

Manganese(II) Oxidation and Mn(IV) Reduction in the Environment—Two One-Electron Transfer Steps Versus a Single Two-Electron Step

George W. Luther, III

College of Marine Studies, University of Delaware, Lewes, Delaware 19958, USA

The chemistry of electron transfer processes are reviewed using a knowledge of orbital properties and available experimental data. $Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ and $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ oxidation with O₂ are discussed and compared. $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ oxidation by O₂ occurs via an inner sphere process after complexation with inorganic (e.g.; OH⁻, increase pH) or organic ligands that replace water; whereas $Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ at circumneutral pH occurs via an outer sphere mechanism. An outer sphere electron transfer process is symmetry forbidden for $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ based on analysis of the frontier molecular orbitals of the reactants. At higher pH, an inner-sphere process is also available for $Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ oxidation as hydroxide and organic ligands replace water and bind Fe(II). The bonding of O_2 to Fe(H₂O)₆²⁺ in the precursor complex results in faster electron transfer for the inner sphere process than occurs in the outer-sphere process which occurs at lower pH. The bonding between the reactants in an inner sphere process is likely "end on" bonding for O2 to the metal with a bent M-O-O bond angle. Side-on bonding for O2 to the metal is possible and could lead to two-electron transfers from Mn(II) compounds but requires stabilization of the Mn-O₂ bonding with organic ligands such as porphyrins. This would occur as an oxidative addition type reaction where the Mn(II) would give up two electrons to two different orbitals of O₂ and increase its local coordination environment. For two-electron transfers during Mn(II) compound oxidation, multinuclear Mn complexes are required. One-electron transfers are more likely to occur during the oxidation of $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ by O_2 and the reduction of MnO_2 than two-electron transfers. Both soluble and solid phase Mn(III) species form as intermediates or stable species. From a microbiological viewpoint, Mn(III) compounds are ideal reagents as Mn(III) can act as an electron acceptor forming soluble $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ or as an electron donor forming insoluble MnO₂. One-electron transfers are predicted based on the different spatial characteristics of the d_{z2} and d_{x2-y2} orbitals. The d_{z2} orbital has electron density on all three Cartesian coordinate axes (primarily the z axis) but the d_{x2-y2} orbital has electron density only in the xy plane. Adding or losing two electrons simultaneously is not as likely a process but possible.

However, O atom transfer can readily account for a two-electron transfer in MnO_2 reduction. Better knowledge of the structures of Mn intermediates and of the types of reductant appears to be key for describing whether two one-electron transfer steps or a single two-electron step may be operative during MnO_2 reduction.

Keywords aqueous manganese (III), manganese(II) oxidation, manganese(III), Mn(IV) reduction, one-electron transfer, twoelectron transfer

INTRODUCTION

The oxidation of aqueous $Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ and $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ has received much attention over the years and is the subject of considerable interest in environmental chemistry and microbiology (Stumm and Morgan 1996; Morgan 2005). In this paper, I review the different reactivity of aqueous $Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ and $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ by O₂ using an analysis of their molecular orbitals; in particular, the metal d orbitals which accept or donate electrons during oxidation and reduction. Both metal ions and O₂ are formally Lewis acids as they both accept electrons; metals accept two electrons from each ligand binding to them whereas O₂ accepts electrons during redox processes. This chemical behavior suggests that reactivity may be slow if inner-sphere processes are important. As shown below, $Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ oxidation can occur via outer-sphere processes, whereas $Mn(\ddot{H}_2O)_6^{2+}$ oxidation must occur via inner-sphere processes. For both of these metal oxidation reactions to be facile, it is necessary to enhance the metal ion's ability to lose an electron (become a reducing agent or a "base"). This can be accomplished with the appropriate ligating atoms. Aqueous $Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ and $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ are both labile cations with d^6 $(t_{2g}^4e_g^2)$ and d^5 $(t_{2g}^3e_g^2)$ electron configurations, respectively. This lability allows for easy interchange of ligands. Also, five- and six-coordinate geometries for these metals are favored over the four-coordinate (tetrahedral) geometry in order to enhance metal basicity (and metal reducing power) and to stabilize the higher oxidation state which results on oxidation of these metals. $Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ can only undergo a one-electron loss to Fe(III) species but $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ can undergo either one-electron or two-electron loss to Mn(III) and Mn(IV) species, respectively. Mn(III) solid (oxy)hydroxide phases are well known during the

Received 16 August 2004; accepted 5 January 2005.

This work was supported by a grant from the Chemical Oceanography program of the National Science Foundation (OCE-0096365).

