

1

3 **NEGOTIATING FAN IDENTITIES IN**

5 **K-POP MUSIC CULTURE**

7

9 **J. Patrick Williams**

11

13 **ABSTRACT**

15 *In this paper I bring together interaction, media, deviance, self, and identity to make sense of how young Singaporeans consume Korean popular (hereafter, K-pop) music and culture. My overarching goal is to highlight that being a music fan is not a straightforward or even easy experience. Rather, the self as music fan is continually developing within a complex variety of social processes, from the circulation of global, mass media representations to inter- and intra-personal interactions. I will present data collected from a study on K-pop music consumption in Singapore, a small island-nation in Southeast Asia with an insatiable thirst for foreign culture. The data show how a group of Singaporean K-pop fans were regularly bombarded with largely negative messages about what it means to be K-pop music fans, and how these meanings affected their own negotiations as fans. K-pop fandom provided a sense of shared identity and status within popular youth culture, yet their experiences were often soured by negative media portrayals of deviant fans, whose behaviors risked stigmatizing the K-pop social identity. This*

17

19

21

23

25

27

29

31

33

35

---

37 **Symbolic Interactionist Takes on Music**  
**Studies in Symbolic Interaction, Volume 47, 81–96**  
**Copyright © 2016 by Emerald Group Publishing Limited**  
**All rights of reproduction in any form reserved**  
39 **ISSN: 0163-2396/doi:10.1108/S0163-239620160000047015**

1 *paper thus deals with some of the problems for self that being a music*  
 2 *fans entails.*

3 **Keywords:** K-pop; subculture; authenticity; identity; symbolic  
 4 interaction

## 9 MUSIC FANDOM AND DEVIANT IDENTITY

11 Social interaction is consequential in the development of the self (Mead,  
 12 1934). The self is aware, knowing, feeling, and active (Weigert & Gecas,  
 13 2003), yet only partially known at any moment via identification, which  
 14 establishes “*what* and *where* the [self] is in social terms” (Stone, 1962,  
 15 p. 93). The situated objectification of self into typologies has been described  
 16 in detail in the literatures on social control and occupations (see, e.g.,  
 17 Holstein, 1992; Loseke, 1989) and involves “a reductionist characterization  
 18 that subsumes diverse ... experiences into a narrow interpretation of those  
 19 experiences. Often these typologies are built into institutional rhetoric, hav-  
 20 ing ‘political consequences’ insofar as they express the intersection of power  
 21 and knowledge” (Fox, 1999, p. 435). This casting of the self into objectified  
 22 social types occurs not only with situated identities, but with social and  
 23 personal identities as well.

24 Of particular interest to me in this project is the mass-mediated construc-  
 25 tion of social identities and how such mass-mediated identities have affected  
 26 K-pop music fans’ personal identities. Since the 1970s, identities have been  
 27 increasingly conceived of as existing in relatively decontextualized terms,  
 28 cut away from the specificities of situations within which they have histori-  
 29 cally been defined (Altheide, 2000; Cerulo, 1997). As with other agents of  
 30 social control, the mass media, especially news media, have developed the  
 31 ability to quickly articulate and disseminate information about problematic  
 32 social identities, which often have tenuous ties at best to the lived reality of  
 33 those being typified. These identities are often tied to young people and/or  
 34 their behaviors. The process itself is nothing new, having been studied dec-  
 35 ades ago as a key dimension of moral panics about youth cultures (Cohen,  
 36 2002; Williams, 2011a). In the 21st century, news and social media seem to  
 37 produce a steady stream of new, or at least newly articulated, social identi-  
 38 ties that problematize youths’ cultures and practices.

39 Mass-mediated social identities are powerful components in the making  
 of fan identities and news media continue to have a dominating effect

1 on popular understandings of music and fandom, with dramatized narra-  
2 tives of individual pathology or collective deviance predominating (e.g.,  
3 Jenson, 1992; Rosenbaum & Prinsky, 1991; Wallace & Alt, 2001). In the  
4 Singaporean context, the news media regularly construct citizens' actions  
5 into various functional or deviant typologies (Williams & Suhaimi, 2014).  
6 How these mass-mediated identities became meaningful in everyday life,  
7 however, should not be assumed. Fans, like any other member of society,  
8 do not merely react to stimuli in the environment. Rather, they handle  
9 the meanings of typified identities interpretively (Mead, 1934). Fans  
10 recognize complex identity hierarchies within music cultures and work  
11 partially with, and partially against, typologies used by outsiders (see also  
12 Widdicombe, 1998).

