

# Production and composition of Iberian sow's milk and use of milk nutrients by the suckling Iberian piglet

M. A. Aguinaga<sup>1</sup>, F. Gómez-Carballar<sup>2</sup>, R. Nieto<sup>1</sup> and J. F. Aguilera<sup>1†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Instituto de Nutrición Animal, Estación Experimental del Zaidín (CSIC), Cno. del Jueves s/n, 18100 Armilla, Granada, Spain; <sup>2</sup>Sánchez Romero Carvajal Jabugo S.A., Avda de Jerez s/n, 41012, Sevilla, Spain

(Received 22 April 2010; Accepted 1 March 2011; First published online 5 April 2011)

Sixteen purebred Iberian (IB) sows were used in two consecutive trials to determine the efficiency of conversion of sow's milk into piglet body weight (BW) gain and the relationship between milk protein and body protein retention and between milk energy yield and body energy retention in the nursing IB piglet. In each trial, four sows were selected in order to evaluate their milk production, litter growth and nutrient balance measurements, together with four additional sows for milk sampling. Litter size was equalized to six piglets. Daily milk yield (MY) was determined weekly by the weigh-suckle-weigh technique over a 34-day lactation period. Piglets were weighed individually at birth and then weekly from day 5 of lactation. Milk samples were collected on days 5, 12, 19, 26 and 34 post partum. The comparative slaughter procedure was used to determine piglet nutrient and energy retention. One piglet from each litter was slaughtered at birth and four on the morning of day 35. Total MY was on average  $5.175 \pm 0.157$  kg/day. The average chemical composition (g/kg) of the milk was 179  $\pm$  4 dry matter, 53.4  $\pm$  1.0 CP, 58.5  $\pm$  3.8 fat, 10.4  $\pm$  0.3 ash and 56.9  $\pm$  2.3 lactose. Milk gross energy (GE) was  $4.626 \pm 0.145$  MJ/kg. Milk intake per piglet tended to increase in trial 2 (832 v. 893 g/day; P = 0.066). Piglet BW gain contained (g/kg)  $172.1 \pm 1.3$  protein,  $151.5 \pm 3.5$  fat,  $41.4 \pm 0.6$  ash and  $635 \pm 3$  water and  $10.127 \pm 0.126$  MJ GE/kg. Throughout the 34-day nursing period, the piglets grew at an average rate of  $168 \pm 3$  g/day. The ratio of daily piglet BW gain to daily MY was  $0.195 \pm 0.002$  g/g and the gain per MJ milk GE intake was  $41.9 \pm 0.5$  g/MJ. The overall efficiency of protein accretion (g CP gain/g CP milk intake) was low and declined in trial 2 (0.619 v. 0.571; P = 0.016). Nutrient and energy deposition between birth and weaning were 27.4  $\pm$  0.5 q/day protein,  $24.2 \pm 0.8$  g/day fat and  $1615 \pm 40$  kJ/day energy. Piglet energy requirements for maintenance were 404 kJ metabolizable energy (ME)/kg BW<sup>0.75</sup>. ME was used for growth with a net efficiency of 0.584. These results suggest that poor efficiency in the use of sow's milk nutrients rather than a shortage in milk nutrient supply might explain the low growth rate of the suckling IB piglet.

Keywords: milk production, milk composition, Iberian piglets, protein and energy deposition, nutrient balance

# Implications

This study suggests that growth rate in the suckling Iberian (IB) piglet is not limited by a shortage in milk nutrient supply but might rather be related to a very low efficiency in the use of milk protein and energy. In the young IB piglet, protein deposition is a costly process, presumably because of a high protein turnover rate, as found in later growth stages. The sharp decrease in milk conversion ratios detected during the last week of the study implies that a lactation period of more than 4 weeks is not to be recommended.

# Introduction

Under similar commercial conditions, the growth rate of suckling Iberian (IB) piglets is lower than those observed for

conventional or lean genotypes (Laguna Sanz, 1998). Growth rates as low as 165 and 200 g/day have been observed in the suckling IB piglet according to measurements at 21 and 42 days of age, respectively (Daza, 2001). Creep feeding in combination with intermittent suckling (Gómez-Carballar et al., 2009) has failed to result in heavier piglets at weaning or shortly after it. It is known that both the quantity of milk and its composition may limit the growth rate of the suckling piglet (Williams, 1995). Some differences in the nutrient composition of sow's milk according to breed have been reported (Fahmy, 1972; Zou et al., 1992), and therefore it may be that the IB sow would not be able to support the same sort of growth rates in her suckling piglets as the values observed in conventional or lean genotypes, due to a shortage in the provision of nutrients to the litter. Nevertheless, the hypothesis that the IB piglet would have a low capacity for body weight (BW) gain due to limitations in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>+</sup> E-mail: jose.aguilera@eez.csic.es

the metabolic use of nutrients or a limited protein accretion capacity cannot be ignored. Few studies have been carried out to examine the efficiency of nutrient and energy use from sow's milk by the suckling piglet and no information at all seems to exist concerning the IB genotype. Although the available information suggests high levels of efficiency (Pluske and Dong, 1998), we cannot rule out differences among genotypes in the biological use of milk protein and energy by the piglet reared by its dam. Thus, within a wider programme aimed at improving litter growth before weaning, we undertook the present experiment to determine (i) the mean efficiency of conversion of IB sow's milk into piglet BW gain and (ii) to determine the relationship between milk protein and piglet body protein retention and between milk energy yield and body energy retention in the IB suckling piglet.

#### **Material and methods**

#### Animals

The procedures used in these experiments were approved by the Bioethics Committee of the Spanish National Research Council (CSIC). Sixteen third parity purebred IB sows of the Silvela strain were selected randomly at farrowing from the Montecastilla farm (Granada de Rio Tinto, Huelva, Spain). The experiment was conducted in two consecutive trials with eight sows per trial, the first trial from July to August 2006 and the second from October to November 2006. Within each trial, four sows were selected to evaluate their milk production, litter growth and nutrient balance measurements, and another four for milk sampling.