Address correspondence to George W. Luther, College Marine Studies, University of Delaware, Lewes, Delaware 19958, USA. E-mail: luther@udel.edu

chemical oxidation of $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ (e.g., Murray et al. 1985). A question arises whether Mn(III) can be soluble in environmental processes as is known in purely chemical processes (e.g., Cotton et al. 1999) and, if so, is it stable or does it disproportionate.

The oxidation of $Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ occurs above pH 1 (Stumm and Morgan 1996)—the reaction is slow at acid pH and faster at neutral and basic pH. However, the oxidation of $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ is exceedingly slow or nonexistent until pH > 9 (Diem and Stumm 1984; Stumm and Morgan 1996). A key feature of these reactions is the effect that OH⁻ and other O atom ligands have on enhancing metal basicity or metal reducing power and on stabilizing the higher oxidation states of the metals formed during the oxidation. However, the pathways for the iron and manganese reactions are discretely different from each other, which can be shown from an analysis of their frontier molecular orbitals.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

$Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ and $Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ Oxidation—A Frontier Molecular Orbital Analysis

Background material for this work can be found in a variety of inorganic chemistry textbooks (e.g., Pearson 1976; Shriver et al. 1994; Cotton et al. 1999) and papers (e.g., Luther, 1987, 1990) but the essentials are provided throughout the discussion.



FIG. 1. The shapes of the d orbitals. The e_g orbitals (A) in octahedral symmetry have σ bonding and anitbonding character because they have electron density on the bond axes (the Cartesian axes). The t_{2g} orbitals (B) in octahedral symmetry have π character because they have electron density in between the bond axes (the Cartesian axes). The color of the orbital lobes indicate + (black) or - (white) character for the original wavefunction. Lobes of similar sign (color) can overlap to have bonding or to have electron transfer.



FIG. 2. Orbital energy diagrams for the Fe(II, III) (A) and Mn(III, IV) (B) oxidation states in octahedral geometry. Large arrows indicate the loss of electrons (circled) in each set.

Figure 1 shows the metal d orbitals (hydrogen-like for simplicity) and Figure 2 shows their electron occupancy for octahedral $Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ (d⁶ – 6 d electrons), $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ (d⁵ – 5 d electrons) and for octahedral compounds of Fe(III) and Mn(IV). The 5 d orbitals split into a set of t_{2g} orbitals from the d_{xy}, d_{xz} and d_{yz} orbitals which have pi (π) character and a set of e_{g}^{*} orbitals from the d_{z2} and d_{x2-y2} orbitals which have sigma (σ) character. The * indicates antibonding orbitals. Formally the t_{2g} orbitals have nonbonding character in octahedral geometry. But, with ligating atoms that have extra lone pairs of electrons such as oxygen (π donor ligands), the t_{2g} orbitals can accept electrons. With ligands that have empty orbitals such as dioxygen, olefins and organic S and P compounds (π acceptor ligands), the empty orbitals can accept electron density from the t_{2g} orbitals. In other geometries, the d orbitals split into different energy configurations and have different bonding characteristics.

The circles and arrows in Figure 2 indicate that loss of an electron from $Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ comes from a t_{2g} orbital whereas loss of electrons from $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ come from e_g^* orbitals. Because the t_{2g} and e_g^* orbitals have different symmetry, the mechanisms for electron loss for $Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ and $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ should be different. In addition, the d_{z2} and d_{x2-y2} orbitals occupy different regions of space. The d_{z2} orbital has electron density on all three Cartesian coordinate axes (primarily the z axis) but the d_{x2-y2} orbital has electron simultaneously for Mn^{2+} is not expected.

$Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ and O_2

Figure 3 (right side) shows that the highest occupied molecular orbitals (HOMO or electron donor orbitals) and lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals (LUMO or electron acceptor orbitals) for O_2 are the same orbitals as these are singly occupied molecular orbitals (SOMO). Thus O_2 cannot accept two electrons into the same molecular orbital as this is a violation of the



FIG. 3. Orbital energy diagrams showing the loss of electrons from $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ and $Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ to O_2 (right orbital diagram). Note the $Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ orbital has the same symmetry (π) as O_2 whereas $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ has a mismatch. The O_2 singly occupied molecular orbitals have an energy of -0.44 eV and are a good electron acceptor.

Pauli Exclusion Principle. This orbital population for O₂ also makes $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ oxidation as a two-electron transfer process difficult. For both $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ and $Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ oxidation, an electron must be lost and be transferred to the π^* orbital(s) of O₂. For outer-sphere electron transfer processes where the reactants do not form bonds, electron transfer is symmetry allowed when

both reactant orbitals are of the same type (Pearson 1976; Shriver et al. 1994) as is the case for the HOMO of $Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ and the LUMO of O_2 (both are π symmetry). Because the HOMO of $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ is σ symmetry, an outer sphere reaction process with O_2 is symmetry forbidden. Figure 4 shows a schematic diagram for the reaction of $Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ with O_2 . The top portion of the figure shows the orbitals of one O atom from water or hydroxide ion (on the left) binding directly to $Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ but the dashed line indicates that there is no bond formed between $Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ and O_2 . However, the d_{xy} orbital of $Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ and the π_y^* orbital of O_2 are properly aligned in space for electron transfer and oxidation of $Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+}$.

