Experimental analysis of the influence of Context Awareness on Service Discovery in PNs
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Abstract—In this paper we present an experimental prototype for context aware service discovery (CASD) specifically aimed at Personal Networks. The goal is to use context information to provide the user with those services that are especially useful in his/her current situation. We describe the concept of CASD, a two-level architecture and the necessary components for performing CASD in Personal Networks. We also provide experimental performance evaluation of CASD. These results are compared to service discovery mechanism without context awareness. We show that there is some overhead associated to the CASD process, in terms of response time, while the generated network traffic may be reduced due less service description needs to be transferred between nodes.
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I. MOTIVATION AND BACKGROUND

Service discovery is an important technique for a user to locate services in a network. Without service discovery, a user must know about the presence of a service on a specific device in order to use it. In networks with a potentially large number of devices, service discovery can also help the user in managing available services on the network. This is particularly the case when considering Personal Network.

Personal Networks, which has been introduced in [1], consists of the user’s mobile devices in his/her Personal Area Network (PAN), plus other personal devices, organized in subnetworks e.g. at home or the office. The different subnetworks are connected by some interconnecting structure. The concept of Personal Networks is illustrated in Figure 1.

Fig. 1. Example illustration of the Personal Network concept, [1].

Personal Networks have been under investigation in the EU IST project “My Personal Adaptive Global Net (MAGNET)” [2] and are continuously being studied in “MAGNET Beyond”[3], with focus on federated PNs. In PN’s, there may be a large amount of devices, with each providing several services. Not all of these services are useful to the user at the same time. In certain situations it would be helpful if the service discovery system could filter services that are not relevant away. An example could be a case where a user requires a location specific service, for instance a printer. In a Personal Network searching for printers, a normal service discover will reveal all connected printers to the user. When taking into account the context of the user by using the geographical location, then only printers nearby the user will be shown to the user. Furthermore, if the printer queue on the nearest printer is rather large, it may be better to select a printer that is a bit further away. This is just one example of how two information, location and printer queue, can be used to filter away and/or order less relevant services. Other types of information can also be used to enhance service discovery, user preferences, ambient light and sound levels. Using such information to enhance service discovery we call context aware service discovery (CASD). Requirements and architecture for CASD has been studied in [4] and [5]. Similar work on context aware service discovery has been conducted in e.g. a system called Ad hoc Context Aware Network architecture (ACAN)[6], which is aimed at wireless settings, and not scalable to global level. However, most legacy service discovery systems, such as UPnP [7], SLP [8], Salutation [9], Jini [10] etc. only implements context awareness to some degree, and surely not to a sufficient level which is needed for Personal Networks.

In this paper we present an experimental performance evaluation of a CASD aimed at Personal Networks. The results are compared to service discovery which is not context aware. We show that there is some overhead associated to the CASD process, in terms of response time, while the generated network traffic may be reduced due less service description needs to be transferred between nodes.

II. SERVICE DISCOVERY ARCHITECTURE

A. High level architecture

Since PN’s may become rather large, the service discovery system must be able to cope with network sizes ranging
from small local networks to globally scaled networks. For this reason, the architecture is split into two layers, one for local discovery which covers all nodes within a local network domain and one for global discovery which covers all interconnected network domains. The concept is illustrated in Figure 2. In this constellation two entities play an important role, the Service Management Node (SMN) and the Service Assistant Node (SAN). Their role and definitions are described in the two bullets.

- **Service Management Node** (SMN): A node that maintains a repository of context data within a cluster of nodes. This node is also responsible for the interaction between itself and other SMN’s in the overlay network.
- **Service Assistant Node** (SAN): A node which can take over the role as an SMN, e.g. in cases where the SMN fails for some reason. It may also act as a proxy between the SMN and non IP devices.

