THE ENVIRONMENTS OF ACTIVE GALACTIC NUCLEI WITHIN THE ZCOSMOS DENSITY FIELD

J. D. SILVERMAN,¹ K. KOVAČ¹, C. KNOBEL¹, S. LILLY¹, M. BOLZONELLA⁴, F. LAMAREILLE⁵, V. MAINIERI³, M. BRUSA², J. D. SILVERMAN, K. KOVAC⁺, C. KNOBEL⁺, S. LILLY⁺, M. BOLZONELLA⁺, F. LAMAREILLE⁰, V. MAINIERI⁰, M. BRUSA⁺, N. CAPPELLUTI², Y. PENG¹, G. HASINGER², G. ZAMORANI⁴, M. SCODEGGIO⁸, T. CONTINI⁵, C. M. CAROLLO¹, K. JAHNKE¹⁸ J.-P. KNEIB⁷, O. LE FEVRE⁷, S. BARDELLI⁴, A. BONGIORNO², H. BRUNNER², K. CAPUTI¹, F. CIVANO¹⁶, A. COMASTRI⁴, G. COPPA⁴, O. CUCCIATI⁶, S. DE LA TORRE⁷, L. DE RAVEL⁷, M. ELVIS¹⁵, A. FINOGUENOV¹, F. FIORE¹³, P. FRANZETTI⁸, B. GARILLI⁸, R. GILLI⁴, R. GRIFFITHS¹⁷, A. IOVINO⁶, P. KAMPCZYK¹, J.-F. LE BORGNE⁵, V. LE BRUN⁷, C. MAIER¹, M. MIGNOLI⁴, R. PELLO⁵, E. PEREZ MONTERO⁵, E. RICCIARDELLI¹², M. TANAKA³, L. TASCA⁷, L. TRESSE⁷, D. VERGANI⁴, C. VIGNALI,⁹, E. ZUCCA⁴, D. BOTTINI⁸, A. CAPPI⁴, P. CASSATA⁷, M. FUMANA⁸, C. MARINONI¹⁰, H. J. MCCRACKEN¹¹, P. MEMEO⁸, B. MENEUX^{2,16}, P. OESCH¹, C. PORCIANI¹, M. SALVATO¹⁴

To appear in The Astrophysical Journal

ABSTRACT

The impact of environment on AGN activity up to $z \sim 1$ is assessed by utilizing a mass-selected sample of galaxies from the 10k catalog of the zCOSMOS spectroscopic redshift survey. We identify 147 AGN by their X-ray emission as detected by XMM-Newton from a parent sample of 7234 galaxies. We measure the fraction of galaxies with stellar mass $M_* > 2.5 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$ that host an AGN as a function of local overdensity using the 5th, 10th and 20th nearest neighbors that cover a range of physical scales ($\sim 1-4$ Mpc). Overall, we find that AGNs prefer to reside in environments equivalent to massive galaxies with substantial levels of star formation. Specifically, AGNs with host masses between $0.25 - 1 \times 10^{11} M_{\odot}$ span the full range of environments (i.e., field-to-group) exhibited by galaxies of the same mass and rest-frame color or specific star formation rate. Host galaxies having $M_* > 10^{11} \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$ clearly illustrate the association with star formation since they are predominantly bluer than the underlying galaxy population and exhibit a preference for lower density regions analogous to SDSS studies of narrow-line AGN. To probe the environment on smaller physical scales, we determine the fraction of galaxies $(M_* > 2.5 \times 10^{10} \text{ M}_{\odot})$ hosting AGNs inside optically-selected groups, and find no significant difference with field galaxies. We interpret our results as evidence that AGN activity requires a sufficient fuel supply; the probability of a massive galaxy to have retained some sufficient amount of gas, as evidence by its ongoing star formation, is higher in underdense regions where disruptive processes (i.e., galaxy harrassment, tidal stripping) are lessened.

Subject headings: quasars: general, galaxies: active, X-rays: galaxies

1. INTRODUCTION

¹ Institute of Astronomy, ETH Zürich, CH-8093, Zürich, Switzerland.

² Max-Planck-Institut für extraterrestrische Physik, D-84571 Garching, Germany

European Southern Observatory, Karl-Schwarzschild-Strasse 2, Garching, D-85748, Germany

INAF Osservatorio Astronomico di Bologna, via Ranzani 1, I-40127, Bologna, Italy

⁵ Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Toulouse-Tarbes, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, 14 avenue Edouard Belin, F-31400 Toulouse, France

INAF Osservatorio Astronomico di Brera, Milan, Italy

⁷ Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Marseille, Marseille, France

⁸ INAF - IASF Milano, Milan, Italy

 9 Dipartimento di Astronomia, Universitá di Bologna, via Ranzani 1, I-40127, Bologna, Italy

Centre de Physique Theorique, Marseille, Marseille, France

¹¹ Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris, UMR 7095 CNRS, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 98 bis Boulevard Arago, F-75014 Paris, France.

¹² Dipartimento di Astronomia, Universita di Padova, Padova

Italy $^{13}\,\mathrm{INAF},$ Osservatorio di Roma, Monteporzio Catone (RM),

Italy ¹⁴ California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA.

¹⁵ Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA, 02138 ¹⁶ Universitats-Sternwarte,

Scheinerstrasse 1. D-81679 Muenchen, Germany

¹⁷ Department of Physics, Carnegie Mellon University, 5000 Forbes Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15213

³ Max-Planck-Institut für Astronomie, Königstuhl 17, D-69117 Heidelberg, Germany

The local environment of galaxies harboring Active Galactic Nuclei (AGN) and QSOs has long been thought to play a potential role in triggering mass accretion onto Supermassive Black Holes (SMBHs). With many of the properties of galaxies (e.g., morphology, color, star formation rate) clearly dependent on environment (e.g., Kauffmann et al. 2004; Baldry et al. 2006; Cooper et al. 2006; Cucciati et al. 2009) and the possibility of a common history of mass assembly for SMBHs and their host bulges (e.g., Granato et al. 2004; Bower et al. 2006; Hopkins et al. 2008; Somerville et al. 2008), we expect that AGNs may prefer to reside in specific environments most nuturing for their growth. Identifying environmental factors might allow us to determine the physical mechanism(s) responsible for driving accretion such as major mergers of galaxies that has been demonstrated through numerical simulations to be able to remove angular momentum from rotationally-supported gas thus transfering mass to the nuclear regions (e.g. Barnes & Hernquist 1996; Mihos & Hernquist 1996). For example, there is evidence that AGNs reside in dark matter halos with masses $M_{halo} \sim 10^{12-13} M_{\odot}$ (Porciani et al. 2004; Hopkins et al. 2007; Mandelbaum et al. 2008; Pasquali et al. 2008; Bonoli et al. 2008), a mass regime comparable to the group-scale environments thought to be fertile ground for galaxies to coalesce. As well, high density regions such as massive clusters of galaxies are expected to be inhospital environments for AGN

(Dressler et al. 1985) given the strong empirical association between AGN activity and concurrent star formation (e.g., Kauffmann et al. 2003; Jahnke et al. 2004; Silverman et al. 2009) although counterevidence exists for the radio-loud population (Hill & Lilly 1991; Best et al. 2007).

