

**TOWARD A GEOGRAPHY OF MEMORY:
GEOGRAPHICAL DIMENSIONS OF PUBLIC MEMORY
AND COMMEMORATION**

KENNETH E. FOOTE
University of Colorado, Boulder

MAOZ AZARYAHU
University of Haifa

Journal of Political and Military Sociology, 2007, Vol. 35, No. 1 (Summer): 125-144

This article focuses on contemporary research in geography on issues of public memory and commemoration—the ways in which discourse of the past is constructed socially and expressed materially in landscape, public memorials, and heritage sites. Interest in these sites has grown rapidly because they both reflect—and expose for study—social tensions, political realities, and cultural values. Compared to work in other disciplines, geography offers spatial, locational, and material perspectives on the patterns and dynamics of commemorative practices. Much attention has focused on the political dynamics of memory, but recent research has also revealed much about the chronology of commemoration, the interplay of social and elite groups in defining commemorative practices, and recent trends that expand the range of events and people remembered.

Interest in the geography of public memory and commemoration has grown quickly over the past two decades. Inspired by earlier works focusing on landscape symbolism (Cosgrove 1984; Harvey 1979; Lowenthal 1975, 1985; Tuan 1974, 1979) research has expanded to include issues central to heritage tourism, historical preservation, and the politics of commemoration, national identity and patriotism. Interest in these issues has been rising across the social sciences and humanities and has drawn considerable recent attention to how the past is constructed socially—and expressed materially in landscape, public space, art, popular media, and architecture. These constructions—in whatever media—are of interest because they reflect and expose for study social tensions, political realities, and cultural values. We concentrate in this article on the contributions of geographers and on works available largely in English but set our discussion in a broader international and interdisciplinary context.

Much of the research both inside and outside of geography has focused on wars, revolutions, and other major historical events from the late eighteenth, nineteenth and twentieth century. Among the events which have received the most attention are nationalism and wars of independence (Agulhon 1981; Azaryahu 1992; Confino and Fritzsche 2002; Dabrowski 2004; Foote 2003;

Gold and Gold 2002; Johnson 1994, 1995; Kong 2007; Leith 1991; Lowry 1985; Mayo 1988; Nora 1984; Özyürek 2007; Roudometof 2002; Schama 1995; Trouillas 1988; Vale 1992; Wang 2004), the American Civil War and subsequent conflicts (Blair 2003; Blight 2002a, 2002b; Brundage 2000; Fahs and Waugh 2004; Foster 1987; Linenthal 1991; Marling and Wetenhall 1991; Neff 2005; Piehler 1995; Savage 1997; Schein 2006; Shackel 2001, 2003; Wagner-Pacific and Schwartz 1991), the First and Second World Wars (Ashplant, Dawson and Roper 2001; Beaumont 2004; Farmer 1999; Fussell 1975; Heffernan 1995; Inglis 1998; Jeans 1988; Koshar 1998, 2000; Ladd 1997; Lloyd 1998; Morris 1997; Mosse 1990; Müller 2002; Muzaini and Yeoh 2005a, 2005b; Raivo 1998, 2001; Rosenfeld 2000; Stangl 2003; Till 2005; Tumarkin 1994; Wood 1999), the Holocaust (Hartman 1994; Hornstein and Jacobowitz 2003; Marcuse 2001; Rürup 1987; Van Pelt and Dwork 1996; Young 1993), post-Soviet Europe (Argenbright 1999; Azaryahu 1997; Bell 1999; Foote, Tóth, and Árvay 2000; Forrest and Johnson 2002; Hochschild 1994; James 2005; Levinson 1998; Light 2004; Rév 2005; Smith 1996; Till 1999; Verdery 1999), and some civil and ethnic conflicts and other acts of violence (Alderman and Dwyer 2004; Ashworth and Hartmann 2005; Azaryahu 1996c; Falah 1996; Herskovitz 1993; Leitner and Kang 1999; Lennon and Foley 2000). This means that scholarship is rich for some periods and places, but weak in others particularly for Latin American, Asia, and Africa. We focus here on the theoretical themes raised by this research rather than using a chronological or regional approach because similar themes emerge in varying historical and regional contexts.

CONCEPTUALIZATIONS OF MEMORY IN RECENT GEOGRAPHICAL RESEARCH

At the most general level, memory pertains to the actualization of the past in some form of contemporary experience—a tourist's visit to a battle site, a community's celebration of its centennial, the dedication of a new memorial, the release of a new historical film or book, historical re-enactments, and many other types of event. This conception advances upon earlier notions of collective memory in that memory is seen as socially constructed, not innately given, and always shaped by economic, social, cultural, economic, political, and ideological contexts of its creation. In this sense, memory is an invented tradition often shaped by many individuals and groups over long periods of time (Cosgrove and Daniels 1988; Hobsbawm and Ranger 1983). Memory is related to the objective notion of "history" but is often a selectively embellished or mythologized version of events, people, and places that serves social or political ends.

Public memory is the interface where the past is represented in the present by means of shared cultural productions and reproductions (MacCannell 1976:23-34). The cultural production of public memory refers to both the medium of presentation and the process through which the representation of the

past assumes its particular form, often an involving engagement of the senses, emotions, imagination, and intellect of visitors. Commemorations of events, people, and places are fundamental elements of most traditions of public memory.

