The Wasp, Ant and Bird Orchids of the *Chiloglottis* Alliance – A General Overview

Colin Bower FloraSearch PO Box 300 Orange, NSW 2800

Abstract

Taxonomic research on the genus *Chiloglottis sensu lato* over the last twenty years has resulted in the description of some eighteen new species and the splitting of the genus into three segregate genera. As well as the taxonomic work, there is a major ongoing research effort aimed at understanding the pollination and evolution of the genus, which has implications for the taxonomy. The published information on the *Chiloglottis* alliance is scattered in many reports and scientific papers. This is the first in a series of articles that collate information on distribution, habitats, pollination biology and morphological differences among the species of the *Chiloglottis* alliance. This introductory article provides a general overview of the group.

Introduction

402

Wasp, Ant and Bird Orchids of the Chiloglottis alliance, are common, but rarely noticed denizens of moist forests, sheltered slopes, damp shady gullies, grassy montane habitats and sphagnum hummocks in the forests and woodlands eastern Australia. of Cryptic and inconspicuous, the small maroon, pale green or brownish flowers blend into the background, escaping the attention of the casual observer. The most obvious signs of these orchids are the twin opposite ground-hugging leaves, usually in massed colonies. In most years flowering is sparse and one must search the colonies for the occasional thin stem arising between the paired leaves. Often, it is only after finding a stem that the single small flower materialises at its apex.

The Wasp and Ant Orchids are named for the remarkable resemblance of their labellum calli to hymenopterous insects. Indeed, R.D. Fitzgerald (1877) named *Chiloglottis formicifera* (now *Myrmechila formicifera*) 'from the likeness of the glands on the labellum to an ant' (family Formicidae) (Plate 1). *Chiloglottis reflexa* (Labill.) Druce has a similarly insectiform labellum, which is missing from the type specimen. It is thought the original collector, Labillardiere, removed the labellum thinking it was an insect stuck to the specimen(!) (M.A. Clements, personal communication).

Dockrill (1956) was the first to discover the function of the insect-like labellum glands when he observed black wasps attempting to copulate (pseudocopulation) with the labellum of C. formicifera (now Myrmechila platyptera) at Barrington Tops in November 1954. He interpreted the ant-like labellum glands as mimicking a female wasp, which he mistook for an ichneumonid. Giles (1964) correctly reported that wasps he observed pseudocopulating on Chiloglottis reflexa at Batlow (probably plants currently known as C. trilabra) belonged to the family Thynnidae (Plate 2). Since that time all studies of pollination in the Chiloglottis alliance have reported male Thynnine wasps (now Tiphiidae subfamily Thynninae) as the pollinators.

Plant Habit and Flower Structure

The unifying characteristic of *Chiloglottis* alliance species is the pair of equal prostrate oblong or ovate leaves that usually lie opposite each other on the substrate (Plate 3), but may be erect if the plant is pushing up through dense growth. All species have a single flower, either almost sessile between the leaves, or borne on an erect stem to about 15 cm high, which elongates after fertilisation to

The Orchadian, Volume 15 Number 9

aid wind borne seed disp

There are two main pl *Chiloglottis* alliance. The group (now *Simpliglottis*) to circular, somewhat with straight margins large sessile or short-By contrast, the 'refle *Myrmechila* and *Chiloglo* oblong leaves of thin te undulating or wrinkled r of the 'reflexa' group are on stalks much longer th

Flower morphology of th quite divergent. The lat type flowers is concav rotates passively on a form a tight fit against has loosely clustered nor The lateral sepals are forward below the labe rest on them, while the pr spreading horizontally or to partially cover the c resting labellum and th 4). 'Reflexa' type flowers open, the petals being ret ovary, and the flat trape. labellum held horizonta some species, to disj cluster of wasp-mimickir The two flower types suc pollination mechanisms the Chiloglottis alliance.

The large crowded associated with the *Ch.* are mainly the resull reproduction (Plate 5). small globular or ovoid to seven or more centin surface depending on Each season after a per a vertical stem from the the surface forming few roots. The stem shoots giving rise to the twin le growing period, a repla produced next to the pi

Icome to exhibit and Il be awarded in a range chid. and associated events ontact Ted Walmsley on **50MM INDIVIDUAL** TUBE TRAY First time in Australia. Forget the problems of fallen tubes \$4 each WHOLESALE INQUIRIES WELCOME SHALLOW POTS Specially designed Xtra shallow pots including the widest 260mm \$1.50 each 350mm \$2.95 each WHOLESALE INQUIRIES WELCOME



Plate 1. Ant-like labellum glands of *Myrmechila formicifera*, which in fact mimic a female thynnine wasp.