13 In this study I deal specifically with K-pop music fan identities.  
14 Samantha, an undergraduate Chinese-Singaporean student who self-  
15 identified as a K-pop music fan and invested significantly in her fandom,  
16 was the impetus and driving force behind the study. Having spent more  
17 than a year in Korea between 2011 and 2013, ostensibly to study and intern  
18 but admittedly to feed her passion for K-pop music and culture, Samantha  
19 encountered several situations while in Korea that caused her to question  
20 her and others' fan identities. Specifically, she came up against the mass-  
21 mediated *sasaeng* identity. Rooted in journalistic accounts, *sasaeng* were  
22 said to be typically girls aged between 13 and 22 years old who were  
23 reported to engage in many problematic behaviors vis-à-vis K-pop artists,  
24 including: installing closed-circuit television cameras near artists' homes;  
25 attaching tracking devices to artists' cars, using GPS to track their move-  
26 ments; stalking them around town in taxis; and engaging private investiga-  
27 tors to seek out highly personal information. So-called *sasaeng* fans have  
28 broken laws by breaking into the homes of artists to steal their personal  
29 belongings, trafficking and abusing their personal information, engaging in  
30 high-speed chases and causing accidents while stalking, harassing artists'  
31 families and associates, and assaulting both artists and other fans. Given  
32 the almost wholly negative orientation of such stories, the *sasaeng* fan  
33 quickly became a mass-mediated folk devil across Asia.

35

37

## RESEARCH SITE AND METHODS

39 The *sasaeng* identity caused trouble for Samantha while in Korea, as she  
40 began to (re)interpret some of her own fan behaviors as potentially

1 problematic. While not having broken any laws, she felt that some of her  
2 attitudes and behaviors were uncomfortably close to that of the *sasaeng*  
3 and as a result she felt some degree of concern. Back in Singapore,  
4 Samantha decided to collect data on other “hardcore” Singaporean K-pop  
5 fans to (in)validate her own fan self-conceptions (Ho, 2013; Williams &  
6 Ho, in press). Working together, we decided on a two-pronged approach to  
7 data collection and analysis: qualitative media collection and analysis  
8 (Altheide & Schneider, 2012) and field research, including participant  
9 observation and in-depth interviewing. Having recognized the cultural  
10 significance of the term *sasaeng*, we began our study by searching for its  
11 presence in mass media sources in Asia. We identified approximately  
12 30 mass media news and documentary sources that discussed extreme  
13 K-pop fandom in *sasaeng* terms. The news articles were published between  
14 late 2010 and early 2013, while the documentary excerpts were broadcast in  
15 2012. In addition, we analyzed online comments posted in response to these  
16 information sources utilizing an ethnographic content analytic approach  
17 (Altheide & Schneider, 2012). Our analysis identified patterned portrayals  
18 of the *sasaeng* phenomenon within the news media.

19 Additionally, Samantha accompanied a group of youths as they  
20 “stalked” a K-pop band and covertly recorded field notes of her experiences  
21 along with details of the young people’s interactions. We handled these data  
22 ethically in order to protect the identities of those involved along with the  
23 authenticity of the fan context (see Spicker, 2011). Separately, she used stan-  
24 dard social media channels such as Facebook to recruit Singaporean K-pop  
25 fans for interviews. Asking friends to share information about the project  
26 on their Facebook timelines, Samantha identified and eventually narrowed  
27 down a sample of 10 respondents based on their intentions, motivations  
28 and the level of devotion displayed in their experiences searching for  
29 and meeting the K-pop idols in Korea. She conducted semi-structured inter-  
30 views between January and February 2013, nine of which were done face-  
31 to-face while one was done online. The interviews lasted between 90 and  
32 135 minutes. Previous readings of literature on deviance, subcultures, and  
33 fan identities (e.g. Jenkins, 1992; Williams, 2011b) informed the analysis.

35

### 37 **THE MEDIATED CONSTRUCTION OF THE SASAENG**

39 In his study of folk devils, Cohen (2002) demonstrated how collective  
acts that were labeled as problematic in the mass media could become

1 society-level moral panics, especially when the news media selectively repre-  
3 sented events and individuals in stereotypical ways and then provided privi-  
5 leged means for morally right-thinking people to weigh in on matters. Such  
7 folk devils were prescient of a global discourse of fear that has emerged in  
9 recent decades with various consequences for individuals and groups  
11 (Altheide, 2002). Mass media sources were rife with portrayals of extreme  
13 K-pop fan behavior and were key in framing information about K-pop  
15 fans that is subsequently disseminated at the speed of broadband. The  
17 inclusion of opinions from “average” fans, complaints from industry repre-  
19 sentatives, and commentary from university professors, lawyers, doctors,  
and culture critics in journalistic accounts further legitimized criticisms  
about extreme K-pop fandom.