This simple orbital picture fits the pH data that is described in Stumm and Morgan (1996). The rate law for $Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ oxidation by O₂ is in equation 1 and is consistent with an

$$\frac{-d[Fe(II)]}{dt} = k[Fe(II)][OH-]^2 pO_2$$
[1]

outer sphere electron process. As pH increases so does oxidation and the amount of hydroxide bound to $Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+}$. When hydroxide ion, a π donor ligand, is bound to $Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ instead of water, there is more electron donation through the p_y (and p_x) like orbital of hydroxide ion to the d_{xy} (and d_{yz}) orbital of $Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ because of the excess negative charge. This facilitates the loss of an electron from $Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ to O_2 . In essence the $Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ is made to act more as a base by hydroxide ion. The



FIG. 4. Molecular orbital diagram (top) demonstrates the electron transfer process during the metal centered oxidation of $Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ by O₂. O atoms from ligands (on left) push electron density from the p_x and p_y orbitals to the d_{xz} and d_{yz} orbitals of $Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ to stabilize the higher oxidation state that results. One of the d_{xz} and d_{yz} orbitals of $Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ in turn donates an electron to the π_x^* or π_y^* orbital of O₂. Bottom part of the figure shows the orbital energy diagrams of each species. Note that Cartesian coordinate axes are drawn differently from Figure 1. Black and white lobes are in the xz plane and gray scale lobes are in the xy and yz planes.

higher the pH is, the more likely the oxidation will occur. However, inner sphere processes are also likely at higher pH (Luther 1990). These are described below for $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ with O_2 .

$Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ and O_2

The electron transfer from $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ to O_2 cannot occur by an outer-sphere process for two major reasons. First, the transfer of electrons from Mn $e_g^*(\sigma)$ to $O_2\pi^*$ is a poor symmetry mix because π to π as well as σ to σ transfers are favored for outersphere mechanisms but not π to σ or σ to π . Second, the $t_{2g}(\pi)$ to π^* -electron transfer is energetically unfeasible because the t_{2g} orbital is not the HOMO orbital of $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$. Thus, the case for $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ oxidation is more complex as $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ must lose electrons from the e_g^* orbitals which are on the bond axis. Figure 5 shows a schematic diagram for the reaction of $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ with O₂. The top portion of the figure shows the orbitals of one O atom from water or hydroxide ion (on the left) binding directly to $Mn(H_2O_6^{2+})$ but there must be an angular bond (bent Mn-O-O or "end on" attachment by O₂) formed between an e_g^* orbital of Mn(H₂O)₆²⁺ and a π^* orbital of O₂. As this bond forms there is a formal electron transfer from the Mn²⁺ to O2, and this transfer can be considered to be the formation of Mn^{3+} and the superoxide ion, O_2^- . Hydroxide ion binding to Mn helps to stabilize the higher oxidation states of Mn along both the σ and π systems. The angular bonding between Mn(H₂O)²⁺₆

and O_2 would be analogous to the bonding of $Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ to O_2 in hemoglobin (Shriver et al. 1994). Recent ab initio calculations also show that an outer sphere mechanism is not available for this reaction (Rosso and Morgan 2002) in accordance with a linear free energy analysis of metal oxidation rates by Wehrli (1990).

As in the case of Fe^{2+} , increasing the pH increases the rate of oxidation as more hydroxide ion binds to $\text{Mn}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6^{2+}$. The rate law for $\text{Mn}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6^{2+}$ oxidation is given in equation 2. This rate law indicates that the formation of manganese oxide solid phases

$$\frac{-d[Mn(II)]}{dt} = k_0[Mn(II)] + k_1[Mn(II)][MnO_2]$$
[2]

(e.g.; MnO_2) enhances the oxidation and thus the process is autocatalytic as $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ adsorbs to and complexes with solid phases. The process is also enhanced by bacteria and organic chelates with carboxyl and hydroxyl functional groups. The initial steps in the electron transfer process can be represented simply by equations 3 and 4.

$$\begin{split} [Mn^{II}(H_2O)_6]^{2+} + 2OH^- &\to [Mn^{II}(H_2O)_4(OH)_2]^\circ + 2H_2O \\ fast & [3] \\ [Mn^{II}(H_2O)_4(OH)_2]^\circ + O_2 &\to [Mn^{III}(H_2O)_4(OH)^2]^+ + O_2^- \\ slow & [4] \end{split}$$



