Shoulder retractor strengthening exercise to minimize rhomboid muscle activity and subacromial impingement
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: To investigate the best position for shoulder retractor strengthening exercise to maximize middle trapezius activity and minimize rhomboid major activity. Though both are scapular retractors, rhomboids also act as downward rotators of the scapula, which can worsen subacromial impingement. Methods: Twelve healthy participants (age 30 [SD 6] years) with no history of shoulder pain were recruited for this study, which used fine wire electromyography to examine maximal muscle activation of the middle trapezius and rhomboid major muscle fibres during in different positions: shoulder in 90° abduction position with elbow completely extended and (a) shoulder internal rotation, (b) shoulder neutral rotation, and (c) shoulder external rotation,
and (d) rowing (shoulder neutral rotation and elbow flexed 90°). Ratio of trapezius over rhomboid muscles was compared using Wilcoxon signed rank tests. **Results:** Muscle activation ratio during shoulder retraction exercise was significantly lower by 22% (i.e., rhomboid was more active than middle trapezius) when performed with the shoulder in rowing position (elbow flexed) than with shoulder in external rotation (elbow extended) position ($p = 0.031$). All four positions produced coactivation of trapezius and rhomboids. **Discussion:** Rowing arm position may not be the best position for shoulder retractor strengthening in patients with impingement syndrome. Preferable position for maximizing middle trapezius activity and minimizing rhomboid activity may be shoulder external rotation with elbow extended.
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Shoulder pain is very common, with a lifetime prevalence of one in three,\(^1\) and is the third leading musculoskeletal cause for seeking medical attention (after neck and back pain).\(^2\) Subacromial impingement syndrome is the most common etiology,\(^3\) accounting for approximately 40% of all shoulder pain disorders.\(^4\) Subacromial impingement is defined as painful contact between the rotator cuff or subacromial bursa and the acromial arch or coracoacromial ligament.\(^5\) Probably because of its high prevalence and the frequency with which it is encountered in clinical practice, there has been a drastic increase in the scientific literature on this syndrome, from 78 publications/year in 1999 to 159/year in 2009.\(^6\)

In managing impingement syndrome, acromioplasty is often used as the definitive treatment strategy, and there has been a dramatic increase in this procedure over the past decade: from 1996 to 2006, the number of acromioplasties performed in New York state increased 254%
compared with an increase of only 78% in all ambulatory orthopaedic surgical procedures.\textsuperscript{7} However, a recent Cochrane review concluded that there is "silver"-level evidence that there are no significant differences in outcomes between open or arthroscopic subacromial decompression and active non-operative treatment for impingement,\textsuperscript{8} which highlights the importance of conservative management with an emphasis on focused active rehabilitation.

There is mounting evidence of the possible implications of posture in the development of functional impingement. An in vivo MRI study with healthy volunteers found that the subacromial space decreases as the shoulder moves into protraction;\textsuperscript{9} shoulder force production and ROM are both adversely affected in a protracted position.\textsuperscript{10} Several studies have also investigated the impact of subacromial impingement on shoulder complex muscle activation, and some have demonstrated decreased activation in the middle trapezius in people with impingement syndrome.\textsuperscript{11, 12} In particular, low or delayed recruitment of middle and lower trapezius and serratus anterior and increased activity of upper trapezius and rhomboid muscles can lead to imbalances between scapulothoracic and glenohumeral joints seen in people with impingement.\textsuperscript{13, 14} Postural re-education and addressing shoulder protractor–retractor imbalance are therefore integral components in the management of impingement.\textsuperscript{14}

The two major scapular retractors\textsuperscript{15} are the middle trapezius and the rhomboids, but these two muscles vary somewhat in their actions: the middle fibres of the trapezius function as pure scapular retractors, whereas the rhomboids act both to retract the scapula and to rotate it to depress the glenoid fossa. This inferior rotation of the scapula leads to a reduction in the subacromial space and thus may contribute to the development or persistence of impingement symptoms.\textsuperscript{11, 16} In contrast, middle trapezius exercise does not depress the glenoid fossa and can potentially increase deficient middle trapezius activation.\textsuperscript{11, 12} Therefore, theoretically, scapular
retraction exercises that preferentially recruit the middle trapezius more than the rhomboids should be favored in treating shoulder impingement syndrome. Exercises that take into account the optimal middle trapezius/rhomboids recruitment ratio may have implications for exercise prescription for patients with subacromial impingement. The purpose of this study was to examine electromyographic activation of the middle trapezius and rhomboids during four types of resisted scapular retraction exercises.

