

CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY AND ITS ROLE IN COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT: AN INTERNATIONAL PERSPECTIVE

Maimunah ISMAIL*

Abstract

Corporate social responsibility (CSR) refers to strategies corporations or firms conduct their business in a way that is ethical, society friendly and beneficial to community in terms of development. This article analyses the meaning of CSR based on some theories available in literature. It is argued that three theories namely utilitarian, managerial and relational theories of CSR supported by works of other scholars in the area could be used to suggest that CSR becomes an international concern due to globalized nature of business that knows no border. CSR is evolving in its meaning and practice. The article then discusses the role of CSR in community development because the very logic of CSR is towards seeing its impact in community socially, environmentally and economically. Competencies required by CSR managers are also analyzed in order to have a better understanding of the practical aspects of CSR. Finally, conclusions and implications for future research are discussed.

Key Words: Corporate social responsibility, community development, competencies of CSR managers, multinational corporations, corporate-society relations.

Introduction

The issue of corporate social responsibility (CSR) has been debated since the 1950s. Latest analyses by Secchi (2007) and Lee (2008) reported that the definition of CSR has been changing in meaning and practice. The classical view of CSR was narrowly limited to philanthropy and then shifted to the emphasis on business-society relations particularly referring to the contribution that a corporation or firm provided for solving social problems. In the early twentieth century, social performance was tied up with market performance. The pioneer of this view, Oliver Sheldon (1923, cited in Bichta, 2003), however, encouraged management to take the initiative in raising both ethical standards and justice in society through the ethic of economizing, i.e. economize the use of resources under the name of efficient resource mobilization and usage. By doing so, business creates wealth in society and provides better standards of living.

The present-day CSR (also called corporate responsibility, corporate citizenship, responsible business and corporate social opportunity) is a concept whereby business organizations consider the interest of society by taking responsibility for the impact of their activities on customers, suppliers, employees, shareholders, communities and other stakeholders as well as their environment. This obligation shows that the organizations have to comply with legislation and voluntarily take initiatives to improve the well-being of their employees and their families as well as for the local community and society at large.

CSR simply refers to strategies corporations or firms conduct their business in a way that is ethical and society friendly. CSR can involve a range of activities such as working in partnership with local communities, socially sensitive investment, developing relationships with employees, customers and their families, and involving in activities for environmental conservation and sustainability.

This article aims to analyze three theories of CSR namely utilitarian, managerial and relational in terms of their meaning and practical emphases. These groups of theories are chosen because they are interdisciplinary in nature covering aspects of economic system, the managerial aspects of the corporation

* Professor, Department of Professional Development and Continuing Education, Faculty of Educational Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia

and the beneficiaries. The paper then highlights the role of CSR in community development based on an international perspective due to the heterogeneity of CSR in its understanding and practices in various countries of the world. The organization of the article is as follows: First, theories of CSR are analyzed in order to look at their emphases of meaning, perspective, and approaches. Second, the roles of CSR are highlighted specifically in community development because the very logic of CSR is towards seeing its impact in community socially, environmentally and economically. Third, competencies required by CSR managers are discussed in order to have a better understanding of the practical aspects of CSR. Finally, conclusions and implications for future research are drawn.

Theories of CSR

Since there is a great heterogeneity of theories and approaches of CSR, discussion in this article is based on a comprehensive analysis by Secchi (2007) and it is compared with an analysis by Garriga and Mele (2004). Secchi has come up with a group of theories based on a criterion what role the theories confer to the corporation and society. The theories are as follows: 1) The utilitarian theory, 2) The managerial theory, and 3) The relational theory (see Table 1). On the other hand, Garriga and Mele's (2004) analysis maps CSR into four types of territories. They are: 1) Instrumental theories, 2) Political theories, 3) Integrative theories, and 4) Ethical theories. Table 2 describes the theories and the relevant approaches. There is no doubt that some similarities do exist in both conceptualizations of CSR and the discussion will be based on emphases and approaches.

Table 1: Utilitarian, managerial and relational theories of CSR.

