

ETHIOPIA

Strategy Support Program



ETHIOPIAN DEVELOPMENT
RESEARCH INSTITUTE



INTERNATIONAL
FOOD POLICY
RESEARCH
INSTITUTE
A member of the CGIAR Consortium

WORKING PAPER 67

Teff: nutrient composition and health benefits

*Kaleab Baye**

**Center for Food Science and Nutrition, College of Natural Sciences, Addis Ababa University*

September 2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract.....	1
1. Introduction	1
2. Physical characteristics of teff grain.....	1
3. Nutritional composition of teff grain.....	2
3.1. Carbohydrates.....	2
3.2. Protein	3
3.3. Fat.....	3
3.4. Fiber.....	3
3.5. Minerals.....	4
3.6. Phytochemicals in teff	5
3.6.1. <i>Phytates</i>	5
3.6.2. <i>Polyphenols</i>	6
4. Use of teff for human consumption.....	7
4.1. Consumption of teff in Ethiopia.....	7
4.2. Forms of consumption of teff in Ethiopia.....	8
4.3. Teff: a future global food?.....	8
5. Health benefits of teff and teff-based products	9
5.1. Iron deficiency	9
5.2. Celiac disease.....	9
5.3. Diabetes	10
6. Summary.....	10
7. References.....	11

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1—Teff grain characteristics	3
Table 3.1—Macro, amino acid and fatty acid composition of teff grain compared to maize, sorghum, wheat and rice	4
Table 3.2—Mineral content of teff grain compared to other cereals, mg/100g	4
Table 3.3—Phytochemical composition of teff grain	6

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 4.1—Contribution to energy intake (calories) per capita of different cereals in Ethiopia	7
---	---

ABSTRACT

Teff (*Eragrostis tef*), has been cultivated and used for human consumption in Ethiopia for centuries. However, teff's global use for human consumption has been restrained partly due to limited knowledge about its nutrient composition and the processing challenges faced in making teff-based food products. Over the past decade, the recognition that teff is gluten-free has raised global interest. Consequently, literature on the nutritional composition, processing quality, and health benefits of teff has grown considerably. The existing literature suggests that teff is composed of complex carbohydrates with slowly digestible starch. Teff has a similar protein content to other more common cereals like wheat, but is relatively richer than other cereals in the essential amino acid lysine. Teff is also a good source of essential fatty acids, fiber, minerals (especially calcium and iron), and phytochemicals such as polyphenols and phytates.

Existing studies of the nutrition and health benefits of teff are limited since they fail to take into account differences in teff varieties and growing conditions. Nevertheless, the studies undertaken so far confirm teff's excellent nutrient profile and suggest it has considerable potential globally to be a functional food for health promotion and disease prevention.

I. INTRODUCTION

Teff (*Eragrostis tef*) is an ancient tropical cereal that has its center of origin and diversity in the northern Ethiopian highlands from where it is believed to have been domesticated (Ketema 1997; Demissie 2001). Teff is a minor cereal crop worldwide, whereas in Ethiopia, it is a major food grain, mainly used to make *injera*, a traditional fermented Ethiopian pancake. In other countries like Australia, South Africa, and United States, it is principally used as a forage crop for animal feed.

Relative to more common cereals like wheat, rice, and maize, little is known about the nutritional composition and potential health benefits of teff. This, along with technological limitations in processing teff, has for long restricted its more widespread consumption from its center of origin, Ethiopia. Although teff is the preferred grain for making the staple *injera* (Yetneberk, Rooney, and Taylor 2005), the limited information available to the general public and the lack of global interest in teff, has prolonged thinking by Ethiopians that their grain is of inferior nutritional quality. However over the past decade, the recognition that teff is gluten-free has spurred global research interest by nutritionists and food scientists. Consequently, studies on the nutritional composition of teff and its processing qualities have grown, and the development of new teff-based products has accelerated.

In this chapter, the physical and chemical characteristics of teff and its nutrient composition are described. The use of teff and teff-based products for human nutrition in Ethiopia are described, along with the food processing challenges impeding teff's worldwide consumption. Recent research advances to solve these challenges are discussed. Finally, the potential health benefits that could be associated with higher consumption of teff are highlighted.


2. PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF TEFF GRAIN

Teff is possibly the smallest cereal grain with an average length of ~ 1 mm (Table 2.1) (Umeta and Parker 1996; Lacey and Llewellyn 2005; Bultosa 2007; Adebowale et al. 2011). The average thousand kernel weight of 12 teff varieties tested by Bultosa (2007) was 0.264 g. The minuteness of teff grains has nutritional and technological implications. For instance, as teff grains are difficult to decorticate, the cereal is consumed as a wholegrain, improving nutrient intake for consumers.

The color of teff can vary from white (ivory) to dark brown (black) depending on the variety. In Ethiopia, three major categories can be identified: white (*nech*), red (*quey*) and mixed (*sergegna*). It is also common for wholesalers to further subdivide white teff into very white (*magna*) and white (*nech*). However, given that these classifications are imprecise and subjective, what may be referred as *magna* by some may be considered as *nech* by others.

White teff generally grows only in the Ethiopian highlands and require relatively good growing conditions. This, along with its higher consumer preference, may justify why white teff is the most expensive type of teff. However, in recent years, red teff, which is believed to be more nutritious, is also gaining popularity among health conscious consumers in Ethiopia.

Table 2.1—Teff grain characteristics

Average of 12 teff varieties	Scanning electron microscope (SEM) image of a longitudinal section of a teff grain with germ and endosperm
Length (mm) = 1.17	
Width (mm) = 0.61	
Percent of sample that passes through sieves of different mesh size:	
710 microns – 1.1	
600 microns – 52.7	
300 microns – 45.3	
250 microns – 0.1	
Thousand kernel weight (g) = 0.264	

Bultosa 2007 (data); Helbing 2009 in Gebremariam et al. 2012 (photo).

3. NUTRITIONAL COMPOSITION OF TEFF GRAIN

3.1. Carbohydrates

Carbohydrates are the major source of energy for human nutrition and play an important role in metabolism and homeostasis. Based on the molecular size and degree of polymerization, carbohydrates can be classified into sugars, oligosaccharides, starch (amylose, amylopectin), and non-starch polysaccharides. Complex carbohydrates make up 80 percent of the teff grain. It has a starch content of approximately 73 percent, making teff a starchy cereal. The amylose content of 13 teff varieties tested ranged from 20 to 26 percent, comparable to other grains, such as sorghum (Bultosa 2007).

The extent to which carbohydrate is digested and absorbed in the small intestine determines its health effect. Rapidly digested and absorbed carbohydrates (glycemic carbohydrates) have greater impact on blood glucose levels, as they lead to greater metabolic perturbation (Lafiandra, Riccardi and Shewry 2014). Such perturbations have been associated with metabolic diseases such as type-2 diabetes and cardiovascular diseases (Ludwig 2002). Hence, from a health standpoint, slowly digesting carbohydrates are preferred over rapidly digesting ones.

The rate of carbohydrate digestion of a food can be characterized by its glycemic index (GI)¹ (Harris and Geor 2009). The GI of a food depends on endogenous factors of the food matrix such as starch susceptibility to α -amylase, protein and lipid content, and the macroscopic structure of the food (Fardet et al. 2006). Starch susceptibility to α -amylase is in turn determined by its structure, encapsulation, crystal structure, degree of gelatinization, the proportion of damaged granules as well as the retrogradation of the starch granules (Fardet et al. 2006). Using a scanning electron microscope (SEM), the size of teff starch was found to be 2-6 μ m (Bultosa, Hall, and Taylor 2002; Wolter et al. 2013). This makes teff starch granules smaller than those of wheat (A type 20-35 μ m), sorghum (20 μ m) and maize (20 μ m) (Delcour et al. 2010). Given their larger surface area, smaller starch granules are more susceptible to enzymatic attack (Tester, Karkalas, and Qi 2004). Nonetheless, in comparison to wheat which has larger starch granules, the in vitro starch digestibility of teff was found to be significantly lower (Wolter et al. 2013). In line with this, the predicted glycemic index of teff (74) was significantly lower than that of white wheat (100) but comparable to that of sorghum (72) and oats (71) (Wolter et al. 2013).

This somewhat lower GI for teff than expected may be explained by its amylose content, lower starch damage, and the possible formation of amylose-lipid complexes that can hinder enzymatic access and thus starch digestibility (Singh, Dartois, and Kaur 2010; Wolter et al. 2013). In addition, the high (68-80 °C) gelatinization temperature of teff (Bultosa 2007; Wolter et al. 2013) can hinder gelatinization and thus decrease susceptibility to enzymatic attack by α -amylase (Fardet et al. 2006).

¹ The glycemic index (GI) is a measure of how carbohydrate-containing foods raise blood glucose. Foods are ranked based on how they compare to a reference food, either glucose or white bread, which has a GI of 100. The consumption of high GI foods leads to a rapid and large release of glucose into the blood.

