Calculation and analysis of the harmonic vibrational frequencies in molecules at extreme pressure: Methodology and diborane as a test case
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We present a new quantum chemical method for the calculation of the equilibrium geometry and the harmonic vibrational frequencies of molecular systems in dense medium at high pressures (of the order of GPa). The new computational method, named PCM-XP, is based on the polarizable continuum model (PCM), amply used for the study of the solvent effects at standard condition of pressure, and it is accompanied by a new method of analysis for the interpretation of the mechanisms underpinning the effects of pressure on the molecular geometries and the harmonic vibrational frequencies. The PCM-XP has been applied at the density functional theory level to diborane as a molecular system under high pressure. The computed harmonic vibrational frequencies as a function of the pressure have shown a satisfactory agreement with the corresponding experimental results, and the parallel application of the method of analysis has revealed that the effects of the pressure on the equilibrium geometry can be interpreted in terms of direct effects on the electronic charge distribution of the molecular solutes, and that the effects on the harmonic vibrational frequencies can be described in terms of two physically distinct effects of the pressure (curvature and relaxation) on the potential energy for the motion of the nuclei. © 2012 American Institute of Physics. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4757285]

I. INTRODUCTION

In a previous paper of some of the present authors1 we presented a quantum mechanical (QM) method to study the properties of molecular systems within a medium at high pressure regime (of the order of GPa). The method (called PCM-XP) is based on an adaptation of the polarizable continuum model (PCM), developed by our group2–6 for the study of molecules in solution. PCM codes have been well tested and amply used to study a large variety of properties at the standard conditions of pressure (p = 1 bar = 10−4 GPa) and temperature. In this paper we present an extension of the PCM-XP method to study the effect of the pressure on the harmonic vibrational frequencies of molecular systems in dense phases. This extension of the PCM-XP model is mainly motivated by the interest toward applications to experimental observables, which in dense material at high pressure are primarily of spectroscopic nature,7–10 and in particular of vibrational type.11–21

The physics underlying the PCM-XP model accounts for the fact that the primary action of the pressure,22,23 at high regimes, consists in reducing the intermolecular distances below to the van der Waals values, so allowing the penetration into the region of intermolecular potentials dominated by the Pauli repulsive interaction.24–27

The basic version of the PCM-XP model describes the effect of the high pressure molecular properties in the following way. The environment is represented by a continuum medium hosting a QM solute into a molecularly shaped cavity. The medium is characterized in terms of its dielectric permittivity and of its averaged electronic charge distribution. The electronic distribution of the medium is treated as an uniform continuum defined outside the cavity hosting the solute, and with density equal to the valence electron distribution of the bulk environment at the given pressure condition.

The Pauli repulsion between the molecule and the external medium is described in terms of the overlap between the charge distribution of the solute and the charge distribution of the solvent in the region outside the molecular cavity. The analytical form of this interaction exploits a generalization to the electronic wavefunction of the molecular solute.28 The QM basic energy functional also acts as source for the potential energy surfaces ruling the nuclear motion within the Born-Oppenheimer approximation.

The primary action of the pressure (i.e., the increase of the Pauli repulsion) is modeled by shrinking the cavity volume with respect to its reference value corresponding to the standard conditions of pressure (p = 1 bar). This shrinking determines an increase of amount the electronic charge density that lies outside of the cavity and overlaps with the charge distribution of the solvent, with a consequent corresponding increase of the Pauli repulsion.

The pressure is then determined as the first derivative of the QM basic energy functional with respect to the volume of...
the cavity, and other first order derivatives of the same QM functional are exploited for the evaluation of the stationary point of the PES corresponding to the equilibrium geometries, and for the determination of the first-order properties of the solute at the given condition of the pressure.

The extension of the basic PCM-XP model for the harmonic vibrational frequencies at high pressure touches all the key aspects of the corresponding PCM calculations at the standard condition of pressure. In that case, the vibrational harmonic frequencies and the corresponding normal modes of the solvated molecules are computed from the second derivatives of the basic free energy functional (only including the electrostatic components of the solute-solvent interaction) with respect to the Cartesian coordinates, computed at the equilibrium geometry in solution. A nonequilibrium solvation approach is adopted for the description of the vibrational frequencies of solvated molecules. The nonequilibrium solvation accounts for the dynamical aspects of the solvent polarization, and in the common practice it is assumed that the geometry of the molecular cavity does not follow the solute vibrational motion. The second derivatives of the QM free energy functional are determined by a suitable analytical algorithm detailed in Ref. 31, which allows a computational effort for the calculation of the vibrational properties in solution similar to that for the corresponding calculation in the gas phase. However, in the current implementations the QM free-energy functional is limited to the electrostatic components of the solute solvent-interaction.

Passing to the study of harmonic vibrational frequencies of molecules at high pressure, the new aspect to take into account is the presence of the Pauli repulsion between the molecular systems and the environment, which requires an extension of the analytical second derivatives for QM free energy functional.

The purpose of this paper is not limited to present effective computational tools for the determination of the harmonic vibrational frequencies of molecules at high-pressure. In a systematic research effort regarding the calculation of molecular observables in a dense phase, the elaboration of a formal theoretical approach to follow, and its translation into a computational code are only the initial steps of this effort. To these steps others must follow: (i) the selection of an appropriate hierarchy of QM computational levels, (ii) the collections of an adequate number of numerical results, and (iii) the analysis of the numerical results paying particular attention to the possible occurrence of phenomena not put in evidence in preceding studies. For this methodological reason, in this paper we also present an adequate theoretical scheme for the analysis of the computational outcomes of the effects of the pressure on the equilibrium geometry and on harmonic vibrational frequencies.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we review the basic aspects of the PCM-XP model, and we present the theory of its first analytical second derivatives; in Sec. III we detail the computational protocol to perform the PCM-XP calculations; finally, in Sec. IV we present a numerical application to the calculation and analysis of the harmonic vibrational frequencies of diborane within the range of pressures 1–25 GPa.

II. THEORY

In this section, we review the basic theory of PCM-XP model presented in Ref. 1, and we present the theory for the analytical second derivatives required for the calculation of the harmonic vibrational frequencies.

A. The basic PCM-XP: Hamiltonian, energy functional, and pressure

The properties of molecules within a dense environment at high pressure are determined by solving the Schrödinger equation for the effective nonlinear Hamiltonian:

\[ \hat{H} = \hat{H}^0 + \hat{V}_{int}(\Psi), \]

being \( \hat{H}^0 \) is the Hamiltonian of the isolated molecule and \( \hat{V}_{int}(\Psi) \) the molecule-environment interaction operator given by

\[ \hat{V}_{int}(\Psi) = \hat{V}_e(\Psi) + \hat{V}_r. \]

Here \( \hat{V}_e(\Psi) \) and \( \hat{V}_r \) are, respectively, the usual PCM electrostatic interaction term and the non-electrostatic Pauli repulsion contribution, and the argument of \( \hat{V}_e(\Psi) \) denotes its dependence on the wavefunction \( \Psi \) of the molecular solute.

In the computational practice, \( \hat{V}_e(\Psi) \) is represented in terms of a set of polarization point charges located at the cavity surface, and it may be written as

\[ \hat{V}_e(\Psi) = \hat{Q}(\Psi) \cdot \hat{V}, \]

where \( \hat{Q}(\Psi) \) is a vector collecting the set of polarization charges induced by the charge distribution of solute, \( \hat{V} \) is a vector operator representing the electrostatic potential of the solute at the boundary of the cavity, and the dot represents an inner product. The solvent polarization charges \( \hat{Q}(\Psi) \) depend on the wavefunction of the solute as expectation value \( \hat{Q}(\Psi) = \langle \Psi | \hat{Q} | \Psi \rangle \) of a suitable apparent charge operator \( \hat{Q} \).

There are several definitions of the \( \hat{Q} \) operator according to the several variants of the PCM method. Here we refer to the most general variant, the integral equation formalism version (IEF-PCM).