During gestation, all the sows were housed in an open air, fenced  $5000 \text{ m}^2$  space and were fed 1.8 kg/day until day 70 when the feed was progressively increased to 2.5 kg/day until farrowing. The gestation diet contained per kilogram, as fed, 12.13 MJ metabolizable energy (ME), 140 g CP and 5.5 g Lys. Water was freely available at all times.

One week before farrowing, the sows were housed individually in farrowing crates  $(1.90 \times 0.60 \text{ m})$  within pens  $(2.40 \times 1.60 \text{ m})$  in a ventilated room. The environmental temperature (ET) of the farrowing room was  $27 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C in the first trial and  $22 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C in the second one. The pens were equipped with a piglets' surface  $(1.20 \times 0.40 \text{ m})$ , thermoregulated at 33°C to 35°C during the first week of life and declining steadily to 25°C to 27°C by the end of the third week. In the week before farrowing, the sows were fed a commercial lactation feed (per kg, as-fed basis: 12.76 MJ ME, 144 g CP, 6.8 g Lys) at a level of 1% of BW. On the day of parturition, the sows were offered 1.5 kg of this diet. Thereafter, the daily feed allowance was increased by 0.6 kg to reach 4.5 kg/day on the fifth day of lactation, which was maintained from then onwards. Sow live weight just after farrowing was within the range of 130 to 140 kg. The sows and litters were provided with water ad libitum, but the litters had no access to either creep feed or sow feed. The light cycle was one of 24 h artificial daylight. In both trials, deliveries took place within a 2-day period. Shortly after birth, the piglets were administered 200 mg Fe-dextran complex (Imposil Forte<sup>®</sup>; Alstoe Ltd, York, UK) via i.m. injection, and on the day after farrowing, litter sizes were equalized by cross-fostering to six piglets.

#### Measurements

*Milk composition.* Within each trial, colostrum and milk samples were collected from four sows between approximately 10 and 35 h *post partum* and on days 5, 12, 19, 26 and 34 of lactation. After suckling, the piglets were separated from the dam. Two hours later, the sows were injected with 10 IU oxytocin and the milk was collected from all functional glands by hand milking before being stored in opaque plastic bottles at  $-20^{\circ}$ C until analysis. The samples were allowed to thaw at room temperature and reconstituted by gentle agitation (Bibby Scientific, SBS30, Stone, UK) in warm water (37°C) to obtain a homogeneous mixture. An aliquot of milk was freeze-dried for analysis of total solids, ash and gross energy (GE), whereas total fat and CP (total N × 6.38) were determined in aliquots of thawed milk. All colostrum analyses were made in freeze-dried material.

Milk yield (MY). Daily MY was determined, by the weighsuckle-weigh technique (Speer and Cox, 1984), on days 5, 12, 19, 26 and 34 of lactation. An electronic balance (Sartorius AG, Goettingen, Germany; readability 0.1/0.2/0.5 g; weighing capacity 8/16/34 kg) equipped with an integration system was used. Within each day of measurement, a total of eight determinations at 75-min intervals were made. The total amount of milk was extrapolated to a 24-h period. To estimate the individual milk intake, each piglet was weighed individually. Piglets were kept on a cold surface for a few minutes before suckling to encourage them to urinate and defecate. The milk intake (g) of each piglet was calculated as the difference between weight just before suckling (p') and weight just after suckling (p) plus a correction term. This correction was made to avoid any underestimation of the milk consumed by the piglets, as it takes into account weight losses due to physical activity and evaporation during suckling. To estimate this correction term, complementary observations in piglets from the four nursing sows were made between days 1 and 34 as described by Klaver *et al.* (1981). As piglets are generally quite restless during suckling, only weight losses corresponding to high and medium activities were used to calculate the correction factor. Thus, it was estimated that during suckling the piglets lost 0.1271 g/kg BW $^{0.75} \times min^{-1}$ , leading to a corrected milk intake of  $q = (p - p') + 0.1271 \times (p')$  $(1000)^{0.75} \times \text{min suckling}$ .

The first two nursings were discarded because, in comparison with subsequent suckling measurements, they resulted in lower milk intakes, probably due to insufficient adaptation of the piglets to the management imposed. Consequently, only the last six measurements were used to calculate daily milk production.

*Calculation of nutrients and energy output.* The total milk intake of each piglet and nutrient flow during the 34-day lactation period were calculated assuming that milk production

## Aguinaga, Gómez-Carballar, Nieto and Aguilera

changed linearly between successive measurements. For this purpose, within each trial, mean nutrient concentrations at each day of sampling and individual milk intakes were used to estimate the nutrient output of the corresponding week. The intake of colostrum throughout the first 2 days after farrowing was estimated on the basis of observations made on day 2 in a separate group of litters of six piglets each. For the period between farrowing and day 5 of lactation, the average colostrum composition was taken to calculate nutrient output during days 1 and 2 *post partum* and the composition of the milk on day 5 of lactation was taken for days 3 and 4.