Commemorations assign significance to events and figures to create a "register of sacred history" (Schwartz, 1982), a set of shared historical experiences and attitudes that define and bond a community or, for the nation-state, what Roudometof (2002:8) has termed a "national narrative." In this capacity, public memory is part of the symbolic foundation of collective identity, where the question, "who we are," is answered, at least partially, by answering the question, "where do we come from," and what we share and do together as a community. The geography of memory locates history and its representations in space and landscape. It answers the question, "where is memory," in terms of places and sites that cast a certain vision of history into a mold of commemorative permanence. In comparison to other formulations of collective memory and social memory (Connerton 1989; Fentress and Wickham 1992; Halbwachs 1980; Nora 1984; Schwartz, 2000), geographers focus on the spatial, locational, and material patterns and dynamics of these commemorative practices, generally in public spaces (Foote 1988, 1990).

THE SPATIAL AND MATERIAL DIMENSIONS OF PUBLIC MEMORY

Public memory might be conceived as a matrix in which time and space are used separately and in combination to embed shared historical experiences and a sense of a shared past in the public life of a community or of a religious or social group. Memorial days, anniversaries, festivals, and other commemorative rituals, for example, help to link memory to the regularities of the weekly, monthly, and yearly calendar with other anniversaries celebrated at still longer intervals. These observances often take place in or near to shrines, memorials, museums, cemeteries, and other significant places allowing the past to be rendered material and visual. Ceremonial activities and commemorative events facilitate the convergence of liturgical time and mythic space and exude a sense of permanence. As Lowenthal (1985:238) noted, "What is potentially visible is omnipresent"—suggesting the power of monuments and memorial spaces in general to reify the past as long-term features of the landscape.

Historic sites such as battlefields, concentration camps, or locations of events like assassinations or massacres are dedicated to the reproduction of their pasts. Such reproductions take advantage of the common perception of history as being an intrinsic quality of the local landscape; in this sense, commemorative measures make this explicit—they provide a tangible link to the past they evoke. The formal transformation of historic sites into "memoryscapes" (Edensor 1997) involves the availability of cultural productions that assume commemorative forms. Cultural productions of the past employ the agency of display to create an "interpretive interface" that mediates and thereby transforms

that which is shown into a vision of history. The location itself, as the scene of past events, together with any available physical remains, can be used to create a sense of authenticity. Valued as relics, remains of the past serve as both "witnesses" and "evidence." In this symbolic capacity, they are instrumental in rendering the version of history presented at and by the site credible (Azaryahu 1993; DeLyser 1999, 2003, 2005; Hoelscher 1998).

Cemeteries, as one type of memorial space, create a symbolic encounter between the living and the dead in the form of individual gravesites and the ritual activities taking place in the burial space (Kong 1999). In contrast to communal cemeteries, national cemeteries are state shrines that belong to the national narrative of history (Azaryahu 1996a). The heroes buried there—most prominently national leaders and fallen soldiers—are privileged members of the national pantheon. Of special significance are the symbolic meanings associated with the architectural design of the cemetery and to the spatial configuration of the gravesites, as well as to the integration of the sacred space into the ceremonial rhythms of memorial days that constitute the national calendar (Blair 2003; Mosse 1990; Sledge 2005; Winter 1995). It is significant in this regard that the first efforts to mark the graves of *all* soldiers, not just their commanders, date to the American Civil War as does the tradition of Memorial Day (begun as Decoration Day). This sense of egalitarianism is now a commonplace of memorial designs that seek to recognize all victims irrespective of their station.

The cultural reproduction of the past at the site includes an array of complementary modes of representation—inscriptions, guidebooks, maps, films, artifacts, and so forth. Museums and historical exhibits are often able to provide a broader historical context and interpretations according to the intentions of their creators (Katriel 1997; Kirchenblatt-Gimblett 1998). The affiliation of the exhibition with the historical location is crucial for the production of meaning; the exhibition "explains" the site, whereas the site augments the arguments put forward by the exhibition and validates its version of history. Official outline maps and guidebooks offer an official version of the site, its history, and its place in history. Suggested tour paths translate the spatiality of historic sites into a linear sequence of particular sights. The different modes of cultural production employed at a site are intended to complement each other in propagating its official story.

Unlike memorials that are architectural edifices, street names serve a commemorative function but belong to the realm of language. Whereas the primary function of memorials is symbolic, street names have a well-defined utilitarian function: to distinguish between streets and provide spatial orientation. When invested with commemorative functions, street names introduce an official version of history into everyday life in a way that seems totally detached from ideological contexts or communal obligations; the ostensible ordinariness of street names that allows them to render a certain version of history not only

familiar, but also self-evident (Alderman 2000, 2003; Azaryahu 1986, 1996b; Ferguson 1988; Gill 2005; Palonen 1993; Stump 1988; Yeoh 1992, 1996).

THE POLITICS OF COMMEMORATION

Within the literature, the most attention has focused on the political dimensions of public memory—specifically how the past is re-presented to express hegemonic relations of power and authority. A distinction is often made between official and unofficial commemorations, but it is important to note that the impetus for memorial making stems from many different motives and usually involves many different groups—survivors, veterans, their families and descendents, political organizations and their constituencies, community groups, nongovernmental organizations, and activists. These efforts may eventually attract official support but perhaps only after the passage of decades, generations, or centuries. In some nations with strong central, authoritarian or totalitarian governments it is not uncommon for memory to be imposed on landscape, but these are perhaps the exceptions rather than the rule (Michalski 1998).

In democratic regimes, patterns of commemoration may show considerable local and regional variation. But, irrespective of their origins, unless memorial landscapes attract a broad, long-lasting constituency they are unlikely to endure beyond the era or regime of their creation. In fact, relatively few events, people, and places ever attain official or unofficial status at all. This element of selectivity is not always clearly apparent in the contemporary landscape because we see only what *has been* marked, rather than what *has not been*. This filtering or forgetting—which writers such as Boyarin (1994), Huyssen (1995), and Yoneyama (1999) lament as historical amnesia—means that people today often assume that official commemoration is more common than it actually is.