FIG. 5. Molecular orbital diagram (top) demonstrates the electron transfer process during the metal centered oxidation of $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ by O₂. O atoms from ligands (on left) push electron density from the p_x and p_y orbitals to the d_{xz} and d_{yz} orbitals of $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ to stabilize the higher oxidation state that results. However these do not donate to the O₂ orbital. Instead the d²_z orbital (e^{*}_g) of $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ shares an electron with the π^*_x orbital of O₂ to form a new sigma bond that is bent or angular Mn-O-O. Bottom part of the figure shows the orbital energy diagrams of each species. Note that Cartesian coordinate axes are drawn differently from Figure 1. Black and white lobes are in the xz plane and gray scale lobes are in the xy and yz planes.



FIG. 6. Orbital energy diagram showing the change in energy and symmetry of Mn(II) orbitals from purely octahedral (left) to tetragonally distorted Mn(II) (center) to Mn(III) (right). This splitting is created by having different ligands (e.g., from all water ligands to a mixture of water and hydroxide ligands) bind to the Mn.

Equation 4 shows two hydroxide ions because there is a need to change the symmetry of $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ from octahedral geometry (see below and Figure 6). In a recent review of Mn(II) oxidation, Morgan (2005) has indicated that the di-hydroxide species is the primary reactant. The schematic representation in Figure 5 is consistent with this rate law as O atoms from MnO₂ or other higher oxidation state solid Mn compounds would replace the O atom from water or hydroxide ion as π donor ligands. This process is much faster once manganese minerals are formed because of their ability to complex the Mn(H₂O)₆²⁺ in solution. Organic chelates as well as bacterial spore coats (Mandernack et al. 1995a, 1995b; Bargar et al. 2000) are also able to complex the Mn(H₂O)₆²⁺ in solution, and thus they create a similar enhancement on Mn(H₂O)₆²⁺ oxidation rates.

In the chemical literature, one-electron transfer processes for oxidation of Mn(II) compounds are well known (e.g., Lever et al. 1981). Complexation of the $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ by organic ligands has been shown to remove the octahedral symmetry of the Mn(II). Complexation rearranges the energies of the d orbitals to affect electron transfer. At least one d HOMO orbital must have more positive energy in the new geometry to initiate electron transfer. An energy-level representation similar to that for Mn-phthalocyanine complexes (pc = phthalocyanine which is a porphyrin-like molecule; Lever et al. 1981) is shown in Figure 6. This process shows the formation of the Mn(III) oxidation state and also shows the final orbital diagram for Mn(III) (d⁴), which is predicted by the Jahn-Teller theorem (Shriver et al. 1994). The bonding is bent Mn-O-O ("end on" attachment by O₂) as described above in Figure 5.

The discussion above has been limited to metal-centered oxidations [direct electron transfer from Mn(II) compounds to O_2]. However, one-electron transfers by ligand-centered oxidation can occur, but in a two-step process. These steps are the initial electron transfer from the ligand [bound to Mn(II)] to another electron acceptor, followed by electron transfer from Mn(II) to the ligand. Figure 7 shows this two-step process for Mn(II) porphyrin complexes (Richert et al. 1988) where an electron from the x^2-y^2 orbital of Mn in a square planar geometry transfers an electron into the porphyrin ligand. Equation 5 displays the process where P = porphyrin and EA = electron acceptor. This process is likely to happen in the environment at suboxic interface zones, where decomposition of organic matter occurs and creates unsaturated organic compounds for metal binding.

$$[Mn^{II}(P)^{2-}]^{0} + EA \rightarrow [Mn^{II}(P)^{-}]^{+} + EA^{-}$$

$$\rightarrow [Mn^{III}(P)^{2-}]^{+} + EA^{-}$$
[5]

One-Electron Transfer to Form Mn(III) Intermediates—Soluble and/or Solid

 $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ oxidation (both chemical and microbial) generally results in Mn(III) as an intermediate. Mn(III) seems to be a forgotten intermediate in environmental processes although recent interest has accelerated. However, from a microbiological viewpoint, Mn(III) compounds are ideal reagents as Mn(III) compounds can act as electron acceptors forming soluble $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ or as electron donors forming insoluble MnO_2 . Figure 6 shows the conversion of Mn(II) organic complexes to Mn(III) (d⁴), which results in a tetragonally distorted geometry (2 long bonds on the z axis and 4 short bonds in the xy plane) rather than octahedral geometry with all six bonds equivalent. This electron configuration results in higher kinetic lability (ligand exchange) and reactivity for Mn(III) compounds than Mn(II) compounds. This coupled with the thermodynamically favored disproportionation reaction of inorganic Mn(III) to Mn(II) and Mn(IV) (eq. 6) has led environmental scientists to largely ignore Mn(III) compounds.

$$2\mathrm{Mn}^{3+} \to \mathrm{Mn}^{2+} + \mathrm{MnO}_2$$
 [6]



FIG. 7. Top diagram shows a square planar arrangement of ligands around Mn(II) in a porphyrin complex. The bottom diagram shows the movement of electrons from right to left in a ligand centered oxidation process. (1) shows the loss of an electron form the ligand binding Mn to an electrode or another electron acceptor orbital. (2) shows the loss of a Mn(II) electron from a $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital to a ligand p_y orbital. Note that Cartesian coordinate axes are drawn differently from Figures 1, 4, and 5.