Comparative slaughter measurements. The comparative slaughter procedure was used to determine nutrient and energy retention between birth and weaning. Within each litter, one piglet at birth and four out of the six nursing piglets on the morning of day 35 of life, all with a BW close to the litter average, were anaesthetized by i.p. administration of 40 mg/kg BW sodium pentobarbital (Sodium Pentothal<sup>®</sup>; Abbott Laboratories, Madrid, Spain) and subsequently bled. The blood and viscera, including the emptied gastrointestinal tract and eviscerated carcass (split into the carcass, and the head, feet and tail kept together), were weighed and kept at  $-20^{\circ}$ C until analysis. To obtain a homogeneous blend of each component, the carcass, viscera and head, feet and tail were cut into small pieces and chopped separately, and subsamples were taken to be freeze-dried and minced for subsequent analysis. Samples were analysed for dry matter (DM), ash, CP (total N  $\times$  6.25) and GE. Body fat was calculated assuming an energy content of 23.8 and 39.8 kJ/g for protein and fat, respectively (Wenk et al., 2001). Within each trial, body composition data from the initial slaughter group were used to estimate the body composition of the other 16 piglets at birth. Average heat production (kJ/day) was calculated as the difference between daily ingested ME in milk during the entire nursing period and daily retained energy in the piglet body. The ME content of the sow's milk was estimated to be 0.97 imes milk GE (Jentsch et al., 1995). Energy and nutrient intakes were used to assess the efficiency of the use of milk energy and nutrients for protein and fat deposition in the piglet body.

# Chemical analysis

All analyses in milk and piglet tissues were carried out in duplicate. Determination of the DM content and total ash was conducted by standard procedures (Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC), 1990). Whenever a freeze-dried material was analysed, the DM determination was made in a ventilated oven on an aliquot sample by standard procedures to establish residual water content after freeze-drying and the corresponding analytical result expressed on a DM basis (Barea *et al.*, 2007). GE was measured in an isoperibolic bomb calorimeter (PARR 1356, Biometa, IL, USA). Total N was determined by the Kjeldahl procedure (AOAC, 1990) using a freshly thawed sample of milk or freeze-dried samples of body components. Total fat in milk was determined by the Gerber method (AOAC, 1990). Lactose milk content was estimated by subtracting CP (total N  $\times$  6.38), fat and ash from the total solids content.

# Statistical analyses

The data concerning MY and milk nutrient composition were analysed using a repeated measures two-way ANOVA randomized design with period of nursing and trial replicate as the main factors. As the differences between the two trials were quite small and the trial effect was seldom significant, the experimental data were re-arranged into a single trial and re-analysed with a repeated measures ANOVA using the MIXED procedure of SAS (Statistical Analysis Systems Institute (SAS), 2003). Statistical significance was assessed using Tukey's t-test. Data concerning the piglets' body composition and nutrient use were analysed by one-way ANOVA with trial replicate as variation factor by the GLM procedure of SAS (SAS, 2003). Once again, trial effect proved not to be significant and the data were taken as belonging to one single trial. Results are expressed as least square means plus s.e.m. The level of significance was set at 5%.

# Results

# Milk production and composition

In both trials, the IB sows consumed their whole daily ration. The mean values for milk production and composition on the different days of measurement and sampling and of milk nutrient and energy output, calculated for the successive subperiods throughout the 34-day lactation period, appear in Table 1 together with the average composition of the colostrum samples obtained for days 1 and 2 of lactation. The average contents in the milk (g/kg) of total solids, CP, fat, ash and lactose were  $179 \pm 4$ ,  $53.4 \pm 1.0$ ,  $58.5 \pm 3.8$ , 10.4  $\pm$  0.3 and 56.9  $\pm$  2.3 g/kg, respectively. Mean GE was  $4.626 \pm 0.145$  MJ/kg. Statistical analysis revealed that there was little effect of trial replicate on milk nutrient contents (data not shown), except for a higher ash content (10.8 v. 9.52 g/kg; P < 0.001) and a tendency for a higher CP content (54 v. 52 g/kg; P = 0.074) in the first replicate. The data set out in Table 1 show that as lactation advanced, a sharp increase in ash content took place (P < 0.001), whereas a sudden rise in CP concentration occurred at the end of the nursing period (P < 0.001). An average protein-to-energy ratio of 11.3 (10.0 to 13.1) g/MJ GE throughout lactation was obtained.

Total MY was on average  $5.175 \pm 0.157$  kg/day and tended to differ between the two trials (P = 0.090). Milk production (and consequently piglet milk intake (g/day)) attained a peak at day 12 and levelled off thereafter (P < 0.01). The total output of milk nutrients was seen to change in a highly significant way (P < 0.001), according to the lactation phase.

Over the 34-day lactation period, the piglets grew at an average rate of  $168 \pm 3$  g/day. A steady decline in the rate of BW gain (from 202 to 130 g/day on average) was observed

