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The equivalent resistors of regular polyhedral resistive structures between any two of the vertices are calculated in terms of the characteristic properties of the structures. Some special cases are considered. © 1998 American Association of Physics Teachers.

It is a well-known textbook exercise to determine the equivalent resistor between two vertices of a resistive structure formed by 12 equal resistors $R$ arranged along the edges of a cube. ${ }^{1-4}$ The didactic interest of the exercise is obvious: students should make use of the symmetry of the structure and recognize which vertices have the same potential, whereas they tend to consider the vertices separately and try to consider the connections between the leads in terms of parallel and series connections. Of course the same problem can be formulated for the other regular polyhedra. The equivalent resistor between opposite vertices of each of the regular polyhedra-except for the tetrahedron which does not have opposite vertices-is easy to evaluate if one recognizes the planes of equal potential through the vertices. Thus the equivalent resistor between opposite vertices is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{e}=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{1}{n_{i}}\right) R \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i$ counts the planes through equipotential vertices. The number of resistors in parallel between the equipotential planes $i-1$ and $i$ is denoted by $n_{i}$. Notice that the limiting


Fig. 1. Symmetric current distributions in polyhedral resistive structures: (a) tetrahedron, (b) octahedron, (c) icosahedredron, (d) cube, (e) dodecahedron. The current fed to one of the vertices (indicated) leaves the structure in equal portions at each of the remaining vertices (not indicated). Planes through equipotential vertices are shaded.
values $i=0$ and $i=N$ pertain to the vertices that are directly connected to the leads.

At a certain level the problem has the characteristics of a brain twister. It was used at the University of Groningen as a prize game for secondary school students during open days of the Department of Physics. The structures were physically available and the contestants could check their answers by measurement.

To determine the equivalent resistor between any two vertices of a regular polyhedral structure with $H$ vertices, we proceed as follows. Consider the situation where a current $I$ is fed into one vertex while it is allowed to leave the structure at the remaining $H-1$ vertices in equal portions $I /(H$ $-1)$. One may calculate the potential of the subsequent equipotential planes (cf. Fig. 1) perpendicular to the (single) axis of symmetry of this current distribution as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i}=R \sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{I_{j}}{n_{j}}=R I \sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{1}{n_{j}}\left(1-\frac{1}{H-1} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} q_{k}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $I_{j}$ denotes the total current flowing between the equipotential planes $j-1$ and $j$, while $q_{k}$ denotes the number of vertices in the equipotential plane $k$.

The equivalent resistor $R_{e}(i)$ between two vertices is found by superposition of the situation described above with a similar situation in which the full current $I$ leaves the structure at one vertex while it is fed into the structure in $H-1$ equal portions at the other vertices. The superposition, which is schematically depicted in Fig. 2, leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I+\frac{I}{H-1}\right) R_{e}(i)=2 V_{i} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The argument $i$ in $R_{e}(i)$ is equivalent to the smallest number of resistors that has to be traversed when going from the

(a)

(b)

(c)

Fig. 2. Superposition of symmetric current distributions: (a) and (b) represent schematically the symmetric distributions described in the text (see also Fig. 1). The equivalent resistor is determined between the current carrying vertices in (c).

Table I. Characteristic numbers of polyhedra and polyhedral resistive structures.

|  | H | $E$ | $N$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left\{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{N}\right\} \\ & \left\{q_{0}, \ldots, q_{N}\right\} \end{aligned}$ | $R_{e}(1)$ | $R_{e}(2)$ | $R_{e}(3)$ | $R_{e}(4)$ | $R_{e}(5)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tetrahedron | 4 | 6 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \{3\} \\ \{1,3\} \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{1}{2} R$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Octahedron | 6 | 12 | 2 | $\begin{gathered} \{4,4\} \\ \{1,4,1\} \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{5}{12} R$ | $\frac{1}{2} R$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Icosahedron | 12 | 30 | 3 | $\begin{array}{r} \{5,10,5\} \\ \{1,5,5,1\} \end{array}$ | $\frac{11}{30} R$ | $\frac{7}{15} R$ | $\frac{1}{2} R$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Cube | 8 | 12 | 3 | $\begin{gathered} \{3,6,3\} \\ \{1,3,3,1\} \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{7}{12} R$ | $\frac{3}{4} R$ | $\frac{5}{6} R$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Dodecahedron | 20 | 30 | 5 | $\begin{gathered} \{3,6,6,6,3\} \\ \{1,3,6,6,3,1\} \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{19}{30} R$ | $\frac{9}{10} R$ | $\frac{16}{15} R$ | $\frac{17}{15} R$ | $\frac{7}{6} R$ |

vertex of the incoming current to the vertex of the outgoing current. Rearranging and insertion of $V_{i}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{e}(i)=\frac{2(H-1) V_{i}}{H I}=\frac{2 R}{H} \sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{1}{n_{j}}\left(H-1-\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} q_{k}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By inclusion of $k=0$ in the sum over $q_{k}$ one finds

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{e}(i)=\frac{2 R}{H} \sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{1}{n_{j}}\left(H-\sum_{k=0}^{j-1} q_{k}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The resulting $R_{e}$ are tabulated together with the $n$ 's and the $q$ 's in Table I for each of the five regular polyhedra.

Some special cases may be noted.
For $R_{e}(1)$, the equivalent resistor between two adjacent vertices, Eq. (5) simplifies to

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{e}(1)=\frac{2(H-1)}{H n_{1}} R=\frac{(H-1)}{E} R . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Use is made of the well-known fact that the product of the number of vertices $(H)$ and the number of edges coming together in one vertex $\left(n_{1}\right)$ equals two times the number of edges $(E)$ of a polyhedron. ${ }^{5}$

For $R_{e}(N)$, the equivalent resistor for opposite vertices, Eq. (5) should yield Eq. (1). This may not be immediately obvious, but can be seen by using the symmetry of the structures c.q. $n_{j}=n_{N-j+1}$ and $q_{k}=q_{N-k}$, the commutativity of addition, and the renaming of the indices:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \frac{q_{k}}{n_{j}} & =\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \frac{q_{N-k}}{n_{N-j+1}}=\sum_{r=1}^{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-r} \frac{q_{N-k}}{n_{r}} \\
& =\sum_{r=1}^{N} \sum_{s=r}^{N} \frac{q_{s}}{n_{r}}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=j}^{N} \frac{q_{k}}{n_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \frac{q_{k}}{n_{j}}+\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=j}^{N} \frac{q_{k}}{n_{j}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{q_{k}}{n_{j}}=\frac{H}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{n_{j}} . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

By insertion of Eq. (7) into Eq. (5) one obtains Eq. (1), as required.

The difference between $R_{e}$ for opposite vertices $(i=N)$ and $R_{e}$ for vertices one resistor different from opposite ( $i$ $=N-1)$ is remarkable. This quantity is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta R_{e} & =R_{e}(N)-R_{e}(N-1)=\frac{2 R}{H} \frac{1}{n_{N}}\left(H-\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} q_{k}\right) \\
& =\frac{2 R}{H n_{N}}=\frac{R}{E} . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$
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