13 The source of the *sasaeng* phenomenon was allegedly rooted in embo-  
15 died, pathological form via hypothesized desires for bodily connection to  
17 and recognition by K-pop idols. This was represented in mainstream news  
19 as well as in fan-based documentaries; the latter adding with more  
frequency and depth the voice of *sasaeng* fans themselves. In one documen-  
tary, for example, an interviewed fan explained her “obsession” with the  
private lives of her favorite idols:

21 I feel like I get to know more about and get closer to the idol I love. If I go to a concert,  
23 there are thousands of people attending, so the idol would not know who I am. But if  
25 I become *sasaeng*, they will recognize me. If I keep telling them, “I am so-and-so. I saw  
you at that place before. I am so-and-so,” they will start to take note of me and ask  
“Did you come again today?” To *sasaeng* fans, being recognized by idols is a good  
thing. [Park, Park, & Yang, 2012, 3m40s]

27 While significant and meaningful to the fan herself, “in the popular ima-  
29 gination, fans who pursue direct contact with media stars are seen as sus-  
31 pect, possibly unbalanced, and threatening in a variety of ways” (Ferris &  
33 Harris, 2011, p. 13). As the media-constructed story goes, fandom may  
35 begin normally, but can develop malignantly to the point where fans  
37 become *sasaeng* who devote their time to stalking their idols, abandoning  
their own personal and social lives in the process. News stories reported  
instances of skipping school, spending nights sleeping in 24-hour internet  
cafes or in front of the idols’ houses or agencies, occasionally dropping out  
of school or becoming homeless due to the daily practices of idolatry; even  
resorting to prostitution to cover stalking-related costs such as taxi fees.

Such examples were embedded within larger discourses of fear and  
39 stigma. Goffman (1963) argued that members of groups whose interests or  
behaviors are defined as culturally or situationally problematic are given

1 stigmatized “virtual social identities,” which are rooted in assumptions and  
 3 stereotypes. Looking through a sample of mass-media reports on K-pop fan-  
 5 dom available on [www.allkpop.com](http://www.allkpop.com), we found that the virtual social identity  
 7 of *sasaeng* fans was assigned a host of undesirable ~~attributes, including:~~

~~*abnormal, aggressive, bizarre, brutal, crazed, criminal, dangerous, distorted, evil, exces-  
 sive, extreme, frightening, gang-like, horrifying, ignorant, illegitimate, irresponsible,  
 obsessive, overboard, pathetic, scary, shocking, vicious, violent*~~

9 Some of these terms suggest psychological problems (*crazed, abnormal*);  
 11 some suggest dangerous, even criminal elements (*aggressive, brutal, crim-  
 inal, dangerous*); while others provide vaguer, yet extreme, formulations  
 13 (*excessive, extreme, overboard*). Together, they show an overwhelmingly  
 15 negative portrayal of the *sasaeng* fan identity that distinguishes certain  
 17 K-pop fans from “normal” society. These findings fit with other studies on  
 the mediated construction of “deviant” cultural identities and show that  
 similar processes persist in Asia as well as North America (e.g., Coyle,  
 2010; Eyres & Altheide, 1999).

19 Extreme formulations of *sasaeng* fan identity were not only communi-  
 21 cated in journalistic accounts, but were regularly recycled by readers who  
 23 interacted with news texts via social media tools. Looking at 168 comments  
 25 made by readers of three news stories published on Yahoo! Singapore  
 Entertainment during this study, there emerged a rather clear consensus  
 based on disapproval of *sasaeng* fans’ behaviors. Negative expressions of  
 hatred and disgust, as well as moral judgments of *sasaeng* fans’ reported  
 actions, included words similar to those found in mass-mediated news.

~~*childish, crazy, disturbing, dumb, godawful, goddamn stupid, idiotic, insane, lunatic, men-  
 tally deranged, obsessively mad, perverted, problem, psychotic, retarded, sick, too much*~~

29 Note the similarity in the use of terms, particularly referencing mental  
 31 and psychological characteristics as underlying reasons for deviant behav-  
 33 ior. When dealing with deviant youth subcultures, the semiotics of such  
 35 negativity was at once supported by the political economy of news making  
 and supported the social cognition of those who interact with the news  
 (Williams, 2011a). Social cognition served a notably instrumental function  
 37 by facilitating the process of stereotyping, whereby the characteristics or  
 actions of an individual member of a social category were taken as repre-  
 sentative of everyone within that category.

39 Interactional analysis showed how social media users negotiated the  
 meanings of the *sasaeng* fan identity in one of two ways. Some commenters  
 used mass-mediated information to build up the image that the “K-pop

1 fan” was, at large, a problematic identity with comments such as “there’re  
 2 a lot of crazy stupid K-pop fans around,” and “all this K-pop is a diver-  
 3 gence and detrimental to one’s sanity.” Other commenters wrote about  
 4 K-pop fandom positively, but were clear to establish semantic boundaries  
 5 between themselves and the behaviors in which *sasaeng* fans engaged. Some  
 6 commenters, for example, claimed to be fans, yet qualified the extent of  
 7 their fandom by writing things such as,

9 “I respect the idol’s privacy.”  
 10 “I will never go to that extreme.”  
 11 “I’m not that crazy to stalk them.”  
 12 “The most I would do is to buy their concert tickets.”  
 13 “I will never do anything so sick like that.”

