



Near-Complete Genome Sequence of the Cellulolytic Bacterium Bacteroides (Pseudobacteroides) cellulosolvens ATCC 35603

Bareket Dassa,^a Sagar Utturkar,^{b,c} Richard A. Hurt,^{c,d} Dawn M. Klingeman,^{c,d} Martin Keller,^{c,d} Jian Xu,^e Y. Harish Kumar Reddy,^f Ilya Borovok,^f Inna Rozman Grinberg,^f Raphael Lamed,^f Olga Zhivin,^a Edward A. Bayer,^a Steven D. Brown^{b,c,d}

Department of Biological Chemistry, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel^a; Graduate School of Genome Science and Technology, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee, USA^b; BioEnergy Science Center, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA^c; Biosciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA^d; Single-Cell Center, CAS Key Laboratory of Biofuels and Shandong Key Laboratory of Energy Genetics, Qingdao Institute of Bioenergy and Bioprocess Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Qingdao, Shandong, China^e; Department of Molecular Microbiology and Biotechnology, Tel Aviv University, Ramat Aviv, Israel^f

B.D. and S.U. contributed equally to this work.

We report the single-contig genome sequence of the anaerobic, mesophilic, cellulolytic bacterium, *Bacteroides cellulosolvens*. The bacterium produces a particularly elaborate cellulosome system, wherein the types of cohesin-dockerin interactions are opposite of other known cellulosome systems: cell-surface attachment is thus mediated via type-I interactions, whereas enzymes are integrated via type-II interactions.

Received 13 August 2015 Accepted 17 August 2015 Published 24 September 2015

Citation Dassa B, Utturkar S, Hurt RA, Klingeman DM, Keller M, Xu J, Reddy YHK, Borovok I, Rozman Grinberg I, Lamed R, Zhivin O, Bayer EA, Brown SD. 2015. Near-complete genome sequence of the cellulolytic bacterium *Bacteroides (Pseudobacteroides) cellulosolvens* ATCC 35603. Genome Announc 3(5):e01022-15. doi:10.1128/genomeA.01022-15. Copyright © 2015 Dassa et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported license. Address correspondence to Edward A. Bayer, ed.bayer@weizmann.ac.il, or Steven D. Brown, brownsd@ornl.gov.

The cellulosome is one of the most efficient systems known to biodegrade plant cell-wall polysaccharides and cellulosic wastes. This multi-enzyme extracellular complex incorporates multiple hydrolytic enzymes onto the bacterial cell-surface through dockerin modules that tightly bind to scaffoldin proteins via complementary cohesin modules (1–3). Additional carbohydrate-binding modules (CBM) attach the entire enzymatic complex to the cellulosic substrate (4). The biodegrading activity of cellulosomes has been studied extensively in related cellulolytic bacteria, such as *Clostridium (Ruminiclostridium) thermocellum, Acetivibrio cellulolyticus, Clostridium (Ruminiclostridium) clariflavum, Clostridium (Ruminiclostridium) cellulolyticum, Clostridium cellulovorans, Clostridium (Ruminiclostridium) papyrosolvens*, and *Ruminococcus flavefaciens* (5).

Bacteroides cellulosolvens ATCC 35603 (DSM 2933) is a cellulolytic bacterium, originally isolated from sewage sludge (6, 7) in co-culture with *Clostridium saccharolyticum*. Initially classified as a Gram-negative bacterium, analysis of the 16S RNA indicated that *B. cellulosolvens*, like *A. cellulolyticus*, is a member of the phylogenetically diverse clostridial assemblage (8, 9). Recently, *B. cellulosolvens* was renamed *Pseudobacteroides cellulosolvens* (10).

B. cellulosolvens was selected for its ability to grow under mesophilic, anaerobic conditions, and the bacterium was able to bind and degrade crystalline cellulose to cellobiose and glucose (11– 13). Its cellulose-degrading activity was shown to be cellassociated (14), and elaborate cellulolytic cell-surface structures were subsequently demonstrated (15, 16). Cellulosome-like complexes were further identified in the bacterium (17), supported by the recognition of the major scaffoldin protein (CipBc, later renamed ScaA) (18, 19), which includes eleven type-II cohesin domains, a family-3a CBM, and a C-terminal dockerin domain. Its scaffoldin was shown to interact with a family-48 glycoside hydrolase (18), and the crystal structure of its type-II cohesin was determined (20).