Utilitarian Theory	Managerial Theory	Relational Theory
Theories on social costs Functionalism	Corporate social performance Social accountability, auditing and reporting (SAAR) Social responsibility for multinationals	Business and society Stakeholder approach Corporate global citizenship Social contract theory

Source: Secchi (2007: 350).

Table 2: Corporate social responsibilities theories and related approaches

Types of theory	Approaches	Short description
Instrumental theories (Focusing on achieving economic objectives through social activities)	Maximization of shareholder value Strategies for competitive advantage Cause-related marketing	Long term value of maximization <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Social investment in a competitive context • Firm's view on natural resources and its dynamic capabilities Altruistic activities socially recognized as marketing tool
Political theories (focusing on a responsible use of business power in the political arena)	Corporate constitutionalism Integrative social contract Corporate citizenship	Social responsibilities of businesses arise from the social power the firms have Assumes that a social contract between business and society exists The firm is understood as being like a citizen with certain involvement in the community
Integrative theories	Management issues Public responsibility Stakeholder management Corporate social performance	Corporate response to social and political issues Law and the existing public policy process are taken as a reference for social performance Balances the interests of firms' stakeholders Searches for social legitimacy and processes to give appropriate responses to social issues
Ethical theories (Focusing on the right thing to achieve a good society)	Stakeholder normative theory Universal rights Sustainable development The common good	Considers fiduciary duties towards stakeholders of the firm. This requires some moral theories Based on human rights, labor rights and respect for environment Aimed at achieving human development considering present and future generations Oriented towards the common good of society

Source: Garriga and Mele (2004: 63-64).

Utilitarian Theories

In the utilitarian theories the corporation serves as a part of the economic system in which the function is mechanical i.e. traditionally known as in profit maximization. CSR ideas emerged after a realization that there is a need for an economics of responsibility, embedded in the business ethics of a corporation. Hence, the old idea of *laissez faire* business gives way to determinism, individualism to public control, and personal responsibility to social responsibility. Utilitarian could also be taken synonymously with instrumental theories (Garriga and Mele, 2004; Jensen, 2002) in which the corporation is seen as only an instrument for wealth creation, and its social activities are only a means to achieve economic results. Instrumental theories were also based on the basic idea about investment in a local community in which Friedman (1970) strongly stated earlier that the investment will be in long run provide resources and amenities for the livelihoods of the people in the community.

The utilitarian theories are related to strategies for competitive advantages. The proponents of these theories are, for instance, Porter and Cramer (2002) and Litz (1996) who viewed the theories as bases for formulating strategies in the dynamic usage of natural resources of the corporation for competitive advantages. The strategies also include altruistic activities that are socially recognized as instruments for marketing.

Secchi (2007) further divides the utilitarian group of theories into two, namely, the social costs of the corporation and the idea of functionalism. The social cost theory has a basis for CSR in which the socio-economic system in the community is said to be influenced by the corporate non-economic forces. It is also called instrumental theory (Garriga and Mele, 2004) because it is understood that CSR as a mere means to the end, which leads to the fact that the social power of the corporation is materialized specifically in its political relationship with society. The utilitarian theory, therefore, suggests that the corporation needs to accept social duties and rights to participate in social co-operation. Within it, the functionalist theory, specifically advocates that the corporation is seen as a part of the economic system, which one of the goals is profit making. The firm is viewed as an investment, and investment should be profitable to the investors and stakeholders. Putting it from the internal point of view of the firm, CSR was coined as a defense tactic of the industrial system against external attacks because there needs a balance between profit making and social objectives for the economic system's equilibrium.

Managerial Theory

Secchi's (2007) analysis further stresses the logic of managerial theory that emphasizes corporate management in which CSR are approached by the corporation internally. This makes the difference between utilitarian and managerial perspective of CSR. This suggests that everything external to the corporation is taken into account for organizational decision making. Managerial theories have been divided into three sub-groups: 1) Corporate social performance (CSP); 2) Social accountability, auditing and reporting (SAAR), and 3) Social responsibility for multinationals.

