PERSPECTIVES

Kent Hunter is at the Laboratory of Population Genetics, Center for Cancer Research/National Cancer Institute/National Institutes of Health, Building 41 Room D702, 41 Library Drive, Bethesda, Maryland 20892-5060, USA.

e-mail: hunterk@mail.nih.gov doi:10.1038/nrc1803

Published online 26 January 2006

- Demicheli, R., Abbattista, A., Miceli, R., Valagussa, P. & Bonadonna, G. Time distribution of the recurrence risk for breast cancer patients undergoing mastectomy: further support about the concept of tumor dormancy. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* 41, 177–185 (1996).
- Weigelt, B., Peterse, J. L. & van't Veer, L. J. Breast cancer metastasis: markers and models. *Nature Rev. Cancer* 5, 591–602 (2005).
- van't Veer, L. J. *et al*. Gene expression profiling predicts clinical outcome of breast cancer. *Nature* 415, 530–536 (2002).
- Ye, Q. H. et al. Predicting hepatitis B virus-positive metastatic hepatocellular carcinomas using gene expression profiling and supervised machine learning *Nature Med.* 9, 416–423 (2003).
- Roepman, P. *et al.* An expression profile for diagnosis of lymph node metastases from primary head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. *Nature Genet.* **37**, 182–186 (2005).
- Huang, E. *et al.* Gene expression predictors of breast cancer outcomes. *Lancet* 361, 1590–1596 (2003).
- Cromer, A. *et al.* Identification of genes associated with tumorigenesis and metastatic potential of hypopharyngeal cancer by microarray analysis. *Oncomen* 23, 2484–2498 (2004)
- Oncogene 23, 2484–2498 (2004).
 Ramaswamy, S., Ross, K. N., Lander, E. S. & Golub, T. R. A molecular signature of metastasis in primary solid tumors. *Nature Genet.* 33, 49–54 (2003).
- 9. Bernards, R. & Weinberg, R. A. A progression puzzle. Nature **418**, 823 (2002).
- Weigelt, B. *et al.* Gene expression profiles of primary breast tumors maintained in distant metastases. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **100**, 15901–15905 (2003).
- Fidler, I. J. & Kripke, M. L. Genomic analysis of primary tumors does not address the prevalence of metastatic cells in the population. *Nature Genet.* 34, 23 (2003).
- Schadt, E. E. *et al.* Genetics of gene expression surveyed in maize, mouse and man. *Nature* 422, 297–302 (2003).
- Chesler, E. J. *et al.* Complex trait analysis of gene expression uncovers polygenic and pleiotropic networks that modulate nervous system function. *Nature Genet.* 37, 233–242 (2005).
- Nature Genet. 37, 233–242 (2005).
 Bystrykh, L. et al. Uncovering regulatory pathways that affect hematopoietic stem cell function using "genetical genomics". Nature Genet. 37, 225–232 (2005).
- Eppig, J. T. *et al.* The Mouse Genome Database (MGD): from genes to mice — a community resource for mouse biology. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 33, D471–D475 (2005).
- Gould, K. A. *et al.* Genetic evaluation of candidate genes for the Mom1 modifier of intestinal neoplasia in mice. *Genetics* 144, 1777–1785 (1996).
- Dragani, T. A. *et al.* Mapping of melanoma modifier loci in *RET* transgenic mice. *Jpn. J. Cancer Res.* **91**, 1142–1427 (2000).
- Samuelson, D. J. *et al.* Physical evidence of Mcs5, a QTL controlling mammary carcinoma susceptibility, in congenic rats. *Carcinogenesis* 24, 1455–1460 (2003).
- Reilly, K. M. et al. Susceptibility to astrocytoma in mice mutant for Nf1 and Trp53 is linked to chromosome 11 and subject to epigenetic effects. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 101, 13008–13013 (2004).
- Lifsted, T. et al. et al. Identification of inbred mouse strains harboring genetic modifiers of mammary tumor age of onset and metastatic progression. Int. J. Cancer 77, 640–644 (1998).
- Hunter, K. W. *et al.* Predisposition to efficient mammary tumor metastatic progression is linked to the breast cancer metastasis suppressor gene *Brms1*. *Cancer Res.* **61**, 8866–8872 (2001).
- Park, Y. G. *et al. Sipa1* is a candidate for the metastasis efficiency modifier locus *Mtes1*. *Nature Genet.* **10**, 1055–1062 (2005).
- Qiu, T. H. *et al.* Global expression profiling identifies signatures of tumor virulence in MMTV-PyMTtransgenic mice: correlation to human disease. *Cancer Res.* 64, 5973–5981 (2004).

- Hunter, K. W., Welch, D. R. & Liu, E. T. Genetic background is an important determinant of metastatic potential. *Nature Genet.* 34, 23–24 (2003).
- Talmadge, J. E., Wolman, S. R. & Fidler, I. J. Evidence for the clonal origin of spontaneous metastases. *Science* 217, 361–363 (1982).
- Cheung, S. T. *et al.* Identify metastasis-associated genes in hepatocellular carcinoma through clonality delineation for multinodular tumor. *Cancer Res.* 62, 4711–4721 (2002).
- Malins, D. C. et al. Metastatic cancer DNA phenotype identified in normal tissues surrounding metastasizing prostate carcinomas. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 101, 11428–11431 (2004).
- Li, R., Lyons, M. A., Wittenburg, H., Paigen, B. & Churchill, G. A. Combining data from multiple inbred line crosses improves the power and resolution of quantitative trait loci mapping. *Genetics* 169, 1699–1709 (2005).
- Ein-Dor, L., Kela, I., Getz, G., Givol, D. & Domany, E. Outcome signature genes in breast cancer: is there a unique set? *Bioinformatics* 21, 171–178 (2005).
- Yang, H. *et al.* Caffeine suppresses metastasis in a transgenic mouse model: a prototype molecule for prophylaxis of metastasis. *Clin. Exp. Metastasis* 21, 719–735 (2005).
- Ruggiero, M. *et al.* Vitamin D receptor gene polymorphism is associated with metastatic breast cancer. *Oncol. Res.* **10**, 43–46 (1998).
- Larkin, J. E., Frank, B. C., Gavras, H., Sultana, R. & Quackenbush, J. Independence and reproducibility across microarray platforms. *Nature Methods* 2, 337–344 (2005).
- Irizarry, R. A. *et al.* Multiple-laboratory comparison of microarray platforms. *Nature Methods* 2, 345–350 (2005).

- Bammler, T. *et al.* Standardizing global gene expression analysis between laboratories and across platforms. *Nature Methods* 2, 351–356 (2005).
- Solution Contraction Science Cont
- patterns. Expert Rev. Mol. Diagn. 3, 411–420 (2003).
 Ben-Eliyahu, S. The promotion of tumor metastasis by surgery and stress: immunological basis and implications for psychoneuroimmunology. Brain Behav. Immun. 17 (Suppl.), S27–S36 (2003).
- Harris, M., Winship, I. & Spriggs, M. Controversies and ethical issues in cancer-genetics clinics. *Lancet Oncol.* 6, 301–310 (2005).
- Rabino, I. Genetic testing and its implications: human genetics researchers grapple with ethical issues. *Sci. Technol. Human Values* 28, 365–402 (2003).

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Lalage Wakefield and Glenn Merlino for critical reading of this manuscript. This research was supported in part by the Intramural Research Program of the NIH, National Cancer Institute, Center for Cancer Research.

Competing interests statement

The author declares no competing financial interests.

DATABASES

The following terms in this article are linked online to: Entrez Gene: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query. fcgi?db=gene p53

National Cancer Institute: http://www.cancer.gov brain tumour | breast cancer | colon tumour | hepatocellular carcinoma | melanoma

Access to this interactive links box is free online.

INNOVATION

Mapping normal and cancer cell signalling networks: towards single-cell proteomics

Jonathan M. Irish, Nikesh Kotecha and Garry P. Nolan

Abstract | Oncogenesis and tumour progression are supported by alterations in cell signalling. Using flow cytometry, it is now possible to track and analyse signalling events in individual cancer cells. Data from this type of analysis can be used to create a network map of signalling in each cell and to link specific signalling profiles with clinical outcomes. This form of 'single-cell proteomics' can identify pathways that are activated in therapy-resistant cells and can provide biomarkers for cancer diagnosis and for determining patient prognosis.

