Lemma 1 we obtain the following codes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters of C</th>
<th>$G'$ Belongs to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(271, 8, 134)</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}(7, 6, 5, 4, 2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(274, 8, 136)</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}(7, 6, 5, 4)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(279, 8, 138)</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}(7, 6, 5, 3, 2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(282, 8, 140)</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}(7, 6, 5, 3)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(286, 8, 142)</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}(7, 6, 5, 2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(289, 8, 144)</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}(7, 6, 5)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Finally, shortening each of these codes concludes the construction of $(g(8, d), 8, d)$ codes for all $d \in [131, 144]$.

VI. Conclusion

The purpose of this paper has been to show how known codes meeting the Griesmer bound can be described as a subfamily of a much wider class of such codes. One problem that has not been considered here is to decide all possible parameters these codes may have. This is a topic for further research. However, codes which meet the Griesmer bound for $k = 8$ have been constructed in this paper for all parameters for which such codes exist.
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Abstract A channel with output $Y = X + S + Z$ is examined. The state $S \sim N(0, Q)$ and the noise $Z \sim N(0, N)$ are multivariate Gaussian random variables ($I$ is the identity matrix). The input $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies the power constraint $(1/n)\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2 \leq P$. If $S$ is unknown to both transmitter and receiver then the capacity is $\frac{1}{2}\ln(1 + P/(N + Q))$ nats per channel use. However, if the state $S$ is known to the encoder, the capacity is shown to be $C^* = \frac{1}{2}\ln(1 + P/N)$, independent of $Q$. This is also the capacity of a standard Gaussian channel with signal-to-noise power ratio $P/N$. Therefore, the state $S$ does not affect the capacity of the channel, even though $S$ is unknown to the receiver. It is shown that the optimal transmitter adapts its signal to the state $S$ rather than attempting to cancel it.
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I. Introduction

Consider the communication problem depicted in Fig. 1. We wish to send an index $W \in \{1, \cdots, M\}$ to the receiver in $n$ uses of the channel. Here $M$ is the greatest integer smaller than or equal to $e^R$ and $R$ is the rate in nats per transmission. The state $S$ of the channel for $n$ transmissions is assumed to be a sequence of independent identically distributed (i.i.d.) $N(0, Q)$ random variables. The state $S$ is known to the transmitter but not to the receiver. Based on $W$ and $S$, the encoder sends a codeword $X$ which must satisfy the power constraint $(1/n)\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2 \leq P$.

The channel output is given by $Y = X + S + Z$, where $Z$ is distributed according to $N(0, N)$. Upon receipt of $Y$ the decoder creates an estimate $\hat{W}$ of the index $W$. The probability of error $P_e$ is given by

$$P_e = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=1}^{M} \Pr (\hat{W} = k | W = k).$$

As it is seen in this equation, the probability of error is defined under the assumption that the index $W$ is uniformly distributed over $\{1, \cdots, M\}$.

This is the standard Gaussian channel with input power constraint $P$, where the encoder is informed of part of the Gaussian
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additive noise sequence that will be added to his signal. Unfortunately, this information is not made available to the decoder, who will have to base his estimate \( \hat{W} \) solely on the channel output \( Y \).

Now imagine a sheet of paper covered with independent dirt spots of normally distributed intensity. In some sense, the problem of writing a message on this sheet of paper is analogous to that of sending information through the channel of Fig. 1. The writer knows the location and intensity of the dirt spots, but the reader cannot distinguish them from the ink marks applied by the writer. The title of this correspondence is based on this analogy, imprecise as it is.

There is an obvious encoding scheme to communicate over the channel of Fig. 1. If \( P > Q \), the encoder may use part of his available power to cancel \( S \). He can then use the remaining power \( P - Q \) to send information at rate \( C(P - Q) / N \), where \( C = \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 + x) \) is the capacity in nats/second/Hz of a Gaussian channel with signal-to-noise power ratio \( x \). In general, using a fraction \( 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \) of the transmitter power to partially cancel \( S \) yields a rate \( C(P/N) - (\sqrt{\alpha} - \sqrt{1 - \alpha})^2 \). This scheme may be justified by one's temptation to reduce the problem to a previously solved one, but as we shall see, it is not an optimal encoding procedure. In fact the optimal encoding uses codewords in the direction of \( S \). It looks at the space surrounding the vector \( S \) and chooses codewords that are compatible with the power constraint and far enough apart to be distinguishable when viewed from the channel output. In so doing, the encoder actually adapts its signal to the state \( S \) instead of trying to erase it.

In the next section, we show that all rates \( R < C^* = C(P/N) \) are achievable. Then we use a simple argument to show that \( C^* \) is indeed the capacity of the model under investigation.

II. CAPACITY

Gel'fand and Pinsker [1] and El Gamal and Heegard [2] have shown that the capacity of a discrete memoryless channel with random state \( S \) known to the encoder is given by

\[
C = \max_{p(x, s)} \{I(U; Y) - I(U; S)\},
\]

where the maximum is over all joint distributions of the form \( p(s)p(u, x|s)p(y|x, s) \), where \( U \) is a finite alphabet auxiliary random variable.

Their random coding argument, assuming discrete, finite alphabets and unconstrained input, can be outlined as follows. First generate \( n \) i.i.d. sequences \( U \), according to the uniform distribution over the set of typical \( U \). Next, distribute these sequences uniformly over \( e^{\alpha R} \) bins. For each sequence \( u \) let \( i(u) \) be the index of the bin containing \( u \). For encoding, given the state vector \( S \) and the message \( W \), look in bin \( W \) for a sequence \( U \) such that \( (U, S) \) is jointly typical. Declare an error if no such \( U \) can be found. If the number of sequences in bin \( W \) is larger than \( e^{\alpha R} \), the probability of finding no such \( U \) decreases to zero exponentially as \( n \) increases. Next, choose \( X \) such that \( (X, U, S) \) is jointly typical and send it through the channel. At the decoder look for the unique sequence \( U \) such that \( (U, Y) \) is jointly typical. Declare an error if more than one or no such sequence exist. Then set the estimate \( \hat{W} \).

equal to the index of the bin containing the obtained sequence \( U \).