Environmental studies to date have presented seemingly disparate results most likely due to varying selection methods and physical scales used to characterize environment. Using a large sample of narrow-line AGN from the SDSS, Miller et al. (2003) find that there is no environmental dependence on AGN activity using a magnitude-limited sample of galaxies and meaning environment on scales of around a few megaparsecs. Upon further investigation, Kauffmann et al. (2004) find that an environmental dependence, similar to star formation, emerges when implementing a selection based on stellar mass and considering the luminosity of the AGN. Supportive of this scenario, Coil et al. (2007) find that quasars in the DEEP2 survey fields have environments similar to blue galaxies. On the other hand, luminous quasars from the SDSS have an overabundance of galaxies within their vicinity on smaller scales (< 0.1 Mpc; Serber et al. 2006) that is in agreement with clustering analysis based on quasar pairs (Hennawi et al. 2006) but may still have significant biases in the employed methods (Padmanabhan et al. 2008). Furthermore, the enhancement in star formation attributed to galaxy mergers on scales of 0.01-0.1 kpc is not reflected in AGN accretion (Li et al. 2008) for which the authors conclude may be due to varying timescales with star formation preceeding AGN activity (e.g., Schawinski et al. 2007) occurring within a more relaxed host galaxy.

X-ray selected surveys with both Chandra and XMM-Newton now enable the study of the environment of AGNs including the obscured population at higher redshifts $(z \gtrsim 0.3)$ where optical selection of narrow-line AGN is difficult. Grogin et al. (2005) first looked into the environments of X-ray selected sources detected in the *Chandra* Deep Fields and found that the nearneighbor counts were identical to the galaxies without AGN. This led the authors to conclude that mergers were not the physical mechanism triggering mass accretion especially since the AGN host galaxies were no more asymmetric than the average galaxy of equivalent luminosity (see Gabor et al. 2008, for a morphological study of AGN hosts in COSMOS). While Georgakakis et al. (2007) claim based on a large spectroscopic sample of galaxies from DEEP2 that X-ray selected AGN at $z \sim 1$ prefer higher density environments, their results are consistent with those of galaxies having similar host properties (i.e., absolute magnitude, rest-frame color). From a complementary perspective, Martini et al. (2007) has measured the AGN content of galaxy clusters and found no significant difference with the fraction of field galaxies hosting AGN. Recently, Gilli et al. (2008) find clustering lengths of AGN in the COSMOS field comparable to massive galaxies and conclude that the clustering signal is reflective of SMBHs preferring to reside in galaxies with $M_* > 3 \times 10^{10} \text{ M}_{\odot}$. To date, an environmental analysis of X-ray selected AGN based on both a large spectroscopic survey of galaxies and careful consideration of selection to disentangle the degeneracy between mass and environment seen in galaxy studies (e.g., Baldry et al. 2006;

van der Wel 2008; Cucciati et al. 2009) has not yet been attempted.

Here, we utilize the rich multiwavelength observations of the COSMOS field (Scoville et al. 2007) to determine the role of environment in triggering AGN at 0.1 <z < 1.0. The COSMOS survey is roughly a 2 square degree region of the sky selected to have full coverage with all major observatories both from the ground (i.e., Subaru, VLT) and space (e.g., HST, Spitzer, XMM-The zCOSMOS survey (Lilly et al. 2007, Newton). 2009) targets objects for optical spectroscopy with the VLT in two separate observing programs. A 'bright' sample $(i_{ACS} < 22.5)$ is observed with a red grism to provide a wavelength coverage of 5500 - 9500 Å ideal to identify galaxies (L_*) up to $z \sim 1.2$. A deeper program, not utilized in the present study, targets faint galaxies (B < 25), selected to be in the redshift range $1.5 \lesssim z \lesssim 2.5$ using a blue grism for an effective wavelength coverage of $3600 < \lambda < 6700$ Å. For the present study, we select galaxies based on reliable spectroscopic redshifts from the zCOSMOS 'bright' program and their stellar mass estimates based on broad-band photometry. Those that host AGN are identified by their X-ray emission as detected by XMM-Newton (Hasinger et al. 2007; Cappelluti et al. 2007, 2008). We use the nearest neighbor approach to determine the projected local galaxy density using the three-dimensional galaxy distribution as fully described in Kovač et al. (2009a). In addition, the zCOSMOS optically-selected group catalog (Knobel et al. 2009) offers a complementary perspective on the role of environment. The low optical luminosity and obscured AGN further enable us to determine if the host galaxies of AGN exhibit a trend similar to the color-density or SFR-density relations found for non-active galaxies. Finally, we refer the reader to Silverman et al. (2009) that presents the properties (i.e., stellar mass, SFR, rest-frame color) of the hosts of X-ray selected AGN in the COSMOS field.

Throughout this work, we assume $H_0 = 70$ km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.75$, $\Omega_{\rm M} = 0.25$ and use AB magnitudes.

2. DATA AND DERIVED PROPERTIES

2.1. Parent galaxy sample

In order to compare the environments of AGN hosts with those of normal galaxies, we use for the latter the well-defined "parent sample" of galaxies up to $z \sim 1$ from the zCOSMOS 10k spectroscopic 'bright' catalog, as done in Silverman et al. (2009). Specifically, we select 7234 galaxies with an apparent magnitude i_{ACS} < 22.5, and a redshift 0.1 < z < 1.0 having a spectroscopic redshift quality flag greater than or equal to 2.0 (see Lilly et al. 2007) that amounts to a confidence of $\sim 99\%$ for the overall sample. The spatial sampling as shown in Figure 1 is fairly uniform across the central one square degree while the completed zCOSMOS 20k catalog will fill in the gaps mainly located along the perimeter of the COSMOS field. Further details on the spatial sampling and quality assurance can be found in Lilly et al. (2007, 2009) as well as a complete description of target assignments, data acquisition and the subsequent reduction procedure based on the VIMOS Interactive Pipeline and Graphical Interface package (VIPGI; Scodeggio et al. 2005).



FIG. 1.— Spatial distribution of 7234 zCOSMOS galaxies (small black dots) with $i_{acs} < 22.5$ and 0.1 < z < 1.0. Those identified as AGN based on XMM-*Newton* detections (147; $L_{0.5-10 \text{ keV}} > 10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$) are highlighted with a larger white circle. The underlying grey scale image is the exposure map of the XMM-*Newton* mosaic (north is up and east is to the left). A scale bar (20' in length) ranges from $1 \times 10^{-15} - 1 \times 10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$.

Stellar masses, including rest-frame absolute magnitudes (M_U, M_V) in the AB system, are derived from fitting stellar population synthesis models from the library of Bruzual & Charlot (2003) to both the broadband optical (CFHT: u, i, K_s ; Subaru: B, V, g, r, i, z; Capak et al. 2007) and near-infrared (Spitzer/IRAC: 3.6μ , 4.5μ ; Sanders et al. 2007) photometry using a chisquare minimization for each galaxy. The measurement of stellar mass (M_*) includes (1) the assumption of a Chabrier initial mass function¹⁹, (2) a star formation history with both a constant rate and an additional exponentially declining component covering a range of time scales (0.1 < τ < 30 Gyr), (3) extinction (0 < A_V < 3) following Calzetti et al. (2000), and (4) solar metallicities. In Figure 2a, we show the distribution of stellarmass versus redshift for our sample. Further details on mass measurements of zCOSMOS galaxies can be found in Bolzonella et al. (2009), Meneux et al. (2009) and Pozzetti et al. (2009).