Alterations in signalling result in increased survival and proliferation of cancer cells, as well as increasing immune evasion by cancer cells. Although a wide variety of genetic and epigenetic events contribute to these alterations, it has been challenging to gain an overall picture of the common effects that these changes have on the entire signalling network. A 'network-level' view of signalling in normal and cancer cells is therefore needed to identify shared features of malignant cells. Flow cytometry, which simultaneously quantifies multiple properties of individual cells, is well suited to this task because a 'map' of the signalling network can be derived for each cell in a mixed population and compared with other cellular features. This 'single-cell resolution' and the multi-parameter nature of the data can be used to distinguish signalling maps of cancer cells from non-tumour cells present in patient samples. It is also possible to identify cancer cell subsets based on their signalling maps. By relating changes in cancer signalling networks to patient outcome, cancer cell signalling can be used to

lable 1 Determining phenotypes of individual cancer cells				
Cell property*	Example flow-cytometry method	References		
Differentiation and lineage determination	Antibodies against KIT, CD34 (stem cells), CD38 or CD20, and other CD antigens	29–32		
DNA content (aneuploidy, DNA fragmentation)	Propidium iodide, ethidium monoazide or 7-actinomycin D staining of DNA	30,33		
RNA content (quiescence)	Pyronin Y staining of RNA	30		
Cell-cycle stage	Antibodies against cyclin D, cyclin A, cyclin B1 or cyclin E; phosphorylated form of histone H3 (M phase)	30,34,35		
Proliferation	Bromodeoxyuridine staining of DNA replication; antibodies against proliferating cell nuclear antigen; antibodies against Ki67; carboxyfluorescein diacetate succinimidyl ester dye	30,31,36,37		
Oncogene expression	Antibodies against BCL2, MYC or Ras	31,38–40		
Mutations	Antibodies against mutant p53 or $HRAS^{\mbox{\tiny V12}}$	41,42		
Tumour-suppressor activity	Antibodies against p53 or p21 (also known as WAF1) promoter activity based on expression of green fluorescent protein (p53R–GFP system) [‡] ; antibodies against the phosphorylated form of p53 [‡]	23,41		
Apoptosis	Antibodies against caspase 3 cleavage products	44		
Cell-membrane changes	AnnexinV staining for extracellular phosphatidylserine exposure, which occurs on apoptotic cells	44		
Redox state	Dichlorofluorescein diacetate staining, which is a measure of oxidation; monobromobimane staining, which is a measure of glutathione; lipophilic fluorochrome dihexaoxacarbocyanine iodide staining, which is a measure of mitochondrial membrane potential	44–46		
Tumour antigens	Antibodies against B- or T-cell receptor idiotype; tetramers against tumour antigen-specific T cells (for example, against tyrosinase)	5,47,48		
Signalling activity	Antibodies against phosphorylated signal transducer and activator of transcription 5, extracellular- regulated kinases 1 and 2, and many others; indo-1 staining for Ca^{2+} flux; antibodies against interleukin 12, interferon- γ or other cytokines	4,48–50		

Table 1 | Determining phenotypes of individual cancer cells

*Up to 17 such properties can be simultaneously measured in every cell using flow cytometry³. [‡]Applied to cancer cell flow cytometry by J.M.I. (unpublished observations). CD, cluster of differentiation.

determine if a tumour is aggressive or will respond to certain therapies. How can flow cytometry be used to characterize altered signalling network mechanisms in primary cancer cells directly? What can be learned about pathogenesis from these signalling profiles, and how can this information be applied to improve clinical outcomes?

Cancer cell cytometry

Flow cytometry has been widely used by immunologists and cancer biologists for many years to distinguish different cell types in mixed populations, based on the expression of cellular markers. Commonly, cells that express a protein of interest are detected using a dye conjugated to an antibody that specifically binds that protein and increases cell fluorescence. In addition to tracking populations by protein expression, flow cytometry can quantify many other cancer cell properties (TABLE 1), such as phosphorylation levels, which can be used to determine signalling activity^{1,2}. For analysis of cell biology, cells are usually fixed and permeabilized, allowing molecules from cellular compartments such as the cytoplasm or nucleus to be detected. Cells are streamed in a single file past a detector at a rate of many tens of thousands of cells per second, and multiple properties of interest are measured for each cell (FIG. 1). Because cells must be dissociated for analysis, flow cytometry has primarily been applied to study haematological cancers. The current state of the art supports detection of 17 properties simultaneously in individual cells in a high-throughput manner³.

This 'multi-parameter' aspect of flow cytometry — the ability to measure multiple biomarkers per cell - makes it an especially useful tool for understanding the biology of heterogeneous populations of cells, such as those found in tumour samples from patients. These samples generally include a mixture of host cancer cells and host non-cancer cells. In addition, cancer cells are genetically unstable, and multiple subpopulations of cancer cells with differences in signalling activity can arise⁴. Flow cytometry capitalizes on the molecular features of these subsets and uses them as biomarkers to identify different tumour types or properties (TABLE 1). For example, features of B-lymphoma, such as lineage and B-cell-receptor idiotype⁵, can be used to distinguish populations of tumour B cells from infiltrating non-tumour B and T cells within an individual tumour biopsy specimen. In comparing signalling profiles of cell subsets within a single tumour sample, greater than normal extracellular-regulated kinase 1 (ERK1)/ERK2 signalling responses are restricted to the tumour B cells, whereas normal or suppressed ERK1/ERK2 signalling takes place in the infiltrating non-tumour cells of B or T lineages (J.M.I., G.P.N. and R. Levy, unpublished observations). Such biological and mechanistic observations can be correlated with cellular phenotype or patient outcomes, creating powerful tools for studying cancer and for identifying therapeutic strategies.

Mapping cell-signalling networks

As the technology to measure signalling has developed, so has a common language to describe cell signalling networks (BOX 1). The terms that we use to describe cancer signalling networks are adapted from graph theory6 and are used in computational modelling of biological networks7,8. A measured event that corresponds to a change of state in a signalling molecule is called a 'signalling node'. Biochemical events, such as phosphorylation, are understood in advance to be mechanisms of activating or inactivating signalling nodes. One practical reason for this general term 'node' is that signals in cells are conveyed by many biochemical events other than phosphorylation, including acetylation, ubiquitylation, proteolytic cleavage, and changes in localization, conformation and abundance. The structure of connections between signalling nodes is commonly referred to as a 'map' of the signalling network. Because the relationships among nodes are thought to determine cell behaviour, mapping the altered connections among nodes in a signalling network could

indicate mechanisms that support the continued survival and proliferation of cancer cells.

The measured state (activity or inactivity) of a signalling node under a specific set of conditions is called the 'node state' (BOX 1). Clinically relevant signalling-node states might differ among tumour types, and factors that affect the choice of nodes and states in cancer can be examined in detail. In a signalling analysis, the unstimulated or minimally perturbed state measured is referred to as the 'basal state'. For most signalling nodes in resting normal cells, the basal state is usually 'inactive'. However, in resting cancer cells, the basal state of a node might be 'active' because of constitutive activation of signalling pathways.

A significant amount of information about the signalling network can be gained by tracking signalling activity as it occurs under various conditions. This is achieved experimentally by exposing live cells to different external cues (inhibitors, stimulations, and combinations of both), quantifying the state of each signalling node, and then comparing the signalling activity to the basal state (FIG. 1). The primary advantage of this approach is the ability to measure signalling network properties that cannot be detected in resting cells. Some important signalling network properties that are not seen in resting cells include failure of the signalling network to become activated following stimulation, hypersensitivity of the signalling network to stimulation, and differences in which signalling nodes are activated following a particular upstream event (sometimes called 'rewiring' or 'crosstalk'). A key feature of this technique is that individual nodal elements are linked to each other in a network map by multiple stimulations, providing a more dynamic understanding of how information is processed by the system.

