·研究**论文**·

Synthesis and biological evaluation of noscapine analogues as microtubule-interfering agents

DAI Hou-ling¹, ZHENG Jian-bin^{1*}, LIN Min¹, ZHENG Jing¹, ZHOU Fu-sheng², DONG Xiao-chun², GUO Lei¹, LIU Jian-wen¹, WEN Ren^{1,2}

 Shanghai Key Laboratory of New Drug Design, School of Pharmacy, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai 200237, China;
 School of Pharmacy, Fudan University, Shanghai 201203, China)

Abstract: A series of noscapine analogues have been synthesized via 13-step reaction starting from 2-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzaldehyde. Anti-tumor activities of these compounds were evaluated against HL-60 cell lines *in vitro* by the standard MTT assay. It was found that most of these derivatives showed appreciable inhibitory activity against HL-60 and tubulin polymerization. The results also indicated that the potency of compound **31** is about three times more than that of noscapine against HL-60 cell line and tubulin polymerization. Moreover, it induced a massive accumulation of cells in G_2/M phase. These results showed noscapine and its derivatives were worth to be intensively studied further.

Key words: noscapine; tubulin; cytotoxic activity; microtubule-interfering agentCLC number: R916Document code: AArticle ID: 0513-4870 (2012) 10-1347-11

那可丁衍生物合成及其作为微管干扰药物的生物学评估

戴厚玲¹, 郑剑斌^{1*}, 林 敏¹, 郑 静¹, 周福生², 董肖椿², 郭 磊¹, 刘建文¹, 闻 韧^{1,2}

(1. 华东理工大学药学院, 上海市新药设计重点实验室, 上海 200237; 2. 复旦大学药学院, 上海 201203)

摘要:以2-羟基-3-甲氧基苯甲醛为原料,经13步反应合成了26个那可丁衍生物。以HL-60细胞为靶细胞, 采用 MTT 法进行了初步的体外抗肿瘤活性研究。结果表明,大多数化合物对 HL-60 细胞株显示出较好的抑制 活性和对微管聚合的抑制作用。优选出的化合物 31 对 HL-60 细胞株以及微管聚合的抑制活性是那可丁的3倍 并诱导 HL-60 细胞在 G₂/M 期累积,这为那可丁及其衍生物的抗肿瘤活性构效关系的研究打下了基础,值得进 一步研究。

关键词:那可丁;微管;细胞毒活性;微管干扰药物

Microtubule-targeting agents such as the vinca and taxanes alkaloids have been used to treat a wide variety of human cancers. However, the clinical use of these drugs has been hampered by limited effectiveness,

Received 2012-03-29; Accepted 2012-04-25.

Project supported by the National Science Foundation of China (21102044); Shanghai Committee of Science and Technology (11DZ2260600).

*Corresponding author Tel / Fax: 86-21-64251984,

increased drug resistance in tumors, poor bioavailability and side effects including myelosuppression, diarrhea, leucocytopenias, peripheral neuropathies and alopecia^[1, 2]. Therefore, there is still an urgent need to search effective drugs possessing favorable toxicity profiles, better therapeutic index and pharmacological characteristics.

 α -(-)-Noscapine (1, Figure 1), a natural benzylisoquinoline alkaloid, has been commonly used as an antitussive agent for several decades without significant side effects^[3]. In recent years, noscapine was found to

E-mail: jianbinz@ecust.edu.cn

effectively inhibit a wide variety of cancer types, such as lymphoma, melanoma, breast tumor, ovarian carcinoma and glioblastoma multiforme in animal models without significant toxicity to the animals' vital organs^[4–12].

It has also been identified that noscapine binds to tubulin and alters microtubule dynamics^[4]. Furthermore, noscapine has been in Phase I/II clinical trials for non-Hodgkin's lymphoma or chronic lymphocytic leukemia refractory to chemotherapy^[7]. Due to the efficacy and safety of noscapine as antitumor agent, the efforts had been made to seek more potent analogues of noscapine. Aneja et al^[13] have reported that cyclic ether fluorinated noscapine analogue inhibited proliferation of breast cancer cells including a drug-resistant variant much more potently than noscapine. 9'-Haloderivatives of noscapine was reported as a potential cytotoxic agent against U-87 human glioblastoma cell lines^[1]. Anderson and co-workers have reported that the replacement of 7-methoxyl group of noscapine by amino group (compound 2, Figure 1) had showed significant enhancement in cytotoxicity against HEK293 cells and microtubule inhibition compared to noscapine^[14].

However, up to now the noscapine studies by chemical modification are still rare. Most of noscapine derivatives were synthesized using natural α -(–)-noscapine as starting material^[13, 14]. In this paper, we introduce the substituted amino or amido group on the 6-position of phthalide ring and discuss the structure-activity relationship (SAR). A series of novel noscapine derivatives were first designed and synthesized, and then their cytotoxicity, cell cycle effects, tubulin binding affinity and inhibition of tubulin polymerization have

been evaluated.

Initially, the synthesis route for starting material aldehydes **6a** and **6b** were prepared from commercially available *o*-vanillin **3** as described in Scheme 1. **3** was converted to pyrogallol 1-monomethyl ether **4** using Dakin oxidation^[15]. Methylenation of **4** with CH_2Br_2 afforded **5**, and then treated with POCl₃ and DMF to give a mixture of **6a** and **6b**, which were isolated by flash chromatography.

Compounds 12 and 13 were synthesized by a known methodology^[16] that was modified as depicted in Scheme 2. Aldehyde **6a** was condensed with aminoacetaldehyde dimethyl acetal in toluene, and then were reduced to give **8** with KBH₄ in methanol. Methylation of **8** with formaldehyde and glacial acetic acid, and directly reduced with KBH₄ to provide **9**, which was cyclized to furnish **10** in 6 mol·L⁻¹ HCl. Reduction of **10** with trifluoroacetic acid and KBH₄ afforded tetrahydroisoquinoline **11**. Isoquinolinium iodide **12** was prepared by reaction of **11** with iodine and potassium acetate. Subsequent treatment of **12** with sodium sulfite in basic solution afforded 1-hydroxyl tetrahydroisoquinoline **13**.

Two stereoisomers of noscapine 16-17 were prepared by bromination of methoxyphthalide 14, followed by alkylation of 3-bromo-methoxyphthalide 15 with isoquinolinium iodide $12^{[17]}$. Derivatives 20-21 with electron withdrawing group on phthalide were synthesized from phthalides 18-19 with intermediate 13. 21 was reduced with hydrated stannous chloride to give the desired aniline 22 (Scheme 3)^[18].



Reaction of aniline 22 with the corresponding

OCH₃

Figure 1 Structures of α -(-)-noscapine 1 and compound 2



Reagents and conditions: (a) 2 mol·L⁻¹ NaOH, 6% H₂O₂, 40–50 °C; (b) CH₂Br₂, CuO, K₂CO₃, DMF, reflux; (c) POCl₃, DMF, 50 \rightarrow 90 °C **Scheme 1** The synthesis of compounds **6a** and **6b**



Reagents and conditions: (a) NH₂CH₂CH(OCH₃)₂, toluene, reflux; (b) NaBH₄, CH₃OH, r.t.; (c) 37% HCHO, HOAc, KBH₄, CH₃CN, 0–5 °C; (d) 6 mol·L⁻¹ HCl, r.t.; (e) TFA, KBH₄, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C \rightarrow r.t.; (f) KOAc, I₂, EtOH, reflux; (g) Na₂SO₃, H₂O, 25% NaOH, 40 °C **Scheme 2** The synthesis of compounds **12** and **13**



Reagents and conditions: (a) NBS, CCl₄, reflux; (b) **12**, Zn, CH₃CN, r.t.; (c) **13**, ethanol, reflux; (d) SnCl₂ 2H₂O, Sn, HOAc, r.t. **Scheme 3** The synthesis of compounds **16–21**

acid anhydride or acyl chlorides in the presence of a catalytic amount of pyridine gave 6-amido substituted derivatives 23-36. Reductive amination of 22 with aldehydes in the presence of sodium triacetoxyborohydride and potassium borohydride furnished 6alkylamino substituted derivatives 37-48 (Scheme 4). The final products were purified by silica gel column chromatography. The configurations were determined by chromatographic and spectroscopic comparison with data in previous references^[17-19]. The H-4 signal in the ¹H NMR spectrum of amine **22** was found relatively upfield at 6.13 ppm. The value was compared with the corresponding chemical shift for α -noscapine, which is 6.16 ppm due to a twist half-chair of piperidine ring (in β -noscapine, this value is 7.25 ppm). Moreover, the N-CH₃ signal of amine 22 was found relatively upfield at 2.41 ppm. The value was compared with the corresponding chemical shift for α -noscapine, which is 2.43 ppm (in β -noscapine, this value is 2.04 ppm).