Colin Bower FloraSearch PO Box 300 Orange, NSW 2800

the last twenty years has the splitting of the genus there is a major ongoing ution of the genus, which n the *Chiloglottis* alliance irst in a series of articles ology and morphological This introductory article

(M.A. Clements, personal

was the first to discover the insect-like labellum observed black wasps pulate (pseudocopulation) n of C. formicifera (now typtera) at Barrington er 1954. He interpreted lum glands as mimicking which he mistook for Giles (1964) correctly wasps he observed g on Chiloglottis reflexa bably plants currently ilabra) belonged to the e (Plate 2). Since that 3 of pollination in the nce have reported male (now Tiphiidae subfamily e pollinators.

Flower Structure

racteristic of *Chiloglottis* is the pair of equal g or ovate leaves that usite each other on the 3), but may be erect if shing up through dense es have a single flower, usile between the leaves, rect stem to about 15 cm gates after fertilisation to aid wind borne seed dispersal.

There are two main plant types in the *Chiloglottis* alliance. The so-called 'gunnii' group (now *Simpliglottis*) has broad ovate to circular, somewhat leathery leaves with straight margins and relatively large sessile or short-stalked flowers. By contrast, the 'reflexa' group (now *Myrmechila* and *Chiloglottis*) has narrower oblong leaves of thin texture, often with undulating or wrinkled margins. Flowers of the 'reflexa' group are small and borne on stalks much longer than the flower.

Flower morphology of the two groups is quite divergent. The labellum of 'gunnii' type flowers is concave, heart-shaped, rotates passively on a flexible hinge to form a tight fit against the column and has loosely clustered non insect-like calli. The lateral sepals are thin and project forward below the labellum, which may rest on them, while the petals are broader, spreading horizontally or curved upwards to partially cover the gap between the resting labellum and the column (Plate 4). 'Reflexa' type flowers are much more open, the petals being reflexed against the ovary, and the flat trapezoid or rhomboid labellum held horizontally, or erect in some species, to display the dense cluster of wasp-mimicking calli (Plate 1). The two flower types suggest two distinct pollination mechanisms have evolved in the Chiloglottis alliance.

The large crowded colonies often associated with the Chiloglottis alliance are mainly the result of vegetative reproduction (Plate 5). Plants have a small globular or ovoid tuber from one to seven or more centimeters below the surface depending on the substrate. Each season after a period of dormancy, a vertical stem from the tuber ascends to the surface forming few to many lateral roots. The stem shoots at the surface giving rise to the twin leaves. During the growing period, a replacement tuber is produced next to the parent tuber. Two

daughter tubers are also formed at the ends of lateral roots arising from the stem just below the soil surface. The daughter tubers may be as far as 20 cm from the parent plant and are responsible for colony extension (Plate 6). Daughter plants are clones of the parent and genetic analysis has shown that large colonies may contain only one or a few genetically distinct clones comprising hundreds or thousands of leaves extending over several meters (R. Peakall, personal communication).

The long lateral roots with daughter tubers perform an important function in the *Chiloglottis* alliance. Overexploitation of soil resources is likely in the very crowded colonies often formed by these species, which may explain why flowering is so sparse. The lateral roots provide a means for some plants to migrate to fresh unexploited sites. This is equivalent to repotting the tubers into new soil as a means of maintaining flowering, which is necessary every one to two years for *Chiloglottis* alliance species in cultivation (Jones 2006a).

Brief Taxonomic History of the *Chiloglottis* Alliance

Robert Brown described the genus Chiloglottis in 1810, with C. diphylla as type species. However, Labillardiere in 1806 had previously incorrectly described a collection of Chiloglottis reflexa from Tasmania in the European genus Epipactus. This mistake was not corrected until 1917 by Druce. The next species described were C. gunnii in 1840 and C. cornuta in 1844 by John Lindley and J.D. Hooker, respectively. The first resident Australian orchidologist, Robert Fitzgerald, described three species, C. formicifera, C. trapeziformis and C. trilabra in his monumental folio work, 'Australian Orchids' in 1877. In 1918, R.S. Rogers added C. pescottiana, which is now recognised as a natural hybrid between C. valida and C. trapeziformis. C. dockrillii, described by the Reverend Herman Rupp in 1953, is now a synonym of C. trilabra.



Plate 2. Typical female thynnine wasp as mimicked by orchids of the *Chiloglottis* alliance.

The Orchadian, Volume 15 Number 9



Plate 3. Paired opposite turfosa).



