Acetate Production from Hydrogen and [¹³C]Carbon Dioxide by the Microflora of Human Feces

STEVEN F. LAJOIE,^{1†} SHELTON BANK,¹ TERRY L. MILLER,^{2*} AND MEYER J. WOLIN²

Department of Chemistry, State University of New York–Albany, Albany, New York 12222,¹ and Wadsworth Center for Laboratories and Research, New York State Department of Health, Albany, New York 12201-0509²

Received 31 May 1988/Accepted 4 August 1988

Fecal suspensions from humans were incubated with ${}^{13}CO_2$ and H_2 . The suspensions were from subjects who harbored 10^8 and 10^{10} methanogens per g (dry weight) of feces, respectively, and from a subject who did not harbor methanogens. Quantitative nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy showed that acetate labeled in both the methyl and carboxyl groups was formed by suspensions from the subject without methanogens and the subject with the lower concentrations of methanogens. The amounts of labeled acetate formed were in agreement with the amounts expected based on measurements of H_2 utilization. No labeled acetate was formed by suspensions from the subject with the higher concentrations of methanogens, and essentially all of the H_2 used was accounted for by CH_4 production. Suspensions from the subject with lower concentrations of methanogens produced both methane and acetate from H_2 and CO_2 . The results indicate that reduction of CO_2 to acetate may be a major pathway for microbial production of acetate in the human colon except when very high concentrations of methanogens (ca. 10^{10} per g [dry weight] of feces) are present. Double-labeled acetate was also formed from H_2 and ${}^{13}CO_2$ by fecal suspensions from nonmethanogenic and moderately methanogenic rats.

Plant cell wall carbohydrates (cellulose, hemicellulose, and pectin) are important constituents of food, particularly when diets are high in fiber. They are not digested by enzymes synthesized by humans. Complex eubacterial microbial communities in the colon of humans and other monogastric animals use these carbohydrates as major substrates for a fermentation that produces mainly acetic, propionic, and butyric acids and H_2 and CO_2 (5, 20).

Methanogens are often present in the colon of monogastric animals (15). These archaebacteria use the H_2 formed by the eubacterial community to produce CH_4 . Two species have been found in the human colon (15). The methanogen responsible for the production of significant amounts of colonic CH₄ is Methanobrevibacter smithii, which uses H₂ to reduce CO_2 to CH_4 (12, 18). A less numerous species, Methanosphaera stadtmaniae, uses H_2 to reduce methanol to CH_4 and is incapable of producing CH_4 from CO_2 (14). Some people have essentially no CH₄ formed in their colon, whereas others have constant daily production of several liters a day (1) because the concentration of Methanobrevi*bacter smithii* varies from <10 to 10^{10} per g (dry weight) of feces (18). Individuals with 10^8 to 10^{10} *M. smithii* per g (dry weight) produce approximately 0.03 to 3 liters of methane per day and have detectable (>1 ppm [>1 μ l/liter]) breath CH_4 (15). About 80% of the CH_4 and net H_2 produced in the colon is eliminated as flatus, and about 20% is transported to the blood and eventually eliminated in expired breath (1). For every liter of CH_4 produced daily, there are 4 liters of H_2 produced and used in the colon. However, there is net daily production of about 1 liter of H_2 in the colon whether or not CH_4 is a significant product (1). Either much less H_2 is produced in the absence of significant methanogenesis or there is an alternative use of the electrons that are used for the reduction of CO_2 to CH_4 . Since the use of H_2 to reduce CO_2 to acetate has been shown to occur in the ceca of rats (16), Wolin and Miller hypothesized that this alternative path of CO_2 reduction was a major human colonic process in the absence of significant methanogenesis (20). Therefore, we measured the production of acetate from CO_2 and H_2 by the microflora of human feces and the incorporation of $^{13}CO_2$ into acetate. The results presented in this report indicate that the production of acetate from H_2 and CO_2 is an active process in humans who are not highly "methanogenic." We also present results of similar experiments conducted with the fecal flora of methanogenic and nonmethanogenic strains of rats.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Human subjects. Human subjects were in their mid-20s to mid-30s and volunteered to participate in the study. All subjects consumed normal Western diets, and none had any diagnosed colonic disease or other health complaints. Subjects B and D participated in earlier studies of human fecal methanogenesis (12, 13). Human fecal fermentation studies were initially approved by the New York State Department of Health Institutional Review Board in January 1982, and protocols were reviewed and approved yearly thereafter.

