

Left Multiplicative Generalized Derivations on Lie Ideals in Prime Rings

Dr. C. Jaya Subba Reddy, S. Mallikarjuna Rao, K. Hemavathi
Department of Mathematics, S.V. University, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh, India

International Journal of Research in Mathematics & Computation
Volume 2, Issue 2, July-December, 2014, pp. 12-18
ISSN Online: 2348-1528, Print: 2348-151X, DOA : 03102014
© IASTER 2014, www.iaster.com



ABSTRACT

Let R be a ring. A map $F: R \rightarrow R$ is called a left multiplicative generalized derivation, if $F(xy) = g(x)y + xF(y)$ is fulfilled for all x, y in R , where $g: R \rightarrow R$ is any map (not necessarily derivation or additive map). In this paper we prove that Let R be a 2-torsion free prime ring and U be a nonzero square closed Lie ideal of R . If $F: R \rightarrow R$ is a left multiplicative generalized derivation associated with the map $g: R \rightarrow R$ such that

- 1) $F(uv) \pm uv = 0$, then $g(U) = (0)$ and $F(u) = \mp u$, for all $u \in U$.
- 2) $F(uv) \pm vu = 0$, then $U \subseteq Z(R)$, $g(U) = (0)$ and $F(u) = \mp u$ for all $u \in U$.
- 3) $F(u)F(v) \pm uv = 0$, then $g(U) = (0)$ and $[F(u), u] = 0$, for all $u \in U$.
- 4) $F(u)F(v) \pm vu = 0$, then $U \subseteq Z(R)$ and $g(U) = (0)$.

Keywords: Prime ring, Derivation, Generalized derivation, Multiplicative generalized derivation, Left multiplicative generalized derivation, Ideals, Lie ideals.

1. INTRODUCTION

Ashraf and Rehman[3], proved that if R is a prime ring with a nonzero ideal I and d is a derivation of R such that either $d(xy) - xy \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in I$ or $d(xy) + xy \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in I$, then R is commutative. Being inspired by this result, recently Ashraf et al. [4] have studied the situation obtained by replacing derivation d with a generalized derivation F . Moreover, Ali et al.[5] proved similar results by considering a square closed Lie ideal of a prime ring R with generalized derivation F . Motivated by these results Ali et al.[2] considered the similar situation with multiplicative generalized derivation on a square closed Lie ideal of a prime ring. Being inspired by this result, we consider the similar situation with left multiplicative generalized derivation on a square closed Lie ideal of a prime ring.

Preliminaries: Throughout this paper R will denote an associative ring with centre $Z(R)$. For any x, y in R the symbol $[x, y] = xy - yx$ is called commutator. We recall that R is prime if for any a, b in R , $aRb = 0$ implies $a = 0$ or $b = 0$. Center $Z(R)$ is defined as

$Z(R) = \{z \in R / [z, R] = 0\}$. An additive map $d: R \rightarrow R$ is called a derivation of R if $d(xy) = d(x)y + x d(y)$ for all x, y in R . An additive map $F: R \rightarrow R$ is called a generalized derivation if there exists a derivation $d: R \rightarrow R$ such that $F(xy) = F(x)y + x d(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$. A map $F: R \rightarrow R$ (not necessarily additive) is called a multiplicative generalized derivation if $F(xy) = F(x)y + x d(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$, where d is any map (not necessarily derivation or additive map). A map $F: R \rightarrow R$ (not necessarily additive) is called left multiplicative generalized derivation if $F(xy) = d(x)y + x F(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$, where d is any map (not necessarily derivation or additive map). And an additive subgroup U of R is said to be a Lie ideal of R if $[u, r] \in U$ for all $u \in U$ and $r \in R$. U is said to be a square closed Lie ideal of R if $u^2 \in U$ for all $u \in U$. Moreover if U is a square closed Lie ideal of R then $2uv \in U$ for all $u, v \in U$.

We use the following basic identities:

$$[xy, z] = x[y, z] + [x, z]y \text{ and } [x, yz] = [x, y]z + y[x, z] \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R.$$

2. MAIN RESULTS

Lemma 1: Let R be a 2-torsion free prime ring and U be a Lie ideal of R . If $U \not\subseteq Z(R)$ then $C_R(U) = Z(R)$.

Lemma 2: If $U \not\subseteq Z(R)$ is a Lie ideal of a 2-torsion free prime ring R and $a, b \in R$ are such that $aUb = (0)$, then $a = 0$ or $b = 0$.