Days of lactation	Total solids	CP <sup>2</sup>	Fat	Ash	Lactose	GE (MJ/kg)	Milk yield (kg)			
			Colostrum o	composition (g/k	g)					
Days 1 and 2	$226 \pm 0.3$	$158\pm0.4$	$\textbf{37.6} \pm \textbf{1.4}$	$6.35\pm0.07$	23.4 ± 1.4	$\textbf{5.773} \pm \textbf{0.016}$				
			Milk con	position (g/kg)						
Day 5	182	52.8 <sup>a</sup>	63.2	8.90 <sup>a</sup>	57.2 <sup>abcd</sup>	4.795	4.479 <sup>a</sup>			
Day 12	184	49.2 <sup>b</sup>	61.4	8.97 <sup>a</sup>	64.2 <sup>ac</sup>	4.897	5.834 <sup>b</sup>			
Day 19	177	51.5 <sup>ab</sup>	61.6	9.90 <sup>b</sup>	54.4 <sup>bcd</sup>	4.537	5.452 <sup>c</sup>			
Day 26	174	52.5 <sup>ab</sup>	54.0	11.1 <sup>c</sup>	56.6 <sup>c</sup>	4.419	5.176 <sup>c</sup>			
Day 34	180	59.6 <sup>c</sup>	55.5	12.0 <sup>c</sup>	52.9 <sup>d</sup>	4.585	5.427 <sup>bc</sup>			
s.e.m.	4	1.0	4.1	0.28	2.5	0.157	0.165			
<i>P</i> -value <sup>3</sup>	0.686	* * *	0.331	* * *	*	0.445	**			
Days 0 to 34	$179\pm4$	$53.4 \pm 1.0$	$58.5 \pm 3.8$	$10.4\pm0.3$	$\textbf{56.9} \pm \textbf{2.3}$	$\textbf{4.626} \pm \textbf{0.145}$	$\textbf{5.175} \pm \textbf{0.156}$			
	Total nutrient output (g/piglet)									
Days of lactation	Total solids	CP <sup>2</sup>	Fat	Ash	Lactose	GE MJ	Total gain (g)	Daily gain (g)	Gain : milk	Gain : milk GE (g/MJ)
Days 0 to 5	615ª	277 <sup>a</sup>	170 <sup>a</sup>	25.1ª	142 <sup>a</sup>	16.01ª	1012ª	202ª	0.331ª	64.6ª
Days 6 to 12	1145 <sup>bc</sup>	307 <sup>b</sup>	383 <sup>b</sup>	55.9 <sup>b</sup>	400 <sup>b</sup>	30.50 <sup>bd</sup>	1319 <sup>b</sup>	188 <sup>ab</sup>	0.213 <sup>b</sup>	43.4 <sup>b</sup>
Days 13 to 19	1166 <sup>b</sup>	339 <sup>c</sup>	405 <sup>b</sup>	65.1 <sup>c</sup>	358 <sup>cd</sup>	29.85 <sup>b</sup>	1227 <sup>bc</sup>	175 <sup>bc</sup>	0.187 <sup>c</sup>	41.3 <sup>b</sup>
Days 20 to 26	1077 <sup>c</sup>	324 <sup>bc</sup>	334 <sup>c</sup>	68.5 <sup>d</sup>	350 <sup>c</sup>	27.33 <sup>c</sup>	1120 <sup>cd</sup>	160 <sup>c</sup>	0.181 <sup>c</sup>	40.9 <sup>c</sup>
Days 27 to 34	1299 <sup>d</sup>	430 <sup>d</sup>	400 <sup>b</sup>	86.8 <sup>e</sup>	382 <sup>bd</sup>	33.08 <sup>d</sup>	1037 <sup>ad</sup>	130 <sup>d</sup>	0.142 <sup>d</sup>	31.1 <sup>d</sup>
s.e.m.	20	6	7	1.1	6	0.53	23	3.3	0.003	0.6
<i>P</i> -value <sup>3</sup>	* * *	* * *	* * *	* * *	* * *	* * *	* * *	***	* * *	***
Days 0 to 34	$5301\pm103$	$1677\pm32$	$1692\pm33$	$301\pm6$	$1631 \pm 32$	$136.8\pm2.6$	5715 ± 113	$168\pm3$	$0.195\pm0.002$	$41.9\pm0.5$

**Table 1** The effect of days of lactation on the composition and yield of Iberian sow's milk throughout a 34-day nursing period<sup>1</sup>

GE = gross energy.

<sup>1</sup>Determined in eight sows and 48 piglets (four sows and 24 (6  $\times$  4) piglets in each of two trials).

<sup>2</sup>Total N  $\times$  6.38.

 $^{3*}P < 0.05$ ; \*\* P < 0.01; \*\*\* P < 0.001. Within a column, means without a common superscript differ (P < 0.05).

ltem	Birth	Weaning	Gain
Live weight (kg) <sup>2</sup>	$1.409\pm0.019$	$\textbf{7.124} \pm \textbf{0.120}$	
BW gain (kg) <sup>2</sup>			5.715 ± 0.113
Empty live weight (kg) <sup>2</sup>	$1.361 \pm 0.021$	$6.777 \pm 0.111$	
Empty body gain (kg) <sup>2</sup>			$5.417 \pm 0.107$
Nutrient composition (g/kg)			
Protein (N $\times$ 6.25)	$128.9\pm2.8$	$163.0\pm1.0$	$172.1 \pm 1.3$
Fat	$26.4 \pm 0.8$	$125.3 \pm 2.8$	$151.5 \pm 3.5$
Ash	$40.0\pm1.3$	$40.6\pm0.5$	$41.4\pm0.6$
Water	$805\pm4$	671 ± 2	$635\pm3$
Energy (MJ/kg)	$\textbf{4.122} \pm \textbf{0.064}$	$\textbf{8.868} \pm \textbf{0.101}$	$10.127 \pm 0.126$

**Table 2** Nutrient composition of the body and of BW gain of suckling Iberian piglets after a 34-day nursing period<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Calculated from 32 piglets (four piglets per litter (n=4) in each of two trials). Nutrient composition at birth was measured in one piglet per litter. <sup>2</sup>Determined in 48 piglets (six piglets per litter (n = 4) in each of two trials).

as lactation advanced (P < 0.001). As a result, there was a continuous decrease in the weight gain-to-milk ratio (G : M; P < 0.001). During the last week of lactation, milk conversion ratios were rather low. The gain-to-milk ratio and gain/MJ milk GE intake were on average 0.195  $\pm$  0.002 and 41.9  $\pm$  0.5, respectively. This indicates an average energy cost of 23.9 (1 : 41.9) kJ milk GE/g piglet gain. Assuming a coefficient of metabolizability of milk GE of 0.97, this would be equivalent to 23.2 kJ milk ME/g gain.

#### Body composition of piglets

The mean whole-body chemical composition (g/kg) of piglets at birth and at weaning after 34 days of nursing appears in Table 2. The average empty BW at birth and at weaning were 1.361 and 6.777 kg, respectively. Between birth and weaning, the chemical composition of 1 kg gain was on average 172.1  $\pm$  1.3 protein, 151.5  $\pm$  3.5 fat and 635  $\pm$  3 g water and 10.127  $\pm$  0.126 MJ GE content.