The high-throughput nature of flow cytometry allows for the measurement of

several signalling nodes and several states for each sample. In mapping signalling profiles of acute myeloid leukaemia (AML) cells4, 36 node states were measured by combining 6 stimulation conditions with 6 detectable signalling nodes. These node states were measured in at least 50,000 individual cells from 30 different samples taken from patients with AML, resulting in millions of cellsignalling maps. Measuring tens to hundreds of thousands of cells in each sample provides statistical confidence in small populations (for example, a subset that comprises only 1% of cells in a sample), and is a relatively small number of cells to require for an assay. A sample of 5 million cells from a patient is sufficient to map 100 signalling node states (10 nodes x 10 states) while simultaneously determining lineage and oncogene-expression patterns of each cell. With existing 96-well format technology, this analysis can be performed from start to finish on tens of patient samples in a matter of hours.



Figure 1 | **Individual-cell analysis of signalling.** a | Tumour cells that have been isolated from a patient are treated with different environmental cues or therapeutic agents as a way to identify which signalling networks are active. It is possible to study cancer cells from the tumour (Tu), stromal cells (S), cells of the vasculature (V), or immune cells such as T cells (T). Using flow cytometry, each cell in the sample can be tracked and the per-cell phosphorylation levels of multiple proteins (for example, signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) 3 and STAT5) can be determined using fluorophore-conjugated antibodies in cells treated with different stimuli (red, blue, yellow, green and pink circles). The cells are analysed individually by the detector. Phosphoprotein levels of each cell are compared using histograms in

which the axes indicate the levels of STAT3 phosphorylation in cells (x axis), and STAT5 (y axis). The arrows point to a population of stimulated cells with high levels of phosphorylation of both STAT3 and STAT5. **b** | The same technique can be used to study signalling in subsets of normal primary cells, such as T cells (T), B cells (B) or monocytes (M) after treatment with various stimuli, such as interleukin (IL)-7 (red circles) or IL-4 (blue circles). Phosphorylation levels of STAT6 (y axis) were compared in human blood cell subsets, which were identified based on expression of the B-cell lineage marker CD20 (x axis). B cells treated with IL-7 did not phosphorylate STAT6 to the extent of B cells treated with IL-4 (far right histogram). A better understanding of normal signalling in primary cells is crucial to understanding altered signalling in cancer cells.

Box 1 | Terminology used in studying signalling networks

Signalling node

A step in a signalling pathway that corresponds to a biochemical event. Signalling inputs (for example, ligand binding) and outputs (for example, gene expression) are also considered nodes.

For example: interferon- γ (IFN γ) activates a signalling node that involves phosphorylation of signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) 1 at Y701 (pSTAT1-Y701). This normally results in STAT1 dimerization, nuclear translocation and transcriptional activity (FIG. 3a).

Node state

A property of a node (activity or inactivity) under a certain set of conditions that are specific to details of the experimental system (for example, cell type or stimulation time).

For example: in studying STAT1, one node state is whether Y701 is phosphorylated or not 15 minutes after IFN γ -stimulation of cells.

Signalling profile

A collection of signalling features that either defines a group of cells or is specific to a group of cells. This profile can then be used to characterize specific patient samples or populations of cells. For example: the signalling profile of cells from patients with acute myeloid leukaemia that are resistant to therapy included a failure of STAT1 to become activated in response to IFNγ stimulation, and potentiated STAT5, STAT3 and extracellular-regulated kinase 1 (ERK1)/ERK2 signalling responses.

Cell signalling in cancer

Although the technology and language associated with the study of signalling networks is relatively new, the importance of cell signalling in cancer is well established. Alterations to genes that encode signalling molecules and their regulators are commonly observed in many types of cancer and are known to support cancer cells by providing hallmark characteristics^{9,10}, such as evasion of cell death and self-sufficiency in proliferative potential (TABLE 2). So, it is not surprising that patient outcome can sometimes be associated with a specific mutation in a signalling factor (BOX 2). A central hypothesis of the signalling profile approach is that patients whose cancer cells display common signalling mechanisms will have similar clinical outcomes (FIG. 2).

One starting point in the study of cancer signalling networks might be to characterize how a clinically relevant mutation in a signalling protein (BOX 2) impacts on a signalling profile of a cancer cell. This can be done by comparing samples of cells that express mutant and wild-type versions of a gene. Once the signalling network alterations that are associated with a specific mutation are identified, the analysis can be extended to look for the same profile in samples with no known mutations. This approach could identify new mutations that result in a similar cell phenotype. In primary cancer cells, different genetic defects might result in the activation of the same signalling node, resulting in similar profiles. Identification of such focal signalling nodes is an important aspect of anticancer drug development, as these signalling nodes are good therapeutic

targets for patients whose tumours arise from different genetic alterations. The effectiveness of the tyrosine-kinase inhibitor imatinib (Glivec), which is successfully used to treat patients with chronic myeloid leukaemia (CML)¹¹, supports the idea that inhibitors of certain signalling nodes that are active in different cancers make effective therapeutics¹².

Some signalling molecules, such as AKT and ERK1/ERK2 (TABLE 2), seem to be promiscuously activated in many types of cancer, and are therefore expected to be good targets for the treatment of many cancers. In haematological malignancies, clinically relevant mutations in signalling proteins are frequently associated with increases in signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) 5 activity (BOX 2). Flow cytometry offers the ability to study the signalling activity of such nodes directly, in primary cells, without knowledge of the genetic status of the cells. If a clonal subpopulation with a known mutation arises in a tumour, the percell, network-level view of signalling would allow the signalling maps of the wild-type and mutant cells in a sample to be compared.

Signalling in individual cells

Single-cell analysis by flow cytometry is commonly used in the field of immunology, in which specific markers have been used to map *in vivo* phenotypes of tens to hundreds of cell types throughout haematopoietic differentiation. For example, phenotypically distinct B-lineage subsets have been characterized at several steps throughout development from a haematopoietic stem cell to a mature B cell¹³. The role of signalling in

Table 2 | Frequently altered signalling pathways and their role in cancer

Cancer cell signalling alteration			
Ligands and receptors	Intracellular molecules	Acquired capability [§]	
↑KIT, ↑PDGFR, ↑FLT3, ↑↓BCR, ↑↓TGFβ, ↑IGF1, ↑EGFR, ↑ERBB2	↑SFKs, ↑STAT5, ↑STAT3, ↓NF1, ↑Ras, ↑Raf, ↑ERK, ↑ZAP70, ↑MYC, ↑Smads, ↑PI3K, ↑AKT, ↑SHH, ↑GLI1	Self sufficiency in proliferation	67–85
↓Tumour-necrosis factor family*, ↑decoy receptor family, ↓interferon family‡	↓IкВ, ↓NF-кВ, ↑АКТ, ↓p53, ↓caspases, ↓STAT1, ↑BCL2	Evasion of apoptosis, and evasion of killing by the immune system	20,78,79, 86–89
↑ανβ3 integrin, ↑β1 integrins, ↑EGFR, ↑WNT1,↓E-cadherin	↑SFKs, ↑Ras, ↑Raf, ↑Erk, ↑Rho GTPases, ↑β-catenin, ↓ APC	Tissue invasion and metastasis	74,75,77, 88,90–92
↑↓TGFβ, ↓interferon family‡	↓ATM, ↓p53, ↓PTEN, ↓RB, ↓STAT1	Insensitivity to anti- proliferative cues	20,71, 77–79,84, 88,93
↑VEGF, ↑VEGFR1, ↑FGF, ↑ανβ3 integrin	↑Ras, ↑Raf, ↑Erk, ↑SFKs	Sustained angiogenesis	74,75,77,81, 90
↑IGF1	Такт	Limitless replicative potential	94

For example, Fas ligand, Fas/CD95, tumour-necrosis-factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand, and B-lymphocyte-activating factor. ⁺For example, interferon (IFN) receptor $\alpha 2c$, IFN α and IFN γ . ^{}See REF. 9. \uparrow Indicates that greater than normal signalling activity (through various mechanisms) supports cancer cells. \downarrow Indicates that loss of signalling activity supports cancer cells. $\uparrow \downarrow$ Indicates that increased or decreased signalling supports cancer cells, depending on the cell type and context. Ligands and receptors: BCR, B-cell receptor; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; FGF, fibroblast growth factor; FLT3, Fms-like tyrosine kinase 3; IGF1, insulin-like growth factor 1; PDGFR, platelet-derived-growth-factor receptor; TGF β , transforming growth factor β ; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor; VEGFR1, VEGF receptor 1. Intracellular molecules: APC, adenomatosis polyposis coli; caspases, cysteine aspartases; ERK, extracellular-regulated kinase; NF1, neurofibromin 1; NF-kB, nuclear factor-kB; Pl3K, phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase; PTEN, phosphatase and tensin homologue; RB, retinoblastoma protein; SFKs, Src family kinases (for example, SRC, ABL, LCK and LYN); SHH, sonic hedgehog; ZAP70, ζ -chain-associated protein kinase 70.

