1)Columns D + E; 1 = Men. 2) Columns D + E; 1 = Employed. 3) Columns D + E; 1 = Married. 4) Columns D + E; 1 = Income earner. 5) Columns D + E; 1 = Income earner. 6) Columns D + E; 1 = Urban. 7) Columns D + E; 1 = Urban. 8) Columns D + E; 1 = Inpatients. 	onment-re	elated, cult	tural and	psychose	ocial variá	ables with	n self-stig	ma (include	ss 272 stud	ies).

NumberNon- significantNon- sign		V	i	В		_	Ú.		D.	Щ	
Variables n \sqrt{n} n \sqrt{n} n \sqrt{n} n \sqrt{n} n VariablesFemale scrFemale scrFemale scrFemale scrFemale scrFor correlates of increased self-stigma at follow-upFemale scrFor the scrFor the scrHospitalizationsDuration of untreated psychosisBaseline psychiatric symptomsDuration of untreated psychosisBaseline psychiatric symptomsShame, scrShame, scrShame, scrSiame, scrSize scr		Nur of st $(n = n)$	nber udies : 41)	No signif relatio $(P > 0$	n- ìcant nship 0.05)	Sign relati $(P < (P < P))$	ificant ionship c 0.05)	Posi latic $(P < (P < D))$	tive re- onship < 0.05)	Nega relatio $(P < ($	ttive nship 0.05)
	Variables	и	%	и	%	u	%	u	0%	u	%
Female sexFemale sex512.24801201100Unayoin functionsUnayoin dimension12.40011001100Baseline psychiatric symptoms12.40011001100Baseline psychiatric symptoms512.20051005100Baseline psychiatric symptoms512.20051005100Shame, self-contempt about IH and stigma stress24.90011001100Shame, self-contempt about IH and stigma stress24.90011001100Negative corput strategies12.40011001100Nork without experienced discrimination12.40011001100Work without experienced discrimination12.40011001100Work without experienced discrimination12.40011001100More within a consery-oriented discrimination12.40011001100More with a covery-oriented discrimination12.4001100100100More with a covery-oriented discrimination12.4001100100100More with a covery oriented discriminatio	Correlates of increased self-stigma at follow-up										
HospitalizationsHospitalizationsHospitalizationsDuration of untreated psychosis 1 2.4 0 0 1 100 Duration of untreated psychosisBaseline psychiatric symptomsSames eff-contempt about Ha and stigma stress 5 1.2 0 0 1 100 Share, self-contempt about Ha and stigma stressSame, self-contempt about Ha and stigma stress 2 4.9 0 0 1 100 Share, self-contempt about Ha and stigma stressSame, self-contempt about Ha and stigma stress 1 2.4 0 0 1 100 Negative coping strategiesCorrelates of reduced self-stigma at follow-up 1 2.4 0 0 1 100 Noke without experienced discrimination 1 2.4 0 0 1 100 Noke without experienced discrimination 1 2.4 0 0 1 100 Receiving to disability beefits during PR 1 2.4 0 0 1 100 Receiving to disability beefits during PR 1 2.4 0 0 1 100 Receiving to disability beefits during PR 1 2.4 0 0 1 100 1 Receiving to disability beefits during PR 1 2.4 0 0 1 100 1 Receiving to disability beefits during PR 1 2.4 0 0 1 100 1 Subidiatic sym	Female sex	5	12.2	4	80	1	20	1	100	0	0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Hospitalizations	1	2.4	0	0	-	100	1	100	0	0
Baseline psychiatric symptoms 5 12.2 0 5 100 5 100 Shame, self-contempt about IH and stigma stress 2 4.9 0 0 1 100 1 100 Shame, self-contempt about IH and stigma stress 2 4.9 0 0 1 100 1 100 Shame, self-contempt about IH and stigma stress 2 4.9 0 0 1 100 10 100 1 1	Duration of untreated psychosis	1	2.4	0	0	1	100	1	100	0	0
$ \begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Shame, self-contempt about IH and stigma stress} & 2 & 4.9 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 100 & 2 & 100 \\ \text{Negative coping strategies} & 1 & 2.4 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 100 & 1 & 100 \\ \text{Correlates of reduced self-stigma at follow-up} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Baseline psychiatric symptoms	5	12.2	0	0	5	100	5	100	0	0
Negative coping strategies1 2.4 0011001100Correlates of reduced self-stigma at follow-upCorrelates of reduced self-stigma at follow-upCorrelates of reduced self-stigma at follow-upCorrelates of reduced self-stigma at follow-upMore without experienced discriminationWork without experienced discriminationSocial anxietyDepressionSocial anxietyDepressionSelf-extemSelf-extemSelf-extemPsychosocial functionSelf-extemPsychosocial functionSelf-extemWork of LifeSelf-extemSelf-extemSelf-extemSelf-extemSelf-extem<	Shame, self-contempt about IH and stigma stress	2	4.9	0	0	0	100	7	100	0	0
Correlates of reduced self-stigma at follow-up Attending to recovery-oriented daycare/vocational rehabilitation/COSP 5 12.2 0 0 5 100 5 100 Work without experienced discrimination Work without experienced discrimination Receiving no disability benefits during PR 1 2.4 0 0 1 1 100 1 1 100 Longitudinal consequences of self-stigma 5 12.2 0 0 5 100 5 100 1 100 Psychiatric symptoms 5 12.2 0 0 0 7 1 100 1 1 100 Psychiatric symptoms 5 12.4 0 0 0 1 1 100 1 1 100 Receiving no disability benefits during PR 1 2.4 0 0 0 7 1 100 1 1 100 Receiving no disability benefits during PR 1 2.4 0 0 0 1 1 100 1 1 100 Rescal anxiety 5 12.2 0 0 0 6 100 6 100 Risk of hospitalizations 3 7.3 0 0 0 3 100 0 0 0 Risk of hospitalizations 3 7.3 0 0 0 3 100 0 0 0 0 Refresteem 7.3 7.3 0 0 0 2 20.9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Negative coping strategies	1	2.4	0	0	1	100	1	100	0	0
Attending to recovery-oriented daycare/vocational rehabilitation/COSP 5 12.2 0 0 5 100 Work without experienced discriminationWork without experienced discriminationReceiving no disability benefits during PRLongitudinal consequences of self-stigma 5 Social anxietySocial anxiety 6 1 2.4 0 0 1 2.4 0 0 1 2.4 0 <	Correlates of reduced self-stigma at follow-up										
Work without experienced discrimination1 2.4 0011001100Receiving no disability benefits during PR1 2.4 0011001100Receiving no disability benefits during PR1 2.4 0011001100Receiving no disability benefits during PR1 2.4 0011001100Receiving no disability benefits during PR5 12.2 0051001100Psychiatric symptoms5 12.2 006111001100Social anxiety5 12.2 0061001100Social anxiety5 12.4 0061006100Sucide risk3 7.3 000310000Self-esteem3 7.3 000310000Psychosocial function3 7.3 000310000Outlity of Life3 7.3 0003100000Psychosocial function3 7.3 000310000Psychosocial function3 7.3 000000Psychosocial function3 7.3 00000 <t< td=""><td>Attending to recovery-oriented daycare/vocational rehabilitation/COSP</td><td>5</td><td>12.2</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>5</td><td>100</td><td>5</td><td>100</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></t<>	Attending to recovery-oriented daycare/vocational rehabilitation/COSP	5	12.2	0	0	5	100	5	100	0	0
Receiving no disability benefits during PR1 2.4 0011001100Longitudinal consequences of self-stigma512.20051005100Psychiatric symptoms512.200110011001100Psychiatric symptoms512.200011001100Social anxiety12.400011001100Social anxiety514.600041008Social anxiety512.200041001100Social anxiety512.400041008Suicide risk12.400011001100Suicide risk37.3000310000Self-esteen37.3000310000Psychosocial function37.3000310000Psychosocial function37.3000310000Psychosocial function37.3000310000Psychosocial function37.30000000Psychosocial function37.30 <t< td=""><td>Work without experienced discrimination</td><td>1</td><td>2.4</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>100</td><td>1</td><td>100</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></t<>	Work without experienced discrimination	1	2.4	0	0	1	100	1	100	0	0
Longitudinal consequences of self-stigmaLongitudinal consequences of self-stigmaPsychiatric symptomsPsychiatric symptomsSocial anxietyDepressionSocial anxietySocial anxietyDepressionSucietie riskSucietie riskSucitie risk<	Receiving no disability benefits during PR	1	2.4	0	0	1	100	1	100	0	0
	Longitudinal consequences of self-stigma										
Social anxiety1 2.4 0011001100Depression614.60061006100Suicide risk800041004100Suicide risk1 2.4 0011004100Suicide risk1 2.4 00011001100Risk of hospitalizations3 7.3 0000000Self-esteen3 7.3 0003100000Psychosocial function9 21.9 000921.90000Quality of Life3 7.3 0003100000	Psychiatric symptoms	5	12.2	0	0	5	100	5	100	0	0
Depression 6 14.6 0 0 6 100 6 100 Suicide riskSuicide risk 0 0 0 4 100 4 100 Suicide risk 1 2.4 0 0 1 100 4 100 Risk of hospitalizations 1 2.4 0 0 1 100 4 100 Self-esteen 3 7.3 0 0 3 100 0 0 Treatment adherence 3 7.3 0 0 3 100 0 0 Psychosocial function 3 7.3 0 0 0 0 0 0 Quality of Life 3 7.3 0 0 0 0 0 0	Social anxiety	1	2.4	0	0		100	-	100	0	0
Suicide risk 4 9.8 0 0 4 100 4 100 Risk of hospitalizations 1 2.4 0 0 1 100 1 100 Risk of hospitalizations 3 7.3 0 0 3 100 0 0 Self-esteen 3 7.3 0 0 3 100 0 0 Treatment adherence 3 7.3 0 0 3 100 0 0 Psychosocial function 3 7.3 0 0 0 0 0 Quality of Life 3 7.3 0 0 0 0 0	Depression	9	14.6	0	0	9	100	9	100	0	0
Risk of hospitalizations1 2.4 0011001Self-estem37.300310000Tratment adherence37.300310000Psychosocial function9 21.9 009000Quality of Life37.300310000	Suicide risk	4	9.8	0	0	4	100	4	100	0	0
Self-esteen 3 7.3 0 0 3 100 0 0 Treatment adherence 3 7.3 0 0 3 100 0 0 Psychosocial function 9 21.9 0 0 0 0 0 Quality of Life 3 7.3 0 0 0 0 0	Risk of hospitalizations		2.4	0	0		100	1	100	0	0
Treatment adherence 3 7.3 0 0 3 100 0	Self-esteem	С	7.3	0	0	Э	100	0	0	Э	100
Psychosocial function 9 21.9 0 0 9 100 0 0 Quality of Life 3 7.3 0 0 3 100 0 0	Treatment adherence	Э	7.3	0	0	С	100	0	0	Э	100
Quality of Life 3 7.3 0 0 3 100 0 0	Psychosocial function	6	21.9	0	0	6	100	0	0	6	100
	Quality of Life	Э	7.3	0	0	С	100	0	0	ŝ	100
Life satisfaction I 2.4 0 0 I 100 0 0	Life satisfaction	-	2.4	0	0	-	100	0	0	-	100
Personal recovery 2 4.9 0 0 2 100 0 0	Personal recovery	7	4.9	0	0	7	100	0	0	7	100