#### Nutrient and energy balance of the piglets

Table 3 shows the intake and retention of nutrients and energy from milk by the suckling piglets. The average intake of milk per piglet during the 34-day nursing period showed a tendency to be higher in trial 2 (832 v. 893 g/day; P = 0.066), implying small differences in piglet's daily protein (44.7 v. 48.7 g/day; P < 0.05) and energy supply (3866 v. 4150 kJ/day for GE; P = 0.066) between trial replicates (data not shown). A decline in the overall efficiency of use of milk protein was observed in trial 2 (0.619 v. 0.571; P < 0.05). On average, over the 34-day nursing period, 27.4 g protein (4.38 g N) were deposited daily in the piglets' bodies. An increase in heat production was noticed in the piglets in trial 2 (2164 v. 2454 kJ/day; P < 0.05) because of their greater ME intakes (MEIs). The range of MEIs allowed us to establish linear and multiple regression equations with individual data (P < 0.001). MEI was related to total energy retained (ER) and MEI to ER as protein (ER<sub>p</sub>) and ER as fat  $(ER_{f})$  to predict maintenance requirements  $(ME_{m})$  and calculate net efficiencies in the use of ME for growth  $(k_a)$  and

 Table 3 Use of milk nutrients and energy by the suckling Iberian piglet

 throughout a 34-day nursing period

Item	Mean	s.e.m.
Daily intake (g) <sup>1</sup>		
Milk	863	17
CP (N $ imes$ 6.38)	46.7	0.9
GE (kJ/day)	4.008	0.077
Daily retention <sup>2</sup>		
Protein (g)	27.4	0.5
Protein retained/milk protein intake	0.594	0.010
Fat (g)	24.2	0.8
Ash (g)	6.58	0.15
GE (kJ)	1615	40
ER/GE intake	0.400	0.007
ER as protein (kJ) <sup>3</sup>	653	12
ER as protein/total ER	0.408	0.007
ER as fat (kJ) <sup>3</sup>	962	32
ER as fat/total ER	0.592	0.007
Heat production (kJ/day)	2309	63

GE = gross energy; ER = energy retained.

<sup>1</sup>Determined in 48 piglets (six piglets per litter (n = 4) in each of two trials). <sup>2</sup>Determined by the comparative slaughter approach in 32 piglets (four piglets per litter (n = 4) in each of two trials).

<sup>3</sup>Assuming an energy content of 23.8 and 39.8 kJ/g for protein and fat, respectively (Wenk *et al.*, 2001).

partial efficiencies in the use of ME for protein  $(k_p)$  and fat  $(k_p)$  deposition:

$$MEI (kJ/day) = 1158 (se 416) + 1.71 (se 0.26) ER (kJ/day);$$
$$n = 32; R^2 = 0.600$$
(1)

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{MEI}\,(kJ/day) &= 813\,(se\,547) + 2.67\,(se\,1.02)\,\mathsf{ER}_{\mathsf{p}}\,(kJ/day) \\ &+ 1.44\,(se\,0.40)\,\mathsf{ER}_{\mathsf{f}}\,(kJ/day); \end{split}$$

$$n = 32; R^2 = 0.613$$
 (2)

Using equation (1),  $ME_m$  can be calculated as 1158 kJ/day, equivalent to 404 kJ ME/kg BW<sup>0.75</sup> (mean piglet BW = 2.864 kg),

whereas  $k_g$  was estimated as 1/1.71 = 0.584. Using equation (2),  $k_p$  and  $k_f$  can be calculated as 0.373 (1/2.67) and 0.696 (1/1.44), respectively.

# Discussion

# Methodological aspects regarding the estimation of milk nutrient output

In our experiment, milk samples were collected from eight additional sows to those used for MY measurements. This procedure allowed the collection of a great volume of milk (>100 ml) without disrupting the suckling pattern of the piglets involved in the nutrient balance measurements. Noblet and Etienne (1986, 1987 and 1989) collected milk samples from the nursing sow on the days following milk production measurements. Although corrections can be applied, this method may disrupt the normal access of piglets to the teats and reduces the volume of milk consumed and consequently BW gain.

Nutrient flow in the period from farrowing to day 5 of lactation was estimated assuming an average colostrum output. This estimate was based primarily on a number of colostrum intake determinations carried out on day 2 after birth in a separate trial, secondly on an analysis of the composition of individual samples of colostrum collected from different sows from 10 to 35-h post partum, and finally on the assumption that MY changed linearly between days 0 and 5 post partum. In this way, the intake of colostrum on day 1 was estimated as being  $432.4 \pm 11.8$  g/piglet. This figure amounts to  $18.01 \pm 0.49$  g/h, a flow similar to the  $18.0 \pm 1.1$  g/h reported by Spinka *et al.* (1997) per teat on day 1 in Swedish Landrace  $\times$  Yorkshire sows. Our estimation is equivalent to 308 g/kg piglet BW, a value 28% and 19% higher, respectively, than those of 240 and 260 g/kg average BW observed by Le Dividich and Noblet (1981) and Milon et al. (1983). Although this estimation may imply some bias, the potential error was considered to be small as it concerns less than one-fifth of total lactation.

#### MY and composition

Current tendencies for the intensification of the productive cycle of the purebred IB pig have been widely adopted. They are compatible with a final fattening stage on acorn and pasture within the so-called traditional extensive systems of heavy pig production, which is widespread in some Mediterranean areas. Management intensification in particular is practised during the first stages of growth, including the suckling period. Weaning, performed around day 28, has replaced traditional practices. The average number of IB piglets born per litter has been registered as 7.4 (Laguna Sanz, 1998), based on statistical data obtained from IB pig farms over the past 50 years of the 20th century, in which routine controls of piglets' weight were carried out at 21 days of age. Average individual BW was in the range of 4.29 to 4.55 kg. Growth rates as low as 165 and 200 g/day have been recorded in the suckling piglet at 21 and 42 days of age, respectively (Daza, 2001). This low performance suggests either a shortage in the supply of milk nutrients to the suckling piglets or a poor conversion of milk nutrients to body constituents, resulting in lower growth rates than those reported for lean genotypes (Mackenzie and Revell, 1998).