CSP aims to measure the contribution the social variable makes to economic performance. Thus, the problem is that of managing the firm considering social and economic factors together. It is based on the assumption that business depends on society for its growth and sustainability. CSP of a corporation is further sub-divided into five dimensions in order to keep detailed information about its existence in the corporate chains: 1) Centrality measures the way CSR is compatible with mission of the core goals; 2) specificity gauges the advantages CSR brings to the corporation; 3) pro-activity that measures the degree of reaction to external demands; 4) voluntarism that accounts for the discretion the firm in implementing CSR; and 5) visibility refers to the way the responsible behavior is perceived by community of stakeholders. As conclusion, the managerial theory generates interests in the sense that CSR considers socio-economic variables to measure firms' socio-economic performance, as well as to link social responsibility ideology to business strategy. Secchi (2005) further elaborates that SAAR are strictly related to social performance contributions through accounting, auditing and reporting procedures. SAAR means a firm accounts for its action. By doing so, firms are controlled and regulated in their actions towards performing their core business while responsible to the relevant community.

The three activities are separate managerial activities but they are interrelated to each other. All these contribute to the socially responsible behavior of a firm, which finally measures the corporations' activities that have social impact. Firms are involved in SAAR activities for communication needs, to have better stakeholder involvement and for disclosure concerns

CSR for multinationals (MNCs) grows as a result of global competitions and challenges they faced. This aspect of managerial theory comes into being as a result of the responsibility the managers have to shoulder by defining useful tools about the CSR for the MNCs to survive in foreign countries. Donaldson (1989, cited in Secchi, 2007: 359) refers to the MNCs as 'moral agents', analyzed on the basis of the moral values when managers make decision in the firms, going beyond profit maximization. The logic of CSR for MNCs is also derived from the fact that when cultural clashes become relevant due to events such as protests, demonstrations, boycotts, strikes and other negative actions against the employers. The answer to these actions is the formulation of 'code of conduct' that should be adopted by MNCs. The success of this initiative, however, depends on client expectation and corporate reputation; the level of trust, acceptance, and cooperation shown by the stakeholders and community of workers.

Managerial theories are also strongly related to political theories based on the conceptualization by Garriga and Mele (2004) (see Table 2) and supported by Wood and Lodgson (2002) as well as Detomasi (2008). They stress that social responsibilities of businesses arise from the amount of social power a corporation has and the corporation is understood as being like a citizen with certain involvement in the community. The origin of the political power of CSR is based on Davis's (1960) idea who proposed that business is a social institution and it must use power responsibly. It is also noted that causes that generate the social power are from inside and outside of the corporation. Detomasi (2008) further argued that strategies firms choose to adopt CSR initiatives are conditioned in part upon the domestic political institutional structures present in the home market. Political theories further demonstrate the links between economic globalization pressures felt by the companies, domestic political structures where the companies are in, and CSR policies.

Managerial theories are also covered under the integrative theories of Garriga and Mele (2004), namely, the entities of public responsibility and corporate social performance. Public responsibility stresses on law and public policy process that are taken as a reference for social performance, while corporate social performance searches for social legitimacy relevant to social issues.

Relational Theory

Relational theory has a root from the complex firm-environment relationships. As the term implies, interrelations between the two are the focus of the analysis of CSR. As indicated in Table 1, relational theory is further divided into four sub-groups of theories: 1) business and society; 2) stakeholder approach; 3) corporate citizenship; and 4) social contract.

Business and society is proposed to mean 'business in society' in which CSR emerges as a matter of interaction between the two entities. One of the measures of CSR is the development of economic values in a society. Another is a person's obligation to consider the effects of his decision and action on the whole social system. Stated in the form of a general relationship, social responsibilities of businessmen need to reflect the amount of social power they have.

Stakeholder approach has been developed as one of the strategies in improving the management of the firm. It is also said as a way to understand reality in order to manage the socially responsible behavior of a firm. The stakeholder approach further considers a firm as an interconnected web of different interests where self creation and community creation happen interdependently; and individuals behave altruistically. Based on Garriga and Mele's (2004) analysis, stakeholder approach is both within the integrative and ethical theories, where the former emphasizes the integration of social demands and the latter focuses on the right thing to achieve a good society. These are supported by the work of Mitchel, Agle and Wood (1997) where balances among the interests of the stakeholders are the emphases; and the work of Freeman and Phillips (2002) that considers fiduciary duties towards stakeholders of the firms, respectively.