To minimize any selection biases, we determine a minimum mass threshold that all galaxies must satisfy. The mass limit is set to ensure a fairly complete representation of both blue and red galaxies at all redshifts considered. Meneux et al. (2009) estimate based on a series of mock catalogs from the Millennium simulation that the zCOSMOS 'Bright sample' is essentially complete for galaxies with $\log M_* \approx 10.6$ at z = 0.8 while the completeness drops to ~ 50% at z = 1. We impose a

slightly lower mass limit of $\log M_* > 10.4$ (units of M_{\odot}) to provide a fair representation of galaxies covering the full range of rest-frame color U - V up to $z \sim 1$ and ensure an adequate sample that host AGN (see below). In Figure 2b, it is evident that this mass limit is essentially imposed by the red galaxy population due to the initial selection on apparent magnitude. Above this mass limit, we have a sample of 2457 galaxies, over the red-shift range 0.1 < z < 1.0 (Table 1; Sample A), suitable for subsequent analysis.

Further spectroscopic measurements such as emission and absorption line strengths, and continuum indices are performed through an automated pipeline ("platefit_vimos"; Lamareille et al. 2008) similar to that performed with the SDSS (Tremonti et al. 2004). For the present study, we specifically use the $[OII]\lambda 3727$ emission-line luminosity, corrected for slit loss and an AGN contribution if present, to determine the massweighted (specific) star formation rate (sSFR) using the empirical relation given in Moustakas et al. (2006) thus allowing us to investigate the environmental dependence of star formation for galaxies hosting AGN. We refer the reader to Maier et al. (2009) and Silverman et al. (2009) for a more details regarding spectral measurements of zCOSMOS galaxies and the removal of AGN emission based on the observed or inferred [OIII] λ 5007 line flux.

2.2. AGN identification

The XMM-observations of the COSMOS field (Hasinger et al. 2007) enable us to identify those galaxies from the aforementioned zCOSMOS parent sample of mass-selected galaxies that harbor AGN. Briefly, a zCOSMOS galaxy has associated X-ray emission if a maximum likelihood routine (Brusa et al. 2007) provides a clear association to an X-ray detection in either the soft-band $(f_{0.5-2 \text{ keV}} > 5 \times 10^{-16} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1})$ or hard-band $(f_{2-10 \text{ keV}} > 2 \times 10^{-15} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1})$ catalogs (Cappelluti et al. 2008). Out of 7234 galaxies, we identify 153 as having significant X-ray emission. We note that the frequency of X-ray sources with optical spectra is higher than would be seen in the general population because a subset of these sources were designated as 'compulsory' during the design of VIMOS masks and therefore observed at about twice the sampling rate of the random targets. This "bias" does not depend on any other property of the galaxy. We account for this when necessary such as measuring the fraction of galaxies hosting AGN. We refer the reader to Brusa et al. (2007) and Silverman et al. (2009) for more explicite details regarding X-ray detection, optical source matching and followup spectroscopy.

Our criteria for determining whether X-ray emission is due to an AGN is based on its point-like spatial extent and whether the luminosity is above 10^{42} erg s⁻¹ in either X-ray energy band. Most X-ray sources in our sample have luminosities above this threshold as evident in Figure 1 of Silverman et al. (2009) that shows the equivalent data set. Therefore, we attribute 147 of the 153 galaxies with X-ray emission to be the result of an AGN and mark their spatial distribution in Figure 1. Our X-ray selected AGNs mainly have $42 \leq \log L_X \leq 44$ with a few being more luminous (i.e., QSOs). Since the majority of these AGN are optically underluminous, we can investigate if the properties of their host galaxies (i.e., mass,

 $^{^{19}}$ Masses are not corrected to those based on a Salpeter IMF as done in Silverman et al. (2009).

TABLE 1 SAMPLE STATISTICS-DENSITY FIELD ANALYSIS

Sample	Mass ^a range	Redshift range	# Galaxies		Use
All A B C D	$\begin{array}{c} \hline \\ > 10.4 \\ 10.4 - 11 \\ > 11 \\ > 10.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1 < z < 1.0 \\ 0.1 < z < 0.8 \end{array}$	7234 2457 1971 486 2482	$\begin{array}{l} 147 (> 42) \\ 63 (42.48 - 43.7); 88 (> 42.48) \\ 48 (42.48 - 43.7) \\ 14 (42.48 - 43.7); 20 (> 42.48) \\ 77 (42-43.7) \end{array}$	Total sample AGN fraction AGN fraction AGN fraction Color-density relation

^a units of log M_{\odot}



FIG. 2.— (a) Stellar mass versus redshift for 7234 zCOSMOS galaxies (0.1 < z < 1.0, small grey circles). (b) Rest-frame color U - V versus stellar mass. The mass limits for two samples are highlighted in both panels: $log M_* > 10.4$ (solid line) and $log M_* > 11$ (dashed line). Galaxies hosting X-ray selected AGN (147) are marked by a larger black symbol with respect to their X-ray luminosity (circles: $42 < log L_{0.5-10 \text{ keV}} < 43.7$; triangles: $log L_{0.5-10 \text{ keV}} > 43.7$) in both panels.

rest-frame color, SFR) depend on environment without too much concern for AGN contamination especially for those with log $L_{0.5-10 \text{ keV}} < 43.7$. This upper limit is based on an empirical relation (Silverman et al. 2005) where optical emission, associated with X-ray selected AGN at log $\nu l_{\nu} < 43.3$ at E = 2 keV is primarily due to their host galaxy since there is a strong departure from the known $l_{opt} - l_X$ relation for more luminous AGN. We convert this monochromatic luminosity to a value of $10^{43.7} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ in the broad band (0.5–10.0 keV) assuming a power-law spectrum with photon index $\Gamma = 1.9$. The lack of broad emission lines for most of our sample (see Fig. 1 of Silverman et al. 2009) within this restricted luminosity range also lends support that these objects are dominated in the optical by their host galaxy. Here, we further highlight in Figure 2 the galaxies that harbor X-ray selected AGN with respect to the stellar mass²⁰ and rest-frame color. We note that the overdensity of AGNs at $z \sim 0.7$ (Fig. 2a) is indicative of an underlying number density of galaxies and not an enhancement of AGN activity in large-scale structures (Silverman et al. 2008a) although a full assessment of such effects will be explored in a future study having improved statistics.

We remark that a significant sample of additional AGN have been spectroscopically-identified through the Magellan/IMACS observing program (Trump et al. 2007) that can effectively improve upon the sample. For the current quantitative analysis, we choose to use only zCOSMOS identifications in order to maintain a high degree of uniformity and well-defined relation to a parent sample of galaxies. We will explore the feasibility of incorporating such samples in a future investigation.

2.3. zCOSMOS galaxy density field

A major aim of the zCOSMOS survey is to reconstruct the three-dimensional density field using the 'bright' sample to characterize the environment up to $z \sim 1$ and discern its impact on galaxy evolution. Here, we briefly outline the methodology and refer the reader to Kovač et al. (2009a) for full details regarding the galaxy density estimates in the zCOSMOS field. The procedure is based on the algorithm 'Zurich Adaptive Density Estimator' (ZADE; Kovač et al. in preparation) that utilizes spectroscopic (10k) and photometric redshifts (30k) for accurate distances to practically all galax-

 20 It is worth mentioning, as done in Silverman et al. (2009), that there may be a potential problem that galaxies with even moderate-luminosity AGN may have inaccurate mass estimates; a bluer continuum will essentially reduce the stellar age and hence lower the mass measurements since the derived mass-to-light ratio depends strongly on the spectrum.