Animals. Wistar and DA strain rats were obtained from colonies maintained by the central animal facility of the Wadsworth Center. The Wistar colony was established in 1959 with animals obtained from the Walter Reed Army Hospital and have been maintained by random breeding. The DA rats were originally obtained from R. N. Smith, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio, and the colony has been maintained at the Wadsworth Center by random breeding. The animals used in this study were 9- to 18-month-old males. All animals were fed commercial laboratory rat chow and water ad libitum and maintained on a 12-h light-dark schedule. The animals were transferred to raised wire cages for ca. 1 h for collection of fresh fecal

^{*} Corresponding author.

[†] Present address: U.S. Army Toxic and Hazardous Materials Agency, AMX TH-1R, Aberdeen Proving Grounds, MD 21010.

pellets. Rat fecal fermentation studies were initially approved by the Wadsworth Animal Welfare Committee in June 1983, and protocols were reviewed and approved yearly thereafter.

Enumeration procedures. The anaerobic techniques and media for enumeration of total viable anaerobe and methanogen concentrations in feces were as described by Miller and Wolin (11, 12). The 10^{-1} dilution was prepared by the stomacher method (13). Serial dilutions (10-fold) were prepared in anaerobic dilution solution (3). For enumerations with human feces, all media and dilution solution were reduced with 1.25% (each) cysteine hydrochloride and Na₂S · 10H₂O. For enumerations with rat feces, media and dilution solution were reduced with 1.25% (each) dithiothreitol and Na₂S \cdot 10H₂O. For total anaerobe counts, 0.5 ml of each appropriate dilution was inoculated in duplicate roll tubes containing a complex medium with 10% rumen fluid and agar and a 100% CO₂ atmosphere. Methanogens were enumerated in a similar fashion in the complex medium with the addition of cephalothin and clindamycin and an 80% H₂-20% CO₂ atmosphere. All incubations were at 37°C. At 14 days, roll tube colonies were counted and portions of the headspace of antibiotic-containing roll tubes were analyzed for methane. The remainder of the 10^{-1} dilution (ca. 10 ml) was used for enrichment of methanogens. The bottles were regassed and pressurized to 203 kPa with 80% H₂-20% CO₂, incubated for 14 days, and analyzed for methane. Dry fecal weights were determined as described previously (12).

Production of acetate from ¹³CO₂. Suspensions, 10% (wt/ vol), of freshly collected feces were prepared in anaerobic dilution solution in which NaH¹³CO₃ (99% enriched) were substituted for NaHCO₃ (natural abundance of ^{13}C , 1.1%). Suspensions were mixed in a stomacher (Lab-Blender 80; Tekmar Co., Cincinnati, Ohio) for 30 s. After 5 ml was dispensed into duplicate serum bottles (ca. 100 ml) of known calibrated volume under N₂, the atmosphere of one bottle was replaced with H_2 , a butyl rubber stopper was inserted, and the bottle was then sealed with an aluminum seal. To avoid overpressure, a syringe was inserted to collect and discard displaced gas. The initial H₂ concentration was calculated from the difference of the liquid volume and the calibrated volume of the closed serum bottle. The second bottle was sealed under N_2 in a similar fashion. The remainder of the fecal suspension was centrifuged, and the supernatant was used to determine the concentrations of endogenous soluble compounds.