Plate 4. Flower of Simpli

The Orchadian, Volume 15 Number §

404



Plate 3. Paired opposite leaves characteristic of the *Chiloglottis* alliance (*Simpliglottis turfosa*).



Plate 4. Flower of Simpliglottis gunnii.



chids of the Chiloglottis

The preceding account is summarised from Clements (1989).

The modern era in *Chiloglottis* taxonomy began with the description of Chiloglottis truncata and C. sylvestris by David Jones and Mark Clements in 1987, which brought the number of species in the Chiloglottis alliance to nine and one hybrid. 1991 was a watershed year in which thirteen species were described including two from Tasmania, C. grammata and C. platychila, by Geoff Carr (1991) and eleven in one publication by David Jones (1991) as follows; C. anaticeps, C. chlorantha, C. longiclavata, C. palachila, C. platyptera, C. pluricallata, C. seminuda, C. sphyrnoides, C. trullata, C. turfosa and C.valida. C. platychila Carr was subsequently synonymised with C. gunnii Lindl. by Jones (1998). Over the last ten years a further three species have been added, C. jeanesii (Jones 1997), C. triceratops (Jones 1998) and C. sphaerula (Jones 2006b), bringing the total number of described species to twenty four and one hybrid (Jones 2006a, b).

The first step in splitting Chiloglottis into three segregate genera was the erection of the genus Simpliglottis (Szlachetko 2001) to accommodate the distinctive large flowered Chiloglottis gunnii group. For a short time Simpliglottis was reduced to subgeneric status within 'The Chiloglottis Alliance' (Jones 2002), but was maintained as a genus by Jeanes (2002) who also named the hybrid genus xChilosimpliglottis to accommodate C. pescottiana, whose parents are now in different genera. Detailed molecular genetic studies on fifteen species (Mant et al. 2002) showed there are at least three genetically distinct and coherent groups within the Chiloglottis alliance. These are the C. gunnii group (Simpliglottis), the late summer / autumn flowering C. reflexa group and the spring flowering C. formicifera group. The C. reflexa and C. formicifera groups are also consistently different in their lateral sepals; the former

being much shorter with shorter apical clubs than the latter. Jones and Clements (2005) erected the genus *Myrmechila* for the 'formicifera' group.

Pollination Mechanism

Apart from Simpliglottis cornuta, which is self-pollinating, most Chiloglottis alliance species so far tested are pollinated by sexual deception of male thynnine wasps of the genus Neozeleboria or closely related genera (Bower 1992, 1996; Bower and Brown 1997; Peakall et al. 1997, 2002; Mant et al. 2005a, b). The exception is Simpliglottis grammata in Tasmania which is pollinated by a species of the distantly related thynnine genus Eirone (Mant et al. 2005a). The orchids mimic not only the shape and colour of a female thynnine, but also the wind-borne sex pheromone odour she uses to attract males from a distance. The imitation pheromone, or allomone, is emitted from glands (osmophores) on the labellum calli and sepal clubs of the orchid. Downwind males detect the odour and follow the scent trail upwind to the flower. A recent breakthrough has been the chemical identification of the allomone of Myrmechila trapezifomis (Chiloglottis trapeziformis) and confirmation that it is identical to the sex pheromone of its pollinator, Neozeleboria cryptoides (Schiestl et al. 2003). The chemical, a cyclic diketone, has the common name, chiloglottone.

Males attracted to *Myrmechila* and *Chiloglottis* flowers may zig zag in front of the flower or circle around it before landing near it, or on the stem, or directly on the labellum or sepal clubs. Wasps landing near the flower may walk to the stem and climb up, or fly again and land on or near the labellum. Peakall and Handel (1993) suggest that males are attracted from the distance by the allomone, and use visual cues to find the female at close range. Occasionally, males may attempt to mate with the sepal clubs, rather than the insectiform labellum, indicating that even at close range chemical cues can be more

The Orchadian, Volume 15 Number 9

important that visual one

Males crawl over the seeking the head and the 'female', while pro labellum margin with the at the tip of the abdom to couple (Plate 7). N 'correctly' on the decoy, the forelegs just behind as they would a femal attempt to lift it and fly a brings the male's thorax the overhanging column any attached pollinia to p stigma. After failing to r males may move bacl a coating of glue on the rostellum before pushing flaps and removing one However, pollinia remov can also occur by male over the 'head' region o squeezing below the colu

