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Abstract

Service-Oriented Computing (SOC) is the computing paradigm that utilizes services as fundamental elements for developing applications/solutions. To build the service model, SOC relies on the Service Oriented Architecture (SOA), which is a way of reorganizing software applications and infrastructure into a set of interacting services. However, the basic SOA does not address overarching concerns such as management, service orchestration, service transaction management and coordination, security, and other concerns that apply to all components in a services architecture.

In this paper we introduce an Extended Service Oriented Architecture that provides separate tiers for composing and coordinating services and for managing services. Based upon this extended SOA, we furthermore explore two potential research projects in the context of business-rule driven service composition and service transaction management.

1 Introduction

Service-Oriented Computing (SOC) is the computing paradigm that utilizes services as fundamental elements for developing applications/solutions. Services are self-describing, platform-agnostic computational elements that support rapid, low-cost composition of distributed applications. Services perform functions, which can be anything from simple requests to complicated business processes. Services allow organizations to expose their core competencies programmatically over the Internet (or intranet) using standard (XML-based) languages and protocols, and be implemented via a self-describing interface based on open standards.

Because services provide a uniform and ubiquitous information distributor for wide range of computing devices (such as handheld computers, PDAs, cellular telephones, or appliances) and software platforms (e.g., UNIX or Windows), they constitute the next major step in distributed computing.

Services are offered by service providers - organizations that procure the service implementations, supply their service descriptions, and provide related technical and business support. Since services may be offered by different enterprises and communicate over the Internet, they provide a distributed computing infrastructure for both intra- and cross-enterprise application integration and collaboration. Clients of services can be other solutions or applications within an enterprise or clients outside the enterprise, whether these are external applications, processes or customers/users. Consequently, to satisfy these requirements services should be:

- **Technology neutral**: they must be invocable through standardized lowest common denominator technologies that are available to almost all IT environments. This implies that the invocation mechanisms (protocols, descriptions and discovery mechanisms) should comply with widely accepted standards.

- **Loosely coupled**: they must not require knowledge or any internal structures or conventions (context) at the client or service side.

- **Support location transparency**: services should have their definitions and location information stored in a repository such as UDDI and be accessible by a variety of clients that can locate and invoke the services irrespective of their location.

Services come in two flavors: *simple* and *composite services*. The unit of reuse with services is functionality that is in place and readily available and deployable as services that are capable of being managed to achieve the required level of service quality. Composite services
involve assembling existing services that access and combine information and functions from possibly multiple service providers. For example, consider a collection of simple services that accomplish a specific business task, such as order tracking, order billing, and customer relationships management. A enterprise may offer a composite web service that composes these services together to create a distributed e-business application that provides customized ordering, customer support, and billing for a specialized product line (e.g., telecommunication equipment, medical insurance, etc). Accordingly, services help integrate applications that were not written with the intent to be easily integrated with other distributed applications and define architectures and techniques to build new functionality while integrating existing application functionality.

Service-based applications are developed as independent sets of interacting services offering well-defined interfaces to their potential users. This is achieved without the necessity for tight coupling of distributed applications between transacting partners, nor does it require pre-determined agreements to be put into place before the use of an offered service is allowed.

While the services encapsulate the business functionality, some form of inter-service infrastructure is required to facilitate service interactions and communication. Different forms of this infrastructure are possible because services may be implemented on a single machine, distributed across a set of computers on a local area network, or distributed more widely across several wide area networks. A particularly interesting case is when the services use the Internet as the communication medium and open Internet-based standards. A web service is a specific kind of service that is identified by a URI and exhibits the following characteristics:

- It exposes its features programmatically over the Internet using standard Internet languages and protocols, and
- It can be implemented via a self-describing interface based on open Internet standards (e.g., XML interfaces which are published in a network-based repositories).

Interactions of web-services occur as SOAP calls carrying XML data content and the service descriptions of the web-services are expressed using WSDL [15] as the common (XML-based) standard. WSDL is used to publish a web service in terms of its ports (addresses implementing this service), port types (the abstract definition of operations and exchanges of messages), and bindings (the concrete definition of which packaging and transportation protocols such as SOAP are used to inter-connect two conversing endpoints). The UDDI [14] standard is a directory service that contains service publications and enables web-service clients to locate candidate services and discover their details.

Web services share the characteristics of more general services, but they require special consideration as a result of using a public, insecure, low-fidelity mechanism for inter-service interactions.