Addition of ${}^{13}\dot{CO}_2$ to make the atmosphere 20% ${}^{13}CO_2$ was accomplished by adding H_2SO_4 to a solution containing the stoichiometric amount of labeled NaHCO₃ necessary for generation of the volumetric requirement for ¹³CO₂. We used three plastic syringes connected with a three-way stopcock (Popper & Sons, Inc., New Hyde Park, N.Y.) to generate the CO₂. Bicarbonate solution was syringed into a 50-ml syringe from a 5- or 10-ml syringe. The stopcock was closed to the solution source and opened between the 50-ml syringe and a 50-ml syringe containing excess acid. Generated gas displaced the rubber-gasketed plunger of the syringe containing bicarbonate. The contents of the two syringes were then mixed by drawing the plunger of the acid syringe back and forth with final collection of all gas in the bicarbonate syringe and all liquid in the acid syringe. The stopcock was closed, the acid syringe with liquid was removed, and the collected gas in the other syringe was added through a 0.2-µm filter to the serum bottle containing the fecal suspension. The filter removed any traces of liquid that remained in the syringe containing the labeled CO_2 . All

additions were made while the suspensions were held on ice. Control fermentations with an N_2 -¹³CO₂ gas phase were prepared in an identical fashion.

After addition of labeled CO_2 , suspensions were rapidly warmed to 37°C, incubated for 24 h with shaking (50 rpm), and terminated by the addition of 5 N H₂SO₄ (0.2 ml). The suspensions were then equilibrated to room temperature, excess gas pressure was measured by displacement of the plunger of an inserted syringe, and portions of the headspace gas were removed for quantitation of H₂ and CH₄ as described below. After quantitation of gases, the bottles were gassed with N₂ for 10 min. Acidified suspensions were centrifuged at 12,062 × g for 10 min, and the supernatants were analyzed for fermentation products by high-pressure liquid chromatography.

NMR methods. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded with a Bruker WH 90 FT spectrometer and a Varian XL 300 spectrophotometer operating at 22.64 and 75.43 MHz, respectively. Pulses of 60 s were used, and the recycling time was 2 s. For the WH 90 experiments, broadband ¹H decoupling was used, and for the XL 300 experiments, Waltz decoupling was used. The number of transients acquired ranged from 1,200 to 33,000, although usually 1,200 were sufficient. The NMR locking material was deuterium oxide, and the internal standards were dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and dioxane. DMSO was purified by heating with calcium hydride and distillation.

Quantitative calibration plots were developed by using singly labeled ¹³C sodium acetate enriched with ¹³C at either C-1 or C-2 in appropriate combinations with unlabeled sodium acetate. Eighteen standard solutions were prepared in D₂O. Nine solutions were labeled in the C-1 position and nine were labeled in the C-2 position. The concentrations of ¹³C label ranged from 1.1 (natural abundance) to 99% enriched. A calibrated quantity of internal standard (DMSO, dioxane, or both in some instances) was added to each standard solution. The standard solution was added to a 10-mm NMR tube, and 1,200 transients were acquired under identical spectral conditions. The DMSO peak at 40.6 ppm or the dioxane peak at 67.3 ppm or both were used as references. The singly labeled carbonyl had a chemical shift of 177.4 ppm and the singly labeled methyl had a peak at 21.2 ppm, which agreed well with literature values (17). The intensities of the labeled acetate peaks and the internal standards were determined by integration. Plots of the ratio of peak intensities of C-1 or C-2 to internal standard versus label concentration gave straight lines. For a given concentration of labeled ¹³C, the peak intensity ratio of the methyl carbon to standard was 1.73 times greater than that of the carbonyl due to NOE and relaxation time differences. Doubly labeled sodium acetate gave an AX pattern ($^{2}J = 60$ Hz) with doublets at each position and chemical shifts and peak intensity ratios in excellent agreement with those of the singly labeled materials.