However, one of the best known chemical Mn(III) examples occurs in the Winkler titration to determine O_2 . In this titration, O_2 in a water sample is reacted with soluble Mn(H₂O)₆²⁺ under very basic conditions to form a brown solid Mn(III) precipitate. In the presence of iodide and acid, the I⁻ is then oxidized to I₂, which is then titrated with thiosulfate to determine O_2 . This is an excellent quantitative example of the abiotic formation of Mn(III) from Mn(II)—a one-electron transfer. A host of researchers (e.g., Murray et al. 1985) have shown that the first formed precipitate of abiotic Mn(H₂O)₆²⁺ oxidation is a Mn(III) solid phase. Similar results have also been found for biological processes (e.g., Mandernack et al. 1995a) although MnO₂ forms as well at moderately high pH.

Although the chemical literature has many examples of soluble Mn(III) complexes with inorganic and organic ligands, soluble Mn(III) has not been well studied by environmental chemists because of the widespread belief that once any Mn(III) forms it will disproportionate to Mn^{2+} and MnO_2 (equation 6). However, polyphosphates such as pyrophosphate $(P_4O_7)^{4-}$ are known in the environment and they complex Mn(III) readily (Kostka et al. 1995). These ligands are likely organic decomposition products from ATP or ADP. Organic acids also bind Mn(III) but the stability of these Mn(III) complexes is not as good as pyrophosphate because the Mn(III) oxidizes the carboxylic acid functional group to CO₂ (Klewicki and Morgan 1998, 1999; Luther et al. 1999). Hydroxamates, Fe(III) siderophores, also bind Mn(III) (Faulkner et al. 1994; Parker et al. 2004). Lastly, the photosystem II center has a cubic arrangement of Mn(II, III, IV) alternating with O atoms (Mn_4O_4). Decomposition of the center in suboxic interface zones would release the various forms of Mn including Mn(III) as soluble entities.

Tebo et al. (2004) have reviewed $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ oxidation to Mn(IV) in the environment, which appears to be mediated by a multicopper oxidase-like enzyme. Experimental results from

several methods show that Mn(III) is an intermediate in the process. Webb et al. (2002, 2005) using XANES measurements showed that Mn(III) is formed when $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ oxidation is mediated by *Bacillus* sp. strain spores. Also, addition of pyrophosphate to the solution results in the formation of the Mn(III) pyrophosphate complex. Recently, Parker et al. (2004) showed that a pyoverdine siderophore, which is produced by a $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ oxidizing organism, binds Mn(III). These workers concluded that two-sequential one-electron transfer reactions occur with the formation of a short-lived soluble or enzyme-complex Mn(III) intermediate.

Four electrons are required to fully reduce O_2 to H_2O and break the O-O double bond. This fact coupled with evidence for one electron transfer processes for Mn indicate that isotopic enrichment experiments should not show significant quantities of oxygen from O_2 in the Mn(III) and Mn(IV) phases produced. In fact, Mandernack et al. (1995b) showed that there was no indication of O atoms from O_2 in their abiotic experiments that produced Mn₃O₄ (equation 7) and MnOOH (equation 8) when a maximum of 25% could be expected based on the written reactions (equations 7 and 8) alone. However, when Mn(H₂O)₆²⁺ oxidizing bacteria were used, about 40–50% of the O atoms in MnO₂ came from O₂. These latter reactions were allowed to age for 4 days to 1 year and are subject to uncertainty.

$$3Mn^{2+} + \frac{1}{2}O_2 + 3H_2O \rightarrow Mn_3O_4 + 6H^+$$
 [7]

$$Mn^{2+} + 1/4O_2 + 3/2H_2O \rightarrow MnOOH + 2H^+$$
 [8]

Based on the spatial orientation of the two $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ HOMO orbitals above, one electron transfer processes appear to be most likely in environmental Mn processes. Because Cu undergoes one electron processes between Cu²⁺ and Cu⁺, multicopper oxidase-like enzymes likely undergo one-electron transfer steps.



