JOURNAL OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY

Inherited Mutations in 17 Breast Cancer Susceptibility Genes Among a Large Triple-Negative Breast Cancer Cohort Unselected for Family History of Breast Cancer

Fergus J. Couch, Steven N. Hart, Priyanka Sharma, Amanda Ewart Toland, Xianshu Wang, Penelope Miron, Janet E. Olson, Andrew K. Godwin, V. Shane Pankratz, Curtis Olswold, Seth Slettedahl, Emily Hallberg, Lucia Guidugli, Jaime I. Davila, Matthias W. Beckmann, Wolfgang Janni, Brigitte Rack, Arif B. Ekici, Dennis J. Slamon, Irene Konstantopoulou, Florentia Fostira, Athanassios Vratimos, George Fountzilas, Liisa M. Pelttari, William J. Tapper, Lorraine Durcan, Simon S. Cross, Robert Pilarski, Charles L. Shapiro, Jennifer Klemp, Song Yao, Judy Garber, Angela Cox, Hiltrud Brauch, Christine Ambrosone, Heli Nevanlinna, Drakoulis Yannoukakos, Susan L. Slager, Celine M. Vachon, Diana M. Eccles, and Peter A. Fasching

See accompanying editorial on page 295

A B S T R A C T

Purpose

Recent advances in DNA sequencing have led to the development of breast cancer susceptibility gene panels for germline genetic testing of patients. We assessed the frequency of mutations in 17 predisposition genes, including *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*, in a large cohort of patients with triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) unselected for family history of breast or ovarian cancer to determine the utility of germline genetic testing for those with TNBC.

Patients and Methods

Patients with TNBC (N = 1,824) unselected for family history of breast or ovarian cancer were recruited through 12 studies, and germline DNA was sequenced to identify mutations.

Results

Deleterious mutations were identified in 14.6% of all patients. Of these, 11.2% had mutations in the *BRCA1* (8.5%) and *BRCA2* (2.7%) genes. Deleterious mutations in 15 other predisposition genes were detected in 3.7% of patients, with the majority observed in genes involved in homologous recombination, including *PALB2* (1.2%) and *BARD1*, *RAD51D*, *RAD51C*, and *BRIP1* (0.3% to 0.5%). Patients with TNBC with mutations were diagnosed at an earlier age (P < .001) and had higher-grade tumors (P = .01) than those without mutations.

Conclusion

Deleterious mutations in predisposition genes are present at high frequency in patients with TNBC unselected for family history of cancer. Mutation prevalence estimates suggest that patients with TNBC, regardless of age at diagnosis or family history of cancer, should be considered for germline genetic testing of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*. Although mutations in other predisposition genes are observed among patients with TNBC, better cancer risk estimates are needed before these mutations are used for clinical risk assessment in relatives.

J Clin Oncol 33:304-311. © 2014 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

INTRODUCTION

Triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC), defined by little or no expression of estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), or human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) in tumor material, accounts for 12% to 15% of all breast cancers.^{1,2} TNBC occurs most frequently in young or premenopausal women and African Americans. Patients with TNBC often have a worse outcome than patients with other breast cancer subtypes,^{3,4} with 5-year survival estimated at 70% for those with TNBC compared with > 80% for all other subtypes.⁵ Germline mutations in the *BRCA1* breast and ovarian cancer susceptibility gene have been associated with TNBC, with 60% to 80% of breast tumors from *BRCA1* mutation carriers displaying a TNBC phenotype.⁶ Additional studies have identified *BRCA1* mutations in up to 29% of patients of Ashkenazi Jewish ethnicity presenting with TNBC,⁷

Author affiliations appear at the end of this article.

Published online ahead of print at www.jco.org on December 1, 2014. Processed as a Rapid Communication manuscript.

Supported by National Institutes of Health Grant No. CA128978, Specialized Program of Research Excellence in Breast Cancer Grant No. P50 CA116201 to Mayo Clinic, Breast Cancer Research Foundation, and a generous gift from David F. and Margaret T. Grohne Family Foundation.

F.J.C., S.N.H., D.M.E., and P.A.F. contributed equally to this work.

Terms in blue are defined in the glossary, found at the end of this article and online at www.jco.org.

Authors' disclosures of potential conflicts of interest are found in the article online at www.jco.org. Author contributions are found at the end of this article.

Corresponding author: Fergus J. Couch, PhD, Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, Mayo Clinic, Stabile Building, 200 First St SW, Rochester, MN 55905; e-mail: couch.fergus@mayo.edu.

© 2014 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

0732-183X/15/3304w-304w/\$20.00

DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2014.57.1414

Downloaded from jco.ascopubs.org on February 2, 2015. For personal use only. No other uses without permission. Copyright © 2015 American Society of Clinical Oncology. All rights reserved.

RC

Table 1. Sample Demographics													
	Ger	many			Unite	d States	Finland	United Kingdom					
Demographic	BBCC*	GENICA	(Demokritos)	DFCI	FCCC	KUMC	MCBCS	OSU	RPCI	(HEBCS)	POSH	SBCS	Total
Ethnicity													
White	270	48	223	252	108	87	186	205	75	87	190	30	1,761
Hispanic	_	_	—	10	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	10
African	—	—	—	18	16	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	34
Asian	—	—	—	8	2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	10
Mixed	—	—	2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2
Unknown	—	—	—	3	_	_	_	4	_	_	_	_	7
Grade													
1	4	0	4	2	1	0	5	0	0	0	2	2	20
2	70	11	44	20	11	0	32	2	14	0	10	1	215
3	195	30	156	234	108	0	139	17	58	0	174	8	1,119
Family history†													1,510
Yes	75	7	42	114	—	35	66	90	15	27	66	2	
No	159	41	126	174	—	51	67	115	51	55	122	10	
%	32	15	25	40	—	41	50	44	23	33	35	17	
Age at diagnosis, years													
Mean	55	54	54	48	53	53	53	51	55	54	36	59	
Range	26-79	25-79	22-83	26-79	29-81	25-80	25-85	25-83	28-92	27-80	25-41	38-93	

Abbreviations: BBCC, Bavarian Breast Cancer Cases and Controls; DFCI, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute; FCCC, Fox Chase Cancer Center; GENICA, Gene Environment Interaction and Breast Cancer in Germany; HEBCS, Helsinki Breast Cancer Study; KUMC, Kansas University Medical Center; MCBCS, Mayo Clinic Breast Cancer Study; OSU, Ohio State University; POSH, Prospective Study of Outcomes in Sporadic Versus Hereditary Breast Cancer; RPCI, Roswell Park Cancer Institute; SBCS, Sheffield Breast Cancer Study.