Corporate citizenship of the relational theory strongly depends on the type of community to which it is referred. It is a path that a corporation may take to behave responsibly. Fundamentally, it is about the relationship that a corporation develops with its stakeholders, and therefore, the former has to continuously search for engagement and commitment with the latter. Corporate citizenship based on Garriga and Mele's (2004) analysis is an approach used under the integrative and political theories and this is supported by Swanson (1995) and Wood and Lodgson (2002), respectively.

Finally, the social contract theory of the relational group refers to the fundamental issue of justifying the morality of economic activities in order to have a theoretical basis for analyzing social relations between corporation and society. Hence, CSR is derived from the moral legitimacy the corporation achieves in the society and understanding about CSR is contained in the justification of social actions that legitimize the behavior of the corporation. Garriga and Mele's (2004) analysis puts the social contract theory under the group of ethical theories, the approaches of which include universal rights (UN Global Compact, 1999) and sustainable development (WCED, 1987; Korhonen, 2003). Both approaches of CSR are based on human rights, labor rights and respect for the environment.

Conclusions about the three groups of CSR theories are as follows: Utilitarian is simplified in its views by the individuals and mechanical from the corporation perspective, managerial is very organizational oriented and measurable; and relational is values-based as well as interdependent between the corporation and society. The allocation of responsibility according to the order of the theories is economic system, the corporation and the type of the relationship. This conclusion is further strengthened by another not-so-distant conceptualization about CSR in that the theories are grouped into instrumental, political, integrative and value based. Instrumental theory is focusing on achieving economic objectives through social activities; political focusing on a responsible use of business power in the political arena; integrative concentrating on drawing together management issues, public responsibility, stakeholder management and corporate social performance; and ethical theory is emphasizing strategies to achieve a good society.

The following section discusses the meaning of community development and the roles of CSR in community development based on various contexts around the globe. The role of CSR is essentially towards understanding what does the community gain from the various CSR initiatives.

Role of CSR in Community Development

Meaning of Community Development

First of all community is generally defined as a group of people sharing a common purpose, who are interdependent for the fulfillment of certain needs, who live in close proximity and interact on a regular basis. There are shared expectations for all members of the group and responsibility taken from those expectations. The group is respectful and considerate of the individuality of other persons within the community. In a community there is a sense of community which is defined as the feelings of cooperation, of commitment to the group welfare, of willingness to communicate openly, and of responsibility to and for others as well as to one's self. Most important there exists community leaders who are responsible for the success of any community event, depending on the needs of the community, and the individual's own feelings. The community leaders are individuals who strive to influence others to take responsibility for their actions, their achievements, and the community welfare.

Community development (CD) refers to initiatives undertaken by community with partnership with external organizations or corporation to empower individuals and groups of people by providing these groups with the skills they need to effect change in their own communities. These skills are often concentrated around making use of local resources and building political power through the formation of large social groups working for a common agenda. Community developers must understand both how to work with individuals and how to affect communities' positions within the context of larger social institutions.

CD is the process of developing active and sustainable communities based on social justice and mutual respect. It is about influencing power structures to remove the barriers that prevent people from

participating in the issues that affect their lives. Community workers facilitate the participation of people in this process. They enable linkages to be made between communities and with the development of wider policies and programs. CD expresses values of fairness, equality, accountability, opportunity, choice, participation, mutuality, reciprocity and continuous learning. Educating, enabling and empowering are at the core of CD (Federation of Community Development Learning, 2009).

CD is the combined processes, programs, strategies, and activities that make a community sustainable as compared to economic development which is the marketing of its potential for growth followed by local efforts to act on opportunities. The entire set of approaches to community development practice may be considered a specialized form addressing, coordinating and building the social infrastructure at a location. CD may be defined as a process of challenging the undesirable and unacceptable disparity of conditions and infrastructure that negatively affect the quality of life in a place where people live and work. It functions best as process in locations where all strata of society and citizenry are engaged with sense of community solidarity (Community Glossary, 2009).