The chronology of the memorialization process is important to understanding how memories are represented and reproduced. Participants, victims, survivors, and families usually exert the greatest control of meaning in the immediate aftermath of an event. Gradually, however, others parties enter the discussion—and it is this latter debate, however heated, that is critical to understanding how memory is shaped from sometimes competing interpretations. Such debate forces competing interpretations into the open and, in the long run, is perhaps of greater importance than any tangible, physical monument that may result (Foote, 2003:342). Young (1997) calls this “memory work” and it is important in the early stages of commemoration, as are the spontaneous shrines that emerge on site often advocating particular points of view (Everett 2002; Grider 2000, 2001; Jorgensen-Earp and Lanzilotti 1998; Santino 2006). Key decisions and changes are often made on anniversaries, the most critical being those thirty to fifty years after an event when the direct witnesses begin to die. Efforts to erect memorials crescendo as the last survivors seek to bear final witness for future generations. In this period of intergenerational transfer the

process of building constituencies and generating financial support often entails reaching compromises over meaning. It is easy to assume that major memorials, such as those in the United States for events relating to the Revolutionary and Civil Wars and to historical figures like Presidents Washington and Lincoln, were inevitable and arose quickly, when in fact decades and generations were involved in the shaping of the major memorials that exist today. Recent events like the Oklahoma City bombing of 1995 and the 9/11 terrorist attacks have suggested that this "memory work" can be sped up and compressed into only a few years, but there is no guarantee that such efforts will generate the support and consensus necessary to conserve memory in the long term.

Historical memory is an important aspect of shared identities within local communities and nations (Morley and Robins 1995), and commemorative measures also amount to a mechanism of identity formation (Gillis 1994). Commemorative activities figure prominently during national revivals and stages of state formation. Revolutionary regimes that are engaged in state formation—a case in point is Republican France, Nazi Germany, and the former Soviet Union—cast historical memory in an ideological mold that conforms with and legitimizes the new social order and its peculiar power structures (Lane, 1968; Rosenfeld 2000; Taylor 1974; Tumarkin 1983). Notwithstanding the excesses of revolutionary regimes and periods of nation building, official versions of historical memory are embedded into the symbolic foundations of modern statehood. Hegemonic forces in society manipulate historical memory to legitimize their authority. However, as Koshar (1998:16) noted, "Memory is a source of power for elites, but also a potential of noncompliance or even resistance for the powerless." Memorial sites and street names are possible sites of contestation: They belong to the societal discourse of history and often provide prisms through which possibly alternative interpretations of the past are refracted. Contested sites like these, where the powerless can confront the powerful, can be effective points for resistance, like La Plaza de las Tres Culturas at Tlatelolco in Mexico City, the site of the 1968 government massacre of students; La Plaza de Mayo in Buenos Aires, Argentina, where the mothers and grandmothers of the "disappeared" hold their vigils; or Parcel 301 in a Budapest cemetery, where many executed political leaders were buried after the 1956 Hungarian uprising.

Recent studies have also underscored the point that the geography of public memory is dynamic: New commemorative features are added while others, abruptly or gradually, disappear. Monuments are reinterpreted and their social and political relevance is reformulated according to contemporary priorities and sensitivities. For example, at the end of the twentieth century a shift occurred in the West in regard to what and who should be remembered. Traditionally, commemoration was mainly about the celebration of heroes, martyrs, and glorious events that exuded grandeur and meant to evoke veneration. To this category belonged war memorials, statues of politicians, and monuments to

generals and military victories that cast the national past in a heroic mold. A new and significant development has been the growing emphasis on commemorating shameful events and honoring the memory of victims of genocides and massacres, in effect acknowledging more openly the influence that violence plays in society. A case in point is the extensive commemoration of the victims of the Nazi regime. Memorials and former concentration and death camps figure prominently in the geography of Holocaust remembrance. Also, until quite recently, events of mass murder, terrorism, and everyday violence led almost exclusively to what Foote (2003:23-27) has termed obliteration and rectification (or reuse); now memorials can be found to such events with one of the largest being the Oklahoma City National Bombing Memorial. In the United States there has also been a greater willingness to commemorate events, people, and groups that have generally been excluded from American memory: the labor monument (Moore 2002; Saitta, Walker, and Reckner 2006) and the contributions of Native Americans, African Americans, Japanese Americans, Chinese Americans, and Hispanic groups to American history (Alderman 2000, 2003; Alderman and Dwyer 2004; Dwyer 2000, 2002, 2003, 2006; Hoelscher and Alderman 2004). The issue of gender and sexuality in commemorative landscapes and the continuing unequal commemoration of women and women's accomplishments is also gaining attention (Mill 1992; Monk 1992).

