BRIEF REPORT

Randomized Controlled Trial of Cognitive Behavioral Stress Management in Breast Cancer: A Brief Report of Effects on 5-Year Depressive Symptoms

Jamie M. Stagl, Michael H. Antoni, Suzanne C. Lechner, Laura C. Bouchard, Bonnie B. Blomberg, and Stefan Glück University of Miami Robert P. Derhagopian Baptist Health Breast Center, Miami, Florida

Charles S. Carver University of Miami

Objective: Survivors of breast cancer experience stress and are at risk for depressive symptoms following primary treatment. Group-based interventions such as cognitive-behavioral stress management (CBSM) delivered postsurgery for nonmetastatic breast cancer (BCa) were previously associated with fewer depressive symptoms over a 12-month follow-up; few studies have examined the longer-term benefits of such psychosocial interventions. This 5-year follow-up study of a previously conducted trial (#NCT01422551) tested whether group-based CBSM following surgery for nonmetastatic BCa was associated with fewer depressive symptoms. *Methods:* Women (N = 240) with Stage 0-IIIb BCa were recruited 2-10 weeks postsurgery and randomized to a 10-week CBSM intervention group or a 1-day psycho-educational control group. Women were recontacted 5 years poststudy enrollment and reconsented to participate in the follow-up study (N = 130). Depressive symptomatology was assessed using the Center for Epidemiologic Studies-Depression scale (CES-D). ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses were employed to test for group differences on the CES-D at 5-year follow-up accounting for relevant covariates. Results: Participants assigned to CBSM reported significantly fewer depressive symptoms (M = 9.99, SE = 0.93) at the follow-up compared with those in the control group (M = 12.97, SE = 0.93)0.99), p = .030. With covariates, the group difference remained significant, p = .012. Conclusion: Women who received CBSM postsurgery for BCa reported fewer depressive symptoms than those in the control group in this 5-year follow-up. Psychosocial interventions early in treatment may influence long-term psychological well-being in BCa survivors.

Keywords: breast cancer survivors, depressive symptoms, cognitive-behavioral stress management

Supplemental materials: http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/hea0000125.supp

Breast cancer survivors (BCS) are at risk for mood disorders such as depression, for which rates are as high as 14.9%–19.2% in oncology populations (Mitchell et al., 2011). In BCS, depression is

associated with negative outcomes such as sleep disturbances, pain, fatigue, poor quality of life (QOL; Reyes-Gibby et al., 2012), and reduced long-term treatment adherence (van Wilgen, Dijkstra,

pian, Baptist Health Breast Center; Charles S. Carver, Department of Psychology, University of Miami, and Sylvester Cancer Center, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine.

This study was funded by the National Cancer Institute of the National Institutes of Health R01-CA-064710. Dr. Antoni reports receiving publication royalties from a book and related training materials that he has authored on CBSM treatments in health psychology. No other author has financial disclosures. Dr. Antoni receives publication royalties from cognitive-behavioral stress management treatment in health psychology materials he's co-authored.

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Jamie M. Stagl, Department of Psychology, University of Miami, 5665 Ponce De Leon Boulevard, Coral Gables, FL 33131. E-mail: jstagl@psy.miami.edu

This article was published Online First July 28, 2014.

Jamie M. Stagl, Department of Psychology, University of Miami; Michael H. Antoni, Department of Psychology, University of Miami, Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Sylvester Cancer Center, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine; Suzanne C. Lechner, Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Sylvester Cancer Center, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine; Laura C. Bouchard, Department of Psychology, University of Miami; Bonnie B. Blomberg, Sylvester Cancer Center, Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine; Stefan Glück, Sylvester Cancer Center, Division Hematology and Oncology, Department of Medicine, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine; Robert P. Derhago-