MY and composition are key factors in determining piglet growth. MY has been related to litter and piglet size. Parity number and the stage of lactation are additional influencing factors (Agricultural Research Council, 1981). No available data exist in the literature concerning the yield and composition of IB sow's milk. The application of predictive equations from the literature results in biased estimations of MY and energy or nutrient outputs. For instance, Etienne et al. (1998) related milk production and GE and N outputs over 20 to 25 days of lactation with average daily BW gain and energy or N in piglet BW gain. From our experimental results, we calculate an average daily gain of 185 g/piglet for the same period of nursing, from which a daily intake of 698 g of milk, 5.49 g milk N and 3466 kJ of milk energy per piglet can be predicted. Nevertheless, the actual figures obtained through our experiment are 841 g, 6.74 g and 3959 kJ. The National Research Council (1998) uses a modification of the equation reported by Noblet and Etienne (1989) to estimate daily milk energy transferred to the litter, which underestimates the amount of milk produced daily by the IB sows by 12%. By contrast, the average MY of 6.25 kg/day over an 8-week nursing period (6.57 kg/day over 5 weeks of lactation) for conventional sows, adopted by the Agricultural Research Council (1981), based on data compiled by Elsley (1971) from estimates of milk production reported in the literature, is well above the present values. Nevertheless, from this estimation, an intake of 821 g milk/piglet can be arrived at for an average litter of eight piglets, a figure comparable to our own observations.

Renaudeau et al. (2003) found that an increase in ET from 20°C to 28°C with a constant feed allowance did not alter milk production or composition in multiparous Large White  $\times$  Landrace sows. Owing to the confounding effect of ET and trial replicate, we could not identify properly any effect of ET. Nevertheless, we did not observe any differences in MY between trials, and therefore we averaged out the data. A number of factors have been reported to affect milk production and composition (Darragh and Moughan, 1998), but there are data to support the idea that the provision of milk nutrients to the piglet does not depend strictly upon the supply of dietary nutrients to the dam due to the mobilization of sow reserves (Klaver et al., 1981; Clowes et al., 1998; Pluske et al., 1998), a factor that declines as fat reserves are depleted (Noblet and Etienne, 1986). The feeding regime throughout nursing in our study resulted in average daily weight losses in the range of 400 to 550 g/day and did not affect MY. Significant differences in the concentration of milk nutrients according to pig breed have been reported by Fahmy (1972), Zou et al. (1992) and Alston-Mills et al. (2000) among others. Our results on IB sow's milk composition are very close and, as lactation advanced, followed a similar pattern to those observed by Klobasa et al. (1987) in milk samples from German Landrace sows. Nevertheless, compared with Braude et al. (1947), working with Large White sows, the present results show consistently

lower concentrations for total solids, fat and protein and a higher lactose content. According to Liotta *et al.* (2007), the milk from Nero Siciliano sows, a Mediterranean pig genotype like the IB breed, have greater concentrations of fat and energy than IB milk does.

## Piglet performance

Very few experiments have been undertaken with piglets nursed by their dam, involving measurements of MY and milk composition at several stages of lactation, and therefore closely resembling what happens under practical conditions (Noblet and Etienne, 1986 and 1987; Jentsch *et al.*, 1995). Consequently, in this study, we give preference to those experiments conducted under similar management conditions.

In our trials, daily piglet BW gain measured weekly during the 34-day nursing period decreased from 202 to 130 g and the milk conversion ratio (q milk: q qain) increased from 3.02 (1:0.331) to 7.04 (1:0.142) as lactation progressed (Table 1). This performance pattern results from a fairly constant supply of nutrients from the milk and a steady and noticeable increase in total maintenance requirements as the piglets get heavier. IB piglets require more milk per gram BW gain than those in the study of Pluske *et al.* (1998) (in the range of 3.8 to 4.2 g/g, depending upon the feeding level of the sows and the stage of lactation), the protein and energy milk content being similar in both studies. In the study of Noblet and Etienne (1986), the conversion ratios of milk and milk energy into piglet BW gain were on average 3.82 g/g and 18.3 kJ/g, respectively, which compare very favourably with those observed in our experiment (5.15 (1/0.195) and 23.9 kJ/g (1/41.9)). When the comparison is made for a 21-day lactation period, our experiment also results in a comparatively poorer efficiency in milk nutrient use (4.48 (1/0.223) and 21.0 kJ/g (1/47.6)).

#### Body composition of piglets

Compared with piglets from conventional breeds (Elliot and Lodge, 1977; Noblet and Etienne, 1986; Jentsch et al., 1995), the composition of the IB piglets at birth shows increased protein, fat and ash content and consequently a greater concentration of total solids and energy density. Noticeably, the fat content doubles the values reported for lean breeds. This would be a key factor for the survival of the newborn IB piglet, particularly when delivery takes place in the open air. Comparisons of body composition at weaning and of BW gain may be biased due to differences in the length of nursing. Noblet and Etienne (1987) showed that the chemical composition of the weaned piglet was largely affected by the rate of growth, with protein and ash content correlating negatively with ADG, whereas DM, fat and energy content correlated positively. In their experiment, 141 mg protein, 197 mg fat, 28 mg ash, 410 mg DM and 12.384 kJ were deposited per gram increase in piglet BW gain. The values obtained for the chemical composition of the IB piglets at weaning support the observations of these authors and predict a comparatively lower growth rate. It should be mentioned that the average energy content of the IB piglet BW gain (10.127 MJ/kg) is close to the mean value of 10.1 MJ reported by Noblet and Etienne (1987) across breeds.