*BBCC and SUCCESS C studies combined.

†Includes history of breast or ovarian cancer in first- or second-degree relative; unknowns for each category were excluded.

20% of those with TNBC diagnosed at a young age and/or with a family history of breast cancer,8 and 8% to 14% of those with TNBC unselected for family history.⁹⁻¹¹ In addition, three (3.9%) of 77 patients with TNBC with a median age at diagnosis of 51 years,¹² six (9%) of 64 patients with TNBC of Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry,¹³ and 5.2% of patients with TNBC without a significant family history of breast or ovarian cancer¹⁴ have been shown to carry germline BRCA2 mutations. Although a substantial proportion of TNBCs arise as a result of inherited mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2, the contribution of mutations in these genes to TNBC, not specifically selected for age at diagnosis or enriched family history of breast or ovarian cancer, remains to be established. Furthermore, although the development of panel-based testing has revealed that 10% of high-risk patients with no BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation may carry inherited deleterious mutations in other breast cancer predisposition genes,¹⁵ the frequency of inherited mutations in the non-BRCA1/2 predisposition genes among patients with TNBC has not been determined. In this study, we conducted panel-based mutation screening of breast cancer predisposition genes in a large cohort of patients with TNBC in an effort to better understand the contribution of inherited mutations in moderate- and high-risk predisposition genes to TNBC and determine the best parameters for selection of patients with TNBC for BRCA testing.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Study Populations

The Triple-Negative Breast Cancer Consortium has access to DNA and phenotypic information from consecutive patients with TNBC recruited through oncology clinics from 11 clinical centers in the United States (Mayo Clinic Breast Cancer Study, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Ohio State University, Roswell Park Cancer Institute, Kansas University Medical Center, and Fox Chase Cancer Center), Germany (Bavarian Breast Cancer Cases and Controls and Gene Environment Interaction and Breast Cancer in Germany), Finland (Helsinki Breast Cancer Study), Greece (Demokritos), and the United Kingdom (Sheffield Breast Cancer Study; Table 1; Data Supplement). Patients with TNBC from the POSH (Prospective Study of Outcomes in Sporadic Versus Hereditary Breast Cancer) multicenter United Kingdom trial of women diagnosed at age < 40 years were also included (Data Supplement). Selection of patients with TNBC was independent of family history of breast or ovarian cancer and age at diagnosis. All 1,824 patients with TNBC were recruited to institutional review board–approved studies.

Panel-Based Mutation Analysis

Germline DNA samples from 1,824 patients with TNBC underwent custom capture (eArray; Agilent, Santa Clara, CA) of all coding sequences and intron/exon boundaries of coding exons from 122 DNA repair genes, including 17 breast cancer predisposition genes (*BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, *PALB2*, *BARD1*, *BRIP1*, *RAD51C*, *RAD51D*, *RAD50*, *NBN*, *MRE11A*, *XRCC2*, *ATM*, *CHEK2*, *TP53*, *PTEN*, *STK11*, and *CDH1*). Products from each capture reaction were sequenced on a HiSeq 2000 (Illumina, San Diego, CA; Data Supplement), and all likely deleterious mutations were validated by Sanger sequencing.

Bioinformatic Analysis

Paired end reads (100 bp) were aligned to the hg19 reference human genome using Novoalign (Novocraft Technologies, Selangor, Malaysia). Realignment and recalibration were performed using GATK software (version 1.6-7; https://www.broadinstitute.org/gatk). Germline variations were called with a combination of GATK Unified Genotyper¹⁶ and Samtools (version 0.1.18; http://www.htslib.org).¹⁷ Annotations were defined using SnpEFF (version 3.0c; http://snpeff.sourceforge.net/index.html)¹⁸ and ANNOVAR (http://www.openbioinformatics.org/annovar).¹⁹ Population allele frequencies were extracted from the Exome Variant Server (http://exs.gs.washington .edu/EVS), 1000 Genomes (http://www.1000genomes.org), and dbSNP (version 137; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/SNP). Known deleterious

Couch et al

								Family Histo	ory of Ca	ncer†		
Age at Diagr		t Diagnosis	(years)*			Breast		Ovarian				
Gene	Mutations	Mean	Range	Р	Yes	No	Percent Positive	Р	Yes	No	Percent Positive	Р
BRCA1	155	44	25-80	< .001	66	66	50	< .001	24	108	18	< .001
BRCA2	49	47	27-79	< .001	16	24	40	.31	5	35	13	< .001
Other	67	48	28-79	.02	20	34	37	.46	1	53	2	1
ATM	2	49	35-62	.83	0	2	0	1	0	2	0	1
BARD1	9	55	45-72	.34	2	3	40	.66	0	5	0	1
BRIP1	8	46	36-68	.12	3	5	38	.72	0	8	0	1
CDH1	0	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
CHEK2	0	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
MRE11A	2	39	36-41	.11	1	1	50	.54	0	2	0	1
NBN	1	59	59-59	_	1	0	100	.32	0	1	0	1
PALB2	21	49	28-79	.22	5	10	33	1	0	15	0	1
PTEN	1	45	45-45	_	1	0	100	.32	0	1	0	1
RAD50	6	54	42-63	.51	2	3	40	.66	0	5	0	1
RAD51C	6	52	37-71	.92	1	4	20	1	0	5	0	1
RAD51D	7	43	31-66	.14	3	3	50	.39	1	5	17	.14
STK11	0	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
TP53	1	38	38-38	_	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
XRCC2	3	34	28-40	.04	1	2	33	1	0	3	0	1
WT	1,557	51	22-93	Ref	413	873	32	Ref	32	1,254	3	Ref

Abbreviations: Ref, referent; WT, wild type.

*Associations with age at diagnosis were evaluated by t test.