Note: COSP, consumer-operated service program; IH, involuntary hospitalization; PR, Psychiatric rehabilitation. Table 5 presents the longitudinal relationships between sociodemographic, illness-related, environment-related, and psychosocial variables with self-stigma (includes 41 studies).

J. Dubreucq et al

Table 5. Longitudinal Correlates and Consequences of Self-stigma

High SR (%)	- 54.1	49.2% 54%	63.3%	·	ı		55.4%	51.2% 59.7%	52.1%	59.02%		36.8%	- 51.6%		15.9% 70%	22%	1	L	45%	45%	I	I		82.9%		ı	I	ı			ı	·		ı	·	57.49%
и	- 693	1129	157		·		466	1435 1182	117	1326	-	19			94 82	176 176		I	100	100	I	·		280		·	ı	ı		·	ı	'		,		- 126
SD	$0.57 \\ 0.51$	0.51	0.76	0.53	0.55	0.58	0.51	0 98	0.53	5C.U	0.56	0.68	0.48 / C.U	0.38	0.50		0.46	0.61	0.70		0.42	0.51	0 47	0.47		0.45	0.46	0.30	030	0.62	0.35	0.60	0.63	0.43	i.	0.98 0.98
Mean SR	2.52 2.54 2.54	2.55 2.47 (2.29–2.7) 2.37	2.73	2.94	2.46	2.35	2.56	2.51 2.81	2.52	8C.2 TT C	2.30	2.44	2.57	2.07	2.10	2.08	3.06	2.4	2.3 2.84	2.43	2.43	2.21	07.7	2.87	2.63	2.28	2.35	00.7	2.46	2.56	2.41	2.60	2.62	2.66	2.51	2.76 2.76
u	184 738	922 1129 140	157	52	62 107	80	466	2292 1182	117 BD	27 MUU 1326	37	19	55 49	71	94	165	115	253	100 32	132	59	185 744	453	280	733	384	60	252	0/0 138	109	250	76	60	63	558	118
Country	Czech Republic France	Multi-site Croatia	Austria	Austria	France	Czecn Kepublic Germany	France	Multi-site	France		Czech Republic	France	Czech Kepublic France	Canada	Canada 11S A		USA	Multi-site	India India		India	India	China	Singapore	4 9	China	Japan	China	Iran	Turkey	Turkey	Turkey	Turkey	Turkey	Ē	lurkey Iran
Study	Grambal ¹⁶⁹ Dubreucq ⁹⁵	Brohan ⁹ Videnie ¹²⁶	Sibitz ¹²³	Hofer ³⁰	Bouvet ¹⁷⁵	VI DOVATO Surmann ¹⁷⁷	Dubreucq ⁹³	- Brohan ¹⁰	Dubreucq ⁹³		Grambal ¹⁶⁹	Dubreucq ⁹³	Dubrenco ⁹³	Livingston ³⁷	Livingston ⁴³ Ditcher_Rovd112		Howland ⁴²	Caqueo-Uritzar ³⁴	$\operatorname{Singh}^{198}$		Pal^{199}	Grover ²⁰⁰	- Ran ¹²⁷	Lau ²²¹		Li ¹³⁹	Hofer ³⁰	Kan	- Ghanean ²⁰⁸	Sarisov ⁴¹	Capar ²¹²	Olçun ²¹³	Karakas ²²²	Yilmaz ²¹⁴		Sadighi ²¹⁶
Area	SMI Weichted total	weignted total Schizophrenia						Weighted total Binolar Disorders/MDD		Weighted total	Anxiety disorders	- - - - - -	Borderline Personality Disorder	SMI		Weighted total	Bipolar Disorders	Schizophrenia	Schizophrenia	Weighted total	Bipolar Disorders	Woichtad total	WUIGHICH IOIAI		Weighted total	Schizophrenia		With the second second	Weighted total	Schizophrenia					Weighted total score	Bipolar Disorders
	Europe													North America				South America	South Asia				South-Fast Asia	200011-12031 / 2310					Middle Fact							

Table 6. Prevalence of Stigma Resistance

	Area	Study	Country	и	Mean SR	SD	и	High SR (%)
	Weighted total score			246	2.55			
Africa	SMI	Girma ⁵³	Ethiopia	422	2.41	0.40	ı	ı
		Sorsdahl ⁶²	South Africa	142	2.90	ı	ı	
	Weighted total			564	2.53		,	ı
	Schizophrenia	Mosanya ¹²⁵	Nigeria	256	2.79	0.53	256	72.7%
	4	Bifftu ¹²⁴	Ethiopia	411	2.52	,	411	49.4%
	Weighted total		4	667	2.62		667	58.34%
Note: MDD, Majo	r Depressive Disorder; SMI, Severe Me	ental Illness. Mean stigm	la resistance refers to	ISMI stigma re	sistance subscale mea	un score. Hi	gh stigma	esistance re-

fers to the proportion of patients with ISMI stigma resistance subscale > 2.5. Bold faces represents the total sample and the weighted means and proportions.

Fable 6. Continued

Page 18 of 27

however, associated with improvements in QoL¹⁰⁵ during psychiatric rehabilitation.

Self-stigma and Stigma Resistance

Thirty-one studies (11.9%) reported data on stigma resistance frequency in SMI. The results are shown in table 6. Stigma resistance was higher in mood disorders $(59.7\%^{10})$ than in schizophrenia (53.1%; n = 5). Stigma resistance in schizophrenia varied within Europe (from $49.2\%^9$ to $63\%^{123}$ and Africa (from 49.4% in Ethiopia¹²⁴ to 72.7% in Nigeria¹²⁵). Stigma resistance was negatively correlated with self-stigma in Austria,^{30,123} Croatia,¹²⁶ Nigeria,¹²⁵ and South Africa.⁶² In some countries, selfstigma and stigma resistance were both high (USA,⁴² Turkey, Ethiopia,¹²⁴ India, Bolivia,³⁴ Peru,³⁴ Chile,³⁴ and China¹²⁷) and in Canada these were both low.^{36,37} Metacognitive abilities,¹²⁸⁻¹³⁰ social network,^{123,131} social power,¹³² self-compassion,¹³¹ psychological flexibility,¹³¹ fear of negative evaluation,¹²⁸ perceived stigma,^{60,114} negative symptoms,¹³⁰ and coping strategies^{129,133} were associated with high stigma resistance.

Discussion

Research on self-stigma in SMI has considerably progressed over the past decade (244 articles since 2010 and 28 studies published prior to this).⁷ Self-stigma was present in all geographical areas with higher frequency in South-East Asia and the Middle East for SMI (>39%). Self-stigma in SMI was higher in the Middle East, South Asia, South-East Asia, and Africa than in Europe or North America. Schizophrenia was associated with high self-stigma in all geographical areas (32.6%–44.2%). Selfstigma in schizophrenia was higher in the Middle East, South-East Asia, South Asia, and South America than in Europe. Self-stigma differed according to the geographical area in mood disorders (higher self-stigma in South Asia and South-East Asia in comparison with Europe, North America, or the Middle East). Variations in patterns of stigma according to the cultural area might explain these differences. The greater public stigma relating to SMI in Eastern countries compared with Western countries could explain the higher levels of self-stigma in South Asia and South-East Asia.¹³⁴ Other potential factors contributing to higher self-stigma include feelings of shame about not meeting the social expectations of "what matters most" in one's social group,^{14,16} moral or supernatural causal attributions of mental illness, and social concerns about the spillover effects disclosing mental illness might have on the social and economic status of family members.^{53,55,134} The frequency of self-stigma is consistently high across the world. This concurs with several cross-national studies on perceived, experienced, or anticipated stigma.^{3,135–137} Lower rates of experienced stigma, but similar levels of self-stigma for people with schizophrenia were reported in China and India compared with Western countries.^{16,138,139} Cultural factors (eg, concerns about disclosure spillover on family members) leading to higher self-stigma and social withdrawal might explain these variations.^{14,16,138,139} Most of the instruments used for assessing self-stigma in non-Western countries were adapted from scales developed in Europe or North America and did not include culture-specific items. These scales might not reflect all the culture-specific forms of stigma.^{55,140} Anti-stigma campaigns and self-stigma reduction interventions should take into consideration cultural factors (eg, cost/benefits of strategic disclosure in a given cultural context^{35,54,141}).