METHODS

We recruited 12 healthy participants (mean age 30 [SD 6] years; 9 male, 3 female), irrespective of hand dominance, for this study; the only exclusion criteria were current shoulder pain and any history of prior shoulder injury or surgery. All participants signed an informed consent form approved by the ethics boards of West Park Healthcare Centre and the University of Toronto.

Fine-wire electromyography (EMG)

After cleaning the skin overlying the muscle bellies of the shoulder retractor muscles with alcohol, we introduced a pair of custom-made fine-wire EMG sensors into the muscle using a 25-gauge needle (1.5" length) under ultrasound guidance. The intrascapular region on the dominant side medial to the scapular spine was examined sonographically to identify the thickest portion of each applicable muscle. The needle electrode was then advanced, targeting this area of each muscle. We placed one pair of fine wire electrodes in the middle trapezius muscle and the other pair in the ipsilateral rhomboid major muscle (hereafter “rhomboid”), then carefully pulled out the needle, leaving the fine wire sensors hooked to the muscle fibres.

Participants performed scapular retraction exercises in four different positions on their dominant side, which, for all participants recruited, was the right. Order of testing was decided
using a random order scheme.\textsuperscript{17} The different testing positions were 90° shoulder abduction with 180° elbow extension and (1) shoulder neutral rotation (SNR); (2) shoulder internal rotation (SIR), used to isolate rhomboids;\textsuperscript{18} (3) shoulder external rotation (SER), used to isolate middle trapezius;\textsuperscript{18} and (4) rowing position (shoulder neutral rotation with 90° elbow flexion), reported to activate middle trapezius.\textsuperscript{19} Lying prone with the shoulder on the edge of the bed, participants were asked to retract their shoulder and resist as hard as possible for 3 seconds as the examiner provided maximal downward force in the direction of horizontal adduction just proximal to the elbow joint. The examiner provided standardized verbal encouragement in all trials to assist in maximal force output. Participants performed three trials of maximal voluntary contraction (MVC) in each position.

\textbf{Data Analysis}

EMG data were sampled at 10 KHz, band-pass filtered (10-450 Hz), and full-wave rectified using EMGworks 4.0 (Delsys Inc., Boston, MA). We calculated the root mean squared (RMS) for the middle 1 second of the MVC EMG data for both muscles. Data from RMS of the middle trapezius were divided by the RMS of the rhomboids to calculate the muscle activation ratio. The muscle activation ratio from condition 1 (SNR) was considered a control condition, since the shoulder was in neutral rotation, and the rest of the conditions (2–4) were normalized to MVC in neutral rotation. We chose to use non-parametric statistics because of the small sample size. To assess which of four scapular retraction exercises preferentially activated the middle trapezius as opposed to the rhomboids, we performed Wilcoxon signed rank tests using SPSS 16.0 (IBM Corp., New York), with the threshold for significance set at 0.05. Figure 1 was prepared using the Microsoft Excel add-on XL Toolbox (Daniel Kraus, Würzburg, Germany).
RESULTS

We were not able to collect complete data for three participants, and EMG data for a fourth participant were not of good quality; therefore, we report average data from eight healthy participants (see Table 1 for raw values). We found that the middle trapezius : rhomboid ratio was significantly lower (22% lower) in rowing position than in SER ($p = 0.031$; see Figure 1). The rhomboid was more active than middle trapezius in the rowing position (elbow flexed) than in the SER (elbow extended) position. All four exercise positions produced coactivation of trapezius and rhomboids. There was no significant difference between SNR and SER ($p = 0.46$) or between SNR and rowing conditions ($p = 0.31$).

DISCUSSION

Strengthening exercises are an important component of rehabilitation for people with impingement syndrome and can reduce pain, improve function, and prevent surgery.\textsuperscript{20-23} Knowledge of the types of exercise that address muscle weakness without deteriorating the subacromial space or exacerbating the condition is important. Strengthening of scapular retractors is an important aspect of a shoulder strengthening exercise regime for impingement syndrome. Our results suggest that shoulder retraction with the shoulder either in internal or external rotation does not preferentially activate middle trapezius or rhomboids. In the rowing condition, however, rhomboid activity increased by 10% while middle trapezius activity decreased by 15%, thus significantly decreasing the middle trapezius : rhomboid ratio in the rowing condition relative to the SER condition. The decrease in middle trapezius in rowing relative to SER can be attributed to the known hyperactivity of the middle trapezius during the SER movement relative to the rowing activity.\textsuperscript{24} Our results reflect the differences in muscle
activation patterns between the two chief scapular retractors during different types of shoulder exercises.