Pollination in Simpliglotti. ways from Myrmechila The initial approach by w the same in all three gen sepal clubs of Simpliglot and unattractive to incon walk or fly straight onto th probing with the abdom over the calli towards central callus at the base as the major proximal ca it is inclined towards th Males generally move by and the column while att and grapple it with the the apical knob; in the the finely balanced labe column. Trapped betwe labellum and the colum move upwards over th to escape. The male's labellum closed forcing contact the stigma, roste in that order, first pollir if it is carrying pollinia, additional pollinia on its der

orter with shorter apical tter. Jones and Clements he genus *Myrmechila* for group.

hanism

liglottis cornuta, which is nost Chiloglottis alliance tested are pollinated by of male thynnine wasps Neozeleboria or closely 3ower 1992, 1996; Bower Peakall et al. 1997, 2002; 5a, b). The exception is nmata in Tasmania which a species of the distantly genus Eirone (Mant et al. hids mimic not only the of a female thynnine, but ne sex pheromone odour ct males from a distance. heromone, or allomone, glands (osmophores) on i and sepal clubs of the d males detect the odour scent trail upwind to the breakthrough has been ntification of the allomone rapezifomis (Chiloglottis nd confirmation that it the sex pheromone of Veozeleboria cryptoides 2003). The chemical, a has the common name,

to *Myrmechila* and ars may zig zag in front of e around it before landing e stem, or directly on the al clubs. Wasps landing nay walk to the stem and gain and land on or near akall and Handel (1993) es are attracted from the allomone, and use visual female at close range. Iles may attempt to mate clubs, rather than the lum, indicating that even emical cues can be more important that visual ones.

Males crawl over the labellum calli seeking the head and thorax region of the 'female', while probing the calli or labellum margin with the genital claspers at the tip of the abdomen in an attempt to couple (Plate 7). Males that orient 'correctly' on the decoy, will grasp it with the forelegs just behind the head region, as they would a female (Plate 7), and attempt to lift it and fly away. This action brings the male's thorax into contact with the overhanging column (Plate 8) allowing any attached pollinia to pollinate the sticky stigma. After failing to move the female, males may move backwards receiving a coating of glue on the thorax from the rostellum before pushing open the anther flaps and removing one or both pollinia. However, pollinia removal or pollination can also occur by males simply moving over the 'head' region of the 'female' and squeezing below the column.

Pollination in Simpliglottis differs in several ways from Myrmechila and Chiloglottis. The initial approach by wasps to flowers is the same in all three genera. However, the sepal clubs of Simpliglottis are very small and unattractive to incoming males, which walk or fly straight onto the labellum. While probing with the abdomen, males move over the calli towards the tall knobbed central callus at the base (Plate 9). Known as the major proximal callus (Jones 1991), it is inclined towards the labellum apex. Males generally move between the callus and the column while attempting to mount and grapple it with their forelegs below the apical knob; in the process tipping the finely balanced labellum against the column. Trapped between the concave labellum and the column, the male must move upwards over the labellum calli to escape. The male's weight holds the labellum closed forcing it's thorax to contact the stigma, rostellum and anthers in that order, first pollinating the flower, if it is carrying pollinia, then picking up additional pollinia on its departure (Plate 10).

Pollinator Specificity

A key feature of pollination by sexual deception of male insects is that each orchid species has a single unique pollinator (Table 1). This characteristic of sexual deception has been exhaustively tested by the author for most species in the *Chiloglottis* alliance by using pollinator choice tests in the field (Bower 1996, 2006, Bower and Brown 1997). Experiments have been carried out through much of eastern Australia from the Blackdown Tableland in Queensland to Portland in western Victoria and Tasmania. Pollinator choice tests are a rigorous method for determining the degree of pollinator specificity in sexually deceptive orchids (Plates 11 and 12). Several sets of flowers from different Chiloglottis alliance species, populations or geographical areas can be compared for their attraction to populations of particular wasp species. For example, a population of the known pollinator of Myrmechila trapeziformis can be exposed in a choice test to several other orchid species to see if any of them are attractive to it, and hence may have the same or a functionally similar allomone. When Chiloglottis alliance species that occur in the same geographical region in the wild, and flower at the same time, are tested together in their normal range and habitats, different wasps are always attracted to each species.

However, a complication is that some orchid and wasp species appear to have similar allomones to other species, but only if they are separated by geography or altitude. For example, Myrmechila trapeziformis and Simpliglottis valida have the same allomone (Schiestl and Peakall 2005), but different pollinators, and are usually separated by altitude. Where there is occasional overlap in distribution, the hybrid Chiloglottis x pescottiana (**x**Chilosimpliglottis pescottiana) may be produced (Peakall et al. 2002). The apparent sharing of similar attractant chemicals by different Chiloglottis alliance orchid and pollinator species across



Plate 5. Colony of Myrmechila trapeziformis plants.