Cultural and socio-ideological factors might account for the large country-related variations that were found within geographical areas. Higher public stigma was found in Eastern/Southern Europe compared with Western Europe.^{142–144} Compared with Western Europe or Canada, higher levels of self-reported sociopolitical conservatism were found in Eastern Europe and the USA.^{145,146} Gonzales¹⁴⁷ and De Luca¹⁴⁸ found that selfreported political conservatism and right-wing authoritarianism were associated with increased public stigma. Cultural factors (eg, the endorsement of traditional cultural values, higher in China than in Taiwan or South Korea¹⁴⁹) might contribute to the differences observed between Guangzhou and Hong Kong³⁵ or between Taiwan and South Korea.^{39,40} These variations could be related to sample characteristics (eg, higher reliance on family support in Guangzhou compared with Hong Kong;³⁵ higher proportion of patients with BD in Korea than in Taiwan^{39,40}).

Sociodemographic and illness-related correlates yielded mixed results in line with previous reviews.^{7,8} Self-stigma was high in BPD but this is based on a small number of studies with small sample sizes. Self-stigma was equally severe in the at-risk stages as in psychosis.¹¹¹ Further research is needed to confirm this result. Self-stigma was closely associated with perceived and experienced stigma. These concepts are distinct and should be better differentiated between, as stereotype awareness and self-labeling do not necessarily imply stereotype agreement, selfapplication, and increased self-stigma.^{91,150} Self-support groups and recovery-oriented services promoting positive group identification^{60,106} should be further developed to prevent or reduce self-stigma. Reducing self-stigma implies targeting the explicitly negative views about the self that relate to being diagnosed with SMI. Making an empowered decision about disclosing an SMI diagnosis might be effective for adolescents or people in the early stages of self-stigma.^{91,151} People in the late stages of selfstigma may need to take part in group interventions combining psychoeducation and cognitive restructuring.^{21,57} Interventions should be proposed to each individual according to his/her personal needs and level of self-stigma.

The association between self-stigma with treatment setting varies (50% significance). Two studies reported higher self-stigma in outpatients compared with inpatients^{152,153} and one the opposite.⁸³ Loneliness, low social support, perceived stigma, experienced stigma, and anticipated stigma might contribute to higher self-stigma in outpatients.^{152,153} Participating in community activities, good social support, and attending psychiatric rehabilitation services or consumer-operated service programs protect against self-stigma.^{9,62,105,108} Stigma stress, negative emotional reactions to involuntary hospitalization, and the use of avoidant coping strategies after discharge contribute to higher self-stigma.^{57,58,102} Improved inpatient care (ie, the implementation of recovery-oriented practices and interventions targeting stigma stress, therapeutic alliance and coping strategies) might result in better patient outcomes after discharge, although this remains to be investigated.

The development of recovery-oriented practices in mental health facilities should be encouraged as it could reduce perceived stigma, stigma stress,^{57–59} and negative emotional reactions to involuntary admissions.^{57–59} Peer-supported self-management interventions, Joint Crisis Plans, "No Force First" policies, and selective disclosure programs could improve self-stigma through reduced stigma stress and perceived coercion.^{151,154–156} Recovery-oriented training programs for mental health professionals improve personal recovery in people with SMI.¹⁵⁷ They may also improve mental health professionals' job satisfaction, burnout, and associative stigma of mental illness.^{15,63} Their effectiveness in reducing self-stigma in patients should be investigated.

Given the potential relationships with stigma stress,⁵⁰ duration of untreated psychosis,⁹⁷ distress from subthreshold psychotic symptoms,⁴⁷ and transition to psychosis,⁵⁰ the effects of recovery-oriented early interventions on self-stigma and its consequences should be further investigated. Strategic disclosure programs result in people making empowered decisions about whether to disclose a diagnosis of SMI or not. They result in improved stigma stress and self-stigma in adolescents with SMI¹⁵¹ and should be integrated into recovery-oriented early intervention services.

As expected,^{7,8} self-stigma was negatively associated with recovery-related outcomes and positively associated with depression and suicidal ideation. Cognitive impairments, dysfunctional attitudes, and avoidant coping strategies were positively associated with self-stigma. Insight into illness was the most significant moderator of internalized stigma. Perceived cognitive dysfunction, perceived and experienced stigma all had indirect effects on clinical and functional outcomes via self-stigma. Baseline self-stigma was associated with poorer recovery-related outcomes and less benefit from vocational rehabilitation at follow-up.^{8,119} Reduction in self-stigma was associated with improved depression, suicidality, attitudes towards medication, self-esteem, QoL, and social function at follow-up.

Improved treatment (ie, recovery-oriented practices and nonspecific interventions targeting therapeutic alliance, dysfunctional attitudes, self-esteem, or coping strategies) could indirectly reduce self-stigma.¹⁵⁸⁻¹⁶⁰ Recoveryoriented psychoeducation improves treatment adherence and reduces the risk of hospitalization.¹⁶¹ Improved therapeutic alliance is associated with better recovery-related outcomes after attending to early interventions services.¹⁵⁸ Other interventions such as cognitive behavioral therapy, cognitive remediation, or social skills training might reduce self-stigma through improved symptoms, dysfunctional attitudes, and functioning.^{22,159,160,162} Given the potential relationship between expressed emotion in the families of people with SMI and self-stigma and recoveryrelated outcomes,64,65 family psychoeducation could be effective for self-stigma.¹⁶³ Family psychoeducation should be recovery-oriented and address both public stigma and self-stigma.164-167 The relationship between self-stigma in people with SMI and in their relatives is still unclear and should be further investigated.¹⁶⁸

Stigma resistance and self-stigma were negatively associated with each other⁶ but with different patterns. Selfstigma and stigma resistance are distinct constructs and should be measured using more specific scales.⁶

Limitations

There are some limitations to this review due to the heterogeneity in the definition of SMI and in the samples, settings, methods, scales, and reported outcomes. Few articles reported longitudinal outcomes with a limited number of studies conducted in psychiatric rehabilitation settings. This review excluded studies where self-stigma was not the main focus, which means that stigma in all its forms (ie, perceived, experienced or anticipated stigma, and self-stigma) could actually have more wide-ranging effects on people with SMI. However, by focusing on self-stigma, this review provides a more accurate understanding of its effects on people with SMI. The heterogeneity of the samples, methods, scales, and reported outcomes in the included articles limited the possibilities for extracting comparable data. The large number of studies included in this review and the range of countries represented is however a considerable strength. The under-reporting of negative or nonsignificant results due to publication bias and the exclusion of unpublished studies from this review might have limited the accuracy of the synthesis. The present systematic review does not include a meta-analysis. This decision was made due to the large number of studies and the heterogeneity of the samples, methods, scales, and reported outcomes. Statistical analyses were used to compare self-stigma frequency in different geographical areas (the second objective of the present study). Future meta-analyses with a

more limited focus (eg, on the impact of self-stigma on recovery-related outcomes) could be conducted to explore the present findings in more detail.

Conclusions

In short, self-stigma is a severe problem in all SMI conditions (including the at-risk stages) and all geographical areas and is associated with poor clinical and functional outcomes. Levels of public, perceived, and experienced stigma (including from mental health providers) are significant predictors of self-stigma, pleading for the reinforcement of anti-stigma campaigns and the development of recovery-oriented practices in mental health settings. The respective associations between the duration of untreated psychosis, self-stigma, and transition to psychosis support the development of recovery-oriented early intervention programs. Psychiatric rehabilitation could be an effective means of reducing self-stigma and should therefore be further developed in public policies.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary material is available at https://academic. oup.com/schizophreniabulletin/.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Mrs Kim Barrett for proofreading the manuscript. They are also grateful to the reviewers of a previous version of the manuscript for their helpful comments.