Lemma 3: Let R be a 2-torsion free prime ring and U be Lie ideal of R . If U is a commutative Lie ideal of R , then $U \subseteq Z(R)$.

Theorem 1: Let R be a 2-torsion free prime ring and U be a nonzero square closed Lie ideal of R . If R admits a left multiplicative generalized derivation $F: R \rightarrow R$ associated with the map $g: R \rightarrow R$ such that $F(uv) \pm uv = 0$ for all $u, v \in U$, then $g(U) = (0)$ and $F(u) = \mp u$ for all $u \in U$

Proof: Assume first that $F(uv) - uv = 0 \forall uv \in U$ (1)

We replace u by $2wu$ in equation (1) we get

$$F(2wuv) - 2wuv = 0$$

$$F(w(2uv)) - 2wuv = 0$$

$$g(w)2uv + wF(2uv) - 2wuv = 0$$

$$2w(F(uv) - uv) + 2g(w)uv = 0$$

From equation (1) we get

$$2g(w)uv = 0$$

Since R is 2-torsion free ring

$$g(w)uv = 0 \forall u, v, w \in U \tag{2}$$

We replace v by $[v, r], r \in R$ in equation (2) we get

$$g(w)u[v, r] = 0$$

$$g(w)uvr - g(w)urv = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(w)urv &= 0 \\
 \Rightarrow g(w)uRv &= 0 \quad \forall u, v, w \in U \\
 \text{Since } R &\text{ is prime ring and } U \text{ is a nonzero Lie ideal of } R \\
 g(w)u &= 0 \quad \forall u, v, w \in U
 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

We replace u by $[u, r]$ in equation (3) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(w)[u, r] &= 0 \\
 g(w)ur - g(w)ru &= 0 \\
 g(w)ru &= 0 \\
 \Rightarrow g(w)Ru &= 0 \\
 \text{Since } R &\text{ is prime ring } U \text{ is nonzero ideal then} \\
 g(w) &= 0 \quad \forall w \in U \\
 g(u) &= 0 \quad \forall u \in U
 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

$$\text{Now } F(uv) - uF(v) - uv \tag{5}$$

$$u(F(v) - v) = 0 \tag{6}$$

We replace u by $[u, r]$ in equation (6) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 [u, r](F(v) - v) &= 0 \\
 ur(F(v) - v) - ru(F(v) - v) &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

From equation (6) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 ur(F(v) - v) &= 0 \\
 \Rightarrow uR(F(v) - v) &= 0 \quad \forall u, v \in R
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the primeness of R we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (F(v) - v) &= 0 \\
 F(v) &= v \quad \forall v \in U \\
 \rightarrow F(u) &= u
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the theorem.

Theorem 2: Let R be a 2-torsion free prime ring and U be a nonzero square closed Lie ideal of R . If R admits a left multiplicative generalized derivation $F: R \rightarrow R$ associated with the map $g: R \rightarrow R$ such that $F(uv) \pm vu = 0$ for all $u, v \in U$, then $U \subseteq Z(R)$, $g(u) = 0$ and $F(u) = \mp u \quad \forall u \in U$.

Proof: Suppose $U \not\subseteq Z(R)$

$$\text{We have } F(uv) - vu = 0 \quad \forall u, v \in U \tag{7}$$

We replace u by $2wu$ in equation (7) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(2wuv) - v2wu &= 0 \\
 F(w(2uv)) - v2wu &= 0 \\
 g(w)2uv + wF(2uv) - 2vwu &= 0 \\
 2w(F(uv) - vu) + 2wvu + g(w)2uv - 2vwu &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

From equation (7) we get above expression

$$\begin{aligned}
 2wvu + g(w)2uv - 2vwu &= 0 \\
 2(wv - vw)u + 2g(w)uv &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact 2-torsion free ring we get

$$\begin{aligned} (wv - vw)u + g(w)uv &= 0 \\ [w, v]u + g(w)uv &= 0 \quad \forall u, v, w \in U \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Substitute w by v in the equation (8) we obtain

$$g(v)uv = 0 \quad \forall u, v \in U \tag{9}$$

We replace u by $[u, r]$ in equation (9) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{This implies } g(v)[u, r]v &= 0 \\ g(v)urv - g(v)ruv &= 0 \quad \forall u, v \in U, r \in R \end{aligned}$$