Therefore, the configurations of compounds **23–48** have been assigned as *erythro* isomers by the comparison



Reagents and conditions: (a) R_4COC1 or $(R_4CO)_2O$; (b) (1) R_4CHO , HOAc, NaBH(OAc)_3, (2) KBH_4, CH_3OH (for R_4 see Table 3)

Scheme 4 The synthesis of compounds 23–48

of the chemical shifts of H-4 and N-CH₃ of the molecules.

Results and discussion

1 Physicochemical properties of noscapine analogues

The physicochemical properties of compounds 23– 48 were shown in Table 1.

2 The biological evaluation of noscapine analogues

The target compounds were evaluated for their cytotoxic activities using a MTT assay against human acute promyelocytic leukemia cell line HL- $60^{[20]}$. The IC₅₀ values of MTT assay for compounds **16–17** and **20–22** were shown in Table 2. In general, the racemic mixture of noscapine derivatives were less potent than natural noscapine **1**. *Erythro* isomers with the same configuration to natural products shown more active

cytotoxicity against HL-60 compared with their *threo* isomers, which may suggest the importance of the configuration in noscapine. The compound with amino group exhibited more activity than natural noscapine. So, the most potent cytotoxic compound **22** was further modified with substituent amido and amino on the phthalide.

The IC₅₀ values of MTT assay for derivatives **23**– **48** were presented in Table 3. Among the twenty-six compounds, ten compounds showed appreciable cytotoxic activities with IC₅₀<20 µmol·L⁻¹ against HL-60 cell line. The most active compounds was 6-amido derivative **31** (IC₅₀ = 6.74 µmol·L⁻¹), which had IC₅₀ three times less than α -(-)-noscapine (IC₅₀ = 19.72 µmol·L⁻¹) and equivalent to colchicine (IC₅₀ = 7.45 µmol·L⁻¹).

Compound 31, possessing a nitro group at para

 Table 1
 Physicochemical properties of noscapine derivatives (23–48)