FIG. 3.— Three-dimensional distribution of AGN with respect to the zCOSMOS overdensity distribution. Each panel shows a different redshift interval as labelled. X-ray selected AGN, identified by both the zCOSMOS and Magellan/IMACS (Trump et al. 2007) programs in the COSMOS field, are marked in red.

ies with $i_{ACS} < 22.5$. For galaxies without spectroscopic redshifts, their photometric redshift likelihood functions are adjusted using galaxies in the spectroscopic catalog that are closely along the line-of-sight and within the effective aperture. This procedure effectively accounts for the incomplete sampling (~ 30%) of the current 10k catalog thus improving density estimates throughout the zCOSMOS volume. We use density estimates based on a flux-limited catalog and adaptive apertures (based on nearest neighbors) in order to maintain a reasonable sample of AGNs over the full redshift range (0.1 < z < 1.0)at the expense of having a redshift dependent smoothing scale (see Figure 6 of Kovač et al. 2009a). To minimize redshift-space distortions induced by the intrinsic velocity dispersion of groups and clusters, a projection of $\pm 1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ in redshift space is implemented. Finally, we express the quantitative measure of the environment as an overdensity (δ ; where $1 + \delta = \rho \langle \rho(z) \rangle^{-1}$) at the position of each galaxy relative to the mean density $(\langle \rho(z) \rangle)$ at a given redshift. Error estimates are obtained by comparison with reconstructed overdensities from mock catalogs (Kitzbichler & White 2007) extracted from the Millenium simulation (Springel et al. 2005) and tailored to match the current zCOSMOS sample. Typical errors on $log (1+\delta)$ are between 0.1-0.15 over a wide range of overdensity (see Figure 4 of Kovač et al. 2009a).

It is important to consider if any difference in spatial

sampling exists between the AGN and randomly-selected galaxies. Such an effect may be inherently induced by the VIMOS automated slit assignment software when designating sources as 'Compulsory', as done for a subset of the X-ray sources. We do find that the number of randomly observed galaxies in the vicinity of 'compulsory' targets is lower than that of the random sample. This is slightly noticeable in Figure 1 where the surface density of AGNs is not as concentrated towards the center of the field as the galaxies. This effect is both small and compensated by the 'ZADE' approach thus we do not expect any significant bias in our density estimates.

In Figure 3, we show the zCOSMOS density field in comoving coordinates with the location of galaxies and those hosting AGN as marked. For display purposes, the overdensity distribution, estimated on a grid $(\Delta \alpha = \Delta \delta = 1 \operatorname{arcmin}, \Delta z = 0.002)$ has been interpolated to a co-moving scale of 1.4 Mpc. It is clearly evident that the COSMOS field encompasses a wide range of environments from voids to dense structures. The survey area $(\sim 2 \operatorname{deg}^2; \sim 80 \operatorname{comoving} Mpc$ at $z \sim 1)$ is still narrow enough that sheets, filaments and walls appear to cut across the field.

2.4. zCOSMOS galaxy groups

A galaxy group catalog (Knobel et al. 2009) has been generated using the 10k 'bright' catalog to determine the role of environment in galaxy evolution (Kovač et al.

2009b; Iovino et al. 2009). This approach is complementary to that based on the density field due to its ability to probe smaller physical scales. Two group finding algorithms (friends-of-friends, Voronoi-Delaunay-Method) are employed to minimize effects such as group fragmentation, over-merging, spurious detections or missed groups. Extensive testing on the aforementioned mock catalogs is done for optimization of the algorithms. For each group, its properties (velocity dispersion, dynamical mass, corrected richness) are determined with a level of uncertainty $\sim 20\%$ due to the small number of observed group members ($\sim 2-12$) reflective of the bright magnitude limit of the survey. A final catalog of 800 groups is constructed with at least two members using the friendsof-friends technique well-tuned using the methods emploved above. The completeness (i.e, detected group members relative to actual members) has been assessed to be $\sim 70 - 90\%$ (see Fig. 8 of Knobel et al. 2009) and fairly constant with respect to the number of group members. The number of interlopers is estimated to be $\sim 20\%$. The redshift distribution of the final group catalog is very similar to the overall redshift distribution of zCOSMOS galaxies with two prominent features at $z \sim 0.3$ and $z \sim 0.7$. The masses span an interval $\sim 10^{12} - 10^{14} M_{\odot}$ (see Figures 13 and 15 of Knobel et al. 2009), a regime below that of local, massive ($\gtrsim 10^{14} M_{\odot}$) clusters.

3. LARGE-SCALE ENVIRONMENTS OF AGN

We present in Figure 4 the distribution of zCOSMOS galaxies and those hosting X-ray selected AGN (Table 1; sample A) as a function of their environment (i.e., overdensity). The results are shown using the distance to the nth nearest neighbor (5th, 10th and 20th) separately that probe a range of comoving scales ($\sim 1-4$ Mpc). In all panels (Fig. 4 a-c), we generally see that AGN reside in a broad range of environments (e.g., panel $a; 0 \leq a$ $\log 1 + \delta \lesssim 1.5$) remarkably similar to the parent galaxy population of equivalent stellar mass (log $M_* > 10.4$) as sustantiated by the low probability (< 20% in each case), based on a K-S test, that we can reject the null hypothesis (i.e., two distributions are drawn from the same population). To avoid any possible luminosity-dependent systematics, we perform additional K-S tests in fine redshift bins ($\Delta z=0.1$) over the redshift range 0.3 < z < 0.8; the probability (P=0.25, 0.49, 0.54, 0.39, and 0.03) that the two distributions (overdensities based on the 5th nearest neighbor) are different conclusively support this finding.

To further investigate if any environment influences are present, we measure the fraction of galaxies that host AGN as a function of overdensity. We follow the technique discussed in § 3.1 of Lehmer et al. (2007) to determine the AGN fraction for our parent population of galaxies. This method properly accounts for the spatially varying sensitivity limits of the XMM observations of the COSMOS field (Figure 1). The necessity of this approach is demonstrated in Figure 1 of Silverman et al. (2009) that shows the limiting X-ray luminosity as a function of redshift for the entire galaxy sample and the measured X-ray luminosities of those galaxies harboring AGN. The sensitivity of the XMM coverage is remarkably uniform as shown by the relatively narrow distribution of the Xray limits at each redshift. To properly account for the luminosity-redshift relation, we determine the contribu-

tion of each AGN separately to the total fraction. The AGN fraction (f; see equation 1 below) is determined by summing over the full sample of AGN (N) with $N_{\text{gal},i}$ representing the number of galaxies in which we could have detected an AGN with X-ray luminosity $L_{\rm X}^i$. The sampling rate of the random galaxies $(S_{qal}, 29.8\%)$ and AGN (i.e., X-ray sources, S_x ; 71.9%) are incorporated since these differ due to the fact that 54% of the X-ray sources are designated as 'Compulsory targets' when designing masks for VIMOS. We estimate the associated 1σ error (equation 2) using binomial statistics where N_{agn}^{eff} is the number of AGN that would be detected if all galaxies have the same limiting X-ray sensitivity and the sample of AGN was randomly selected. Here, we only consider AGN with $42.48 < \log L_{0.5-10 \text{keV}} < 43.7$. The lower limit ensures that we have a statistically significant sample of parent galaxies ($\gtrsim 700$) that could host each AGN while the upper limit restricts the sample to low-to-moderate luminosities thus securing the accuracy of their host-galaxy masses. We refer the reader to Silverman et al. (2008a) for further details and results employing this method.