2 A historic view on software as a service

The concept of software-as-a-service espoused by SOC is revolutionary and appeared first with the ASP (Applications Service Provider) software model. An ASP is a third party entity that deploys, hosts and manages access to a packaged application and delivers software-based services and solutions to customers across a wide area network from a central data center. Applications are delivered over networks on a subscription or rental basis. In essence, ASPs were a way for companies to outsource some or even all aspects of their information technology needs.

By providing a centrally hosted Intent application, the ASP takes primary responsibility for managing the software application on its infrastructure, using the Internet as the conduit between each customer and the primary software application. What this means for an enterprise is that the ASP maintains the application, the associated infrastructure, and the customer’s data and ensures that the systems and data are available whenever needed.

Although the ASP model introduced the concept of software-as-a-service first, it suffered from several inherent limitations such as the inability to develop highly interactive applications, inability to provide complete customizable applications [7]. This resulted in monolithic architectures, highly fragile, customer-specific, non-reusable integration of applications based on tight coupling principles. Today we are in the midst of another significant development in the evolution of software-as-a-service architected for loosely-coupled asynchronous interactions on the basis of XML-based standards with intention to make access to and communications of applications over the Internet easier.

The SOC paradigm allows the software-as-a-service concept to expand to include the delivery of complex business processes and transactions as a service, while permitting applications be constructed on the fly and services to be reused everywhere and by anybody. Perceiving the relative benefits of (web) services technology many ASPs are modifying their technical infrastructures and business models to be more akin to those of web service providers.

3 The basic service oriented architecture

To build integration-ready applications the service model relies on the service-oriented architecture (SOA). SOA is a
way of reorganizing a portfolio of previously siloed software applications and support infrastructure into an interconnected set of services, each accessible through standard interfaces and messaging protocols. Once all the elements of an enterprise architecture are in place, existing and future applications can access these services as necessary without the need of convoluted point-to-point solutions based on in-scrutable proprietary protocols. This architectural approach is particularly applicable when multiple applications running on varied technologies and platforms need to communicate with each other. In this way, enterprises can mix and match services to perform business transactions with minimal programming effort.

The fundamental logical view of a service in the basic SOA is that it is a service interface and implementation. A service is usually a business function implemented in software, wrapped with a formal documented interface that is well known and known where to be found not only by agents who designed the service but also by agents who do not know about how the service has been designed and yet want to access and use it. Black box encapsulation inherits this feature from the principles of modularity in software engineering, e.g., modules, objects and components. Services are different from all of these forms of modularity in that they represent complete business functions, they are intended to be reused and engaged in new transactions not at the level of an individual program or even application but at the level of the enterprise or even across enterprises. They are intended to represent meaningful business functionality that can be assembled into a larger and new configurations depending on the need of particular kinds of users particular client channels.

The interface simply provides the mechanism by which services communicate with applications and other services. Technically, the service interface is the description of the signatures of a set of operations that are available to the service client for invocation. The service specification must explicitly describe all the interfaces that a client of this service expects as well as the service interfaces that must be provided by the environment into which the service is assembled/composed. As service interfaces of composed services are provided by other (possibly singular) services, the service specification serves as a means to define how a composite service interface can be related to the interfaces of the imported services and how it can be implemented out of imported service interfaces. This is shown in Figure 2. In this sense the service specification has a mission identical to a composition meta-model that provides a description of how the web-service interfaces interact with each other and how to define a new web-service interface (<PortType>) as a collection (assembly) of existing ones (imported <PortType>s), see Figure-2. A service specification, thus, defines the encapsulation boundary of a service, and consequently determines the granularity of

Figure 1. The basic Service Oriented Architecture.

SOA is a logical way of designing a software system to provide services to either end-user applications or other services distributed in a network through published and discoverable interfaces. The basic SOA defines an interaction between software agents as an exchange of messages between service requesters (clients) and service providers. Clients are software agents that request the execution of a service. Providers are software agents that provide the service. Agents can be simultaneously both service clients and providers. Providers are responsible for publishing a description of the service(s) they provide. Clients must be able to find the description(s) of the services they require and must be able to bind to them.

The basic SOA is not an architecture only about services, it also involves a relationship of three kinds of participants: the service provider, the service discovery agency, and the service requestor (client). The interactions pertain to publish, find and bind operations [4], see Figure-1. Roles and operations act upon the service artifacts: the service description and the service implementation. In a typical service-based scenario a service provider hosts a network accessible software module (a implementation of a given service). The service provider defines a service description of the service and publishes it to a client or service discovery agency through which a service description is published and made discoverable. The service requestor uses a find operation to retrieve the service description typically from a the discovery agency, i.e., a registry or repository like UDDI, and uses the service description to bind with the service provider and invoke the service or interact with service implementation. Service provider and service requestor roles are logical constructs and a service may exhibit characteristics of both.
replaceability of web-service interface compositions. This is the only way to design services reliably using imported services without knowledge of their implementations. As service development requires that we deal with multiple imported service interfaces it is useful to introduce this stage the concept of a service usage interface. A service usage interface is simply the interface that the service exposes to its clients [10]. This means that the service usage interface is not different from the imported service interfaces in Figure-2, it is, however, the only interface viewed by a client application.