Box 2 | Signalling network effects of clinically relevant genetic changes

Fms-related tyrosine kinase 3 mutations

- Observed in acute myeloid leukaemia (AML) cells, and is one of the best indicators of poor clinical outcome⁵¹
- Result in potentiated signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) 5 and extracellularregulated kinase 1 (ERK1)/ERK2 signalling responses in primary AML cells⁴
- Different fms-related tyrosine kinase 3 (FLT3) mutations might each have a distinct signalling profile

KIT mutations

- Observed in gastrointestinal stromal tumours (GIST) and chronic myeloid leukaemia (CML) cells, and are associated with poor clinical outcome in patients with GIST⁵²
- Signalling network effects are unknown in primary cells, but STAT5, ERK1/ERK2, and Src family kinase (SFK) signalling are implicated, based on studies in cultured cells

KRAS-, NRAS- and BRAF-activating mutations

- NRAS and BRAF mutations are observed in melanoma and many other cancer cell types⁵³, and are
 associated with poor clinical outcome in patients with melanoma⁵⁴
- KRAS mutations are associated with outcome in lung adenocarcinoma⁵⁵
- ERK1/ERK2 signalling are constitutively activated^{54,56}, but not in all cancer cell types⁵⁷
- A network-level view of these signalling alterations might show overall similarities and differences in the effects of these mutations

Expression of epidermal growth factor receptor and ERBB2

- Observed in breast carcinomas and associated with clinical outcome⁵⁸, especially in patients treated with trastuzumab⁵⁹
- Activated AKT and Ras, Raf or ERK1/ERK2 signalling observed in cell lines^{60,61}
- Network profiling might indicate potential resistance to therapy and determine whether a drug is capable of suppressing the activated-ERBB2-associated signalling profile

Vascular endothelial growth factor overexpression

- Associated with poor outcome in patients with haematological malignancies²⁷
- The vascular endothelial growth factor signalling network, which involves Ras-mitogenactivated protein kinase (MAPK) and SFKs, might be altered in cancer cells

BCR-ABL fusion protein

- Fusion protein that is present in nearly all CML cells
- Inhibited by imatinib, which is effective in treating patients with CML¹¹
- Constitutive activation of STAT5 signalling frequently observed^{62,63}
- Mutation in ABL region of BCR-ABL arises following therapy with an ABL inhibitor^{64,65}
- BCR-ABL signalling-network profile might become re-activated in drug-resistant cells

Mutations in STAT3 and STAT5

- Observed in AML cells⁶⁶ and associated with poor outcome in patients
- Changes in STAT3 signalling might affect other signalling nodes in the network
- All activating mutations in STAT5 might share a common signalling-network profile that could be used to identify cells with mutations in this pathway

development is commonly studied in animal models by deletion or mutation of signalling genes. Such approaches have revealed much about the requirement for signalling in normal differentiation and indicated that differential activation of the same signalling pathways can determine outcomes as distinct as survival and death¹⁴. However, little is known about the actual timing and magnitude of signalling in cells that undergo these contrasting lineage choices. What differing gene-expression patterns result from a short spike in kinase activity versus a sustained low level of kinase activity? Even less is known about the level of signalling activity that results in crosstalk — a situation in which altered activity of one area of a signalling network alters the outcome of signalling in other areas. The mapping of signalling networks in primary cells through flow cytometry has begun to address these topics, as multiple nodes can now be measured simultaneously in each cell.

Flow cytometry also allows for detection of lineage and oncogene expression, and provides a way to compare tumours that are composed of multiple subclones (FIG. 1). The presence of multiple cell types in a patient

sample is an advantage in flow cytometric analysis because each cell population provides a control for the other cell types. The ability to perform this type of internal comparison is especially useful if the cancer cells and their suspected normal precursors are present in the same sample. Signalling maps of different types of normal primary cells can also be compared by combining the ability of flow cytometry to perform both lineage tracking and analysis of cell signalling networks (FIG. 1). For example, the use of lineage markers allows the resolution of two cell populations, and then the signalling status of four node states can be compared for each population. Another advantage of flow cytometry is that the activity of endo-genous signalling proteins can be measured in primary human cells. With this technique, we have observed that activation and deactivation of signalling proteins in both normal and primary cancer cells is more tightly regulated than that in tissue-culture-adapted cell lines of the same lineage (REF. 4) (J.M.I., G.P.N. and R. Levy, unpublished observations).

Signalling stimuli and inhibitors

Important mechanistic information about the signalling network in cancer cells can be determined by treating cells with various stimuli or inhibitors and studying the outcomes. For example, by inhibiting the activity of a specific signalling node with a drug, such as a kinase inhibitor, it is possible to determine if that node is required for the activity of other network nodes¹⁵. Alternatively, if a node is constitutively activated — for example, through mutations in oncogenes such as fms-related tyrosine kinase 3 (FLT3) or KRAS (BOX 2) — it can be determined if a node is sufficient to activate other network nodes⁴. Alterations in protein expression levels, such as through overexpression or knockdown, before mapping the signalling network can also be used to study the effect of signalling perturbations.

For the study of cancer, the map of a signalling network that occurs in cells following stimulus (such as with growth factors) plus treatment with a therapeutic agent could be compared with the map that results from treating cells with the stimulus alone. Alterations in signalling profiles of cells following exposure to a therapeutic agent could indicate which signalling nodes are affected by the drug. A significant caveat associated with studies that involve signalling inhibitors is that although they might inactivate a particular signalling node, they can also affect other nodes in the network.





Figure 2 | Commonality of mechanism indicates a signalling profile. a | The existence of cell subsets with similar signalling profiles can be used to group patients. Cancer cells obtained at diagnosis from patients A, B and C show similar responses to cytokine stimulation with granulocyte colonystimulating factor (G-CSF). According to the contour plots, treatment with G-CSF causes more than half of the cancer cells to increase their levels of signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) 3 phosphorylation (x axis) and STAT5 phosphorylation (y axis) in these three patients. However, patient A has a higher basal level of STAT5 signalling than patients B or C, and is therefore distinct. By contrast, most cancer cells from patient Z do not respond to G-CSF stimulation by phosphorylating STAT3 or STAT5. b | Network maps are drawn to represent the signalling mechanisms active in each group. Each map can be associated with a different clinical outcome. In this example, the presence of cells with potentiated responses of STAT5 and STAT3 to G-CSF stimulation is associated with an aggressive cancer cell phenotype (middle, patients B and C). The small subset of cells in the sample from patient Z that responds to G-CSF stimulation by phosphorylating STAT5 (red outline in the lower right histogram) indicates that a subpopulation with an aggressive phenotype was present at diagnosis. If patient Z relapses following therapy, we might expect that the post-relapse signalling profile would appear more like that of patient B at the time of diagnosis.