Stewart, Ranchor, & Roodenburg, 2006). Psychosocial interventions are effective during treatment for early stage breast cancer (BCa) and are shown to alleviate depressive symptoms (Jacobsen & Jim, 2008; Li, Fitzgerald, & Rodin, 2012). Specifically, during BCa treatment, cognitive-behavioral stress management (CBSM) improved QOL and reduced cancer-related anxiety and depressive symptoms (Antoni et al., 2001; Antoni et al., 2006a, 2006b). Recent analyses revealed additional CBSM effects on sleep quality and fatigue-related interference (Vargas et al., in press). Despite these findings, there is a need to examine the more long-term effects of psychosocial interventions delivered during primary treatment for BCa (Ganz et al., 2002). As CBSM targets factors contributing to depressive symptoms including cognitive appraisal, coping, social support, and distress (Bigatti, Steiner, & Miller, 2012), it is possible that early intervention provides a long-term protective effect against depressive symptoms. This study tested whether women with BCa assigned to CBSM following surgery report fewer depressive symptoms at 5-year follow-up.

Method

Participants and Procedures

This study was a 5-year follow-up from a single center, single blind, randomized controlled trial approved by the Institutional Review Board and conducted within the Psychology Department at the University of Miami from 1998–2005. Participants were 240 women with Stage 0-IIIb BCa randomized to a 10-week group-based CBSM intervention (N = 120) or a 1-day psycho-educational control group (N = 120) 2–10 weeks postsurgery. Exclusionary criteria included present or past major depressive disorder, psychosis, or suicidality. Women completed three follow-up assessments during the study period. Details of the parent study are described in the initial interim reports (Antoni et al., 2006a) and recent reports with the final sample (Vargas et al., in press; National Institutes of Health Clinical Trial *NCT01422551*).

A follow-up assessment was added to the protocol and conducted 5-years post enrollment. Participants (N = 240) were recontacted and asked to participate in a study involving a questionnaire about depressive symptoms and general health status. Participants were reconsented and mailed the completed questionnaire by blinded research assistants.

Study Conditions

The CBSM intervention was a 10-week group based intervention for women undergoing BCa treatment (Antoni, 2003). CBSM incorporates cognitive–behavioral therapy (e.g., cognitive reframing, assertiveness training) and relaxation training (e.g., progressive muscle relaxation) to decrease stress and negative mood. The control group was a 1-day psychoeducational (PE) "self-help" seminar in a classroom setting within the corresponding 10-week intervention period. Women were provided with general information about BCa care, health, and stress management summaries, but there were no structured opportunities to practice these techniques.

Measures and Analyses

Depressive symptoms. At 5-year follow-up the 20-item Center for Epidemiologic Studies–Depression Scale (CES-D) was self-administered (Radloff, 1977). Scores range from 0-60, with higher scores indicating greater depressive symptoms over the past week. The CES-D has been shown to be reliable for use with women with BCa (Hann, Winter, & Jacobsen, 1999) and showed good reliability in the present sample (Cronbach's alpha = .90).

Demographic and covariate data. Self-reported demographics (i.e., socioeconomic markers, medical/treatment factors) were collected at baseline. Although the CES-D was not administered at baseline, depressive symptoms were measured via interview with the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HDRS Hamilton, 1960) and used as a covariate in adjusted analyses. At 5-year follow-up, women reported on BCa recurrence and medical status.

Statistical analyses. Chi-square goodness of fit tests and oneway ANOVAs (SPSS v.19) determined whether women who participated in the follow-up study were characteristically different from those who did not participate. A univariate ANOVA determined whether women assigned to the CBSM intervention versus the PE control condition differed on 5-year depressive symptoms. ANCOVAs assessed group differences on 5-year depressive symptoms while controlling for *demographic* (age at baseline, race/ ethnicity, income, education), cancer-related (stage of BCa, chemotherapy, radiation therapy, hormone therapy, recurrence, time from surgery to baseline), health-related (psychotropic medication use, comorbidities), and psychosocial (baseline HDRS) factors added individually to the model. In an effort to determine whether the relationship between study condition and 5-year CES-D scores was related to baseline distress levels, we ran a moderated regression analysis with baseline HDRS as the moderator. Women were classified in high (HDRS > 7) and low (HDRS < or = 7) baseline HDRS subgroups (Hamilton, 1960) and covariates from the primary analysis were included.