Taking r by $g(v)$ the above expression becomes

$$g(v)ug(v)v - g(v)g(v)uv = 0$$

From equation (9) we get

$$g(v)ug(v)v = 0$$

We replace u by $2vu$ and using the 2-torsion freeness of R we get the above expression

$$\begin{aligned} g(v)vug(v)v &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow g(v)vUg(v)v &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2 we obtain

$$g(v)v = 0 \quad \forall v \in U \tag{10}$$

We replace w by u in equation (8) we get

$$[u, v]u + g(u)uv = 0$$

From equation (10) the above expression becomes

$$[u, v]u = 0 \quad \forall u, v \in U \tag{11}$$

$$[u, 2vw]u = 0$$

$$2v[u, w]u + 2[u, v]wu = 0$$

Using 2-torsion freeness

$$v[u, w]u + [u, v]wu = 0$$

From equation (11) the above expression becomes

$$[u, v]wu = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow [u, v]U[u, v] = 0$$

From Lemma 2 $[u, v] = 0$

Again using Lemma 3 we get $U \subseteq Z(R)$ contradiction

We must have $U \subseteq Z(R)$

Then our assumption become $F(uv) - uv = 0 \quad \forall u, v \in U$

By Theorem 1 we get $g(u) = 0$ and $F(u) = \bar{1}u$

In similar manner we can prove our conclusion when $F(uv) + uv = 0 \quad \forall u, v \in U$.

Theorem 3: Let R be a 2-torsion free prime ring U be a nonzero square closed Lie ideal of R . If R admits a left multiplicative generalized derivation $F: R \rightarrow R$ associated with the map $g: R \rightarrow R$ such that $F(u)F(v) \pm uv = 0 \quad \forall u, v \in U$, then $g(U) = 0$ and $[F(u), u] = 0 \quad \forall u \in U$.

Proof: First consider case $F(u)F(v) - uv = 0 \quad \forall u, v \in U$ (12)

We replace u by $2wu$ in equation (12) we get

$$F(2wu)F(v) - 2wuv = 0$$

$$(g(w)2u + wF(2u))F(v) - 2wuv = 0$$

$$(g(w)2uF(v) + wF(2u))F(v) - 2wuv = 0$$

$$2w(F(u)F(v) - uv) + 2g(w)uF(v) = 0$$

From equation (12) the above expression becomes

$$2g(w)uF(v) = 0$$

Since R is 2-torsion free ring

$$g(w)uF(v) = 0 \quad \forall w, u, v \in U \tag{13}$$

Right multiplying equation (13) by $F(t)$ we get

$$g(w)uF(v)F(t) = 0 \quad \forall u, v, w, t \in U$$

From equation (12) we have

$$g(w)uvt = 0 \tag{14}$$

We replace t by $[t, r]$ $r \in R$ in equation (14) we get

$$g(w)uv[t, r] = 0 = 0$$

$$g(w)uvtr - g(w)uvrt = 0$$

From equation (14) the above expression becomes

$$g(w)uvrt = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow g(w)uvRt = 0$$

Since R is a prime ring and U is a nonzero Lie ideal of R

$$g(w)uv = 0 \tag{15}$$

We replace v by $[v, r]$ $r \in R$ in equation (15) we get

$$g(w)u[v, r] = 0$$

$$g(w)uvr - g(w)urv = 0$$

From equation (15) the above expression becomes

$$g(w)urv = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow g(w)uRv = 0$$

Prime ring R , U is nonzero ideal of R

$$g(w)u = 0 \tag{16}$$

We replacing u by $[u, r]$ $r \in R$ in equation (16) we get

$$g(w)[u, r] = 0$$

$$g(w)ur - g(w)ru = 0$$

From equation (16) the expression becomes

$$g(w)ru = 0$$

$$g(w)Ru = 0$$

By primeness of R , U is nonzero,

$$g(w) = 0 \quad \forall w \in U$$

$$\Rightarrow g(u) = 0 \quad \forall u \in U \tag{17}$$

Thus for any $u, v \in U$ we have

$$F(uv) = uF(v) \tag{18}$$

On replacing v by $2uv$ in equation (12)

$$F(u)F(2uv) = u2uv$$

$$F(u)uF(2v) - 2u^2v = 0$$

Since R is 2-torsion free ring we obtain

$$F(u)uF(v) - u^2v = 0 \quad (19)$$

Left multiplying u in equation (12) we obtain

$$uF(u)F(v) - u^2v = 0 \quad (20)$$

Subtract equation (19) and (20) we get

$$\begin{aligned} F(u)uF(v) - F(u)uF(v) &= 0 \\ [F(u), u]F(v) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Substitute v by $2wv$ in (21) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [F(u), u]F(2wv) &= 0 \\ [F(u), u]2wF(v) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Using 2-torsion free ring we have

$$[F(u), u]wF(v) = 0$$

It follows

$$[F(u), u]w[F(u), u] = 0$$

If $U \not\subseteq Z(R)$, lemma 2 gives $[F(u), u] = 0 \forall u, v \in U$ and the same condition is obtained if $U \subseteq Z(R)$.