### B. Service Management Layer

We define a layer on top of the transport layer which we call the Service Management Layer, Figure 3. This layer constitutes the components that perform CASD. The modules of the legacy SD modules. The INS/Twine module is used to allow the SMN for to perform global service discovery, which is done in an overlay peer to peer approach. UPnP is used for local cluster level discovery. The Service Discovery Adaptation sub Layer (SDAL) provides a coherent interface between the upper and lower components. Furthermore, the SDAL offers access functionality to the SMN service repository. The Context Management Module uses the SDAL to discovery where to obtain context information, and to maintain the values dynamically. The Service Discovery Module (SDM) together with the Service Ranker (SR) are the key components in enabling CASD, since these are used to control and rank services in the discovery process. When a service has been discovered they are given a score value using the Service Ranking module, and hereafter ranked according to the level of interest of the user. Further details of the SML and the components can be found in [11].

#### III. Context Aware Service Discovery

In this section we describe in more details the activities between the components and devices when CASD is done. The description is divided into a local and a global part, to reflect the two layered service discovery approach.

### A. Local discovery

The first part is the local discovery process, which is illustrated in Figure 4. Initially an SMN receives a service discovery request from some user, which could be another software entity, application or from a SAN (as illustrated in Figure 4). This triggers the SDM to make a lookup in the local service repository maintained by the SDAL. If any services are found, it obtains a set of parameters, specific to that service, that will used in the ranking process from the CMM module. In this paper we use the term Context Parameter Data (CPD) for such information. How these are constructed and what they constitute will be described in more detail in Section III-C. It is the responsibility of the CMM to discover and maintain these parameters. In case they are not present locally, the CMM will try to discover these parameters. Next step in the process is for the SDM to obtain the value of relevant information, e.g. location of the user, which changes over time.

![Fig. 2. MAGNET Service Discovery overlay network for service discovery, with an SMN in each cluster and SAN’s in some.](image-url)

![Fig. 3. Components in the Service Management Layer.](image-url)

![Fig. 4. High level message flow diagram for local CASD at the SMN](image-url)
More details of this process can be found in [11]. The last part of the process is to put a score value on each service based on context information and the CPD’s obtained. The ranking is then done by sorting the services according to their individual score values, which are then presented to the requester.

B. Global discovery

For the global part, the required communication can be seen in Figure 5. Initially, the overlay network is used to discover the SMNs which has services of the particular service type. Those SMNs that holds requested services, responds to the requesting SMN in order to obtain the CPD and relevant context information. In the figure this is SMN2. The SDM at SMN2 sends a request back to the SMN to obtain relevant CPD and context for SMN1. Meanwhile SMN2 requests its local CMM for context information at SMN2. When CPD, context for SMN1 and SMN2 is known, the score value is calculated. If this value is beyond a certain threshold, the service description is send to SMN1 with its score, where the response along other responses from other SMN’s is ranked according to each individual score values. The final step is then to present the result to the user.

C. Context based service ranking

The heart of CASD is in the ranking module, i.e. when calculating a score value for services based on context information, and sorting the found services after their individual score. The basic concept of the service score calculation is given in Equation (1).

\[
S_m = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} w_n f_n(\Delta x_n)}{\sum_{n=1}^{N} w_n}
\]  

(1)

where \(S_m\) is the total score of service \(m\), \(w_n\) is the \(n\)th weight, \(x_n\) is the \(n\)th context variable (\(\Delta x\) here represents the context difference between the desired and the actual context value) and \(f_n(x)\) is a context score function. The functions, parameters and inputs varies from service to service, and is a part of the CPD mentioned earlier. For instance, a printer service should be ranked based on different context information than a gateway service. Hence, the ranking system must be flexible and extensible enough to cope with a high variety of services and context information.

1) Score function: The following four functions are a part of our implementation:

- **Below**: A function that rewards values below certain values
- **Above**: A function that rewards values above certain values
- **Between**: A function that rewards values between a certain value range
- **Avoid**: A function that rewards values outside a certain value range

2) Weight parameter: Different aspects of the context service discovery need to be taken into account when weighing the output scores. Potential information are:

- Context mismatch probability, i.e. the probability that some context value used in the ranking process has changed to another value.
- The accuracy of a measured context information: If a location is known to be 100m away with a precision of ±50m, this may easily be worse than a service being 125m away ±5m.

These aspects are important, and can be used to influence the ranking by weighing the output of the score function. This has not yet been implemented, but will be left for future study.