†Associations with family history of breast or ovarian cancer were evaluated by Fisher's exact test.

missense mutations in *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, and *TP53* were included in all analyses (Data Supplement). Predicted deleterious missense mutations were selected using algorithms in ANNOVAR (eg, SIFT, PolyPhen2, LRT, MutationTaster, PhyloP, GERP)^{19,20} and AlignGVGD (http://agygd.iarc.fr).²¹

Statistical Analysis

Likely deleterious mutations from genes other than *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* were combined in an "other" category. Patients with TNBC without mutations were categorized as wild type. The *t* test, χ^2 test, and Fisher's exact test were used for evaluating associations with mutation status. *P* values < .05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Study Population

The 1,824 female patients with TNBC in this study were recruited from 12 centers (Table 1). Of the 1,817 patients with established ethnicity, 1,762 (97%) were white, and 34 (1.9%), 10 (0.6%), and 10 (0.6%) were of African, Asian, and Hispanic ethnicities, respectively. Age at diagnosis ranged from 22 to 93 years, with an average age of 51 years. This was similar to the average age of patients with TNBC in the METABRIC (Molecular Taxonomy of Breast Cancer International Consortium) study (52.7 years; P = .2477)²² but younger than that of patients with TNBC in the Cancer Genome Atlas Network (54.2 years; P = .027).²³ Of the 1,510 patients with available family history information, 514 (34%) had at least one first- or second-degree relative with breast cancer, and 4% had a relative with ovarian cancer. The TNBCs were predominantly grade 3 (81%; Table 1).

Germline Mutations

All coding exons and consensus splice sites of 17 known cancer predisposition genes were screened for mutations in the 1,824 patients with TNBC. Overall, 271 deleterious mutations were identified in 267 patients (14.6%; Table 2; Data Supplement). Of these, 155 (57%) occurred in *BRCA1*, 49 (18%) in *BRCA2*, and 67 (25%) in 12 of 15 other predisposition genes (Table 1; Fig 1). The frequency of mutations by center ranged from 4% to 24% (Data Supplement). The elevated mutation frequency (24%) in the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute study resulted from 21 Ashkenazi Jewish founder mutations in *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*.

Deleterious BRCA1 mutations were detected in 8.5% of patients with TNBC, including 145 truncating (frameshift, nonsense, and splice) mutations and 10 known deleterious missense mutations.²⁴ The 185delAG (c.68 69delAG) Ashkenazi Jewish founder mutation was identified in 18 patients with TNBC, and the 5382insC (c.5266dupC) Eastern European founder mutation was found in 19 patients with TNBC (Data Supplement). Another 21 recurrent BRCA1 mutations were observed in 64 other patients with TNBC (Data Supplement). The 49 deleterious BRCA2 mutations (2.7%) included 41 truncating mutations, of which six were the 6174delT (c.5946delT) Ashkenazi Jewish founder mutation, three were splice mutations, and five were known deleterious missense mutations in the BRCA2 DNA binding domain.²⁴ Three recurrent mutations in BRCA2 accounted for 16 patient cases of TNBC (Data Supplement). Likely deleterious mutations in non-BRCA1/2 predisposition genes were identified in 3.7% of all unselected patients with TNBC. In particular, 21 patients (1.2%) with TNBC had deleterious PALB2 truncating mutations, including 15 diagnosed at age \leq 50 years. Three patients from Finland were found to carry the PALB2 c.1592delT founder mutation.²⁵ In addition, deleterious mutations were detected in BARD1 (n = 9), BRIP1 (n = 8), RAD51D (n = 7), RAD50 (n = 6), and RAD51C (n = 6; Data Supplement). In contrast, no mutations

JOURNAL OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY



Fig 1. Germline likely deleterious mutations from 14 breast cancer predisposition genes in unselected patients with triple-negative breast cancer. Locations of likely deleterious mutations and domains in proteins encoded by predisposition genes are shown by lollipop structures. Mutations are labeled, other than in *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*. Protein domain patterns are shown in key. Scales on genes reflect number of amino acid residues.

were observed in *CHEK2*, consistent with an association between *CHEK2* mutations and ER-positive breast cancer, ^{23,26} or in the *CDH1* and *STK11* genes. Four patients with TNBC carried > 1 deleterious mutation (Data Supplement), including an individual with *BRCA1*: c.68_69delAG and *BRCA2*:c.5946delT mutations diagnosed at age 68 years. None had a family history of breast or ovarian cancer.

Age at Diagnosis

In this study, 38% of all deleterious mutations were detected in patients with TNBC diagnosed at age < 40 years. The average age at diagnosis of TNBC was significantly younger for patients with deleterious (45 years; P < .001), BRCA1 (44 years; P < .001), BRCA2 (47 years; P < .001), and non-BRCA1/2 gene mutations (48 years; P = .02), relative to those with TNBC with no mutations (ie, wild type; 52 years; Table 2; Fig 2). Consistent with this, the distribution of age at TNBC diagnosis for BRCA1, BRCA2, and non-BRCA1/2 gene mutation carriers differed from that among noncarriers (Fig 2). However,

10% (n = 27) of all mutation carriers and 5.5% of all patients with TNBC were diagnosed at age \geq 60 years. Of these, 37% (n = 10) carried mutations in non-*BRCA1/2* genes, and 50% (n = 13) had no family history of breast or ovarian cancer (Table 3).

Family History

We also evaluated whether patient cases of TNBC with mutations in the 17 predisposition genes were associated with a greater family history of breast and/or ovarian cancers than nonmutated patient cases (Table 2). Patient cases of TNBC with *BRCA1* mutations were enriched for a family history of breast (50%; P < .001) and ovarian cancers (18%; P < .001), whereas patient cases of TNBC with *BRCA2* mutations were only enriched for a family history of ovarian cancer (Table 2). However, patient cases of TNBC with mutations in the non-*BRCA1/2* genes were not significantly associated with an enriched family history for either breast or ovarian cancer (Table 2). In particular, only five of 21 *PALB2* mutation carriers and 12 of 36



Fig 2. Age at onset of triple-negative breast cancer by mutation status. Distribution shown for patients with triple-negative breast cancer with *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, and other non-*BRCA1/2* mutations and no mutations (ie, wild type [WT]).

BARD1, *BRIP1*, *RAD50*, *RAD51C*, or *RAD51D* mutation carriers had a family history of breast or ovarian cancer. Thus, many patients with TNBC with mutations in predisposition genes may not be identified by a family history of cancer.