The widely used meaning of CD is the one given by the United Nations (United Nations, 1971) in which CD is an organized effort of individuals in a community conducted in such a way to help solve community problems with a minimum help from external organizations. External organizations include government and non-government organizations, and corporations of various types and sizes such as small and medium enterprises (SMEs) and multinational corporations (MNCs). The implication of UN's definition of CD is, therefore, emphasizing creativity and self-reliance in the community for short and long term goals, but not to defy the CSR roles of the various types of business firms. In relation to the people, the definition of CD is essentially both an educational and organizational process.

Another term closely related to CD is community work, which is about the active involvement of people in the issues that affect their lives and focuses on the relation between individuals and groups and the institutions which shape their everyday experience. It is a developmental process that is both a collective and individual experience. It is based on a commitment to equal partnership between all those involved to enable a sharing of skills, awareness, knowledge and experience in order to bring about change. It takes place in both neighborhoods and communities of interest, whenever people come together to identify what is relevant to them and act on issues of common concern.

The key purpose is to work with communities experiencing disadvantage, to enable them to collectively identify needs and rights, clarify objectives and take action to meet these within a democratic framework which respects the needs and rights of others. Community work recognizes the need to celebrate diversity and appreciate differences among ethnic and social groups in the community.

Common Roles of CSR in Community Development

From the above meaning of CSR, it is undeniable that CSR has implications on community and CD in many ways. Based on the report of Towers Perrin (2009) CSR is the third most important driver of employee engagement overall. For companies in the U.S. for instance, an organization's stature in the community is the second most important driver of employee engagement, and a company's reputation for social responsibility is also among the top 10 drivers.

The role of CSR in CD used in this paper is any direct and indirect benefits received by the community as results of social commitment of corporations to the overall community and social system. The common roles of CSR in CD are discussed as follows:

1. *To share the negative consequences as a result of industrialization.* This is related to increasing conscience-focused marketplaces necessitating more ethical business processes. E.g. higher UK road tax for higher emission vehicles, thus reducing the burden of small vehicle owners in a community (Wikipedia, 2009). By doing so, small vehicle owners share less the tax burden, hence could re-channel the money for more productive uses in the community.
2. *Closer ties between corporations and community.* Through CSR the existence of corporations in the social system is felt beyond a perception that corporation is a place just to get employment and

- producers of goods and services. By doing so, corporations and community would stay in peace and harmony. This becomes a social capital that is essential in community development.
3. *Helping to get talents.* Organizations with a reputation for CSR can take advantage of their status and strengthen their appeal as an attractive employer by making their commitment part of their value proposition for potential candidates. It is also found that when employees view their organization's commitment to socially responsible behavior more favorably, they also tend to have more positive attitudes in other areas that correlate with better performance. They believe their organizations recognize and reward great customer service, act quickly to address and resolve customer concerns, and are led by people in senior management who act in the best interest of customers.

Confidence in senior management is higher in other areas, too, when employees give their company high marks for being socially responsible. For example, if a large number of employees perceive that their organization's senior management supports new ideas and new ways of doing things, this would result on better perception of employees to the organization, hence their trust and loyalty to the organization. There is a correlation between a company's success in the marketplace is often influenced by its capacity for innovation, the perception of the employees to the organization. It is also a factor in attracting and retaining talents. In relating to CD, good employees' perceptions on a corporation would lead to the community that treats the corporation as an important economic asset in the community.