Author contribution: The two authors had full access to the data in the study and take the responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. Dr Julien Dubreucq drafted the article. Dr Julien Dubreucq and Prof Nicolas Franck carried out the literature review. M. Julien Plasse did the statistical analysis. Prof Nicolas Franck critically revised the article. Both authors were involved in the collection and analysis of the data. Both authors contributed to and approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of interests: none.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grants from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

References

1. Link BG, Phelan JC. Conceptualizing stigma. Annu Rev Sociol. 2001;27:363–385.

- 2. Corrigan PW, Larson JE, Rüsch N. Self-stigma and the "why try" effect: impact on life goals and evidence-based practices. *World Psychiatry*. 2009;8(2):75–81.
- 3. Thornicroft G, Brohan E, Rose D, Sartorius N, Leese M; INDIGO Study Group. Global pattern of experienced and anticipated discrimination against people with schizophrenia: a cross-sectional survey. *Lancet.* 2009;373(9661):408–415.
- Yanos PT, Roe D, Markus K, Lysaker PH. Pathways between internalized stigma and outcomes related to recovery in schizophrenia spectrum disorders. *Psychiatr Serv.* 2008;59(12):1437–1442.
- 5. Thoits PA. Resisting the stigma of mental illness. *Soc Psychol Q*. 2011;74(1):6–28.
- 6. Firmin RL, Luther L, Lysaker PH, Minor KS, Salyers MP. Stigma resistance is positively associated with psychiatric and psychosocial outcomes: a meta-analysis. *Schizophr Res.* 2016;175(1–3):118–128.
- 7. Livingston JD, Boyd JE. Correlates and consequences of internalized stigma for people living with mental illness: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Soc Sci Med.* 2010;71(12):2150–2161.
- Gerlinger G, Hauser M, De Hert M, Lacluyse K, Wampers M, Correll CU. Personal stigma in schizophrenia spectrum disorders: a systematic review of prevalence rates, correlates, impact and interventions. *World Psychiatry*. 2013;12(2):155–164.
- Brohan E, Elgie R, Sartorius N, Thornicroft G; GAMIAN-Europe Study Group. Self-stigma, empowerment and perceived discrimination among people with schizophrenia in 14 European countries: the GAMIAN-Europe study. *Schizophr Res.* 2010;122(1–3):232–238.
- Brohan E, Gauci D, Sartorius N, Thornicroft G; GAMIAN-Europe Study Group. Self-stigma, empowerment and perceived discrimination among people with bipolar disorder or depression in 13 European countries: the GAMIAN-Europe study. J Affect Disord. 2011;129(1–3):56–63.
- Ellison N, Mason O, Scior K. Bipolar disorder and stigma: a systematic review of the literature. J Affect Disord. 2013;151(3):805–820.
- Hawke LD, Parikh SV, Michalak EE. Stigma and bipolar disorder: a review of the literature. J Affect Disord. 2013;150(2):181–191.
- 13. West ML, Yanos PT, Smith SM, Roe D, Lysaker PH. Prevalence of internalized stigma among persons with severe mental illness. *Stigma Res Action.* 2011;1(1):3–10.
- Yang LH, Chen FP, Sia KJ, et al. "What matters most:" a cultural mechanism moderating structural vulnerability and moral experience of mental illness stigma. *Soc Sci Med.* 2014;103:84–93.
- Yanos PT, DeLuca JS, Salyers MP, Fischer MW, Song J, Caro J. Cross-sectional and prospective correlates of associative stigma among mental health service providers. *Psychiatr Rehabil J.* 2020;43(2):85–90.
- Koschorke M, Padmavati R, Kumar S, et al. Experiences of stigma and discrimination of people with schizophrenia in India. *Soc Sci Med.* 2014;123:149–159.
- 17. Yang LH, Wonpat-Borja AJ, Opler MG, Corcoran CM. Potential stigma associated with inclusion of the psychosis risk syndrome in the DSM-V: an empirical question. *Schizophr Res.* 2010;120(1–3):42–48.
- Yang LH, Anglin DM, Wonpat-Borja AJ, Opler MG, Greenspoon M, Corcoran CM. Public stigma associated with psychosis risk syndrome in a college population: implications for peer intervention. *Psychiatr Serv.* 2013;64(3):284–288.

- Rüsch N, Hölzer A, Hermann C, et al. Self-stigma in women with borderline personality disorder and women with social phobia. J Nerv Ment Dis. 2006;194(10):766–773.
- 20. Gunderson JG, Herpertz SC, Skodol AE, Torgersen S, Zanarini MC. Borderline personality disorder. *Nat Rev Dis Primers.* 2018;4:18029.
- Yanos PT, Lucksted A, Drapalski AL, Roe D, Lysaker P. Interventions targeting mental health selfstigma: a review and comparison. *Psychiatr Rehabil J.* 2015;38(2):171–178.
- 22. Franck N, Bon L, Dekerle M, et al. Satisfaction and needs in serious mental illness and autism spectrum disorder: the REHABase psychosocial rehabilitation project. *Psychiatr Serv.* 2019;70(4):316–323.
- 23. Moritz S, Gawęda Ł, Heinz A, Gallinat J. Four reasons why early detection centers for psychosis should be renamed and their treatment targets reconsidered: we should not catastrophize a future we can neither reliably predict nor change. *Psychol Med.* 2019;49(13):2134–2140.
- 24. Yang LH, Lo G, WonPat-Borja AJ, Singla DR, Link BG, Phillips MR. Effects of labeling and interpersonal contact upon attitudes towards schizophrenia: implications for reducing mental illness stigma in urban China. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 2012;47(9):1459–1473.
- 25. Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Syst Rev.* 2015;4:1.
- 26. Wood L, Byrne R, Varese F, Morrison AP. Psychosocial interventions for internalised stigma in people with a schizophreniaspectrum diagnosis: a systematic narrative synthesis and meta-analysis. *Schizophr Res.* 2016;176(2–3):291–303.
- 27. Ross LE, Grigoriadis S, Mamisashvili L, et al. Quality assessment of observational studies in psychiatry: an example from perinatal psychiatric research. *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res.* 2011;20(4):224–234.
- Kohrt BA, Rasmussen A, Kaiser BN, et al. Cultural concepts of distress and psychiatric disorders: literature review and research recommendations for global mental health epidemiology. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2014;43(2):365–406.
- 29. Ritsher JB, Otilingam PG, Grajales M. Internalized stigma of mental illness: psychometric properties of a new measure. *Psychiatry Res.* 2003;121(1):31–49.
- 30. Hofer A, Mizuno Y, Frajo-Apor B, et al. Resilience, internalized stigma, self-esteem, and hopelessness among people with schizophrenia: cultural comparison in Austria and Japan. *Schizophr Res.* 2016;171(1–3):86–91.
- Mileva VR, Vázquez GH, Milev R. Effects, experiences, and impact of stigma on patients with bipolar disorder. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat.* 2013;9:31–40.
- 32. Corrigan P, Watson A, Barr L. The self-stigma of mental illness: implications for self-esteem and self-efficacy. J Soc Clin Psychol. 2006;25:875e884.
- 33. Krajewski C, Burazeri G, Brand H. Self-stigma, perceived discrimination and empowerment among people with a mental illness in six countries: Pan European stigma study. *Psychiatry Res.* 2013;210(3):1136–1146.
- Caqueo-Urízar A, Boyer L, Urzúa A, Williams DR. Selfstigma in patients with schizophrenia: a multicentric study from three Latin-America countries. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol.* 2019;54(8):905–909.
- 35. Young DK, Ng PY. The prevalence and predictors of selfstigma of individuals with mental health illness in two Chinese cities. *Int J Soc Psychiatry*. 2016;62(2):176–185.

- 36. Livingston JD, Rossiter KR, Verdun-Jones SN. 'Forensic' labelling: an empirical assessment of its effects on self-stigma for people with severe mental illness. *Psychiatry Res.* 2011;188(1):115–122.
- 37. Livingston J. Self-stigma and quality of life among people with mental illness who receive compulsory community treatment services. *J Community Psychol.* 2012;40:699–714.
- Harris JI, Farchmin L, Stull L, Boyd J, Schumacher M, Drapalski AL. Prediction of changes in self-stigma among veterans participating in partial psychiatric hospitalization: the role of disability status and military cohort. *Psychiatr Rehabil J.* 2015;38(2):179–185.
- 39. Kim WJ, Song YJ, Ryu HS, et al. Internalized stigma and its psychosocial correlates in Korean patients with serious mental illness. *Psychiatry Res.* 2015;225(3):433–439.
- 40. Kao YC, Lien YJ, Chang HA, Wang SC, Tzeng NS, Loh CH. Evidence for the indirect effects of perceived public stigma on psychosocial outcomes: the mediating role of self-stigma. *Psychiatry Res.* 2016;240:187–195.
- 41. Sarısoy G, Kaçar ÖF, Pazvantoğlu O, et al. Internalized stigma and intimate relations in bipolar and schizophrenic patients: a comparative study. *Compr Psychiatry*. 2013;54(6):665–672.
- 42. Howland M, Levin J, Blixen C, Tatsuoka C, Sajatovic M. Mixed-methods analysis of internalized stigma correlates in poorly adherent individuals with bipolar disorder. *Compr Psychiatry*. 2016;70:174–180.
- Livingston J, Patel N, Bryson S, et al. Stigma associated with mental illness among Asian men in Vancouver, Canada. Int J Soc Psychiatry. 2018;64(7):679–689.
- 44. Lacey M, Paolini S, Hanlon MC, Melville J, Galletly C, Campbell LE. Parents with serious mental illness: differences in internalised and externalised mental illness stigma and gender stigma between mothers and fathers. *Psychiatry Res.* 2015;225(3):723–733.
- 45. Bradstreet S, Dodd A, Jones S. Internalised stigma in mental health: an investigation of the role of attachment style. *Psychiatry Res.* 2018;270:1001–1009.
- Døssing M, Nilsson KK, Svejstrup SR, Sørensen VV, Straarup KN, Hansen TB. Low self-compassion in patients with bipolar disorder. *Compr Psychiatry*. 2015;60:53–58.
- 47. Denenny D, Thompson E, Pitts SC, Dixon LB, Schiffman J. Subthreshold psychotic symptom distress, self-stigma, and peer social support among college students with mental health concerns. *Psychiatr Rehabil J.* 2015;38(2):164–170.
- Pyle M, Morrison AP. Internalised stereotypes across ultra-high risk of psychosis and psychosis populations. *Psychosis.* 2017;9:1–9.
- Larsen EM, Herrera S, Bilgrami ZR, et al. Self-stigma related feelings of shame and facial fear recognition in individuals at clinical high risk for psychosis: a brief report. *Schizophr Res.* 2019;208:483–485.
- Rüsch N, Heekeren K, Theodoridou A, et al. Stigma as a stressor and transition to schizophrenia after one year among young people at risk of psychosis. *Schizophr Res.* 2015;166(1–3):43–48.
- Evans-Lacko S, Brohan E, Mojtabai R, Thornicroft G. Association between public views of mental illness and selfstigma among individuals with mental illness in 14 European countries. *Psychol Med.* 2012;42(8):1741–1752.
- Wang K, Link BG, Corrigan PW, Davidson L, Flanagan E. Perceived provider stigma as a predictor of mental health service users' internalized stigma and disempowerment. *Psychiatry Res.* 2018;259:526–531.