3.2. Protein

The average crude protein content of teff is in the range of 8 to 11 percent, similar to other more common cereals such as wheat (Table 3.1). Teff's fractional protein composition suggests that glutelins (45 percent) and albumins (37 percent) are the major protein storages, while prolamins are a minor constituent (~ 12 percent) (Bekele et al. 1995; Tatham et al. 1996). In contrast, more recent studies report that prolamins are the major protein storages in teff (Adebowale et al. 2011). The different methods of extraction between these studies may explain the contradictory findings. By examining the amino acid profile, the higher contents of glutamine, alanine, leucine and proline and the relatively lower content of lysine further suggests that prolamins are the major storage proteins (Adebowale et al. 2011).

Teff's amino acid composition is well-balanced (Table 3.1). A relatively high concentration of lysine, a major limiting amino acid in cereals, is found in teff. Similarly, compared to other cereals, higher contents of isoleucine, leucine, valine, tyrosine, threonine, methionine, phenylalanine, arginine, alanine, and histidine are found in teff.

Another important feature of teff is that it has no gluten (Hopman et al. 2008). Spaenij-Dekking, Kooy-Winkelaar, and Koning, (2005) investigated the presence or absence of gluten in pepsin and trypsin digests of 14 teff varieties. The digests were analyzed for the presence of T-cell-stimulatory epitopes.² In contrast to known gluten containing cereals, no T-cell stimulatory epitopes were detected in the protein digests of all the teff varieties assayed, thus confirming the absence of gluten in teff. This makes teff a valuable ingredient for functional foods destined for celiac patients who are gluten intolerant.

3.3. Fat

Cereals are not the best source of fat, but as they are often consumed in large quantities, cereals can contribute a significant amount of essential fatty acids to the diet (Michaelsen et al. 2011). Fatty acids are potentially beneficial to growth, development and long-term health. Consequently, there has been significant interest in recent years in their inclusion in diets. For instance, increased intake of n-3 fatty acids (α -linoleic acid) were found to reduce biological markers associated with cardiovascular disease, cancer, inflammatory and autoimmune diseases among others (Simopoulos 2001).

The crude fat content of teff is higher than that of wheat and rice, but lower than maize and sorghum (Table 3.2). Rice, wheat and maize contain negligible amount of linoleic acid (LA) and only traces of α -linoleic acid (ALA). Furthermore, these widespread cereals are consumed after decortication and further refining which reduces their amount of crude fat and n-6 and n-3 poly-unsaturated fatty acids. By maintaining whole grains, as in the case of teff, this provides a better source of fatty acids than refined ones. Teff grains are rich in unsaturated fatty acids, predominantly oleic acid (32.4 percent) and linoleic acids (23.8 percent) (El-Alfy, Ezzat, and Sleem 2012). Although a clear consensus has not been reached on the optimal ratio between LA and ALA fatty acids, the Codex standards for infants formula recommends a LA:ALA ratio in the range of 5 to 15 (Koletzko et al. 2005). In this regard, the LA: ALA ratio of 7:1 for teff can be considered favorable and is comparable to legumes that are good sources of fatty acids, such as soybean.

3.4. Fiber

The American Association of Cereal Chemists defines dietary fiber as the "edible parts of plant or analogous carbohydrates resistant to digestion and absorption in the human small intestine with complete or partial fermentation in the large intestine" (DeVries 2003). The most recent Codex definition further added that dietary fibers should have "proven physiologic effects of benefit to health" (Cummings et al. 2009). Some of these physiologic effects include faecal bulking (laxation), lowering blood glucose levels after eating, and lowering plasma LDL-cholesterol (Champ et al. 2003).

The crude fiber, total and soluble dietary fiber content of teff is several folds higher than that found in wheat, sorghum, rice, and maize (Table 3.1). There may be several reasons for this. First, whole grains have higher fiber content than decorticated ones. Second, small grains have a relatively high proportion of bran, which is high in fiber (Bultosa 2007). Therefore, higher dietary fiber intake and the associated health benefits are expected with increased consumption of teff.

² T cell stimulatory epitopes are defined as peptide sequences which, in association with proteins on antigen-presenting cells (APC), are required for recognition by specific T cells.

Table 3.1—Macro, amino acid and fatty acid composition of teff grain compared to maize, sorghum, wheat and rice

	Teff	Maize	Sorghum	Wheat	Rice
Energy (kcal)	357	375	370	359	357
Starch (%)	73	72	63	71	64
Crude protein (%)	11	8-11	8.3	11.7	7.3
Amino acid (g / 16 g N)					
Lysine	3.7		0.3	2.1	3.7
Isoleucine	4.1		0.7	3.7	4.5
Leucine	8.5		2.1	7.0	8.2
Valine	5.5		0.8	4.1	6.0
Phenylalanine	5.7		0.9	4.9	5.5
Tyrosine	3.8		0.7	2.3	5.2
Tryptophan	1.3		0.2	1.1	1.2
Threonine	4.3		0.5	2.7	3.7
Histidine	3.2		0.4	2.1	2.3
Arginine	5.2		0.6	3.5	8.5
Methionine	4.1		0.3	1.5	2.7
Cystine	2.5		0.3	2.4	1.8
Asparagine	6.4			5.1	9.0
Serine	4.1		0.8	5.0	5.0
Glutamine + Glutamic Acid	21.8			29.5	17.0
Proline	8.2		1.3	10.2	5.0
Glycine	3.1		0.5	4.0	4.5
Alanine	10.1		1.6	3.6	5.5
Crude fat (%)	2.5	4.9	3.9	2	2.2
Total polyunsaturated fatty acids	1.1	1.8	1.4	0.5	0.8
Linoleic acid (LA)	0.9	1.7	1.3	0.5	0.78
α-linoleic acid (ALA)	0.14	0.05	0.07	0.03	0.03
LA:ALA ratio	7:1	34:1	20:1	17:1	26:1
Crude fiber (%)	3.0	-	0.6	2.0	0.6-1.0
Total dietary fiber	4.5	2.6			-
Soluble dietary fiber	0.9	0.6			-
Ash (%)	2.8	1.4	1.6	1.6	1.4

Sources: Agren & Gibson 1968; Bultosa & Taylor 2004; FAO 1992; Gebremariam et al. 2012; Hager & Arendt, 2013; Juliano 1993; Ketema 1997; Khoi et al. 1987; Michaelsen et al. 2011; Mossé, Huet, & Baudet 1985; Shoup et al. 1969; USDA/ARS. 2014; Wolter et al. 2013.

3.5. Minerals

The difference in mineral content between and within teff varieties is wide ranging. Red teff has a higher iron and calcium content than mixed or white teff (Abebe et al. 2007). On the other hand, white teff has a higher copper content than red and mixed teff (Table 3.2). Ketema (1997) analyzed 12 genotypes of teff grown in different agro-ecologic settings and 5 varieties grown in a greenhouse in Great Britain and reported that genetic and environmental factors affect the iron content of teff. This may partly explain the high variability in the mineral content reported in different studies.

Table 3.2—Mineral content of teff grain compared to other cereals, mg/100g

Minerals	White teff	Red teff	Mixed teff	Maize	Sorghum	Wheat	Rice
Iron	9.5-37.7	11.6- >150	11.5- >150	3.6-4.8	3.5-4.1	3.7	1.5
Zinc	2.4-6.8	2.3-6.7	3.8-3.9	2.6-4.6	1.4-1.7	1.7	2.2
Calcium	17-124	18-178	78.8-147	16	5.0-5.8	15.2-39.5	23
Copper	2.5-5.3	1.1-3.6	1.6	1.3	0.41	0.23	0.16

Sources: Abebe et al. 2007; Baye et al. 2014; Gebremariam et al. 2012; Kebede 2009; USDA/ARS 2014.

Notwithstanding the differences described above, teff has a higher iron, calcium and copper content than other common cereals (Mengesha 1966). The zinc content of teff is also higher than that of sorghum and wheat.

However, the very high mineral (i.e. iron) content of teff has been contested and in many instances attributed to soil contamination (Ketema 1997; Abebe et al. 2007). For example, Hallberg and Björn-Rasmussen (1981) reported that teff's iron content is not different from other cereals by showing that iron content drops from 39.7 mg/100 g to 3.5 mg/ 100 g when grains are washed with dilute hydrochloric acid. However, washing with acid is likely to lead to loss of acid-labile intrinsic iron and thus may underestimate the iron content. For instance, Areda et al. (1993) reported that acid washing of teff grains led to a 50 percent greater loss of iron than washings with de-ionized water. Comparing uncontaminated teff to barley, wheat, maize and sorghum, Mengesha (1966) reported that teff is superior in its mineral content, particularly in calcium and iron. More recently, Baye et al. (2014) examined the content of iron, zinc and calcium in teff, barley, wheat, and sorghum before and after washing with de-ionized water. Mengesha (1966) found by washing the grain, that this significantly decreased the iron content as well as the variability between replicates. Despite this decrease, the variability between replicates for teff remained relatively high suggesting that soil contamination in teff is relatively high compared to other cereals.

The mineral contamination of teff is probably due to its small size, and suggests increased contact with soil over a larger area (Baye et al. 2014). The contamination of cereal grains in Ethiopia, particularly in teff, has often been associated with traditional methods of threshing grain under the hooves of cattle (Bezwooda et al. 1979). More recently, Ambaw (2013) compared the iron content of the same teff variety after laboratory (manually) and traditional threshing. Traditional threshing led to 30 to 38 percent increase in iron content mainly due to soil contamination. The iron content of the laboratory threshed teff was 16 mg/100g DM, which was still higher than what is found in many cereals. This suggests that although the intrinsic iron content of teff may not be as high as previously thought, teff is still a better source of iron than other cereals like wheat, barley, sorghum, and maize.