15 It is not uncommon for participants in youth cultures to actively work at  
 16 controlling the identities of others (Milner, 2006, chap. 5). In online discus-  
 17 sions, Williams (2006) found that straightedge music fans members were  
 18 vociferous in maintaining a strict boundary between those who participated  
 19 in the culture the “right” way versus the “wrong” way. Similarly, some K-pop  
 20 fans used the comments section of news reports to disassociate themselves  
 21 with *sasaeng* fans by declaring that the latter were actually not fans and  
 22 explaining how true fans like themselves behave: “if you’re a true fan, spend  
 23 the money buying the albums and concerts to support them, not stalking.”

25 Such interactions worked toward naturalizing certain ways of being a  
 26 fan, which further entrenched everyday assumptions about K-pop fandom  
 27 every time they were invoked within media texts. Through interactions with  
 28 and through mass and social media, “normal” K-pop fans actively con-  
 29 structed a codified *sasaeng* fan identity that became a monolithic Other,  
 30 reduced either to a voyeuristic spectacle or to an object of fear. As mass-  
 31 mediated spectators, K-pop fans and non-fans alike built up an image of  
 32 revulsion and appall despite a seeming lack of first-person experience with  
 33 self-identifying *sasaeng* fans. Mass and social media quickly diffused the  
 34 *sasaeng* fan identity.

35

### 37 IDENTIFYING AS A SINGAPOREAN K-POP FAN

39 But what about the everyday experiences of Singaporean K-pop fans?  
 40 Foremost, we found that the most common ways of consuming K-pop

1 culture without leaving the country were through mass and social media.  
2 Concerts and other local events were the only viable chance most fans had  
3 to connect with K-pop idols, but such connections were typically fleeting  
4 and/or indirect. The Singaporean K-pop fans we interviewed collectively  
5 expressed the importance of social media for receiving and sharing content  
6 about K-pop. Examples included publishing their own websites or blogs,  
7 participating in social networking sites dedicated in part or in whole to  
8 K-pop, posting messages on K-pop forums, and uploading multimedia  
9 content such as photos and videos. The Internet bridged the geographical  
10 gap that fans felt would otherwise severely constrain their ability to main-  
11 tain an interactive relation with K-pop culture; it also intensified the plea-  
12 sures of active engagement with other fans, as well as helped develop a  
13 sense of commonality among disparate fans. Singaporean fans we inter-  
14 viewed updated themselves on news and gossip by religiously visiting enter-  
15 tainment news websites such as [www.allkpop.com](http://www.allkpop.com) and by watching and  
16 sharing information about new music video releases, song or dance covers,  
17 and clips of Korean television programs on YouTube.

18 Due in part to their geographical displacement from the origin of  
19 K-pop, Singaporean K-pop fans experienced K-pop differently than  
20 Korean fans. Many found mediated fandom to be insufficient to sustain  
21 their desire to participate more intimately in K-pop culture, not least  
22 because they recognized that Korean fans were physically, intellectually,  
23 and emotionally closer to their Korean idols. There seemed to be two con-  
24 sequential sets of behaviors related to Singaporeans' different experiences.  
25 First, because opportunities to connect were less frequent, some young  
26 Singaporean fans were willing to make their own opportunities when possi-  
27 ble, which increased the likelihood that they might be labeled as *sasaeng*  
28 fans. Second, Singaporean fans who came up against the *sasaeng* fan iden-  
29 tity found it necessary to evaluate and negotiate their personal identities  
30 and practices vis-à-vis the mediated social identity of the extreme K-pop  
31 fan. We saw evidence of these consequences in both the participant-  
32 observational and interview data.

33 Samantha had the opportunity to join a group of 11 Singaporean fans  
34 (10 females, 1 male), aged 15–18, as they “stalked” Big Bang, a K-pop  
35 boy band that was in Singapore during their Big Bang Alive Galaxy Tour  
36 2012. While attending local K-pop events might be considered a very  
37 practical measure of K-pop fandom to the average Singaporean K-pop  
38 fan, the young people we interviewed sought ways of distinguishing them-  
39 selves from the average fan, who they saw as being relatively uncritical  
40 in their fandom. One preferred method of expressing relatively stronger

1 commitment to K-pop was through hiring what they called “stalking  
 3 vans” – high-capacity passenger vans operated by full-time drivers – and  
 following K-pop idols as they moved around the city before and after a  
 performance or event.