The Pauli repulsion operator \( \hat{V}_r \), of Eq. (2) has the nature of a repulsive step potential located at the boundary of the cavity, and it has the structure of a pseudo-integral operator with kernel:

\[ \hat{V}_r(r) = \hat{\rho}(r) H(r), \]

where \( \hat{\rho}(r) = \sum_{i} \delta(r - r_i) \) is the electron density operator (over the \( N \) electrons of the molecular system), and \( H(r) \) is a step barrier potential:

\[ H(r) = V_0 \Theta(r), \quad \Theta(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & r \subseteq C, \\ 0 & r \not\subseteq C. \end{cases} \]

where \( \Theta(r) \) is a Heaviside step function located at the boundary of the cavity, and \( V_0 \) is the height of the potential barrier, given by

\[ V_0 = \gamma n_s. \]

The two quantities \( \gamma \) and \( n_s \) appearing in the definition (6) of the barrier height are, respectively, a semiempirical parameter.
and the numeral density of the valence electron of the external medium, both depending on the given condition of pressure. They will be better defined in the Sec. III.

The basic energy functional to be minimized during the QM procedure has the thermodynamic status of a free-energy for the whole molecule-environment system, and is defined as

$$ G_{e-r} = \langle \Psi | \hat{H}^e + \frac{1}{2} \bar{Q}(|\Psi|) \cdot \bar{V} + \bar{V}_n | \Psi \rangle + \bar{V}_{nn}, $$

(7)

where $\bar{V}_n$ is the nuclei-nuclei interaction contribution in the presence of the external medium. The reference state for $G_{e-r}$ is given by a hypothetical state composed by the non-interacting electron and nuclei of the solute, and by the unperturbed medium at the chosen thermodynamic conditions.\(^{40}\)

The free energy functional $G_{e-r}$ can be written in the following matrix form:

$$ G_{e-r} = \left[ tr Ph + \frac{1}{2} tr PG(P) \right] $$

$$ + tr Ph^e + \frac{1}{2} tr PX^e(P) + tr Ph^e + \bar{V}_{nn}, $$

(8)

where the approximation of using a one-determinantal description of the electronic wavefunction of the solute, with a basis set expansion of the molecular orbitals, is assumed. In Eq. (8), the P matrix describes, within the given basis set, the one-electron distribution of the solute, and the terms within the square brackets correspond to usual one-electron h and two-electron G(P) contributions of the isolated molecule energy. The matrices h^e and X^e(P) collect, respectively, one- and two-electron integrals describing the electrostatic interaction with the solvent, and the matrix h^e collects the one-electron integrals representing the repulsion operator $\bar{V}_r$ of Eq. (4). The elements of all the matrices are the sums of integrals referring each to the representative point of a tessera of the cavity surface. We refer to the quoted paper\(^1\) for more details, we limit to consider the explicit expression for the elements of matrix h^e:

$$ h^e_{\mu\nu} = V_0(\chi_\mu|v_r|\chi_\nu), $$

(9)

where v_r is a one-electron integral operator with kernel $\nu_r(r) = \delta(r - r')\Theta(r)$. In computational practice, the matrix elements $h^e_{\mu\nu}$ are evaluated exploiting the Gauss theorem\(^{28}\) of classical electrostatics, to obtain the following expression:\(^{28}\)

$$ h^e_{\mu\nu} = V_0(S^e_{\mu\nu} - S^\alpha_{\mu\nu}), $$

(10)

where $S^e_{\mu\nu}$ is a matrix element of the overlap matrix over the expansion basis set and $S^\alpha_{\mu\nu}$ is given by

$$ S^\alpha_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_k a^\alpha_k E_{\mu\nu}(s_k) \cdot n_k(s_k), $$

(11)

with the summation running over the tessera. The elements $a^\alpha_k, E_{\mu\nu}(s_k), n_k(s_k)$ are, respectively, the area of the $k$th tessera, a matrix element of the electric field vectors evaluated at the representative point $s_k$, and the unit vector normal to the tessera at the same point.

The free-energy functional of Eq. (8) subjected to the stationarity conditions leads to the Fock matrix for the molecular solute:

$$ F_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial G_{e-r}}{\partial P_{\mu\nu}} = (h^e_{\mu\nu} + h^e_{\nu\mu}) + G^e_{\mu\nu}(P) + X^e_{\mu\nu}(P). $$

(12)

The molecular orbitals (MOs) calculated from the Fock matrix (12), and any other properties of the target molecules, must be related to the pressure $p$.

The pressure is a macroscopic thermodynamic property that can be connected by a suitable choice of a statistical ensemble to QM methods addressing to the description of a single molecule. Within the PCM-XP model, the choice of a canonical ensemble approach\(^{42,43}\) leads to the following equation:\(^{44,45}\)

$$ p = - \frac{\partial G_{e-r}}{\partial V_c}, $$

(13)

where V_c is volume of the cavity hosting the molecule. We remark that, with respect to the more simple QM models based on impenetrable potential wall,\(^{47-54}\) the nature of QM Pauli repulsion contribution to the PCM free-energy functional $G_{e-r}$ allows a confinement of the electronic charge distribution of the solute in a smooth way. See, on this point, the concluding remarks of Le Sar and Herschbach in their seminal paper.\(^{49}\)

As already said in the Introduction, the first- and higher order molecular properties of the molecular solute at a given pressure $p$ can be expressed as a suitable derivatives of the corresponding free energy functional $G_{e-r}$.

### B. The analytical gradients

The first derivative of the free-energy functional (8) with respect to a given parameter $\alpha$ may be written as

$$ G^\alpha_{e-r} = tr Ph^e + \frac{1}{2} tr PG^\alpha(P) + tr Ph^e + \frac{1}{2} tr PX^\alpha(P) $$

$$ + tr Ph^e - tr S^\alpha W + \bar{V}_{nn}, $$

(14)

where W = PFP is the energy-weighted density matrix, and the upper script $\alpha$ denotes the first derivatives of the pertinent entity (e.g., $S^\alpha$ is the first derivative of the overlap matrix). We refer again to the quoted papers for more details on the derivatives of electrostatic matrices h^e$^\alpha$ and X^e$^\alpha$(P). The derivative matrix of the QM Pauli repulsion term h^e$^\alpha$ of Eq. (14) has elements:

$$ h_{\mu\nu}^{e,\alpha} = V_0(S_{\mu\nu}^\alpha - S_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha,\alpha}), $$

(15)

with the matrix elements $S_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha,\alpha}$ given by

$$ h_{\mu\nu}^{e,\alpha} = V_0 \left[ S_{\mu\nu}^\alpha + \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_k (E_k^{e,\alpha} \cdot n_k^{\alpha} a_k + a_k^{\alpha}) \right. $$

$$ \left. + (E_k^{e,\alpha} + n_k^{\alpha} \cdot \nabla E_k^{e,\mu \nu}) \cdot n_k a_k \right], $$

(16)

where $E_k^{e,\mu \nu}$ is the derivative of the basis functions in the matrix elements of the electric field operator, while $n_k^{\alpha}$ and $a_k^{\alpha}$ are, respectively, the derivative of the unit normal vector and of the area of the $k$th tessera, and $\nabla E_k^{e,\mu \nu}$ is the matrix of the
electric field gradient operator at the representative point $s_i$ on the cavity surface.

### C. Analytical second derivatives

In this section we present the analytical second derivatives of the free energy functional required for the calculation of the harmonic vibrational frequencies. As anticipated in the Introduction, the geometrical derivatives will be considered within a non-equilibrium solvation regime, which assumes a fixed geometry for the cavity.