MS	¹ H NMR (DMSO- <i>d</i> ₆)	mp/°C	Property& yield	No.
FTMS calcd.	1.84-2.59 (4H, m, CH ₂ CH ₂), 2.45 (3H, s, NCH ₃), 3.98 (3H, s, OCH ₃), 4.31 (1H, d, J = 4	266-267	White powder,	23
7H25N2O6:	Hz, CH-N), 5.69 (1H, d, J = 4 Hz, CH-O), 6.02 (2H, s, OCH ₂ O), 6.50 (1H, s, Ar-H), 6.51		17.4%	
473.171 2.	(1H, d, <i>J</i> = 8 Hz, Ar-H), 7.55 (2H, m, Ar-H), 7.62 (1H, t, Ar-H), 7.86 (1H, dd, <i>J</i> = 2, 8 Hz,			
473.170 7	Ar-H), 7.96 (2H, d, Ar-H), 8.29 (1H, d, Ar-H), 10.53 (1H, s, CONH)			
FTMS calcd.	1.82–2.59 (4H, m, CH ₂ H ₂), 2.45 (3H, s, NCH ₃), 3.98 (3H, s, OCH ₃), 4.31 (1H, d, J = 4 Hz,	250-251	Yellow powder,	24
$H_{24}N_2O_6F$:	CH-N), 5.69 (1H, d, J = 4 Hz, CH-O), 6.02 (2H, d, OCH ₂ O), 6.51 (2H, m, Ar-H), 7.39 (2H,		50.3%	
491.161 3.	m, Ar-H), 7.84 (1H, dd, Ar-H), 8.05 (2H, m, Ar-H), 8.27 (1H, d, Ar-H), 10.54 (1H, s,			
491.161 3	CONH)			
FTMS calcd.	1.83–2.56 (4H, m, CH ₂ CH ₂), 2.45 (3H, s, NCH ₃), 3.99 (3H, s, OCH ₃), 4.31 (1H, d, J = 4	247-248	Yellow powder,	25
H ₂₄ N ₂ O ₆ Cl:	Hz, CH-N), 5.69 (1H, d, J = 4 Hz, CH-O), 6.02 (2H, s, OCH ₂ O), 6.49 (2H, m, Ar-H), 7.48		11.2%	
507.132 2.	(1H, m, Ar-H), 7.54 (1H, m, Ar-H), 7.60 (1H, m, Ar-H), 7.64 (1H, m, Ar-H), 7.74 (1H, m,			
507.131 7	Ar-H), 8.26 (1H, d, Ar-H), 10.83 (1H, s, CONH)			
FTMS calcd.	1.84–2.59 (4H, m, CH ₂ CH ₂), 2.45 (3H, s, NCH ₃), 3.99 (3H, s, OCH ₃), 4.31 (1H, d, J = 4	258-260	Yellow powder,	26
H ₂₄ N ₂ O ₆ Br:	Hz, CH-N), 5.69 (1H, d, J = 4 Hz, CH-O), 6.02 (2H, s, OCH ₂ O), 6.49 (2H, m, Ar-H), 7.45		9.2%	
551.080 9.	(1H, m, Ar-H), 7.52 (1H, m, Ar-H), 7.59 (1H, m, Ar-H), 7.73 (2H, m, Ar-H), 8.26 (1H, d,			
551.081 2	Ar-H), 10.81 (1H, s, CONH)			
FTMS calcd.	1.84-2.58 (4H, m, CH ₂ CH ₂), 2.45 (3H, s, NCH ₃), 3.98 (3H, s, OCH ₃), 4.31 (1H, d, $J = 4$	229-231	Yellow powder,	27
$H_{24}N_2O_6Br$:	Hz, CH-N), 5.69 (1H, d, <i>J</i> = 4 Hz, CH-O), 6.02 (2H, s, OCH ₂ O), 6.50 (1H, s, Ar-H), 6.52		61.3%	
551.080 6.	(1H, d, J = 8 Hz, Ar-H), 7.53 (1H, t, Ar-H), 7.84 (2H, t, Ar-H), 7.96 (1H, d, J = 8 Hz, J)			
551.081 2	Ar-H), 8.16 (1H, t, <i>J</i> = 2 Hz, Ar-H), 8.27 (1H, d, <i>J</i> = 2 Hz, Ar-H), 10.62 (1H, s, CONH)			
FTMS calcd.	1.87–2.60 (4H, m, CH ₂ CH ₂), 2.45 (3H, s, NCH ₃), 3.85 (3H, s, OCH ₃), 3.98 (3H, s, OCH ₃),	258-259	White powder,	28
_{.8} H ₂₇ N ₂ O ₇ :	4.31 (1H, brs, CH-N), 5.69 (1H, brs, CH-O), 6.02 (2H, s, OCH ₂ O), 6.50 (2H, m, Ar-H),		24.4%	
503.182 0.	7.08 (2H, d, Ar-H), 7.85 (1H, d, Ar-H), 7.97 (2H, d, Ar-H), 8.28 (1H, s, Ar-H), 10.38 (1H,			
503.181.3	s, CONH)			
FTMS caled.	1.80-2.58 (4H, m, CH ₂ CH ₂), 2.45 (3H, s, NCH ₃), 3.80 (3H, s, OCH ₃), 3.86 (3H, s, OCH ₃),	223-225	White powder,	29
$_{9}H_{29}N_{2}O_{8}$:	$3.99(3H, s, OCH_3), 4.31(1H, d, J = 4 Hz, CH-N), 5.68(1H, d, J = 4 Hz, CH-O), 6.02(2H, OCH_3), 6.02(2H, OC$		41.4%	
533.1921.	s, OCH ₂ O), 6.4/ (1H, d, $J = 8$ Hz, Ar-H), 6.50 (1H, s, Ar-H), 7.11 (1H, m, Ar-H), 7.19 (1H, $J = 8$ Hz, Ar-H), 7.22 (1H, $J = 8$ Hz, Ar-H), 7.75 (1H, $J = 8$ Hz, Ar-H), 7.27 (1H, $J = 8$ Hz, Ar-H), 7.27 (1H, $J = 8$ Hz, Ar-H), 7.28 (1H, $J = 8$ Hz, Ar-H), 7.29 (1H, J = 8 Hz, Ar-H), 7.29			
533.191 8	t, J = 8 Hz, Ar-H), /.22 (1H, m, Ar-H), /.75 (1H, ad, $J = 8$, 1.6 Hz, Ar-H), 8.27 (1H, d, $J = 1.0$ Hz,			
ETMS saled	1.6 HZ, AF-H), 10.57 (1H, S, CUNH) 1.70, 2.56 (41, m, CU, CU,), 2.45 (2H, a, NCH.), 2.07 (2H, a, OCH.), 4.21 (1H, d, CU, N).	224 226	White a surder	20
FIMS calco.	1.79-2.50 (4H, H, CH ₂ CH ₂), 2.43 (5H, S, NCH ₃), 5.97 (5H, S, OCH ₃), 4.51 (1H, d, CH-N),	224-226	white powder,	30
$4\Pi_{31}\Pi_{2}U_{7}$	5.24 (2H, S, CH ₂ O), 5.07 (1H, d, CH-O), 6.05 (2H, S, OCH ₂ O), 6.41 (1H, d, $J = 8$ HZ,		28.3%	
570 212 4.	AI- n , 0.52 (In, s, AI- n), 7.11 (In, t, AI- n), 7.55 (4 n , III, AI- n), 7.54 (4 n , III, AI- n), 7.00 (11) dd Ar II) 8.21 (11) a Ar II) 10.48 (11) a CONII)			
579.212 0	(11, 00, A1-1), 0.21 (11, 5, A1-1), 10.40 (11, 5, CON1) 1.86-2.62 (44, m, CH, CH, 2.47 (24, s, NCH, 2.07 (24, s, OCH)) 4.24 (14, brs)	220-221	Vallow powdar	31
	$1.80^{-2}.02$ (4n, iii, Ch ₂ Ch ₂), 2.47 (5n, S, NCh ₃), 5.97 (5n, S, OCh ₃), 4.34 (1n, 01S, CH N) 5.72 (1H brs CH O) 6.01 (2H s OCH O) 6.52 (1H s Ar H) 8.20 (2H m	229-231	87 20/	51
518 157 1	A_{r} H) 8 20 (1H s Ar H) 8 27 (2H m Ar H) 10 80 (1H s CONH)		87.570	
518 155 8	AI-11), 6.27 (111, 5, AI-11), 6.57 (511, 111, AI-11), 10.67 (111, 5, CON11)			
ETMS called	185-258 (4H m CHCH) 245 (2H s NCH) 207 (2H s OCH) 421 (1H d I - 4	242-243	Vallow powdar	37
HaaNaOz.	1.05 2.50 (41, iii, CH ₂ CH ₂), 2.45 (511, 5, NCH ₃), 5.77 (511, 5, OCH ₃), 4.51 (11, d, $J = 4Hz CH2N) 5.68 (1H d J = 4 Hz CH2O) 6.02 (2H s OCH3O) 6.50 (2H m Ar2H) 6.73$	242 243	35.4%	54
^{3112311207.}	(11 m Δr_{-H}) 7 37 (1H d $I = 4 Hz \Delta r_{-H}$) 7 84 (1H m Δr_{-H}) 7 07 (1H m Δr_{-H}) 8 26		55.470	
463 150 0	(11, in, $M-H$), (10, 0, $9 - 4$ 12, $M-H$), (10, in, $M-H$), (11, in, $M-H$), (11, in, $M-H$), (20) (11 m Ar-H) 10 49 (1H s CONH)			
$H_{24}N_2O_6$ 507.132 507.132 507.131 FTMS ci $H_{24}N_2O_6$ 551.081 FTMS ci $H_{24}N_2O_6$ 551.081 FTMS ci $8H_{27}N_2O_6$ 503.182 503.182 503.182 503.182 503.181 FTMS ci $_9H_{29}N_2O$ 533.192 533.191 FTMS ci $_4H_{31}N_2O$ 579.212	HZ, CH-NJ, 5.09 (1H, d, $J = 4$ HZ, CH-OJ, 6.02 (2H, S, OCH ₂ OJ, 6.49 (2H, m, Ar-H), 7.48 (1H, m, Ar-H), 7.54 (1H, m, Ar-H), 7.54 (1H, m, Ar-H), 8.26 (1H, d, Ar-H), 10.83 (1H, s, CONH) 1.84–259 (4H, m, CH ₂ CH ₂), 2.45 (3H, s, NCH ₃), 3.99 (3H, s, OCH ₃), 4.31 (1H, d, $J = 4$ HZ, CH-N), 5.69 (1H, d, $J = 4$ HZ, CH-OJ, 6.02 (2H, s, OCH ₂ O), 6.49 (2H, m, Ar-H), 7.45 (1H, m, Ar-H), 7.52 (1H, m, Ar-H), 7.59 (1H, m, Ar-H), 7.73 (2H, m, Ar-H), 8.26 (1H, d, Ar-H), 10.81 (1H, s, CONH) 1.84–2.58 (4H, m, CH ₂ CH ₂), 2.45 (3H, s, NCH ₃), 3.98 (3H, s, OCH ₃), 4.31 (1H, d, $J = 4$ HZ, CH-N), 5.69 (1H, d, $J = 4$ HZ, CH-O), 6.02 (2H, s, OCH ₂ O), 6.50 (1H, s, Ar-H), 6.52 (1H, d, $J = 8$ HZ, Ar-H), 7.53 (1H, t, Ar-H), 7.84 (2H, t, Ar-H), 7.96 (1H, d, $J = 8$ HZ, Ar-H), 8.27 (1H, d, $J = 2$ HZ, Ar-H), 10.62 (1H, s, CONH) 1.87–2.60 (4H, m, CH ₂ CH ₂), 2.45 (3H, s, NCH ₃), 3.85 (3H, s, OCH ₃), 3.98 (3H, s, OCH ₃), 4.31 (1H, dr, CH-N), 5.69 (1H, dr, $J = 4$ HZ, CH-N), 6.02 (2H, s, OCH ₂ O), 6.50 (2H, m, Ar-H), 7.08 (2H, d, Ar-H), 7.55 (1H, d, Ar-H), 7.97 (2H, d, Ar-H), 10.62 (1H, s, CONH) 1.87–2.60 (4H, m, CH ₂ CH ₂), 2.45 (3H, s, NCH ₃), 3.85 (3H, s, OCH ₃), 3.98 (3H, s, OCH ₃), 4.31 (1H, dr, $J = 4$ HZ, CH-N), 5.69 (1H, dr, $J = 4$ HZ, CH-N), 6.02 (2H, s, OCH ₂ O), 6.50 (2H, m, Ar-H), 7.08 (2H, d, Ar-H), 7.85 (1H, d, Ar-H), 7.97 (2H, d, Ar-H), 8.28 (1H, s, Ar-H), 10.38 (1H, s, CONH) 1.80–2.58 (4H, m, CH ₂ CH ₂), 2.45 (3H, s, NCH ₃), 3.80 (3H, s, OCH ₃), 3.86 (3H, s, OCH ₃), 3.99 (3H, s, OCH ₃), 4.31 (1H, d, $J = 4$ HZ, Ar-H), 6.50 (1H, s, Ar-H), 7.11 (1H, m, Ar-H), 7.19 (1H, t, $J = 8$ HZ, Ar-H), 6.50 (1H, s, Ar-H), 7.11 (1H, m, Ar-H), 7.19 (1H, t, $J = 8$ HZ, Ar-H), 7.22 (1H, m, Ar-H), 7.35 (4H, m, Ar-H), 7.54 (4H, m, Ar-H), 7.66 (1H, dA, Ar-H), 8.21 (1H, s, CONH) 1.86–2.62 (4H, m, CH ₂ CH ₂), 2.45 (3H, s, NCH ₃), 3.97 (3H, s, OCH ₃), 4.31 (1H, d, J = 8 HZ, Ar-H), 6.52 (1H, s, Ar-H), 7.11 (1H, t, Ar-H), 7.33 (4H, m, Ar-H), 7.54 (4H, m, Ar-H), 7.66 (1H, dA, Ar-H), 8.21 (1H, s, Ar-H), 10.48 (1H, s	258–260 229–231 258–259 223–225 224–226 229–231 242–243	 Yellow powder, 9.2% Yellow powder, 61.3% White powder, 24.4% White powder, 41.4% White powder, 28.3% Yellow powder, 87.3% Yellow powder, 35.4% 	 26 27 28 29 30 31 32