For example, farnesyl-transferase inhibitors are reported to have significant additional effects on cell signalling¹⁶, and the classic 'targeted' inhibitor imatinib has activity against several mutant kinases, including KIT, platelet-derived-growth-factor receptor- β (PDGFR β) and ABL^{17,18}. With a network-level view of signalling in cells with and without an inhibitor present, the mechanism of drug action can be measured directly by measuring key signalling nodes throughout a cell. Furthermore, a drug's effect in both tumour and non-tumour cells within a sample can be distinguished by flow cytometric analysis.

A minimal starting point in the choice of signalling inputs is to find an activator of every signalling node that will be analysed. Cells that are treated with the stimulus are used as positive controls for signalling activity, whereas cells in the basal state (resting cells) function as the negative controls. However, because some signalling pathways are constitutively activated in some cell types, it might also be necessary to suppress certain signalling nodes using inhibitors.

Measuring active signalling

To understand changes in the regulation of signalling it is important to determine not only whether a particular signalling pathway is constitutively active (high-level basal signalling), but also to determine how signalling responses differ in cancer cells. Such differences are commonly observed in normal cells, which display significant heterogeneity in signalling responses owing to lineage-specific expression of signalling network molecules and their regulators. An example of this can be seen in the differences in the phosphorylation of

STAT6, in response to interleukin (IL)-7 treatment, between B and T cells (FIG. 1). Differences in the quality of signalling (magnitude and duration) are also observed in normal cells and are expected to be seen in cancer cells. For example, all mature B cells express a B-cell receptor and have the ability to phosphorylate ERK1/ERK2 in response to B-cell receptor ligation. However, B cells of different B-cell receptor heavy-chain isotypes (for example, immunoglobulin (Ig) M versus IgG) differ in the kinetics of ERK1/ERK2 activation and inactivation (J.M.I., G.P.N. and R. Levy, unpublished observations). So, sustained ERK1/ERK2 phosphorylation following B-cell receptor stimulation is a signalling response that distinguishes B-cell isotypes. In both of these examples, a potentially important difference in the activity of two signalling networks cannot be measured by observing basal signalling alone.

A clinically relevant signalling profile might require other information that can only be obtained by measuring the responsiveness of signalling network nodes in live cells. For example, the loss of key anticancer signalling nodes is common in oncogenesis (TABLE 2), and failure to signal cannot be assessed without providing a signalling input. One example is the loss of normal STAT1 signalling in response to interferon- γ (IFN γ) treatment, which has been observed in AML⁴ and other cancer cell types¹⁹. This type of Janus kinase (JAK)-STAT signalling revision is depicted in FIG. 2. The IFNy-mediated activation of STAT1 transcriptional activity is an important signalling event in cancer (TABLE 2) because activation of STAT1 regulates key cell activities, including display of antigens to the immune system, cell-cycle arrest and p53 activity¹⁹⁻²¹. The chain of signalling events between IFNy stimulation and STAT1 phosphorylation might be compromised in various ways in different cancer cells, but the resulting altered signalling mechanism is the same, and this aberrant signalling mechanism — failure of IFNy to activate STAT1 phosphorylation — is not apparent in a resting cancer cell.

Features of cancer cell signalling networks

Comparing signalling networks among patients can be used to identify signalling pathways associated with gene mutation (BOX 2) and to relate signalling profiles with clinical outcome⁴ (FIG. 3). In this way, measuring signalling networks in single cells can lead to insights about mechanism and cell type. Phosphorylation of ERK1/ERK2

PERSPECTIVES

leads to cell proliferation, and increased ERK1/ERK2 signalling is a common feature of cancer cells. In cases in which increased ERK1/ERK2 signalling is observed in aggressive cancer cells, compared with those that respond to therapy, ERK1/ERK2 phosphorylation will therefore be a useful biomarker for risk stratification and, potentially, for determining therapy. Increased phosphorylation of ERK1/ERK2 in response to FLT3-ligand stimulation was one part of a profile that has been associated with resistance of AML blast cells to the first course of chemotherapy (with idarubicin and cytarabine)⁴.

Signalling alterations that commonly occur in cancer cells often result in survival and proliferation, decreased activation of anti-proliferative signals, and altered responses to external stimuli. All three of these phenotypes were observed in cells from patients with AML, and studies of these cells have led to insights into mechanisms of pathogenesis and clinical response. For example, increased activity of STAT5 and STAT3 — transcription factors that induce expression of genes that enable cell survival and proliferation (FIG. 2) - were observed in AML blast cells following stimulation with cytokines such as granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) and IL-3. Additionally, cells from some patients with AML failed to phosphorylate STAT1 in response to IFNy. Phosphorylation of STAT1 is a cytokine signalling response that is normally anti-proliferative. Other patient samples showed alterations, such as activation of STAT5 instead of STAT1, in the response to IFNy. By grouping samples according to signalling profile and associating each with clinical outcome, certain altered signalling patterns could be characterized as 'aggressive' and associated with poor response to chemotherapy (FIG. 3).

A key observation from measuring these alterations was that cancer cells from patients with poor clinical outcome showed all three phenotypes (activated pro-proliferative signalling pathways, inactivated anti-proliferative signalling, and not responding properly to external stimuli), whereas cancer cells from patients with better clinical outcomes only had one or two of these features. So, the signalling profile associated with the most aggressive cancer cells was one that conferred just enough aggressive signalling behaviour to support cancer cell survival and proliferation without triggering arrest, cell death, or detection by the immune system.

Challenges in clinical application

Developing the use of multi-parameter fluoresence-activated cell signalling (FACS) for the identification of signalling profiles of cancer cells from patient samples offers many opportunities and faces many challenges (BOX 3). For example, just because a particular signalling network is activated in a cancer cell type, this does not mean that it is required for cancer progression, or that targeting the network will improve a patient's outcome. Signalling profiles might only be biomarkers that are associated with clinical outcome, and not mechanisms that are required for continued survival, proliferation and resistance of cancer cells to therapy. To resolve these possibilities, signalling inhibitors that block the features of aggressive signalling



Figure 3 | Changes in JAK–STAT signalling in therapy-resistant cancer cells. Signalling profiles of two different cancer cells are shown. a | In this cancer cell, granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) signalling through Janus kinase 1 (JAK1) results in signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) 5 phosphorylation (P), nuclear translocation and activation of genes that mediate proliferation and survival. At the same time, interferon- γ (IFN γ) signalling through JAK2 results in STAT1 phosphorylation, nuclear translocation, and transcription of genes that mediate cell-cycle arrest and apoptosis. As a result, DNA-damaging therapy

might still be effective for patients whose cells show this profile. **b** | In the signalling network of the second cancer cell, the IFN γ signalling has been rerouted to activate STAT5, which results in transcription of prosurvival and proliferation genes, as opposed to the anti-proliferative effects of STAT1. Patients whose cancer cells show this signalling profile might be more resistant to DNA-damage-induction therapy. Inhibition of STAT5, the focal node activated in the therapy-resistant cancer cell, might therefore shift the balance between proliferation and apoptosis, and improve the response to cancer therapy. profiles must be tested in animal models and human clinical trials, to distinguish between markers and mechanisms.

It is also not clear how many of the altered nodes in a cancer signalling network would need to be modulated by an anticancer therapy. It is possible that removing just one key signalling element, such as STAT5 activation, would be sufficient to turn a therapy-resistant cancer cell into a therapyresponsive cell. It also remains to be determined whether therapies that inhibit key signalling network nodes, which are common to many cell types, would be specific to cancer cells or would cause side-effects in non-cancer cells. Flow cytometry is a useful way to approach this question because signalling in normal and cancerous cells can be compared in the same sample (FIG. 1). The clinical success of targeted therapies, such as imatinib, indicate that modulation of signalling could safely improve clinical outcomes for other patients with cancers in which the signalling mechanisms are known²², but this must be studied on a case-by-case basis for each drug.

What advantages do flow cytometric maps of cell signalling provide over other biomarker detection systems, and what are the challenges to this analysis? Although analysis of signalling networks by flow cytometry takes only a few hours and uses relatively small clinical samples, there are some challenges in adapting this technique for the clinic. For a signalling profiles approach, patient specimens must be viable (at the time of stimulation) to determine altered signalling responses. Additional challenges of clinical application of flow cytometry have been reviewed²³. Especially important are flow cytometry-compatible biomarkers for cancer cells (TABLE 1) — such as phosphospecific antibodies that can detect epitopes in fixed, permeabilized cells^{1,2} — and the number of parameters that can be detected per cell³.