5 Several days before the performance, Samantha found out about the  
 group through a retweet by SG K-Wave, a Singapore-based K-pop  
 7 community website providing news and information about events in  
 Singapore. After establishing contact, Samantha was added to a mobile  
 9 chat group, where members chatted and gossiped with each other and  
 made arrangements for meeting up and paying for the van. The group  
 11 disclosed their stalking plans through various social media as they  
 searched for other fans to share the \$450 SGD charge for hiring the van  
 13 and received strong criticisms from fellow fans. A few excerpts from  
 Samantha’s Twitter log show a now-familiar process of articulating the  
 15 *sasaeng* fan identity:

17 WTH! People r gonna stalk BIGBANG when they r here? Sasaeng fans. Hope it’s not  
 like what suju fans did last time. Embarrassing!<sup>1</sup>

19 Stalk Big Bang vans??? I don’t think I’m that crazy!

21 Alr got ppl plan to stalk Big Bang alr, Lol wtf.. And gathering in a group summore.  
 Wtf is wrong with u all ah. Lol. Privacy for them please.

23 “Interesting in stalking Big Bang?” – DON’T EVEN THINK ABOUT IT. STOP UR  
 PLANS, I’M TELLING YOU. IF U DO THAT, YOU’RE A SASAENG FAN.

25 Members of the stalking group were aware of these messages and  
 accounted for their actions by highlighting other fans’ jealousy as well as  
 27 defending the standards of their discretion, as excerpts from their private  
 group chat on Whatsapp demonstrate:

29 Let them tweet la xD.<sup>2</sup>

31 Aiya they jealous only

:O we won’t be retarded or what lah. Tsk.

33 Ya lor jealous people lmao

35 They talk cock la these ppl not like we gonna whack BB wat siao la

Ya la this is not called sasaeng pls

37 Sasaeng is like stalk until go hotel room leh

39 What is perhaps most interesting in this conversation is how the partici-  
 pants collectively positioned themselves as simultaneously better than those

1 who were afraid to stalk, while being careful to distance themselves from  
 3 the more problematic behaviors of extreme fans. Later in their chat, one  
 5 person claimed that they were not *sasaeng* fans as “sasaeng [fans are] more  
 7 scary” and that they would “only follow [Big Bang].” Such posts suggested  
 a clear recognition of the mass-mediated *sasaeng* fan identity and high-  
 lighted how members of the stalking group worked to distance themselves

9 The fans were prepared to stalk from the moment Big Bang arrived in  
 Singapore and therefore met at the airport before their expected afternoon  
 arrival.<sup>3</sup> Most of the afternoon was spent waiting for and then photographing  
 11 members of Big Bang as they passed through the arrivals lounge and  
 climbed into three black Mercedes, which whisked them to their hotel. The  
 13 *sasaeng* van followed, often pulling alongside the Mercedes so the fans  
 could display homemade “fanboards” in the windows, and the group sub-  
 15 sequently joined a small crowd of fans that had already formed outside the  
 hotel entrance (the hotel staff had set up barricades to prevent fans from  
 17 entering the lobby). To escape the heat, the group spent some time in the  
 van cooling off and gossiping. Samantha took the opportunity to question  
 19 group members about other fans that were present at the hotel. What she  
 found was that group members consciously divided the Singaporean K-pop  
 21 fan community into fans that were too extreme, too casual, or just right.  
 Whereas they had earlier worked to distance themselves from *sasaeng* fans  
 23 who they saw as too star struck, deviant, or unstable, in the van they deni-  
 grated fans that, in their eyes, had not developed sufficiently strong loyalty  
 25 to specific K-pop idols/groups.

27 Fan: “they go for every band event, waste money. Give us money lah, we [need to] pay  
 for our van ...

29 Samantha: Do they also have a van?

31 Fan: No lah, they just come to the hotel. Stupid shit right?

33 Because the Singaporean K-pop fans we studied happily engaged in  
 behaviors like van stalking, which were discursively connected to the  
*sasaeng* identity, they positioned themselves in such a way that they could  
 35 avoid claims of being passive-consumer fans on the one hand, but not to be  
 deviant or extreme on the other. Casual fans tried too hard to be “all  
 37 rounded” or “well-versed” by following K-pop indiscriminately. These indi-  
 viduals expressed the importance of being more “passionate” than casual  
 39 fans, while not being extreme enough to warrant the *sasaeng* fan label.  
 Stalking idols in a van and waving fanboards at event locations represented

1 passion, while keeping a respectful distance and not breaking laws were  
2 signs of their normality relative to *sasaeng*.

3 The interview data showed similar patterns of negotiating the K-pop fan  
4 identity. When asked, all interviewees denied being *sasaeng* fans and pro-  
5 fessed themselves to be relatively normal fans, but drew on information  
6 that demonstrated clear understandings of what would be considered pro-  
7 blematic fan behavior. One fan, for example, admitted that her trips to  
8 Korea could be seen as “crazy” by some people, but that she had never  
9 engaged in any behaviors that were not otherwise beyond scrutiny.