NMR analysis of fermentation samples. Acidified fermentation supernatant (1 ml) was diluted with 1 ml of D_2O , and calibrated quantities of DMSO and dioxane were added. The concentrations of singly and doubly labeled acetates were determined by integration of the relevant labeled peaks and the internal standards and by the calibration plots described above. Formate was identified by comparison of chemical shifts with literature values (17).

Other analytical methods. Soluble fermentation products were determined by high-pressure liquid chromatographic procedures (6). We used an Aminex ion-exclusion column (HPX-87H; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Richmond, Calif.) at 35°C

 TABLE 1. Total viable anaerobes and methanogen concentrations in human and rat feces

Fecal	% Dry	Log ₁₀ per g (dry wt) of feces			
source	fecal wt	Total anaerobes	Methanogens		
Humans					
В	22.9	11.3	10.1		
D	23.7	11.6	7.7		
J	28.7	11.4	<2		
Rats					
Wistar	51.4	10.7	9.1		
DA	35.6	10.4	<2		

and eluted with 0.013 N H_2SO_4 (0.55 ml/min; 77 kg of F per cm²). Compounds were detected by refractive index, identified and quantified with a Shimadzu C-R3A integrator (Shimadzu Scientific, Baltimore, Md.) and by the absolute calibration curve method. H_2 and CH₄ were quantified by described gas chromatographic procedures (4). Materials. ¹³C-labeled C-1 and C-2 and doubly labeled

Materials. ¹³C-labeled C-1 and C-2 and doubly labeled sodium acetate were from MSD Isotopes, Montreal, Quebec, Canada, and were 99% enriched. ¹³C-labeled sodium bicarbonate (99% enriched) was purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Woburn, Mass. All other chemicals were of reagent grade or better.

RESULTS

Enumeration of feces. The dry matter, methanogen, and total viable anaerobe concentrations of feces of the three human subjects are shown in Table 1. The concentrations of viable anaerobes were similar in the three subjects. Subject B had high concentrations of methanogens $(10^{10} \text{ per g}, \text{ dry} \text{ weight})$, whereas subject D had only moderate concentrations of methanogens (ca. $10^8 \text{ per g}, \text{ dry} \text{ weight})$. We showed that the concentrations of viable anaerobes and methanogens in feces of subjects B and D are constant over long time periods (13). Subject J did not have a significant methanogen population. Methane was not detected in the headspace of roll tubes of the lowest plated dilution (10^{-3}) or in 14-day enrichment cultures.

The dry matter, methanogen, and total viable anaerobe concentrations of Wistar and DA rat feces are also shown in Table 1. The two rat strains had similar total viable anaerobe concentrations. However, the total viable anaerobe concentrations were lower than that measured in human feces. The Wistar rat feces contained moderately high concentrations of methanogens, whereas DA rat feces did not contain significant concentrations of methanogens.

Stoichiometry of acetate and CH₄ production from H₂-¹³CO₂. The conversion of H₂ and ¹³CO₂ to CH₄ or acetate was investigated with fecal suspensions from the three human subjects. Table 2 shows the amount of H₂ used and the amounts of acetate and CH₄ produced after incubation of the fecal suspensions with H₂ and CO₂. The amounts of acetate and CH₄ formed from endogenous substrates are also shown. Most of the H₂ was used for CH₄ production by suspensions from subject B (Table 2). The stoichiometry of H₂ disappearance and the net acetate formed over that observed in the absence of H₂ suggested that some acetate was produced by CO₂ reduction (Table 3). Small amounts of CH₄ were formed from added H₂ by suspensions from subject D (Table 2). Formate also accumulated. However, formate and CH₄ did not account for all of the H₂ that