FIG. 8. Molecular orbital diagram (right) demonstrates the two-electron electron transfer process during the metal centered oxidation of Mn(II) by O₂. Both the d_{xz} and d_{yz} orbitals of Mn(II) donate an electron each to the π_x^* or π^*_y orbital of O₂ (see arrows) Left part of the figure shows the geometry of the Mn²⁺ porphyrin complex. Note that Cartesian coordinate axes are drawn differently from Figure 1. Black and white lobes are in the xz plane and gray scale lobes are in the xy and yz planes.

Possibility of Two-Electron Transfer in Mn(II) Oxidation

An example of a two-electron transfer process for metalcentered Mn(II) oxidation has been provided by Hoffman et al. (1978) using a Mn(II) porphyrin complex. Overall, this can be considered an oxidative addition of Mn(II) to O₂ to form Mn(IV) and peroxide ion; i.e., Mn(II) loses two electrons to O₂ as it adds the O₂ into its coordination shell. This reaction is similar to that found in Vaska's complex (Vaska 1963), which has reversible O₂ binding. Figure 8 is a schematic representation of the process. The Mn(II) complex is formally five coordinate (5 pairs of electrons donating to Mn) and exhibits side on bonding with O₂. Side on attack allows for overlap of both $d_{yz} \rightarrow \pi_y^*$ and $d_{xz} \rightarrow \pi_x^*$; i.e, one electron from the d_{xz} and d_{yz} orbitals of Mn(II) are donated to the appropriate π orbitals of O₂. This process cannot occur with angular "end on" bonding as in Figure 5 because of the bent Mn-O-O. If this MnO₂ intermediate could react with another Mn(II) so that the second Mn could donate electrons to the O_2 , then the O-O bonds in O_2 would be broken with formation of two M-O-M bridges in a four-membered ring (Cotton et al. 1999). Eventual release of MnO_2 is then possible, which should result in 50% of the O atoms from O_2 and the other 50% from water in the product MnO_2 . This reaction sequence is represented by equations 9a–9e.

$$\begin{split} Mn^{2+} + O_2 & \rightarrow Mn^{2+}O_2 \\ & [9a-inner sphere complex forms] \\ Mn^{2+}O_2 & \rightarrow Mn^{4+}O_2^{2-} \\ & [9b-internal two-electron transfer] \\ Mn^{4+}O_2^{2-} & + Mn^{2+} & \rightarrow Mn^{4+}O_2^{2-}Mn^{2+} \\ & [9c-Mn^{2+} adds to MnO_2 complex] \\ Mn^{4+}O_2^{2-}Mn^{2+} & \rightarrow Mn^{4+}O_2^{4-}Mn^{4+} \\ & [9d-internal two-electron transfer] \\ Mn^{4+}O_2^{4-}Mn^{4+} + 2H_2O & \rightarrow 2MnO_2 + 4H^+ \\ & [9e-breakdown to products] \end{split}$$



FIG. 9. Orbital energy diagrams depicting the change in energy and symmetry of Mn(IV) orbitals from purely octahedral (left) to octahedral Mn(III) (center) to tetragonally distorted Mn(III) (right). This latter splitting is predicted by the Jahn-Teller theorem.



FIG. 10. Orbital energy diagrams showing the possible ways that Mn(IV) can add two electrons to its e_g^* orbital set. Accepting two electrons in one orbital is more likely and should result in (A) followed by electron rearrangement (C) to form (B) (O atom transfer is a possible mechanism). The X mark indicates that forming (B) directly is less likely and requires two different orbitals from a ligand to donate a single electron each to the e_g^* orbitals of Mn(IV). The latter process would be the reverse of that shown in Figure 8.

MnO₂ Reduction

Based on the orbital discussion above, one-electron transfers are also likely for MnO_2 reduction to $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ with Mn(III)intermediate species being formed. Figure 9 shows that adding an electron to one of the e_g orbitals results in Mn(III) compounds and an orbital rearrangement previously shown for $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ oxidation to form Mn(III) compounds (e.g., Figure 6). By contrast, Figure 10 shows that for a two-electron transfer to occur, the upper pathway (A) is more likely because two electrons as a lone pair can donate to an empty orbital with subsequent rearrangement (C) to form B. For B to form directly requires a reductant with 2 orbitals that can donate one electron each. To date, data on electron transfer processes during MnO_2 reduction appear sparse.

Electrochemical reduction of MnO_2 in sulfuric acid to MnOOHas an intermediate has been reported by Nijjer et al. (2000). Also, an one-electron transfer has been reported during the electrochemical reduction of MnO_2 by Ruppel et al. (2001). In these latter experiments, a polymeric form of MnO_2 was applied to a metal coupon and electrochemically reduced in the absence of bacteria and O_2 . Based on the quantity of MnO_2 applied to the metal coupon, an excess current of 2 to 5 times based on reduction directly to $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ was produced. Formation of Mn(III) with disproportionation to reform MnO_2 could account for the current increase.