In contrast to iron, Bave et al., (2014) showed that under the same conditions, the values reported for calcium and zinc are consistent and are less affected by washing. This suggests that soil contamination contributes little to the content of these minerals in teff.

3.6. Phytochemicals in teff

For minerals to be used for normal metabolic functions (bioavailable), they need to be absorbed through the small intestine (Fairweather-Tait 2002). The bioavailability of minerals depends on subject/host and dietary factors (Hurrell and Egli 2010). Among dietary factors, phytochemicals, such as polyphenols and phytates, constitute major mineral absorption inhibitors and hence were, for a long time, referred to as anti-nutritional factors. However, in recent years, the recognition of their health promoting effects including anti-diabetic, anti-cancer and antioxidative (Shamsuddin 1995) properties made the term anti-nutritional factor obsolete (Schlemmer et al. 2009).

3.6.1. Phytates

Phytates are a common constituent of cereals and legumes (Schlemmer et al. 2009). It is the primary form of phosphorus storage in seeds and accounts for 60-90 percent of the total phosphorus. It can constitute as much as 1.5 percent of the dry weight of cereals (Loewus 2002; Bohn, Meyer, and Rasmussen 2008).

Teff contains high amounts of phytate (Table 3.3) with a wide range of variability, probably due to differences in varieties and growing conditions. Teff's phytate content is comparable to values reported for wholegrain cereals (Schlemmer et al., 2009). Such high values in phytate are likely to impair the absorption of iron and zinc (Hurrell & Egli, 2010). The mechanism by which phytate inhibits mineral absorption is based on the formation of insoluble phytate-mineral or peptide-mineral-phytate complexes in the gastrointestinal tract (Weaver and Kannan 2002). Furthermore, phytates form complexes with endogenously secreted minerals such as zinc (Sandström 1997; Manary et al. 2002) and calcium (Morris and Ellis 1985), making these minerals unavailable for reabsorption into the body.

Table 3.3—Phytochemical composition of teff grain

Phytate (mg/100 g dry matter)	682-1374
Tannin (mg CE/100 g dry matter)	16
Total polyphenols (mg gallic acid equivalent/100 g dry matter)	140
Iron-binding phenolics	
Galloyls (mg tannic acid equivalent/100 g dry matter)	210
Catechols (mg catechin equivalent/100 g dry matter)	200
Phenolic acids (µg/mg)	
Protocatechuic	25.5
Gentisic	15
<i>p</i> -OH Benzoic	-
Vanillic	54.8
Caffeic	3.9
Syringic	14.9
Coumaric	36.9
Ferulic	285.9
Cinnamic	46

Sources: Abebe et al. 2007; Baye 2013; Baye et al. 2014; Dykes and Rooney 2006; McDonough, Rooney, and Derna-Saldivar 2000; Umeta, West, and Fufa 2005.

Phytate can be degraded by endogenous phytases which can be activated by food processing techniques like soaking, fermentation, and germination and to a lesser extent during cooking. For instance, fermentation of *injera* has been shown to result in phytate degradation through the activation of endogenous phytases (Umeta, West, and Fufa 2005; Baye et al. 2013, Baye et al. 2014). However, the application of exogenous commercial enzymes can be more effective in degrading phytates (Troesch et al. 2009; Baye et al. 2013). On a positive side, phytates have been shown to prevent kidney stones by serving as crystallisation inhibitor of calcium salts in biological fluids (Curhan et al. 2004). They also have glucose lowering (Lee et al. 2005, 2006) and anti-cancer properties (Singh, Agarwal, and Agarwal 2003). Given these positive effects of phytates, it remains unknown as to whether there is an optimal concentration of phytate where the beneficial effects can be appreciated with little or no compromise to mineral bioavailability. Further investigations are needed to find more conclusive results.

3.6.2. Polyphenols

Polyphenols are secondary metabolites of plants involved in the defense against pathogens or ultraviolet radiation (Manach et al. 2004). Polyphenols similarly protect cell constituents against oxidative damage, limiting the risk of diseases associated with oxidative stress (Scalbert et al. 2005).

Baye (2013) reported the total polyphenol content of teff, wheat, barley and sorghum whole grains using the modified Folin-Ciocalteu's method. Red sorghum has the highest content of total polyphenols per 100 g gallic acid equivalents (GAE) of flour (1,607 mg), followed by barley (310 mg), wheat (143 mg), teff (140 mg), and white sorghum (81 mg). However, only one variety of teff, *sergegna*, was analyzed; thus, to what extent varietal differences in teff influence polyphenol contents remains to be investigated.

Polyphenols can hamper iron absorption from plant-based foods (Hurrell and Egli 2010). Consequently, reducing polyphenol contents in predominantly plant-based diets has been encouraged (Matuschek and Svanberg 2002). The iron-binding properties of polyphenols are associated, however, with the catechol (ortho-dihydroxy benzene) and galloyl (trihydroxy-benzene) functional groups. Hence, not all polyphenols possess inhibitory effects (Brune, Rossander, and Hallberg 1989). The galloyl content of teff was similar to that of wheat, white sorghum, and barley, whereas the catechol content was comparable to that of barley, but higher than that of wheat and white sorghum (Baye 2013).

The polyphenol profile of teff was reported by McDonough, Rooney, and Derna-Saldivar (2000) (Table 3.3). Ferulic acid is the major constituent of phenolic acid in teff. Vanillic, cinnamic, and coumaric are also important constituents of the phenolic acids. These major constituents of phenolic acids in teff do not have galloyl and catechol functional groups and thus are less likely to hamper iron absorption. This suggests that it may be possible to benefit from the anti-oxidative properties of

the polyphenols in teff while not compromising on iron bioavailability. Indeed, Alaunyte et al. (2012) showed that by supplementing wheat bread with 30 percent teff flour, it was possible to significantly increase the total antioxidant capacity from 1.4 to 2.4 mM trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity (TEAC) per 100g.

In summary, compared to grain of other more common cereals, teff is superior in its nutrient composition. Its starch is slowly digestible and consequently has a low GI; it has a favorable amino acid composition and contains no gluten. Teff is also a good source of unsaturated fatty acids and has a favorable LA:ALA composition. Teff is high in minerals, especially iron and calcium. Furthermore, the high dietary fiber along with the relatively good concentration in phytochemicals makes teff a good contender for a functional food for health promotion and disease prevention. Nevertheless, the use of teff for human consumption is limited to only few countries in the world (Ethiopia and Eritrea).


4. USE OF TEFF FOR HUMAN CONSUMPTION

Teff is cultivated in a few countries such as South Africa, India, USA, Eritrea and Ethiopia, although it is primarily used for human consumption only in the latter two. Although teff has been used for food in Ethiopia for many centuries, it is only recently that its use as a food ingredient has gained interest in other parts of the world. As technological challenges are overcome in processing teff to make bread and other food products, demand for teff is likely to increase globally.

4.1. Consumption of teff in Ethiopia

In the past, a major determinant of grain consumption was its production (Berhane et al., 2011). However, with improvement in market linkages, this picture is gradually changing. In Oromia region, for instance, where regional teff production is the second highest next to Amhara region in Ethiopia, its consumption expenditure is only 8 percent (Berhane et al. 2011). In contrast, the Afar region, little known for its teff production, has comparatively higher teff consumption expenditure (10 percent) (Berhane et al., 2011). Today, several factors including agro-ecology (Baye et al., 2013), livelihoods, and income (Berhane et al., 2011) determine cereal consumption in Ethiopia. Findings from the analyses of a nationally representative household consumption and income survey conducted in 2004/05, suggests that teff's contribution to energy (calorie) intake in Ethiopia is only 11 percent (Figure 4.1). Maize (17 percent), sorghum (14 percent) and wheat (13 percent) are all more important sources of calories in Ethiopia (Berhane et al., 2011). This finding on consumption challenges assumptions that teff is a major staple in Ethiopia that are based solely on production figures.

Figure 4.1—Contribution to energy intake (calories) per capita of different cereals in Ethiopia



Source: Berhane et al, 2011

Teff consumption may be decreasing over time. Indeed, the share of teff in household consumption has declined since 1999/2000, while that of maize, sorghum, and wheat have been rising (Berhane et al. 2011). Teff is the most expensive cereal in Ethiopia, justifying its prominence in urban and semi-urban areas where incomes are relatively higher (Berhane et al. 2011). With increases in urbanization and income, demand for teff is likely to increase in Ethiopia.

4.2. Forms of consumption of teff in Ethiopia

Teff is mainly used for the making of *injera*. *Injera* is made by mixing cereal flour with water to make dough and then triggering the fermentation process by inoculating with *ersho*, a starter obtained from previous fermentations (Baye et al. 2013). The fermentation lasts on average 2-3 days, after which the dough is thinned into a batter before steam baking.