Association With Tumor Pathology

Overall, patients with TNBC with mutations presented with higher-grade tumors than noncarriers (P < .001; Fig 3; Data Supplement). Although 83% (1,117 of 1,351) of all TNBCs with pathology data were grade 3, this increased to 94% (105 of 112) for *BRCA1* carriers (P < .001) and 90% (35 of 39) for *BRCA2* carriers (P = .35); however, this decreased slightly to 82% (37 of 45) for TNBCs in non-*BRCA1/2* mutation carriers (P = .84).

Missense Mutations

A total of 66 unique missense mutations from 91 patients were predicted deleterious by at least six of seven methods, or five of six methods when AlignGVGD was not available (Data Supplement). This included 21 unique variants in *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*, accounting for 31 patients. Of 10 *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* known deleterious variants identified, only p.Ile2627Phe,²⁷⁻³⁰ which alters splicing of *BRCA2*, and p.Leu22Ser in *BRCA1* were not predicted as deleterious. These findings suggest that a high proportion (40% to 80%) of the missense mutations predicted as deleterious in this study are likely to predispose individuals to TNBC (Data Supplement) and that an additional 1% to 3% of patient cases of TNBC may be associated with deleterious missense mutations in the predisposition genes.

Mutation Prediction

Patients with TNBC are often considered for breast cancer predisposition gene panel testing, because mutations in predisposition genes are common,¹⁴ and both carriers of mutations and their family members may benefit from informed cancer risk management. Although criteria for testing based on age at diagnosis and presence of family history have been suggested, detailed predisposition gene mutation rates for patients with TNBC based on these phenotypic categories are not currently available. Here we combined mutation results with phenotypic characteristics of patients with TNBC to provide estimates of mutation frequency by categories of age at diagnosis and family history of cancer (Table 3). When including all genes, > 18% of patients with TNBC diagnosed at age < 60 years with or without a family history of cancer carried deleterious mutations. Conversely, 5% (n = 13) diagnosed at age ≥ 60 years and with no family history of cancer carried mutations.

DISCUSSION

We present results from the largest series to date, to our knowledge, of patients with TNBC analyzed for germline mutations in a panel of known breast cancer predisposition genes. We found that 14.6% of 1,824 patients with TNBC unselected for family history of cancer carried germline deleterious mutations in 14 of 17 predisposition genes tested. BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations were found in 11.2% of patients, consistent with other studies of TNBC, whereas mutations in 12 other genes were found in 3.7% of patients. In addition, 1% to 3% of patients carried missense mutations predicted by in silico methods to be deleterious (Data Supplement). Furthermore, the detection of BRCA1 and BRCA2 large exonic deletions or duplications in six (2%) of 294 patients with TNBC from the GeparSixto study³¹ suggests that approximately 2% of the patients with TNBC in our study may have also carried this type of mutation. Thus, between 14.6% and 20% of patients with TNBC may have deleterious germline mutations in these genes.

The selection of patients with TNBC for clinical genetic testing of BRCA1 and BRCA2 is often based on an age-related threshold and the associated probability of finding a mutation. The frequency of mutations in patients presenting with TNBC based on age at diagnosis and family history of breast or ovarian cancer in this study is summarized in Table 3. In our study, only 1.4% of those diagnosed at age > 60 years and with no family history of cancer were found to carry BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations, supporting the current National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines for testing of patients diagnosed with TNBC before age 60 years (Table 3). Similarly, our findings verify that the probability of an underlying pathogenic BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation exceeds 10% in those diagnosed before age 40 years; however, this probability is < 10% in older age groups in the absence of a family history of cancer (Table 3). This is consistent with the UK National Institute for Clinical Excellence testing guidelines, which do not recommend testing in patients with TNBC diagnosed at age > 40 years and with no family history of cancer. However, on the basis of our data, this latter approach would overlook 24% of all BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations among TNBCs. Because a relatively high proportion (7.5%) of patients with TNBC with no family history and diagnosed between age 50 and 60 years had mutations, perhaps testing of all patients diagnosed at age < 60 years, or even all patients irrespective of age or family history, should be considered, especially if the cost of mutation screening were to decrease over time.

Deleterious mutations (n = 67) in the non-*BRCA1/2* predisposition genes were identified in 3.7% of all patients with TNBC. The frequency of these mutations, especially in *PALB2*, which has recently been associated with a high lifetime risk of breast cancer,³² was similar to the frequency in high- and moderate-risk breast cancer families,³³ suggesting a distinct enrichment for predisposition gene mutations in unselected TNBCs. Furthermore, genes involved in homologous recombination, including *PALB2*, *BARD1*, *BRIP1*, *RAD51C*, *RAD51D*,

JOURNAL OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY

		Age at TNBC Diagnosis (years)													
	< 35			3	5 to 39		40 to 49			50 to 59			≥ 60		
Family Cancer History	Mutation Carriers	All Patients	%	Mutation Carriers	All Patients	%	Mutation Carriers	All Patients	%	Mutation Carriers	All Patients	%	Mutation Carriers	All Patients	%
BRCA1															
No breast, no ovarian	14	91	15.4	15	149	10.1	14	209	6.7	13	241	5.4	4	279	1.4
One relative with breast, no ovarian	6	48	12.5	7	50	14	11	103	10.7	3	80	3.8	2	79	2.5
≥ Two relatives with breast, no ovarian	4	12	33.3	5	16	31.3	7	38	18.4	2	28	7.1	1	23	4.3
Any relative with ovarian	3	5	60	6	15	40	6	18	33.3	9	17	52.9	0	7	0
Total	27	156	17.3	33	230	14.3	38	368	10.3	27	366	7.4	7	388	1.8
BRCA2															
No breast, no ovarian	4	91	4.4	8	149	5.4	4	209	1.9	5	241	2.1	2	279	0.7
no ovarian	3	60	5	1	66	1.5	4	141	2.8	2	108	1.9	2	102	2
Any relative with ovarian	0	5	0	2	15	13.3	1	18	5.6	1	17	5.9	1	7	14.3
Total	7	156	4.5	11	230	4.8	9	368	2.4	8	366	2.2	5	388	1.3
BRCA1 and BRCA2															
No breast, no ovarian	18	91	19.8	23	149	15.4	18	209	8.6	18	241	7.5	6	279	1.4
One relative with breast, no ovarian	7	48	14.6	7	50	14	14	103	13.6	5	80	6.3	4	79	5.1
\geq Two relatives with															
breast, no ovarian	6	12	50	6	16	37.5	8	38	21	2	28	7.1	1	23	0
Any relative with ovarian	3	5	60	8	15	53.3	7	18	38.9	10	17	58.8	1	7	14.3
Total	34	156	21.8	44	230	19.1	47	368	12.8	35	366	9.6	12	388	3.1
Other genes	0	04	0.0	0	4.40		10	000		7	0.44	0.0	7	070	0.5
No breast, no ovarian	3	91	3.3	6	149	4	10	209	4.8	/	241	2.9	/	279	2.5
Any relative with breast,	2	60	33	4	66	61	6	141	43	6	108	56	2	102	2
Any relative with ovarian	0	5	0.0	0	15	0.1	0	18	0	1	17	5.9	0	7	0
Total	5	156	32	10	230	43	16	368	43	14	366	3.8	9	388	23
All genes	0	100	0.2		200		10	000			000	0.0	0	000	2.0
No breast, no ovarian	21	91	23.1	29	149	19.5	27	209	12.9	25	241	10.4	13	279	4.7
One relative with breast, no ovarian	9	48	18.8	9	50	18	18	103	17.5	9	80	11.3	5	79	6.3
≥ Two relatives with	0			0	00					0	00	0	0		0.0
breast, no ovarian	6	12	50	7	16	43.8	10	38	26.3	4	28	14.3	2	23	8.7
Any relative with ovarian	3	5	60	8	15	53.3	7	18	38.9	11	17	64.7	1	7	14.3
Total	39	156	20.0	53	230	23.0	62	368	16.8	49	366	13.4	21	388	5.4