11 The craziest thing I’ve ever done would probably be just flying over to Korea to watch  
12 the concert. I don’t think there is anything else I would do that is crazier than that.  
13 [Brenda, interview]

14 Implicit in her talk was a belief that her actions were normal. Thanks to  
15 her personal savings from her previous jobs, she could afford the trip and  
16 she saw her actions as being within the bounds of typical consumer/fan  
17 behavior. Other interviewees sought to prove their normality by offering  
18 additional information that highlighted not only the boundary between  
19 normal fans and *sasaeng* fans, but on which side of the boundary they  
20 stood.

21 No. I don’t know how to install a CCTV. [laughs] [Nicole, interview]

23 In a study of young men with stigmatized identities, Hochstetler, Copes,  
24 and Williams (2010) found that their interviewees regularly attempted to  
25 establish rhetorical distance between their own sense of authenticity and  
26 the stigma that resulted from their criminal activities. The individuals inter-  
27 viewed, although they had been convicted of violent crimes, routinely dis-  
28 missed the idea that they were authentically violent people, insisting instead  
29 that their own behaviors were either acceptable given the circumstances or  
30 excusable in comparison to others’ more violent actions. Similar strategies  
31 have been found in other studies where actors attempt to avoid being  
32 attributed to an identity type (see, e.g., Widdicombe, 1998; Williams, 2013).  
33 Our respondents likewise described their fan behaviors to be less intense  
34 than the actions of *sasaeng* fans and refuted suggestions that their behav-  
35 iors were even comparable.

37 Stalking is the intrusion of privacy, but our kind of stalking is just waiting for and fol-  
38 lowing the K-pop idols from schedule to schedule, venues to venues; not to the level of  
39 stalking such as finding out their phone numbers, call records and bank transactions.  
There are different levels of stalking – ours is just the amateur level. [Jean, interview]

1 While acknowledging that stalking was often associated with the intrusion of privacy, Singapore fans denied the significance of “their kind of  
3 stalking” in comparison. Interviewees talked about searching out their idols in public spaces (e.g., waiting for chance encounters, gathering at locations  
5 for expected appearances, following them on the roads), but emphasized that they did nothing illegal, nor did they intrude on what they saw as personal space or private lives.  
7

9 Singaporean fans compared their behaviors to those of Korean *sasaeng* fans as a way of justifying the relative normality of their own choices and practices. They also expressed what they saw as a different mentality from  
11 that of *sasaeng* fans by assuming a more understanding standpoint toward K-pop idols. A recurring theme across interviews was the boundary  
13 between idols and fans when they meet in person, in which all respondents claimed to maintain a respectful distance:

15 I don't like being close to the idols. I make sure I try to stay far away. I want to give  
17 them space. If I were them, I would feel very pressured if there was a fan staring at [me] all the time. As a fan, I don't want to pressure them. [Shirley, interview]

19 For fans, celebrity status was attractive, but there were socially constructed limits to that attraction. Whereas the celebrity auras that K-pop  
21 idols possessed seemed to function as candles to which Korean *sasaeng* fans were drawn like moths (at least according to mass media reportage),  
23 those auras functioned more as wards for our sample of Singaporean fans, much like for fans in other Southeast Asian countries (Siriyuvusak & **AU:2**  
25 Hyunjoon, 2007).

27 I wouldn't chase them to that extent. To me, they are idols and that's why they are  
untouchable. There is no need to be so close to them, they're not my friends. If you get  
too close to them in real life, you will start to see all their flaws, like a lot of them actually  
29 have their own dirty private lives, and other things that I'd rather not know about. I don't want to know. [Mindy, interview]

31 *Sasaeng* fans were characterized as those who breach the limits of the idol-fan boundary to get as close to idols as possible, while the  
33 Singaporean K-pop fans we interviewed claimed a collective disinclination toward getting too close, be it physically or personally. A majority of interviewees expressed the desire to maintain an ideal image of idols in their  
35 minds and feared that getting too close might spoil that. However, these fans were quick to describe how they had ventured further than the “average fan” to meet idols when they felt such talk would not stigmatize them.  
37 In sum, Singaporean K-pop fans engaged regularly in face work to establish a unique position with consumer-fan culture.  
39

## CONCLUSION

While the political-economy of K-pop has received significant attention in the last decade, particularly among Asian scholars (Chua, 2004; Shim, 2006), there has been virtually no research published that focuses on K-pop fan experiences within the context of mass-mediated images of deviance and identity. This study not only contributes to the micro-sociological literature on K-pop fandom in Southeast Asia, but also deals specifically with the processes through which deviant music-based identities are constructed, diffused, and negotiated. Music fan identities are rooted in the cultural politics of knowledge production. In line with mass-media studies of fear and moral panic (Altheide, 2002; Eyres & Altheide, 1999), we found that the *sasaeng* fan identity as a typology was constructed largely through mass-mediated discourses of social control. Yet fans did not accept the *sasaeng* identity uncritically. Singaporean fans were not necessarily either passive or resistant, rather they participated in a complex set of processes within which they enjoyed and resisted aspects of public discourse surrounding the object of their fandom.