Teff is the preferred grain for making *injera*, primarily for its better sensory attributes (for example, taste, color, smell) and shelf life (Zegeye 1997; Yetneberk et al. 2004). Besides, the ability to easily roll (softness) *injera* is an important quality attribute since this allows easy wrapping of the sauces (*wot*) consumed with it. In this regard, the superiority of teff was demonstrated by the minimal force required to bend fresh, 24-, and 48- hour stored *injera* relative to *injera* made from other grain (Yetneberk et al. 2004). Similarly, incorporating teff flour into the sorghum flour has been shown to improve the sensory attributes of sorghum *injera* (Yetneberk et al. 2005). Moreover, blending teff with wheat, as is often observed in less privileged households (Piccinin 2002), has been found to be nutritionally beneficial, as it allows higher phytate degradation due to the higher endogenous phytase activity in wheat (Egli et al. 2004; Good 2009).

Although used to a much lesser extent than for *injera*, teff can also be used for the making of porridges, unleavened breads (*kitta*), gruels (*atmit*), and traditional alcoholic beverages, like *tella* and *arake* (Gebremariam et al. 2012).

4.3. Teff: a future global food?

Over the past decade, there has been a growing global interest in the use of teff as a food ingredient. This interest is mainly attributed to teff being gluten-free and thus a candidate ingredient for food products destined for people with celiac disease (Hager et al. 2013; Wolter et al. 2013). The relatively high nutrient content (i.e. minerals) of teff is also likely to be well suited for celiac patients who usually suffer from mineral malabsorption (García-Manzanares and Lucendo 2011).

The incorporation of whole grains in bread making is often challenging. This is further complicated when gluten-free ingredients are used, since gluten plays an essential role in producing leavened bread with a fine open structure (García-Manzanares and Lucendo 2011). In relation to this, the addition of teff flour to bread dough in higher quantities has been shown to negatively affect the sensory properties of the bread. For instance, the replacement of wheat flour with greater than 10 percent teff flour has been associated with lower sensory scores³, lower specific volumes, and higher crumb firmness than wheat bread (Ben-Fayed, Ainsworth, and Stojceska 2008; Mohammed, Mustafa, and Osman 2009).

The use of enzymes provides an alternative strategy to improve the texture and sensory properties of teff-enriched breads. Alaunyte et al. (2012) has shown that the application of a combination of enzymes, including xylanases, amylase, glucose oxidase, and lipase, improved the quality of teff-enriched breads. In contrast, treatment with glucose oxidase or protease did not show any improvement in the sensory and textural attributes of teff breads (Renzetti and Arendt 2009). This suggests that the type, dose and combination of the enzymes use, determine their effects on the quality of teff-enriched breads. Further studies are needed to determine the optimal doses and conditions needed to improve the processing and sensory attributes of teff-enriched breads. Another alternative is to use hydrocolloids such as xanthan gum and hydroxypropylmethylcellulose (HPMC). Hager and Arendt (2013) have shown that the addition of HPMC in gluten-free cereals, including teff, reduced crumb hardness, whereas xanthan increased it. Further research in this regard is needed to determine optimal water and hydrocolloid addition levels.

Although enzymes and hydrocolloids addition can improve the sensory attributes of teff-enriched or teff-based breads, there is a growing interest in reducing the use of food additives. A good alternative is sourdough technology which can lead to improvements in the texture and shelf-life of breads (Arendt, Ryan, and Dal Bello 2007, Schober, Bean, and Boyle 2007, Moore, Dal Bello, and Arendt 2008). However, much work is needed to evaluate the robustness of this approach, particularly for teff-enriched or teff-based breads.

³ Sensory evaluation consists in giving scores for sensory attributes such as taste, color, mouth-feel, odor, and texture. The scores are given using hedonic scales with a maximum score of 5, 7, or 9. Specific volume and crumb hardness are among the major sensory attributes for bread.

The possibility of using teff for food products other than bread has begun to be evaluated. Coleman et al. (2013) confirmed the suitability of teff flours for biscuits and cake making. Similarly, studies evaluating the possibility of using teff in pasta formulations (Hager et al. 2013), beer making (Gebremariam et al. 2012), and gel-like food formulation (Abebe and Ronda 2014) have shown promising results. These efforts show that teff can be used in various products familiar to Western culture, especially in the formulation of gluten-free products.

5. HEALTH BENEFITS OF TEFF AND TEFF-BASED PRODUCTS

5.1. Iron deficiency

Iron-deficiency is the most widespread micronutrient deficiency globally, affecting more than 2 billion people (Zimmermann and Hurrell 2007). Growth retardation, impaired mental and psychomotor development, child and maternal morbidity and mortality, and decreased immunity and work performance are among the adverse effects of iron deficiency (Georgieff 2011). The etiology of iron deficiency includes diseases that induce excessive loss or cause malabsorption of dietary iron, low intakes of bioavailable iron, or increased requirements due to physiologic status (e.g. pregnancy, infants and young children) (Pasricha et al. 2013).

Inadequate iron intake is common in low- and middle-income countries, particularly among infants and young children (Gibson et al. 2010) and pregnant women (Clark 2008). Food fortification and nutritional supplements may constitute effective strategies to prevent iron deficiency (Stoltzfus 2011). However, these strategies are not without side-effects, especially when applied to environments where malaria and infections are prevalent (Sazawal et al. 2006; Zimmermann et al. 2010). Therefore, adjusting iron intakes with iron-rich foods may be preferred. Teff can be a good alternative (Gebre-Medhin et al. 1976; Adish et al. 1999). Alaunyte et al. (2012) showed that by supplementing wheat bread with 30 percent teff flour, the iron content of the bread more than doubled. By assuming an average daily consumption of 200g of teff-enriched bread, it is possible to cover between 42 and 81 percent and 72 and 138 percent of daily intake requirements for iron in women and men, respectively (Alaunyte et al. 2012).

The bioavailability of iron in teff is likely to vary depending on processing. For instance, during *injera* fermentation, significant decreases in phytate content results in an ideal phytate to iron molar ratio (Umeta, West, and Fufa 2005, Baye et al. 2014). Given that part of the iron in teff has been attributed to soil contamination, to what extent molar ratios accurately predict iron bioavailability has been questioned (Baye et al. 2014). However, Bokhari et al. (2012) showed that consumption of 30 percent teff-enriched wheat breads can help maintain serum iron levels in pregnant women. The study also suggested that degradation of phytates may lead to better iron bioavailability. Given the high iron content of teff and its potential contribution to food-based approaches to improve nutrition⁴, further investigations on the iron bioavailability of teff are required. Indeed, if the bioavailability of iron in teff can be confirmed, teff can be a very good ingredient for celiac patients not only due to the absence of gluten, but also for its high iron content.

5.2. Celiac disease

Worldwide, 0.6-1.0 percent of the population is affected by celiac disease (CD) (Gujral, Freeman, and Thomson 2012). The prevalence of the disease in populations at risk of CD is as follows: 3 to 6 percent in type 1 diabetic patients, up to 20 percent in first-degree relatives, 10 to 15 percent in those with symptomatic iron-deficiency anemia (IDA), 3 to 6 percent in those with asymptomatic IDA, and 1 to 3 percent in individuals with osteoporosis (Dubé et al. 2005). CD is caused by aberrant T-cell responses to gluteins and gluten-like proteins found in wheat, barley, rye and possibly oats (Vader et al. 2003, Arentz-Hansen et al. 2004). The symptoms include diarrhea, abdominal pain, and disturbances in nutrient absorption caused by histological alterations of the small bowel. Extra-intestinal complications such as osteoporosis, infertility, and cancer have also been reported (Alaedini and Green 2005).

The only treatment for those with CD available to date is to follow a strict gluten-free diet (Fasano and Catassi 2001). This in practice is difficult given the abundance of food products containing wheat or other gluten-containing cereals. Consequently, inadequate intakes of essential nutrients such as folate and vitamin B12 (Hallert et al. 2002), calcium, iron, and fiber have been observed in those with CD (Thompson et al. 2005). Also, a higher percentage of energy intake in such patients

⁴ Food-based approaches are strategies to prevent and control micronutrient deficiencies. They consist of dietary improvement or fortification using inorganic forms of vitamins and minerals. Dietary improvement includes strategies like food-to-food fortification, dietary diversity, and household processing with the aim of improving the micronutrient content.

was found to be from fat instead of carbohydrates. This has a negative impact on their nutritional status (Bardella et al. 2000). Therefore, nutrient dense gluten-free alternatives are needed.

Teff, as discussed in previous sections, contains a good amount of minerals, fiber, and phytochemicals. Compared to gluten-free cereals and pseudocereals such as quinoa, amaranth, buckwheat, maize, brown rice, and sorghum, teff is more nutrient-dense (Alvarez-Jubete, Arendt, and Gallagher 2010, Gebremariam et al. 2012). Furthermore, the low glycemic index of teff may help maintain good glycaemic control. This is very important given the high incidence of diabetes in those with CD (Viljamaa et al. 2005).

5.3. Diabetes

The global incidence of diabetes is increasing alarmingly and has become a major public health problem (Danaei et al. 2011). In 2010, an estimated 285 million people worldwide were diabetic, a figure projected to rise to 439 million by 2030 (Shaw, Sicree, and Zimmet 2010). The socio-economic and health implication of this disease, particularly in low and middle-income countries, are enormous. The onset and progression of diabetes can be prevented by modifying lifestyle factors, of which diet constitutes a great part (Hu 2011).