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1/S_x}{N_{\text{gal},i}/S_{gal}} \tag{1}$$

$$\sigma^2 = N_{agn}^{eff} \times (N_{gal} - N_{agn}^{eff}) / N_{gal}^3 \tag{2}$$

In Figure 4a-c, we give the results of this exercise with the fraction of galaxies hosting AGN as measured in three bins of overdensity with widths set to have equal numbers of galaxies therein. In agreement with the previous analysis, we find that the AGN fraction is not strongly enhanced at any particular value of overdensity. The AGN fraction is low ($\sim 2 - 4\%$) compared to similar studies (e.g., Kauffmann et al. 2004) and due to our selection in a narrow range of X-ray luminosity. We finally remark that an underlying dependence on environment for a subset of our sample is realized as demonstrated in the next section and possibly seen here by the slight trend in the AGN fraction (Figure 4b - c).

We further test whether an environmental influence is present for AGN of a specific X-ray luminosity or hardness ratio. The hardness ratio (HR) is a means of easily characterizing the X-ray spectrum (i.e., level of absorption) by measuring the ratio of X-ray counts in the hard band (H; 2.0-10 keV) relative to the soft band (S; 0.5-10 keV): HR= (H-S) / (H+S). We plot in Figure 5 local overdensity versus X-ray luminosity while highlighting hard (HR > -0.2) sources. We find that there is no correlation between galaxy environment and the intrinsic AGN emissivity or any absorbing material.

3.1. High mass galaxies

We investigate whether there exists a relation between AGN activity and environment for galaxies of a specific stellar mass. Our motivation is based on the massdependent environmental relationship seen in SDSS studies (Kauffmann et al. 2004). In contrast to our analysis in the previous section, we include more X-ray luminous AGN (log $L_{0.5-10 \text{ keV}} > 42.48$) that have optical spectra dominated by their host galaxy as determined by visual inspection thus slightly improving our statistics for the



7



FIG. 4.— Overdensity distribution of a mass-selected sample of 2457 galaxies (Sample A; $log M_* > 10.4$; 0.1 < z < 1.0; dashed histogram) and those that host AGN (63; $42.48 < log L_{0.5-10.0 \text{ keV}} < 43.7$; solid histogram) using the 5th (a), 10th (b) and 20th (c) nearest neighbors. In each panel, the underlying parent galaxy population has been scaled to match the number of AGN. The results from a K-S test on the observed distributions are given in each panel. The data points are a measure of the fraction of galaxies hosting AGN with 1σ errors and horizontal bars denoting the bin size.



FIG. 5.— Overdensities using the 5th nearest neighbor for galaxies hosting AGN as a function of their X-ray luminosity. Absorbed AGN (HR > -0.2) are further marked by an open square. There are no environmental influences dependent on the intrinsic properties of AGN.

highest mass galaxies. In Figure 6, we show plots equivalant to those presented in the previous section and split into two mass bins (panels a - c: 10.4 < log M_* < 11; panels d - f: log $M_* > 11$) with the statistics given in Table 1 (Sample B, C). For the lower mass interval (Fig. 6a-c), we find that the results are consistent with our previous findings and the AGN fraction is remarkably similar over the full range of overdensities. On the other hand, the overdensity distribution (Fig. 6d - f) of galaxies hosting AGN is dissimilar to the underlying massive galaxy population due to the lack of AGNs in higher density environments $log(1+\delta > 0.5)$. The difference in these distributions is significant at the 2.3-2.5 σ level based on the probabilities (0.99, 0.98) from K-S tests using either the 5th or 10th nearest neighbor. We note that these results are still apparent when restricting the analysis to lower luminosity AGN although at a slightly reduced level of significance (2σ) . Therefore, the fraction of galaxies hosting AGN is higher in underdense regions $(log(1 + \delta < 0.5))$ since the offset between the distributions is substantially greater than typical errors in overdensity. These results may further explain the discrepancy between the environmental studies based on the SDSS (Miller et al. 2003; Kauffmann et al. 2004). We remark that our current sample of massive galaxies $(log M_* > 11)$ in zCOSMOS with AGN is small (20) and will improve with the full zCOSMOS 20k catalog and deeper *Chandra* observations.

3.2. Dependence on stellar content of AGN hosts

The result that AGN prefer to reside in lower density environments for those having massive host galaxies is reminiscent of the well-known color-density relation for galaxies in general. To explore this aspect further, we plot the distribution of rest-frame color U - V versus overdensity in Figure 7. For the following analysis, we decrease our mass limit log $M_* > 10.2$ in order to improve upon the numbers of AGN hosts with blue colors thus requiring us to reduce the maximum redshift to z = 0.8 to not induce a color bias (Table 1; Sample D). In panel a, galaxies exhibit an environmental influence with red galaxies (U - V > 1.6) having a prominent extension of their overdensity distribution towards higher values $log(1 + \delta_5 \gtrsim 1.0)$. The well-known trend of increasing density for redder galaxies (i.e. color-density relation) is clearly seen in panel b where we measure the mean overdensity for galaxies in bins of rest-frame color (black points).

We test whether galaxies hosting AGN exhibit a similar color-density relation as described above. AGN hosts are marked appropriately in Figure 7. From their mean overdensities (panel b), AGNs appear to follow a similar relation to the non-active galaxies although the errors are substantial with a significance of 1.7σ in the difference (see Georgakakis et al. 2007, for an equivalent analysis and conclusion). In support of the color-density relation



FIG. 6.— Overdensity distribution of galaxies (0.1 < z < 1.0) and those hosting AGN as a function of stellar mass: (Sample B; panels a-c) $10.4 < \log M_* < 11.0$, (Sample C; panels d-f) $\log M_* > 11.0$. The histograms and data points are the same as given in Fig. 4. The results from a K-S test are given in each panel.

for AGN hosts, we investigate the connection between ongoing star formation and environment. In Figure 7c, we plot the mass-weighted 'specific' SFR (sSFR), a quantity based on $[OII]\lambda 3727$ luminosity, versus galaxy overdensity. The mean overdensity in bins of sSFR is calculated and shown by the large black dots in panel d. We find a similar result and uncertainty as above with the environment being related to the stellar content of the hosts of AGN. It is worth highlighting that a clear dichotomy is present between the importance of both mass and environment in determining the likelihood of a galaxy's properties (see Cucciati et al. 2009, for further analysis based on zCOSMOS galaxies).

Coupled with the results of our companion study (Silverman et al. 2009), AGN prefer to reside in galaxies undergoing star formation irrespective of their environment. We illustrate this conclusion in Figure 8 where the fraction of galaxies hosting AGN is shown as a function of rest-frame color U - V and overdensity. Galaxies bluer $(U - V \leq 1.6)$ than those that have stopped forming stars (i.e., along the red sequence) have higher levels of AGN activity. We further find here that this result holds for AGN hosts residing in either lower or higher density environments.

By looking in detail at the most massive galaxies $(\log M_* > 11; \text{ Fig. 9})$, we can further understand the relation of AGNs to their environment and the presence of star formation. The locus of AGNs in the color-density plane (panel *a*) is offset from that of massive galaxies due

to their bluer colors and lower overdensities. Clearly, the distinction between the overdensity distribution of AGN hosts and their parent population is due to the fact that AGNs do not reside in red galaxies (panel b) that tend to live in denser environments but rather require fuel for accretion that tends to drive subsequent star formation. Therefore, the environments of AGNs hosts are similar to star-forming galaxies (c). We interpret these results as evidence that massive galaxies are more likely to have accreting SMBHs if not subjected to harsher environments that can effectively expel gas, due to processes related to galaxy interactions, thus impacting star formation and subsequent AGN activity.