4. *Role in transfer of technology (TOT).* Closer ties help in TOT between MNCs that give concerns on CSR and communities in the host countries. MNC is a corporation that has its facilities and other assets in at least one country other than its home country. Such companies have offices and/or factories in different countries and usually have a centralized head office where they coordinate global management. Very large multinationals have budgets that exceed those of many small countries. Barton (2007) focuses on three mechanisms of international technology transfer: the flow of human resources; the flow of public-sector technology support; and the flow of private technology from MNCs to developing countries. He argues for greater mobility within, and globalization of, the world's scientific enterprise and reasserts an economic rationale for investing in public-sector research in the developing countries. Through TOT coupled with CSR processes, the targeted community would gain in the various aspects of product development and marketing, such as better price and quality, as well as concern for people's wellbeing.
5. *CSR helps to protect environment.* Some of the world's largest companies have made a highly visible commitment to CSR, for example, with initiatives aimed at reducing their environmental footprint. These companies take the view that financial and environmental performance can work together to drive company growth and social reputation. This attitude can only serve to enhance the employment value proposition such as interest in "going green" gains traction (Towers Perrin, 2009). "We green the earth" slogan made by some MNCs in Malaysia who own large golf areas within the vicinity of residential areas is another CSR initiative seems to protect environment. Many non-profit organizations have been involved in learning and advocacy of environmental protection of CSR such as those reported by the United Nations. They are for example a) "Friends of the Earth" who highlights the environmental impact of some MNCs and campaign for stronger laws on environmental responsibility; b) "Green Peace mission" is another example of CSR initiative that gives benefit to society and community in preserving the latter's rights towards reaping healthy environment (Wikipedia, 2009).

Green Peace is an independent global campaigning organization that acts to change attitudes and behavior, to protect and conserve the environment and to promote peace by many ways, one of which is campaigning for sustainable agriculture and environment by encouraging socially and ecologically responsible farming practices. Green Peace utilizes direct action, lobbying and research to achieve its goals. This influential non-governmental organization has its presence in 42 countries with national and regional offices, are largely autonomous in carrying out jointly agreed global campaign strategies within the local community context.

6. *CSR is for human right corporate sustainability.* The United Nations have launched the “Global Compact” – an initiative to convince international companies to commit themselves to universal principles in relation to protection of human rights (UN Global Compact, 2009). Being the world's largest voluntary corporate responsibility initiative, the UN Global Compact is also seen a strategic policy for businesses that are committed to aligning their operations and strategies within the areas of human rights, labor, and environment. By doing so, business, as a primary agent driving globalization, can help ensure that markets, commerce, technology and finance advance in ways that benefit economies and societies everywhere. Never before have had the objectives of the international community and the business world been so aligned. Common goals, such as building markets, combating corruption, safeguarding the environment and ensuring social inclusion, have resulted in unprecedented partnerships and openness among business, government, civil society, labor and the United Nations. This ever-increasing understanding is reflected in the growth of the Global Compact, which today stands as the largest corporate citizenship and sustainability initiative in the world -- with over 4700 corporate participants and stakeholders from over 130 countries.
7. *Interdependency between a corporation and community.* The close link between a corporation and community is another aspect of CSR role in CD because in long run it creates sustainable development. This could be seen e.g. Shell Foundation involvement in the Flower Valley in South Africa and Marks and Spencer in Africa. The CSR projects give aids to local organization and impoverished communities. This certainly leads to sustainable community development (Wikipedia, 2009).
8. *A CSR program can be seen as an aid to alleviate poverty.* An example is a Malaysian reality program *Bersamamu* of TV3 which is sponsored by *Syarikat Faiza Sendirian Berhad (SFSB)*, a local enterprise-cum-philanthropist who responds to government’s appeal to help impoverished community to improve their livelihoods (SFSB, 2009). SFSB gets help from the local media company TV3 for publicity and audience support. This TV program is focused on the life reality of the poor, helpless and misfortune people in their survival. Every purchase of Faiza's Product, will entitle the buyer to make a donation to *Tabung Bersamamu TV3* (a fund of the broadcasting agency). Through this collaboration it may trigger other corporations to help the nation in its effort to alleviate poverty and, hence, in developing communities.
9. *A CSR program helps in data gathering for other public organization function.* For instance in the United States, Intel and IBM (examples of mega ICT firms) assisted under-staffed police departments with information gathering and processing by installing cameras with video processing abilities in areas where there are high rates of crimes. Intel has also conducted initiatives to educate local communities on how they can use technology to prevent crime or at least to use it to detect who committed the crime (CSR@Intel, 2009). This is an example of technology companies implement CSR initiatives that both benefit community and support business objectives.
10. *For corporate sustainability goals.* In Europe and elsewhere outside the U.S., companies have been taking their social role seriously for years, often under the banner of corporate sustainability. The EU has developed a corporate sustainability framework, which identifies a progressive set of economic, social and environmental objectives that companies are encouraged to achieve. At Towers Perrin (2009), for instance, they have developed a methodology to assess the employee perspective on sustainable business practices (SBP). These practices represent a continuing commitment by a company to behave ethically and contribute to economic development while improving the quality of life of its workforce and family members, as well as the local community and society at large. Towers Perrin's SBP index specifically covers five areas: awareness and perceived importance among employees, employee sustainable behaviors, social and community performance, environmental performance, and ethical and legal performance.