Several features of teff suggest that its consumption may prevent or control diabetes. Diets high in whole grains have been associated with a 20 to 30 percent reduction in the risk of developing type 2 diabetes (Hu 2011). Given that teff is consumed as a whole grain, similar effects can be expected from the consumption of teff. Although the mechanism by which whole grains help in the prevention of type 2 diabetes is not clearly elucidated, it is thought to be through the synergistic effects of the essential macro- and micronutrients, and phytonutrients (Jonnalagadda et al. 2011).

Among macronutrients, the type of carbohydrate and its digestibility play a central role in glucose levels after eating, and hence on the risk to diabetes (Sheard et al. 2004). Relative to wheat, teff has a low glycemic index and thus better suited for diabetic patients (Wolter et al. 2013). In addition, the relatively high dietary fiber in teff relative to other common cereals, can decrease fasting blood glucose levels and, thus, contribute to the prevention and management of diabetes (Post et al. 2012). The condition of impaired antioxidant status and inflammation have been linked to the development of insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes (Wellen and Hotamisligil 2005, Folli et al. 2011). In this regard, the high phytate and polyphenol content in teff (Abebe et al. 2007, Baye et al. 2014) and the associated anti-oxidative property is likely to prevent and control diabetes (Lee et al. 2006; Munir et al. 2013). However, while studies to evaluate the anti-diabetic property of teff consumption are of interest, so far such studies are very limited.

6. SUMMARY

Teff, perhaps the smallest cereal grain in the world, is composed of complex carbohydrates with slowly digestible starch. It has a similar protein content to other more common cereals like wheat, but contains no gluten. Teff's amino acid composition is well-balanced and contains relatively higher concentrations of lysine than what is commonly found in other cereals. Teff is a comparatively good source of essential fatty acids, fiber, minerals (especially calcium and iron), and phytochemicals, such as polyphenols and phytates. Despite having a very good nutrient profile, teff's consumption is limited to Ethiopia and Eritrea. The limited knowledge of teff's nutrient composition along with processing challenges faced in making teff-based food products adapted for international consumers has restrained its global use for human consumption.

However, in the past decade, studies on the nutritional composition of teff and its processing quality and development of new teff-based food products have grown. These studies have confirmed the excellent nutrient composition of teff and demonstrated that through the use of enzymes, hydrocolloids, or sourdough fermentation that it may be possible to overcome food processing challenges faced when using teff as an ingredient for bread making. Further research should investigate the variation in nutrient composition across teff varieties, the role of teff consumption on the management and prevention of diabetes, and the human adsorption (bioavailability) of iron in teff and how it can contribute to the prevention of iron deficiency. Along with the possible health benefits in managing celiac disease, and a possible solution in preventing and controlling iron deficiency and diabetes, these all indicate the potential of teff to be a future global functional food for health promotion and disease prevention.

7. REFERENCES

- Abebe, Workineh, and Felicidad Ronda. 2014. "Rheological and Textural Properties of Teff [Eragrostis Tef (Zucc.) Trotter] Grain Flour Gels." *Journal of Cereal Science*. 60 (1): 122–130.
- Abebe, Yewelsew, Alemtsehay Bogale, K Michael Hambidge, Barbara J Stoecker, Karl Bailey, and Rosalind S Gibson. 2007. "Phytate, Zinc, Iron and Calcium Content of Selected Raw and Prepared Foods Consumed in Rural Sidama, Southern Ethiopia, and Implications for Bioavailability." *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*. 20 (3): 161-168.
- Adebowale, Abdul-Rasaq A, M Naushad Emmambux, Mervyn Beukes, and John Taylor. 2011. "Fractionation and Characterization of Teff Proteins." *Journal of Cereal Science*. 54 (3): 380-386.
- Adish, AA, SA Esrey, TW Gyorkos, and T Johns. 1999. "Risk Factors for Iron Deficiency Anaemia in Preschool Children in Northern Ethiopia." *Public Health Nutrition*. 2 (3): 243-252.
- Agren, Gunnar, and Rosalind S Gibson. 1968. *Food Composition Table for Use in Ethiopia*. Swedish International Development Authority, Stockholm, and Ethiopian Nutrition Institute, Addis Ababa.
- Alaedini, Armin, and Peter HR Green. 2005. "Narrative Review: Celiac Disease: Understanding a Complex Autoimmune Disorder." *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 142 (4): 289-298.
- Alaunyte, Ieva, Valentina Stojceska, Andrew Plunkett, Paul Ainsworth, and Emma Derbyshire. 2012. "Improving the Quality of Nutrient-Rich Teff (Eragrostis Tef) Breads by Combination of Enzymes in Straight Dough and Sourdough Breadmaking." *Journal of Cereal Science*. 55 (1): 22-30.
- Alvarez-Jubete, L, EK Arendt, and E Gallagher. 2010. "Nutritive Value of Pseudocereals and Their Increasing Use as Functional Gluten-Free Ingredients." *Trends in Food Science & Technology*. 21 (2): 106-113.
- Ambaw, A. 2013. "Determination of Iron Fractions of Laboratory Threshed and Field Threshed Teff *Injera*." Addis Ababa University.
- Areda, Alemayehu, Seifu Ketema, J Ingram, and RH Davis. 1993. "The Iron Content of Teff [Eragrostis Tef (Zucc.) Trotter] and Its Controversy." *SINET: An Ethiopian Journal of Science*. 16.
- Arendt, Elke K, Liam AM Ryan, and Fabio Dal Bello. 2007. "Impact of Sourdough on the Texture of Bread." *Food Microbiology*. 24 (2): 165-174.
- Arentz-Hansen, Helene, Burkhard Fleckenstein, Øyvind Molberg, Helge Scott, Frits Koning, Günther Jung, Peter Roepstorff, Knut EA Lundin, and Ludvig M Sollid. 2004. "The Molecular Basis for Oat Intolerance in Patients with Celiac Disease." *PLoS Medicine*. 1 (1): e1.
- Bardella, Maria Teresa, Clara Fredella, Luigia Prampolini, Nicoletta Molteni, Anna Maria Giunta, and Paolo A Bianchi. 2000. "Body Composition and Dietary Intakes in Adult Celiac Disease Patients Consuming a Strict Gluten-Free Diet." *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 72 (4): 937-939.
- Baye, Kaleab. 2013. "Food-Based Strategies to Enhance Iron and Zinc Bioavailability of Complementary Foods Consumed by Young Children in Ethiopia." PhD dissertation, University of Montpellier.
- Baye, Kaleab, Jean-Pierre Guyot, Christèle Icard-Verniere, and Claire Mouquet-Rivier. 2013. "Nutrient Intakes from Complementary Foods Consumed by Young Children (Aged 12–23 Months) from North Wollo, Northern Ethiopia: The Need for Agro-Ecologically Adapted Interventions." *Public Health Nutrition*. 16 (10): 1741-1750.
- Baye, Kaleab, Claire Mouquet-Rivier, Christèle Icard-Vernière, Christian Picq, and Jean-Pierre Guyot. 2014. "Changes in Mineral Absorption Inhibitors Consequent to Fermentation of Ethiopian *injera*: Implications for Predicted Iron Bioavailability and Bioaccessibility." *International Journal of Food Science & Technology*. 49 (1): 174-180.
- Baye, Kaleab, Claire Mouquet-Rivier, Christèle Icard-Vernière, Isabelle Rochette, and Jean-Pierre Guyot. 2013. "Influence of Flour Blend Composition on Fermentation Kinetics and Phytate Hydrolysis of Sourdough Used to Make *Injera*." *Food Chemistry*. 138 (1): 430-436.
- Bekele, Endashaw, Roger J Fido, Arthur S Tatham, and Peter R Shewry. 1995. "Heterogeneity and Polymorphism of Seed Proteins in Teff (Eragrostis Tef)." *Hereditas*. 122 (1): 67-72.