		Continued				
No.	Property& yield	mp/°C	¹ H NMR (DMSO- d_6)	MS		
33	Yellow powder,	203-206	1.85-2.56 (4H, m, CH ₂ CH ₂), 2.45 (3H, s, NCH ₃), 3.98 (3H, s, OCH ₃), 4.32 (1H, brs,	MALDI-FTMS calcd.		
	13.5%		CH-N), 5.71 (1H, brs, CH-O), 6.02 (2H, s, OCH ₂ O), 6.52 (2H, m, Ar-H), 7.59 (1H, m,	For $C_{26}H_{24}N_3O_6$:		
			Ar-H), 7.85 (1H, m, Ar-H), 8.29 (2H, m, Ar-H), 8.78 (1H, d, Ar-H), 9.12 (1H, s, Ar-H), 10.73 (1H c, CONH)	[M+H] ⁺ 474.166 7.		
34	Yellow powder	202-204	190-258 (4H m CH ₂ CH ₂) 2.51 (3H s NCH ₂) 3.97 (3H s OCH ₂) 4.33 (1H brs	MALDI-FTMS calcd		
0.	32.1%	32.1% CH-N), 5.70 (1H, brs, CH-O), 6.01 (2H, d, OCH ₂ O), 6.51 (2H, s, Ar-H), 7.18 (2H, m,				
			Ar-H), 7.48 (1H, d, Ar-H), 7.87 (1H, brs, Ar-H), 8.19 (1H, d, Ar-H), 8.32 (2H, m, Ar-H),	$[M+H]^+$ 512.183 4.		
			10.04 (1H, s, NH), 11.81 (1H, s, NH)	Found 512.181 6		
35	Yellow powder,	230-233	1.80-2.58 (4H, m, CH ₂ CH ₂), 2.43 (3H, s, NCH ₃), 2.71 (2H, t, CH ₂), 3.03 (2H, t, CH ₂), 3.96 (2H a, OCH), 4.20 (1H a, CH N), 5.65 (1H a, CH O), 6.01 (2H a, OCH O), 6.42 (1H d)	MALDI-FTMS calcd.		
	30.376	$[M+H]^+$ 540.214 2.				
		Ar-H), 7.13 (1H, d, Ar-H), 7.32 (1H, d, $J = 8$ Hz, Ar-H), 7.56 (2H, m, Ar-H), 8.18 (1H, s.				
			Ar-H), 10.25 (1H, s, NH), 10.78 (1H, s, NH)			
36	White powder,	252-253	1.79–2.56 (4H, m, CH ₂ CH ₂), 2.07 (3H, s, CH ₃ CO), 2.43 (3H, s, NCH ₃), 3.96 (3H, s,	(EI) m/z [M] ⁺ 410		
	98.7%		OCH_3 , 4.28 (1H, d, $J = 4$ Hz, CH-N), 5.65 (1H, d, $J = 4$ Hz, CH-O), 6.01 (2H, s, OCH_2O), 6.44 (1H, d, $I = 8$ Hz, Δr_2 H) 6.48 (1H, s, Δr_2 H) 7.56 (1H, dd, $I = 8$, 1.6 Hz, Δr_2 H) 8.14			
			(111, d, J = 1.6 Hz, Ar-H), 10.24 (111, s, CONH)			
37	White powder,	187-188	1.94–2.67 (4H, m, CH ₂ CH ₂), 2.54 (3H, s, NCH ₃), 4.03 (3H, s, OCH ₃), 4.21 (1H, s, NH),	MALDI-FTMS calcd.		
	50.2%		4.33 (2H, s, CH ₂ N), 4.41 (1H, d, <i>J</i> = 4 Hz, CH-N), 5.60 (1H, d, <i>J</i> = 4 Hz, CH-O), 5.93 (2H,	For C ₂₇ H ₂₇ N ₂ O ₅ :		
			s, OCH ₂ O), 6.21 (1H, d, $J = 8$ Hz, Ar-H), 6.31 (1H, s, Ar-H), 6.66 (1H, dd, $J = 8, 4$ Hz,	[M+H] ⁺ 459.191 3.		
20	White powder	102-104	Ar-H), 7.03 (1H, d, Ar-H), 7.30 (1H, m, Ar-H), 7.34 (4H, d, Ar-H) 185–265 (4H, m, CH, CH,), 2.48 (2H, s, NCH,), 3.74 (2H, s, OCH,), 3.05 (2H, s, OCH,)	Found 459.191 4		
30	94.3%	1)5 1)4	4.05 (1H. m. NH), 4.18 (2H. s. CH ₂ NH), 4.34 (1H. d. CH-N), 5.55 (1H. d. CH-O), 5.86	For C28H29N2O6:		
			(2H, s, OCH ₂ O), 6.15 (1H, d, Ar-H), 6.24 (1H, s, Ar-H), 6.59 (1H, dd, Ar-H), 6.81 (2H, d,	[M+H] ⁺ 489.203 0.		
			Ar-H), 6.95 (1H, d, Ar-H), 7.20 (2H, d, Ar-H)	Found 489.202 0		
39	White powder,	79-81	1.97–2.65 (4H, m, CH ₂ CH ₂), 2.54 (3H, s, NCH ₃), 2.94 (6H, s, OCH ₃), 4.03 (3H, s, OCH ₃), 4.06 (1H, bra NID, 4.10 (2H, bra CH, N), 4.20 (1H, d, L= 4, Hz, CH, N), 5.60 (1H, d, L= 4, Lz, CH, N), 5.60 (1H, Lz, Lz, Lz, N), 5.60 (1H, Lz, Lz, Lz, Lz), 5.60 (1H, Lz, Lz), 5.60 (1H, Lz, Lz), 5.60 (1H, Lz, Lz),	MALDI-FTMS calcd.		
	14.770		4.00 (1H, 0IS, NH), 4.19 (2H, 0IS, CH ₂ N), 4.59 (1H, $d_{1J} = 4$ Hz, CH-N), 5.00 (1H, $d_{2J} = 4$ Hz, CH-N), 5.00 (1H, d_{2J} = 4 Hz, CH-	$[M+H]^+$ 502 234 3		
			(1H, dd, J = 8, 2 Hz, Ar-H), 6.71 (2H, d, J = 8.8 Hz, Ar-H), 7.03 (1H, d, J = 2 Hz, Ar-H),	Found 502.233 6		
			7.22 (2H, d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, Ar-H)			
40	White powder,	155-158	1.90-2.70 (4H, m, CH ₂ CH ₂), 2.55 (3H, s, NCH ₃), 4.03 (3H, s, OCH ₃), 4.23 (1H, s, NH),	MALDI-FTMS calcd.		
	60.1%		4.31 (2H, s, CH ₂ NH), 4.41 (1H, d, CH-N), 5.62 (1H, d, CH-O), 5.94 (2H, s, OCH ₂ O), 6.22 (1H d Ar-H) 6.31 (1H s Ar-H) 6.65 (1H dd Ar-H) 6.09 (1H d Ar-H) 7.23 (2H d	For $C_{27}H_{26}N_2O_5Br$: [M+H] ⁺ 537 103 4		
			Ar-H), 7.47 (2H, d, Ar-H)	Found 537.102 0		
41	Yellow powder,	113-115	2.00-2.85 (4H, m, CH ₂ CH ₂), 2.60 (3H, s, NCH ₃), 3.86 (3H, s, OCH ₃), 4.49 (4H, m, NH,	MALDI-FTMS calcd.		