- 53. Girma E, Tesfaye M, Froeschl G, Möller-Leimkühler AM, Dehning S, Müller N. Facility based cross-sectional study of self-stigma among people with mental illness: towards patient empowerment approach. *Int J Ment Health Syst.* 2013;7(1):21.
- 54. Makanjuola V, Esan Y, Oladeji B, et al. Explanatory model of psychosis: impact on perception of self-stigma by patients in three sub-Saharan African cities. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol.* 2016;51(12):1645–1654.
- 55. Ibrahim AW, Mukhtar YM, Sadique PK, et al. A facilitybased assessment of internalized stigma among patients with severe mental illnesses in Maiduguri, North-Eastern Nigeria. *Int Neuropsychiatr Dis J.* 2016;6(1):1–11.
- 56. Chen ES, Chang WC, Hui CL, Chan SK, Lee EH, Chen EY. Self-stigma and affiliate stigma in first-episode psychosis patients and their caregivers. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol.* 2016;51(9):1225–1231.
- 57. Xu Z, Lay B, Oexle N, Drack T, et al. Involuntary psychiatric hospitalization, stigma stress and recovery: a 2-year study. *Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci.* 2018a;31:1–8.
- 58. Rüsch N, Müller M, Lay B, et al. Emotional reactions to involuntary psychiatric hospitalization and stigma-related stress among people with mental illness. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci.* 2014;264(1):35–43.
- Chang CC, Wu TH, Chen CY, Lin CY. Comparing self-stigma between people with different mental disorders in Taiwan. J Nerv Ment Dis. 2016;204(7):547–553.
- 60. Rüsch N, Corrigan PW, Wassel A, et al. Ingroup perception and responses to stigma among persons with mental illness. *Acta Psychiatr Scand.* 2009;120(4):320–328.
- Hsiao CY, Lu HL, Tsai YF. Effect of family sense of coherence on internalized stigma and health-related quality of life among individuals with schizophrenia. *Int J Ment Health Nurs.* 2018;27(1):138–146.
- 62. Sorsdahl KR, Kakuma R, Wilson Z, Stein DJ. The internalized stigma experienced by members of a mental health advocacy group in South Africa. *Int J Soc Psychiatry.* 2012;58(1):55–61.
- 63. Verhaeghe M, Bracke P. Associative stigma among mental health professionals: implications for professional and service user well-being. *J Health Soc Behav.* 2012;53(1):17–32.
- 64. Chan KKS, Lam CB. The impact of familial expressed emotion on clinical and personal recovery among patients with psychiatric disorders: the mediating roles of self-stigma content and process. *Am J Orthopsychiatry*. 2018;88(6):626–635.
- 65. Chan RCH, Mak WWS, Lam MYY. Self-stigma and empowerment as mediating mechanisms between ingroup perceptions and recovery among people with mental illness. *Stigma and Health.* 2018a;3(3):283–293.
- 66. Gaziel M, Hasson-Ohayon I, Morag-Yaffe M, Schapir L, Zalsman G, Shoval G. Insight and satisfaction with life among adolescents with mental disorders: assessing associations with self-stigma and parental insight. *Eur Psychiatry*. 2015;30(2):329–333.
- 67. Mak WW, Wu CF. Cognitive insight and causal attribution in the development of self-stigma among individuals with schizophrenia. *Psychiatr Serv.* 2006;57(12):1800–1802.
- Park SG, Bennett ME, Couture SM, Blanchard JJ. Internalized stigma in schizophrenia: relations with dysfunctional attitudes, symptoms, and quality of life. *Psychiatry Res.* 2013;205(1–2):43–47.

- 69. West ML, Mulay AL, DeLuca JS, et al. Forensic psychiatric experiences, stigma, and self-concept: a mixed-methods study. *J Forens Psychiatry Psychol.* 2018;29:574–596.
- Lysaker PH, Vohs J, Hasson-Ohayon I, Kukla M, Wierwille J, Dimaggio G. Depression and insight in schizophrenia: comparisons of levels of deficits in social cognition and metacognition and internalized stigma across three profiles. *Schizophr Res.* 2013;148(1–3):18–23.
- 71. Chan RCH, Mak WWS. Common sense model of mental illness: understanding the impact of cognitive and emotional representations of mental illness on recovery through the mediation of self-stigma. *Psychiatry Res.* 2016;246:16–24.
- Chiu MY, Davidson L, Lo WT, Yiu MG, Ho WW. Modeling self-agency among people with schizophrenia: empirical evidence for consumer-based recovery. *Psychopathology*. 2013;46(6):413–420.
- 73. Kvrgic S, Cavelti M, Beck EM, Rüsch N, Vauth R. Therapeutic alliance in schizophrenia: the role of recovery orientation, self-stigma, and insight. *Psychiatry Res.* 2013;209(1):15–20.
- Hamann J, Bühner M, Rüsch N. Self-stigma and consumer participation in shared decision making in mental health services. *Psychiatr Serv.* 2017;68(8):783–788.
- 75. Cavelti M, Kvrgic S, Beck EM, Rüsch N, Vauth R. Selfstigma and its relationship with insight, demoralization, and clinical outcome among people with schizophrenia spectrum disorders. *Compr Psychiatry*. 2012;53(5):468–479.
- 76. Lien YJ, Chang HA, Kao YC, Tzeng NS, Lu CW, Loh CH. Insight, self-stigma and psychosocial outcomes in Schizophrenia: a structural equation modelling approach. *Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci.* 2018;27(2):176–185.
- 77. Lysaker PH, Roe D, Yanos PT. Toward understanding the insight paradox: internalized stigma moderates the association between insight and social functioning, hope, and self-esteem among people with schizophrenia spectrum disorders. *Schizophr Bull.* 2007;33(1):192–199.
- Valiente C, Provencio M, Espinosa R, Duque A, Everts F. Insight in paranoia: the role of experiential avoidance and internalized stigma. *Schizophr Res.* 2015;164(1–3):214–220.
- 79. Konsztowicz S, Lepage M. The role of illness engulfment in the association between insight and depressive symptomatology in schizophrenia. *J Psychiatr Res.* 2019;111:1–7.
- MacDougall AG, Vandermeer MR, Norman RM. Negative future self as a mediator in the relationship between insight and depression in psychotic disorders. *Schizophr Res.* 2015;165(1):66–69.
- Aukst-Margetić B, Jakšić N, Boričević Maršanić V, Jakovljević M. Harm avoidance moderates the relationship between internalized stigma and depressive symptoms in patients with schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Res.* 2014;219(1):92–94.
- Espinosa R, Valiente C, Rigabert A, Song H. Recovery style and stigma in psychosis: the healing power of integrating. *Cogn Neuropsychiatry*. 2016;21(2):146–155.
- Świtaj P, Grygiel P, Anczewska M, Wciórka J. Loneliness mediates the relationship between internalised stigma and depression among patients with psychotic disorders. *Int J Soc Psychiatry.* 2014;60(8):733–740.
- Rossi A, Galderisi S, Rocca P, et al. Personal resources and depression in schizophrenia: the role of self-esteem, resilience and internalized stigma. *Psychiatry Res.* 2017;256:359–364.
- Mashiach-Eizenberg M, Hasson-Ohayon I, Yanos PT, Lysaker PH, Roe D. Internalized stigma and quality of life among persons with severe mental illness: the mediating roles of self-esteem and hope. *Psychiatry Res.* 2013;208(1):15–20.