Plate 6. Plant of *Chiloglottis seminuda* showing the parent tuber below the leaves and flower stem, and two lateral roots which will later form the daughter tubers.



late 7. Male *Neozeleboi* aff. *formicifera* 1. The wa the genital claspers grip

The Orchadian, Volume 15 Number

408



Plate 6. Plant of *Chiloglottis seminuda* showing the parent tuber below the leaves and flower stem, and two lateral roots which will later form the daughter tubers.



late 7. Male *Neozeleboria* species 45 pseudocopulating on the labellum of *Myrmechila* aff. *formicifera* 1. The wasp's forelegs are holding the decoy behind the head region while the genital claspers grip the labellum apex.

geographical and altitudinal boundaries is relatively common. However, despite some allomone sharing, in all known cases each orchid species has it own unique pollinator.

The knowledge that thynnine-pollinated sexually deceptive orchids typically have a single specific pollinator has been used to support the description of several new Chiloglottis alliance species, e.g. Carr (1991) used the findings of Stoutamire (1975) to support the description of Chiloglottis grammata (now Simpliglottis grammata). Similarly, Jones (1991) used pollinator information generated by the author to support the description of Chiloglottis platyptera (now Myrmechila platyptera), С. pluricallata (now Simpliglottis pluricallata), C. seminuda (now M. seminuda) and C. valida (now S. valida), as well as C. jeanesii (now S. jeanesii) (Jones 1997). In addition to having different pollinators, all these species, on close examination, are quite distinct morphologically and readily identifiable in the field.

The pollinators of eighteen of the twenty four described species in the *Chiloglottis* alliance have been collected and identified (Table 1). In addition, another eight undescribed species of *Chiloglottis* alliance orchids have been identified by virtue of their unique pollinators (Table 1). Some implications of the data in Table 1 are:

- Fifteen of the wasp species are unnamed, illustrating the enormous job still confronting entomologists to document Australia's insect diversity.
- Most of the pollinators belong to the thynnine genus *Neozeleboria* onto which the *Chiloglottis* alliance has radiated (Mant *et al.* 2005).
- Chiloglottis diphylla has attracted two species of Arthrothynnus in apparent contradiction of pollinator specificity. However, further investigation is needed to determine if the two wasp

410

taxa are variants of the same species, or there are two cryptic orchid species within *C. diphylla* (see below).

 Chiloglottis reflexa sensu stricto from Tasmania has the same pollinator, Neozeleboria proxima, as mainland C. trilabra and is the same biological species. Mainland plants currently called C. reflexa have a different pollinator, Neozeleboria sp. 30, and are an undescribed species.

Cryptic Species

Although the eight undescribed orchid taxa in Table 1 consistently attract different pollinator species, many of them lack any morphological differences to reliably identify them in the field, or which can form the basis of a formal description. the pollinator However, evidence indicates they are separate entities that will not interbreed with others in the field. The absolute lack of genetic interchange that this implies is sufficient evidence to regard them as separate species. Such entities are referred to as cryptic species. There are two well-documented examples among the taxa in Table 1.

1. Myrmechila aff. formicifera 1 and M. aff. formicifera 2. These two taxa in the Myrmechila formicifera complex occur in mixed colonies in sheltered gullies and on shady slopes in the Stanthorpe to Tenterfield area of the Granite Belt on the NSW / Qld. border. Tests with identical looking flowers from near Bald Rock in NSW and Wyberba in Qld showed both populations contained flowers that attracted one or the other of two different wasp species as pollinators, but never both. The two taxa,, designated M. aff. formicifera 1 and 2, are pollinated by Neozeleboria new species 45 and N. near new species 40, respectively. However, when translocated to the habitats of M. formicifera, M. aff. formicifera 1 attracts the M. formicifera pollinator, N. new species 41, which is closely

The Orchadian, Volume 15 Number 9

related to, and appe same or similar attra *N*. new species 45.

2. Simpliglottis valida 1 and S. aff. vali from thirty eight diffe thought to be Simplic southern NSW and were found to attra species of thynnine w choice tests (Bower showed the orchids belonged to two odou wasps in each type. in each odour type spatially by altitude wasp of each type about 1000 m with below 1000 m. There orchid taxa above 1 by the two high altitu themselves were within Neozeleboria two high altitude or cannot be reliabl morphologically in t only apparent that tw types were involved altitude orchids wer altitudes and attracted distinct wasps wh same or similar phe high altitude spec revealed three crypt S. valida; true S. vai N. monticola, which medium to high altitu 2 pollinated by N. n. altitudes of above aff. valida 1, pollina impatiens at altitud 1000 m. N. near n near impatiens have similar sex pheromol valida 1 and S. aff. v.