Chemical studies (e.g.; Stone 1987; Luther et al. 1999) related to environmental processes have proposed that Mn(III) compounds form during MnO₂ reduction by organic reductants. Kinetic data indicate that a manganese-organic complex forms during reduction and that the complex contains Mn(III). However, trapping the intermediate complex has generally proved to be difficult (Luther et al. 1999). Mn(III) appears to be produced during microbiological reduction of MnO_2 as shown by Tebo et al. (2004), who were able to use pyrophosphate to react with the Mn(III) formed.

However, in a kinetic study on the reduction of MnO_2 by nitrite to from nitrate and $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$, Luther and Popp (2002) reported that O atom transfer was likely. This would result in a two-electron transfer as in equation 10. This reaction is inner sphere and

$$MnO_2 + NO_2^- + 2H^+ \rightarrow Mn^{2+} + NO_3^- + H_2O$$
 [9]

could occur as outlined in Figure 10 to form (A) first. NO₂⁻ would react and remove an O atom from MnO₂. This O atom is on the sigma bond axis and the Mn orbital binding with the O atom has σ (e^{*}_g) character. As NO₃⁻ leaves the Mn, two electrons would remain with the Mn in a single e^{*}_g orbital. Electron rearrangement via (C) would then occur to form (B) as in Figure 10.

CONCLUSIONS

 $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ oxidation by O_2 occurs via an inner sphere process whereas $Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ at circumneutral pH occurs via an outer sphere mechanism. An outer sphere process is symmetry forbidden for $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ based on analysis of the frontier molecular orbitals of the reactants. At higher pH, an inner-sphere process is also available for $Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ oxidation. The bonding of O_2 to $Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ in the precursor complex results in faster electron transfer for inner sphere processes than occurs in the outer-sphere process which occurs at lower pH. The bonding between the reactants in inner sphere processes is likely "end

on" bonding for O_2 to the metal with a bent M-O-O bond angle. Side-on bonding for O_2 to the metal is possible and could lead to two-electron transfers from Mn^{2+} but requires stabilization of the Mn-O₂ bonding with organic ligands such as porphyrins. This would occur as an oxidative addition type reaction where the metal would give up two electrons to two different orbitals of O_2 and increase its local coordination environment. For two-electron transfers during Mn^{2+} oxidation, multinuclear Mn complexes are required.

One-electron transfers are more likely to occur during the oxidation of $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ by O_2 and the reduction of MnO_2 than twoelectron transfers. Both soluble and solid phase Mn(III) species can form as intermediates or stable species. From a microbiological viewpoint, Mn(III) compounds are ideal reagents as Mn(III) can act as an electron acceptor forming soluble $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ or as an electron donor forming insoluble MnO2. One-electron transfers are predicted based on the different spatial characteristics of the d_{z2} and d_{x2-v2} orbitals. The d_{z2} orbital has electron density on all three Cartesian coordinate axes (primarily the z axis) but the d_{x2-v2} orbital has electron density only in the xy plane. Adding or losing two electrons simultaneously is not a facile process. However, O atom transfer can account for a two-electron transfer in MnO₂ reduction. Better knowledge of the structures of Mn intermediates and the types of reductant appears to be key for describing whether two one-electron transfer steps or a single two-electron step may be operative during MnO₂ reduction.

REFERENCES

- Bargar JR, Tebo BM, Villinski JE. 2000. In situ characterization of Mn(II) oxidation by spores of the marine *Bacillus* sp. Strain SG-1. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 64:2777–2780.
- Cotton FA, Wilkinson G, Murillo CA, Bochmann M. 1999. Advanced Inorganic Chemistry, 6th edition. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1355 p.
- Diem D, Stumm W. 1984. Is dissolved Mn²⁺ being oxidized by O₂ in absence of Mn-bacteria or surface catalysts? Geochim Cosmochim Acta 48:1571–1573.
- Faulkner KM, Stevens RD, Fridovich I. 1994. Characterization of Mn(III) complexes of linear and cyclic desferrioxamines as mimics of superoxide dismutase activity. Arch Biochem Biophys 310:41–346.
- Hoffman BM, Szymanski T, Brown TG, Basolo F. 1978. The dioxygen adducts of several manganese(II) porphyrins. electron paramagnetic resonance studies. J Am Chem Soc. 100:7253–7259.
- Klewicki JK, Morgan JJ. 1998. Kinetic behavior of Mn(III) complexes of pyrophosphate, EDTA, and citrate. Enviro Sci Technol 32:2916–2922.
- Klewicki JK, Morgan JJ. 1999. Dissolution of β -MnOOH particles by ligands: pyrophosphate, ethylenediaminetetraacetate, and citrate. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 63:3017–3024.
- Kostka JE, Luther GW, Nealson KH. 1995. Chemical and biological reduction of Mn(III)-pyrophosphate complexes: potential importance of dissolved Mn(III) as an environmental oxidant. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 59:885–894.
- Lever ABP, Wilshire JP, Quan SK. 1981. Oxidation of manganese(II) phthalocyanine by molecular oxygen. Inorg Chem 20:761–768.