Results

Sample Characteristics

Of the 240 women recontacted to participate in the follow-up study, 75 (31.3%) were unreachable, 11 (4.6%) had requested no further contact, nine (3.8%) did not return the questionnaire, eight (3.3)% were not interested, and seven (2.9)% were deceased. The present sample includes 130 (54.1%) women from the previous study (N =70 CBSM intervention; N = 60 PE control). See supplemental CON-SORT figure. Participation in the follow-up study was not significantly related (p > .05) to original study condition (CBSM vs. PE). There were no significant study group differences on demographic characteristics, medical or treatment-related factors, psychosocial status, or use of psychotropic medications. See Table 1 for descriptive details by study condition (CBSM vs. PE).

Women from the parent study (Vargas et al., in press) who participated in the 5-year follow-up study were older at baseline¹ than those who did not participate, F(1, 238) = 6.73, p = .010, and had less days elapsed from the initial surgery to baseline assessment, F(1, 229) = 16.66, p < .001. Age at baseline and days from surgery to baseline were included as covariates in all additional analyses. Participants and nonparticipants were not different on

¹ The interaction between age and study group in predicting 5-year CES-D was not significant (p > .05).

 Table 1

 Means, Standard Deviations, and Frequencies of All Study Covariates by Group

Variable	Control	Intervention	Statistic	р
Age at baseline (in years)	57.43 (8.64)	56.10 (8.95)	F(1, 128) = 0.74	.39
Race/ethnicity			$\chi^2(3) = 1.41$.70
White non-Hispanic	41 (68.3%)	52 (74.3%)		
Hispanic	13 (21.7%)	14 (20.%)		
African American	4 (6.6%)	4 (5.7%)		
Asian	1 (1.7%)	0		
Income (in thousands of dollars)	75.45 (52.11)	73.90 (40.26)	F(1, 109) = 0.03	.86
Education (in years)	15.27 (2.48)	15.73 (2.27)	F(1, 128) = 1.23	.27
Stage at diagnosis	× /	· · /	$\chi^2(3) = 0.96$.81
0	8 (13.3%)	10 (14.3%)		
Ι	27 (45.0%)	28 (40.0%)		
Ц	23 (38.3%)	28 (40.0%		
III	1 (1.7%)	3 (4.3%)		
Received chemotherapy		- (/	$\chi^2(1) = 0.09$.76
Yes	30 (50.0%)	37 (52.9%)	χ () = 1.11	
No	28 (46.70%)	31 (44.3%)		
Received radiation therapy	- (,	- (,	$\chi^2(1) = 0.01$.91
Yes	33 (55.0%)	38 (54.3%)	λ (-)	
No	25 (41.7%)	30 (42.9%)		
Received anti hormonal therapy			$y^2(1) = 0.63$.43
Yes	38 (63.3%)	49 (70.0%)	χ (-) = 0.00	
No	20(33.3%)	19 (27.1%)		
Breast cancer recurrence at 5 years	20 (001070)	1) (2/11/0)	$v^2(4) = 75$	86
No remained cancer free	53 (88.3%)	62 (88.6%)	$\chi(0) = 0.00$.00
Yes recurrence	2(3.3%)	4 (5.7%)		
New primary	4 (6 7%)	3 (4.3%)		
Unsure if new primary or recurrence	1 (1.7%)	1 (1.4%)		
Days from surgery to baseline	35.97 (19.71)	34.66 (19.74)	F(1, 126) = 14	.71
Baseline anti depressant medication	55.97 (19.71)	51.00 (19.71)	$v^{2}(1) = 0.06$	82
Yes	13 (21.7%)	14(20%)	λ (1) 0.000	.02
No	47(783%)	56 (80.0%)		
Baseline anti anxiety medication	17 (70.570)	50 (00.070)	$v^2(1) = 34$	56
Yes	20 (33 3%)	20 (28.6%)	χ (1) .51	.50
No	40 (66 7%)	50(71.4%)		
Baseline sleep medication	10 (00.770)	50 (71.170)	$v^2(1) = 30$	59
Yes	18 (30.0%)	18 (25 7%)	χ (1) .50	.07
No	42(70.0%)	52(74.3%)		
Baseline pain medication use	12 (70.070)	52 (11.570)	$v^2(3) = 2.06$	15
Ves	28 (46 7%)	24 (34 3%)	$\chi(3) = 2.00$.10
No	33 (53 3%)	46 (65 7%)		
Charlson Comorbidity Index at 5 yrs	1 11 (2 0)	1 25 (2 14)	F(1, 123) = 0.15	70
Hamilton Depression Rating_Baseline	76(55)	7 36 (5 67)	F(1, 123) = 0.06	81
runnion Depression Rating-Dasellite	1.0 (3.3)	1.50 (5.07)	(1, 123) = 0.00	.01