The direct differentiation of the analytical gradient (14) leads to the analytical second derivative of the basic energy functional (8) with respect to parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$:

$$G_{e,r}^{\alpha\beta} = tr Ph^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2} tr PG^{\alpha\beta}(P) + tr Ph^{\beta\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} tr PX^{\alpha\beta}(P) + tr Ph^{\beta\alpha} + tr P^e F^{[\beta]}(P) - tr S^{\alpha\beta} W - tr S^{\beta\alpha} W^\beta,$$

(17)

where $P^\alpha$ and $W^\alpha$ are, respectively, the derivatives of the one-particle density matrix and of the energy weighted density matrix; $F^{[\beta]}(P)$ collects the partial derivatives of the Fock matrix:

$$F^{[\beta]}(P) = h^\beta + h^{e,\beta} + h^{\beta} + G^{\beta}(P) + X^{e,\beta}(P).$$

(18)

The other terms of Eq. (17) involve first and second derivatives of the AO matrix elements. The specific expression for the second derivative of the Pauli repulsion contribution is given by

$$h^{e,\alpha\beta} = V_0 \left( \frac{\alpha e_\mu \\sum \frac{\beta}{\pi} E_{k,\mu\nu} \cdot \mathbf{n}_e d_k \right)$$

(19)

where $E_{k,\mu\nu}$ denotes a second derivative of the AO basis functions in electric field integrals $E_{k,\mu\nu}$.

The first derivative of energy weighted density matrix $W^\beta$ is

$$W^\beta = P^\beta PF + PF^\beta P + FP^\beta,$$

(20)

where $F^\beta$ is the total derivative of the Fock matrix:

$$F^\beta = F^{[\beta]} + G(P^\beta) + X(P^\beta).$$

(21)

The required first derivative of the density matrix $P^\beta$ is obtained by exploiting the coupled-perturbed Hartree-Fock (or Kohn-Sham) procedure within the PCM framework.35

We recall that when the parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ correspond to Cartesian coordinates of atomic nuclei, the second derivative of Eq. (17) determines the Hessian matrix from which the harmonic vibrational frequencies and normal modes of the molecules at high pressure can be obtained. In parallel, when the couple $\alpha$, $\beta$ regards the combination of a Cartesian coordinate with a static electric field component, the second derivative (17) contributes to the determination of the IR intensities associated to the vibrational fundamental transitions.29

### III. COMPUTATIONAL PROTOCOL

To compute the basic energy functional $G_{e,r}$ of the PCM-XP model and its derivatives we need to redefine some of the physical parameters used in the standard PCM calculations: the dimension of the cavity, the dielectric permittivity, $\epsilon$, of the medium, its numerical density, $n_S$, and the amplitude, $V_0$, of the Pauli barrier step potential defined in Eq. (6).

#### A. The molecular cavity: The scaling factor $f$

The reduction of the volume of the cavity hosting the molecular solute determines the increase of the pressure. The cavity is built-up from a set of primary atomic spheres centered on the nuclei of the constituting atoms, and with radii $R$ equal to the corresponding atomic van der Waals radii times a scaling factor $f$, i.e., $R_i = R_{solv,0} f$. The value $f = 1.2$ is usually used for PCM calculations at the standard condition of pressure, in absence of the Pauli repulsive interaction, and this value is here used as an upper limit of the scaling factor $f$. A lower value of the scaling factor $f$ shrinks the cavity size, determining an increase of the pressure. Numerical tests (see Sec. IV A) show that the range of values $f = 1.2-0.95$ allows to span the range of pressure 1–25 GPa.

A further point regards the finer aspects of the cavity shape. Simple physical arguments suggest the use a solvent excluding surface (SES) cavity topology6 which introduces additional spheres to take into account the space not available to the solvent molecules. To determine the SES cavity, a solvent molecular probe modelled as a hard sphere of given radius ($R_{solv}$) is used. In the PCM-XP protocol, the radius of the probe is modified accordingly to the scaling factor $f$ used for the cavity

$$R_{solv} = R_{solv,0} \cdot f/f_0,$$

(22)

where $R_{solv,0}$ is the probe radius of the external medium at standard condition of pressure, and $f_0 = 1.2$ is the reference cavity scaling factor.

#### B. The medium properties: Dielectric permittivity and the numerical density

The medium properties as molecular radius $R_{solv}$, numerical density $n_S$, and dielectric permittivity $\epsilon$ are assumed as functions of the cavity scaling factor $f$. In fact, as shown in Eq. (23), the scaling factor $f$ is also applied to the molecular radius $R_{solv}$ of the medium, and therefore it corresponds to a linear scaling of the total system (molecule-external medium).56

The valence electron density $n_S = n_s(f)$ of the external medium is given as a function of the scaling factor $f$ as

$$n_s(f) = n_s^0 \left(f/f_0 \right)^{3},$$

(23)

where $n_s^0$ is the numerical density of the external medium at standard condition of pressure, $f_0 = 1.2$ is the reference cavity scaling factor, and $f$ is the actual cavity scaling factor $f < f_0$.

The dielectric permittivity $\epsilon(f)$ is given by

$$\epsilon(f) = 1 + (\epsilon_0 - 1)(f_0/f)^{3},$$

(24)
where \( \epsilon_0 \) is the dielectric permittivity of the medium at the standard pressure condition. The functional form (24) can be derived from the Onsager equation\(^{57,58} \) for the dielectric permittivity, by assuming the polarizability of the medium molecules (for the sake of simplicity, we consider a non-polar external medium) to be pressure independent.

C. The repulsive step potential: \( V_0(N) \)

The barrier potential \( V_0 \) of Eq. (6) depends on the scaling factor through the numeral density \( n_S(f) \) and the semi-empirical parameter \( \gamma \). As discussed in the Appendix, a convenient functional form for \( \gamma \) is

\[
\gamma_N(f) = \gamma_0(f_0/f)^N,
\]

where \( \gamma_0 \) is constant (\( \gamma_0 = 4\pi/0.7E_{\text{h}B_0}^2 \)) as detailed in Ref. 28, and the exponent \( N \) can be estimated from the \( pV \) experimental data of the external medium. Reasonable choices of the parameter \( N \) are with the range \( N = 3–6 \). Introducing Eq. (25) into Eq. (6) we obtain the following explicit form for the barrier eight potential \( V_0 \):

\[
V_0(N) = \gamma_N(f)n_s(f),
\]

where the argument \( N \) denotes a parametrical dependence. The higher is the parameter \( N \), the harder is the Pauli barrier potential of the medium.

D. The geometry optimization and the calculation of the harmonic vibrational frequencies

The equilibrium geometries of the molecular solutes have been optimized as a function of the pressure. All the optimizations have been performed with the fixed cavity approximation, which allows the relaxation of the nuclei position but not that of the centers of the spheres. A step-by-step procedure has been exploited. The first step consists in the geometry optimization for the largest cavities (i.e., with factor \( f_0 = 1.2 \)), performed starting from the equilibrium geometry of the solute in the gas phase. The second step is the analogous: geometry optimization within the cavity with scaling factor \( f = 1.1 \) starting from the equilibrium geometry at \( f_0 \). The following steps exploit the same procedure progressively reducing the value of \( f \) until the desired value.

The harmonic vibrational frequencies have been determined still maintaining the fixed cavity approximation using the Hessian matrix of second derivatives of Eq. (17) computed at the optimized geometries. This procedure assures that the harmonic frequencies are computed in correspondence to a stationary point of the potential energy surface of the molecular solute.

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

Analytical first and second derivatives \( G_{\alpha\beta}^{\alpha\beta} \) and \( G_{\alpha\beta}^{\alpha\prime} \) have been implemented into a local version of the GAUSSIAN 09 suite of programs,\(^{59} \) and, in this section, we present the results of their application to the study of the pressure effects on the harmonic vibrational frequencies of diborane (see Fig. 1). The choice of diborane is related to the availability of experimental IR/Raman spectra within the range of pressures 1–25 GPa,\(^{30,21} \) which can be compared with numerical results obtained with our PCM-XP model. Moreover, diborane is also an intriguing molecular system as being a molecule whose structure cannot be rationalized in terms of two-center electron pair bond model, and it has been subjected to many theoretical investigations, both in the gas phase and in solution at the standard condition of pressure.\(^{60–74} \) At the best of our knowledge, this work reports the first theoretical study of electronic and vibrational properties of the diborane molecule in a dense phase at high pressure.