In a similar manner, we can prove that the same conclusion holds for $F(u)F(v) + uv = 0 \forall u, v \in U$.

Hence the theorem.

Theorem 4: Let R be a 2-torsion free prime ring and U be a nonzero square closed Lie ideal of R . If R admits a left multiplicative generalized derivation $F: R \rightarrow R$ associated with the map $g: R \rightarrow R$ such that $F(u)F(v) \pm vu = 0 \forall u, v \in U$, then $U \subseteq Z(R)$ and $g(u) = 0$.

Proof: Suppose on contrary that $U \not\subseteq Z(R)$

Assume that

$$F(u)F(v) - vu = 0 \forall u, v \in U \quad (22)$$

We replace u by $2vu$ in equation (22) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F(2vu)F(v) - v2vu &= 0 \forall u, v \in U \\ 2(g(v)u + vF(u))F(v) - 2v^2u &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

The above expression is 2-torsion free ring

$$\begin{aligned} g(v)u + vF(u)F(v) - v^2u &= 0 \\ v(F(u)F(v) - vu) + g(v)uF(v) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

From equations (22) & (23), we obtain

$$g(v)uF(v) = 0 \forall u, v \in U \quad (24)$$

Right multiplying equation (24) with $F(w)$ we get

$$g(v)uF(v)F(w) = 0$$

From equation (22) we get

$$g(v)uF(v) = 0 \forall u, v, w \in U \quad (25)$$

We replace u by v in equation (25) we obtain

$$g(v)vF(v) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow g(v)vUv = 0 \quad \forall w \in U$$

By lemma2 we have for each $u \in U$ either $v = 0$ or $g(v)v = 0$

$$\text{Since } v \neq 0 \Rightarrow g(v)v = 0 \quad \forall u \in U$$

Now replacing u by u^2 in equation (22)

$$F(u^2)F(v) - vu^2 = 0$$

$$(g(u)u + uF(u))F(v) - vu^2 = 0$$

Since $g(u)u = 0$ we obtain

$$uF(u)F(v) - vu^2 = 0 \tag{26}$$

Left multiplying equation (22) by u we get

$$uF(u)F(v) - uvu = 0 \tag{27}$$

Subtract equations (26) & (27) we get

$$(uv - vu)u = 0$$

$$[u, v]u = 0 \quad \forall u, v \in U$$

Then same argument as given in the proof of Theorem 2 we have $U \subseteq Z(R)$ a contradiction. We must have $U \cong Z(R)$.

In a similar manner, we can prove that the same conclusion holds for $F(u)F(v) + vu = 0 \quad \forall u, v \in U$.

There by the proof of the theorem is completed.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ali,A., Ali,S., Rani,R.: On Lie Ideals and Generalized Derivations of Prime Rings. East-West J.Math.7(1) 93-98(2005).
- [2] Asharf,M.,Ali,A., Ali,S.: Some Commutativity Theorems for Rings with Generalized Derivations. Southeast Asian Bull. Math.31,415-421 (2007).
- [3] Asharf,M., Rehaman,N.: On Derivations and Commutativity in Prime Rings. East-West J.math.3(1) 87-91(2001).
- [4] Bergen,J.,Heristein,I.N.,Kerr,J.W.: Lie Ideals and Derivations of Prime Rings. J. Algebra 71,259-267(1981).
- [5] Daif. M.N., Bell, H.E.: Remarks on Derivations on Semi Prime Rings. Internat.J.Math.Math.Sci.15(1),205-206(1992).
- [6] Rehman,N.: On Commutativity of Prime Rings with Generalized Derivations. J.OkayamaUniv.44, 43-49(2002).
- [7] Shakir Ali, BasudebDharaNadeem, Ahamad Dar, Abdul Nadim Khan: On Lie Ideals with Multiplicative(Generalized)-Derivations in Prime and Semiprime Rings, Beitrage Algebra Geom.(2013).