Figure-2 distinguishes between two broad aspects of services: service deployment, which we examined already, versus service realization. The service realization strategy involves choosing from an increasing diversity of different options for services, which may be mixed in various combinations including:

- In house service design and implementation. Once a service is specified, the design of its interfaces or sets of interfaces and the coding of its actual implementation happens in-house.

- Purchasing/leasing/paying for services. Complex web-services that are used to develop trading applications are commercialisable software commodities that may be acquired from a service provider, rather than implemented internally. These types of services are very different from the selling of shrink-wrapped software components, in that payment should be on an execution basis for the delivery of the service, rather than on a one-off payment for an implementation of the software. For complex trading web-services, the service provider may have different charging policies such as payment per usage, payment on a subscription basis, lifetime services and so on.

- Outsourcing service design and implementation. Once a service is specified, the design of its interfaces or sets of interfaces and the coding of its actual implementation may be outsourced. Software outsourcings are advantageous in the case of organizations that have become frustrated with the shortcomings of their internal IT departments.

- Using wrappers and/or adapters. Non-component implementations for services may include database functionality or legacy software accessed by means of adapters or wrappers. Wrappers reuse legacy code by converting the legacy functionality and encapsulating it inside components. Adapters use legacy code in combination with newly developed code. This newly developed code may contain new business logic and rules that supplement the converted legacy functionality.

Service descriptions are used to advertise the service capabilities, interface, behavior, and quality. Publication of such information about available services (on a service registry) provides the necessary means for discovery, selection, binding, and composition of services. In particular, the service interface description publishes the service signature while the service capability description states the conceptual purpose and expected results of the service. The (expected) behavior of a service during its execution is described by its service behavior description (e.g., as a workflow process). Finally, the Quality of Service (QoS) description publishes important functional and non-functional service quality attributes, such as service metering and cost, performance metrics (response time, for instance), security attributes, (transactional) integrity, reliability, scalability, and availability.

Service implementation can also be very involved because in many occasions many organizations rely on single monolithic programs to represent the single service or service method implementation. But very often in order to fulfill the functions of a service multiple programs are involved, e.g., programs that belong to multiple applications of new programs that belong to multiple applications. Application composition and integration very often is involved in fulfilling the service. At the logical level of the service we do not pay any attention to this. All we need to know is that there is a business function implemented in software somehow and this is the interface to it. At development time we care, however, how the service is implemented. more specifically, what are the methods and the internal construction of the implementation.

The service in the basic SOA is designed in such a way that it can be invoked by various service clients and is logically decoupled from any service caller (loose coupling). Services can be reused and one does not have to look inside the service to understand what it does. There are no assumptions of any kind in the service as to what kind of service consumer is using and for what purpose and in what context. In SOA the service is not coupled with its callers, in fact it knows nothing about them. However, the service callers are very much coupled with the service as they know what the services are what they call and what they can accomplish . In summary, in SOA service consumers make targeted named calls, they target specific services through specific interfaces that are exposed by the services and therefore they are very much dependent on availability and the version of the service that they are calling.

4 The extended service oriented architecture

The basic SOA does not address overarching concerns such as management, service orchestration, service transaction management and coordination, security, and other con-
cerns that apply to all components in a services architecture. Such concerns are addressed by the extended SOA (ESOA) [11] that is depicted in Figure-3. This layered architecture utilizes the basic SOA constructs as its bottom layer.

The service composition layer in the ESOA encompasses necessary roles and functionality for the consolidation of multiple services into a single composite service. Resulting composite services may be used by service aggregators as components (i.e., basic services) in further service compositions or may be utilized as applications/solutions by service clients. Service aggregators thus become service providers by publishing the service descriptions of the composite service they create. A service aggregator is a service provider that consolidates services that are provided by other service providers into a distinct value added service. Service aggregators develop specifications and/or code that permit the composite service to perform functions that include the following:

- Coordination: controls the execution of the component services, and manages dataflow among them and to the output of the component service (e.g., by specifying workflow processes and using a workflow engine for run-time control of service execution).
- Monitoring: allows subscribing to events or information produced by the component services, and publish higher-level composite events (e.g., by filtering, summarizing, and correlating component events).
- Conformance: ensures the integrity of the composite service by matching its parameter types with those of its components, imposes constraints on the component services (e.g., to ensure enforcement of business rules), and performs data fusion activities.
- QoS composition: leverages, aggregates, and bundles the component's QoS to derive the composite QoS, including the composite service's overall cost, performance, security, authentication, privacy, (transactional) integrity, reliability, scalability, and availability.

