Seal finger: A case report and review of the literature
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A recent case of seal finger which was misdiagnosed and hence mistreated at the patient's first presentation is described. The patient was eventually referred to a hand specialist and after the correct treatment with tetracycline, responded well without any long-term sequelae. Seal finger is an occupational injury that occurs to those who work directly or indirectly with seals. The disease entity has been described in both Scandinavian and Canadian literature. The causative microorganism was unknown until 1991, when Mycoplasma phocacerebrale was isolated from both the finger of a patient with seal finger and from the mouth of a seal that bit the patient. Although rare, the disease is not uncommon in marine workers, biologists and veterinarians. Prompt identification based on patient history and treatment with oral tetracycline is pendant to a favourable patient outcome.
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For hundreds of years seal fisherman have feared the disease known as 'seal finger'. Seal finger or 'sealer's finger' is a bacterial infection of the fingers and hand. The mechanism of inoculation may be directly from the bite of a seal, by handling seal pelts, or by a laceration produced from a knife while working with seal meat. This injury commonly occurs in seal fisherman and other workers associated with seal product preparation. The disease is also seen in marine scuba drivers, biologists and veterinary/wildlife workers. Before treatment with tetracycline was available, this disease frequently led to digit amputation and loss of normal hand function. In fisherman, this resulted in significant morbidity. The historical evolution of the disease description and the treatment involves an interesting connection between zoonotic disease and human pathogenicity. For many years, the cause was thought to be by the inoculation of an unknown bacterium in the tissues of the hand. It was not until 1991 that the causative organism, Mycoplasma phocacerebrale, was first cultured from an infected finger (1).

CASE PRESENTATION

A 46-year-old man initially presented to a rural emergency department complaining of a painful right index finger of three days’ duration. There was no history of trauma. The finger was swollen, erythematous and the range of motion was limited. A diagnosis of cellulitis was made and he was started on cephalexin 500 mg every 6 h. Two days later, he presented to an emergency department at an academic hospital because his hand had not improved. The hand was quite swollen and a small abrasion was noted on his index finger. There was no evidence of abscess. He was diagnosed with cellulitis and given cefazolin 2 g intravenously and probenecid 1 g orally.

The following day, he returned to the emergency department because his hand was increasingly more swollen and painful. His range of motion was now even more restricted. He was referred to a plastic surgeon. Upon appropriate history taking, the patient reported that the week before the onset of his symptoms, he had been hunting and skinning seals. On examination, his right hand and index finger was swollen and the finger was held in slight flexion, with erythema extending over the dorsum of his hand and wrist (Figure 1). A tentative
diagnosis of seal finger was made and he was started on doxycycline for two doses and then switched to tetracycline 500 mg four times a day for two weeks.

The patient was seen in follow-up two and a half days later. At this time, the swelling had settled, the erythema had decreased significantly and his range of motion had improved. He was told to complete his course of tetracycline and return for a recheck in two weeks. He did not keep his return appointment because his finger had improved. When seen for a separate problem three months later the finger was entirely normal (Figure 2).

**DISCUSSION**

Seal finger was first described by Bidenknap in 1907 (2). In the first half of the 20th century it was common in Norway and Newfoundland sealing fleets, where an estimated 10% and 2.5% of the sealers were affected, respectively (3).

It most commonly occurred in people who either hunted or handled seal meat (4). Later in the 20th century many of those affected with seal finger were researchers and veterinarians (5). Traditionally, seal finger most commonly affected the middle and index finger because of the practice of placing these two digits into the ice.

Even from the earliest records, the disease entity was described as a painful, swollen, stiff, erythematous finger with possible axillary lymphadenopathy. Laboratory investigations show increased white blood cell count with neutrophilia (4,6,7). X-rays are usually normal in the acute stage (7) but eventually may show joint destruction (5). The entrance of infection is through epithelial deficiency, or weakness of skin barrier (4,8). The incubation period is reported to be from seven to 15 days (9).

Naming schemes for the disease include in English, seal finger or sealer's finger, and in Norwegian, 'spekkfinger' or 'speak finger', which means 'blubber finger'. In the Baltic seas, the term 'salrotsa', which means 'seal rot', is used. In the Gulf of Finland, other synonyms include 'salen I fingret', which means 'the seal in the finger', or from other translations 'seal blood in the finger', 'blubber in the finger' and 'blubber poisoning' (8).

Seal hunters and seal meat handlers are most likely to get the infection on the index or middle finger of the nondominant hand because this hand tends to get accidentally cut by the knife being used in the dominant hand (9,10). Those actually bitten by a seal tend to have the thumb of the dominant hand affected (5,11). These patients tend to be researchers and wildlife workers.