The genome is reported as a large contig of 6,878,816 bp, translated into 5,897 predicted proteins. Sequencing was performed using PacBio RS-II technology and data from four SMRT cells was assembled using SMRTanalysis v2.2 (HGAP3 protocol). The initial assembly generated three contigs at ~ $65\times$ raw read coverage, which were joined using Geneious R8 (21) and then validated by PCR and Sanger sequencing (22). Illumina reads (at ~ $200\times$ coverage) also confirmed contiguity. The ends of the single contig were unable to be joined experimentally or *in silico*, possibly as a result of a misassembly or active mobile genetic element. Active transposase systems have been shown to interfere with closure previously (23). Therefore, the genome is reported as nearcomplete assembly. Gene prediction and annotation were performed as described previously (24, 25).

Intriguingly, the types of cohesin-dockerin interaction in *B. cellulosolvens* are reversed from those of all other known cellulosome systems, whereby cell-surface attachment of noncatalytic scaffoldins in *B. cellulosolvens* is mediated via type-I interactions, whereas the enzymes are integrated via type II-interactions (19, 26, 27). The genome codes for 75 cohesin modules (mostly type-II cohesins), packaged in more than two-dozen scaffoldins, and over 200 dockerin-containing proteins, including glycoside hydrolases, carbohydrate esterases, and polysaccharide lyases. Thus, *B. cellulosolvens* scaffoldins represent the largest noncatalytic cellulosomal subunits known to date, indicating the presence of a particularly elaborate cellulosome system.

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers. This whole-genome shotgun project has been deposited at DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank under the accession number LGTC00000000. The version described in this paper is version LGTC01000000.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the Office of Biological and Environmental Research in the DOE Office of Science through the BioEnergy Science Center, a U.S. DOE Bioenergy Research Center. ORNL is managed by UT-Battelle, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC05-00OR22725. Grants from the following foundations are gratefully acknowledged: European Union, Area NMP.2013.1.1-2: Self-assembly of naturally occurring nanosystems: CellulosomePlus Project 604530; ERA-IB Consortium (EIB.12.022), acronym FiberFuel; the F. Warren Hellman Grant for Alternative Energy Research in Israel in support of alternative energy research in Israel administered by the Israel Strategic Alternative Energy Foundation (I-SAEF); grants 1349 and 24/11 from the Israel Science Foundation (ISF); the establishment of an Israeli Center of Research Excellence (I-CORE Center 152/11) managed by the ISF, Jerusalem, Israel.

E.A.B. is the incumbent of the Maynard I. and Elaine Wishner Chair of Bio-organic Chemistry.

REFERENCES

- Bayer EA, Morag E, Lamed R. 1994. The cellulosome—A treasure-trove for biotechnology. Trends Biotechnol 12:379–386. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/0167-7799(94)90039-6.
- 2. Bayer EA, Belaich J, Shoham Y, Lamed R. 2004. The cellulosomes: Multienzyme machines for degradation of plant cell wall polysaccharides. Annu Rev Microbiol 58:521–554. http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/ annurev.micro.57.030502.091022.
- Fontes CM, Gilbert HJ. 2010. Cellulosomes: highly efficient nanomachines designed to deconstruct plant cell wall complex carbohydrates. Annu Rev Biochem 79:655–681. http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev -biochem-091208-085603.
- Boraston AB, Bolam DN, Gilbert HJ, Davies GJ. 2004. Carbohydratebinding modules: fine-tuning polysaccharide recognition. Biochem J 382: 769–781. http://dx.doi.org/10.1042/BJ20040892.
- Bayer EA, Lamed R, White BA, Flint HJ. 2008. From cellulosomes to cellulosomics. Chem Rec 8:364–377. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/tcr.20160.
- Murray WD, Sowden LC, Colvin JR. 1984. Bacteroides cellulosolvens sp. nov., a cellulolytic species from sewage sludge. Int J Syst Bacteriol 34: 185–187. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/00207713-34-2-185.
- Murray WD, Sowden LC, Colvin JR. 1986. Symbiotic relationship of Bacteroides cellulosolvens and Clostridium saccharolyticum in cellulose fermentation. Appl Environ Microbiol 51:710–715.
- Lin C, Urbance JW, Stahl DA. 1994. Acetivibrio cellulolyticus and Bacteroides cellulosolvens are members of the greater clostridial assemblage. FEMS Microbiol Lett 124:151–155. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574 -6968.1994.tb07277.x.
- Yutin N, Galperin MY. 2013. A genomic update on clostridial phylogeny: gram-negative spore formers and other misplaced clostridia. Environ Microbiol 15:2631–2634. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.12173.
- Horino H, Fujita T, Tonouchi A. 2014. Description of Anaerobacterium chartisolvens gen. nov., sp. nov., an obligately anaerobic bacterium from *Clostridium* rRNA cluster III isolated from soil of a Japanese rice field, and reclassification of *Bacteroides cellulosolvens* Murray et al. 1984 as *Pseudobacteroides cellulosolvens* gen. nov., comb. nov. Int J Syst Bacteriol 64: 1296–1303. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.059378-0.
- Giuliano C, Khan AW. 1984. Cellulase and sugar formation by *Bacteroides cellulosolvens*, a newly isolated cellulolytic anaerobe. Appl Environ Microbiol 48:446–448.
- Giuliano C, Khan AW. 1985. Conversion of cellulose to sugars by resting cells of a mesophilic anaerobe, *Bacteroides cellulosolvens*. Biotechnol Bioeng 27:980–983. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/bit.260270708.