4. AGN CONTENT OF OPTICALLY-SELECTED GROUPS

The presence of AGN within well-defined structures such as groups (Georgakakis et al. 2008), clusters (Martini et al. 2007; Gilli et al. 2007; Kocevski et al. 2008; Lehmer et al. 2008), or large-scale sheets/filaments (Gilli et al. 2003; Silverman et al. 2008a) as compared to the field offer an alternative perspective on the environmental impact on AGN activity. To do so, we utilize the catalog of 800 galaxy groups (Knobel et al. 2009) as described in Section 2.4. As done in previous analyses, we restrict the sample to galaxies with stellar masses above $2.5 \times 10^{10} \text{ M}_{\odot}$ thus resulting in a sample of 2444 galaxies with a designation as either a 'field' or 'group' galaxy. In Table 2, we list the numbers of galaxies in each subclass, those that host AGNs (Log $L_{0.5-10 \ keV} > 42.48$) and the



FIG. 7.— (a) Color-density relation of galaxies (small black circles; Table 1; Sample D) and those with AGN (large red circles). (b) Mean overdensity and associated error for color bins marked by the vertical dashed lines. The symbol colors are the same as in panel a. The AGN sample is split into two color bins that essentially represents 'blue cloud' and red sequence galaxies with a fixed color division (U - V = 1.6). (c) sSFR-density relation (arrows are upper limits) with mean values given in panel d. We find that the host galaxies appear to exhibit a color/sSFR - density relation similar to the underlying galaxy population although improved statistics are required to firmly justify such a conclusion.



FIG. 8.— Fraction of galaxies hosting AGN as a function of rest-frame color U - V and environment. Data points are given for environments above (filled circle) and below (open circle) $log(1 + \delta_5) = 0.6$. The color distribution of AGNs in these two environmental categories is also shown (solid histogram=high density, dashed histogram=lower density).

TABLE 2 SAMPLE STATISTICS-GROUP ANALYSIS

Environment	Galaxies $M_* > 10^{10.4}$	AGN ^a	AGN fraction (%)
Field Group $(R^{b} \ge 1)$ $(R^{b} \ge 2)$ $(R^{b} \ge 4)$	1675 828 614 491 237	63 27 21 19 10	$\begin{array}{c} 3.28 \pm 0.43 \\ 2.59 \pm 0.55 \\ 2.89 \pm 0.68 \\ 3.17 \pm 0.79 \\ 3.00 \pm 1.11 \end{array}$

 $\overline{a \log M_*} > 10.4; \log L_{0.5-10 \text{ keV}} > 42.48$

^b Effective group richness

derived AGN fraction as detailed below.

We simply measure the fraction of galaxies hosting AGN for the 'group' and 'field' populations separately to test whether any environmental dependency exists. Over the full redshift range (0.1 < z < 1.05), we measure the fraction to be $3.28 \pm 0.43\%$ for field galaxies. For galaxies in groups, we find an AGN fraction of $2.59 \pm 0.55\%$, based on two or more spectroscopically-identified group members, slightly less than that in the field although not statistically significant. To account for redshift-dependent effects and spatial sampling in the



FIG. 9.— Color-density relation for massive galaxies $(M_* > 10^{11} \text{ M}_{\odot})$. (a) Equivalent to Figure 7a with the addition of more luminous AGN (log $L_{0.5-10 \text{ keV}} > 43.7$) marked here with an open red circle. Overdensity distribution of red (b; U - V > 1.8) and blue (c; U - V < 1.8) galaxies (dashed histogram) separately with those hosting AGN shown by the solid histogram. The probabilities based on K-S tests are shown in the respective panel.

group catalog, we further isolate groups having an effective (i.e., corrected) richness (N_{eff}) defined as the number of galaxies above a given magnitude threshold (see section 4.2 of Knobel et al. 2009, for details) that can be detected over the full redshift range considered here. This limit is based on the absolute magnitude in the bband $(M_{b,lim} < 20.5 - z)$ and includes a redshift term to account for the luminosity evolution of galaxies. In Figure 10a, we show the distribution of effective richness for all galaxies (log $M_* > 10.4$) residing in groups that illustrates the scale of the zCOSMOS groups. In addition, the distribution of group mass (Fig. 10b) is given. Briefly, the group mass is the mean mass of halos in the mock catalog that are associated with groups of a specific effective richness (N_{eff}) . A redshift dependency is inherent given that effective richness is based on an observed quantity (i.e., apparent magnitude). Full details regarding these derived properties can be found in Knobel et al. (2009). Considering a selection on effective richness, we find a similar fraction of galaxies hosting AGN as compared to the field with no obvious dependence on the effective richness (see Table 2) of the group. Furthermore, there is no significant difference in the X-ray luminosity or host galaxy color (rest-frame U - V) distributions of AGNs in or out of groups (see Georgakakis et al. 2008, for similar analyses). These results are in agreement with our previous findings based on the density field that AGNs in galaxies with $M_* > 10^{10.4} M_{\odot}$ do not show a strong dependence on environment.

To determine if any signs of evolution exist, we plot the fraction of group galaxies hosting AGN in two redshift bins (Figure 11). Here, we select groups having $N_{eff} \geq 2$ as described above. The AGN fraction is remarkably similar between for galaxies in groups and in the field for both redshift intervals. The increase in the AGN fraction in the higher redshift bin for both the field and groups, is most likely due to the overall positive luminosity evolution of the AGN population (e.g., Barger et al. 2005; Silverman et al. 2008b). Therefore, we do not find an enhancement in the AGN content of groups with redshift as strong as reported for clusters (Eastman et al. 2007) above the level expected by the AGN luminosity function. As previously mentioned, we will improve upon the current sample, as justified by the substantial errors,

in a future investigation by using the zCOSMOS 20k catalog and deeper *Chandra* observations (Elvis et al. 2009) that provide additional AGNs of moderate luminosity ($42 \leq L_X \leq 43$) over the redshift range 0.5-1.0. A larger sample will enable us to isolate high mass galaxies ($M_* > 10^{11} M_{\odot}$) as done with the density field.

5. CONCLUSION AND SUMMARY

We have assessed whether the environment plays any role in regulating mass accretion onto SMBHs, on scales of a few megaparsecs, using 7234 galaxies ($i_{acs} < 22.5$; 0.1 < z < 1.0) from the zCOSMOS survey ('Bright' program). To do so, a parent sample of 2457 galaxies is carefully constructed based on stellar mass (log $M_* > 10.4$) with no obvious color (U - V) bias. Galaxies undergoing substantial accretion onto a SMBH are identified by the presence of an AGN with X-ray emission detected by XMM-Newton; we find 147 AGN with 101 residing in host galaxies having log $M_* > 10.4$. With two characterizations of the local environment, we measure the fraction of galaxies as a function of their galaxy overdensity based on the zCOSMOS density field (Kovač et al. 2009a) and presence in optically-selected galaxy groups (Knobel et al. 2009).