Skills Needed by CSR Managers in Community Development

The success of CSR is determined by both internal and external factors. Internal factors are economic considerations, culture of the firm including the CEO and employees, and ethical influences; while external factors are compliance with legal requirements and technological influences as well as national culture (Bichta, 2003). Skills possessed by CSR managers are among the internal factors determining the success of CSR practices especially in helping community. Because CSR profession is so new, transferable skills and knowledge from other related specialization such as environmental management, business ethics, community development, and human resource development are valuable.

Specific skills for CSR managers are very hard to clarify due to the diverse roles and range of disciplines involved. However, based on the UK's experience in CSR (Career Service, 2009), three main areas of skills are relevant. They are business skills, people skills and technical skills. Business skills include building insight, communication skills, decision making, commercial awareness, information technology, innovation, strategic awareness, leadership and problem solving. People skills cover adaptability and empathy, developing others in the community, influencing without power, integrity, political awareness, altruism, volunteerism, and adult learning. Finally, technical skills include technical expertise, understanding evaluation and impacts, stakeholder dialogue, human rights and understanding sustainability.

Based on the above skills grouping, CSR managers should have six core competencies. They are understanding community and community development, building capacity, questioning business beyond profit making, stakeholder relations, strategic business and community partnership, and harnessing diversity. Hence, CSR managers have a wide range of career options such as in marketing, human resources, health and safety, environmental management, ethical investment, public relations, ethical science, community resource development and social research.

Conclusion and research implications

It is concluded that CSR is about business, government and civil society collaboration with the bottom line is the achievement of win-win situation among the three entities. From the social point of view, CSR should benefit community because the latter has a very complex structure as it consists of individuals with various levels of control of resources physically and intangibly. The analysis on the theories allows the understanding of CSR that goes beyond its traditional meanings; therefore, CSR necessitates a multidisciplinary approach in its perspective and practice. Since the current meaning of CSR is complex, knowing the theories allows scholars to have a better understanding about corporation-society relations, in which theories and practices of CSR are influenced by numerous economic and non-economic as well as internal and external forces.

Roles of CSR in CD refer to the ways the responsible behavior is perceived by community of stakeholders and how impacts are felt by them. The analysis shows that CSR proved to have many roles and the brought impacts to the community as follows: Closer ties and interdependencies between corporations and community, sharing the costs the society has to pay due to environmental degradation, transfer of technology from international companies to developing countries, environmental protection measures that done together by corporation and the communities, poverty alleviation in the communities, human right advocacy, and helps in data gathering by ICT firms to facilitate public organization functions. For many corporation leaders, it is difficult to know where their responsibilities begin and end in relation to building infrastructure, creating economic opportunities, and access to core services such as health, education and poverty alleviation. Experience has made one thing certain that sustainable CSR solutions at community, provincial and national levels are based on partnerships between government, civil society and business.

It is also concluded that skills needed by CSR managers do vary due to the diverse disciplines involved and also the complexity of the roles and responsibilities of a CSR initiative. There are no specific qualifications required for this field. Because the field is new, transferable skills and knowledge from other related specializations such as environmental management, business ethics, transfer of technology, human resource management and community development, are valued. In short, the skills required by CSR

managers are classified as business skills, people skills and technical skills; and the specific skills required are further determined by the mission and vision of the organizations where the CSR managers serve.

CSR organizations in many developing countries including Malaysia consist of local and international firms. As such, this analysis suggests that comparative studies should be conducted on the differences between strategies adopted by the two firms in terms of emphases and orientations in CSR, the specific roles of CSR programs to community and society at large, and specific business, people as well as technical skills that the CSR managers should possess. It is also suggested that studies on how CSR firms strive during the present economic crisis are worthwhile to embark on; however, they should be aware of the fact that moving towards achieving firms' economic goals should be without jeopardizing the social goals.