NOTE. Patients with TNBC for whom information was lacking on age at cancer diagnosis or family history of cancer were excluded. Abbreviation: TNBC, triple-negative breast cancer.

and *XRCC2*, accounted for 54 (81%) of the 67 mutations in non-*BRCA1/2* predisposition genes, suggesting that disruption of homologous recombination repair may be an important event in the development of triple-negative breast tumors. Interestingly, the detection of deleterious mutations in *RAD51C* and *RAD51D*, which have been associated with a low to moderate risk of breast cancer but a higher risk of ovarian cancer, also raises the possibility that mutations in these genes confer higher risks of triple-negative and basal subtypes of breast cancer. In contrast, no mutations were observed in *CHEK2*, *CDH1*, or *STK11*, and only one mutation was identified in *TP53* or *PTEN*, indicating that the syndromic breast cancer predisposition genes are rarely involved in predisposition to TNBC.

Clinical testing of predisposition gene panels has recently been developed to improve identification of women at increased risk for breast or ovarian cancer. However, the risks of breast and ovarian cancer associated with mutations in the non-*BRCA1/2* predisposition genes are not well defined.^{34,35} In our study, the prevalence of mutations in the non-BRCA1/2 predisposition genes was stable across all age groups and reported cancer family histories (Table 3), consistent with lower penetrance of disease for mutations in many of these genes. Clinical management guidelines for BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers have been developed over the 20 years since these genes were identified, with recent studies suggesting that bilateral mastectomy and bilateral oophorectomy in BRCA1/2 mutation carriers may reduce breast cancer- and all cause-related mortalities.³⁶⁻³⁹ In contrast, management guidelines are not available for carriers of mutations in non-BRCA1/2 predisposition genes.^{34,35} Thus, the clinical utility of results from a broad gene panel of the type used in our study remains controversial. However, with panel-based genetic testing of BRCA1 and BRCA2 in combination with other genes now well established, and with testing for BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations likely to increase if poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitors^{40,41} are approved for clinical use in patients with breast cancer with BRCA1/2 mutations, or if



Fig 3. Tumor characteristics of patients with triple-negative breast cancer by mutation status. Proportion of those with *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, and other non-*BRCA1/2* mutations and no mutations (ie, wild type [WT]) exhibiting specified nodal status, tumor stage, tumor grade, and bilateral breast cancer status.

BRCA1/2 mutations are proven to predict response to platinumbased or other chemotherapies,⁴² panel testing will likely continue to expand. Clearly, further research with appropriate consent and curation of clinical data from patients receiving panel testing will be needed to establish the most appropriate application of results from the non-*BRCA1/2* breast cancer susceptibility genes to patient care.

In conclusion, *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutation testing has a clear role for patients with TNBC, many of whom will meet the current probability threshold guidelines. However, although inclusion of other susceptibility genes in the genetic testing panel is already a widely adopted strategy, it is important that clinical care providers appreciate the current lack of robust estimates of penetrance for many of these genes.

REFERENCES

1. Foulkes WD, Smith IE, Reis-Filho JS: Triplenegative breast cancer. N Engl J Med 363:1938-1948, 2010

2. American Cancer Society: Breast Cancer Facts & Figures, 2011-2012. http://www.cancer.org/acs/groups/ content/@epidemiologysurveilance/documents/ document/acspc-030975.pdf

3. Liedtke C, Mazouni C, Hess KR, et al: Response to neoadjuvant therapy and long-term survival in patients with triple-negative breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 26:1275-1281, 2008

4. Haffty BG, Silber A, Matloff E, et al: Racial differences in the incidence of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations in a cohort of early onset breast cancer patients: African American compared to white women. J Med Genet 43:133-137, 2006

5. Blows FM, Driver KE, Schmidt MK, et al: Subtyping of breast cancer by immunohistochemistry to investigate a relationship between subtype and short and long term survival: A collaborative analysis of data for 10,159 cases from 12 studies. PLoS Med 7:e1000279, 2010 **6.** Atchley DP, Albarracin CT, Lopez A, et al: Clinical and pathologic characteristics of patients with *BRCA*-positive and *BRCA*-negative breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 26:4282-4288, 2008

7. Comen EA, Davids M, Kirchhoff T, et al: Prevalence of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations in Jewish women with triple negative breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 26:749s, 2008 (suppl; abstr 22002)

8. Robertson L, Hanson H, Seal S, et al: BRCA1 testing should be offered to individuals with triplenegative breast cancer diagnosed below 50 years. Br J Cancer 106:1234-1238, 2012

9. Evans DG, Howell A, Ward D, et al: Prevalence of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations in triple negative breast cancer. J Med Genet 48:520-522, 2011