	29.3%		CH ₂ N and CH-N), 5.77 (1H, d, CH-O), 5.91 (2H, s, OCH ₂ O), 6.30 (1H, s, Ar-H), 6.76 (1H,	For $C_{27}H_{26}N_3O_7$:		
			m, Ar-H), 6.87 (1H, s, Ar-H), 7.26 (1H, s, Ar-H), 7.50 (2H, d, Ar-H), 8.19 (2H, d, Ar-H)	[M+H] ⁺ 504.175 1.		
42	White powder.	159-160	1.93-2.65 (4H. m. CH ₂ CH ₂), 2.54 (3H. s. NCH ₃), 4.03 (3H. s. OCH ₃), 4.17 (1H. m. NH),	MALDI-FTMS calcd.		
	51.3%		4.23 (2H, d, CH ₂ N), 4.40 (1H, d, $J = 4$ Hz, CH-N), 5.60 (1H, d, $J = 4$ Hz, CH-O), 5.93 (2H,	For C ₂₈ H ₂₇ N ₂ O ₇ :		
			s, OCH ₂ O), 5.94 (2H, s, OCH ₂ O), 6.21 (1H, d, <i>J</i> = 8 Hz, Ar-H), 6.31 (1H, s, Ar-H), 6.65	$[M+H]^+$ 503.181 8.		
	XX71 · / 1	202 202	(1H, dd, J = 8, 2 Hz, Ar-H), 6.79 (3H, m, Ar-H), 7.00 (1H, brs, Ar-H)	Found 503.181 3		
43	white powder,	202-203	1.98-2.05 (4H, m, CH ₂ CH ₂), 2.34 (3H, s, NCH ₃), 4.02 (3H, s, CH ₃), 4.05 (3H, s, CH ₃), 4.25 (3H brs NH and CH-NH) A 39 (1H d $I = A$ Hz CH-N) 5 59 (1H d $I = A$ Hz CH-O)	MALDI-FIMS calca.		
	55.570		$5.93 (4H, s, OCH_{2}O), 6.21 (1H, d, J = 8 Hz, Ar-H), 6.31 (1H, s, Ar-H), 6.46 (1H, d, J = 8 Hz, Ar-H), 6.31 (1H, s, Ar-H), 6.46 (1H, d, J = 8 Hz, Ar-H), 6.41 (1H, s, Ar-H), 6.46 (1H, d, J = 8 Hz, Ar-H), 6.41 (1H, s, Ar-H), 6.46 (1H, d, J = 8 Hz, Ar-H), 6.41 (1H, s, Ar-H), 6.41 (1H, $	$[M+H]^+$ 533.192 4.		
			Hz, Ar-H), 6.67 (1H, d, <i>J</i> = 8 Hz, Ar-H), 6.73 (1H, d, <i>J</i> = 8 Hz, Ar-H), 7.03 (1H, s, Ar-H)	Found 533.191 8		
44	White powder,	199-202	1.97-2.65 (4H, m, CH ₂ CH ₂), 2.54 (3H, s, NCH ₃), 3.79 (3H, s, OCH ₃), 3.83 (3H, s, OCH ₃),	MALDI-FTMS calcd.		
	39.1%		4.01 (3H, s, OCH ₃), 4.25 (3H, brs, NH and CH ₂ NH), 4.40 (1H, d, CH-N), 5.59 (1H, d, CH-Q) 5.02 (2H, a) $(2H, Q) = 6.21$ (1H, d, A= H) 6.20 (1H, a) A= H) 6.42 (1H, d, A= H)	For $C_{29}H_{31}N_2O_7$:		
			(111, 0, 11-1), 0.30 (111, 0, 11-1), 0.30 (111, 0, 11-1), 0.30 (111, 0, 11-1), 0.42 (111, 00, 11-1), 0.47 (111, 0, 11-1), 0.47 (111,	[M+H] 519.2157.		
45	Yellow powder,	90-93	1.94–2.64 (4H, m, CH ₂ CH ₂), 2.55 (3H, s, NCH ₃), 4.04 (3H, s, OCH ₃), 4.42 (2H, m, CH ₂ N),	MALDI-FTMS calcd.		
	7.3%		4.50 (2H, m, NH and CH-N), 5.63 (1H, d, CH-O), 5.94 (2H, s, OCH ₂ O), 6.24 (1H, d, <i>J</i> = 8	For C ₂₇ H ₂₆ N ₃ O ₇ :		
			Hz, Ar-H), 6.32 (1H, s, Ar-H), 6.69 (1H, d, <i>J</i> = 8 Hz, Ar-H), 6.96 (1H, s, Ar-H), 7.54 (1H, t,	[M+H] ⁺ 504.176 9.		
46	White powder	133-135	J = 8 Hz, Ar-H), /.09 (1H, d, $J = 8$ Hz, Ar-H), 8.15 (1H, d, $J = 8$ Hz, Ar-H), 8.22 (1H, s, Ar-H) 1.92–2.63 (4H m CH ₂ CH ₂), 2.54 (3H s NCH ₂), 4.03 (3H s OCH ₂), 4.22 (1H t NH)	Found 504.176 5		
40	45.2%	155 155	$4.33 (2H. d. CH_2N)$, $4.40 (1H. d. J = 4 Hz, CH-N)$, $5.61 (1H. d. J = 4 Hz, CH-O)$, $5.94 (2H. d. CH_2N)$, $4.22 (1H. t. Hz)$, $5.94 (2H. d. Z)$	For C25H25N2O6:		
			s, OCH ₂ O), 6.23 (2H, m, Ar-H), 6.31 (2H, m, Ar-H), 6.70 (1H, d, Ar-H), 7.06 (1H, s, Ar-H),	[M+H] ⁺ 449.171 7.		
			7.37 (1H, s, Ar-H)	Found 449.170 7		
47	White powder,	67-70	1.95-2.65 (4H, m, CH ₂ CH ₂), 2.54 (3H, s, NCH ₃), 3.95 (2H, t, CH ₂), 4.03 (3H, s, OCH ₃), 4.06 (4H, m, NH), 4.40 (4H, d, $l = 4$ Hz, CH N), 5.61 (4H, d, $l = 4$ Hz, CH N), 5.62 (2H,	MALDI-FTMS calcd.		
	/0.0%		4.00 (11, III, 11, 4.40 (11, $u, J = 4$ HZ, CH-N), 5.01 (1H, $d, J = 4$ HZ, CH-O), 5.93 (2H, s OCH ₂ O) 6.23 (1H d Ar-H) 6.30 (2H m Ar-H and CH=) 6.61 (1H d CH=) 6.60 (1H	FOI U ₂₉ H ₂₉ N ₂ U ₅ : [M+H] ⁺ 485 208 9		
			dd, Ar-H), 7.04 (1H, d, Ar-H), 7.24 (1H, m, Ar-H), 7.33 (4H, m, Ar-H)	Found 485.207 1		
48	White powder,	180-183	1.00 (3H, t, CH ₃), 1.64 (2H, m, CH ₂), 1.90-2.70 (4H, m, CH ₂ CH ₂), 2.55 (3H, s, NCH ₃),	MALDI-FTMS calcd.		
	18.2%		3.09 (2H, t, CH ₂), 3.84 (1H, s, NH), 4.01 (3H, s, OCH ₃), 4.42 (1H, s, CH-N), 5.63 (1H, s,	For $C_{23}H_{27}N_2O_5$:		
			CH-OJ, 5.93 (2H, s, OCH ₂ O), 6.23 (1H, brs, Ar-H), 6.31 (1H, s, Ar-H), 6.64 (1H, d, Ar-H), 6.96 (1H, d, Ar-H)	[M+H] 411.192 4.		
			0.70 (111. u. Al-II)	round 411.191.0		