In the media-saturated realm of Korean popular music, the *sasaeng* fan has emerged as a seemingly self-contained identity that garners nearly as much attention as the K-pop artists themselves. This suggests that mass and social media are increasingly becoming sites for the construction of music fan types. Just as many journalists constantly search for dirt on celebrities in order to feed audiences that are allegedly ravenous for such information, interactions among mass and social media users enable the propagation of deviant fan identities that become objectified as consumer commodities. The *sasaeng* fan identity is one such example, which now functions both as a moniker for excessiveness that captures media consumers' attention, and as a stigma that must be negotiated by fans in everyday life.

The relationships among mass and social media and fans are growing increasingly complex and are therefore worthy of additional scrutiny. This project explored the processes by which fans negotiated the shifting meanings of K-pop fandom in their everyday interactions with mass- and social-media sources, as well as with other fans. For Singaporean fans of K-pop, social media sources were very important, as they provided participatory pathways within fan culture. News and video sites, peer-to-peer networks, and communications apps were all used daily by fans as ways of staying in touch with idols and with each other. Social media networks facilitated the diffusion of meanings within K-pop culture, both among fans who felt

1 stigmatized by mainstream-media representations and among fans who  
 3 engaged in stigmatizing practices. Fans used social media alongside a variety of other fan practices, including concert attendance, music shopping, and “stalking” and further enriched those experiences. This supports other  
 5 research on the strength of both online and offline sources for spreading subcultural knowledge, even among individuals who are not invested in the  
 7 subculture or fan group (Blevins & Holt, 2009; Holt & Copes, 2010). The study thus further substantiates research on the role of social-media in the  
 9 everyday life of K-pop fans outside Korea, as well as of members of stigmatized subcultures more generally.

## 13 NOTES

15 1. On January 28, 2011, Singapore’s *The New Paper* reported that Leeteuk and Heechul, two members of Korean boy band Super Junior, were involved in a traffic  
 17 accident the previous evening during rush hour. Eight fan vehicles were trying to get close to the van with the band members, resulting in a six vehicle pile-up, including the idols’ van.

19 2. In everyday speech most young Singaporeans use Singlish, an English-based creole consisting of words from several other languages including Malay, Hokkien, Teochew, Cantonese, and Tamil. Our social media data included many Singlish expressions and the following are visible: “ah,” “aiya,” “la,” “lah,” “leh,” “lor,” “siao la [siao liao],” and “talk cock.”

23 ~~3. The Charles gang refers to a separate group of fans who were also awaiting Big Bang at the airport and outside the hotel entrance. While they were stalking the idols at the public spaces similar to our study subjects, they did not hire a stalking van. The Charles gang was apparently known for being fans of many other K-pop artists and attended virtually every local K-pop event, thus “proving” their uncritical, mainstream fan status.~~

## 31 REFERENCES

- 33 Altheide, D. L. (2000). Identity and the definition of the situation in a mass-mediated context. *Symbolic Interaction*, 23(1), 1–27.
- 35 Altheide, D. L. (2002). *Creating fear: News and the construction of crisis*. Hawthorne, NY: Aldine de Gruyter.
- 37 Altheide, D. L., & Schneider, C. J. (2012). *Qualitative media analysis* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- 39 Blevins, K. R., & Holt, T. J. (2009). Examining the virtual subculture of Johns. *Journal of Contemporary Ethnography*, 38(5), 619–648.
- Cerulo, K. A. (1997). Identity construction: New issues, new directions. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 23, 385–409.