But it is also true that the meanings of almost all memorial spaces and activities change through time. As Werlen (1993:174) has noted, "Socially constructed artifacts may be invested with a symbolic meaning which need not coincide with the rationale underlying, and preserved, in their construction." Susceptible to different and possibly contradictory interpretations, the meanings of commemorative spaces and historical sites are dynamic. We would even suggest that a major function of memorials is to engage successive generation in debate over their significance, rather than to attempt to define permanent, inflexible meanings. As studies as diverse as those of the Vittorio Emanuele II monument in Rome (Atkinson and Cosgrove 1998) and the Dachau concentration camp (Marcuse 2001) demonstrate, the meanings ascribed to commemorations are constantly modulated according to the social and ideological contexts of their celebration as politically relevant representations of the past. Major United States' battlefields such as Gettysburg, Little Bighorn, and Pearl Harbor, have all been reinterpreted many times with each generation seeing different meanings in the events (Foote 2003; Linenthal 1991). Highly partisan interpretations often give way to efforts at reconciliation. Memorials are at the center of a controversy when the exclusion or inclusion of certain aspects of the past they actualize—Catholic shrines at Auschwitz or the Enola Gay at the Smithsonian—are publicly challenged and the authority of the memorial as an appropriate rendition of the past is disputed (Charlesworth 1994; Linenthal and Engelhardt 1996).

Traditional meanings of memorials may also be replaced or undergo erosion, even vandalism. Replacement and vandalism figure prominently in periods of discontinuity in the political history of societies and regimes. In such situations, the procedure often assumes dramatic forms, e.g., pulling down statues and renaming streets, measures that have become common markers of a political change. The commemorative history of memorials is possibly punctuated by discontinuities that evince the ideological priorities of successive regimes. One good example is the Neue Wache in Berlin. Constructed as a guardhouse in 1816, it was converted into a memorial to the fallen of the First World War in 1931 by the Prussian state, used as a focus of Nazi ceremonies after 1933, converted into a "Memorial to the Victims of Fascism and Militarism" in 1960 by the German Democratic Republic (GDR), and rededicated as the "Central Memorial of the Federal Republic of Germany for the Victims of War and Tyranny" in 1993 after the reunification of Germany (Stangl 2006; Till 1999). Following the collapse of the Third Reich the Nazi past was erased from the street signs of German and Austrian cities (Azaryahu 1990). Renaming the Communist past figured prominently in post-communist Moscow, Bucharest, Budapest and East Berlin (Azaryahu 1997; Foote et al. 2000; Gill 2005; Light 2004; Light, Nicolae, and Suditu 2002). Historical revision is usually a two-fold procedure involving the de-commemoration of persons and events associated with the old regime and the commemoration of heroes and events associated with the ideological underpinning of the new regime. As such, revision is both a celebration of triumph and a means of settling scores with the vanquished regime.

Yet replacing memory can also be a prolonged process that evinces different approaches to the past and evaluations of history. As the "reorientation" of the Buchenwald memorial site in the context of German reunification (Azaryahu, 2003) shows, the conflicts that arise in this context highlight the extent to which historical memory not only represents the past but also specific interpretations of the past that evince and correspond to well-defined political interests and ideological perspectives.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Research is emerging rapidly on many fronts, but more is needed in at least three areas. First, more work is needed to expand the range of cases available for comparative analysis, particularly those from outside of Europe and North America. Consideration of commemoration of a more diverse range of events—such as the development of commemorative traditions in Latin America, Africa and Asia—could add new theoretical insights (Ross 2004, 2006; Walkowitz and Knauer 2004). Second, more attention might be focused on events, like the Holocaust, whose meanings cross international borders and require negotiations among nations that were once enemies, as for example between Japan and South Korea and China over atrocities of the Second World

War. Third, while geographers offer important perspectives on issues of public memory, future research will continue to benefit from interdisciplinary perspectives which consider how public memory and commemoration are expressed across a wider range of cultural practices and media and how space and place interact with these.

REFERENCES

- Agulhon, Maurice
 1981 *Marianne into Battle: Republican Imagery and Symbolism in France, 1789-1850*. Translated by J. Lloyd. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Alderman, Derek H.
 2000 "A Street Fit for a King: Naming Places and Commemoration in the American South." *Professional Geographer* 52(4):672-684.
 2003 "Street Names and the Scaling of Memory: The Politics of Commemorating Martin Luther King, Jr. within the African American Community." *Area* 35(2):163-173.
- Alderman, Derek, and Owen J. Dwyer
 2004 "Memory in Place: The Politics of Commemoration in the American South." Pp. 55-60 in *World Minds: Geographical Perspectives on 100 Problems*, edited by D. Janelle, B. Warf, and K. Hansen. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Argenbright, Robert
 1999 "Remaking Moscow: New Places, New Selves." *Geographical Review* 89(1):1-22.
- Ashplant, T. G., Graham Dawson, and Michael Roper (Eds.)
 2001 *The Politics of War Memory and Commemoration*. London: Routledge.
- Ashworth, Gregory, and Rudi Hartmann
 2005 *Horror and Human Tragedy Revisited: The Management of Sites of Atrocities for Tourism*. New York: Cognizant Communication.
- Atkinson, David, and Denis E. Cosgrove
 1998 "Urban Rhetoric and Embodied Identities: City, Nation, and Empire at the Vittorio Emanuele II Monument in Rome, 1870-1945." *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 88(1):28-49.
- Azaryahu, Maoz
 1986 "Street Names and Political Identity: The Case of East Berlin." *Journal of Contemporary History* 21:581-604.
 1990 "Renaming the Past: Changes in "City-Text" in Germany and Austria 1945-1947." *History and Memory* 2(2):32-53.