Table 2 Noscapine derivatives (16–17, 20–22) and their cytotoxic activities against HL-60^a. ^aThe cytotoxicity (IC₅₀) is the concentration of compound that reduced the optical density of treated cells by 50% with respect to untreated cells using the MTT assay. Data represent the mean values of three independent determinations. ^bErythro isomer. ^cSeparated by flash chromatography. ^dThreo isomer, separated by flash chromatography



Compd.	R_1	R_2	R_3	HL-60 (IC ₅₀)/ μ mol·L ^{-1 a}
16 ^{b, c}	Н	OCH ₃	OCH ₃	28.81
17 ^{c, d}	Н	OCH_3	OCH_3	36.69
20 ^{b, c}	CN	Н	Н	48.86
21 ^{b, c}	Н	NO_2	Н	14.57
22 ^{b, c}	Н	NH_2	Н	9.43
1				19.72
Colchicine				7.45

position of the phenyl ring, was determined to inhibit HL-60 cell line approximately 10-fold more than its parent analogue **23**. A comparable cytotoxic activity was found when replacing the nitro group of **31** by a methoxy group (compound **28**, Table 3). It is noted that the compounds with heterocyclic substitutions

(**32–35**, Table 3) were more potent than most of their phenyl substitution analogs.

6-Amino derivatives **37–48**, compared to the parent analogue **37**, which was devoid of activity, incorporation of nitro group on *meta* or *para* position of the phenyl ring significantly increased the biological activity to the micromolar (**45**, $IC_{50} = 13.06 \ \mu mol \cdot L^{-1}$; **41**, $IC_{50} = 10.71 \ \mu mol \cdot L^{-1}$). Replacement of the nitro group of compound **41** by a methoxy group (compound **38**), abolished the activity. However, when another methoxy group was affiliated to **38** on the *ortho* position of phenyl ring, one of the highest cytotoxic activities was observed (**44**, $IC_{50} = 11.24 \ \mu mol \cdot L^{-1}$). The importance of *ortho*- methoxy group for HL-60 cytotoxic activity was also illustrated by compounds **42** and **43**.

To investigate the precise mechanisms of cell death, the most potent cytotoxic compounds **31** and **44** were chosen to examine the effect on percent G_2/M cells (mitotic index) and sub- G_1 cells (apoptotic index) in HL-60 cells using fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis. The flowcytomeric evaluation of the cell cycle status was performed as described in the literature^[21]. Figure 2 (A–D) showed the cell cycle profile for the tested compounds included in the course of this study.



Figure 2 FACS analysis of HL-60 cells treated with agents for 48 h. HL-60 cells treated with (A) DMSO (0.1%), (B) α -(-)-noscapine (50 μ mol·L⁻¹), (C) compound **31** (25 μ mol·L⁻¹), (D) compound **44** (25 μ mol·L⁻¹)

Compd.	R_4	HL-60 (IC ₅₀)/ μ mol·L ⁻¹	$K_{\rm d}/\mu{ m mol}\cdot{ m L}^{-1}$	Compd.	R ₄	HL-60 (IC ₅₀)/ μ mol·L ⁻¹	$K_{\rm d}/\mu{ m mol}\cdot{ m L}^{-1}$
23		73.44	74.53	37	<u> </u>	>100	NT ^b
24	F	42.31	36.92	38	Н₃СО{	>100	NT
25	<u></u> ؤ CI	>100	98.01	39	H ₃ C H ₃ C	23.42	NT
26	<u>ه</u> ر- Br	88.63	30.80	40	Br	>100	NT
27	Br	>100	50.34	41	02N-{	10.71	NT
28	Н₃СО-√ξ-	10.01	87.63	42	€Ę	>100	NT
29	H ₃ CO OCH ₃	81.31	88.58	43	O O OCH₃	20.78	NT
30		75.59	70.16	44	H3CO	11.24	NT
31	02N	6.74	42.14	45	Ο ₂ Ν	13.06	NT
32	K S-	8.02	36.13	46	L s-	27.39	NT
33	N Star	12.61	130.34	47		>100	NT
34		18.68	42.61	48	CH ₃ CH ₂ -	12.64	NT
35	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	9.21	1 397.9	1		19.72	140.95
36	CH ₃ -	20.99	446.87	Colchicine		7.45	41.22

Table 3 Noscapine derivatives $(23-48)^a$ and their cytotoxic activities against HL-60 and their dissociation constants to tubulin. ^a*erythro* isomer; ^bNT: Not tested

The distribution of cell population over G_1 , S and G_2/M phases of the cell cycle are shown in Table 4. Treatment of HL-60 cells with these compounds for 48 h led to profound perturbations of the cell cycle profile. Our results show that compound **31** induced a massive accumulation of cells in the G_2/M phase, for example, the G_2/M cell population increased from 27% in the control to 54% in HL-60 cells treated with 25 µmol·L⁻¹ compound **31**.

The progressive generation of cells having hypodiploid DNA content indicates apoptotic cells with fragmented DNA. The percent sub- G_1 population has also been plotted for the compounds **31**, **44** and noscapine in Figure 2. It is evident from the

 Table 4
 Effects on cell cycle distrubution

Drug	$Conc. \\ /\mu mol \cdot L^{-1}$	G_1 /%	S/%	G2/M /%	Apoptosis /%
DMSO	0.1%	36	37	27	4.4
α -(-)-Noscapine, 1	50	25	39	36	8.9
31	25	11	35	54	6.9
44	25	24	48	28	18.7

representation that a 48 h treatment at 25 μ mol·L⁻¹ for HL-60 cells, the percentage of sub-G₁ cells is almost similar for compound **31** to noscapine (50 μ mol·L⁻¹). However, the sub-G₁ population was much larger for compound **44** at 25 μ mol·L⁻¹ than noscapine at 50 μ mol·L⁻¹. Thus, we can clearly see compound

44 had shown its deleterious effect on the cell cycle by an increase in the percentage of sub- G_1 cells having hypodiploid DNA content, characteristic of apoptosis.

As noscapine (1) is known to induce G_2/M arrest as a result of its binding to tubulin^[6], blocking cell division at mitosis, we further examined the effects of these series of derivatives on tubulin. Tubulin-binding agents typically quench the fluorescence emission spectrum of tubulin in a concentration-dependent manner. This provides the basis for using fluorescence titration method to determine the dissociation constant (K_d) between tubulin and drugs. Fluorescence titration for determining the binding constant was performed as described^[22].

Noscapine and its derivatives 23-36 produced negligible fluorescence emission intensity. Due to the strong fluorescence emission of the 6-alkylamino derivatives 37-48, the dissociation constants of these compounds were not able to be determined in the assay. The dissociation constants of 6-amido derivatives are summarized in Table 3. The results indicated that twelve out of fourteen 6-amido derivatives showed more potent tubulin binding affinity than noscapine. Generally, compounds with aromatic acyl substitutions showed more appreciable tubulin-binding activity compared to aliphatic acyl substitutions. Compounds with an electron-withdrawing group in the aromatic ring showed a greater affinity to tubulin than those with an electron-donating group. For example, substitution of 4-nitro of **31** ($K_d = 42.12 \text{ } \mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$) with a 4-methoxy group (28, $K_d = 87.63 \ \mu \text{mol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$) gave a 2-fold decrease in binding affinity. Different effects were observed by the various heterocyclic acyl substitutited 6-amido derivatives 32-35. Furyl (32) molecule had the strongest binding affinity with K_d value of 36.13 µmol·L⁻¹, while pyridyl derivative 33 was considerably less active than compound **32**, with K_d value of 130.34 μ mol·L⁻¹. Surprisingly, indolyl compound 34 showed similar binding affinity to 32, while indolylpropionyl compound 35 abolished the tubulin-binding activity. Although the exact reason of this decrease was unknown, it could speculate that the indolylpropionyl group with long chain may not accommodate to tubulin well.

The results of the tubulin-binding activity assay were generally coordinated with the MTT assay. The compounds with more potent tubulin-binding activity demonstrated stronger cytotoxic activity against HL-60 cell line, except for compounds **33** and **35**. For example, compound **31** ($K_d = 42.12 \ \mu \text{mol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$) also exhibited about three times potent than noscapine ($K_d = 140.95 \ \mu \text{mol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$) and equivalent to colchicines ($K_d = 41.22 \ \mu \text{mol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$), which was completely coordinated with MTT assay. It suggests that the antitumor activity of this series of compounds was probably correlated to their effect on tubulin.