- Ben-Fayed, Ebtesam, Paul Ainsworth, and Valentina Stojceska. 2008. "The Incorporation of Teff (*Eragrostis Tef*) in Bread-Making Technology." *Cereal Food World*. 53: A84.
- Berhane, Guush, Zelekawork Paulos, Kibrom Tafere, and Seneshaw Tamiru. 2011. "Foodgrain Consumption and Calorie Intake Patterns in Ethiopia." IFPRI Ethiopia Strategy Support Program II (ESSP II) Working Paper 23. Addis Ababa: International Food Policy Research Institute.
- Bezwoda, WR, TH Bothwell, JD Torrance, AP MacPhail, RW Charlton, G Kay, and J Levin. 1979. "The Relationship between Marrow Iron Stores, Plasma Ferritin Concentrations and Iron Absorption." *Scandinavian Journal of Haematology*. 22 (2): 113-120.
- Bohn, L., A.S. Meyer, and S.K. Rasmussen. 2008. "Phytate: Impact on Environment and Human Nutrition. A Challenge for Molecular Breeding." *Journal of Zhejiang University-Science B*. 9 (3): 165-191.
- Bokhari, F, E Derbyshire, W Li, CS Brennan, and V Stojceska. 2012. "A Study to Establish Whether Food-Based Approaches Can Improve Serum Iron Levels in Child-Bearing Aged Women." *Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics*. 25 (1): 95-100.
- Brune, M., L. Rossander, and L. Hallberg. 1989. "Iron Absorption and Phenolic Compounds: Importance of Different Phenolic Structures." *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 43 (8): 547-557.
- Bultosa, G, and JRN Taylor. 2004. "Teff." *Encyclopaedia of Grain Science*. Harold Corke, Charles E. Walker, & Colin Wrigley, eds. Waltham, MA: Academic Press. 281-289.
- Bultosa, Geremew. 2007. "Physicochemical Characteristics of Grain and Flour in 13 Teff [*Eragrostis Tef* (Zucc.) Trotter] Grain Varieties." *Journal of Applied Science Research*. 3 (12): 2042-2051.
- Bultosa, Geremew, Alan N Hall, and John Taylor. 2002. "Physico-Chemical Characterization of Grain Teff [*Eragrostis Tef* (Zucc.) Trotter] Starch." *Starch-Stärke*. 54 (10): 461-468.
- Champ, Martine, Anna-Maria Langkilde, Fred Brouns, Bernd Kettlitz, and Yves Le Bail Collet. 2003. "Advances in Dietary Fibre Characterisation. 1. Definition of Dietary Fibre, Physiological Relevance, Health Benefits and Analytical Aspects." *Nutrition Research Reviews*. 16 (1): 71-82.
- Clark, Susan F. 2008. "Iron Deficiency Anemia." *Nutrition in Clinical Practice*. 23 (2): 128-141.
- Coleman, Jennifer, AO Abaye, William Barbeau, and Wade Thomason. 2013. "The Suitability of Teff Flour in Bread, Layer Cakes, Cookies and Biscuits." *International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition*. 64 (7): 877-881.
- Cummings, JH, JI Mann, C Nishida, and HH Vorster. 2009. "Dietary Fibre: An Agreed Definition." *The Lancet*. 373 (9661): 365-366.
- Curhan, Gary C, Walter C Willett, Eric L Knight, and Meir J Stampfer. 2004. "Dietary Factors and the Risk of Incident Kidney Stones in Younger Women: Nurses' Health Study II." *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 164 (8): 885-891.
- Danaei, Goodarz, Mariel M Finucane, Yuan Lu, Gitanjali M Singh, Melanie J Cowan, Christopher J Paciorek, John K Lin, Farshad Farzadfar, Young-Ho Khang, Gretchen A Stevens, Mayuree Rao, Mohammed K Ali, Leanne M Riley, Carolyn A Robinson, and Majid Ezzati, on behalf of the Global Burden of Metabolic Risk Factors of Chronic Diseases Collaborating Group (Blood Glucose). 2011. "National, Regional, and Global Trends in Fasting Plasma Glucose and Diabetes Prevalence since 1980: Systematic Analysis of Health Examination Surveys and Epidemiological Studies with 370 Country-Years and 2.7 Million Participants." *The Lancet*. 378 (9785): 31-40.
- Delcour, Jan A, Charlotte Bruneel, Liesbeth J Derde, Sara V Gomand, Bram Pareyt, Joke A Putseys, Edith Wilderjans, and Lieve Lamberts. 2010. "Fate of Starch in Food Processing: From Raw Materials to Final Food Products." *Food Science and Technology* 1: 87-111.
- Demissie, Abebe. 2001. "Teff Genetic Resources in Ethiopia." *Narrowing the Rift: Tef Research and Development*. Proceedings of the "International Workshop on Tef Genetics and Improvement", Debre Zeit, Ethiopia, 16-19 October 2000. 27-31.
- DeVries, Jonathan W. 2003. "On Defining Dietary Fibre." *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*. 62 (1): 37-43.

- Dubé, Catherine, Alaa Rostom, Richmond Sy, Ann Cranney, Navaaz Saloojee, Chantelle Garritty, Margaret Sampson, Li Zhang, Fatemeh Yazdi, Vasil Mamaladze, Irene Pan, Joanne MacNeil, David Mack, Dilip Patel, and David Moher. 2005. "The Prevalence of Celiac Disease in Average-Risk and at-Risk Western European Populations: A Systematic Review." *Gastroenterology* 128 (4) Supplement 1: S57-S67.
- Duodu, KG, JRN Taylor, PS Belton, and BR Hamaker. 2003. "Factors Affecting Sorghum Protein Digestibility." *Journal of Cereal Science*. 38 (2): 117-131.
- Dykes, Linda, and Lloyd W Rooney. 2006. "Sorghum and Millet Phenols and Antioxidants." *Journal of Cereal Science*. 44 (3): 236-251.
- Egli, Ines, Lena Davidsson, Christophe Zeder, Thomas Walczyk, and Richard Hurrell. 2004. "Dephytinization of a Complementary Food Based on Wheat and Soy Increases Zinc, but Not Copper, Apparent Absorption in Adults." *The Journal of Nutrition*. 134 (5): 1077-1080.
- El-Alfy, Taha S, Shahira M Ezzat, and Amani A Sleem. 2012. "Chemical and Biological Study of the Seeds of Eragrostis Tef (Zucc.) Trotter." *Natural Product Research*. 26 (7): 619-629.
- Fairweather-Tait, S.J. 2002. "Bioavailability of Trace Elements in Human Diet." *Trace Elements in Man and Animals*. 10: 255-260.
- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations). 1992. *Maize in Human Nutrition*. Rome: FAO.
- Fardet, Anthony, Fanny Leenhardt, Delphine Lioger, Augustin Scalbert, and Christian Rémésy. 2006. "Parameters Controlling the Glycaemic Response to Breads." *Nutrition Research Reviews*. 19 (01): 18-25.
- Fasano, Alessio, and Carlo Catassi. 2001. "Current Approaches to Diagnosis and Treatment of Celiac Disease: An Evolving Spectrum." *Gastroenterology*. 120 (3): 636-51.
- Folli, Franco, Domenico Corradi, Paolo Fanti, Alberto Davalli, Ana Paez, Andrea Giaccari, Carla Perego, and Giovanna Muscogiuri. 2011. "The Role of Oxidative Stress in the Pathogenesis of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Micro-and Macrovascular Complications: Avenues for a Mechanistic-Based Therapeutic Approach." *Current Diabetes Reviews*. 7 (5): 313-324.
- García-Manzanares, Álvaro, and Alfredo J Lucendo. 2011. "Nutritional and Dietary Aspects of Celiac Disease." *Nutrition in Clinical Practice*. 26 (2): 163-173.
- Gebre-Medhin, M, A Killander, B Vahlquist, and Elizabeth Wuhib. 1976. "Rarity of Anaemia of Pregnancy in Ethiopia." *Scandinavian Journal of Haematology*. 16 (3): 168-175.
- Gebremariam, Mekonnen Melaku, Martin Zarnkow, and Thomas Becker. 2012. "Teff (Eragrostis Tef) as a Raw Material for Malting, Brewing and Manufacturing of Gluten-Free Foods and Beverages: A Review". *Journal of Food Science and Technology*. 1-15. DOI: 10.1007/s13197-012-0745-5.
- Georgieff, Michael K. 2011. "Long-Term Brain and Behavioral Consequences of Early Iron Deficiency." *Nutrition Reviews*. 69 (S1): S43-S48.
- Gibson, Rosalind S, Karl B Bailey, Michelle Gibbs, and Elaine L Ferguson. 2010. "A Review of Phytate, Iron, Zinc, and Calcium Concentrations in Plant-Based Complementary Foods Used in Low-Income Countries and Implications for Bioavailability." *Food & Nutrition Bulletin*. 31 (Supplement 2): 134-146.
- Good, Stephanie. 2009. "Animal Source Foods and Nutrition During Early Life – An Evaluation of the Possible Link between Livestock Keeping, Food Intake, and Nutritional Status of Young Children in Ethiopia." PhD Dissertation. Zürich, Switzerland: Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule (ETH).
- Gujral, Naiyana, Hugh J Freeman, and Alan BR Thomson. 2012. "Celiac Disease: Prevalence, Diagnosis, Pathogenesis and Treatment." *World Journal of Gastroenterology*. 18 (42): 6036.
- Hager, Anna-Sophie, and Elke K Arendt. 2013. "Influence of Hydroxypropylmethylcellulose (Hpmc), Xanthan Gum and Their Combination on Loaf Specific Volume, Crumb Hardness and Crumb Grain Characteristics of Gluten-Free Breads Based on Rice, Maize, Teff and Buckwheat." *Food Hydrocolloids*. 32 (1): 195-203.