#### Nutrient and energy balance of piglets

With an average empty BW gain of 160 g/day, the daily nutrient and energy depositions between birth and weaning after 34 days of nursing were 27.4 g protein (4.38 g N), 22.7 g fat and 1615 kJ energy (Table 3). These deposition rates are slightly lower than those reported by Noblet and Etienne (1987) in Large White piglets weaned at only 22 days of age (4.78 g N and 28.7 g fat), which is in line with the slower average growth rate observed in the IB piglet after a similar period of nursing (185 v. 195 g/day). In contrast, our estimates are greater than the values obtained by Jentsch et al. (1995) in piglets from German Landrace sows weaned at 26 days. The overall efficiency of protein use from IB sow's milk is rather poor (0.619 and 0.571 in trials 1 and 2, respectively) compared with the ratios observed in suckling piglets from lean genotypes. Noblet and Etienne (1987) obtained a value as high as 0.88, whereas Jentsch et al. (1995) reported a ratio of 0.74.

GE from milk was retained in the body of the IB piglet with an overall efficiency of 0.4, a value once more lower than those reported by Noblet and Etienne (1987) and Jentsch et al. (1995) (0.547 and 0.435, respectively). The regression of MEI on energy deposition yielded a cost of 1.71 kJ/kJ ER in the body of piglets, that is, a net availability of ME from the milk of IB sows of 0.584, and a calculated  $ME_m$  of 404 kJ/kg BW<sup>0.75</sup>. This value is lower than the 468 and 451 kJ/kg BW<sup>0.75</sup> reported by Jentsch et al. (1995) for suckling and early-weaned piglets fed on cow's milk. It is also lower than the value of 445 kJ/kg BW<sup>0.75</sup> published by Campbell and Dunkin (1983) for earlyweaned piglets given a protein-adequate diet based on skimmed milk powder. In growing IB pigs fed on an adequate supply of ideal protein, we obtained an estimate of 422 kJ/kg  $BW^{0.75}$ /day for ME<sub>m</sub> and an identical value for k<sub>q</sub> (0.582; Nieto et al., 2002). In the experiments of Jentsch et al. (1995), k<sub>a</sub> values were in the range of 0.70 to 0.72. Our estimates of  $k_p$ (0.373) and  $k_f$  (0.696), calculated using equation (2), suggest that in the suckling IB piglet, ME costs for protein accretion and fat deposition are 64 and 57 kJ/g, respectively. Although our  $k_f$  value approaches those of 0.72 to 0.78 reported in the literature for early-weaned piglets (Close and Stanier, 1980; Campbell and Dunkin, 1983; Gädeken et al., 1985), our estimate for  $k_p$  is far below the corresponding values of 0.74 to 0.83 found in these studies. The high energy cost of the protein deposited in the IB piglet explains, at least in part, the poor conversion ratio of milk energy into body energy retention. In IB pigs at different growth stages, we also obtained very low values for  $k_p$  (0.303 and 0.218; Nieto *et al.*, 2002; Barea et al., 2007), which corroborates the idea that this pig breed requires considerably more energy per unit of protein deposited, presumably as a result of a comparatively greater protein turnover. Indeed, Rivera-Ferre et al. (2005) found that growing IB pigs fed on a balanced protein-to-energy diet had higher muscle fractional protein synthesis rates than Landrace pigs, although muscle mass was smaller.

In conclusion, the present results suggest that the slow rate of growth of the suckling IB piglet can be explained not by a shortage in milk nutrient intake, but rather as a result of its limited capacity for protein accretion and the poor efficiency in use of milk protein and energy for body deposition. A nursing period of longer than 28 days is not recommended.

#### Acknowledgements

This study was supported by Junta de Andalucía grant AGR-395. M. A. Aguinaga received a grant from the Junta de Andalucía. We thank Ms Ana Navarro and Mr Francisco Funes for their skilful technical assistance and Sánchez Romero Carvajal Jabugo S. A. (Sevilla) for their helpful collaboration. We also thank A. L. Tate for revising our English text.

#### References

Agricultural Research Council (ARC) 1981. The nutrient requirements of pigs. Commonwealth Agricultural Bureaux, Slough, UK.

Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC) 1990. Official methods of analysis, 15th edition. AOAC, Arlington, VA, USA.

Alston-Mills B, Iverson SJ and Thompson MP 2000. A comparison of the composition of milks from Meishan and crossbred pigs. Livestock Production Science 63, 85–91.

Barea R, Nieto R and Aguilera JF 2007. Effects of the dietary protein content and the feeding level on protein and energy metabolism in IB pigs growing from 50 to 100 kg body weight. Animal 1, 357–365.

Braude R, Coates ME, Henry KM, Kon SK, Rowland SJ, Thompson SY and Wolker DM 1947. A study of the composition of sow's milk. The British Journal of Nutrition 1, 64–77.

Campbell RG and Dunkin AC 1983. The effects of energy intake and dietary protein on nitrogen retention, growth performance, body composition and some aspects of energy metabolism of baby pigs. The British Journal of Nutrition 49, 221–230.

Close WH and Stanier MW 1980. The energy requirement for growth in the early-weaned pig. In Energy metabolism (ed. LE Mount), EAAP publication no. 26, pp. 399–402. Butterworths, London, UK.

Clowes EJ, Williams IH, Baracos VE, Pluske JR, Cegielski AC, Zak LJ and Aherne FX 1998. Feeding lactating primiparous sows to establish three divergent metabolic states: II. Effect on nitrogen partitioning and skeletal muscle composition. Journal of Animal Science 76, 1154–1164.

Darragh AJ and Moughan PJ 1998. The composition of colostrum and milk. In The lactating sow (ed. MWA Verstegen, PJ Moughan and JW Schrama), pp. 3–21. Wageningen Pers, Wageningen, The Netherlands.

Daza A 2001. Sistemas de explotación. In Porcino Ibérico: aspectos claves (ed. C Buxadé-Carbó and A Daza-Andrada), pp. 151–175. Ediciones Mundi-Prensa, Madrid, Spain.