Furthermore, the effects of the derivatives on tubulin polymerization were also evaluated. By measuring the changes in the turbidity produced upon tubulin polymerization, the effect of the drugs on the assembly of tubulin subunits into microtubules can be examined. As expected, colchicine strongly inhibited tubulin polymerization at the concentration of 10 μ mol \cdot L⁻¹ (Figure 3A) in the assay. Noscapine did not inhibit tubulin polymerization at the concentration of 100 μ mol·L⁻¹ (Figure 3B), which was in agreement with a previous report^[12]. The effects of compound **31** (Figure 3C) and 44 (Figure 3D) on the assembly of tubulin subunits into microtubules were also examined in vitro in different concentrations. At the concentrations of 10 and 100 μ mol·L⁻¹, both compound **31** and **44** inhibited tubulin polymerization, which may suggest that the antitumor activity of compounds were partly attributed to their inhibitory activity on the tubulin polymerization.

3 Conclusions

A novel series of noscapine derivatives were synthesized by a facile and convenient method and their cytotoxic activities were evaluated against HL-60 cell line. Ten of twenty-six screened compounds showed appreciable cytotoxic activities with $IC_{50} < 20 \ \mu mol \cdot L^{-1}$ against HL-60 cell line. In both of the MTT assay and tubulin binding assay, 3-(4-methoxy-6-methyl-5, 6, 7, 8-tetrahydro-[1, 3]dioxolo[4, 5-g]isoquinolin-5-yl)-6-(4nitrobenzamido) phthalide 31 had showed about three times more potent than noscapine and equivlant to colchicines, and arrested more cells at the G₂/M phase than noscapine. Moreover, the representative 6-amido derivatives and 6-alkylamino derivatives effectively inhibited tubulin polymerization. Further researches of noscapine analogues involving their antiproliferative activities against other tumor models, structural modification, mechanism study are under progress.

Experimental

1 General methods

Reagents and solvents used were obtained from the supplier without further purification. ¹H NMR spectra and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker



Figure 3 The effects of colchicine, α -(-)-noscapine and the derivatives of noscapine on the assembly of tubulin into microtubules. A: Colchicine [control (\diamond), 1 µmol·L⁻¹ (\blacksquare) and 10 µmol·L⁻¹ (\blacktriangle)]; B: α -(-)-Noscapine [control (\diamond), 10 µmol·L⁻¹ (\blacksquare) and 100 µmol·L⁻¹ (\bigstar)]; C: Compound **31** [control (\diamond), 10 µmol·L⁻¹ (\blacksquare) and 100 µmol·L⁻¹ (\bigstar)]; D: Compound **44** [control (\diamond), 10 µmol·L⁻¹ (\blacksquare) and 100 µmol·L⁻¹ (\bigstar)]; D: Compound **44** [control (\diamond), 10 µmol·L⁻¹ (\blacksquare) and 100 µmol·L⁻¹ (\bigstar)]

INOVA 400 NMR spectrometer and tetramethylsilane (TMS) used as the internal reference in DMSO- d_6 and J values in Hz. Mass spectra, EI and ESI methods, were recorded on Micromass GCT and Agilent Technologies 6130 Quadrupole LC/MS spectrometers, respectively. MALDI-FTMS was recorded on Varian Ionspec 4.7 Telsa mass spectrometer. All melting points are uncorrected and measured in open glass capillaries using SGW X-4 melting point apparatus. Synthetic yields of compounds were not optimized.

2 Chemistry

2.1 Preparation of *erythro*-3-(4-methoxy-6-methyl-5, 6, 7, 8-tetrahydro-[1, 3]dioxolo [4, 5-g]isoquinolin-5-yl)-6-aminophthalide (22)

To a solution of nitro-substituted compound **21** (0.27 g, 0.678 mmol) in glacial acetic acid (1.08 mL) was quickly added granulated tin (0.14 g, 1.18 mmol) and a solution of hydrated stannous chloride (0.59 g, 2.61 mmol) in concentrated hydrochloride (1.08 mL). After 4 hours the original yellow color had almost disappeared, the mixture was poured into water (30 mL). As soon as 41% potassium hydroxide aqueous solution (12 mL) was added, the aqueous phase was extracted with CHCl₃ and the combined organic layers were washed with brine and then dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was evaporated under vacuum to give compound **22** in over 90% yield, mp 208–210 °C. ¹H NMR

(DMSO- d_6): 1.88–2.55 (4H, m, CH₂CH₂), 2.41 (3H, s, NCH₃), 3.96 (3H, s, OCH₃), 4.21 (1H, d, J = 4 Hz, CH-N), 5.47 (2H, s, NH₂), 5.49 (1H, d, J = 4 Hz, CH-O), 6.00 (2H, s, OCH₂O), 6.13 (1H, d, J = 8 Hz, Ar-H), 6.47 (1H, s, Ar-H), 6.71 (1H, dd, J = 2, 8 Hz, Ar-H), 6.84 (1H, d, J = 2 Hz, Ar-H). MS [M+H]⁺ 369.

2.2 Preparation of *erythro*-6-amido 3-(tetrahydroiso quinolin-5-yl)phthalide derivatives 23-36

2.2.1 *erythro-3-*(4-methoxy-6-methyl-5, 6, 7, 8tetrahydro-[1, 3]dioxolo[4, 5-g]isoquinolin-5-yl)-6benzamidophthalide (23) To a cold mixture of amine 22 (90 mg, 0.245 mmol) and pyridine (0.05 mL) in dry dichloromethane (3 mL) was added a solution of benzoyl chloride (51.3 mg, 0.37 mmol) in dry dichloromethane (2 mL) and the mixture was stirred at room temperature under N₂ for 24 hours. Water (15 mL) was added, and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (15 mL×3). The combined organic phase was washed with saturated brines (10 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated in vacuo. The product was purified by column chromatography (PE-AcOEt-Et₃N = 30 : 5 : 1) to give 23.

Compound 24–35 were prepared from 22 and benzoyl chlorides in a manner similar to that described for 23.

2.2.2 erythro-3-(4-methoxy-6-methyl-5, 6, 7, 8tetrahydro-[1, 3]dioxolo[4, 5-g]isoquinolin-5-yl)-6acetylamino-phthalide (36) Amine 22 (100 mg, 0.272 mmol) was dissolved to acetic anhydride (1 mL, 0.6 mmol) and the solution was stirred for 30 min at room temperature. Then the solution was poured into water (30 mL) and rendered alkaline with ammonia. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (12 mL × 3). The combined organic phase was washed with saturated brines (10 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated in vacuo to give **36**.

2.3 Preparation of 6-alkylamino 3-(tetrahydroisoquinolin-5-yl)phthalide derivatives 37–48

erythro-3-(4-methoxy-6-methyl-5, 6, 7, 8-tetrahydro-[1, 3]dioxolo[4, 5-g]isoquinolin-5-yl)-6-benzylaminophthalide (37) Amine 22 (80 mg, 0.217 mmol), benzaldehyde (0.044 mL, 0.435 mol) and glacial acetic acid (0.075 mL) were mixed in dichloromethane (15 mL). Sodium triacetoxyborohydride (129 mg, 0.609 mmol) was added to the above solution and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature under N₂ for 24 hours. The solvent was evaporated and methanol (15 mL) was added. Potassium borohydride (50 mg, 0.926 mmol) was added in batches. After 3 h, the solvent was evaporated and saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate (30 mL) was added. The product was extracted with AcOEt and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by column chromatography (CH_2Cl_2 -AcOEt = 40 : 60) to give 37.

Compound **38–48** were prepared from **22** and benzaldehydes in a manner similar to that described for **37**.

3 Biological

3.1 Cytotoxicity on cancerous cells

HL-60 (Human promyelocytic leukemia cell line) was obtained from the Cell Bank of Chinese Academy of Sciences and cultured in RPMI 1640 (GIBCO Industries Inc.) and 10% (v/v) heat inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS; GIBCO Industries Inc.) at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere of 95% air and 5% CO₂. Cytotoxicity was determined by the MTT [3-(4, 5dimethlthiazol-2-yl)-2, 5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide] assay using a 96-well microtiter plate. The synthetic compound stock solutions were prepared in DMSO. 100 µL cells per well were plated and treatment with these compounds was performed 12 h after plating. Cells were exposed continuously with varying concentrations of drugs and MTT assays were performed at the end of the third day. At the end of the treatments, 100 μ L MTT solution (5 mg·mL⁻¹) per well was added to each culture medium and the cells were incubated for additional 4 hours at 37 °C and then the medium was removed. The cells were lysed and the formazan crystals were dissolved using 100 μ L DMSO. The optical density was determined at a wavelength of 492 nm using 630 nm as reference wavelength using Themo Multscan MK5 reader.