- 86. Stip E, Caron J, Tousignant M, Lecomte Y. Suicidal ideation and schizophrenia: contribution of appraisal, stigmatization, and cognition. *Can J Psychiatry*. 2017;62(10):726–734.
- 87. Oexle N, Waldmann T, Staiger T, Xu Z, Rüsch N. Mental illness stigma and suicidality: the role of public and individual stigma. *Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci.* 2018;27(2):169–175.
- Shin YJ, Joo YH, Kim JH. Self-perceived cognitive deficits and their relationship with internalized stigma and quality of life in patients with schizophrenia. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2016;12:1411–1417.
- 89. Corrigan PW, Bink AB, Schmidt A, Jones N, Rüsch N. What is the impact of self-stigma? Loss of self-respect and the "why try" effect. *J Ment Health*. 2016;25(1):10–15.
- 90. Cunningham KC, Lucksted A. Social cognition, internalized stigma, and recovery orientation among adults with serious mental illness. *Psychiatr Rehabil J.* 2017;40(4):409–411.
- 91. Corrigan PW, Michaels PJ, Powell K, et al. Who comes out with their mental illness and how does it help? *J Nerv Ment Dis.* 2016;204(3):163–168.
- 92. Galderisi S, Rucci P, Kirkpatrick B, et al. Interplay among psychopathologic variables, personal resources, contextrelated factors, and real-life functioning in individuals with schizophrenia: a network analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2018;75(4):396–404.
- 93. Dubreucq J, Plasse J, Gabayet F, et al. Self-stigma in serious mental illness and autism spectrum disorder: results from the REHABase national psychiatric rehabilitation cohort. *Eur Psychiatry*. 2020;63(1):e13.
- Yildirim T, Kavak Budak F. The relationship between internalized stigma and loneliness in patients with schizophrenia. *Perspect Psychiatr Care.* 2020;56(1):168–174. doi: 10.1111/ppc.12399.
- 95. Prasko J, Ociskova M, Grambal A, et al. Personality features, dissociation, self-stigma, hope, and the complex treatment of depressive disorder. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2016;12:2539–2552.
- 96. Oexle N, Rüsch N, Viering S, et al. Self-stigma and suicidality: a longitudinal study. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci.* 2017;267(4):359–361.
- 97. Ho RWH, Chang WC, Kwong VWY, et al. Prediction of self-stigma in early psychosis: 3-Year follow-up of the randomized-controlled trial on extended early intervention. *Schizophr Res.* 2018;195:463–468.
- Ben-Zeev D, Frounfelker R, Morris SB, Corrigan PW. Predictors of self-stigma in schizophrenia: new insights using mobile technologies. *J Dual Diagn.* 2012;8(4):305–314.
- 99. Xu Z, Müller M, Lay B, et al. Involuntary hospitalization, stigma stress and suicidality: a longitudinal study. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol.* 2018;53(3):309–312.
- 100. Lysaker PH, Davis LW, Warman DM, Strasburger A, Beattie N. Stigma, social function and symptoms in schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder: associations across 6 months. *Psychiatry Res.* 2007;149(1–3):89–95.
- 101. Lysaker PH, Roe D, Ringer J, Gilmore EM, Yanos PT. Change in self-stigma among persons with schizophrenia enrolled in rehabilitation: associations with self-esteem and positive and emotional discomfort symptoms. *Psychol Serv.* 2012;9(3):240–247.
- 102. Moses T. Coping strategies and self-stigma among adolescents discharged from psychiatric hospitalization: a 6-month follow-up study. *Int J Soc Psychiatry*. 2015;61(2):188–197.
- 103. Lysaker PH, Tunze C, Yanos PT, Roe D, Ringer J, Rand K. Relationships between stereotyped beliefs about mental illness, discrimination experiences, and distressed mood over 1 year

among persons with schizophrenia enrolled in rehabilitation. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol.* 2012;47(6):849–855.

- 104. Lagger N, Amering M, Sibitz I, Gmeiner A, Schrank B. Stability and mutual prospective relationships of stereotyped beliefs about mental illness, hope and depressive symptoms among people with schizophrenia spectrum disorders. *Psychiatry Res.* 2018;268:484–489.
- 105. Pearl RL, Forgeard MJC, Rifkin L, et al. Internalized stigma of mental illness: changes and associations with treatment outcomes. *Stigma Health*. 2017;2:2–15.
- 106. Sibitz I, Provaznikova K, Lipp M, Lakeman R, Amering M. The impact of recovery-oriented day clinic treatment on internalized stigma: preliminary report. *Psychiatry Res.* 2013;209(3):326–332.
- 107. Rüsch N, Nordt C, Kawohl W, et al. Work-related discrimination and change in self-stigma among people with mental illness during supported employment. *Psychiatr Serv.* 2014;65(12):1496–1498.
- 108. Segal SP, Silverman CJ, Temkin TL. Self-stigma and empowerment in combined-CMHA and consumer-run services: two controlled trials. *Psychiatr Serv.* 2013;64(10):990–996.
- Cavelti M, Rüsch N, Vauth R. Is living with psychosis demoralizing? Insight, self-stigma, and clinical outcome among people with schizophrenia across 1 year. J Nerv Ment Dis. 2014;202(7):521–529.
- Lysaker PH, Yanos PT, Outcalt J, Roe D. Association of stigma, self-esteem, and symptoms with concurrent and prospective assessment of social anxiety in schizophrenia. *Clin Schizophr Relat Psychoses.* 2010;4(1):41–48.
- 111. Pyle M, Stewart SL, French P, et al. Internalized stigma, emotional dysfunction and unusual experiences in young people at risk of psychosis. *Early Interv Psychiatry*. 2015;9(2):133–140.
- 112. Ritsher JB, Phelan JC. Internalized stigma predicts erosion of morale among psychiatric outpatients. *Psychiatry Res.* 2004;129(3):257–265.
- 113. Rüsch N, Oexle N, Thornicroft G, et al. Self-contempt as a predictor of suicidality: a longitudinal study. J Nerv Ment Dis. 2019;207(12):1056–1057.
- 114. Rüsch N, Corrigan PW, Wassel A, et al. Self-stigma, group identification, perceived legitimacy of discrimination and mental health service use. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2009;195(6):551–552.
- 115. Chio FHN, Mak WWS, Chan RCH, et al. Unraveling the insight paradox: one-year longitudinal study on the relationships between insight, self-stigma, and life satisfaction among people with schizophrenia spectrum disorders. *Schizophr Res.* 2018;197:124–130. doi: S0920-9964(18)30034-30033.
- Oexle N, Müller M, Kawohl W, et al. Self-stigma as a barrier to recovery: a longitudinal study. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci.* 2018;268(2):209–212.
- 117. Campbell DG, Bonner LM, Bolkan CR, et al. stigma predicts treatment preferences and care engagement among veterans affairs primary care patients with depression. *Ann Behav Med.* 2016;50(4):533–544.
- Berry C, Greenwood K. Direct and indirect associations between dysfunctional attitudes, self-stigma, hopefulness and social inclusion in young people experiencing psychosis. *Schizophr Res.* 2018;193:197–203.
- 119. Yanos PT, Lysaker PH, Roe D. Internalized stigma as a barrier to improvement in vocational functioning among people with schizophrenia-spectrum disorders. *Psychiatry Res.* 2010;178(1):211–213.

- 120. Xu Z, Mayer B, Müller M, et al. Stigma and suicidal ideation among young people at risk of psychosis after one year. *Psychiatry Res.* 2016;243:219–224.
- 121. Xu Z, Müller M, Heekeren K, et al. Self-labelling and stigma as predictors of attitudes towards help-seeking among people at risk of psychosis: 1-year follow-up. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci.* 2016;266(1):79–82.
- 122. Yanos PT, West ML, Gonzales L, Smith SM, Roe D, Lysaker PH. Change in internalized stigma and social functioning among persons diagnosed with severe mental illness. *Psychiatry Res.* 2012;200(2–3):1032–1034.
- 123. Sibitz I, Unger A, Woppmann A, Zidek T, Amering M. Stigma resistance in patients with schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull.* 2011;37(2):316–323.
- 124. Bifftu BB, Dachew BA, Tiruneh BT. Stigma resistance among people with schizophrenia at Amanuel Mental Specialized Hospital Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: a cross-sectional institution based study. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2014;14:259.
- 125. Mosanya TJ, Adelufosi AO, Adebowale OT, Ogunwale A, Adebayo OK. Self-stigma, quality of life and schizophrenia: an outpatient clinic survey in Nigeria. *Int J Soc Psychiatry.* 2014;60(4):377–386.
- 126. Vidović D, Brecić P, Vilibić M, Jukić V. Insight and selfstigma in patients with schizophrenia. *Acta Clin Croat*. 2016;55(1):23–28.
- 127. Ran MS, Zhang TM, Wong IY, et al. Internalized stigma in people with severe mental illness in rural China. *Int J Soc Psychiatry.* 2018;64(1):9–16.
- 128. Firmin RL, Luther L, Salyers MP, Buck KD, Lysaker PH. Greater metacognition and lower fear of negative evaluation: potential factors contributing to improved stigma resistance among individuals diagnosed with schizophrenia. *Isr J Psychiatry Relat Sci.* 2017;54(1):50–54.
- 129. Kao YC, Lien YJ, Chang HA, Tzeng NS, Yeh CB, Loh CH. Stigma resistance in stable schizophrenia: the relative contributions of stereotype endorsement, self-reflection, self-esteem, and coping styles. *Can J Psychiatry*. 2017;62(10):735–744.
- 130. Nabors LM, Yanos PT, Roe D, et al. Stereotype endorsement, metacognitive capacity, and self-esteem as predictors of stigma resistance in persons with schizophrenia. *Compr Psychiatry.* 2014;55(4):792–798.
- 131. Chan KKS, Lee CWL, Mak WWS. Mindfulness model of stigma resistance among individuals with psychiatric disorders. *Mindfulness.* 2018b;9:1433–1442.
- 132. Campellone TR, Caponigro JM, Kring AM. The power to resist: the relationship between power, stigma, and negative symptoms in schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Res.* 2014;215(2):280–285.
- 133. O'Connor LK, Yanos PT, Firmin RL. Correlates and moderators of stigma resistance among people with severe mental illness. *Psychiatry Res.* 2018;270:198–204.
- 134. Krendl AC, Pescosolido BA. Countries and cultural differences in the stigma of mental illness: the east–west divide. *J Cross-Cult Psychol.* 2020;51(2):149–167.
- 135. Alonso J, Buron A, Bruffaerts R, et al. Association of perceived stigma and mood and anxiety disorders: results from the World Mental Health Surveys. *Acta Psychiatr Scand.* 2008;118(4):305–314.
- 136. Rose D, Willis R, Brohan E, et al. Reported stigma and discrimination by people with a diagnosis of schizophrenia. *Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci.* 2011;20(2):193–204.
- 137. Üçok A, Karadayı G, Emiroğlu B, Sartorius N. Anticipated discrimination is related to symptom severity,

functionality and quality of life in schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Res.* 2013;209(3):333–339.