- Luther III GW. 1987. Pyrite oxidation and reduction: molecular orbital theory considerations. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 51:3193–3199.
- Luther III GW. 1990. The frontier molecular orbital theory approach in geochemical processes. In: Stumm W, editor. Aquatic Chemical Kinetics. New York: John Wiley and Sons. p 173–198.
- Luther III GW, Popp JI. 2002. Kinetics of the abiotic reduction of polymeric manganese dioxide by nitrite: an anaerobic nitrification reaction. Aquatic Geochem. 8:15–36.
- Luther III GW, Ruppel DT, Burkhard C. 1998. Reactivity of dissolved Mn(III) complexes and Mn(IV) species with reductants: Mn redox chemistry without a dissolution step? In: Sparks DL, Grundl T, editors. Mineral-Water Interfacial Reactions: Kinetics and Mechanisms. ACS Symposium Volume, p 265–280.
- Mandernack KW, Fogel ML, Tebo BM, Usui A. 1995b. Oxygen isotope analyses of chemically and microbially produced manganese oxides and manganates. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 59:4408–4425.
- Mandernack KW, Post J, Tebo BM. 1995a. Manganese mineral formation by bacterial spores of the marine Bacillus, strain SG-1: evidence for the direct oxidation of Mn(II) to Mn(IV). Geochim Cosmochim Acta 59:4393–4408.
- Morgan JJ. 2005. Kinetics of reaction between O₂ and Mn(II) species in aqueous solutions. Geochim Cosochim Acta 69:35–48.
- Murray JW, Dillard JG, Giovanoli R, Moers RH, Stumm W. 1985. Oxidation of Mn(II): initial mineralogy, oxidation state and ageing. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 49:463–470.
- Niijer S, Thonstad J, Haarberg GM. 2000. Oxidation of manganese(II) and reduction of manganese dioxide in sulphuric acid. Electrochim Acta 46:395– 399.
- Parker DL, Sposito G, Tebo BM. 2004. Manganeses(III) binding to a pyoverdine siderophore produced by a manganese(II)-oxidizing organism. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 68:4809–4820.
- Pearson RG. 1976. Symmetry Rules for Chemical Reactions. New York: Wiley-Interscience. 548 p.
- Richert SA, Tsang PKS, Sawyer DT. 1988. Ligand-centered oxidation of manganese(II) complexes. Inorg Chem 27:1814–1818.
- Rosso KJ, Morgan JJ. 2002. Outer-sphere electron transfer kinetics of metal ion oxidation by molecular oxygen. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 66:4223–4233.
- Ruppel DT, Dexter SC, Luther III GW. 2001. Role of manganese dioxide in corrosion in the presence of natural biofilms. Corrosion 57:863–873.
- Shriver DF, Atkins P, Langford CH. 1994. Inorganic Chemistry, 2nd edition. New York: W. H. Freeman and Co. 819 p.
- Stone AT. 1987. Reductive dissolution of manganese (III,IV) oxides by substituted phenols. Environ Sci Technol 21:979–988.
- Stumm W, Morgan JJ. 1996. Aquatic Chemistry, 3rd edition. New York: John Wiley. 1022 p.
- Tebo BM, Bargar JR, Clement BG, Dick GJ, Murray KJ, Parker D, Verity R, Webb SM. 2004. Biogenic manganese oxides: properties and mechanisms of formation. Ann Rev Earth Planet Sci 32:287–328.
- Vaska L. 1963. Oxygen-carrying properties of a simple synthetic system. Science 140:809–810.
- Webb SM, Bargar JR, Tebo BM. 2002. Existence of Mn(III) intermediate species in the bacterially mediated oxidation of Mn(II). 223rd National Meeting of the American Chemical Society, Orlando, Fl., April 9, 2002.
- Webb SM, Bargar JR, Tebo BM. 2005. Evidence for the presence of Mn(III) intermediates in the bacterial oxidation of Mn(II). Proc Natl Acad Sci, submitted.
- Wehrli B. 1990. Redox reactions of metal ions at mineral surfaces. In: Stumm W, editor. Aquatic Chemical Kinetics. New York: John Wiley and Sons. p 311– 336.