Another significant issue is that of 'culture shock' — the process by which primary cells change their behaviour to adapt to cell culture. Ideally, primary human cells are studied in *ex vivo* assays that involve minimal manipulation after removal from the body. Protocols for the whole-blood stimulation of lymphocytes before fixation and flow cytometric analysis of signalling have helped to address this challenge²⁴. For solid tissues, it is not clear to what extent the dissociation required for flow cytometric analysis would interfere with the useful analysis of signalling network structure.

${\tt Box\,3\,|\, Cancer\, biology\, challenges\, that\, can\, be\, addressed\, by\, single-cell\, signalling\, profiles}$

- Pinpoint alterations in single cells and cell subsets. What signalling mechanisms are active in cancer cells that return during patient relapse, in pre-metastatic cells and during the earliest stages of transformation?
- Look not only at 'pathways', but at the network as a whole. What are the 'on target' and 'off target' effects of drugs?
- Identify and track cancer stem cells. Is there a phenotypically distinct subset of cells that is not killed by therapy and that mediates relapse?
- Identify targets for drug discovery. What signalling mechanisms enable cancer cells to resist a particular chemotherapy?
- Choose an optimal therapy. Do patients that respond to a particular cancer therapy have similar signalling profiles?
- Monitor anticancer therapies. Can signalling profiles be used as biomarkers of therapeutic response or side effects?
- Detect cancer earlier. Can signalling profiles of circulating cancer cells, or of immune system cells, be used to detect cancer at early stages?
- Understand mechanisms of cell–cell and cancer-cell–host interactions. How do cancer cells interact with and alter the host microenvironment or immune system?

To date, this technique has been used to study haematopoietic malignancies, including disaggregated lymph-node tumours (J.M.I., G.P.N. and R. Levy, unpublished observations), but has not been applied to other solid tumours. In the case of some solid tumours, analysis of altered signalling in infiltrating immune cells could be a more useful approach than disaggregation and analysis of the cancerous cells themselves.

Currently, data analysis also presents a significant bottleneck. New informatics techniques are necessary for multiple cancer-cell biomarkers to be tracked under various stimulation conditions and to be compared as cell populations among patients. If two biomarkers are compared (FIG. 1), it is easy to portray subset-specific signalling. However, displaying a signalling network map for tens of cell subsets that are present to different degrees across a set of hundreds of patient samples would be difficult during data analysis and extremely challenging to portray in a static figure for publication. Perfetto et al. describe automated data-analysis techniques and methods to explore complex, multi-parameter data sets that might be good starting points for the signalling-profiling approach³. For data sets that include signalling networks that are specifically perturbed at one node, such as through small-molecule inhibition or mutational activation of a signalling protein, causal analysis using machinelearning methods (for example, Bayesian network analysis) can be used to automatically map the signalling network for each cell in a population¹⁵.

Ultimately, researchers and clinicians might require access to dynamic visualizations of primary data along with experimental interpretation. An infrastructure such as the one developed for the National Center for Biotechnology Information gene-expression omnibus could provide the storage and efficient retrieval of large amounts of data that is required for this effort. Ideally, signalling network maps for cells in a population and signalling profiles for patients in a cohort would all be securely available online. The data would be interconnected to allow study of a group of patients to include visualization of the common signalling profile as well as analysis of the individual cell maps of signalling networks underlying the profile.

These challenges, although significant, are not insurmountable and will be tackled with the adoption of flow cytometry as a tool to study cell signalling.

Future directions

Many new ways of studying cancer biology are possible using individual-cell studies of signalling at the network level. One example is using flow cytometry to track populations of cancer cell subsets from individual patients at different stages of treatment. This would be especially informative in identifying mechanisms of resistance to therapy, and could address a key goal in the field — to identify cells within a heterogeneous tumour sample that should be targeted by therapy (BOX 3). It has been proposed that the tumour microenvironment can alter the signalling of infiltrating host immune cells²⁵, surrounding stromal cells²⁶ and the vascular network²⁷. A single-cell approach can also

be used to study such changes in host cells that are present in samples from cancer patients (BOX 3).

As the populations of cells in a patient change over the course of anticancer treatment, the associated changes in the signalling network maps of tumour cells can be monitored and the overall signalling profile of the patient can be updated. Furthermore, by tracking different subsets of cancer cells, it might be possible to identify and study groups of therapyresistant cells to enrich our understanding of immunoediting²⁸ — signalling alterations that allow cell populations to evade immune detection (BOX 3; FIG. 3). The ability to monitor multiple samples from the same patient over time also make it possible to characterize transformed cells or pre-transformation cells in samples that were obtained at the time of diagnosis and compare them with samples taken from later-stage disease (BOX 3).

A comparison of signalling profiles of different cancer types might reveal common features of aggressive cancer cells. For example, it will be interesting to compare alterations in the signalling networks of various haematological malignancies, such as AML, CML, acute lymphoblastic leukaemia, chronic lymphocytic leukaemia, juvenile myelomonocytic leukaemia, multiple myeloma, follicular lymphoma and diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. Cell types of haematopoietic origin should share common signalling components, and these malignancies would presumably be lessdistantly related to each other than they would be to solid tumours. These types of comparisons might identify signalling network alterations that are commonly associated with poor clinical outcome. Other features of cancer progression not discussed in detail here, such as induction of angiogenesis or metastasis, might also be driven by common signalling alterations in different cancer types and could be studied using flow cytometry.

Jonathan M. Irish is at the Department of Medicine, Oncology Division, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, USA.

Nikesh Kotecha is at the Department of Biomedical Informatics, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, USA.

Carry P. Nolan is at the Baxter Laboratory for Genetic Pharmacology, Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, USA.