Based on the zCOSMOS density field, we find that the AGNs, with exception of those residing in the most massive host galaxies (log $M_* > 11$), span a broad range of environments (see Georgakakis et al. 2007, for similar conclusions), from the field to massive groups, equivalent to galaxies of similar stellar mass. Our findings do appear to be consistent with low redshift studies based on narrow-line AGN from the SDSS (Miller et al. 2003; Kauffmann et al. 2004; Constantin et al. 2008) that taken together demonstrate that the environments depend on the stellar mass of their host galaxy. The lack of an environmental dependence on AGN activity over the lower mass regime $(10.4 < \log M_* < 11)$ in zCOSMOS is compatible with the results of Miller et al. (2003) since their magnitude-limited sample, dominated by low luminosity AGN, most likely includes many lower mass galaxies. An environmental dependence with AGN activity less common in higher density regions emerges for 'strong AGN' residing in galaxies of higher mass (Kauffmann et al. 2004; Constantin et al. 2008) and is



FIG. 10.— Distribution of group properties (a: effective richness, b: mass) of galaxies hosting AGN (solid histogram) having $log M_* > 10.4$. For comparison, the distribution of all galaxies of similar masses has been renormalized and shown by the dashed histogram.

also evident in our study based on zCOSMOS galaxies with $log M_* > 11$. We contend that the discrepant findings based on SDSS studies at low redshift may be due to the differences in the underlying mass distribution of galaxes rather than the differences of the AGN selection.

We find that the presence of young stars in the host galaxies of AGN (Kauffmann et al. 2003; Jahnke et al. 2004; Silverman et al. 2009) is also indicative of their environments. Galaxies hosting AGN in zCOSMOS follow a similar color-density relation to non-active galaxies (Cucciati et al. 2009) with blue hosts (U - V < 1.6) having a lower mean overdensity than red galaxies. An



FIG. 11.— Fraction of galaxies (log $M_* > 10.4$) hosting AGN split by those in galaxy groups ($N_{eff} > 2$,filled circle) and those in the field (open circle). Errors are 1σ based on binomial statistics.

equivalent relation based on SFR or that weighted by stellar mass (specific) supports this assertion. As fully discussed in Cucciati et al. (2009), the sSFR is seen to be more strongly dependent on environment than SFR due to the underlying mass dependency discussed above. The majority of massive ($log M_* > 11$) AGN hosts having colors bluer than the underyling galaxy population agrees with their residence in lower density environments. Therefore, we conclude that the incidence of AGN activity as a function of environment depends on the properties of its host galaxy namely its mass content both in the form of stars and gas in the interstellar medium.

Furthermore, we carry out a complementary analysis of the environments of AGN by utilizing the opticallyselected catalog of galaxy groups (Knobel et al. 2009) in zCOSMOS. Such groups have halo masses $\sim 10^{12}$ – $10^{14} M_{\odot}$ similar to those that host the more luminous quasars (e.g., Porciani et al. 2004; Pasquali et al. 2008; Bonoli et al. 2008) and less massive than clusters at low redshift. We measure the incidence of AGN activity in galaxies in groups compared to that in the 'field'. This method effectively enables us to probe smaller physical scales (≤ 1 Mpc) not possible with the density field due to the spatial resolution of ± 1000 km s⁻¹ along the line-of-sight. An excess signal around quasars, based on either near-neighbor counts (Serber et al. 2006) or the quasar correlation function (Hennawi et al. 2006), highlights the importance of probing scales ~ 100 kpc. Although, the environments of 31 X-ray selected AGN (Waskett et al. 2005) with 0.4 < z < 0.6 from the Canada-France-Redshift Survey fields are indistinguishable from a well-matched control sample of galaxies on scales around 30-500 kpc.

We find in zCOSMOS that AGN are essentially equally likely to reside in or out of a galaxy group irrespective of its properties (i.e., effective richness). The fraction of group galaxies ($log M_* > 10.4$) hosting an AGN is similar to 'field' galaxies ($\sim 3\%$). This is in agreement with both our results based on the density field for galaxies spanning the full mass range $log M_* > 10.4$, and those of

Georgakakis et al. (2008) which consider the host galaxy properties. We note that the current AGN sample in zCOSMOS residing in galaxy groups is too small to investigate if an environmental effect for the most massive galaxies exists as exercised using the density field. Our lack of a strong environmental effect is also consistent with the incidence of X-ray selected AGN in galaxy clusters (Martini et al. 2007) as compared to the field. Also, an increase in the AGN fraction in the higher of two redshift bins is significantly smaller than the enhancement reported for galaxy clusters (Eastman et al. 2007) and in our case most likely due to the luminosity evolution of the AGN population since both the group and field samples show an increase of equal magnitude.

We conclude that internal processes (Hopkins & Hernquist 2006) play an important role in the growth of SMBHs during an AGN phase of moderate-luminosity ($L_X \sim 10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$; 'Seyfert mode') that accounts for the bulk of the Cosmic X-ray Background (e.g., Gilli et al. 2007). Major mergers of galaxies, possibly relevant for the more luminous quasar phenomenon, may not be the primary mechanism for fueling these AGN due to the lack of any enhancement of activity in specific environments likely to be conducive

- Antonucci, R. 1993, ARA&A, 31, 473

- Antonucci, R. 1993, ARA&A, 31, 473
 Baldry, I. K., Balogh, K., Bower, R. G., Glazebrrok, K., Nichol. R. C., Bamford, S. P., Budavari. T. 2006, MNRAS, 373, 469
 Barger, A., Cowie, L. L., Mushotzky, R. F., Yang, Y., Wang, W. -H., Steffen, A. T., Capak, P. 2005, AJ, 129, 578
 Barnes, J., Hernquist, L. 1996, ApJ, 471, 115
 Best, P. N., von der Linden, A., Kauffmann, G., Heckman, T., Kaise, C. R. 2007, MNRAS, 379, 894
 Bolzonella, M. et al. 2008, A&A, in preparation
 Bonoli, S., Marulli, F., Springel, V., White, S. D. M., Branchini, E., Moscardini, L. 2008, arXiv:0812.0003
 Bower, R. G., Benson, A. J., Malbon, R., Helly, J. C., Frenk, C. S., Baugh, C. M., Cole, S., Lacey, C. G. 2006, MNRAS, 370, 645
 Brusa, M., Zamorani, G., Comastri, A. et al. 2007, ApJS, 172, 353
 Bruzual, G., Charlot, S. 2003, MNRAS, 344, 1000
 Calzetti, D., Armus, L., Bohlin, R. C., Kinney, A. L., Koornneef, J., Storchi-Bergmann, T. 2000, ApJ, 533, 682
 Capael, P. et al. 2007, ApJS, 2007, 172, 99
 Cappelluti, N., Hasinger, G. et al., 2007, ApJS, 172, 341

- Capak, P. et al. 2007, ApJS, 2007, 172, 99
 Cappelluti, N., Hasinger, G. et al., 2007, ApJS, 172, 341
 Cappelluti, N., Brusa, M., Hasinger, G. et al. 2008, in preparation
 Coil, A. L., Hennawi, J. F., Newman, J. A., Cooper, M. C., Davis, M. ApJ, 654, 115
 Constantin, A., Hoyle, F., Vogeley, M. S. 2008, ApJ, 673, 715
 Cooper, M. C., Newman, J. A., Croton, D. J. et al. 2006, MNRAS, 270, 108
- 370, 198

- 370, 198
 Cucciati, O. et al. 2008, A&A, in preparation
 Dressler, A., Thompson, I. B., Shectman, S. A. 1985, ApJ, 288, 481
 Eastman, J., Martini, P., Sivakoff, G., Kelson, D. D., Mulchaey, J. S., Tran, Kim-Vy, 2007, ApJ, 664, 9
 Elvis, M., Civano, F., Vignali, C. et al. 2009, ApJ, submitted
 Gabor, J., Impey, C. D., Jahnke, K. et al. 2008, ApJ, submitted
 Gilmour, R., Gray, M. E., Almaini, O., Best, P., Wolf, P., Meisenheimer, K., Papovich, C., Bell, E. 2007, MNRAS, 380, 1467 1467
- Georgakakis, A., Nandra, P., Laird, E. S. et al. 2007, ApJ, 660, 15
 Georgakakis, A., Gerke, B. F., Nandra, P., Laird, E. S., Coil, A. L., Cooper, M. C., Newman, J.A. 2008, MNRAS, 391, 183
 Gilli, R., Cimatti, A., Daddi, E. et al. 2003, ApJ, 592, 721
 Gilli, R., Comastri, A., Hasinger, G. 2007, A&A, 463, 79
 Gilli, R., Zamorani, G. et al. A&A, in press, arXiv:0810.4769
 Granato, G. L. De Zotti, G. Silva, L. Bressan, A. Danese, L.