10. Andrés R, Pajares I, Balmaña J, et al: Association of BRCA1 germline mutations in young onset triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC). Clin Transl Oncol 16:280-284, 2014

11. Young SR, Pilarski RT, Donenberg T, et al: The prevalence of BRCA1 mutations among young women with triple-negative breast cancer. BMC Cancer 9:86, 2009

12. Gonzalez-Angulo AM, Timms KM, Liu S, et al: Incidence and outcome of BRCA mutations in unse-

AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Disclosures provided by the authors are available with this article at www.jco.org.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conception and design: Fergus J. Couch, Steven N. Hart, Xianshu Wang, Susan L. Slager, Celine M. Vachon Financial support: Fergus J. Couch, Celine M. Vachon Administrative support: Fergus J. Couch, Emily Hallberg Provision of study materials or patients: Fergus J. Couch, Steven N. Hart, Priyanka Sharma, Amanda Ewart Toland, Xianshu Wang, Penelope Miron, Janet E. Olson, Andrew K. Godwin, V. Shane Pankratz, Emily Hallberg, Lucia Guidugli, Jaime Davila, Matthias W. Beckmann, Wolfgang Janni, Brigitte Rack, Arif B. Ekici, Dennis Slamon, Irene Konstantopoulou, Florentia Fostira, George Fountzilas, Liisa Pelttari, William J. Tapper, Lorraine Durcan, Simon S. Cross, Robert Pilarski, Charles L. Shapiro, Jennifer Klemp, Song Yao, Judy Garber, Angela Cox, Hiltrud Brauch, Christine Ambrosone, Heli Nevanlinna, Drakoulis Yannoukakos, Susan L. Slager, Celine M. Vachon, Diana M. Eccles, Peter A. Fasching

Collection and assembly of data: Fergus J. Couch, Steven N. Hart, Priyanka Sharma, Xianshu Wang, Penelope Miron, Janet E. Olson, Andrew K. Godwin, Curtis Olswold, Seth Slettedahl, Emily Hallberg, Matthias W. Beckmann, Wolfgang Janni, Brigitte Rack, Arif B. Ekici, Dennis Slamon, Irene Konstantopoulou, Florentia Fostira, Athanassios Vratimos, George Fountzilas, Liisa Pelttari, William J. Tapper, Lorraine Durcan, Simon S. Cross, Robert Pilarski, Charles L. Shapiro, Jennifer Klemp, Song Yao, Judy Garber, Angela Cox, Hiltrud Brauch, Christine Ambrosone, Heli Nevanlinna, Drakoulis Yannoukakos, Susan L. Slager, Celine M. Vachon, Diana M. Eccles, Peter A. Fasching

Data analysis and interpretation: Fergus J. Couch, Steven N. Hart, Amanda Ewart Toland, Xianshu Wang, Janet E. Olson, V. Shane Pankratz, Curtis Olswold, Seth Slettedahl, Lucia Guidugli, Jaime Davila, Wolfgang Janni, Susan L. Slager, Celine M. Vachon, Diana M. Eccles, Peter A. Fasching

Manuscript writing: All authors Final approval of manuscript: All authors

lected patients with triple receptor-negative breast cancer. Clin Cancer Res 17:1082-1089, 2011

13. Comen E, Davids M, Kirchhoff T, et al: Relative contributions of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations to "triple-negative" breast cancer in Ashkenazi Women. Breast Cancer Res Treat 129: 185-190, 2011

14. Hartman AR, Kaldate RR, Sailer LM, et al: Prevalence of BRCA mutations in an unselected population of triple-negative breast cancer. Cancer 118:2787-2795, 2012

15. Laduca H, Stuenkel AJ, Dolinsky JS, et al: Utilization of multigene panels in hereditary cancer predisposition testing: Analysis of more than 2,000 patients. Genet Med [epub ahead of print on April 24, 2014]

16. McKenna A, Hanna M, Banks E, et al: The Genome Analysis Toolkit: A MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. Genome Res 20:1297-1303, 2010

17. Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, et al: The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25:2078-2079, 2009

18. Cingolani P, Platts A, Wang le L, et al: A program for annotating and predicting the effects of single nucleotide polymorphisms, SnpEff: SNPs in

JOURNAL OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY

the genome of Drosophila melanogaster strain w1118; iso-2; iso-3. Fly (Austin) 6:80-92, 2012

19. Wang K, Li M, Hakonarson H: ANNOVAR: Functional annotation of genetic variants from highthroughput sequencing data. Nucleic Acids Res 38: e164, 2010

20. Liu X, Jian X, Boerwinkle E: DbNSFP: A lightweight database of human nonsynonymous SNPs and their functional predictions. Hum Mutat 32:894-899, 2011

21. Tavtigian SV, Deffenbaugh AM, Yin L, et al: Comprehensive statistical study of 452 BRCA1 missense substitutions with classification of eight recurrent substitutions as neutral. J Med Genet 43: 295-305, 2006

22. Stephens PJ, Tarpey PS, Davies H, et al: The landscape of cancer genes and mutational processes in breast cancer. Nature 486:400-404, 2012

23. The Cancer Genome Atlas Network: Comprehensive molecular portraits of human breast tumours. Nature 490:61-70, 2012

24. Lindor NM, Guidugli L, Wang X, et al: A review of a multifactorial probability-based model for classification of BRCA1 and BRCA2 variants of uncertain significance (VUS). Hum Mutat 33:8-21, 2012

25. Erkko H, Dowty JG, Nikkilä J, et al: Penetrance analysis of the PALB2 c. 1592delT founder mutation. Clin Cancer Res 14:4667-4671, 2008

26. Weischer M, Nordestgaard BG, Pharoah P, et al: *CHEK2**1100delC heterozygosity in women with breast cancer associated with early death, breast cancer-specific death, and increased risk of a second breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 30:4308-4316, 2012 **27.** Farrugia DJ, Agarwal MK, Pankratz VS, et al: Functional assays for classification of BRCA2 variants of uncertain significance. Cancer Res 68:3523-3531, 2008

28. Guidugli L, Pankratz VS, Singh N, et al: A classification model for BRCA2 DNA binding domain missense variants based on homology-directed repair activity. Cancer Res 73:265-275, 2013

29. Easton DF, Deffenbaugh AM, Pruss D, et al: A systematic genetic assessment of 1,433 sequence variants of unknown clinical significance in the BRCA1 and BRCA2 breast cancer-predisposition genes. Am J Hum Genet 81:873-883, 2007