We found no difference in muscle activation ratio (middle trapezius : rhomboids) between SIR and SER, which supports previous findings. Although muscle testing principles described in standard textbooks suggest preferential activation of the middle trapezius in SER position, our data do not support this finding. Similarly, previous studies have shown no statistically significant difference between muscle activation patterns in a variety of testing positions recommended for isolating other scapular muscles. Because differences in muscle activation patterns are difficult to perceive clinically (manually or visually), clinicians must rely on EMG findings to help them make objective assessments of muscle strength and plan muscle strengthening regimes. Scapular retractor muscle activation increases with increasing angle of retraction, but muscle activation also varies even when scapular retraction angle remains unchanged while the shoulder is placed in different positions. Our data using fine-wire EMG on deep scapular muscles (inaccessible by surface EMG) provide clinical insights on best positions to strengthen scapular retractors in impingement syndrome, but these results need to be replicated in people with impingement syndrome.

No objective information or scientifically tested clinical guidelines are available to guide clinicians in understanding the impact of various forms of shoulder retraction exercises on muscle activation patterns. A recent study reported that two types of shoulder retraction exercises performed with scapular upward and downward rotation were not effective in strengthening retractors or improving scapular kinematics, but we lack evidence on potentially beneficial exercises. Our study examined the differences in muscle activation patterns between two major scapular retractors. Our findings suggest that exercise performed in rowing position (90°
shoulder abduction and 90° elbow flexion position) increases rhomboid activation and decreases middle trapezius activation. The testing position used for strengthening the posterior deltoid muscle (shoulder abduction 90°, slight extension, and internal rotation with resistance in antero-medial direction) is also reported to result in highest rhomboid muscle activation.18 For people with impingement syndrome, therefore, it may be best to avoid the rowing position and the posterior deltoid testing position for shoulder retractor strengthening exercise, as these positions are likely to increase rhomboid activity and cause further impingement on the subacromial space.

Limitations

Our study has several limitations. First, rhomboids are deep muscles, and thus inaccessible to surface EMG techniques; however, fine-wire sensors are small and do not capture EMG signals from the entire muscle. Second, we tested a small sample of healthy control participants, which may have biased the results due to the lower power of the study. Third, we did not record scapular retraction kinematics such as position and degree of retraction, which may have not been consistent across conditions. Finally, we did not measure muscle activation in other shoulder muscles; it is important to study muscle activity in the rest of the shoulder muscles, as compensatory muscle activation can occur after shoulder impingement.

CONCLUSIONS

Our data suggest that the optimal exercise for shoulder retractors is in shoulder abduction and external rotation position (with elbow extended), providing maximal trapezius and minimal rhomboid activation. These findings need to be confirmed in people with shoulder impingement. We recommend that future studies test this hypothesis as well as the impact of a rehabilitation
programme incorporating shoulder retractor exercise in the optimal position of shoulder abduction and external rotation (with elbow extension).

KEY MESSAGES

What is already known on this topic

The middle trapezius and rhomboid muscles are responsible for retraction of the scapula, but the middle fibres of the trapezius function as pure scapular retractors, whereas the rhomboids act both to retract the scapula and to rotate it to depress the glenoid fossa. This inferior rotation of the scapula leads to a decrease in the subacromial space which may contribute to the development or persistence of impingement symptoms. Middle trapezius muscle activation is decreased and rhomboid muscle activation is increased in subjects with shoulder impingement syndrome.

What this study adds

Our fine-wire EMG data suggests that scapular retractor isometric contraction exercise performed in 90° shoulder abduction with external rotation and elbow extended position can produce higher middle trapezius and lower rhomboid muscle activation. Rowing position (90° shoulder abduction, neutral shoulder rotation and elbow flexed 90°) may not be the best position for scapular retractor strengthening in patients with impingement syndrome because it preferentially activates rhomboid more than middle trapezius.
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FIGURES

**Figure 1.** Differences in muscle activation ratio (middle trapezius : rhomboids)

*statistically significant difference (*p* < 0.05); vertical bars represent standard error of the mean

MVC-maximal voluntary contraction; SNR-shoulder neutral rotation; SER-shoulder external rotation; SIR-shoulder internal rotation
### Table 1. Raw MVC Values (root mean square)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SIR</th>
<th>SNR</th>
<th>SER</th>
<th>Rowing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rhomboids</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>4.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>2.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle trapezius</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>5.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>2.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MVC</td>
<td>SNR</td>
<td>SER</td>
<td>SIR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MVC - maximal voluntary contraction; SNR - shoulder neutral rotation; SER - shoulder external rotation; SIR - shoulder internal rotation; NA - not available