The recently proposed standard Business Process Execution Language for web services (BPEL) [5] is an XML-based effort to addresses the definition of a new web service in terms of compositions of existing services. A BPEL process is defined "in the abstract" by referencing and interlinking portTypes specified in the WSDL definitions of the web services involved in a process.

Managing e-business critical applications requires in-depth administration capabilities and integration across a diverse, distributed environment. Any downtime of key
e-business systems has a negative impact on business to
the extent of throwing you out of the market. To counter
such a situation, enterprises need to constantly monitor the
health of their applications. The performance should be
in tune, at all times and under all load conditions. Appli-
cation management is thus an indispensable element of
the ESOA that includes performance management and busi-
ness/application specific management.

To manage critical applications/solutions and specific
markets, ESOA provides managed services in the service
management layer depicted at the top of the ESOA pyra-
mid. In particular, ESOA’s operations management func-
tionality is aimed at supporting critical applications that re-
quire enterprises to manage the service platform, the de-
ployment of services and the applications. Operations man-
gement functionality may provide detailed application per-
formance statistics that support assessment of the applica-
tion effectiveness, permit complete visibility into individual
business transactions, and deliver application status notifi-
cations when a particular activity is completed or when a de-
cision condition is reached. We refer to the organization re-
sponsible for performing such operation management func-
tions as the service operator. Depending on the application
requirements a service operator may be a service client or
aggregator.

Operations management is a critical function that can be
used to monitor the correctness and overall functionality of
aggregated/orchestrated services thus avoiding a severe risk
of service errors. In this way one can avoid typical errors
that may occur when individual service-level agreements
(SLAs) are not properly matched. This fact was illustrated
by the failure of the rail network operator in the UK, appar-
ently triggered in part by a complete mismatch between the
SLAs imposed on the track repair subcontractors and the
SLAs and legitimate safety expectations of the train com-
panies [3]. Proper management and monitoring provides a
strong mitigation of this type of risk, since the operations
management level allows business managers to check the
correctness, consistency and adequacy of the mappings be-
tween the input and output service operations and aggregate
services in a service composition.

Another aim of ESOA’s service management layer is to
provide support for open service marketplaces. Currently,
there exist several vertical industry marketplaces, such as
those for the semiconductor, automotive, travel, and finan-
cial services industries. Open service marketplaces operate
much in the same way like vertical marketplaces, how-
ever, they are open. Their purpose is to create opportunities
for buyers and sellers to meet and conduct business elec-
tronically, or aggregate service supply/demand by offering
added value services and grouping buying power (just like
a co-op). The scope of such a service marketplace would be
limited only by the ability of enterprises to make their offer-
ings visible to other enterprises and establish industry spe-
cific protocols by which to conduct business. Open service
marketplaces typically support supply chain management
by providing to their members a unified view of products
and services, standard business terminology, and detailed
business process descriptions. In addition, service market-
places must offer a comprehensive range of services sup-
porting industry-trade, including services that provide busi-
ness transaction negotiation and facilitation, financial set-
tlement, service certification and quality assurance, rating
services, service metrics such as number of current service
requesters, average turn around time, and manage the nego-
tiation and enforcement of SLAs. ESOA’s service manage-
ment layer includes market management functionality (as
illustrated in Figure-3) that is aimed to support these mar-
ketplace functions. The marketplace is created and main-
tained by a market maker (a consortium of organizations)
that brings the suppliers and vendors together. The market
maker assumes the responsibility of marketplace adminis-
tration and performs maintenance tasks to ensure the ad-
ministration is open for business and, in general, provides
facilities for the design and delivery of an integrated service
that meets specific business needs and conforms to industry
standards.