30. Spurdle AB, Whiley PJ, Thompson B, et al: BRCA1 R1699Q variant displaying ambiguous functional abrogation confers intermediate breast and ovarian cancer risk. J Med Genet 49:525-532, 2012

31. Von Minckwitz G, Hahnen E, Fasching PA, et al: Pathological complete response (pCR) rates after carboplatin-containing neoadjuvant chemotherapy in patients with Germline BRCA (gBRCA) mutations and triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC): results from GeparSixto. J Clin Oncol 32, 2014 (suppl 15s; abstr 1005)

32. Antoniou AC, Casadei S, Heikkinen T, et al: Breast-cancer risk in families with mutations in PALB2. N Engl J Med 371:497-506, 2014

33. Rahman N, Seal S, Thompson D, et al: PALB2, which encodes a BRCA2-interacting protein, is a breast cancer susceptibility gene. Nat Genet 39:165-167, 2007

34. Robson M: Multigene panel testing: Planning the next generation of research studies in clinical cancer genetics. J Clin Oncol 32:1987-1989, 2014

35. Domchek SM, Bradbury A, Garber JE, et al: Multiplex genetic testing for cancer susceptibility: Out on the high wire without a net? J Clin Oncol 31:1267-1270, 2013

36. Metcalfe K, Gershman S, Ghadirian P, et al: Contralateral mastectomy and survival after breast cancer in carriers of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations: Retrospective analysis. BMJ 348:g226, 2014

37. Metcalfe KA, Lynch HT, Synder CL, et al: The impact of oophorectomy on survival after breast cancer in BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers. J Clin Oncol 32, 2014 (suppl 15s; abstr 1507)

38. Tung N, Gaughan E, Hacker MR, et al: Outcome of triple negative breast cancer: Comparison of sporadic and BRCA1-associated cancers. Breast Cancer Res Treat 146:175-182, 2014

39. Finch AP, Lubinski J, Møller P, et al: Impact of oophorectomy on cancer incidence and mortality in women with a *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* mutation. J Clin Oncol 32:1547-1553, 2014

40. Tutt A, Robson M, Garber JE, et al: Oral poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitor olaparib in patients with BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations and advanced breast cancer: A proof-of-concept trial. Lancet 376:235-244, 2010

41. Buisson R, Dion-Côté AM, Coulombe Y, et al: Cooperation of breast cancer proteins PALB2 and piccolo BRCA2 in stimulating homologous recombination. Nat Struct Mol Biol 17:1247-1254, 2010

42. Byrski T, Gronwald J, Huzarski T, et al: Pathologic complete response rates in young women with *BRCA1*-positive breast cancers after neoadjuvant chemotherapy. J Clin Oncol 28:375-379, 2010

Affiliations

Fergus J. Couch, Steven N. Hart, Xianshu Wang, Janet E. Olson, Vernon S. Pankratz, Curtis Olswold, Seth Slettedahl, Emily Hallberg, Lucia Guidugli, Jaime Davila, Susan L. Slager, and Celine M. Vachon, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN; Priyanka Sharma, Andrew K. Godwin, and Jennifer Klemp, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, KS; Amanda Ewart Toland, Robert Pilarski, and Charles L. Shapiro, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH; Penelope Miron and Judy Garber, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA; Matthias W. Beckmann, Arif B. Ekici, and Peter A. Fasching, University Hospital Erlangen, Friedrich-Alexander University Erlangen-Nuremberg, Erlangen; Wolfgang Janni, University Hospital Ulm, Ulm; Brigitte Rack, Ludwig-Maximilians University Munich, Munich; Hiltrud Brauch, Margarete Fischer-Bosch Institute of Clinical Pharmacology, University of Tubingen, Stuttgart, and German Cancer Consortium and German Cancer Research Center, Heidelberg, Germany; Dennis J. Slamon and Peter A. Fasching, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA; Irene Konstantopoulou, Florentia Fostira, Athanassios Vratimos, and Drakoulis Yannoukakos, National Centre for Scientific Research "Demokritos," Athens; George Fountzilas, "Papageorgiou" Hospital, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece; Liisa M. Pelttari and Heli Nevanlinna, University of Helsinki and Helsinki University Central Hospital, Helsinki, Finland; William J. Tapper, Lorraine Durcan, and Diana M. Eccles, University of Southampton, Southampton; Simon S. Cross and Angela Cox, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, United Kingdom; and Song Yao and Christine Ambrosone, Roswell Park Cancer Institute, Buffalo, NY.

GLOSSARY TERMS

BRCA1: a tumor suppressor gene known to play a role in repairing DNA breaks. Mutations in this gene are associated with increased risks of developing breast or ovarian cancer.

BRCA2: a tumor suppressor gene whose protein product is involved in repairing chromosomal damage. Although structurally different from BRCA1, BRCA2 has cellular functions similar to BRCA1. BRCA2 binds to RAD51 to fix DNA breaks caused by irradiation and other environmental agents. Also known as the breast cancer 2 early onset gene. **sequencing:** a laboratory process that determines the nucleotide sequence of DNA (can involve the whole genome or whole exome or be targeted to as little as one coding sequence). Unlike somatic mutation genotyping, sequencing can detect previously unknown somatic mutations.

AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Inherited Mutations in 17 Breast Cancer Susceptibility Genes Among a Large Triple-Negative Breast Cancer Cohort Unselected for Family History of Breast Cancer

The following represents disclosure information provided by authors of this manuscript. All relationships are considered compensated. Relationships are self-held unless noted. I = Immediate Family Member, Inst = My Institution. Relationships may not relate to the subject matter of this manuscript. For more information about ASCO's conflict of interest policy, please refer to www.asco.org/rwc or jco.ascopubs.org/site/ifc.