- Granato, G. L., De Zotti, G., Silva, L., Bressan, A., Danese, L. 2004, ApJ, 600, 580
 Grogin, N., Conselice, C. J., Chatzichristou, E. et al. 2005, MNRAS, 627, 97

- MNRAS, 627, 97 Hasinger, G., Cappelluti, N., Brunner, H. et al. 2007, 172, 29 Hill, G. J., Lilly, S. J. 1991, ApJ, 367, 1 Hennawi, J. F., Strauss, M. A., Oguri, M. et al. 2006, ApJ, 131, 1 Hopkins, P.F., Hernquist, L. 2006, ApJS, 166, 1 Hopkins, P. F., Hernquist, L., Cox, T. J., Di Matteo, T., Robertson, B., Springel, V. 2006, ApJS, 163, 1 Hopkins, P. F., Lidz, A., Hernquist, L., Coil, A., Myers, A. D., Cox, T. J., Spergel, D. N. 2007, ApJ, 662, 110

for merging (i.e., galaxy overdensities comparable to the small group scale). In the opposite sense, we do find that for the most massive galaxies (log $M_* > 11$) the environment plays a role possibly through various physical processes (e.g., tidal stripping, harrassment) in higher density environments that concurrently shuts down star formation and accretion onto supermassive black holes. This highlights the requirements for a galaxy to host an accreting SMBH, as observed by their X-rays, a massive bulge (e.g., Sanchez et al. 2004; Grogin et al. 2005; Silverman et al. 2008a; Gabor et al. 2008) and a sufficient fuel supply as indicated by the young stars usually present in AGN host galaxies (Kauffmann et al. 2003; Silverman et al. 2009).

We thank the referee and Paul Martini for constructive comments that significantly improved the paper. This work is fully based on observations undertaken at the European Southern Observatory (ESO) Very Large Telescope (VLT) under the Large Program 175.A-0839 (P.I., Simon Lilly).

Facilities: XMM, VLT:Melipal (VIMOS)

REFERENCES

- Hopkins, P. F., Hernquist, L., Cox, T. J., Kereš, D. 2008, ApJ, 175, 356

- Jobo Johnke, K., Kuhlbrodt, B., Wisotzki, L. 2004, MNRAS, 352, 399 Kauffmann, G., Heckman, T. M., Tremonti, C. et al. 2003, MNRAS, 346, 1055 Kauffmann, G., White, S., Heckman, T., Ménard, B., Brichmann, J., Charlot, S., Tremonti, C., Brinkmann, J. 2004, MNRAS, 353, 713
- Kitzbichler, M. G., White, S. D. M. 2007, MNRAS, 376, 2 Kocevski, D. D., Lubin, L. M., Lemaux, B. C. et al. arXiv:0809.2091

- Kovač, K., Lilly, S. et al. 2008, ApJ, in preparation Kovač, K., Lilly, S. et al. 2008, ApJ, in preparation Knobel, C., Lilly, S. et al. 2008, ApJ, in preparation Lamareille, F., Brinchmann, J., Contini, T. et al. 2008, A&A, arXiv:0811.2053
- Lehmer, B. D. et al. 2007, ApJ, 657, 681
- Lehmer, B. D. et al. 2007, ApJ, 657, 681
 Lehmer, B. D., Alexander, D. M., Geach, J. E. et al. 2008, arXiv:0809.5058
 Li, C. Kauffmann, G., Heckman, T. M., Jing, Y. P., White, S. D. M. 2008, MNRAS, 385, 1903
 Lilly, S. J. et al. 2007, ApJS, 172, 70
 Lilly, S. J. et al. 2009, in preparation
 Maier, C. Lilly, S. et al. 2009, ApJ, submitted
 Mandelbaum, R., Li, C., Kauffmann, G., White, S. D. M. 2008, arXiv:0806.4089

- arXiv:0806.4089

- Martini, P., Mulchaey, J. S., Kelson, D. D., 2007, ApJ, 664, 761 Meneux, B. et al. 2008, A&A, in preparation Mihos, C., Hernquist, L. 1996, ApJ, 464, 641 Miller, C. J., Nichol, R. C., Gómez, P. L., Hopkins, A. M., Bernardi,
- M. 2003, ApJ, 597, 142 Moustakas, J. Kennicutt, R. C., Tremonti, C. A. 2006, 642, 775 Padmanabhan, N., White, M., Norberg, P., Porciani, C 2008, arXiv:0802.2105
- Porciani, C., Magliocchetti, M., Norberg, P. 2004, MNRAS, 355, 1010
- Pozzetti, L. 2009, in preparation
- Pasquali, A. van den Bosch, F. C., Mo, H. J., Yang, X. Somerville, R. 2008, arXiv:0807.4178
- R. 2008, arXiv:0507.4178
 Sanchez, S. F., Jahnke, K., Wisotzki, L. et al. 2004, ApJ, 614, 586
 Sanders, D. B., Mirabel, I. F. 1996, ARA&A, 34, 749
 Sanders, D. et al. 2007, ApJS, 172, 86
 Schawinski, K., Thomas, D., Sarzi, M. et al. 2007, MNRAS, 382,
- 1415
- Scodeggio, M., Franzetti, P., Garilli, B. et al. 2005, PASP, 117, 1284
- Scoville, N., Aussel, H., Brusa, M. et al. 2007, ApJS, 172, 1 Serber, W., Bahcall, N., Ménard, B., Richards, G. 2006, ApJ, 643,
- 68
- Springel, V. et al. 2005, Nature, 435, 629
- Strand, N. E., Brunner, R. J., Myers, A. D. 2007, arXiv:0712.2474

- Silverman, J.D., Green, P.J. 2005, ApJ, 618, 123
 Silverman, J. D., Mainieri, V., Lehmer, B. D. et al. 2008, ApJ, 675, 1025
 Silverman, J. D., Green, P. J., Barkhouse, W. et al. 2008, ApJ, 679, 118
 Silverman, I. D., et al. 2000, An Luck rite day, Viewer 2005, 2005

- ⁶013, 118
 Silverman, J. D., et al. 2009, ApJsubmitted, arXiv:0810.3653
 Somerville, R. S., Hopkins, P. F., Cox, T. J., Robertson, B. E., Hernquist, L. 2008, arXiv:0808.1227

- Tremonti, C. A., Heckman, T. M., Kauffmann, G. et al. 2004, ApJ, 613, 898
 Trump, J., Impey, C. et al. 2007, ApJS, 172, 383
 van der Wel, A. 2008, ApJ, 675, 13
 Waskett, T. J., Eales, S. A., Gear, W. K., McCracken, H. J., Lilly, S. 2005, MNRAS, 363, 801