PCM-XP calculations have been performed at the DFT\(^{75–77} \) level using the M062X\(^{78} \) hybrid functional and the 6-311++G(d,p) basis set.\(^{79} \) Atom labels of diborane are shown in Fig. 1. The SES cavity hosting diborane was defined in terms of atomic van der Waals sphere of radii: \( R_{\text{vdW}}(H) = 1.2 \AA, \)\(^{81} \) and \( R_{\text{vdW}}(B) = 1.92 \AA. \)\(^{82} \) Cyclohexane has been used as external nonpolar medium (\( \epsilon_0 = 2.0165, n_S^0 = 2.581 \times 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-3}, \) and \( R_{\text{vdW}}^{0} = 2.815 \AA. \)\(^{0} \) To test the dependence of the numerical results with respect to the choice of the repulsive step potential \( V_0(N) \), we have performed the calculations for two choices of the amplitude of the repulsive step potential \( V_0(3) \) (softer) and \( V_0(6) \) (harder), corresponding, respectively, to the use of the \( \gamma(3) \) and \( \gamma(6) \) amplitudes in Eq. (26).

To make clearer the presentation of the numerical results and of their analysis,\(^{80} \) this section will be split into three subsections, focused (i) on the pressure as a function of the cavity size, (ii) on the effects of pressure on the equilibrium geometry, and (iii) on the effects of the pressure on the harmonic vibrational frequencies.

A. Cavity size and pressure

As described in Sec. III A, the increase of the pressure, \( p \), is obtained by shrinking the volume \( V_c \) of the cavity hosting the diborane molecule. In Fig. 2 we compare the pressure \( p \) as a function of the cavity volume \( V_c \), as obtained by using two different choices of the Pauli barrier repulsion potential (see Eq. (26)), namely, the soft repulsive potential \( V_0(3) \), and the hard repulsive potential \( V_0(6) \). The dependence of the pressure \( p \) on the cavity volume \( V_c \) is well fitted by a Murnaghan type equation \( p = a[(V_c^0/V_c)^b - 1] + c \): with \( V_c^0 = 83.36 \AA^3, c = 0.98 \text{ GPa}, \) and \( (a, b) = (0.7 \text{ GPa}, 5.813) \) for the barrier step \( V_0(3) \), and with \( (a, b) = (1.10783 \text{ GPa}, 6.553) \) for the barrier step \( V_0(6) \). As expected, the highest repulsive barrier \( V_0(6) \) leads to a more rapid increase of the pressure. However, in both cases the values of the parameters...
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FIG. 2. Pressure, $p$, (in GPa) as a function of the volume, $V$, (in Å³) of the cavity hosting diborane. The values refer to the PCM/M062X/6-
311++G(d,p) level for the Pauli step barriers $V_0(3)$ (▼) and $V_0(6)$ (♦) (see text). The curves correspond to $p = a[(V_C^0/V_C) - 1] + c$ with $V_C^0 = 83.36$ and $(a, b, c) = (1.1078$ GPa, $6.553,$ $0.98$ GPa) for the step barrier $V_0(6)$ and $(a, b, c) = (0.710$ GPa, $5.813,$ $0.98$ GPa) for the step barrier $V_0(3)$.

(a, b) are comparable with the characteristic values of the corresponding parameters of the Murnaghan equation of state for a dense medium at high pressure.16,83,84

B. Equilibrium geometries

In Table I, we report the equilibrium geometry of diborane in gas phase and in a dense phase as a function of the pressure. To test the stability of the results with respect to the choice of repulsion barrier step $V_0(N)$, we have performed the geometry optimization using the softer $V_0(3)$ and the harder $V_0(6)$ potentials.

Table I shows that the computed equilibrium geometries in the gas phase are similar to those previously reported in the literature (see Ref. 85 and references therein), and in agreement with the available experimental data.86

As reported in Table I, all the boron-hydrogen (terminal), boron-hydrogen (bridge), and boron-boron bond distances show significant pressure effects, with a shortening of the bonds at the increase of the pressure. On the contrary, BHB of the four member ring and the HBH bond angles remain close to their gas phase values. This computational evidence indicates a high rigidity of the shape of the diborane molecules, and is in agreement with recent experimental indications.21

Figure 3 presents the plots of the boron-hydrogen bonds distances as a function of the pressure, which exhibit a linear functional dependence. We also note that bond distances computed with the two choices of repulsive potentials $V_0(3)$ (softer) and $V_0(6)$ (harder) exhibit a very similar dependence on the pressure. The finding that a given calculated pressure induces a very similar response of the equilibrium geometry demonstrates the stability of the PCM-XP results with respect to the choice of the repulsive barrier $V_0(N)$.

1. The bond distances shortening and the electrostatic forces induced by the pressure

The shortening of the bond distances in diborane may be analyzed in terms of pressure direct effects on the electronic charge distribution.86

In Fig. 4, we show the deformations of the electron density of diborane in response to the pressure $p$, represented as isovalue contours plots of the differential electron density ($\Delta \rho(p) = \rho(p) - \rho(0)$). The phenomenological effect of the pressure is to push the electron distribution away from the peripheral regions corresponding to the hydrogen atoms toward the internuclear bond regions between the terminal and bridge hydrogens and the boron atoms. The cause of this effect is the Pauli repulsive interaction with the external medium, which touches the tails of the electronic charge distribution in the peripheral regions,26,46 of diborane.

The consequences of the reorganization of the electronic distribution $\rho(p)$ may be analyzed by using the force concept based on the Hellmann-Feynman ($H-F$) electrostatic theorem.87–91 This force provides a perspective for the electronic origin of the nuclear rearrangement process on a potential-energy surface, connecting the nuclear geometry changes to the electronic distribution changes. The $H-F$ electrostatic forces, and fields, have been used to study the geometries of molecules in the presence of an external electric field, and it can be straightforward generalized to the case of a more general external agent as that we are considering here (i.e., the pressure).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$p$ (GPa)</th>
<th>$r_{BH_l}$</th>
<th>$r_{BH_b}$</th>
<th>$r_{BB}$</th>
<th>$H_{BH_l}$</th>
<th>$H_{BH_b}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.1850</td>
<td>1.3134</td>
<td>1.7564</td>
<td>118.91</td>
<td>96.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_0(6)$</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.1832</td>
<td>1.3131</td>
<td>1.7527</td>
<td>118.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>1.1818</td>
<td>1.3122</td>
<td>1.7500</td>
<td>118.93</td>
<td>96.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>1.1793</td>
<td>1.3105</td>
<td>1.7456</td>
<td>118.94</td>
<td>96.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>1.1773</td>
<td>1.3087</td>
<td>1.7423</td>
<td>119.02</td>
<td>96.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>1.1747</td>
<td>1.3053</td>
<td>1.7360</td>
<td>119.02</td>
<td>96.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.68</td>
<td>1.1715</td>
<td>1.3027</td>
<td>1.7310</td>
<td>119.02</td>
<td>96.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.23</td>
<td>1.1671</td>
<td>1.2982</td>
<td>1.7228</td>
<td>119.05</td>
<td>96.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.57</td>
<td>1.1612</td>
<td>1.2926</td>
<td>1.7127</td>
<td>119.10</td>
<td>97.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.08</td>
<td>1.1536</td>
<td>1.2851</td>
<td>1.6993</td>
<td>119.15</td>
<td>97.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I. Equilibrium geometry (see Fig. 1) of diborane as a function of the pressure, $p$, (in GPa); bond distances $r_{BH_l}$, $r_{BH_b}$, $r_{BB}$ are in Å and bond angles $H_{BH_l}$, $H_{BH_b}$, are in degrees. Results refer to the PCM/DFT/M062X/6-311++G(d,p) level, and using the step barrier potentials $V_0(6)$ (harder) and $V_0(3)$ (softer). Data at 0.0 GPa refers to the gas phase results.
FIG. 3. Boron-hydrogen (BH) equilibrium bond lengths (in Å) of diborane as a function of the pressure (GPa), as computed at the PCM-XP/M062X/6-311++G(d,p) level with the potential barriers \( V_0(3) \) (♦) and \( V_0(6) \) (■).