This result can be readily extended to memoryless channels with discrete time and continuous alphabets (4, ch. 7) by considering the supremum of \( I(U_j; Y_j) - I(U_j; S_j) \) over all finite alphabet variables \( U_j \) and all partitions \( Y_j \) and \( S_j \) of the channel output and state alphabets.

The problem is reduced to that of finding an appropriate auxiliary variable \( U \). We consider \( U = X + aS \), where \( X \) and \( S \) are independent random variables distributed according to \( N(0, P) \) and \( N(0, Q) \), respectively, and \( a \) is a parameter to be determined.

Note that there could be a loss of generality in restricting attention to such \( U \), but as we shall see, the derived answer is clearly optimal. Recalling that \( Y = X + S + Z \) distributed according to \( N(0, N) \), the relevant mutual informations can be calculated to yield

\[
I(U; Y) = H(X + S + Z) - H(X + S + Z|X + aS)
\]

\[
= H(X + S + Z) + H(X + aS) - H(X + S + Z; X + aS)
\]

\[
\geq \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( (2\pi e)^2 (P + Q + N)(P + a^2 Q) \right)
\]

\[
\geq \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{(P + Q + N)(P + a^2 Q)}{PQ(1 - a)^2 + N(P + a^2 Q)} \right)
\]

and

\[
I(U; S) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{P + a^2 Q}{P} \right).
\]

Let

\[
R(a) = I(U; Y) - I(U; S).
\]

Then

\[
R(a) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{P + Q + N}{PQ(1 - a)^2 + N(P + a^2 Q)} \right).
\]

Graphs of \( I(U; Y) \), \( I(U; S) \), and \( R(a) \) as functions of \( a \) for \( P = Q = N = 1 \) are presented in Fig. 2.

Maximizing \( R(a) \) over \( a \), we get

\[
\max_a R(a) = R(a^*) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{P + Q}{P} \right) = C^*
\]

obtained for \( a^* = P/(P + N) \).

This is the desired answer. We know that the capacity of the channel cannot exceed \( \max_a I(U; Y) \), and this is the capacity when both encoder and decoder know the sequence \( S \). This expression can be easily shown to equal \( C^* \). But from (7), we achieve \( C^* \). Thus the optimality of the present scheme is immediately established.

The above mutual informations evaluated for \( U^* = X + a^* S \) are

\[
I(U^*; Y) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( 1 + \frac{P(P + Q + N)}{N(P + N)} \right)
\]

and

\[
I(U^*; S) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( 1 + \frac{PQ}{(P + N)^2} \right).
\]
It is interesting to note that $\alpha^*$ as well as $R(\alpha^*)$ (the difference between these mutual informations) do not depend on $Q$.

In what follows we reexamine the code generation and the encoding-decoding procedures outlined before to show that we can send information at rate $C^*$ with arbitrarily small probability of error and still satisfy the power constraint $P$. First, we generate $\exp(n(I(U^*; Y) - \epsilon))$ sequences $U$ ($\epsilon > 0$, arbitrarily small) with components independently drawn according to $N(0, 1 - \alpha^*Q)$. Then, we place these sequences into $e^{nK} = \exp(n(C^* - 2\epsilon))$ bins in such a way that each bin will contain the same number of sequences. The code book formed by the generated sequences and their assignments to the $e^{nK}$ bins is known to both the encoder and the decoder. Given a state vector $S = S_0$ and a message $W = k$, the encoder looks for a jointly typical pair $(U, S_0)$ among the $U$ in bin $k$. This is equivalent to looking for a sequence $U$ such that

$$\|U - \alpha^*S_0\|^2 < \delta$$

for some appropriately small $\delta$. In other words, the encoder searches for the sequence $(U - \alpha^*S_0)$ which is nearly orthogonal to $S_0$. The encoder declares an error if no such sequence is found. The number of sequences in each bin is $\exp(n(C^* - 2\epsilon))$. By arguments similar to those used in [5], [6] it can be shown that the probability of finding no suitable sequence $U$ vanishes exponentially as $n \to \infty$. Call $U_0$ the sequence obtained in this way. The encoder calculates $X_0 = U_0 - \alpha^*S_0$. With high probability $X_0$ will be typical, which is to say $X_0$ will satisfy the power constraint $\exp(n(I(U^*; S) + \epsilon))$. If not, the encoder declares an error.

Suppose no errors have occurred during the encoding procedure, the encoder sends $X_0$ over the channel. Note that the set of $X$ one might transmit is a continuum. Therefore, as we might expect, the optimal decoder will not have a good estimate of the transmitted signal nor will he have a good estimate of the sequence $S$. He will decode a linear combination of the transmitted signal and the sequence $S$.

The moral behind this result is: Do the best with what you have. This idea may also apply to other communication situations. A model in which the encoder can estimate the channel noise or where the encoder knows an interfering signal sent by a neighboring transmitter is a suitable candidate.
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The Discrete Memoryless Multiple Access Channel with Partially Cooperating Encoders
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Abstract—We introduce the communication situation in which the encoders of a multiple access channel are partially cooperating. These encoders are connected by communication links with finite capacities, which permit both encoders to communicate with each other. First we give a general definition of such a communication process (conference). Then, by proving a converse and giving an achievability proof, we establish the capacity region of the multiple access channel with partially cooperating encoders. It turns out that the optimal conference is very simple.
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