Elliot JI and Lodge GA 1977. Body composition and glycogen reserves in the neonatal pig during the first 96 hours postpartum. Canadian Journal of Animal Science 57, 141–150.

Elsley FWH 1971. Nutrition and lactation in the sow. In Lactation (ed. IR Falconer), pp. 393–411. Butterworths, London, UK.

Etienne M, Dourmad JY and Noblet J 1998. The influence of some sow and piglet characteristics and of environmental conditions on milk production. In The lactating sow (ed. MWA Verstegen, PJ Moughan and JW Scharama), pp. 285–299. Wageningen Pers, Wageningen, The Netherlands.

Fahmy MH 1972. Comparative study of colostrum and milk composition of seven breeds of swine. Canadian Journal of Animal Science 52, 621–627.

Gädeken D, Oslage HJ and Böhme H 1985. Untersuchungen zum energetischen Erhaltungsbedarf und zur Verwertung der umsetzbaren Energie für den Proteinund Fettansatz bei Ferkeln. Archiv für Tierernährung 35, 481–494.

Gómez-Carballar F, Aguinaga MA, Nieto R and Aguilera JF 2009. Effects of intermittent suckling on the performance and digestive efficiency of Iberian piglets weaned at 35 days of age. Livestock Science 124, 41–47.

Jentsch W, Beyer M, Schiemann R and Hoffmann L 1995. Untersuchungen zum energie- und stickstoffumsatz van graviden und laktierenden sauen sowie von saugferkeln. 7. Mitteilung – Energie- und Stickstoffumsatz von Saugferkeln. Archiv für Tierernährung 47, 319–344.

Klaver J, van Kempen GJM, de Lange PGB, Verstegen MWA and Boer H 1981. Milk composition and daily yield of different milk components as affected by sow condition and lactation/feeding regimen. Journal of Animal Science 52, 1091–1097.

Klobasa F, Werhahn E and Butler JE 1987. Composition of sow milk during lactation. Journal of Animal Science 64, 1458–1466.

Laguna Sanz E 1998. El cerdo Ibérico en el próximo milenio. Ediciones Mundi-Prensa, Madrid, Spain.

Le Dividich J and Noblet J 1981. Colostrum intake and thermoregulation in the neonatal pig in relation to environmental temperature. Biology of the Neonate 40, 167–174.

Liotta L, Madonia G, Chiofalo B, Margiotta S, Riolo EB and Chiofalo V 2007. Milk composition of "Nero Siciliano" sow. Preliminary results. Italian Journal of Animal Science 6 (suppl. 1), 692 (abstract).

Mackenzie DDS and Revell DK 1998. Genetic influences on milk quantity. In The lactating sow (ed. MWA. Verstegen, PJ Moughan and JW Schrama), pp. 97–112. Wageningen Pers, Wageningen, The Netherlands.

Milon A, Aumaitre A, Le Dividich J, Franz J and Metzger J 1983. Influence of birth prematurity on colostrum composition and subsequent immunity of piglets. Annals of Veterinary Research 14, 533–540.

National Research Council 1998. Nutrient requirement of swine, 10th revised edition. National Academic Press, Washington, DC, USA.

Nieto R, Miranda A, García MA and Aguilera JF 2002. The effect of dietary protein content and feeding level on the rate of protein deposition and energy utilization in growing IB pigs from 15 to 50 kg body weight. The British Journal of Nutrition 88, 39–49.

Noblet J and Etienne M 1986. Effect of energy level in lactating sows on yield and composition of milk and nutrient balance of piglets. Journal of Animal Science 63, 1888–1896.

Noblet J and Etienne M 1987. Body composition, metabolic rate and utilization of milk nutrients in suckling piglets. Reproduction, Nutrition and Development 27, 829–839.

Noblet J and Etienne M 1989. Estimation of sow milk nutrient output. Journal of Animal Science 67, 3352–3359.

Pluske JR and Dong GZ 1998. Factors influencing the utilisation of colostrum and milk. In The lactating sow (ed. MWA. Verstegen, PJ Moughan and JW Schrama), pp. 45–70. Wageningen Pers, Wageningen, The Netherlands.

Pluske JR, Williams IH, Zak LJ, Clowes EJ, Cegielski AC and Aherne FX 1998. Feeding lactating primiparous sows to establish three divergent metabolic states: III. Milk production and pig growth. Journal of Animal Science 76, 1165–1171.

Renaudeau D, Noblet J and Dourmad JY 2003. Effect of ambient temperature on mammary gland metabolism in lactating sows. Journal of Animal Science 81, 217–231.

Rivera-Ferre MG, Aguilera JF and Nieto R 2005. Muscle fractional protein synthesis is higher in IB than in Landrace growing pigs fed adequate or lysine-deficient diets. Journal of Nutrition 135, 469–478.

Statistical Analysis Systems Institute (SAS) 2003. SAS/STAT for personal computers. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA.

Speer VC and Cox DF 1984. Estimating milk yield of sows. Journal of Animal Science 59, 1281–1285.

Spinka M, Illman G, Algers B and Stetková Z 1997. The role of nursing frequency in milk production in domestic pigs. Journal of Animal Science 75, 1223–1228.

Wenk C, Colombani PC, van Milgen J and Lemme A 2001. Glossary: terminology in animal and human energy metabolism. In Energy metabolism in animals (ed. A Chwalibog and K Jakobsen), EAAP publication no. 103, pp. 409–421. Wageningen Pers, Wageningen, The Netherlands.

Williams IH 1995. Sow's milk as a major nutrient source before weaning. In Manipulating pig production (ed. VDP Hennessy and PD Cranwell), pp. 107–113. Australasian Pig Science Association, Werribee, Victoria, Australia.

Zou S, McLaren DG and Hurley WL 1992. Pig colostrum and milk composition: comparisons between Chinese Meishan and US breeds. Livestock Production Science 30, 115–127.