Boron-hydrogen (bridge) bond lengths, \( r_{BHb} \), are shown on the bottom and terminal boron-hydrogen, \( r_{BHT} \), are shown on the top. Regression lines \( r_{BHT} = a + b \times p \) are \( (a,b) = (1.1835 \, \text{Å}, -0.00105 \, \text{Å/GPa}) \), with \( R^2 = 0.977 \), for the \( V_0(3) \) potential, and \( (a,b) = (1.1838 \, \text{Å}, -0.00100 \, \text{Å/GPa}) \), with \( R^2 = 0.983 \), for the \( V_0(6) \) potential; regression lines \( r_{BHb} = a' + b' \times p \) are \( (a',b') = (1.3135 \, \text{Å}, -0.00095 \, \text{Å/GPa}) \), with \( R^2 = 0.988 \), for the \( V_0(3) \) potential, and \( (a',b') = (1.3137 \, \text{Å}, -0.00090 \, \text{Å/GPa}) \), with \( R^2 = 0.992 \), for the \( V_0(6) \) potential.

The \( H-F \) electrostatic force \( F \) acting on a nucleus \( A \), at \( R_A \), is given by

\[
\Delta F(R_A) = -Z_A \int \Delta \rho(r; p) \frac{(r - R_A)}{|r - R_A|^3} \, dr,
\]

where \( Z_A \) is the nuclear charge of \( A \), and \( \Delta \rho(r; p) \) is the differential electronic density induced by the pressure \( p \). We recall that the \( H-F \) theorem is valid for exact and “stationary” wavefunctions and is approximated with the use of the linear combination of atomic orbitals approximation where the exact forces have to be calculated using the energy gradients.

In Figs. 5 and 6, we show the linear correlation of the \( H-F \) electrostatic fields at the hydrogen atoms of diborane with the corresponding energy gradient, \( G_{\varepsilon-f}(Q,0) \), and with the \( rBH \) bond distances, respectively. These correlations demonstrate that, the pressure effects on the molecular geometry can be interpreted in terms of the electrostatic forces on the nuclei, in analogy with the semiclassical interpretation of several aspects of structural chemistry as chemical substituent effects, and external perturbation effects of various nature.

2. The linear dependence on pressure of the bond distances

The potential energy function for the nuclei motion, \( G_{\varepsilon-f}(Q, p) \), may be formally expressed as a function of the external pressure, \( p \), as

\[
G_{\varepsilon-f}(Q, p) = G_{\varepsilon-f}(Q, 0) + p \sum_{i} \Gamma_i Q_i, \quad (27)
\]

where \( G_{\varepsilon-f}(Q, 0) \) denotes the potential energy function for the nuclei at \( p = 0 \), that is, for the isolated molecules, being \( Q \) the corresponding normal vibrational modes, and \( \Gamma_i \) is a...
FIG. 5. Correlation between the nuclear gradients $G^e_{e-r}$ for the hydrogen atoms and the electric field, $\Delta F_H$, (in a.u.) induced by the pressure at the same atoms, as computed at the PCM-XP/M062X/6-31++G(d,p) level and using the potential barriers $V_0(6)$. (Left) Terminal boron-hydrogen, $\Delta F_{Ht}(\parallel)$ vs $G^e_{e-r}r_{Ht}(\parallel)$ ($R^2 = 1.00$). (Right) Bridge boron-hydrogen, $\Delta F_{Hb}(\parallel)$ vs $G^e_{e-r}r_{Hb}(\parallel)$ ($R^2 = 0.993$). $\Delta F_{Ht}(\parallel)$ and $G^e_{e-r}r_{Hb}(\parallel)$ denote the component parallel to the pertinent hydrogen-to-boron bond direction of the electric field and of the nuclear gradients, respectively.

The coupling parameter $\Gamma_i$ corresponds to a mixed second derivative of the basic PCM-XP energy, which has the physical meaning of a molecular response function describing the response to the pressure of the component of energy gradients along the normal mode coordinates, $G^e_{e-r}$. For symmetry reasons, $\Gamma_i$ is different from zero only for totally symmetric (TS) normal mode coordinates, and therefore the summation of Eq. (27) runs only over these normal coordinates.

In the harmonic (i.e., second order) approximation, the potential energy function for the isolated molecules is given by

$$G_{e-r}(Q,0) = G_{e-r}(0,0) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i k_i Q_i^2,$$

(29)

where $Q = 0$ denotes the equilibrium geometry in gas phase, and the $k_i$ are the corresponding harmonic force constants.

In the presence of the pressure, the equilibrium geometry corresponds to a stationary point of the potential function $G_{e-r}(Q, p)$. With respect to the gas phase geometry, the totally symmetric normal coordinates $Q_i$ experience a shift of their local minima which is determined by the condition:

$$\frac{\partial G_{e-r}(Q, p)}{\partial Q_i} = p \Gamma_i + k_i Q_i^p = 0,$$

(30)

FIG. 6. Correlation between the boron-hydrogen (BH) bond lengths Å of diborane and the electric field, $\Delta F_H$, (in a.u.) induced by the pressure at the hydrogen atoms, as computed at the PCM-XP/M062X/6-31++G(d,p) level and using the potential barriers $V_0(6)$. (Left) Terminal boron-hydrogen $r_{BH_t}$ vs $\Delta F_{Ht}(\parallel)$ ($R^2 = 1.00$). (Right) Bridge boron-hydrogen $r_{BH_b}$ vs $\Delta F_{Hb}(\parallel)$ ($R^2 = 0.996$). $\Delta F_{Ht}(\parallel)$ and $G^e_{e-r}r_{BH_b}(\parallel)$ denote the component of the electric field parallel to the pertinent hydrogen-to-boron bond direction.
TABLE II. Pressure coupling constant $\Gamma_i (E_h a_0^{-1} \text{GPa}^{-1})$, harmonic force constants in gas phase $k_i (E_h a_0^{-3})$, ratio $\Gamma_i/k_i$ (Å GPa$^{-1}$), and pressure coefficients $dQ_i/dp (\text{Å GPa}^{-1})$ of diborane (see text). The reported values refer to the PCCM/M062X/6-311++V level of calculation, for the step barrier potential $V_0(0)$, and regard the totally symmetric normal coordinates $Q_1$ and $Q_2$, as approximated by the boron-hydrogen bond distances $rBH_t$ and $rBH_b$, respectively (see Table I). The pressure coupling $\Gamma_i$ has been evaluated from linear fitting of the nuclear gradients of diborane $\partial Q_i/\partial r$, as a function of the pressure with the range 0–6 GPa, and at the equilibrium energy in gas phase (see Table S1 of the supplementary material$^{97}$. The pressure coefficients $dQ_i^0/dp (\text{Å GPa}^{-1})$ have been evaluated by linear fitting of the internal coordinates $rBH_t$ and $rBH_b$, respectively, within the range 0–6 GPa as reported in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$i$</th>
<th>$\Gamma_i (E_h a_0^{-1} \text{GPa}^{-1})$</th>
<th>$k_i (E_h a_0^{-3})$</th>
<th>$-\Gamma_i/k_i$ (Å GPa$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$dQ_i^0/dp$ (Å GPa$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.75 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>0.2837</td>
<td>-1.26 x 10^{-3}</td>
<td>-1.39 x 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.20 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>0.1817</td>
<td>-0.58 x 10^{-3}</td>
<td>-0.89 x 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

with new equilibrium values at

$$Q_i(p)^{eq} = -\frac{\Gamma_i}{k_i} p, \quad i \subseteq \text{TS.} \quad \text{(31)}$$

Equation (31) is the key equation in the analysis of the effects of the pressure on the equilibrium geometry of molecular systems: it shows that the change of the equilibrium geometry of the molecule occurs with shifts along the totally symmetric normal coordinate $Q_i$, the shifts linearly depending on the external pressure $p$. When a normal coordinate $Q_i$ is dominated by a single set of internal coordinates, Eq. (31) implies a linear correlation between the pertinent internal coordinates and the pressure. This conclusion is in agreement with the linear correlation between the boron-hydrogen bond distances of diborane and the pressure shown in Fig. 2: in fact the stretching along the boron-hydrogen (terminal) and the stretching along the boron-hydrogen (bridge) dominate the totally symmetric normal modes $Q_1$ and $Q_2$ of diborane, respectively, as reported in Table III.