- Lv Y, Wolf A, Wang X. Experienced stigma and self-stigma in Chinese patients with schizophrenia. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. 2013;35(1):83–88.
- 139. Li J, Guo YB, Huang YG, et al. Stigma and discrimination experienced by people with schizophrenia living in the community in Guangzhou, China. *Psychiatry Res.* 2017;255:225–231.
- 140. Yang LH, Thornicroft G, Alvarado R, Vega E, Link BG. Recent advances in cross-cultural measurement in psychiatric epidemiology: utilizing 'what matters most' to identify culture-specific aspects of stigma. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2014;43(2):494–510.
- 141. Xu Z, Huang F, Kösters M, Rüsch N. Challenging mental health related stigma in China: systematic review and metaanalysis. II. Interventions among people with mental illness. *Psychiatry Res.* 2017;255:457–464.
- 142. Special Eurobarometer n°248. *Mental Well-being*. 2006. https://ec.europa.eu/health/ph_information/documents/ ebs_248_en.pdf. Accessed December 26, 2019.
- 143. Special Eurobarometer n°345. *Mental Health*. 2010. https:// ec.europa.eu/commfrontoffice/publicopinion/archives/ebs/ ebs_345_en.pdf. Accessed December 26, 2019.
- 144. Pescosolido BA, Medina TR, Martin JK, Long JS. The "backbone" of stigma: identifying the global core of public prejudice associated with mental illness. *Am J Public Health*. 2013;103(5):853–860.
- 145. Pew Research Center. *Eastern and Western Europeans Differ* on Importance of Religion, Views of Minorities, and Key Social Issues. 2018. www.pewresearch.org. Accessed September 22, 2019.
- 146. Pew Research Center. *European Public Opinion Three Decades After the Fall of Communism.* 2019. www.pewresearch.org. Accessed September 22, 2019.
- 147. Gonzales L, Yanos PT, Stefancic A, Alexander MJ, Harney-Delehanty B. The role of neighborhood factors and community stigma in predicting community participation among persons with psychiatric disabilities. *Psychiatr Serv.* 2018;69(1):76–83.
- 148. DeLuca JS, Vaccaro J, Seda J, Yanos PT. Political attitudes as predictors of the multiple dimensions of mental health stigma. *Int J Soc Psychiatry*. 2018;64(5):459–469.
- 149. Zhang YB, Lin MC, Nonaka A, et al. Harmony, hierarchy and conservatism: a cross-cultural comparison of confucian values in China, Korea, Japan, and Taiwan. *Commun Res Rep.* 2005;22(2):107–115.
- 150. Yang LH, Link BG, Ben-David S, et al. Stigma related to labels and symptoms in individuals at clinical high-risk for psychosis. *Schizophr Res.* 2015;168(1–2):9–15.
- 151. Mulfinger N, Müller S, Böge I, et al. Honest, Open, Proud for adolescents with mental illness: pilot randomized controlled trial. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry.* 2018;59(6):684–691.
- 152. James TT, Kutty VR. Assessment of internalized stigma among patients with mental disorders in Thiruvananthapuram district, Kerala, India. *Asia Pac J Public Health.* 2015;27(4):439–449.
- 153. Segalovich J, Doron A, Behrbalk P, Kurs R, Romem P. Internalization of stigma and self-esteem as it affects the capacity for intimacy among patients with schizophrenia. *Arch Psychiatr Nurs.* 2013;27(5):231–234.
- 154. Johnson S, Lamb D, Marston L, et al. Peer-supported self-management for people discharged from a mental

health crisis team: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2018;392(10145):409-418.

- 155. Thornicroft G, Farrelly S, Szmukler G, et al. Clinical outcomes of Joint Crisis Plans to reduce compulsory treatment for people with psychosis: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2013;381(9878):1634–1641.
- 156. Ashcraft L, Bloss M, Anthony WA. Best practices: the development and implementation of "no force first" as a best practice. *Psychiatr Serv.* 2012;63(5):415–417.
- 157. Meadows G, Brophy L, Shawyer F, et al. REFOCUS-PULSAR recovery-oriented practice training in specialist mental health care: a stepped-wedge cluster randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2019;6(2):103–114.
- 158. Browne J, Mueser KT, Meyer-Kalos P, Gottlieb JD, Estroff SE, Penn DL. The therapeutic alliance in individual resiliency training for first episode psychosis: relationship with treatment outcomes and therapy participation. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* 2019;87(8):734–744.
- 159. Green MF, Horan WP, Lee J. Nonsocial and social cognition in schizophrenia: current evidence and future directions. *World Psychiatry*. 2019;18(2):146–161.
- Granholm E, Harvey PD. Social skills training for negative symptoms of schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull.* 2018;44(3):472–474.
- 161. Xia J, Merinder LB, Belgamwar MR. Psychoeducation for schizophrenia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2011;15(6):CD002831.
- 162. Dubreucq J, Ycart B, Gabayet F, et al. Towards an improved access to psychiatric rehabilitation: availability and effectiveness at 1-year follow-up of psychoeducation, cognitive remediation therapy, cognitive behaviour therapy and social skills training in the FondaMental Advanced Centers of Expertise-Schizophrenia (FACE-SZ) national cohort. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci.* 2019;269(5):599–610.
- 163. Pharoah F, Mari J, Rathbone J, et al. Family intervention for schizophrenia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2010;(12):CD000088.
- Corrigan PW, Watson AC, Miller FE. Blame, shame, and contamination: the impact of mental illness and drug dependence stigma of family members. *J Fam Psychol.* 2006;20:239–246.
- 165. Glynn SM, Cohen AN, Niv N. New challenges in family interventions for schizophrenia. *Expert Rev Neurother*. 2007;7(1):33–43.
- 166. Vauth R, Bull N, Schneider G. Emotions- und stigmafokussierte Angehörigenarbeit bei psychotischen Störungen. Göttingen: Hogrefe; 2009.
- 167. Hasson-Ohayon I, Pijnenborg GHM, Ben-Pazi A, Taitel S, Goldzweig G. Coping with information style and family burden: possible roles of self-stigma and hope among parents of children in a psychiatric inpatient unit. *Eur Psychiatry*. 2017;42:8–13.
- 168. Morris E, Hippman C, Murray G, et al. Self-stigma in relatives of people with mental illness scale: development and validation. *Br J Psychiatry.* 2018;212(3):169–174.
- 169. Grambal A, Prasko J, Kamaradova D, et al. Self-stigma in borderline personality disorder—cross-sectional comparison with schizophrenia spectrum disorder, major depressive disorder, and anxiety disorders. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2016;12:2439–2448.
- 170. Kamaradova D, Latalova K, Prasko J, et al. Connection between self-stigma, adherence to treatment, and discontinuation of medication. *Patient Prefer Adherence*. 2016;10:1289–1298.

- 171. Szcześniak D, Kobyłko A, Wojciechowska I, Kłapciński M, Rymaszewska J. Internalized stigma and its correlates among patients with severe mental illness. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2018;14:2599–2608.
- 172. Sibitz I, Amering M, Unger A, et al. The impact of the social network, stigma and empowerment on the quality of life in patients with schizophrenia. *Eur Psychiatry.* 2011;26(1):28–33.
- 173. Galderisi S, Rossi A, Rocca P, et al. The influence of illnessrelated variables, personal resources and context-related factors on real-life functioning of people with schizophrenia. *World Psychiatry*: 2014;13(3):275–287.
- 174. Rossi A, Galderisi S, Rocca P, et al. The relationships of personal resources with symptom severity and psychosocial functioning in persons with schizophrenia: results from the Italian Network for Research on Psychoses study. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci.* 2017;267(4):285–294.
- 175. Bouvet C, Bouchoux A. [Exploring the relationship between internalized stigma, insight and depression for inpatients with schizophrenia]. *Encephale*. 2015;41(5):435–443.
- 176. Vrbova K, Prasko J, Holubova M, et al. Self-stigma and schizophrenia: a cross-sectional study. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2016;12:3011–3020.
- 177. Surmann M, Gruchalla LV, Falke S, et al. The importance of strengthening competence and control beliefs in patients with psychosis to reduce treatment hindering self-stigmatization. *Psychiatry Res.* 2017;255:314–320.
- 178. Holubova M, Prasko J, Matousek S, et al. Comparison of self-stigma and quality of life in patients with depressive disorders and schizophrenia spectrum disorders—a cross-sectional study. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2016;12:3021–3030.
- 179. Uhlmann C, Kaehler J, Harris MS, Unser J, Arolt V, Lencer R. Negative impact of self-stigmatization on attitude toward medication adherence in patients with psychosis. *J Psychiatr Pract.* 2014;20(5):405–410.
- 180. Post F, Pardeller S, Frajo-Apor B, et al. Quality of life in stabilized outpatients with bipolar I disorder: associations with resilience, internalized stigma, and residual symptoms. *J Affect Disord.* 2018;238:399–404.
- 181. Quenneville AF, Badoud D, Nicastro R, et al. Internalized stigmatization in borderline personality disorder and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in comparison to bipolar disorder. *J Affect Disord*. 2020;262:317–322.
- 182. Lanfredi M, Zoppei S, Ferrari C, et al. Self-stigma as a mediator between social capital and empowerment among people with major depressive disorder in Europe: the ASPEN study. *Eur Psychiatry*. 2015;30(1):58–64.
- 183. Ociskova M, Prasko J, Kamaradova D, Grambal A, Sigmundova Z. Individual correlates of self-stigma in patients with anxiety disorders with and without comorbidities. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat.* 2015;11:1767–1779.
- 184. Moritz S, Spirandelli K, Happach I, Lion D, Berna F. Dysfunction by disclosure? Stereotype threat as a source of secondary neurocognitive malperformance in obsessive-compulsive disorder. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. 2018;24(6):584–592.
- 185. Boyd JE, Juanamarga J, Hashemi P. Stigma of taking psychiatric medications among psychiatric outpatient veterans. *Psychiatr Rehabil J.* 2015;38(2):132–134.
- Drapalski AL, Lucksted A, Perrin PB, et al. A model of internalized stigma and its effects on people with mental illness. *Psychiatr Serv.* 2013;64(3):264–269.