IV. PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS

For evaluation of the proposed CASD, we focus on the following performance parameters in this paper:

- Response time: The time from a user pressing the discovery button till the service has been found
- Traffic overhead: The amount of traffic generated
- Average Bandwidth: The average bandwidth usage during the discovery, i.e. the traffic overhead divided by the test time.

The test is carried out two times, one with context awareness and one without context awareness, in order to compare and evaluate the impact of the implemented context awareness.

A. Test bed and procedure

The experimental setup is seen in Figure 6, and consists of three computers: two are connected through a fixed network using a router, and the third having a wireless 802.11g connection to one of the laptops. We limit our evaluation to three cases, in which services already have been registered at the SMN’s.
1) Local discovery, when the user triggers a local service discovery from the SMN.
2) Local discovery, when a user triggers a local service discovery from a SAN.
3) Global discovery, when the user triggers a global PN service discovery from the SAN.

The discovery time will be measured at the node from where the user is triggering the system, i.e. for 1) this will be the SMN, for 2) and 3) this will be the SAN. Since the SMN will play the key role in the discovery, network traffic is measured to/from the SMN.

B. Input parameters

For all three cases we will investigate how the amount of registered services influences the performance parameters in the discovery process. The following parameters are kept fixed during the tests:

- Fixed number of UPnP devices: 4 on each node
- Fixed number of context dependency, i.e. CPD’s per service: 4 per service
- We use a reactive context information update scheme
- The size of a device is given by 1.2 KB + 0.33 kb/service for normal, and 1.2kb + 0.89kb/service for CASD)
- User request inter arrival time is deterministically fixed to three seconds
- No other traffic is generated on the test bed, except what is created by the INS overlay network, i.e. between the two SMN’s. This traffic is approximately 16kb/s.
- For each point, 30 measurements are taken and the mean with a 95% confidence interval is calculated
- The two SMN’s are 3GHz Pentium machines with each 256MB RAM, running Linux (Ubuntu). The one SAN (10.10.12.3) is a 1.7GHZ laptop with 512 MB RAM also running Linux (Ubuntu).

C. Results

1) Discovery time: Figure 7 shows the results from the first scenario. This figure illustrates the time consumed by the processor when processing the information, since everything is done on one machine. The graphs clearly illustrate that context awareness takes longer time to process. The time difference is about 10-15ms, which we do not see as a serious time difference from a user's perspective. Even with a strong machine as the SMN, there is a significantly increase in the response time from 32 to 64 services, which is mostly likely caused by exhausting of computational resources. This seems also to be the case for the non context aware approach, however, here the increase is less. We consider that there is an upper limit of how many services can be running on one node on this machine, since each service started initiates a thread, which naturally leads many task and process switches at kernel level.

Figure 6 show the results from the local SAN-SMN discovery. The graphs in Figure 8 shows the result from the local SAN-SMN discovery. As expected context awareness adds to the discovery time. The added time is around 25ms, which complies with what was observed in the local test, plus some additional processing at the SAN.

The last result, seen in Figure 9, shows the discovery time from the global discovery done from a SAN. This test does not clearly show a difference between normal service discovery and CASD. This is most likely a matter of the INS overlay network, which seems to dominate the discovery time.

2) Traffic overhead: Table 1 provides an overview of the overhead generated during the tests. All numbers are averages evaluated from Etherreal. The effect of the ranking and selection method complies with what was observed in the local test, plus some additional processing at the SAN.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Packets</th>
<th>packets/s</th>
<th>bytes/packet</th>
<th>bytes/req</th>
<th>bytes/s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local CASD</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>5,410</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>2120</td>
<td>1092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local SD</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>6,421</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>3404</td>
<td>1689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global CASD</td>
<td>917</td>
<td>7,6</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>8331</td>
<td>2058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global SD</td>
<td>843</td>
<td>7,1</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>8309</td>
<td>2090</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I

AVERAGE TRAFFIC OVERHEAD MEASURED AT THE SMN.
In addition to providing services reactively, i.e., as the result of a user request, we can also proactively search for services that may be of interest to the user in his/her current situation. This is especially useful for mobile users, as mobile devices have a display of limited size and manually entering information may be cumbersome. As a first step, the situation of the user has to be determined. Based on that, relevant services could be discovered and ranked, providing the user with direct access to these services.
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