- Hager, Anna-Sophie, Michael Czerny, Jürgen Bez, Emanuele Zannini, and Elke K Arendt. 2013. "Starch Properties, in Vitro Digestibility, and Sensory Evaluation of Fresh Egg Pasta Produced from Oat, Teff and Wheat Flour." *Journal of Cereal Science*. 58 (1): 156-163.
- Hallberg, L, and E Björn-Rasmussen. 1981. "Measurement of Iron Absorption from Meals Contaminated with Iron." *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 34 (12): 2808-2815.
- Hallert, Claes, C Grant, S Grehn, C Grännö, S Hulthen, G Midhagen, Magnus Ström, H Svensson, and T Valdimarsson. 2002. "Evidence of Poor Vitamin Status in Coeliac Patients on a Gluten-Free Diet for 10 Years." *Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics*. 16 (7): 1333-1339.
- Harris, Patricia, and Raymond J Geor. 2009. "Primer on Dietary Carbohydrates and Utility of the Glycemic Index in Equine Nutrition." *Veterinary Clinics of North America: Equine Practice*. 25 (1): 23-37.
- Hopman, Erica, Liesbeth Dekking, Marie-Loes Blokland, Maud Wuisman, Walter Zuijderduin, Frits Koning, and Joachim Schweizer. 2008. "Teff in the Diet of Celiac Patients in the Netherlands." *Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology*. 43 (3): 277-282.
- Hu, Frank B. 2011. "Globalization of Diabetes the Role of Diet, Lifestyle, and Genes." *Diabetes Care*. 34 (6): 1249-1257.
- Hurrell, Richard, and Ines Egli. 2010. "Iron Bioavailability and Dietary Reference Values." *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 91 (5): 1461S-1467S.
- Jonnalagadda, Satya S, Lisa Harnack, Rui Hai Liu, Nicola McKeown, Chris Seal, Simin Liu, and George C Fahey. 2011. "Putting the Whole Grain Puzzle Together: Health Benefits Associated with Whole Grains—Summary of American Society for Nutrition 2010 Satellite Symposium." *The Journal of Nutrition*. 141 (5): 1011S-1022S.
- Juliano, Bienvenido O. 1993. *Rice in Human Nutrition*. International Rice Research Institute.
- Kebede, Zeleke. 2009. "Levels of Essential Elements in Three Teff [*Eragrostis Tef (Zucc.) Trotter*] Varieties." Addis Ababa University.
- Ketema, Seyfu. 1997. *Tef, Eragrostis Tef (Zucc.) Trotter*. Promoting the Conservation and Use of Underutilized and Neglected Crops series no. 12. Gatersleben: Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research & Rome: International Plant Genetic Resources Institute.
- Khoi, Bui Huy, Le Doan Dien, Radomir Lásztity, and Andras Salgó. 1987. "The Protein and the Amino Acid Composition of Some Rice and Maize Varieties Grown in North Vietnam." *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*. 39 (2): 137-143.
- Koletzko, Berthold, Susan Baker, Geoff Cleghorn, Ulysses Fagundes Neto, Sarath Gopalan, Olle Hernell, Quak Seng Hock, Pipop Jirapinyo, Bo Lonnerdal, Paul Pencharz, Hildegard Pzyrembel, Jaime Ramirez-Mayans, Raanan Shamir, Dominique Turck, Yuichiro Yamashiro, and Ding Zong-Yi. 2005. "Global Standard for the Composition of Infant Formula: Recommendations of an Espghan Coordinated International Expert Group." *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition*. 41 (5): 584-599.
- Lacey, Trevor, and Carol Llewellyn. 2005. "Eragrostis Teff as a Specialised Niche Crop." *Government of Western Australia, Department of Agriculture Farmnote*. 42: 2005.
- Lafiandra, Domenico, Gabriele Riccardi, and Peter R Shewry. 2014. "Improving Cereal Grain Carbohydrates for Diet and Health." *Journal of Cereal Science*. 59 (3): 312–326.
- Lee, Sung-Hyen, Hong-Ju Park, Hye-Kyung Chun, So-Young Cho, Soo-Muk Cho, and Hyun Soon Lillehoj. 2006. "Dietary Phytic Acid Lowers the Blood Glucose Level in Diabetic Kk Mice." *Nutrition Research*. 26 (9): 474-479.
- Lee, Sung-Hyeon, Hong-Ju Park, So-Young Cho, Hyun-Jin Jung, Soo-Mook Cho, Yong-Sick Cho, and Hyun Soon Lillehoj. 2005. "Effects of Dietary Phytic Acid on Serum and Hepatic Lipid Levels in Diabetic Kk Mice." *Nutrition Research*. 25 (9): 869-876.
- Loewus, F.A. 2002. *Biosynthesis of Phytate in Food Grains and Seeds*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, USA.
- Ludwig, David S. 2002. "The Glycemic Index: Physiological Mechanisms Relating to Obesity, Diabetes, and Cardiovascular Disease." *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 287 (18): 2414-2423.

- Manach, Claudine, Augustin Scalbert, Christine Morand, Christian Rémésy, and Liliana Jiménez. 2004. "Polyphenols: Food Sources and Bioavailability." *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 79 (5): 727-747.
- Manary, M.J., C. Hotz, N.F. Krebs, R.S. Gibson, J.E. Westcott, R.L. Broadhead, and K.M. Hambidge. 2002. "Zinc Homeostasis in Malawian Children Consuming a High-Phytate, Maize-Based Diet." *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 75 (6): 1057-1061.
- Matuschek, Erika, and Ulf Svanberg. 2002. "Oxidation of Polyphenols and the Effect on in Vitro Iron Accessibility in a Model Food System." *Journal of Food Science*. 67 (1): 420-424.
- McDonough, Cassandra M, Lloyd W Rooney and Sergio Othon Serna-Saldivar. 2000. "The Millets." *Food Science and Technology*. Chapter in: *Handbook of Cereal Science and Technology*, Second edition. Kulp, Karel and Joseph Ponte (eds.). New York: Marcel Dekker. pp. 177-201.
- Mengesha, Melak H. 1966. "Chemical Composition of Teff (*Eragrostis Tef*) Compared with That of Wheat, Barley and Grain Sorghum." *Economic Botany*. 20 (3): 268-273.
- Michaelsen, Kim F, Kathryn G Dewey, Ana B Perez-Exposito, Mulia Nurhasan, Lotte Lauritzen, and Nanna Roos. 2011. "Food Sources and Intake of N-6 and N-3 Fatty Acids in Low-Income Countries with Emphasis on Infants, Young Children (6–24 Months), and Pregnant and Lactating Women." *Maternal & Child Nutrition*. 7 (S2): 124-40.
- Mohammed, Mariam IO, Abdelmoneim I Mustafa, and Gammaa AM Osman. 2009. "Evaluation of Wheal Breads Supplemented with Teff (*Eragrostis Tef* (Zucc.) Trotter) Grain Flour." *Australian Journal of Crop Science*. 3 (4): 207.
- Moore, MM, F Dal Bello, and EK Arendt. 2008. "Sourdough Fermented by *Lactobacillus Plantarum* Fst 1.7 Improves the Quality and Shelf Life of Gluten-Free Bread." *European Food Research and Technology*. 226 (6): 1309-1316.
- Moroni, AV, S Iametti, F Bonomi, EK Arendt, and F Dal Bello. 2010. "Solubility of Proteins from Non-Gluten Cereals: A Comparative Study on Combinations of Solubilising Agents." *Food Chemistry*. 121 (4): 1225-1230.
- Morris, E.R., and R. Ellis. 1985. "Bioavailability of Dietary Calcium." *Nutritional bioavailability of calcium*. Washington DC: American Chemical Society. pp. 63-72.
- Mossé, J, JC Huet, and J Baudet. 1985. "The Amino Acid Composition of Wheat Grain as a Function of Nitrogen Content." *Journal of Cereal Science*. 3 (2): 115-130.
- Munir, Kashif M, Sruti Chandrasekaran, Feng Gao, and Michael J Quon. 2013. "Mechanisms for Food Polyphenols to Ameliorate Insulin Resistance and Endothelial Dysfunction: Therapeutic Implications for Diabetes and Its Cardiovascular Complications." *American Journal of Physiology, Endocrinology, and Metabolism*. 305 (6): E679-E686.
- Pasricha, Sant-Rayn, Hal Drakesmith, James Black, David Hipgrave, and Beverley-Ann Biggs. 2013. "Control of Iron Deficiency Anemia in Low-and Middle-Income Countries." *Blood*. 121 (14): 2607-2617.
- Piccinin, Doris. 2002. "More About Ethiopian Food: Teff." *EthnoMed: Ethiopian Food*. Online resource. <https://ethnomed.org/clinical/nutrition/more-about-ethiopian-food-teff> (Accessed February 2014).
- Post, Robert E, Arch G Mainous, Dana E King, and Kit N Simpson. 2012. "Dietary Fiber for the Treatment of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Meta-Analysis." *The Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine*. 25 (1): 16-23.
- Renzetti, Stefano, and Elke K Arendt. 2009. "Effects of Oxidase and Protease Treatments on the Breadmaking Functionality of a Range of Gluten-Free Flours." *European Food Research and Technology*. 229 (2): 307-317.
- Sandström, B. 1997. "Bioavailability of Zinc." *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 51: S17.
- Sazawal, Sunil, Robert E Black, Mahdi Ramsan, Hababu M Chwaya, Rebecca J Stoltzfus, Arup Dutta, Usha Dhingra, I. Kabole, S. Deb, M.K. Othman, and F.M.Kabole. 2006. "Effects of Routine Prophylactic Supplementation with Iron and Folic Acid on Admission to Hospital and Mortality in Preschool Children in a High Malaria Transmission Setting: Community-Based, Randomised, Placebo-Controlled Trial." *The Lancet*. 367 (9505): 133-143.
- Scalbert, Augustin, Claudine Manach, Christine Morand, Christian Rémésy, and Liliana Jiménez. 2005. "Dietary Polyphenols and the Prevention of Diseases." *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*. 45 (4): 287-306.