- 187. Chronister J, Chou CC, Liao HY. The role of stigma coping and social support in mediating the effect of societal stigma on internalized stigma, mental health recovery, and quality of life among people with serious mental illness. *J community psychol* 2013;41:582–600.
- 188. Kira IA, Ramaswamy V, Lewandowski L, Mohanesh J, Abdul-Khalek H. Psychometric assessment of the Arabic version of the Internalized Stigma of Mental Illness (ISMI) measure in a refugee population. *Transcult Psychiatry*. 2015;52(5):636–658.
- 189. Tomar N, Brinkley-Rubinstein L, Ghezzi MA, Van Deinse TB, Burgin S, Cuddeback GS. Internalized stigma and its correlates among justice-involved individuals with mental illness. *Int J Ment Health*. 2019;49(20):1–11. doi:10.1080/00207411. 2019.1703358
- 190. Jahn DR, Leith J, Muralidharan A, et al. The influence of experiences of stigma on recovery: mediating roles of internalized stigma, self-esteem, and self-efficacy. *Psychiatr Rehabil* J. 2020;43(2):97–105.
- 191. Villotti P, Corbière M, Dewa CS, et al. A serial mediation model of workplace social support on work productivity: the role of self-stigma and job tenure self-efficacy in people with severe mental disorders. *Disabil Rehabil.* 2018;40(26):3113–3119.
- 192. Firmin RL, Lysaker PH, Luther L, et al. Internalized stigma in adults with early phase versus prolonged psychosis. *Early Interv Psychiatry*. 2019;13(4):745–751.
- 193. Link BG, Wells J, Phelan JC, Yang L. Understanding the importance of "symbolic interaction stigma": how expectations about the reactions of others adds to the burden of mental illness stigma. *Psychiatr Rehabil J.* 2015;38(2):117–124.
- 194. Bassirnia A, Briggs J, Kopeykina I, Mednick A, Yaseen Z, Galynker I. Relationship between personality traits and perceived internalized stigma in bipolar patients and their treatment partners. *Psychiatry Res.* 2015;230(2):436–440.
- 195. Hill K, Startup M. The relationship between internalized stigma, negative symptoms and social functioning in schizo-phrenia: the mediating role of self-efficacy. *Psychiatry Res.* 2013;206(2–3):151–157.
- 196. Grover S, Avasthi A, Singh A, et al. Stigma experienced by patients with severe mental disorders: a nationwide multicentric study from India. *Psychiatry Res.* 2017;257:550–558.
- 197. Maharjan S, Panthee B. Prevalence of self-stigma and its association with self-esteem among psychiatric patients in a Nepalese teaching hospital: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2019;19(1):347.
- 198. Singh A, Mattoo SK, Grover S. Stigma and its correlates in patients with schizophrenia attending a general hospital psychiatric unit. *Indian J Psychiatry*. 2016;58(3):291–300.
- 199. Pal A, Sharan P, Chadda RK. Internalized stigma and its impact in Indian outpatients with bipolar disorder. *Psychiatry Res.* 2017;258:158–165.
- 200. Grover S, Hazari N, Aneja J, Chakrabarti S, Avasthi A. Stigma and its correlates among patients with bipolar disorder: a study from a tertiary care hospital of North India. *Psychiatry Res.* 2016;244:109–116.
- 201. Sahoo S, Grover S, Malhotra R, Avasthi A. Internalized stigma experienced by patients with first-episode depression: a study from a tertiary care center. *Indian J Soc Psychiatry*. 2018;34:21–29.
- 202. Picco L, Pang S, Lau YW, et al. Internalized stigma among psychiatric outpatients: associations with quality

of life, functioning, hope and self-esteem. *Psychiatry Res.* 2016;246:500–506.

- 203. Pribadi T, Lin EC, Chen PS, Lee SK, Fitryasari R, Chen CH. Factors associated with internalized stigma for Indonesian individuals diagnosed with schizophrenia in a community setting. *J Psychiatr Ment Health Nurs.* 2020;27(5):584–594.
- 204. Kim EY, Jang MH. The mediating effects of self-esteem and resilience on the relationship between internalized stigma and quality of life in people with schizophrenia. Asian Nurs Res (Korean Soc Nurs Sci). 2019;13(4):257-263.
- 205. Lu Y, Wang X. Correlation between insight and internalized stigma in patients with schizophrenia. *Shanghai Arch Psychiatry*. 2012;24(2):91–98.
- 206. Park K, MinHwa L, Seo M. The impact of self-stigma on self-esteem among persons with different mental disorders. *Int J Soc Psychiatry*. 2019;65(7–8):558–565.
- 207. Woon LS, Khoo SI, Baharudin A, Midin M. Association between insight and internalized stigma and other clinical factors among patients with depression: a cross-sectional study. *Indian J Psychiatry*. 2020;62(2):186–192.
- Ghanean H, Nojomi M, Jacobsson L. Internalized stigma of mental illness in Tehran, Iran. *Stigma Research and Action* 2011;1:11–17
- 209. Tanriverdi D, Kaplan V, Bilgin S, et al. The comparison of internalized stigmatization levels of patients with different mental disorders. J Subst Use. 2019;25(3):251–257. doi: 10.1080/14659891.2019.1675790
- 210. Hasson-Ohayon I, Mashiach-Eizenberg M, Lysaker PH, Roe D. Self-clarity and different clusters of insight and self-stigma in mental illness. *Psychiatry Res.* 2016;240:308–313.
- 211. Korkmaz G, Küçük L. Internalized stigma and perceived family support in acute psychiatric in-patient units. *Arch Psychiatr Nurs.* 2016;30(1):55–61.

- 212. Çapar M, Kavak F. Effect of internalized stigma on functional recovery in patients with schizophrenia. *Perspect Psychiatr Care.* 2019;55(1):103–111.
- 213. Olçun Z, Şahin Altun Ö. The correlation between schizophrenic patients' level of internalized stigma and their level of hope. *Arch Psychiatr Nurs.* 2017;31(4):332–337.
- 214. Yılmaz E, Okanlı A. The effect of internalized stigma on the adherence to treatment in patients with schizophrenia. *Arch Psychiatr Nurs.* 2015;29(5):297–301.
- 215. Cerit C, Filizer A, Tural Ü, Tufan AE. Stigma: a core factor on predicting functionality in bipolar disorder. *Compr Psychiatry.* 2012;53(5):484–489.
- 216. Sadighi G, Reza Khodaei M, Fadai F, et al. Self-stigma among people with bipolar-I disorder in Iran. *Iran Rehabil J.* 2015;13(1):28–32.
- 217. Adewuya AO, Owoeye AO, Erinfolami AO, Ola BA. Correlates of self-stigma among outpatients with mental illness in Lagos, Nigeria. *Int J Soc Psychiatry*. 2011;57(4):418–427.
- 218. Asrat B, Ayenalem AE, Yimer T. Internalized stigma among patients with mental illness attending psychiatric follow-up at Dilla University Referral Hospital, Southern Ethiopia. *Psychiatry J.* 2018;2018:1987581.
- 219. Fadipe B, Adebowale TO, Ogunwale A, et al. Internalized stigma in schizophrenia: a cross-sectional study of prevalence and predictors. *Int J Cult Ment Health*. 2018;11(1):1–12. doi: 10.1080/17542863.2018.1450431
- 220. Assefa D, Shibre T, Asher L, Fekadu A. Internalized stigma among patients with schizophrenia in Ethiopia: a cross-sectional facility-based study. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2012;12:239.
- 221. Lau YW, Picco L, Pang S, et al. Stigma resistance and its association with internalised stigma and psychosocial outcomes among psychiatric outpatients. *Psychiatry Res.* 2017;257:72–78.
- 222. Karakaş SA, Okanlı A, Yılmaz E. The effect of internalized stigma on the self-esteem in patients with schizophrenia. *Arch Psychiatr Nurs.* 2016;30(6):648–652.