TABLE 2. Stoichiometry of $H_2^{-13}CO_2$ fermentation by human and rat feces

Fecal source	Gas phase	Total μmol ^a of:						
		AcH	ProH	BuH	For	H ₂	CH₄	
Humans								
В	H ₂ -CO ₂	65	13	10	0	-3,237	823	
	$N_2 - CO_2$	28	10	7	0	9	41	
	Net	37	3	3	0	-3,246	782	
D	H ₂ -CO ₂	99	22	12	71	-447	24	
	$N_2 - CO_2$	46	17	17	0	0	0	
	Net	53	5	-5	71	-447	24	
J	H ₂ -CO ₂	139	49	36	0	-187	0	
	N_2 - CO_2	95	32	17	0	23	0	
	Net	44	17	19	0	-210	0	
Rats								
Wistar	H ₂ -CO ₂	318	34	34	0	-1,630	235	
	$N_2 - CO_2$	116	34	29	0	9	20	
	Net	202	0	5	0	-1,639	215	
DA	H ₂ -CO ₂	417	50	28	0	-1.052	0	
	N ₂ -CO ₂	171	58	25	0	28	0	
	Net	246	-8	3	0	-1,080	0	

" Total per 5 ml of a 10% (wt/vol) fecal suspension incubated for 24 h. AcH, ProH, and BuH are corrected for concentrations present in the initial fecal suspension. AcH, Acetate; ProH, propionate; BuH, butyrate; For, formate. Other organic acids or alcohols were not detected in either initial fecal suspensions or fecal fermentation supernatants.

disappeared (Table 3). The net acetate formed over that observed in the absence of added H_2 suggested that most of the H_2 that disappeared was used for acetate production. All of the H_2 used by the nonmethanogenic suspensions from subject J could be accounted for by the amount of acetate produced (Tables 2 and 3).

Results of similar experiments with Wistar and DA rat fecal suspensions are also shown in Table 2. All of the H_2 was apparently used for acetate formation by fecal suspensions from the nonmethanogenic DA strain, whereas approximately equivalent amounts of H_2 were used for methane and acetate formation by the methanogenic Wistar fecal suspensions (Table 3).

Formation of ¹³CH₃¹³COOH from ¹³CO₂. Supernatants from the experiments described above were analyzed by high-resolution NMR. Table 3 shows that the reduction of ¹³CO₂ with H₂ led to the formation of double-labeled acetate with suspensions from the moderately methanogenic subject D, the nonmethanogenic subject J, the nonmethanogenic DA rat strain, and the methanogenic Wistar rat strain. No ¹³C-labeled acetate was formed by the fecal suspensions from the highly methanogenic subject B. The total amounts of ¹³CH₃, ¹³COOH, and double-labeled acetate quantified by NMR were in reasonable agreement with the expected amounts of acetate formed when calculated from residual H₂ that was not used for CH₄ or formate formation (Table 3). With the exception of [¹³C]formate formed by the suspensions of subject D, no other labeled compounds were detected in the fecal supernatants.

Fecal source	Total µmol								
	H_2 used ^h				Expected [¹³ C]acetate ^c from:		Observed [¹³ C]acetate		
	Total	For formate production	For CH ₄ production	H ₂	Residual H ₂	Net acetate value	Single + double	Double	
Humans									
В	3,252	0	3,128	124	31	36	0	0	
D	447	71	96	280	70	51	71	45	
J	248	0	0	248	62	36	56	37	
Rats									
Wistar	1,649	0	860	789	197	202	188	108	
DA	1,086	0	0	1,086	272	246	225	147	

TABLE 3. Formation of $[1^{3}C]$ acetate from ${}^{13}CO_2$ and H_2 by human and rat fecal suspensions"

" All calculations are based on net values in Table 2 and are for 5 ml of a 10% (wt/vol) fecal suspension incubated for 24 h.

^b Total H₂ used was calculated from net H₂ used plus an estimated amount of H₂ produced by the conversion of hexose→butyrate $+ 2H_2 + 2CO_2$. Endogenous substrate was assumed to be a hexose. The amount of H₂ used for formate and CH₄ formation was calculated according to (i) CO₂ + H₂→HCO₂H and (ii) 4H₂ + CO₂→CH₄ + 2H₂O.