Equation (31) can be tested numerically. The pressure coupling parameter $\Gamma_i$ of Eq. (28) can be estimated by numerical differentiation with respect to the pressure of the nuclear gradients $G_e^{Q_i}$,$^{97}$ evaluated at the equilibrium geometry in the gas phase, and the force constant $k_i$ can be determined from the calculation of the harmonic frequencies of the isolated molecule (see Table S2 of the supplementary material$^{97}$. Table II shows the numerical values of $\Gamma_i$ and $k_i$ for the totally symmetric normal coordinates $Q_1$ and $Q_2$ of diborane, and compares the ratio $\Gamma_i/k_i$ with the pressure coefficient $dQ_i^0/dp$, as computed from the equilibrium geometries of diborane as a function of the pressure (see Table I and Fig. 3).

Finally, we note that by defining the new (i.e., shifted) normal mode coordinates as

$$\tilde{Q}_i = Q_i + \frac{\Gamma_i}{k_i} p, \quad \text{(32)}$$

the potential energy function $G_{e-r}(Q, p)$ can be rewritten as

$$G_{e-r}(Q, p) = \tilde{G}_{e-r}(0, p) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i k_i \tilde{Q}_i^2, \quad \text{(33)}$$

where

$$\tilde{G}_{e-r}(0, p) = G_{e-r}(0, 0) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \frac{\Gamma_i^2}{k_i} p^2. \quad \text{(34)}$$

Equation (34) shows that the harmonic force constants are unaffected by the pressure. To recover the effect of the pressure on the harmonic force constant we must go beyond the double harmonic approximation of Eqs. (27) and (29), as will be discussed in Sec. IV C.

### C. Harmonic vibrational frequencies

Table III shows the normal vibrational modes of the diborane molecule classified according to the irreducible representations of the $D_{2h}$ symmetry point group, and described in terms of the dominant internal symmetry coordinates.

Table IV reports the computed harmonic vibrational frequencies of diborane in the gas phase and as a function of the pressure. As described in Sec. III, the harmonic vibrational frequencies have been computed for each pressure value at the corresponding equilibrium geometry. For the sake of simplicity, the results reported in Table IV only refer to the hardest repulsive potential $V_0(6)$. The corresponding results obtained using the softest repulsive potential $V_0(3)$ are reported in Table S3 of the supplementary material.$^{97}$

The computed harmonic frequencies of diborane in the gas phase of Table IV are in close agreement with the previous calculations reported in the literature$^{85, 98-104}$ and with the experiments.$^{105}$

All the harmonic vibrational frequencies of diborane increase as the pressure increases (Table IV). The dependence of the harmonic vibrational frequencies on the pressure is
The linear dependence of the harmonic molecule.

Fig. 7 for the totally symmetric normal modes of the diborane described satisfactorily by a linear relationship, as shown in Fig. 7 for the totally symmetric normal modes of the diborane molecule.

In the following, we will present an interpretation of linear dependence of the harmonic vibrational frequencies on the pressure, in the light of a theoretical scheme analogous to that already introduced in Sec. IV B for the analysis of pressure effects on the equilibrium geometry. The subsection will be closed by a comparison with the available experimental data of the pressure effects on the vibrational frequencies of diborane.

1. The linear dependence of the harmonic vibrational frequencies

Let us consider an extension up to the third order of above introduced formalism to analyze the effect of the pressure on the equilibrium geometry. The potential function for the nuclei motion is written as

\[ G_{e-r}(Q, 0) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i k_i Q_i^2 + \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \gamma_i Q_i^2 \right) \]

where we have introduced an additional contribution of first order in the pressure \( p \) and of second order in the vibrational normal modes, with the second-order pressure coupling parameter \( \gamma_i \) defined as

\[ \gamma_i = \left( \frac{\partial^2 G_{e-r}}{\partial p \partial Q_i^2} \right)_{Q=0} = \left( \frac{\partial k_i}{\partial p} \right)_{Q=0}. \]

The second-order coupling parameter \( \gamma_i \) corresponds to a mixed third derivative of the basic PCM-XP energy representing the response to the pressure of the force constant \( k_i \) of the \( i \)th normal mode. \( \gamma_i \) may be different from zero for any vibrational normal mode. In the expansion (35) we have neglected third order terms \( \gamma_i Q_i^3 \) that describe the effects of the pressure on the mixing of normal modes.

The third-order expansion for the potential energy function of the isolated molecule may be written as

\[ G_{e-r}(Q, 0) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i k_i Q_i^2 + \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \gamma_i Q_i^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i}^{NTS} \sum_{j}^{TS} g_{ij} Q_i^2 Q_j + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i}^{NTS} g_{iii} Q_i^3, \]

(37)

with NTS and TS denoting summations, respectively, over the nontotally symmetric, and over the totally symmetric normal modes; \( g_{ij} \) denotes a cubic anharmonic coupling between the generic normal mode \( i \) and a totally symmetric normal mode \( j \) \( (g_{ij} = \partial^3 G_{e-r}/\partial Q_i^2 \partial Q_j). \) In the expansion (37) we have neglected once again third order terms \( g_{iii} Q_i^3 \) that describe effects of the pressure on the mixing of normal modes.

Introducing the shifted normal coordinates \( \tilde{Q}_i = Q_i + \gamma_i/k_i p, \) the potential energy function (35) can be rewritten as

\[ G_{e-r}(\tilde{Q}, p) = \tilde{G}_{e-r}(0, p) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \tilde{k}_i(p) \tilde{Q}_i^2, \]

(38)
FIG. 7. Correlations between the harmonic vibrational frequencies and the pressure for the totally symmetric modes of diborane: $\nu_1$ ($R^2 = 0.988$), $\nu_2$ ($R^2 = 0.998$), $\nu_3$ ($R^2 = 0.994$), $\nu_4$ ($R^2 = 0.992$). The values refer to the PCM-XP/M062X/6-311++G(d,p) level using the potential barriers $V_0(6)$.

where $\tilde{G}_e(0, p)$ is given by

$$
\tilde{G}_e(0, P) = G_e(0, 0) - P^2 \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \left( \frac{\Gamma_i}{k_i} \right)^2
- P^3 \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq k} \frac{g_{jk}}{k_j} \left( \frac{\Gamma_j}{k_j} \right)^2 \frac{\Gamma_k}{k_k}
+ P^3 \frac{1}{2} \sum_j g_{jjj} \left( \frac{\Gamma_j}{k_j} \right)^3
+ P^3 \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \frac{\Gamma_i}{k_i} \left( \frac{\Gamma_i}{k_i} \right)^2
$$

(39)

and $\tilde{k}_i(p)$ is the harmonic force constant at the pressure $p$:

$$
\tilde{k}_i(p) = k_i + P \left( \Gamma_i - \sum_j g_{ij} \Gamma_j \right)
$$

(40)

Equation (40) is central in the analysis of the pressure effects, as it shows that the harmonic force constants are linear func-

tions of the pressure, with coefficient:

$$
\frac{d\tilde{k}_i}{dp} \equiv \left( \frac{\partial^3 G_e}{\partial p \partial^2 Q_i} \right)_Q = \left( \Gamma_i - \sum_j g_{ij} \Gamma_j \right)
$$