> Correspondence to G.P.N. e-mail: gnolan@stanford.edu doi:10.1038/nrc1804

- Perez, O. D., Krutzik, P. O. & Nolan, G. P. Flow cytometric analysis of kinase signaling cascades. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 263, 67–94 (2004).
- Krutzik, P. O. & Nolan, G. P. Intracellular phosphoprotein staining techniques for flow cytometry: monitoring single cell signaling events. *Cytometry* 55A, 61–70 (2003).
- Perfetto, S. P., Chattopadhyay, P. K. & Roederer, M. Seventeen-colour flow cytometry: unravelling the immune system. *Nature Rev. Immunol.* 4, 648–655 (2004).
- Irish, J. M. *et al.* Single cell profiling of potentiated phospho-protein networks in cancer cells. *Cell* **118**, 217–228 (2004).
- Timmerman, J. M. *et al.* Idiotype-pulsed dendritic cell vaccination for B-cell lymphoma: clinical and immune responses in 35 patients. *Blood* **99**, 1517–1526 (2002).
- Pruzlj, N., Jurisica, I. & Wigle, D. Knowledge Discovery in Proteomics: Graph Theory Analysis of Protein– Protein Interactions 129–198 (Chapman & Hall/CRC Mathematica Biology and Medicine, 2005).
- Xia, Y. *et al.* Analyzing cellular biochemistry in terms of molecular networks. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 73, 1051–1087 (2004).
- Barrios-Rodiles, M. *et al.* High-throughput mapping of a dynamic signaling network in mammalian cells. *Science* 307, 1621–1625 (2005).
- 9. Hanahan, D. & Weinberg, R. A. The hallmarks of cancer. *Cell* **100**, 57–70 (2000).
- 10. Martin, G. S. Cell signaling and cancer. *Cancer Cell* 4, 167–174 (2003).
- Druker, B. J. *et al.* Efficacy and safety of a specific inhibitor of the BCR–ABL tyrosine kinase in chronic myeloid leukemia. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **344**, 1031–1037 (2001).
- Klein, S., McCormick, F. & Levitzki, A. Killing time for cancer cells. *Nature Rev. Cancer* 5, 573–580 (2005).
- Hardy, R. R. & Hayakawa, K. B cell development pathways. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* **19**, 595–621 (2001).
- Engel, P. et al. Abnormal B lymphocyte development, activation, and differentiation in mice that lack or overexpress the CD19 signal transduction molecule. *Immunity* 3, 39–50 (1995).
- Sachs, K., Perez, O., Pe'er, D., Lauffenburger, D. A. & Nolan, G. P. Causal protein-signaling networks derived from multiparameter single-cell data. *Science* 308, 523–529 (2005).
- Lackner, M. R. *et al.* Chemical genetics identifies Rab geranylgeranyl transferase as an apoptotic target of farnesyl transferase inhibitors. *Cancer Cell* 7, 325–336 (2005).
- Pardanani, A. & Tefferi, A. Imatinib targets other than bcr–abl and their clinical relevance in myeloid disorders. *Blood* **104**, 1931–1939 (2004).
- Buchdunger, E. *et al.* Abl protein-tyrosine kinase inhibitor STI571 inhibits *in vitro* signal transduction mediated by c-kit and platelet-derived growth factor receptors. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 295, 139–145 (2000).
- Shankaran, V. *et al.* IFNγ and lymphocytes prevent primary tumour development and shape tumour immunogenicity. *Nature* **410**, 1107–1111 (2001).
- Calo, V. *et al.* STAT proteins: from normal control of cellular events to tumorigenesis. *J. Cell. Physiol.* 197, 157–168 (2003).
- Townsend, P. A. *et al.* STAT-1 interacts with p53 to enhance DNA damage-induced apoptosis. *J. Biol. Chem.* 279, 5811–5820 (2003).
- 22. Druker, B. J. STI571 (Gleevec) as a paradigm for cancer therapy. *Trends Mol. Med.* **8**, S14–S18 (2002).
- Krutzik, P. O., Irish, J. M., Nolan, G. P. & Perez, O. D. Analysis of protein phosphorylation and cellular signaling events by flow cytometry: techniques and clinical applications. *Clin. Immunol.* **110**, 206–221 (2004).
- Chow, S. *et al.* Whole blood fixation and permeabilization protocol with red blood cell lysis for flow cytometry of intracellular phosphorylated epitopes in leukocyte subpopulations. *Cytometry A* 67, 4–17 (2005).
- Dave, S. S. *et al.* Prediction of survival in follicular lymphoma based on molecular features of tumorinfiltrating immune cells. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 351, 2159–2169 (2004).
- Orimo, A. *et al.* Stromal fibroblasts present in invasive human breast carcinomas promote tumor growth and angiogenesis through elevated SDF-1/CXCL12 secretion. *Cell* **121**, 335–348 (2005).

- Podar, K. & Anderson, K. C. The pathophysiological role of VEGF in hematological malignancies: therapeutic implications. *Blood* **105**, 1383–1395 (2004).
- Dunn, G. P., Old, L. J. & Schreiber, R. D. The three Es of cancer immunoediting. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 22, 329–360 (2004).
- Wozniak, J. & Kopec-Szlezak, J. c-Kit receptor (CD117) expression on myeloblasts and white blood cell counts in acute myeloid leukemia. *Cytometry B Clin. Cytom.* 58, 9–16 (2004).
- Holyoake, T., Jiang, X., Eaves, C. & Eaves, A. Isolation of a highly quiescent subpopulation of primitive leukemic cells in chronic myeloid leukemia. *Blood* 94, 2056–2064 (1999).
- Robillard, N., Pellat-Deceunynck, C. & Bataille, R. Phenotypic characterization of the human myeloma cell growth fraction. *Blood* 105, 4845–4848 (2005).
- Mason, D. *et al.* CD antigens 2002. *Blood* 99, 3877–3880 (2002).
- O'Brien, M. C. & Bolton, W. E. Comparison of cell viability probes compatible with fixation and permeabilization for combined surface and intracellular staining in flow cytometry. *Cytometry* 19, 243–255 (1995).
- Juan, G. *et al.* Histone H3 phosphorylation and expression of cyclins A and B1 measured in individual cells during their progression through G2 and mitosis. *Cytometry* 32, 71–77 (1998).
- Erlanson, M. & Landberg, G. Flow cytometric quantification of cyclin E in human cell lines and hematopoietic malignancies. *Cytometry* **32**, 214–222 (1998).
- Castillo, R. *et al.* Proliferative response of mantle cell lymphoma cells stimulated by CD40 ligation and IL-4. *Leukemia* 14, 292–298 (2000).
- Cooperman, J., Neely, R., Teachey, D. T., Grupp, S. & Choi, J. K. Cell division rates of primary human precursor B cells in culture reflect *in vivo* rates. *Stem Cells* 22, 1111–1120 (2004).
- Laane, E. et al. Flow cytometric immunophenotyping including Bcl-2 detection on fine needle aspirates in the diagnosis of reactive lymphadenopathy and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. Cytometry B Clin. Cytom. 64, 34–42 (2005).
- Morkve, O., Halvorsen, O. J., Stangeland, L., Gulsvik, A. & Laerum, O. D. Quantitation of biological tumor markers (p53, c-myc, Ki-67 and DNA ploidy) by multiparameter flow cytometry in non-small-cell lung cancer. Int. J. Cancer 52, 851–855 (1992).
- Andreeff, M., Slater, D. E., Bressler, J. & Furth, M. E. Cellular ras oncogene expression and cell cycle measured by flow cytometry in hematopoietic cell lines. *Blood* 67, 676–681 (1986).
- Zheng, A. *et al.* p53 status of newly established acute myeloid leukaemia cell lines. *Br. J. Cancer* 79, 407–415 (1999).
- Carney, W. P. *et al.* Monoclonal antibody specific for an activated RAS protein. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 83, 7485–7489 (1986).
- Ohtani, S. *et al.* Quantitative analysis of p53-targeted gene expression and visualization of p53 transcriptional activity following intratumoral administration of adenoviral p53 *in vivo. Mol. Cancer Ther.* **3**, 93–100 (2004).
- Belloc, F. *et al.* Flow cytometry detection of caspase 3 activation in preapoptotic leukemic cells. *Cytometry* 40, 151–160 (2000).
- Armstrong, J. S. *et al.* Role of glutathione depletion and reactive oxygen species generation in apoptotic signaling in a human B lymphoma cell line. *Cell Death Differ.* 9, 252–263 (2002).
- Chow, S. & Hedley, D. Flow cytometric determination of glutathione in clinical samples. *Cytometry* 21, 68–71 (1995).
- Maecker, H. T. & Levy, R. Prevalence of antigen receptor variants in human T cell lines and tumors. *J. Immunol.* **142**, 1395–1404 (1989).
- Lee, P. P. et al. Characterization of circulating T cells specific for tumor-associated antigens in melanoma patients. *Nature Med.* 5, 677–685 (1999).
- Trentin, L. *et al.* Homeostatic chemokines drive migration of malignant B cells in patients with non-Hodgkin lymphomas. *Blood* 104, 502–508 (2004).
- Panoskaltsis, N., Reid, C. D. & Knight, S. C. Quantification and cytokine production of circulating lymphoid and myeloid cells in acute myelogenous leukaemia. *Leukemia* 17, 716–730 (2003).
- Kottaridis, P. D. *et al.* The presence of a FLT3 internal tandem duplication in patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML) adds important prognostic information

O FOCUS ON BIOMARKERS

to cytogenetic risk group and response to the first cycle of chemotherapy: analysis of 854 patients from the United Kingdom Medical Research Council AML 10 and 12 trials. *Blood* **98**, 1752–1759 (2001).