REFERENCES

- Barton, J. H. (2007). *New Trends in Technology Transfer: Implications for National and International Policy*, Issue Paper No. 18. Geneva: International centre for Trade and Sustainable Development (ICTSD).
- Bichta, C. (2003). Corporate socially responsible industry (CSR) practices in the context of Greece. *Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 10, 12-24.
- Career Service (2009). Corporate Social Responsibility and Ethical Careers. Available at: <http://www.careers.ed.ac.uk> , accessed on 12 Dec. 2008.
- Community Glossary (2009). Available at: http://www.findmehere.com/search/dictionary/c_index.htm#com, accessed on 12 Dec. 2008.
- CSR@Intel (2009). Does Technology have a role in Community Development? Available at <http://blogs.intel.com/csr/2007/06>, accessed on 12 Dec. 2008.
- Davis, K. (1960). Can business afford to ignore corporate social responsibility? *California Management Review*, 2, 70-76.
- Detomasi, D. A. (2008). The political roots of corporate social responsibility. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 82, 807-819.
- Federation of Community Development Learning (2009). Available at: <http://www.fcdl.org.uk/about/definition.htm>
- Friedman, (1970) The social responsibility of business in to increase its profits. *New York Times Magazines*, 13 Sept., 32-33.
- Freeman, R. E. and Phillips, R. A. (2002). Stakeholder theory: A libertarian defense. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 12(3), 331-349.
- Garriga, E. and Mele, D. (2004) Corporate social responsibility theories: Mapping and territory. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 53, 51-74.
- Jensen, M. C. (2002) Value maximization, stakeholder theory, and the corporate objective function. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 12, 2, 235-256.
- Korhonen, J. (2003). should we measure corporate social responsibility? *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 10, 25-39.
- Lee, M. P. (2008). Review of the theories of corporate social responsibility: Its evolutionary path and the road ahead. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 10,1, 53-73.
- Litz, R. A. (1996). A resource-based view of the socially responsible firm: Stakeholder interdependence, ethical awareness, and issue of responsiveness as strategic assets. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 15, 1355-1363.
- Mitchell, R. K., Agle, B. R. and Wood, D. J. (1997). Towards a theory of stakeholder identification and salience: Defining the principle of who and what really counts, *Academy of Management Review*, 22(4),853-886.
- Syarikat Faiza Sendirian Berhad (SFSB) (2009). *Santapan Berkhasiat Sepanjang Hayat*. (An Everlasting Nutritional Food). Available at: <http://www.faizarice.com/index.htm>, accessed on 12 Dec. 2008.
- Secchi, D. (2005). The Italian experience in social reporting: An empirical analysis. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 13, 135-149.
- Secchi, D. (2007). Utilitarian, managerial and relational theories of corporate social responsibility. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 9, 4, 347-373.
- Swanson, D. L. (1995). Addressing a theoretical problem by reorienting the corporate social performance model. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(1), 43-64.
- Towers Perrin (2009) *Corporate Social Responsibility: It's No Longer an Option*. Available at <http://www.towersperrin.com/tp/showdctmdoc.jsp>, accessed on 12 Dec. 2008.

United Nations Global Compact (2009). *Overview of the UN Global Compact*. Available at: <http://www.unglobalcompact.org/AboutTheGC/index.html>, accessed on 12 Dec. 2008.

United Nations (1999) *Global Compact*. Available at: www.unglobalcompact.org, accessed on 12 Dec. 2008.

United Nations (1971). *Popular Participation in Development: Emerging Trends in Community Development*. New York: UN Department of Economic Affairs.

Wikipedia (2009). Corporate Social Responsibility. Available at: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Corporate_social_responsibility, accessed on 12 Dec. 2008.

Wood, D. J. and Lodgson, J. M. (2002). Business citizenship: From individuals to organizations. *Business Ethics Quarterly, Ruffin Series*, 3, 59-94.

World Commission on Economic Development (1987). *Brutland Report: Our Common Future*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.