(41)

Equation (40) is also the starting point for the analysis of pressure effects on the vibrational force constant in terms of two contributions: the “curvature” and the “relaxation” contributions.80, 107, 108 In fact, the pressure coefficients of Eq. (40), $d\tilde{k}_i/dp$ may be rewritten as

$$
\frac{d\tilde{k}_i}{dp} \bigg|_\text{cur} + \frac{d\tilde{k}_i}{dp} \bigg|_\text{rel}
$$

(42)

with

$$
\frac{d\tilde{k}_i}{dp} \bigg|_\text{cur} = \Gamma_i
$$

(43)

and

$$
\frac{d\tilde{k}_i}{dp} \bigg|_\text{rel} = -\sum_j g_{ij} \frac{\Gamma_j}{k_j}
$$

(44)
The meaning of the two contributions to the pressure coefficient $d_{ki}/dp$ is easy to understand. The term $d_{ki}/dp|_{cur}$ describes the effects of the pressure on the curvature of the PES at the fixed equilibrium geometry in gas phase, and it corresponds to the response function $\Gamma_{ii}$ defined in Eq. (36); while the term $d_{ki}/dp|_{rel}$ of Eq. (44) describes the effects of the pressure due to the relaxation of equilibrium geometry along the totally symmetric normal modes $\{j\}$, and it depends on the cubic anharmonic coupling constants $g_{ij}$ between modes $i,j$.

Equations (40)–(44) can be evaluated numerically. The pressure coefficients $d_{ki}/dp$ can be determined from the linear regression between the force constant $k_i(p)$, computed at the pressure $p$ and at the corresponding equilibrium geometry, and the pressure $p$ itself (see Table S4 of the supplementary material). The pressure coefficients $d_{ki}/dp|_{cur}$ may be computed in the similar way by considering the force constant $k_i(p)$ computed at the pressure $p$ and at the fixed equilibrium geometry of diborane in the gas phase (see Table S5 of the supplementary material). Finally, the pressure coefficients $d_{ki}/dp|_{rel}$ may be determined as a difference from Eq. (42). Figure 8 shows the numerical results of curvature ($d_{ki}/dp|_{cur}$ in red) and relaxation ($d_{ki}/dp|_{rel}$ in yellow) pressure coefficients for the 18 normal modes of diborane (the normal modes are ordered from left to the right of Fig. 8 with increasing of the harmonic vibrational frequency). The curvature effect of the pressure on the harmonic force constant (i.e., $d_{ki}/dp|_{cur}$) of diborane is positive for almost all the normal modes, while the relaxation effect (i.e., $d_{ki}/dp|_{rel}$) may present both signs. A positive sign of $d_{ki}/dp|_{rel}$ indicates that the relaxation of equilibrium geometry determines an increase of the harmonic force constant $k_i$, while a negative sign of $d_{ki}/dp|_{rel}$ denotes the opposite case, in which the relaxation of equilibrium geometry determines a decrease of the force constant $k_i$.

As a further example of the potentialities of the present analysis, we show in Fig. 9 the correlation between the relaxation pressure coefficient $d_{ki}/dp|_{rel}$ of the 18 normal modes of diborane with the corresponding leading anharmonic coupling contribution $g_{ij}\Gamma_{i}/k_i$ (see Table S6 of the supplementary material for the values of $g_{ij}$). The good linear correlation ($R^2 = 0.975$) is in agreement with the definition of $d_{ki}/dp|_{rel}$ in Eq. (44).

The linear relationship (40) between the force constant of the normal modes and the pressure implies a linear relationship between the vibrational harmonic frequencies and the pressure:

$$\tilde{\nu}_i = \nu_i + p \left( \frac{\nu_i}{k_i} \right) \frac{d\tilde{k}_i}{dp},$$

where $\nu_i$ is the harmonic frequency of the unperturbed mode, and $d\tilde{k}_i/dp$ is the pressure coefficient of the force constant. The linear correlation between harmonic vibrational frequencies and the pressure, as expressed by Eq. (45), is in agreement with the numerical evidence reported in Table IV and in Fig. 6.

A further useful linear relationship, between the vibrational frequencies $\tilde{\nu}_i$ and the shifts of the totally symmetric normal modes $Q_{eq}^i$, can be derived combining Eqs. (31) and (45):

$$\tilde{\nu}_i = \nu_i - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\nu_i}{\Gamma_i} \right) \frac{d\tilde{k}_i}{dp} Q_{eq}^i.$$

Equation (46) may be considered as an expression of the Badger rule connecting the force constants and the equilibrium...
geometry of molecular systems. When a normal coordinate \( Q_i \) is dominated by a single set of internal coordinate, Eq. (46) implies a linear correlation between the vibrational frequencies and the pertinent internal coordinates. Figure 10 shows the linear correlation between the harmonic vibrational frequencies of the totally symmetric normal modes \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \) and the boron-hydrogen bond lengths \( rBHi \) and \( rBHb \) of diborane, respectively.

2. Comparison with the experimental data

Finally, we compare the computed harmonic frequencies of diborane as a function of the pressure with the available experimental data. High pressure Raman and IR spectroscopies studies of diborane in its condensed phases have been recently reported.\(^{20,21}\) In these studies, the pressure dependences of the several internal modes of diborane have been used to identify pressure dependent structure transformations of the phases. Several structural transformations have been identified starting from a liquid-phase I transition around 4 GPa. In Table V, we compare the pressure dependent data of the liquid phase (0–4 GPa) with the computed pressure coefficients \((dv/dp)_{th}\) of the harmonic vibrational frequencies. The comparison shows that the results of the PCM-XP model give a reasonable description of the experimental pressure effect on the vibrational frequencies of diborane.

V. SUMMARY

We have presented a new quantum chemical method for the calculation of the equilibrium geometry and the harmonic vibrational frequencies of molecular systems in dense medium at high pressures (of the order of GPa). The new computational method, named PCM-XP, is based on the polarizable continuum model, amply used for the study of the solvent effects at standard condition of pressure, and it is accompanied by a new theory for the analysis and the interpretation of the mechanisms underpinning the effects of pressure on the molecular geometries and the harmonic vibrational frequencies. The PCM-XP has been applied at the density functional theory level to diborane as a molecular system under high pressure. The computed harmonic vibrational frequencies as a function of the pressure have shown a satisfactory agreement with the corresponding experimental results, and the parallel application of the method of analysis has shown that the effects of the pressure on the equilibrium geometry can be interpreted in terms of direct effects on the electronic charge.

### Table V. Comparison between the computed pressure coefficients \((dv/dp)_{th}\) of the harmonic vibrational frequencies of diborane and the available experimental data \((dv/dp)_{exp}\) from Refs. 20 and 21.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Symmetry</th>
<th>((dv/dp)_{th})</th>
<th>((dv/dp)_{exp})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(A_g)</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(A_g)</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(A_g)</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(A_g)</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(A_u)</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(B_{2g})</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(B_{2g})</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>(B_{2u})</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>(B_{2u})</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>(B_{2u})</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>(B_{1g})</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>(B_{1g})</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>(B_{1u})</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>(B_{1u})</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>(B_{1g})</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>(B_{1u})</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>(B_{1u})</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>(B_{1u})</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
distribution of the molecular solutes, and that the effects on the harmonic vibrational frequencies can be described in terms of two physically distinct effects of the pressure (curvature and relaxation) on the potential energy for the motion of the nuclei. These results indicate, in our opinion, the potentialities of PCM-XP method to open new perspectives for the extension of quantum chemistry to the study of pressure effects on the vibrational properties of molecular systems.
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APPENDIX: PAULI REPULSION BARRIER

The height \( V_0 \) of the Pauli repulsion barrier of Eq. (26) is determined by the parameter \( \gamma_N(f) \), depending on the cavity scaling factor \( f \). The functional dependence of \( \gamma_N(f) \) can be estimated from the \( pV \) experimental data characterizing the external medium, as described in the following.