- 1992 "War Memorials and the Commemoration of the Israeli War of Independence 1948-1956." *Studies in Zionism* 13(1):57-77.
- 1993 "From Remains to Relics: Authentic Monuments in the Israeli Landscape." *History and Memory* 5(2):82-103.
- 1996a "Mount Herzl: The Creation of Israel's National Cemetery." *Israel Studies* 1(2):46-74
- 1996b "The Power of Commemorative Street Names." *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space* 14(3):311-330.
- 1996c "The Spontaneous Formation of Memorial Space: The Case of Kikar Rabin, Tel Aviv." *Area* 28(4):501-513.
- 1997 "German Reunification and the Politics of Street Names: The case of East Berlin." *Political Geography* 16(6):479-493.
- 2003 "RePlacing Memory: The Reorientation of Buchenwald." *Cultural Geographies* 10(1):1-20.
- Beaumont, Joan
2004 "Australian Memory and the US Wartime Alliance: The Australian-American Memorial and the Battle of the Coral Sea." *War and Society* 22(1):68-87.
- Bell, James
1999 "Refining National Identity in Uzbekistan: Symbolic Tensions in Tashkent's Official Public Landscape." *Ecumene* 6(2):184-213.
- Blair, William A.
2003 *Cities of the Dead: Contesting the Memory of the Civil War in the South, 1865-1914*. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press.
- Blight, David W.
2002a *Beyond the Battlefield: Race, Memory, and the American Civil War*. Amherst: University of Massachusetts Press.
2002b *Race and Reunion: The Civil War in American Memory*. Amherst: University of Massachusetts Press.
- Boyarin, Jonathan (Ed.)
1994 *Remapping Memory: The Politics of TimeSpace*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Brundage, W. Fitzhugh (Ed.)
2000 *Where These Memories Grow: History, Memory, And Southern Identity*. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press.
- Charlesworth, Andrew
1994 "Contested Places of Memory: The Case of Auschwitz." *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space* 12:579-593.

- Confino, Alon, and Peter Fritzsche (Eds.)
 2002 *The Work of Memory: New Directions in the Study of German Society and Culture*. Urbana: University of Illinois Press.
- Connerton, Paul
 1989 *How Societies Remember*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Cosgrove, Denis E.
 1984 *Social Formation and Symbolic Landscape*. London: Croom Helm.
- Cosgrove, Denis E., and Stephen Daniels (Eds.)
 1988 *The Iconography of Landscape: Essays on the Symbolic Representation, Design, and Use of Past Environments*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Dabrowski, Patrice M.
 2004 *Commemorations and the Shaping of Modern Poland*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- DeLyser, Dydia
 1999 "Authenticity on the Ground: Engaging the Past in a California Ghost Town." *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 89(4):602-632.
 2003 "Ramona Memories: Fiction, Tourist Practices, and Placing the Past in Southern California." *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 93(4):886-908.
 2005 *Ramona Memories: Tourism and the Shaping of Southern California*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Dwyer, Owen J.
 2000 "Interpreting the Civil Rights Movement: Place, Memory, and Conflict." *The Professional Geographer* 52(4):660-71.
 2002 "Location, Politics, and the Production of Civil Rights Memorial Landscapes." *Urban Geography* 23(1):31-56.
 2003 "Memory on the Margins: Mapping Civil Rights Memorial Landscapes." Pp. 28-50 in *Mapping Tourism Spaces*, edited by S. Hanna and V. Del Casino. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
 2006 "Contradiction and Confirmation on the Cultural Landscape: The Case of America's Civil Rights Memorials." Pp. 24-46 in *A Constant Struggle: The Civil Rights Movement in United States Memory*, edited by R. Romano and L. Raiford. Athens: University of Georgia Press.
- Edensor, Tim
 1997 "National Identity and the Politics of Memory: Remembering Bruce and Wallace in Symbolic Space." *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space* 15(2):175-194.

- Everett, Holly J.
2002 *Roadside Crosses in Contemporary Memorial Culture*. Denton: University of North Texas Press.
- Fahs, Alice, and Joan Waugh (Eds.)
2004 *The Memory of the Civil War in American Culture*. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press.
- Falah, Ghazi
1996 "The 1948 Israeli-Palestinian War and Its Aftermath: The Transformation and De-signification of Palestine's Cultural Landscape." *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 86(2):256-285.
- Farmer, Sarah
1999 *Martyred Village: Commemorating the 1944 Massacre at Oradour-sur-Glane*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Fentress, James, and Chris Wickham
1992 *Social Memory*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Ferguson, P.
1988 "Reading City Streets." *The French Review* 61:386-397
- Foote, Kenneth E.
1988 "Object as Memory: The Material Foundations of Human Semiosis." *Semiotica* 69:243-268.
1990 "To Remember and Forget: Archives, Memory, and Culture." *American Archivist* 53:378-392.
2003 *Shadowed Ground: America's Landscapes of Violence and Tragedy* (rev. ed.). Austin: University of Texas Press.
- Foote, Kenneth E., Attila Tóth, and Anett Árvay
2000 "Hungary After 1989: Inscribing a New Past on Place." *Geographical Review* 90(3):301-334.
- Forrest, Benjamin, and Juliet Johnson
2002 "Unraveling the Threads of History: Soviet-era Monuments and Post-Soviet National Identity in Moscow." *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 92(3):524-547.
- Foster, Gaines
1987 *Ghosts of the Confederacy: Defeat, the Lost Cause, and the Emergence of the New South, 1865-1913*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Fussell, Paul
1975 *The Great War and Modern Memory*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Gill, Graeme
2005 "Changing Symbols: The Renovation of Moscow Place Names." *The Russian Review* 64:480-503.