- 1 Chua, B. H. (2004). Conceptualizing an East Asian popular culture. *Inter-Asia Cultural Studies*, 5(2), 200–221.
- 3 Cohen, S. (2002). *Folk devils and moral panics* (3rd ed.). London: Routledge.
- 5 Coyle, M. J. (2010). Language, metaphysics and deviancy: Delineating the ‘evil’ ‘criminal’ ‘other’. In M. Herzog-Evans & I. Dréan-Rivette (Eds.), *Transnational criminology* (pp. 127–144). Nijmegen: Wolf Legal Publishers.
- 7 Eyres, J., & Altheide, D. L. (1999). News themes and ethnic identity: *Los Angeles Times* news reports of Vietnamese, Black, and Hispanic gangs. *Perspectives on Social Problems*, 11, 85–103.
- 9 Ferris, K. O., & Harris, S. R. (2011). *Stargazing: Celebrity, fame, and social interaction*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- 11 Fox, K. J. (1999). Reproducing criminal types: Cognitive treatment for violent offenders in prison. *The Sociological Quarterly*, 40(3), 435–453.
- 13 Goffman, E. (1963). *Stigma: Notes on the management of spoiled identity*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- 15 Ho, X. X. S. (2013). *K-pop fandom and sasaeng fans: An exploratory study of hardcore Singaporean fans*. Unpublished B.A. Honors Thesis. Nanyang Technological University.
- 17 Hochstetler, A., Copes, H., & Williams, J. P. (2010). ‘That’s not who I am’: How offenders commit violent acts and reject authentically violent selves. *Justice Quarterly*, 27(4), 492–516.
- 19 Holstein, J. A. (1992). Producing people: Descriptive practices in human service work. In G. Miller (Ed.), *Current research on occupations and professions* (pp. 23–40). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.
- 21 Holt, T. J., & Copes, H. (2010). Transferring subcultural knowledge on-line: Practices and beliefs of persistent digital pirates. *Deviant Behavior*, 31(7), 625–654.
- 23 Jenkins, H. (1992). *Textual poachers: Television fans and participatory culture*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- 25 Jenson, J. (1992). Fan as pathology: The consequences of characterization. In L. A. Lewis (Ed.), *The adoring audience: Fan culture and popular media* (pp. 9–29). London: Routledge.
- 27 Loseke, D. R. (1989). Creating clients. In J. A. Holstein & G. Miller (Eds.), *Perspectives on social problems* (Vol. 1, pp. 173–193). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.
- 29 Mead, G. H. (1934). *Mind, self, and society*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- 31 Milner, M. (2006). *Freaks, geeks, and cool kids*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- 33 Park, S. Y., Park, J. I., & Yang, S. M. (Directors). (2012, March 23). *In depth look at sasaeng life*. Documentary. South Korea: tVn eNEWS. Retrieved from <http://youtu.be/8QEDhh89ZLs>
- 35 Rosenbaum, J. L., & Prinsky, L. (1991). The presumption of influence: Recent responses to popular music subcultures. *Crime and Delinquency*, 37, 528–535.
- 37 Shim, D. (2006). Hybridity and the rise of Korean popular culture in Asia. *Media, Culture & Society*, 28(1), 25–44.
- 39 Siritivasak, U., & Hyunjoon, S. (2007). Asianizing K-pop: Production, consumption and identification patterns among Thai youth. *Inter-Asia Cultural Studies*, 8(1), 109–136.
- Spicker, P. (2011). Ethical covert research. *Sociology*, 45(1), 118–133.
- Stone, G. P. (1962). Appearance and the self. In A. M. Rose (Ed.), *Human behavior and social processes* (pp. 86–118). Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin.
- Wallace, C., & Alt, R. (2001). Youth cultures under authoritarian regimes: The case of the swings against the Nazis. *Youth and Society*, 32, 275–302.

- 1 Weigert, A. J., & Gecas, V. (2003). Self. In L. T. Reynolds & N. J. Herman-Kinney (Eds.),  
*Handbook of symbolic interactionism* (pp. 267–288). Walnut Creek, CA: AltaMira Press.
- 3 Widdicombe, S. (1998). ‘But you don’t class yourself’: The interactional management of cate-  
 gory membership and non-membership. In C. Antaki & S. Widdicombe (Eds.),  
*Identities in talk* (pp. 52–70). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- 5 Williams, J. P. (2006). Authentic identities: Straightedge subculture, music, and the internet.  
*Journal of Contemporary Ethnography*, 35(2), 173–200.
- 7 Williams, J. P. (2011a). Structuring knowledge of subcultural folk devils through news cover-  
 age: Social cognition, semiotics, and political-economy. *Studies of Transition States and*  
*Societies*, 3(2), 3–13.
- 9 Williams, J. P. (2011b). *Subcultural theory: Traditions and concepts*. Cambridge: Polity.
- Williams, J. P. (2013). Authenticity and the dramaturgical self. In C. Edgley (Ed.), *Life as*  
 11 *performance: A dramaturgical handbook* (pp. 93–107). Aldershot: Ashgate.
- Williams, J. P., & Ho, X. X. S. (in press). ‘Sasaengpaen’ or K-pop fan? Singapore youths,  
 13 authentic identities, and Asian media fandom. *Deviant Behavior*. doi:10.1080/  
 01639625.2014.983011
- 15 Williams, J. P., & Suhaimi, Z. (2014). Culture, discourse, and gameplay: New media citizen-  
 ship in Singapore. In Association of Internet Researchers (Ed.), *Selected papers of inter-*  
 17 *net research* (Vol. 4). Daegu, Korea. Retrieved from [http://spir.aoir.org/index.php/spir/  
 article/download/999/663](http://spir.aoir.org/index.php/spir/article/download/999/663)

**AU:3**  
**AU:4**

19  
 21  
 23  
 25  
 27  
 29  
 31  
 33  
 35  
 37  
 39