+ $CO_2 \rightarrow CH_4$ + 2H₂O. ^c Expected [¹³C]acetate was calculated two ways: (i) based on the residual H₂ and $2CO_2$ + 4H₂ \rightarrow acetate + 2H₂O; and (ii) based on the observed net acetate minus an estimated amount of acetate produced by the conversion of 1.5 hexose \rightarrow 2 propionate + acetate + CO_2 . Endogenous substrate was assumed to be a hexose.

DISCUSSION

Evidence for the colonic production of acetate via a CO_2 reduction pathway includes the finding of stoichiometric conversion of H₂ and CO₂ to acetate by the human fecal suspensions and the determination that acetate formed after incubation with H_2 and ${}^{13}CO_2$ is labeled as expected if CO_2 is the precursor of both carbons of acetate. Reduction of CO_2 to acetate with H₂ as the electron donor was shown previously with rat cecal contents by Prins and Lankhorst (16) and with termite gut contents by Breznak and Switzer (2). However, it is necessary to demonstrate that significant incorporation of CO2 into acetate occurs during the fermentation of colonic substrates such as cellulose and hemicelluloses to verify that the pathway is an important source of acetate in colonic fermentations. If so, it will be necessary to evaluate the significance of H₂ as an electron donor for CO₂ reduction to acetate. Whereas colonic CH₄ formation depends on the production of H₂ by fermentation by nonmethanogenic microorganisms followed by reduction of CO_2 by methanogens, CO₂ reduction to acetate may not necessarily involve analogous interactions. It is well known that several species of bacteria produce a homoacetate fermentation of carbohydrates; e.g., 1 mol of glucose is fermented to 3 mol of acetate (9). Reduction of CO_2 to acetate with H_2 as an electron donor may be an activity of similar microorganisms in the colonic ecosystems that is not actually expressed during the fermentation of colonic substrates; i.e., H₂ may not be a true intermediate in the formation of acetate from CO₂. Alternatively, the production of some of the acetate formed from colonic substrates could involve the production of H₂ by fermentation by some microbial species and the use of H_2 by different species that reduce CO₂ to acetate.

Although some acetate was formed when suspensions of the highly methanogenic subject B were incubated with H_2 and CO_2 , no ¹³CO₂ was incorporated into acetate. We have no explanation for the source of the unlabeled acetate. It is clear that CH_4 is the major product of CO_2 reduction in subject B. The reduction of ¹³CO₂ to [¹³C]acetate by subjects D and J was approximately 8% of the amount of CO_2 reduction to CH_4 by subject B. This is probably a minimal value because the data used for the calculations were from endpoint rather than rate studies. If corrections are made for dry weight differences, the reduction of CO_2 to acetate was about 1.5 and 3 times higher for Wistar and DA rats, respectively, than for human subjects D and J. Again, these are probably minimal values.

The accumulation of $[^{13}C]$ formate in the subject D incubations appears to be due to direct reduction of CO_2 by H_2 . No formate accumulated in the absence of H_2 . Formate is the intermediate precursor of the methyl group of acetate when acetate is formed from CO_2 (9). Presumably, the flora of subject D reduced CO_2 to formate more rapidly than it was transformed to acetate and more rapidly than CO_2 was used by the methanogenic flora for production of methane.

Pure cultures of Peptostreptococcus productus and Eubacterium limosum, known human colonic carbohydratefermenting species, have been shown to use H_2 and CO_2 as growth substrates (7, 8, 10). They or unknown CO₂-reducing acetogens may interact to increase the production of acetate by H₂-forming, carbohydrate-fermenting species, as has been shown for other fermentative organisms grown with H₂-using species (19). High acetate concentrations in the human large bowel may be due to interspecies H₂ transfer between fermentative species and acetogenic species that use H_2 to reduce CO_2 to acetate or methanogens that use H_2 to reduce CO_2 to CH_4 . However, since the reduction of CO_2 to acetate can be either an interspecies or an intraspecies process, additional studies are necessary to evaluate the significance of the reduction in the formation of acetate from the major substrates of the colonic fermentation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We greatly appreciate the technical assistance of E. Currenti and E. Kusel.