These scenarios show complexity that is unfol deceptive pollination sys

The Orchadian, Volume 15 Number 9

ants of the same species,

two cryptic orchid species

reflexa sensu stricto from

as the same pollinator,

a proxima, as mainland

nd is the same biological

ainland plants currently

eflexa have a different

eozeleboria sp. 30, and

ight undescribed orchid

or species, many of them

hological differences to

hem in the field, or which

is of a formal description.

ire separate entities that

ed with others in the field.

ck of genetic interchange

is sufficient evidence to

separate species. Such

red to as cryptic species.

ell-documented examples

aff. formicifera 1 and

Myrmechila formicifera

our in mixed colonies in

llies and on shady slopes

horpe to Tenterfield area

e Belt on the NSW / Qld.

s with identical looking

near Bald Rock in NSW

a in Qld showed both

contained flowers that

ne or the other of two

sp species as pollinators,

both. The two taxa,

M. aff. formicifera 1 and

inated by Neozeleboria

s 45 and N. near new

ocated to the habitats of

ra, M. aff. formicifera 1

M. formicifera pollinator,

respectively. However,

These two

evidence

1 consistently attract

hylla (see below).

scribed species.

pollinator

in Table 1.

nicifera 2.

related to, and appears to have the same or similar attractant odours to, *N.* new species 45.

2. Simpliglottis valida, S. aff. valida 1 and S. aff. valida 2. Flowers from thirty eight different populations thought to be Simpliglottis valida from southern NSW and across Victoria were found to attract four different species of thynnine wasps in pollinator choice tests (Bower 2006). The tests showed the orchids and pollinators belonged to two odour types, with two wasps in each type. The two wasps in each odour type were separated spatially by altitude, so that one wasp of each type occurred above about 1000 m with the other pair below 1000 m. There were two cryptic orchid taxa above 1000 m pollinated by the two high altitude wasps, which themselves were cryptic species within Neozeleboria monticola. The two high altitude orchids and wasps cannot be reliably distinguished morphologically in the field. It was only apparent that two different odour types were involved when the high altitude orchids were tested at low altitudes and attracted morphologically distinct wasps which shared the same or similar pheromones as the high altitude species. The study revealed three cryptic species within S. valida; true S. valida pollinated by N. monticola, which mainly occurs at medium to high altitudes, S. aff. valida 2 pollinated by N. near monticola, at altitudes of above 1000 m, and S. aff. valida 1, pollinated by N. near impatiens at altitudes below about 1000 m. N. near monticola and N. near *impatiens* have the same or very similar sex pheromones, as do S. aff. valida 1 and S. aff. valida 2.

These scenarios show the kind of complexity that is unfolding in sexually deceptive pollination systems.

Subsequent articles will discuss each of the *Chiloglottis* alliance species, including the undescribed ones, with one article for each of the three genera currently recognised.

References

- Bower, C.C. (1992). The use of pollinators in the taxonomy of sexually deceptive orchids in the subtribe Caladeniinae (Orchidaceae). *The Orchadian*, **10**, 331-338.
- Bower, C.C. (1996). Demonstration of pollinator-mediated reproductive isolation in sexually deceptive species of *Chiloglottis* (Orchidaceae: Caladeniinae). *Australian Journal of Botany*, **44**, 15-33.
- Bower, C.C. (2006). Specific pollinators reveal a cryptic taxon in the bird orchid, *Chiloglottis valida sensu lato* (Orchidaceae) in southeastern Australia. *Australian Journal of Botany*, **54**, 53-64.
- Bower, C.C. and Brown, G.R. (1997). Hidden biodiversity: Detection of cryptic thynnine wasp species using sexually deceptive, femalemimicking orchids. *Memoirs of the Museum of Victoria*, **56**, 461-466.
- Carr, G.W. (1991). New taxa in Caladenia R. Br., Chiloglottis R. Br. and Gastrodia R. Br. (Orchidaceae) from South eastern Australia. Indigenous Flora and Fauna Association Miscellaneous Paper, 1, 1-25.
- Clements, M.A. (1989). Catalogue of Australian Orchidaceae. *Australian Orchid Research*, **1**, 1-160.
- Dockrill, A.W. (1956). The cross-pollination of *Chiloglottis formicifera* Fitzg. *The Australian Orchid Review*, March, pp. 26-7.
- Fitzgerald, R.D. (1877). Australian Orchids. Volume 1, Part 3. Government Printer, Sydney.
- Giles, K. (1964). An interesting observation. *The Orchadian*, **1**,



Plate 8. Male Neozeleboria usitatum thorax in contact with the stigma of Chiloglottis truncata while grasping the decoy's 'thorax' with its forelegs.