Using a heuristic approach, we introduce a functional dependence for \( \gamma(f) \) with the following form:

\[
\gamma(f) = (f_0/f)^N,
\]

where \( f_0 \) and \( f \) are, respectively, the reference and the actual cavity scaling factors. The estimation of the exponential parameter \( N \) is performed by computing the pressure \( p \) from Eq. (12) as a function of the volume \( V_0 \) of the cavity, and fitting the computed \( pV \) data in terms of an equation of state of the Murnaghan type \( ^{16,83,84} \)

\[
p = a \left( \frac{V_0}{V} \right)^b - 1 + c,
\]

where \( V_0 \) and \( V \) are, respectively, the cavity volume at the standard condition of pressure and at the pressure \( p \), and \( a, b, c \) are empirical parameters. The best range values of parameters \( N \) can be estimated on the basis of the comparison with the experimental \( pV \) Murnaghan equation of state for the external medium \( p = a \left( \frac{V_0}{V} \right)^b - 1 \), where \( V_0 \) and \( V \) are molar volumes of the medium (see Table VI). Numerical tests show that the range \( N = 3–6 \) is a reasonable choice for the exponential of functional form of the parameter \( \gamma(N) \).

As a historical note, we recall that this type of comparison with the \( pV \) experimental data has been first suggested by LeSar and Herschbach in a parenthetical observation of their seminal papers on a rigid spheroidal box model for the description of the electronic and vibrational properties of the hydrogen molecule at high pressure.\(^{49}\)

\( ^{1} \) R. Cammi, V. Verdolino, B. Mennucci, and J. Tomasi, Chem. Phys. 344, 135 (2008).


\( ^{3} \) R. Cammi and J. Tomasi, J. Comp. Chem. 16, 1449 (1995).


\( ^{8} \) P. F. McMillan, Nat. Mater. 1, 18 (2002).


\( ^{22} \) The pressure is not amenable to a mechanical perturbation, i.e., to a perturbation that represents the action of an external field and that can be completely described by adding into the Hamiltonian the interaction energy of the system with the external field. The action of the pressure is connected to the work done on the system to produce a variation of its volume, and, therefore, the pressure is not related to any real external field.\(^{23}\)

\( ^{23} \) D. N. Zubarev, Nonequilibrium Statistical Thermodynamics (Plenum, New York, 1974).


\( ^{30} \) The nonequilibrium solvation accounts for the dynamical aspects of the solvent polarization. This polarization can be partitioned into different contributions each related to the various degrees of freedom of the solvent molecules (i.e., translations, rotations, vibrations, electronic motions), which span different orders of magnitude in the corresponding relaxation times. In the common practice, such contributions are collected into two terms only: one term accounts for all the degrees of freedom which involve motions slower than those involved in the physical phenomena under examination, the other includes the faster contributions. As a further assumption, only the latter can be instantaneously equilibrated with the momentary charge distribution whereas the former cannot readjust. In the case of the study of vibrations of solvated molecules, the slow term contains the contributions arising from the translational and rotational

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>( a ) (GPa)</th>
<th>( b )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclohexane</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argon</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE VI. Murnaghan equations of state \( p = a(V_0/V)^b - 1 \) for benzene,\(^{16}\) cyclohexane,\(^{83}\) and argon.\(^{84}\)
motions of solvent molecules. The assumption that the geometry of the molecular cavity does not follow the solute vibrational motion is justified by the consideration that the geometry of the cavity is determined by the space non-accessible to the translational and rotational degrees of freedom of the solvent molecules.


Note that the integration variable \( r \) of the kernel \( \bar{V}(r) \) of operator \( \bar{V} \) is not a dynamical variable of the molecular system. The operative definition of the integral kernel \( \bar{V}(r) \) is given by

\[
\langle \psi | \bar{V}(r) | \psi \rangle = \int \langle \psi | \bar{V}(r) | \psi \rangle dr.
\]

The PCM-IEF definition of the apparent charges is exact provided that all of the molecular charge distribution is entirely enclosed within the molecular cavity. However, it has been shown both theoretically and numerically that for IEP-PCM the error made due to the escaped charge distribution is negligible in the practical calculations, where the total amount of the escaped charge is lower than a few percent of that of the total electronic charge.\(^{35-36}\) Also in the numerical applications of the PCM-XP model we have verified that this condition has been satisfied for all the cavity sizes corresponding to the considered range of cavity scaling factor \( f = 1.2-0.95 \) (see Table S1 of the supplementary material\(^{31})).\)


Here, we do not consider the amount of work for the creation of the cavity within the medium at the given condition of pressure, as it is not involved in the definition of the harmonic vibrational frequencies of the solute within a cavity with a fixed geometry. The free-energy of cavitation must be considered in the case of the exploration of larger portions of the potential energy surface, and its evaluation at high-pressure regimes will be considered in a forthcoming paper.


We recall that there is another approach to the quantum definition of pressure. This approach has been proposed by R. F. W. Bader, and it is rooted on the definition of atoms in molecules as open systems, a system bounded by a surface of zero flux in the gradient vector field of the electron density. The pressure acting on an open system is determined as the quantum force exerted per unit area of the surface enclosing the system. See for this point the recent review\(^{40}\) and the references therein.


See supplementary material at http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4757285 for Table S1—amount of the electronic charge, $Q_{\text{out}}$, lying outside of the cavity hosting diborane as a function of the cavity scaling factor $f$ and of the pressure, $p$ (in GPa); Table S2—the energy gradients $G_{e_{\text{int}}}$ of diborane, as computed at the PCM/M062X/6-311++G(d,p) level with the step barrier potential $V_0(6)$ and the harmonic vibrational frequencies of diborane as a function of the pressure, and computed at the at the PCM/M062X/6-311++G(d,p) level with the repulsive step potential $V_0(6)$ and the harmonic vibrational frequencies of diborane as a function of the pressure and at the M062X/6-311++G(d,p) level and the harmonic force constants $k_i$ of diborane in gas phase and at the M062X/6-311++G(d,p) level and the harmonic force constants $k_i$ of diborane as a function of the pressure, and computed at the PCM/M062X/6-311++G(d,p) level using the repulsive step potential $V_0(6)$; Table S3—the harmonic vibrational frequencies of diborane as a function of the pressure, and computed at the PCM/M062X/6-311++G(d,p) level using the repulsive step potential $V_0(6)$ and the equilibrium geometry in gas phase; and Table S6—selected cubic force constants $g_{ij}$ of diborane in gas phase and at the M062X/6-311++G(d,p) level using the repulsive step potential $V_0(6)$ and the equilibrium geometry in gas phase.

The partition of the pressure effects on the harmonic force constant into “curvature” and “relaxation” contributions, has a direct correspondence with an analogous partition introduced to describe the effects of the electric field on second and higher order molecular response functions (see Ref. 108 on this topic).

Combining Eqs. (42) and (45), the pressure coefficient of the harmonic vibrational frequencies can be partitioned as

$$\frac{d\tilde{\nu}_i}{dp} = \frac{d\tilde{\nu}_i}{dp}\bigg|_{\text{cur}} + \frac{d\tilde{\nu}_i}{dp}\bigg|_{\text{rel}},$$

where the terms describing the curvature and relaxation effects of the pressure on the harmonic vibrational frequencies are given in terms of the corresponding effects on the harmonic force constants:

$$\frac{d\tilde{\nu}_i}{dp}\bigg|_{\text{cur}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\nu_i}{k_i} \right) \frac{dk_i}{dp}\bigg|_{\text{cur}},$$

$$\frac{d\tilde{\nu}_i}{dp}\bigg|_{\text{rel}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\nu_i}{k_i} \right) \frac{d\nu_i}{dp}\bigg|_{\text{rel}}.$$