This work was supported in part by Public Health Service grant Al-12044 from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Bond, J. H., Jr., R. R. Engel, and M. D. Levitt. 1971. Factors influencing pulmonary methane excretion in man. J. Exp. Med. 133:572–578.
- Breznak, J. A., and J. M. Switzer. 1986. Acetate synthesis from H₂ plus CO₂ by termite microbes. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 52: 623–630.
- 3. Bryant, M. P., and L. A. Burkey. 1953. Cultural methods and some characteristics of some of the more numerous groups of

bacteria in the bovine rumen. J. Dairy Sci. 36:205-217.

- Chen, M., and M. J. Wolin. 1977. Influence of methane production by *Methanobacterium ruminantium* on the fermentation of glucose and lactate by *Selenomonas ruminantium*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 34:756–759.
- Cummings, J. H. 1985. Fermentation in the human large intestine: evidence and implications for health. Lancet i:1206–1208.
- Ehrlich, G. G., D. F. Goerlitz, J. H. Bourell, G. V. Eisen, and E. M. Godsey. 1981. Liquid chromatographic procedure for fermentation product analysis in the identification of anaerobic bacteria. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 42:878–885.
- 7. Finegold, S. M., V. L. Sutter, and G. E. Mathisen. 1983. Normal indigenous intestinal flora, p. 3–31. *In* D. A. Hentges (ed.), Human intestinal flora in health and disease. Academic Press, Inc., New York.
- Genther, B. R. S., C. L. Davis, and M. P. Bryant. 1981. Features of rumen and sewage sludge strains of *Eubacterium limosum*, a methanol- and H₂-CO₂-utilizing species. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 42:12–19.
- Ljungdahl, L. G. 1986. The autotrophic pathway of acetate synthesis in acetogenic bacteria. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 40:415– 450.
- Lorowitz, W. H., and M. P. Bryant. 1984. *Peptostreptococcus productus* strain that grows rapidly with CO as the energy source. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 47:961–964.
- 11. Miller, T. L., and M. J. Wolin. 1974. A serum bottle modification of the Hungate technique for culturing obligate anaerobes. Appl. Microbiol. 27:985–987.

- 12. Miller, T. L., and M. J. Wolin. 1982. Enumeration of *Methanobrevibacter smithii* in human feces. Arch. Microbiol. 131:14–18.
- Miller, T. L., and M. J. Wolin. 1983. Stability of *Methanobre-vibacter smithii* populations in the microbial flora excreted from the human large bowel. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 45:317–318.
- 14. Miller, T. L., and M. J. Wolin. 1985. *Methanosphaera stadtmaniae* gen. nov., sp. nov.: a species that forms methane by reducing methanol with hydrogen. Arch. Microbiol. 141:116– 122.
- 15. Miller, T. L., and M. J. Wolin. 1986. Methanogens in human and animal intestinal tracts. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 7:223–229.
- Prins, R. A., and A. Lankhorst. 1977. Synthesis of acetate from CO₂ in the cecum of some rodents. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 1: 255-258.
- 17. Stothers, J. B. 1972. Carbon-13 NMR spectroscopy. Academic Press, Inc., New York.
- Weaver, G. A., J. A. Krause, T. L. Miller, and M. J. Wolin. 1986. Incidence of methanogenic bacteria in a sigmoidoscopy population: an association of methanogenic bacteria and diverticulosis. Gut 27:698–704.
- Wolin, M. J. 1982. Hydrogen transfer in microbial communities, p. 323-356. In A. T. Bull and J. H. Slater (ed.), Microbial interactions and communities. Academic Press, Inc., New York.
- 20. Wolin, M. J., and T. L. Miller. 1983. Carbohydrate fermentation, p. 147–165. *In* D. A. Hentges (ed.), Human intestinal flora in health and disease. Academic Press, Inc., New York.