- Gillis, John R. (Ed.)
 1994 *Commemorations: The Politics of National Identity*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Gold, John R., and Margaret M. Gold
 2002 "The Graves of the Gallant Highlanders': Memory, Interpretation and Narratives of Culloden." *History and Memory* 19(1):5-38.
- Grider, Sylvia
 2000 "The Archaeology of Grief: Texas A&M's Bonfire Tragedy is a Sad Study in Modern Mourning." *Discovering Archaeology* 2(3):68-74.
 2001 "Spontaneous Shrines: A Modern Response to Tragedy and Disaster." *New Directions in Folklore* (5 October):1. Retrieved July 13, 2007 (<http://www.temple.edu/isllc/newfolk/shrines.html>).
- Halbwachs, Maurice
 1980 *The Collective Memory*. Translated by F.J. Ditter, Jr., and V. Y. Ditter. New York: Harper & Row.
- Hartman, Geoffrey H. (Ed.)
 1994 *Holocaust Remembrance: The Shapes of Memory*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Harvey, David
 1979 "Monument and Myth: The Building of the Basilica of the Sacred Heart." *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 69(3):362-381.
- Heffernan, Michael
 1995 "For Ever England: The Western Front and the Politics of Remembrance in Britain." *Ecumene* 2(3):293-323.
- Hershkovitz, Linda
 1993 "Tiananmen Square and the Politics of Place." *Political Geography* 12(5):395-420.
- Hobsbawm, Eric, and Terence Ranger (Eds.)
 1983 *The Invention of Tradition*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Hochschild, Adam
 1994 *The Unquiet Ghost: Russians Remember Stalin*. New York: Viking.
- Hoelscher, Steven D.
 1998 *Heritage on Stage: The Invention of Ethnic Place in America's Little Switzerland*. Madison: University of Wisconsin Press.

- Hoelscher, Steven D., and Alderman Derek H.
 2004 *Memory and Place: Geographies of a Critical Relationship.*
Social and Cultural Geography 5(3):347-355.
- Hornstein, Shelley, and Florence Jacobowitz
 2003 *Image and Remembrance: Representation and the Holocaust.*
 Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Huyssen, Andreas
 1995 *Twilight Memories: Marking Time in a Culture of Amnesia.*
 New York : Routledge.
- Inglis, Kenneth S.
 1998 *Sacred Places: War Memorials in the Australian Landscape.*
 Carlton, Victoria: Miegunyah Press at Melbourne University
 Press.
- James, Beverly A.
 2005 *Imagining Postcommunism: Visual Narratives of Hungary's
 1956 Revolution.* College Station: Texas A&M University
 Press.
- Jeans, D.N.
 1988 "The First World War Memorials in New South Wales:
 Centers of Meaning in the Landscape." *Australian Geo-
 grapher* 19(2):259-267
- Johnson, Nuala C.
 1994 "Sculpting Heroic Histories: Celebrating the Centenary of the
 1789 Rebellion in Ireland." *Transactions of the Institute of
 British Geographers (New Series)* 19(1):78-93.
 1995 "Cast in Stone: Monuments, Geography, and Nationalism."
Environment and Planning D: Society and Space 13(1):51-65.
- Jorgensen-Earp, Cheryl R., and Lori A. Lanzilotti
 1998 "Public Memory and Private Grief: The Construction of
 Shrines at the Sites of Public Tragedy." *Quarterly Journal of
 Speech* 84:150-170.
- Katriel, Tamar
 1997 *Performing the Past. A Study of Israeli Settlement Museums.*
 London: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Kirchenblatt-Gimblett, Barbara
 1998 *Destination Culture: Tourism, Museums and Heritage.*
 Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Kong, Lily
 1999 "Cemeteries and Columbaria, Memorials and Mausoleums:
 Narrative and Interpretation in the Study of Deathscapes in
 Geography." *Australian Geographical Studies* 37(1):1-10.

- 2007 "Civil Religion and the Invention of Traditions: Constructing 'The Singapore Nation.'" *Australian Religious Studies Review* 20(1):77-93.
- Koshar, Rudy J.
1998 *Germany's Transient Pasts: Preservation and National Memory in the Twentieth Century*. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press.
- 2000 *From Monuments to Traces: Artifacts of German Memory, 1870-1990*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Ladd, Brian
1997 *The Ghosts of Berlin: Confronting German History in the Urban Landscape*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Lane, Barbara M.
1968 *Architecture and Politics in Germany, 1918-1945*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Leith, James A.
1991 *Space and Revolution: Projects for Monuments, Squares, and Public Buildings in France, 1789-1799*. Montreal: McGill-Queen's University Press.
- Leitner, Helga, and Petei Kang
1999 "Contested Urban Landscapes of Nationalism: The Case of Taipei." *Ecumene* 6(2):214-233.
- Lennon, John, and Malcolm Foley
2000 *Dark Tourism: The Attraction of Death and Disaster*. London: Continuum.
- Levinson, Sanford
1998 *Written in Stone: Public Monuments in Changing Societies*. Durham: Duke University Press.
- Light, Duncan
2004 "Street Names in Bucharest, 1990-1997: Exploring the Modern Historical Geographies of Post-socialist Change." *Journal of Historical Geography* 30:154-172.
- Light, Duncan, Ion Nicolae, and Bogdan Suditu
2002 "Toponymy and the Communist City: Street Names in Bucharest, 1948-1965." *GeoJournal* 56:135-144.
- Linenthal, Edward T.
1991 *Sacred Ground: Americans and their Battlefields*. Urbana: University of Illinois Press.
- Linenthal, Edward T., and Tom Engelhardt (Eds.)
1996 *History Wars: The Enola Gay and Other Battles for the American Past*. New York: Metropolitan Books.