12-month outcomes including negative affect and cancer-specific distress.

Intervention Effects

The average CES-D score at 5-year follow-up was 11.38 (*SD* = 7.80). Uncontrolled, women assigned to CBSM reported significantly fewer depressive symptoms at 5-year follow-up (M = 9.99, SE = 0.93) than women in the control group (M = 12.97, SE = 0.99), F(1, 126) = 4.8, p = .030, partial $\eta^2 = 0.04$. The group difference in CES-D scores at 5-year follow-up remained significant while controlling for baseline depressive symptoms on the HDRS and other previously mentioned covariates: Women assigned to CBSM had significantly fewer depressive symptoms at 5-year follow up (M = 9.22, SE = 0.81) than women in the control group (M = 12.30, SE = 0.86), F(1, 76) = 6.61, p = .012, partial $\eta^2 = 0.08$. The uncontrolled group difference in CES-D scores of 2.98 (SE = 1.36, p = .030, 95% CI [.29, 5.67]) was a small-

medium effect (d = .32; Cohen, 1988). With 130 participants, the power to detect a group difference of this magnitude of .32 is adequate (93%). When controlling for theoretically supported covariates and baseline depressive symptoms, the group difference in CES-D scores of 3.10 (SE = 1.12, p = .012, 95% CI [.72, 5.46]), was a small-medium effect (d = .34). With 130 participants and all covariates, the power to detect a group difference of this magnitude was adequate (93%).

In the moderated regression analysis, the baseline HDRS subgroup by study condition interaction was not significant in predicting 5-year CES-D scores (p = .858), suggesting that the effects of the intervention on longer term depressive symptoms did not depend on baseline levels of depressive symptoms.

Discussion

Women who received CBSM intervention reported fewer depressive symptoms than women in the PE control group at 5-year follow-up. The magnitude of the group difference, confidence intervals, and statistical power suggest the results were both statistically and clinically significant. The average 5-year CES-D score in this study was 11.38 (SD = 7.80), which is comparable with CES-D scores in other posttreatment BCS studies. Other BCS studies have reported CES-D scores of 12.70 up to 6 months posttreatment (SD = 10.73; Deshields, Tibbs, Fan, & Taylor, 2006), 10.50 at > 1-year posttreatment (SD = 8.30; van Wilgen et al., 2006), and 10.30 at 3-years posttreatment, with scores being statistically similar at the > 5-year posttreatment follow-up (Ganz et al., 2002). In two studies with healthy age and gender-matched control samples, the reported CES-D mean was 8.30 (van Wilgen et al., 2006) and 8.67 (Lewinsohn et al., 1997). The mean CES-D scores in both of these healthy samples approached 5-year values reported in our CBSM condition (M = 9.22). Thus, women assigned to CBSM revealed 5-year depressive symptom scores similar to norms for healthy controls, and women in the control group remained within the range of cancer patients undergoing active treatment, scoring nearly 33% higher (M = 12.30) than CBSM cases.