The differential diagnosis includes erysipeloid, atypical Mycobacterium (12) and Vibrio vulnificus infection (13). In erysipeloid, the erythema is much more intense and the causative organism Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae can be cultured quite easily (5). Infection with atypical Mycobacteria also causes less pain than seal finger but is known to be very difficult to culture with normal methods (4).

Numerous case reports tell of adverse outcomes from the infection after incorrect treatment. These usually include the immediate consequences of pain, discomfort, decreased function and, in the long term, may include stiffness and permanent loss of finger joint range of motion (5). Other long-term consequences include ankylosis of interphalangeal joints, severe pain on movements or marked sensitiveness to cold. Surgical treatment for these problems included arthrodesis or amputation (4). It was not uncommon that a patient at sea on a fishing voyage would demand amputation of a finger to avoid losing valuable working time and wages (9).

Histopathological pathology reveals perivascular infiltration with lymphocytes and plasma cells in subcutaneous adipose tissue, and a few granulocytes without pus or necrosis. Fibrosis eventually takes place (4). Grossly joint pathology can be seen. Involved joints show severe inflammatory reaction with chronic granulation tissue and scarring with destruction of the articular cartilage (5).

Seals (Pinnepedia suborder) are classified as either eared, earless or walruses. The Canadian seal hunt includes harp seals (Pagophilus groenlandicus), the hooded seal (Cystophora cristata), the harbour seal (Phoca vitulina) and the grey seal (Halichoerus grypus), all which are hunted for their fur pelts (14). Harbour seals are earless and the most common associated with seal finger. Other types of sea mammals have been implicated in seal finger including elephant seals (Mirounga leonina) (5,13) and sea lions (7).

The disease may progresses from cellulitis to destruction of joints causing chronic damage (9,11,12). Joint affection is characterized by synovitis, atrophy of joint cartilage, bone resorption and eventually arthrosis (4).

The occupations of patients with seal finger can be divided into two main categories. Seal hunters and those who handle seal meat and pelts (3,10,12,15,16) are the most commonly reported in the earlier literature. The other main group consists of those who work with live seals or in a marine environment. This group includes biologists (3,13,14), psychologists (6), veterinarians (17), seal trainers (1,18), researchers (7) and aquarium personnel (5).

There are numerous case reports of patients being unsuccessfully treated with initial first line antibiotics (15). The consequences of incorrect choice antibiotics is usually an extended course of treatment (6), loss of recovery time and numerous consultations (7), unnecessary invasive procedures (17) and permanent damage to the joint (11,12). One of the main reasons for incorrect treatment is possibly “lack of awareness by primary physicians of seal finger as a clinical entity” (5).

---

**Figure 2** Dorsal surface of right hand showing resolution of erythema after treatment with tetracycline
Initial attempts to culture the causative organism using a variety of culture techniques were unsuccessful (5,9). Russian researchers believed it was caused by *Diplococcus*. Because of the difficulty encountered while trying to identify a causative microbe, it was postulated the infection was of viral origin (8).

The link between seals and *Mycoplasma* was not proven until 1991. *Mycoplasma* species were first isolated from the trachea, bronchus, lung and heart in diseased harbour seals (*Phoca vitulina*) during an epizootic outbreak of seal pneumonia along the New England sea board in 1979 and 1980. The *Mycoplasma* strain was classified as the new species *Mycoplasma phocae* (19,20).

Later, in 1988 and 1989 in the Baltic Sea and North Sea during another mass mortality of seals, two new species – *M phocacerebrale* and *Mycoplasma phocarhinis* – were identified (21).

The discovery of *Mycoplasma* species in epidemics of seal disease prompted researchers to explore the possibility of *Mycoplasma* as a cause of seal finger. They isolated *M phocacerebrale* from the finger of an aquarium trainer who was bitten by a seal and also from the biting seal (1,18,22). The isolates from the seal’s mouth and the patient’s finger were the same. The isolates were also identical to the Baltic Sea *Mycoplasma* strain *M phocacerebrale* but not the same as the New England strain *M phocae* (18,23). This observation by Madoff et al (21) in 1991 was the first documented case of *Mycoplasma* as the causative agent of aseptic finger. *Mycoplasma* species are known to cause both arthritis and be passed from one species to another (24).

Past traditional treatments of aseptic finger included dressing the affected digit with camphor oil, wheat flour paste and alcohol, soap with washing soda, and hot water (3). Other things like vinegar, brine, hot ash lye, linedel, pick oil, rye flour, fur resin, pork slices and bear gall were also used. Other treatments have included magic spells recited over the finger, and stroking the tip of the finger with a feather from the left wing of a grousse (8). Treatments used in Canada included heat, splinting and amputation (9). Other treatments such as heat soaks, drainage and injections of penicillin were also used (10). Prophylactic techniques included hand washing with brine, petroleum, simple sap and wearing gloves (8).
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