- Murray WD. 1985. Increased cellulose hydrolysis by *Bacteroides cellulosolvens* in a simplified synthetic medium. J Biotechnol 3:131–140. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0168-1656(85)90014-8.
- Murray WD, Sowden LC, Colvin JR. 1986. Localization of the cellulase activity of *Bacteroides cellulosolvens*. Lett Appl Microbiol 3:69–72. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.1986.tb01550.x.
- Lamed R, Naimark J, Morgenstern E, Bayer EA. 1987. Specialized cell surface structures in cellulolytic bacteria. J Bacteriol 169:3792–3800.
- Morag E, Halevy I, Bayer EA, Lamed R. 1991. Isolation and properties of a major cellobiohydrolase from the cellulosome of *Clostridium thermocellum*. J Bacteriol 173:4155–4162.
- Lamed R, Morag (Morgenstern) E, Mor-Yosef O, Bayer EA. 1991. Cellulosome-like entities in *Bacteroides cellulosolvens*. Curr Microbiol 22: 27–33. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02106209.
- Ding S-Y, Bayer EA, Steiner D, Shoham Y, Lamed R. 2000. A scaffoldin of the *Bacteroides cellulosolvens* cellulosome that contains 11 type II cohesins. J Bacteriol 182:4915-4925. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/ JB.182.17.4915-4925.2000.
- Xu Q, Bayer EA, Goldman M, Kenig R, Shoham Y, Lamed R. 2004. Architecture of the *Bacteroides cellulosolvens* cellulosome: description of a cell-surface-anchoring scaffoldin and a family-48 cellulase. J Bacteriol 186:968–977. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.186.4.968-977.2004.
- Noach I, Frolow F, Jakoby H, Rosenheck S, Shimon LJW, Lamed R, Bayer EA. 2005. Crystal structure of a type-II cohesin module from the *Bacteroides cellulosolvens* cellulosome reveals novel and distinctive secondary structural elements. J Mol Biol 348:1–12. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.jmb.2005.02.024.
- 21. Kearse M, Moir R, Wilson A, Stones-Havas S, Cheung M, Sturrock S, Buxton S, Cooper A, Markowitz S, Duran C, Thierer T, Ashton B, Meintjes P, Drummond A. 2012. Geneious basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. Bioinformatics 28:1647–1649. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ bioinformatics/bts199.
- 22. Utturkar SM, Klingeman DM, Land ML, Schadt CW, Doktycz MJ, Pelletier DA, Brown SD. 2014. Evaluation and validation of *de novo* and hybrid assembly techniques to derive high-quality genome sequences. Bioinformatics 30:2709–2716. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/ btu391.
- 23. De Leon KB, Utturkar SM, Camilleri LB, Elias DA, Arkin AP, Fields MW, Brown SD, Wall JD. 2015. Complete genome sequence of *Pelosinus fermentans* JBW45, a member of a remarkably competitive group of *Negativicutes* in the *Firmicutes* phylum. Genome Announc 2(5):01090-15. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.01090-15.
- 24. Woo HL, Utturkar S, Klingeman D, Simmons BA, DeAngelis KM, Brown SD, Hazen TC. 2014. Draft genome sequence of the lignindegrading *Burkholderia* sp. strain lig30, isolated from wet tropical forest soil. Genome Announc 2(3):00637-14. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/ genomeA.00637-14.
- Hyatt D, Chen G-L, LoCascio PF, Land ML, Larimer FW, Hauser LJ. 2010. Prodigal: prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site identification. BMC Bioinformatics 11:119. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/ 1471-2105-11-119.
- Haimovitz R, Barak Y, Morag E, Voronov-Goldman M, Shoham Y, Lamed R, Bayer EA. 2008. Cohesin-dockerin microarray: diverse specificities between two complementary families of interacting protein modules. Proteomics 8:968–979. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/pmic.200700486.
- Cameron K, Weinstein JY, Zhivin O, Bule P, Fleishman SJ, Alves VD, Gilbert HJ, Ferreira LMA, Fontes CMGA, Bayer EA, Najmudin S. 2015. Combined crystal structure of a type-I cohesin: mutation and affinitybinding studies reveal structural determinants of cohesin-dockerin specificity. J Biol Chem 290:16215–16225. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/ jbc.M115.653303.