Plate 10. Male Neozeleb and column of Simpligiot

The Orchadian, Volume 15 Number §

412



the stigma of Chiloglottis



Plate 9. Male *Eirone* sp. attempting to couple with the labellum of *Simpliglottis grammata*.



Plate 10. Male *Neozeleboria monticola* emerging with pollinia from between the labellum and column of *Simpliglottis valida*.

114

- Jeanes, J.A. (2002). Some new combinations and a new hybrid genus in Orchidaceae: Diurideae, for eastern Australia. Muelleria, 16, 81-82.
- Jones, D.L. (1991). New taxa of Australian Orchidaceae. Australian Orchid Research, 2, 1-208.
- Jones, D.L. (1997). Chiloglottis jeanesii (Orchidaceae), a new species from Victoria. Muelleria, 10, 63-67.
- Jones, D.L. (1998). Contributions to Tasmanian orchidology - 3: A taxonomic review of Chiloglottis R. Br. in Tasmania. Australian Orchid Research, 3, 61-71.
- Jones, D.L. (2002). Nomenclatural notes arising from studies into the Tribe Diurideae (Orchidaceae). The Orchadian, 13, 437-468.
- Jones, D.L. (2006a). A Complete Guide to the Native Orchids of Australia. Reed New Holland, Sydney.
- D.L. (2006b). Miscellaneous new Jones, species of Australian Orchidaceae. Australian Orchid Research, 5, 45-111.
- Jones, D.L. and Clements, M.A. (2005). Miscellaneous nomenclatural notes and changes in Australian, New Guinea and New Zealand Orchidaceae. The Orchadian, 15, 33-42.
- Mant, J.G., Schiestl, F.P., Peakall, R. and Weston, P.H. (2005a). A phylogenetic study of pollinator conservatism among sexually deceptive orchids. Evolution. 56, 888-898.
- Mant, J.G., Bower, C.C., Weston, P.H. and Peakall, R. (2005b). Phylogeography pollinator-specific of sexually deceptive Chiloglottis taxa (Orchidaceae): evidence for sympatric divergence? Molecular Ecology, 14, 3067-3076.
- Peakall, R. and Handel, S.N. (1993). Pollinators discriminate among floral heights of a sexually deceptive orchid: implications for selection. Evolution, 47, 1681-1687.
- Peakall, R., Bower, C.C., Logan, A.E. and Nicol, H.I. (1997). Confirmation of the hybrid origin of Chiloglottis × pescottiana (Orchidaceae: Diurideae). and morphometric 1. Genetic evidence. Australian Journal of Botany, 45, 839-855.
- 414

- Peakall, R., Jones, L., Bower, C.C. and Mackey, B.G. (2002) Bioclimatic assessment of the geographic and climatic limits to hybridisation in a sexually deceptive orchid system. Australian Journal of Botany, 50, 1-10.
- Schiestl, F.P., Peakall, R., Mant, J.M., Ibarra, F., Schulz, C., Francke, S. and Francke, W. (2003). The chemistry of sexual deception in an orchid-wasp pollination system. Science, 302, 437-438.
- Schiestl, F.P. and Peakall, R. (2005). Two orchids attract different pollinators with the same floral odour compound: ecological and evolutionary implications. Functional Ecology, 19, 674-680.
- Stoutamire, W. P. (1975). Pseudocopulation in Australian terrestrial orchids. American Orchid Society Bulletin, 44, 226-233.
- Szlachetko, D.L. (2001). Genera et Species Orchidalium. 1 Polish Botanical Journal, 46, 11-26.