The ESOA service management functions can rely on
grid computing as it targets manageability. One of the aims
of grid computing is the ability to manage ever-growing
and ever more complex networks without overheads. The
grid service domain architecture is a high level abstraction
model that describes the common behaviors, attributes, and
operations and interfaces to allow a collection of services to
function as an integral unit and collaborate with others in a
fully distributed, heterogeneous, grid-enabled environment.
Service grids constitute a key component of the distributed
services management as the scope of services expands be-
yond the boundaries of a single enterprise to encompass a
broad range of business partners, as is the case in open mar-
ketplaces. For this purpose grid services can be used to pro-
vide the functionality of the ESOA’s service management
layer [6], [13].

The principal strengths of web services are complemen-
tary, with web services focusing on platform-neutral de-
scription, discovery and invocation, and grid services fo-
cusing on the dynamic discovery and efficient use of dis-
tributed computational resources. This complementarity of
Web and Grid Services has given rise to the proposed Open
Grid Services Architecture (OGSA) [6] [13], which makes
the functionality of grid services available through web ser-
vice interfaces. Grid services are stateful services that pro-
vide a set of well-defined interfaces and follow specific con-
ventions to facilitate coordinating and managing collections
of web service providers/aggregators. The grid service in-
dicates how a client can interact with it and is defined in
WSDL. The state of the service is exposed to its clients
as a standard interface that addresses web service filtering,
discovery, routing, aggregation, selection, data and context
sharing, notification and life-time management.

5 Future Research

Up till now, we surveyed concepts behind Service Ori-
ented Computing and explained how the basic Service Or-
iented Architecture helps deliver service-based applications.
We argued that in order to provide the advanced functional-
ity needed to deliver sophisticated e-business applications
an Extended Service Oriented Architecture is necessary.
This architecture includes a service composition tier to of-
f er necessary roles and functionality for the consolidation
of multiple services into a single composite service. It also
provides a tier for service operations management that can
be used to monitor the correctness and overall functional-
ity of aggregated/orchesteated services and support for open
service marketplaces.

The extended service oriented architecture allows us to
identify, explore and categorize open issues. In particular,
we are interested in the following two open research area
that can be positioned at the level of the composition layer
in the Extended SOA:

1. Although several standards for web-service compo-
sition are emerging, the current web service composition
solutions, even for the applications developed on the
basis of the standard BPEL are rather restricted and in-
flexible as they lack proper support for dynamic com-
position generation and service composition life cycle
management. This research will aim to remedy this
situation by developing a rule based approach for web
service composition which combines the best practice
from rule base systems and software engineering to
support polymorphic parameterization, loose coupling
and dynamic binding, with flexible, semi-automatic
composition of services.

In particular, this research is directed towards achiev-
ing the following three research goals:

- Investigate ways to specify, categorize, special-
ize and reuse business rules. In contrast to
"traditional" rule based systems, business rules
are geared towards selection and reuse of web-
services and focuses not only at defining func-
tional policies but also at constraints for extra-
functional properties of web-services such as the
constraints on scheduling, the criteria and condition of task and resource selection, run-time constraints for service execution, time, cost and quality concerns for service provider selection, etc.

- Currently, service composition is performed in an ad-hoc fashion. This situation is not desirable as it does not encourage "clean" design and architecture, reuse of existing building blocks, and, consistency of multi-programmer supported application construction projects. The second goal of this project is to develop a flexible methodology for rule-driven service composition, that not only facilitates fixed compositions but also semi-fixed or explorative assemblies.

- The methodology should be developed in such a way that it supports future maintenance and reuse. This is particularly difficult as policies tend to change radically over time. Hence, the third goal of this project is to investigate ways to provide change management so that new business rules can be specified and implemented for relevant web-components in a service- constellation without affecting the other ones.

2. At the same time, emerging standards provide minimal support for creating reliable, meaningful e-business applications. In fact, they only offer rudimentary mechanisms for appropriately connecting together and coordinating complex, long-lived business activities performed on the basis of web services.

To cure this situation, we propose to develop a conceptual transaction model and support mechanisms for advanced transactional behavior of complex service-oriented business processes that span organizations. The model should allow expressing unconventional atomicity criteria, e.g., payment atomicity, conversation atomicity, contract atomicity, and may possess the ability to express collaborative agreements and business conversation sequences that rely on transactional support.

The model should rely on a phased approach to business transactions so that all exchange of information between partners on the terms they could commit to, e.g., to fix price and quantity, are kept outside the "pure" transaction protocol. This results in enhancing flexibility and reducing latency and expensive transaction compensations and rollbacks in business interactions. Finally, a formal methodology could be developed to verify the correctness of the properties of the transaction model and thus pave the way for practical implementations.

Other research issues include, but are not restricted to, developing methodological support for designing business processes with web-services and retrofitting existing applications so that they can be embedded in new, service-driven business processes.
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