3.2 Cell cycle analysis

The flowcytomeric evaluation of the cell cycle status was performed as described by Z. Darzynkiewicz et al^[21]. Briefly, HL-60 cells were seeded at 1.5×10^5 cells/mL and incubated at 37 °C in presence of DMSO (the diluent, used as control) or the tested drugs for 43 hours. 9 mL HL-60 cells were centrifuged, washed once with PBS, and fixed in 70% ethanol. Tubes containing the cell pellets were stored at 4 °C for 30 minutes. After this, the cells were centrifuged at $1500 \times g$ for 5 minutes and the supernatant was discarded. Cells were then incubated with RNase A (20 µg·mL⁻¹) and propidium iodide (20 µg·mL⁻¹) in PBS for 30 minutes, separately. The samples were analyzed on a Becton Dickinson FACS Calibur flowcytometer.

3.3 Tubulin binding assay

Fluorescence titration for determining the binding constant was performed as described^[21, 22]. In brief, noscapine and 6-amido 3-(tetrahydroisoquinolin-5-yl) phthalide derivatives (0–100 μ mol·L⁻¹) were incubated with 2 μ mol·L⁻¹ tubulin in PM buffer (100 mmol·L⁻¹ PIPES, 2 mmol·L⁻¹ EGTA, 1 mmol·L⁻¹ MgSO₄, 2 mmol·L⁻¹ DTE) at 37 °C for 45 min. The fluorescence emission spectra were recorded using Varian Cary Eclipse scanning fluorescence spectrophotometer equipped with a Xenon flash lamp and the excitation wavelength was 295 nm.

The values of dissociation constant were determined according to the formula: $1/B = K_d / [\text{free ligand}] + 1$, where B is the fractional occupancy and [free ligand] is the concentration of candidate. The fractional occupancy (B) was obtained by the formula: $B = \Delta F / \Delta F_{\text{max}}$, where ΔF is the change in fluorescence intensity when tubulin and its ligand are in equilibrium and ΔF_{max} is the value of maximum fluorescence change when tubulin is completely bound with its ligand. ΔF_{max} was calculated by plotting $1/\Delta F$ versus 1/[free ligand].

3.4 Tubulin polymerization assay

Specterophotometer cuvettes (1-cm path length) held a solution consisting of 10 μ mol·L⁻¹ tubulin, PM buffer (100 mmol·L⁻¹ PIPES, 2 mmol·L⁻¹ EGTA, 1 mmol·L⁻¹ MgSO₄, 2 mmol·L⁻¹ DTE) and 1 or 10 μ mol·L⁻¹ colchicine, 10 or 100 μ mol·L⁻¹ noscapine, different concentrations of compound **31** or compound 44. The solvent DMSO was used as control. Cuvettes were kept for 15 min at 37 °C before quickly cooled to 0 °C and then left at 0 °C for another 10 min. Then, add GTP to a final concentration of 1 mmol·L⁻¹. The solution was monitored by measuring the changes in absorbance at 350 nm by HITACHI U2910 spectrophotometer equipped with a constant temperature device at 2 min intervals with PM buffer as reference.

References

- Verma AK, Bansal S, Singh J, et al. Synthesis and *in vitro* cytotoxicity of haloderivatives of noscapine [J]. Bioorg Med Chem, 2006, 14: 6733–6736.
- [2] Van Tellingen O, Sips JHM, Beijnen JH, et al. Pharmacology, bio-analysis and pharmacokinetics of the vinca alkaloids and semi-synthetic derivatives [J]. Anticancer Res, 1992, 12: 1699–1715.
- [3] Liu M, Luo XJ, Liao F, et al. Noscapine induces mitochondriamediated apoptosis in gastric cancer cells *in vitro* and *in vivo* [J]. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol, 2011, 67: 605–612.
- [4] Niyati J, Heeyeon C, Shering T, et al. Noscapine inhibits tumor growth in TMZ-resistant gliomas [J]. Cancer Lett, 2011, 312: 245–252.
- [5] David GIK. Tubulin-interactive natural products as anticancer agents [J]. J Nat Prod, 2009, 72: 507–515.
- [6] Landen JW, Lang R, McMahon SJ, et al. Noscapine alters microtubule dynamics in living cells and inhibits the progression of melanoma [J]. Cancer Res, 2002, 62: 4109–4114.
- [7] Aneja R, Dhiman N, Idnani J, et al. Preclinical pharmacokinetics and bioavailability of noscapine, a tubulin-binding anticancer agent [J]. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol, 2007, 60: 831– 839.
- [8] Aneja R, Ghaleb AM, Zhou J, et al. p53 and p21 determine the sensitivity of noscapine-induced apoptosis in colon cancer cells [J]. Cancer Res, 2007, 67: 3862–3870.
- [9] Aneja R, Vangapandu SN, Lopus M, et al. Synthesis of microtubule-interfering halogenated noscapine analogs that perturb mitosis in cancer cells followed by cell death [J]. Biochem Pharmacol, 2006, 72: 415–426.
- [10] Aneja R, Zhou J, Zhou BF, et al. Treatment of hormonerefractory breast cancer: apoptosis and regression of human tumors implanted in mice [J]. Mol Cancer Ther, 2006, 5: 2366–2377.

- [11] Bokyung S, Kwang SA, Bharat BA. Noscapine, a benzylisoquinoline alkaloid, sensitizes leukemic cells to chemotherapeutic agents and cytokines by modulating the NF-κB signaling pathway [J]. Cancer Res, 2010, 70: 3259–3268.
- [12] Zhou J, Panda D, Landen JW, et al. Minor alteration of microtubule dynamics causes loss of tension across kinetochore pairs and activates the spindle checkpoint [J]. J Biol Chem, 2002, 277: 17200–17208.
- [13] Aneja R, Vangapandu SN, Joshi HC. Synthesis and biological evaluation of a cyclic ether fluorinated noscapine analog [J]. Bioorg Med Chem, 2006, 14: 8352–8358.
- [14] Anderson JT, Ting AE, Boozer S, et al. Identification of novel and improved antimitotic agents derived from noscapine[J]. J Med Chem, 2005, 48: 7096–7098.
- [15] Alexander RS. Pyrogallol 1-monomethyl ether [J]. Org Syn, 1946, 26: 90–92.
- [16] Shirasaka T, Takuma Y, Shimpuku T, et al. Practical synthesis of 5, 6, 7, 8-tetrahydro-4-methoxy-6-methyl-1, 3-dioxolo[4, 5-g] isoquinolin-5-ol (cotarnine) [J]. J Org Chem, 1990, 55: 3767– 3771.
- [17] Shono T, Hamaguchi H, Sasaki M, et al. Novel zincpromoted alkylation of iminium salts. New synthesis of benzylisoquinoline, phthalidylisoquinoline, and protoberberine alkaloids and related compoundsl [J]. J Org Chem, 1983, 48: 1621–1628.
- [18] Hope E, Robinson R. Synthetic experiments in the group of the iso-quinoline alkaloids. I. Anhydrocotarninephthalide
 [J]. J Chem Soc, 1911, 99: 1153–1169.
- [19] Kinuko I, Miyoko K, Makiko S, et al. Conformations of phthalideisoquinoline salts and N-oxides [J]. J Nat Prod, 1987, 50: 1083–1088.
- [20] Scudiero DA, Shoemaker RH, Paul KD, et al. Evaluation of a soluble tetrazolium/formazan assay for cell growth and drug sensitivity in culture using human and other tumor cell lines [J]. Cancer Res, 1988, 48: 4827–4833.
- [21] Darzynkiewicz Z, Bruno S, Del Bino G, et al. Features of apoptotic cells measured by flowcytometry [J]. Cytometry, 1992, 13: 795–808.
- [22] Zhou J, Gupta K, Aggarwal S, et al. Brominated derivatives of noscapine are potent microtubule-interfering agents that perturb mitosis and inhibit cell proliferation [J]. Mol Pharmacol, 2003, 63: 799–807.