Findings suggest that women given the opportunity to learn stress management while undergoing active treatment may benefit into survivorship. Studies have shown group-based cognitive– behavioral interventions to reduce depressive symptoms in early stage BCa over 1–2 years following treatment (Li, Fitzgerald, & Rodin, 2012; Antoni et al., 2006a). The present study showed that CBSM continued to mitigate depressive symptoms up to 5 years later. As intervention effects on depressive symptoms did not depend on baseline distress levels, our findings are best seen as evidence for an intervention benefit for BCa patients who present with and without elevated depressive symptoms during treatment.

These findings have implications for the clinical management of BCS and risk for depressive symptoms in long-term survivorship (Deshields et al., 2006). Depressive symptoms in BCS have been associated with poorer QOL, less therapy compliance, longer hospital stays, and biological processes related to BCa disease progression (van Wilgen et al., 2006). It has been recommended that cancer survivor care plans include evaluation of the psychosocial burden associated with BCa diagnosis (Ganz & Hahn, 2008). This finding calls attention to the use of approaches such as CBSM early on to optimize mental health outcomes in BCS posttreatment.

This sample was predominately middle class, highly educated, younger than average at diagnosis, and motivated to participate in health-related research, limiting the generalizability of findings. However, approximately one third of the sample identified as an ethnic minority, increasing generalizability to diverse populations of BCS. Neither study group mean exceeded the CES-D cutoff (i.e., ≥ 16); thus, these BCS do not report clinically significant depressive symptoms. Given that women were not aware they would be recontacted 5-years postenrollment, it can be considered a strength that 88% of reachable women participated. Finally, the inclusion of theoretically supported covariates in our analyses indicated that intervention-related differences in depressive symptoms were robust above and beyond phenomena occurring over the survivorship period.

Future research should seek to understand how postsurgical group-based CBSM reduces long-term depressive symptoms. CBSM reduces cancer-specific anxiety and negative affect for at least 12 months (Antoni et al., 2006b), which may equip women for anxiety-provoking stimuli during survivorship, such as awaiting follow-up mammogram results and fears of recurrence. Other CBSM intervention targets are potential mediators, including cognitive restructuring, coping self-efficacy, social support utilization, mood disturbance, and perceived stress management skills (Moyer et al., 2012; Stanton, Luecken, MacKinnon, & Thompson, 2013).

This 5-year follow-up study of women with nonmetastatic BCa showed that participants who received a 10-week CBSM intervention following surgery exhibited fewer depressive symptoms than those in a psychoeducational control group. Implementing psychosocial interventions in the early phases of treatment may influence long-term psychological well-being.