- Lloyd, David W.
1998 *Battlefield Tourism: Pilgrimage and the Commemoration of the Great War in Britain, Australia and Canada, 1919-1939.* Oxford: Berg.
- Lowenthal, David
1975 "Past Time, Present Place: Landscape and Memory." *Geographical Review* 65:1-36.
1985 *The Past is a Foreign Country.* New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Lowry, Bates
1985 *Building a National Image: Architectural Drawings for the American Democracy, 1789-1912.* Washington, DC: National Building Museum.
- MacCannell, Dean
1976 *The Tourist: A New Theory of the Leisure Class.* New York: Schocken Books.
- Marcuse, Harold
2001 *Legacies of Dachau: The Uses and Abuses of a Concentration Camp, 1933-2001.* New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Marling, Karal Ann, and John Wetenhall
1991 *Iwo Jima: Monuments, Memories, and the American Hero.* Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Mayo, James M.
1988 *War Memorials as Political Landscape.* New York: Praeger.
- Michalski, Sergiusz
1998 *Public Monuments: Art in Political Bondage, 1870-1997.* New York: Reaktion Books.
- Mill, Page P.
1992 *Reclaiming the Past: Landmarks of Women's History.* Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Monk, Janice
1992 "Gender in the Landscape: Expressions of Power and Meaning." Pp. 123-138 in *Inventing Places: Studies in Cultural Geography*, edited by K. Anderson and F. Gale. New York: Wiley, Halsted Press.
- Moore, Toby
2002 "Emerging Memorial Landscapes of Labor Conflict in the Cotton Textile South." *The Professional Geographer* 52(4): 684-696.
- Morley, David, and Kevin Robins
1995 *Spaces of Identity: Global Media, Electronic Landscapes and Cultural Boundaries.* London: Routledge

- Morris, M.S.
1997 "‘Gardens for Ever England’: Landscape, Identity and the First World War British Cemeteries on the Western Front." *Ecumene* 4:414-434
- Mosse, George L.
1990 *Fallen Soldiers: Reshaping the Memory of the World Wars*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Müller, Jan-Werner
2002 *Memory and Power in Post-war Europe: Studies in the Presence of the Past*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Muzaini, Hamzah Bin, and B.S.A. Yeoh
2005a "War Landscapes as ‘Battlefields’ of Collective Memories: Reading the Republic at Bukit Chandu, Singapore." *Cultural Geographies* 12(3):345-365.
2005b "Contesting Local Commemoration of the Second World War: The Case of the Changi Chapel and Museum in Singapore." *Australian Geographer* 36(1):1-17.
- Neff, John R.
2005 *Honoring the Civil War Dead: Commemoration and the Problem of Reconciliation*. Lawrence: University Press of Kansas.
- Nora, Pierre (Ed.)
1984 *Les Lieux de Memoire [Realms of Memory]*, 2 vols. Paris: Gallimard.
- Özyürek, Esra (Ed.)
2007 *The Politics of Public Memory in Turkey*. Syracuse, NY: Syracuse University Press.
- Palonen, K.
1993 "Reading Street Names Politically." Pp. 103-121 in *Reading the Political: Exploring the Margins of Politics*, edited by K. Palonen and T. Parvikko. Tampere: The Finnish Political Science Association.
- Piehler, G. Kurt
1995 *Remembering War the American Way*. Washington, DC: Smithsonian Institution Press.
- Raivo, Petri J.
1998 "Politics of memory: historical battlefields and sense of place." *Nordia Geographical Publications* 27 (1):59-66.
2001 "‘This is Where They Fought’: Finnish War Landscapes as a National Heritage." Pp. 145-164 in *The Politics of War Memory and Commemoration*, edited by T.G. Ashplant, G. Dawson, and M. Roper. London: Routledge.

- Rév, István
2005 *Retroactive Justice: The Prehistory of Post-Communism*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Rosenfeld, Gavriel D.
2000 *Munich and Memory: Architecture, Monuments, and the Legacy of the Third Reich*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Roudometof, Victor
2002 *Collective Memory, National Identity, and Ethnic Conflict : Greece, Bulgaria, and the Macedonian Question*. Westport, CT: Praeger.
- Ross, Amy
2004 "Truth and Consequences in Guatemala." *GeoJournal* 60(1): 73-79.
2006 "The Creation and Conduct of the Guatemalan Commission for Historical Clarification." *Geoforum* 37(1):69-81.
- Rürup, Reinhard (Ed.)
1987 *Topographie des Terrors: Gestapo, SS und Reichssicherheitshauptamt auf dem "Prinz-Albrecht-Gelände*. [The Topography of Terror: The Gestapo, SS, and Reich Main Security Office in the Prinz Albrecht District]. Berlin: Verlag Willmuth Arenhövel.
- Saitta, Dean J., Mark Walker, and Paul Reckner
2006 Battlefields of Class Conflict: Ludlow Then and Now. *Journal of Conflict Archaeology* 1:197-213.
- Santino, Jack (Ed.)
2006 *Spontaneous Shrines and the Public Memorialization of Death*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Savage, Kirk
1997 *Standing Soldiers, Kneeling Slaves: Race, War, and Monument in Nineteenth-Century America*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Schama, Simon
1995 *Landscape and Memory*. New York: Alfred A. Knopf.
- Schein, Richard (Ed.)
2006 *Landscape and Race in the United States*. New York: Routledge.
- Schwartz, Barry
1982 "The Social Context of Commemoration: A Study in Collective Memory." *Social Forces* 82:374-402.
2000 *Abraham Lincoln and the Forge of National Memory*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