-000-



The Orchadian, Volume 15 Number 9

Table 1 Pollinators of Taxa in the

Orchid taxon

Chiloglottis

C.anaticeps C. diphylla

C. palachila

- C. reflexa s.s. (Tas.)
- C. aff. reflexa (mainland)
- C. seminuda
- C. sphyrnoides
- C. sylvestris C. trilabra

- Myrmechila M. formicifera M. aff. formicifera 1 M. aff. formicifera 2
- M. platyptera
- M. trapeziformis
- M. truncata

Simpliglottis

- S. grammata
- S. jeansii
- S. pluricallata s.s.
- S. aff. pluricallata 1
- S. aff. pluricallata 2
- S. aff. pluricallata 3
- S. triceratops
- S. turfosa S. valida
- S. aff. valida 1
- S. aff. valida 2

by Publis

A

Avail 107 and through

, L., Bower, C.C. and Mackey, 102) Bioclimatic assessment ographic and climatic limits to tion in a sexually deceptive stem. *Australian Journal of* **0**, 1-10.

akall, R., Mant, J.M., Ibarra, Iz, C., Francke, S. and W. (2003). The chemistry deception in an orchid-wasp system. *Science*, **302**, 437-

Peakall, R. (2005). Two attract different pollinators ame floral odour compound: I and evolutionary ns. Functional Ecology, **19**,

(1975). Pseudocopulation alian terrestrial orchids. *Orchid Society Bulletin*, **44**,

2001). Genera *et* Species im. 1 *Polish Botanical* **6**, 11-26.

-000-



a large range of Australian *Jendrobium* and *Sarcochilus* and hybrids from tube to flowering size.

k and make available to you edlings bred by some of the ybridisers in the country.

Ray Clement

68 Tinonee Road, inonee, NSW 2430 : clement@tpg.com.au

list available on request • or Fax (02) 6553 1012

Nisitors Welcome -

Table 1Pollinators of Taxa in the Chiloglottis Alliance

Orchid taxon	Confirmed pollinator	Probable pollinator
Chiloglottis		
C.anaticeps		N. ? sp. 33
C. diphylla	Arthrothynnus latus A. angustus	
C. palachila	Chilothynnus palachilus	
C. reflexa s.s. (Tas.)	Neozeleboria proxima	
C. aff. reflexa (mainland)	N. sp. 30	
C. seminuda	N. sp. 29	
C. sphyrnoides	N. sp. 3	
C. sylvestris		N. sp. 50
C. trilabra	N. proxima	a due con a constante
Myrmechila		
M. formicifera	<i>N</i> . sp. 41	
M. aff. formicifera 1	N. sp. 45	
M. aff. formicifera 2	N. sp. near 40	
M. platyptera		<i>N</i> . sp. 40
M. trapeziformis	N. cryptoides	
M. truncata		N. usitatum
Simpliglottis		
S. grammata	Eirone leai	
S. jeansii	N. nr. impatiens 2	
S. pluricallata s.s.		N. sp. near 41
S. aff <i>. pluricallata</i> 1	N. tabulata	
S. aff. <i>pluricallata</i> 2	N. nr. monticola 2	
S. aff. <i>pluricallata</i> 3		N. impatiens
S. triceratops	N. carinicollis	
S. turfosa	N. nr. monticola 1	
S. valida	N. monticola	
S. aff. <i>valida</i> 1	N. nr. impatiens 3	
S. aff. valida 2	N. nr. monticola 3	

AUSTRALIAN ORCHID RESEARCH Volume 5. 2006

New Taxa of Australasian Orchidaceae. by David L. Jones and Mark A. Clements *et al* Published by the Australian Orchid Foundation 2006. ISBN 0-9595384-8-8. Price: \$40.00 plus postage. Available through the Australian Orchid Foundation 107 Roberts St Essendon Vic. 3040 Australia. and through A.N.O.S. Inc. (Throught the bookshop, anos.org.au).



Plate 11. Samples of *Simpliglottis* flowers in vials of water placed on the ground to attract pollinators.



Plate 12. The author conducting a pollinator choice test.



Western Australian Orchid

LECTURES

Our list of Guest Speaker prestigious ever assembl Ecuagenera Orchid Nurse and new discoveries. Ecuweb site states that......Er and preservation of a nati orchid. Ecuagenera, Cía. I Ecuadorian orchid species orchid species to clients a Americas. We also give le techniques for successful g

REGISTRATION

Early Bird Registration = A Regular Registration = AU Late Registration = A\$120 The Full Details of WAOS WAOS Web Site at; http://

ACCOMMODATION

There is a wide range of a find something here that si http://members.iinet.net.au

SCHEDULE OF EVENTS http://members.iinet.net.au If you would like to receive at waos@iinet.net.au For any queries or ques Heights, WA 6064, or ema To be included on or

WA Orchid Spectacular :

What is it? - A Conference Where is it? Belmont Oasis Leisure Ce When is it? 25th and 29th September Web Site <u>http://members.i</u> Tony Watkinson Publicity

The Orchadian, Volume 15 Number 9

416