- Schlemmer, U., W. Frølich, R.M. Prieto, and F. Grases. 2009. "Phytate in Foods and Significance for Humans: Food Sources, Intake, Processing, Bioavailability, Protective Role and Analysis." *Molecular Nutrition & Food Research*. 53 (S2): S330-S375.
- Schober, Tilman J, Scott R Bean, and Daniel L Boyle. 2007. "Gluten-Free Sorghum Bread Improved by Sourdough Fermentation: Biochemical, Rheological, and Microstructural Background." *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. 55 (13): 5137-5146.
- Shamsuddin, Abulkalam M. 1995. "Inositol Phosphates Have Novel Anticancer Function." *The Journal of Nutrition*. 125 (3) Supplementary: 725S-732S.
- Shaw, JE, RA Sicree, and PZ Zimmet. 2010. "Global Estimates of the Prevalence of Diabetes for 2010 and 2030." *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*. 87 (1): 4-14.
- Sheard, Nancy F, Nathaniel G Clark, Janette C Brand-Miller, Marion J Franz, F Xavier Pi-Sunyer, Elizabeth Mayer-Davis, Karmeen Kulkarni and Patti Geil. 2004. "Dietary Carbohydrate (Amount and Type) in the Prevention and Management of Diabetes a Statement by the American Diabetes Association." *Diabetes Care*. 27 (9): 2266-2271.
- Shoup, FK, CW Deyoe, J Campbell, and DB Parrish. 1969. "Amino Acid Composition and Nutritional Value of Milled Sorghum Grain Products." *Cereal Chemistry*. 46 (2): 164-171.
- Simopoulos, Artemis P. 2001. "N- 3 Fatty Acids and Human Health: Defining Strategies for Public Policy." *Lipids*. 36 (1): S83-S89.
- Singh, Jaspreet, Anne Dartois, and Lovedeep Kaur. 2010. "Starch Digestibility in Food Matrix: A Review." *Trends in Food Science & Technology*. 21 (4): 168-180.
- Singh, Rana P, Chapla Agarwal, and Rajesh Agarwal. 2003. "Inositol Hexaphosphate Inhibits Growth, and Induces G1 Arrest and Apoptotic Death of Prostate Carcinoma Du145 Cells: Modulation of Cdk1-Cdk-Cyclin and Prb-Related Protein-E2f Complexes." *Carcinogenesis*. 24 (3): 555-563.
- Spaenij-Dekking, Liesbeth, Yvonne Kooy-Winkelaar and Frits Koning. 2005. "The Ethiopian Cereal Teff in Celiac Disease." *New England Journal of Medicine*. 353 (16): 1748-1749.
- Stoltzfus, Rebecca J. 2011. "Iron Interventions for Women and Children in Low-Income Countries." *The Journal of Nutrition*. 141 (4): 756S-762S.
- Tatham, AS, RJ Fido, CM Moore, DD Kasarda, DD Kuzmicky, JN Keen, and PR Shewry. 1996. "Characterisation of the Major Prolamins of Teff (*Eragrostis Tef*) and Finger Millet (*Eleusine Coracana*)." *Journal of Cereal Science*. 24 (1): 65-71.
- Tester, RF, J Karkalas, and X Qi. 2004. "Starch Structure and Digestibility Enzyme-Substrate Relationship." *World's Poultry Science Journal*. 60 (2): 186-195.
- Thompson, Tricia, M Dennis, LA Higgins, AR Lee, and MK Sharrett. 2005. "Gluten-Free Diet Survey: Are Americans with Coeliac Disease Consuming Recommended Amounts of Fibre, Iron, Calcium and Grain Foods?". *Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics*. 18 (3): 163-169.
- Troesch, Barbara, Ines Egli, Christophe Zeder, Richard F Hurrell, Saskia De Pee, and Michael B Zimmermann. 2009. "Optimization of a Phytase-Containing Micronutrient Powder with Low Amounts of Highly Bioavailable Iron for in-Home Fortification of Complementary Foods." *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 89 (2): 539-544.
- Umeta, Melaku, and Mary L Parker. 1996. "Microscopic Studies of the Major Macro-Components of Seeds, Dough and *Injera* from Teff (*Eragrostis Tef*)." *SINET: Ethiopian Journal of Science*. 19 (1): 141-148.
- Umeta, Melaku, Clive E West, and Habtamu Fufa. 2005. "Content of Zinc, Iron, Calcium and Their Absorption Inhibitors in Foods Commonly Consumed in Ethiopia." *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*. 18 (8): 803-17.
- USDA/ARS (U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service). 2014. USDA National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference, Release 27. Nutrient Data Laboratory Home Page: <http://www.ars.usda.gov/ba/bhnrc/ndl>. (accessed February 2014)

- Vader, L Willemijn, Dariusz T Stepniak, Evelien M Bunnik, Yvonne Kooy, Willeke De Haan, Jan Wouter Drijfhout, Peter A Van Veelen, and Frits Koning. 2003. "Characterization of Cereal Toxicity for Celiac Disease Patients Based on Protein Homology in Grains." *Gastroenterology*. 125 (4): 1105-1113.
- Viljamaa, Mervi, Katri Kaukinen, Heini Huhtala, Sinikka Kyrönpalo, Martin Rasmussen, and Pekka Collin. 2005. "Coeliac Disease, Autoimmune Diseases and Gluten Exposure." *Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology*. 40 (4): 437-443.
- Weaver, C.M., and S. Kannan. 2002. "Phytate and Mineral Bioavailability." *Food Phytates*. 2002: 211-23.
- Wellen, Kathryn E, and Gökhan S Hotamisligil. 2005. "Inflammation, Stress, and Diabetes." *Journal of Clinical Investigation*. 115 (5): 1111-1119.
- Wolter, Anika, Anna-Sophie Hager, Emanuele Zannini, and Elke K Arendt. "< I> in Vitro</I> Starch Digestibility and Predicted Glycaemic Indexes of Buckwheat, Oat, Quinoa, Sorghum, Teff and Commercial Gluten-Free Bread." *Journal of Cereal Science*. 58 (3): 431-436.
- Yetneberk, Senayit, Henriette L de Kock, Lloyd W Rooney and John RN Taylor. 2004. "Effects of Sorghum Cultivar on *Injera* Quality." *Cereal Chemistry*. 81 (3): 314-321.
- Yetneberk, Senayit, Lloyd W Rooney, and John Taylor. 2005. "Improving the Quality of Sorghum *Injera* by Decortication and Compositing with Teff." *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*. 85 (8): 1252-1258.
- Zegeye, Adamu. 1997. "Acceptability of *Injera* with Stewed Chicken." *Food Quality and Preference*. 8 (4): 293-295.
- Zimmermann, Michael B, Christophe Chassard, Fabian Rohner, Eliézer K N'Goran, Charlemagne Nindjin, Alexandra Dostal, Jürg Utzinger, Hala Ghattas, Christophe Lacroix, and Richard F Hurrell. 2010. "The Effects of Iron Fortification on the Gut Microbiota in African Children: A Randomized Controlled Trial in Cote D'ivoire." *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 92 (6): 1406-1415.
- Zimmermann, Michael B, and Richard F Hurrell. 2007. "Nutritional Iron Deficiency." *The Lancet*. 370 (9586): 511-520.

About ESSP

The Ethiopia Strategy Support Program is an initiative to strengthen evidence-based policymaking in Ethiopia in the areas of rural and agricultural development. Facilitated by the International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI), ESSP works closely with the government of Ethiopia, the Ethiopian Development Research Institute (EDRI), and other development partners to provide information relevant for the design and implementation of Ethiopia's agricultural and rural development strategies. For more information, see <http://www.ifpri.org/book-757/ourwork/program/ethiopia-strategy-support-program> or <http://essp.ifpri.info/> or <http://www.edri-eth.org/>.

About these working papers

The ESSP Working Papers contain preliminary material and research results from IFPRI and/or its partners in Ethiopia. The papers are not subject to a formal peer review. They are circulated in order to stimulate discussion and critical comment. The opinions are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of their home institutions or supporting organizations.

INTERNATIONAL FOOD POLICY RESEARCH INSTITUTE

2033 K Street, NW | Washington, DC 20006-1002 USA | T: +1.202.862.5600 | F: +1.202.457.4439 | Skype: ifprihomeoffice | ifpri@cgiar.org | www.ifpri.org

IFPRI–ESSP ADDIS ABABA

P.O. Box 5689, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia | T: +251.11.617.2000 | F: +251.11.646.2318 | mahlet.mekuria@cgiar.org | <http://essp.ifpri.info>

ETHIOPIAN DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH INSTITUTE

P.O. Box 2479, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia | T: +251.11.550.6066 ; +251.11.553.8633 | F: +251.11.550.5588 | info@edri-eth.org | www.edri-eth.org

This Working Paper has been prepared as an ESSP output, and has not been peer reviewed. Any opinions stated herein are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the policies or opinions of IFPRI.

Copyright © 2014, International Food Policy Research Institute. All rights reserved. To obtain permission to republish, contact ifpri-copyright@cgiar.org.