- Shackel, Paul A. (Ed.)
 2001 *Myth, Memory, and the Making of The American Landscape*. Gainesville: University Press of Florida.
- Shackel, Paul A.
 2003 *Memory in Black and White: Race, Commemoration, and the Post-Bellum Landscape*. Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press.
- Sledge, Michael
 2005 *Soldier Dead: How We Recover, Identify, Bury, and Honor Our Military Fallen*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Smith, Kathleen E.
 1996 *Remembering Stalin's Victims: Popular Memory and the End of the USSR*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- Stangl, Paul
 2003 "The Soviet Memorial in Treptow, Berlin: Commemoration, History and Identity." *Geographical Review* 93(2):213-236.
 2006 "Restoring Berlin's Unter den Linden: Ideology, World View, Place and Space." *Journal of Historical Geography* 32(2): 352-376.
- Stump, R. W.
 1988 "Toponymic Commemoration of National Figures: The Cases of Kennedy and King." *Names* 36(3-4):203-216.
- Taylor, Robert R.
 1974 *The Word in Stone: The Role of Architecture in the National Socialist Ideology*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Till, Karen
 1999 "Staging the Past: Landscape Designs, Cultural Identity and Erinnerungspolitik at Berlin's Neue Wache." *Ecumene* 6(3): 251-283.
 2005 *The New Berlin: Memory, Politics, Place*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Trouillas, Paul
 1988 *Le Complexe de Marianne (The Marianne Complex)*. Paris: Editions du Seuil.
- Tuan, Yi-fu
 1974 *Topophilia: A Study of Environmental Perception, Attitudes, and Values*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
 1979 *Landscapes of Fear*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Tumarkin, Nina
 1983 *Lenin Lives! The Lenin Cult in Soviet Russia*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
 1994 *The Living and the Dead: The Rise and Fall of the Cult of World War II in Russia*. New York: BasicBooks.

- Vale, Lawrence J.
1992 *Architecture, Power, and National Identity*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Van Pelt, Robert Jan, and Debórah Dwork
1996 *Auschwitz: 1270 to the Present*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Verdery, Katherine
1999 *The Political Lives of Dead Bodies: Reburial and Postsocialist Change*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Wagner-Pacific, Robin, and Barry Schwartz
1991 The Vietnam Veterans Memorial: Commemorating a Difficult Past. *American Journal of Sociology* 97(2):376-420.
- Walkowitz, Daniel J., and Lisa Maya Knauer (Eds.)
2004 *Memory and the Impact of Political Transformation in Public Space*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Wang, Ban
2004 *Illuminations from the Past: Trauma, Memory, and History in Modern China*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Werlen, Benno
1993 *Society Action and Space: An Alternative Human Geography*. Translated by G. Walls. New York: Routledge.
- Winter, Jay
1995 *Sites of Memory, Sites of Mourning: The Great War in European Cultural History*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Wood, Nancy
1999 *Vectors of Memory: Legacies of Trauma in Postwar Europe*. New York: Berg.
- Yeoh, Brenda S. A.
1992 "Street Names in Colonial Singapore." *Geographical Review* 82(3):313-322.
1996 "Street-naming and Nation-building: Toponymic Inscriptions of Nationhood in Singapore." *Area* 28(3):298-307.
- Yoneyama, Lisa
1999 *Hiroshima Traces: Time, Space, and the Dialectics of Memory*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Young, James E.
1993 *The Texture of Memory: Holocaust Memorials and Meaning*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
1997 "Germany's Problems with Its Holocaust Memorial: A Way Out of the Quagmire?" *Chronicle of Higher Education* October 31:B4.

IN THIS ISSUE

Dalia Abdelhady is Assistant Professor of Sociology at Southern Methodist University. Her main fields of interest are globalization, immigration, political sociology, and the sociology of culture. She has studied first-generation Arab immigrants in France and North America. Her current research compares second-generation Arab immigrants in the United States and France to other immigrant groups in the same settings.

Maoz Azaryahu is Associate Professor of Geography at the University of Haifa in Israel. His research includes the cultural and historical geographies of national myths and public memory in Israel and in Germany, landscapes of popular culture, and the cultural history of places and landscapes.

Daryl Dee Britton is Visiting Assistant Professor in the Sociology and Anthropology Department of Colgate University, Hamilton, NY. Ms. Britton's primary research areas are in collective memory, disaster, and visual studies. A Ph.D. candidate in Social Science from the Maxwell School, Syracuse University, she received a Master of Science degree from the University of Illinois and a Bachelor of Science degree from Auburn University.

Kenneth E. Foote is Professor of Geography at the University of Colorado at Boulder. His research addresses: 1) cultural and historical geography focusing on American and European landscape history, especially the imprint of violence on landscape and place; 2) geographic information science; and 3) geography in higher education, particularly issues of professional development for early career faculty.

Patricia Leavy is Associate Professor of Sociology, the Founding Director of the Gender Studies Program, and the Chairperson of the Sociology and Criminology Department at Stonehill College in Easton, MA. She is the author of *Iconic Events: Media, Power and Politics in Retelling History* (2007) and the coauthor of *The Practice of Feminist Research: A Primer* (2007) and *The Practice of Qualitative Research* (2005). Her co-edited book publications include: *Emergent Methods in Social Research* (2006) and *Approaches to Qualitative Research: A Reader on Theory and Practice* (2004).

Victor Roudometof is Assistant Professor with the Department of Social and Political Sciences at the University of Cyprus. His research interests lie in the areas of the sociology of culture and sociology of religion. He is the author of *Nationalism, Globalization and Orthodoxy* (Westport, CT: Greenwood, 2001) and *Collective Memory, National Identity and Ethnic Conflict* (Westport, CT: Praeger, 2002). Additionally, he has authored over thirty articles and book