Fergus J. Couch Patents, Royalties, Other Intellectual Property: Patent with Myriad Genetics

Steven N. Hart No relationship to disclose

Priyanka Sharma Research Funding: Glaxo Smith Kline, Novartis, Celgene, Roche/Genentech, Myriad Genetics, Olympus (I), Cook Medical (I), NinePoint Medical (I) Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Novartis, Celgene

Amanda Ewart Toland No relationship to disclose

Xianshu Wang No relationship to disclose

Penelope Miron No relationship to disclose

Janet E. Olson No relationship to disclose

Andrew K. Godwin Research Funding: Millennium (Inst), PeptiMed (Inst) Patents, Royalties, Other Intellectual Property: University of Kansas Research Institute (Inst)

V. Shane Pankratz No relationship to disclose

Curtis Olswold No relationship to disclose

Seth Slettedahl No relationship to disclose

Emily Hallberg No relationship to disclose

Lucia Guidugli No relationship to disclose

Jaime Davila No relationship to disclose

Matthias W. Beckmann No relationship to disclose

Wolfgang Janni No relationship to disclose

Brigitte Rack

Honoraria: BiPar/sanofi-aventis, Novartis, Roche, Pfizer, Eli Lilly, Veridex, AstraZeneca Speakers' Bureau: Roche Research Funding: BiPar/sanofi-aventis, Novartis, Eli Lilly, AstraZeneca, Veridex Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Roche, Novartis, Veridex, Chugai

Pharmaceutical

Arif B. Ekici No relationship to disclose Dennis Slamon Leadership: BioMarin Stock or Other Ownership: Pfizer Honoraria: Pfizer Consulting or Advisory Role: Novartis

Irene Konstantopoulou No relationship to disclose

Florentia Fostira No relationship to disclose

Athanassios Vratimos No relationship to disclose

George Fountzilas No relationship to disclose

Liisa Pelttari No relationship to disclose

William J. Tapper No relationship to disclose

Lorraine Durcan No relationship to disclose

Simon S. Cross No relationship to disclose

Robert Pilarski No relationship to disclose

Charles L. Shapiro No relationship to disclose

Jennifer Klemp Stock or Other Ownership: Cancer Survivorship Training Speakers' Bureau: Myriad Genetics, Novartis, Pfizer Patents, Royalties, Other Intellectual Property: Cancer Survivorship Training: IP and license agreement

Song Yao No relationship to disclose

Judy Garber Consulting or Advisory Role: Pfizer, Pfizer (I), Novartis (I) Other Relationship: Myriad Genetics, Pfizer (I), Novartis (I)

Angela Cox No relationship to disclose

Hiltrud Brauch No relationship to disclose

Christine Ambrosone No relationship to disclose

Heli Nevanlinna No relationship to disclose

Drakoulis Yannoukakos No relationship to disclose

Susan L. Slager No relationship to disclose

© 2014 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

JOURNAL OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY

Celine M. Vachon Employment: IMRIS (I) Patents, Royalties, Other Intellectual Property: Breast density software: patent filed at Mayo Clinic

Diana M. Eccles Consulting or Advisory Role: AstraZeneca Research Funding: AstraZeneca Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: AstraZeneca Peter A. Fasching

Honoraria: Amgen, Novartis, Pfizer, Genomic Health, Roche Consulting or Advisory Role: Novartis, Genomic Health, Roche Speakers' Bureau: Novartis, Roche, Amgen, Genomic Health, Pfizer Research Funding: Novartis, Amgen

Couch et al

Acknowledgment

We thank all the individuals who took part in these studies and all the researchers, clinicians, technicians, and administrative staff who enabled this work to be carried out, in particular the Demokritos participants and research team; the German Cancer Consortium and German Cancer Research Center; Wing-Yee Lo and Christina Justenhoven, Margarete Fischer-Bosch Institute of Clinical Pharmacology, Stuttgart, and University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany; Yon-Dschun Ko and Christian Baisc, Evangelische Kliniken Bonn and Johanniter Krankenhaus, Bonn, Germany; Hans-Peter Fischer, Institute of Pathology, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany; Ute Hamann, Molecular Genetics of Breast Cancer, Deutsches Krebsforschungszentrum, Heidelberg, Germany; Thomas Brüning, Beate Pesch, Sylvia Rabstein, and Anne Lotz, Institute for Prevention and Occupational Medicine of the German Social Accident Insurance, Institute of the Ruhr University Bochum, Bochum, Germany; Volker Harth, Institute of Occupational Medicine and Maritime Medicine, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany; Johanna Kiiski, Carl Blomqvist, Karl von Smitten, and Irja Erkkilä; Susan M. Gerty, Louise Jones, Linda Haywood, and Nikki Graham and the University of Southampton Faculty of Medicine DNA Bank for sample handling; Malcolm Reed, Sue Higham, Helen Cramp, Sabapathy Balasubramanian, Ian Brock, and Dan Connley; and Karan Rai and the Ohio State University Human Genetics Sample Bank for sample preparation.

Appendix

Part of this work was supported by the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme under grant agreement No. 223175 (Grant No. HEALTH-F2-2009-223175; COGS). The work of Demokritos has been cofinanced by the European Union (European Social Fund) and Greek national funds through the operational program "Education and Lifelong Learning" of the National Strategic Reference Framework Research Funding Program: Thales-investing in knowledge society through the European Social Fund. The GENICA network was funded by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) Germany grants 01KW9975/5, 01KW9976/8, 01KW9977/0, and 01KW0114, the Robert Bosch Foundation, Stuttgart, Deutsches Krebsforschungszentrum (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Institute for Prevention and Occupational Medicine of the German Social Accident Insurance (IPA), Bochum, as well as the Department of Internal Medicine, Evangelische Kliniken Bonn gGmbH, Johanniter Krankenhaus, Bonn, Germany. HEBCS was financially supported by the Helsinki University Central Hospital Research Fund, Academy of Finland (266528), the Finnish Cancer Society, the Nordic Cancer Union and the Sigrid Juselius Foundation. RPCI biospecimens and data are from the DataBank and Biorepository (DBBR), a cancer center support grant shared resource supported by National Institutes of Health (P30 CA016056-27). MCBCS was supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) Specialized Program of Research Excellence (SPORE) in Breast Cancer to Mayo Clinic (P50 CA116201), the Breast Cancer Research Foundation, and a generous gift from the David F. and Margaret T. Grohne Family Foundation and the Ting Tsung and Wei Fong Chao Foundation. The POSH study was supported by grants from Cancer Research United Kingdom (A7572, A11699, and C22524) and Breast Cancer Campaign L1401. SBCS was supported by Yorkshire Cancer Research S295, S299, and S305PA. OSU was supported by the Stefanie Spielman Breast Fund and the Ohio State University Comprehensive Cancer Center.