References

- Antoni, M. H. (2003). Stress management intervention for women with breast cancer. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association. doi:10.1037/10488-000
- Antoni, M. H., Harris, S. D., Price, A. A., Carver, C. S., Lehman, J. M., Kilbourn, K. M., . . . Arena, P. L. (2001). Cognitive-behavioral stress management intervention decreases the prevalence of depression and enhances benefit finding among women under treatment for early-stage breast cancer. *Health Psychology*, 20, 20–32. doi:10.1037/0278-6133.20 .1.20
- Antoni, M. H., Lechner, S. C., Kazi, A., Wimberly, S. R., Sifre, T., Urcuyo, K. R., . . . Carver, C. S. (2006a). How stress management improves quality of life after treatment for breast cancer. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 74, 1143–1152. doi:10.1037/0022-006X.74.6 .1143
- Antoni, M. H., Wimberly, S. R., Lechner, S. C., Kazi, A., Sifre, T., Urcuyo, K. R., . . . Carver, C. S. (2006b). Reduction of cancer-specific thought intrusions and anxiety symptoms with a stress management intervention among women undergoing treatment for breast cancer. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, *163*, 1791–1797. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.163.10 .1791
- Bigatti, S. M., Steiner, J. L., & Miller, K. D. (2012). Cognitive appraisals, coping and depressive symptoms in breast cancer patients. *Stress and Health*, 28, 355–361. doi:10.1002/smi.2444
- Cohen, J. (1988). Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Academic Press.
- Deshields, T., Tibbs, T., Fan, M., & Taylor, M. (2006). Differences in patterns of depression after treatment for breast cancer. *Psycho-Oncology*, 15, 398–406. doi:10.1002/pon.962
- Ganz, P. A., Desmond, K. A., Leedham, B., Rowland, J. H., Meyerowitz, B. E., & Belin, T. R. (2002). Quality of life in long-term, disease-free survivors of breast cancer: A follow-up study. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, 94, 39–49. doi:10.1093/jnci/94.1.39
- Ganz, P. A., & Hahn, E. E. (2008). Implementing a survivorship care plan for patients with breast cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology: Official Journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*, 26, 759–767. doi:10.1200/JCO.2007.14.2851
- Hamilton, M. (1960). A rating scale for depression. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry, 23, 56–62. doi:10.1136/jnnp.23.1.56
- Hann, D., Winter, K., & Jacobsen, P. (1999). Measurement of depressive symptoms in cancer patients. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 46, 437–443. doi:10.1016/S0022-3999(99)00004-5
- Jacobsen, P. B., & Jim, H. S. (2008). Psychosocial interventions for anxiety and depression in adult cancer patients: Achievements and challenges. *CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians*, 58, 214–230. doi:10.3322/CA .2008.0003
- Lewinsohn, P. M., Seeley, J. R., Roberts, R. E., & Allen, N. B. (1997). Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) as a

screening instrument for depression among community-residing older adults. *Psychology and Aging, 12,* 277–287. doi:10.1037/0882-7974.12 .2.277

- Li, M., Fitzgerald, P., & Rodin, G. (2012). Evidence-based treatment of depression in patients with cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 30, 1187–1196. doi:10.1200/JCO.2011.39.7372
- Mitchell, A. J., Chan, M., Bhatti, H., Halton, M., Grassi, L., Johansen, C., & Meader, N. (2011). Prevalence of depression, anxiety, and adjustment disorder in oncological, haematological, and palliative-care settings: A meta-analysis of 94 interview-based studies. *The Lancet Oncology*, *12*, 160–174. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(11)70002-X
- Moyer, A., Goldenberg, M., Hall, M. A., Knapp-Oliver, S. K., Sohl, S. J., Sarma, E. A., & Schneider, S. (2012). Mediators of change in psychosocial interventions for cancer patients: A systematic review. *Behavioral Medicine*, 38, 90–114. doi:10.1080/08964289.2012.695412
- Radloff, L. S. (1977). The CES-D scale: A self-report depression scale for research in the general population. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, *1*, 385–401. doi:10.1177/014662167700100306
- Reyes-Gibby, C., Anderson, K. O., Morrow, P. K., Shete, S., & Hassan, S. (2012). Depressive symptoms and health-related quality of life in breast cancer survivors. *Journal of Women's Health*, 21, 311–318.

- Stanton, A. L., Luecken, L. J., MacKinnon, D. P., & Thompson, E. H. (2013). Mechanisms in psychosocial interventions for adults living with cancer: Opportunity for integration of theory, research, and practice. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 81, 318–335. doi: 10.1037/a0028833
- van Wilgen, C. P., Dijkstra, P. U., Stewart, R. E., Ranchor, A. V., & Roodenburg, J. L. N. (2006). Measuring somatic symptoms with the CES-D to assess depression in cancer patients after treatment: Comparison among patients with oral/oropharyngeal, gynecological, colorectal, and breast cancer. *Psychosomatics*, 47, 465–470. doi:10.1176/appi.psy .47.6.465
- Vargas, S., Antoni, M. H., Carver, C. S., Lechner, S., Wohlgemuth, W., Llabre, M., . . . DerHagopian, R. (in press). Sleep quality and fatigue after stress management intervention in women under adjuvant treatment for early breast cancer. *International Journal of Behavioral Medicine*.

Received December 4, 2013 Revision received May 28, 2014

Accepted May 30, 2014