- Taniguchi, M. *et al.* Effect of c-kit mutation on prognosis of gastrointestinal stromal tumors. *Cancer Res.* 59, 4297–4300 (1999).
- 53. Davies, H. *et al*. Mutations of the *BRAF* gene in human cancer. *Nature* **417**, 949–954 (2002).
- Houben, R. *et al.* Constitutive activation of the Ras–Raf signaling pathway in metastatic melanoma is associated with poor prognosis. *J. Carcinog.* 3, 6 (2004).
- Slebos, R. J. *et al. K-ras* oncogene activation as a prognostic marker in adenocarcinoma of the lung. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **323**, 561–565 (1990).
- Wan, P. T. *et al.* Mechanism of activation of the RAF–ERK signaling pathway by oncogenic mutations of *B-RAF. Cell* **116**, 855–867 (2004).
- Iida, M. *et al.* Lack of constitutive activation of MAP kinase pathway in human acute myeloid leukemia cells with N-Ras mutation. *Leukemia* 13, 585–589 (1999).
- Lohrisch, C. & Piccart, M. HER2/neu as a predictive factor in breast cancer. *Clin. Breast Cancer* 2, 129–135 (2001).
- Smith, B. L. *et al.* The efficacy of Herceptin therapies is influenced by the expression of other erbB receptors, their ligands and the activation of downstream signalling proteins. *Br. J. Cancer* **91**, 1190–1194 (2004).
- Yakes, F. M. *et al.* Herceptin-induced inhibition of phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase and Akt Is required for antibody-mediated effects on p27, cyclin D1, and antitumor action. *Cancer Res.* 62, 4132–4141 (2002).
- Zhou, B. P. *et al.* HER-2/neu blocks tumor necrosis factor-induced apoptosis via the Akt–NF-κB pathway. *J. Biol. Chem.* 275, 8027–8031 (2000).
- Shuai, K., Halpern, J., ten Hoeve, J., Rao, X. & Sawyers, C. L. Constitutive activation of STAT5 by the BCR-ABL oncogene in chronic myelogenous leukemia. Oncogene 13, 247–254 (1996).
- Nieborowska-Skorska, M. *et al.* Signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT)5 activation by BCR– ABL is dependent on intact Src homology (SH)3 and SH2 domains of BCR–ABL and is required for leukemogenesis. *J. Exp. Med.* **189**, 1229–1242 (1999).
- Gorre, M. E. *et al.* Clinical resistance to STI-571 cancer therapy caused by *BCR–ABL* gene mutation or amplification. *Science* **293**, 876–880 (2001).
- Barthe, C., Cony-Makhoul, P., Melo, J. V. & Mahon, J. R. Roots of clinical resistance to STI-571 cancer therapy. *Science* 293, 2163 (2001).
- Benekli, M. *et al.* Constitutive activity of signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 protein in acute myeloid leukemia blasts is associated with short disease-free survival. *Blood* **99**, 252–257 (2002).

- Sattler, M. & Salgia, R. Targeting c-Kit mutations: basic science to novel therapies. *Leuk. Res.* 28 (Suppl.), S11–S20 (2004).
- Pietras, K., Sjoblom, T., Rubin, K., Heldin, C. H. & Ostman, A. PDGF receptors as cancer drug targets. *Cancer Cell* 3, 439–443 (2003).
- Stirewalt, D. L. & Radich, J. P. The role of FLT3 in haematopoietic malignancies. *Nature Rev. Cancer* 3, 650–665 (2003).
- 70. Küppers, R. Mechanisms of B-cell lymphoma pathogenesis. *Nature Rev. Cancer* **5**, 251–262 (2005).
- Mishra, L., Shetty, K., Tang, Y., Stuart, A. & Byers, S. W. The role of TGF-β and Wnt signaling in gastrointestinal stem cells and cancer. *Oncogene* 24, 5775–5789 (2005).
- LeRoith, D. & Helman, L. The new kid on the block(ade) of the IGF-1 receptor. *Cancer Cell* 5, 201–202 (2004).
- Pasca di Magliano, M. & Hebrok, M. Hedgehog signalling in cancer formation and maintenance. *Nature Rev. Cancer* 3, 903–911 (2003).
- Ruiz i Altaba, A., Sanchez, P. & Dahmane, N. Gli and hedgehog in cancer: tumours, embryos and stem cells. *Nature Rev. Cancer* 2, 361–372 (2002).
 Gschwind, A., Fischer, O. M. & Ullrich, A. The
- Gschwind, A., Fischer, O. M. & Ullrich, A. The discovery of receptor tyrosine kinases: targets for cancer therapy. *Nature Rev. Cancer* 4, 361–370 (2004).
- Harari, D. & Yarden, Y. Molecular mechanisms underlying ErbB2/HER2 action in breast cancer. Oncogene 19, 6102–6114 (2000).
- Silva, C. M. Role of STATs as downstream signal transducers in Src family kinase-mediated tumorigenesis. Oncogene 23, 8017–8023 (2004)
- Levy, D. E. & Gilliand, D. G. Divergent roles of STAT1 and STAT5 in malignancy as revealed by gene disruptions in mice. Oncogene 19, 2505–2510 (2000).
- Yu, H. & Jove, R. The STATs of cancer new molecular targets come of age. *Nature Rev. Cancer* 4, 97–105 (2004).
- Cichowski, K. & Jacks, T. NF1 tumor suppressor gene function: narrowing the GAP. Cell 104, 593–604 (2001).
- Downward, J. Targeting RAS signalling pathways in cancer therapy. *Nature Rev. Cancer* 3, 11–22 (2003).
- Rassenti, L. Z. *et al.* ZAP-70 compared with immunoglobulin heavy-chain gene mutation status as a predictor of disease progression in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **351**, 893–901 (2004).
- Pelengaris, S., Khan, M. & Evan, G. c-MYC: more than just a matter of life and death. *Nature Rev. Cancer* 2, 764–776 (2002).
- Vivanco, I. & Sawyers, C. L. The phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase AKT pathway in human cancer. *Nature Rev. Cancer* 2, 489–501 (2002).
- Dougall, W. C. *et al.* The *neu*-oncogene: signal transduction pathways, transformation mechanisms and evolving therapies. *Oncogene* 9, 2109–2123 (1994).

- Ashkenazi, A. Targeting death and decoy receptors of the tumour-necrosis factor superfamily. *Nature Rev. Cancer* 2, 420–430 (2002).
- Karin, M. & Greten, F. R. NF-xB: linking inflammation and immunity to cancer development and progression. *Nature Rev. Immunol.* 5, 749–759 (2005).
- Harris, S. L. & Levine, A. J. The p53 pathway: positive and negative feedback loops. *Oncogene* 24, 2899–2908 (2005).
- Cory, S. & Adams, J. M. The Bcl2 family: regulators of the cellular life-or-death switch. *Nature Rev. Cancer* 2, 647–656 (2002).
- Hood, J. D. & Cheresh, D. A. Role of integrins in cell invasion and migration. *Nature Rev. Cancer* 2, 91–100 (2002).
- 91. Reya, T. & Clevers, H. Wnt signalling in stem cells and cancer. *Nature* **434**, 843–850 (2005).
- 92. Nelson, W. J. & Nusse, R. Convergence of Wnt, β -catenin, and cadherin pathways. *Science* **303**, 1483–1487 (2004).
- Shiloh, Y. ATM and related protein kinases: safeguarding genome integrity. *Nature Rev. Cancer* 3, 155–168 (2003).
- Minamino, T., Miyauchi, H., Tateno, K., Kunieda, T. & Komuro, I. Akt-induced cellular senescence: implication for human disease. *Cell Cycle* 3, 449–451 (2004).

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank R. Ihrie for reviewing the manuscript and many useful discussions.

Competing interests statement

The authors declare competing financial interests: see web version for details.

DATABASES

The following terms in this article are linked online to: Entrez Gene: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query. fcgi?db=gene

ABL | AKT | ERK1 | ERK2 | *FLT3* | KIT | *KRAS* | PDGFRβ | STAT1 | STAT3

National Cancer Institute: http://www.cancer.gov acute myeloid leukaemia | chronic myeloid leukaemia | lymphoma

FURTHER INFORMATION

National Center for Biotechnology Information geneexpression omnibus: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/ Information hyperlinked over proteins: http://www.ihopnet.org/Uniibub/iHOP/

Institute for Systems Biology: http://www.systemsbiology.org Signal Transduction Knowledge Environment: http://stke. sciencemaq.org

Access to this interactive links box is free online.