

NEWS AND COMMENTARY

Monocytes in multiple sclerosis

## Nonclassical monocytes: are they the next therapeutic targets in multiple sclerosis?

Bahareh Ajami & Lawrence Steinman

Department of Neurology and Neurological Sciences, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

Immunology & Cell Biology 2018; 96: 125–127; doi: 10.1111/imcb.12004

Innate immunity is the first line of defense and is rapidly responsive to danger signals from microbial pathogens and tissue injury. The mononuclear phagocyte system encompasses the nongranulocyte population of myeloid cells, which includes circulating blood monocytes, tissue macrophages and dendritic cells.<sup>1,2</sup> In this issue, Gjelstrup *et al.*<sup>3</sup> investigate the involvement of various monocyte subsets, particularly the nonclassical monocytes in multiple sclerosis (MS).

In a landmark study in 1968, van Furth and Cohen labeled circulating cells in the blood and established monocytes as the precursors for tissue macrophages.<sup>4</sup> Monocytes are a heterogeneous cell population. Human monocytes have been classified by flow cytometry into three distinct subsets using antibodies against the receptor for lipopolysaccharide (CD14) and the low affinity receptor for IgG (CD16). CD14<sup>++</sup>CD16<sup>neg</sup> cells are called classic monocytes; CD14<sup>+</sup>CD16<sup>++</sup> that resemble tissue macrophages are called nonclassical monocyte subsets; and CD14<sup>++</sup>CD16<sup>+</sup> represent an intermediate monocyte subset.<sup>3</sup> In various inflammatory situations, such

as asthma, colorectal cancer, psoriasis and rheumatoid arthritis, the frequency of distinct monocyte subpopulations increases during different phases of disease.<sup>5</sup> For instance, in patients with rheumatoid arthritis or cardiovascular diseases, the frequency of intermediate monocytes is associated with a decreased response to specific therapies and can serve as a predictor of poor outcome.<sup>6</sup>

In experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE), an animal model of MS disease, a correlation has been shown between monocyte infiltration into the central nervous system (CNS) and progression to the paralytic stage of the disease.<sup>7,8</sup> Depletion of monocytes was shown to significantly inhibit both disease initiation and progression in EAE mice.<sup>9</sup> Understanding the pathological cascade of MS has been helpful in tailoring more specific therapies. For instance, blockade of the homing of T lymphocytes as well as B cells to the CNS with an antibody to the key adhesion molecule,  $\alpha 4$  integrin (natalizumab), or the specific depletion of CD20<sup>+</sup> B cells (ocrelizumab) are both currently the most potent approved therapeutics for MS. Natalizumab, although a powerful drug in reducing relapses and halting progression of MS disease, has significant side effects, including leaving individuals at risk for opportunistic infection. Patients on

natalizumab are at risk for developing a devastating viral infection of the brain, called progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy.<sup>10</sup> These issues highlight the need for investigating other potential targets. The different monocyte populations in MS patients represent a potential therapeutic target. These subsets may serve as sensitive biomarkers that could be used in drug development to help predict the response in individual patients, thereby guiding treatment decisions.

Gjelstrup and colleagues examined the composition of monocyte subsets and other inflammation-related cell-surface markers in a broad spectrum of MS patients, both treated and nontreated, compared to healthy individuals. The patient cohort included patients diagnosed with relapsing-remitting MS and primary progressive MS as well as patients with clinically isolated syndrome (CIS). Flow cytometric analysis of peripheral blood mononuclear cells demonstrated a significant expansion of the nonclassical monocyte population (CD14<sup>+</sup>CD16<sup>++</sup>) in patients with MS compared to healthy controls. Interestingly, a comparison between patients with MS and CIS, or between males and females with MS, or between patients with different degrees of disease activity demonstrated no significant differences in expansion of this nonclassical monocyte population.

---

**Correspondence**

Lawrence Steinman, Department of Neurology and Neurological Sciences, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305, USA.  
E-mail: steinman@stanford.edu

Previous research in patients with sepsis and systemic lupus erythematosus demonstrated that nonclassical monocytes have an inflammatory phenotype upon activation, secreting high levels of proinflammatory cytokines and low levels of anti-inflammatory IL-10 and displaying properties characteristic of antigen presentation cells.<sup>11</sup> Future work to determine the inflammatory characteristic, such as the cytokine profile of this nonclassical monocyte population in MS patients, will be informative.

Several key cell-surface receptors, including the scavenger receptor CD163, have been known to be involved in regulating immune function. These receptors are shed from the surface of immune cells via the activity of metalloproteinases. A soluble form of CD163 (sCD163) ectodomain is present in normal plasma, and increased sCD163 levels are associated with both monocyte activation and proliferation during the course of infection or inflammation.<sup>12</sup> In MS patient serum, proteolytic shedding of sCD163 by metalloproteinases is increased, suggesting this marker as a potential diagnostic biomarker.<sup>13</sup> By analyzing the expression of several inflammation-related cell-surface markers such as CD40, CD163 and CD192, Gjelstrup *et al.* show that there is a significant downregulation in median expression levels of these three markers over the entire monocyte population in MS patients compared to healthy controls. The authors linked this downregulation to the fact that the nonclassical monocyte population is expanded in these patients and express a very low level or have no expression of CD40, CD163 and CD192. Previous studies in EAE and MS patients have suggested that the CD40-CD154 pathway is important for the T and B cell activation, and expression of CD192 on monocytes is essential for

the migration of these cells to the target tissue during inflammation. Gjelstrup *et al.* suggest that similar to sCD163, a common shedding mechanism may exist for CD40 and CD192 which acts as a regulatory mechanism in order to limit this proinflammatory monocyte population from activating T and B cells and migrating to the CNS.

Additionally, detailed analysis of human endogenous retrovirus (HERV) envelope (Env) epitope expression demonstrated a significant increase in the expression of the HERV epitopes (HERV H3 Env and W3 Env) specifically on nonclassical monocytes from MS patients compared to healthy controls. HERV-H/F and HERV-W have been shown to be specifically activated both in the periphery and in the CNS in a majority of MS patients. Particularly, the envelope proteins appear strongly associated with disease activity.<sup>14</sup> Several studies demonstrate an association between HERVs with the development of MS.<sup>15</sup>

Together, the current results by Gjelstrup *et al.* demonstrating the expansion of nonclassical monocyte populations as well as the upregulation of specific HERV epitopes on this population provides a provocative step in our understanding of the roles of different monocyte populations in MS. Targeting this nonclassical monocyte population in MS patients might offer a potential therapeutic target for MS. We look forward to new advances in understanding how different monocyte populations contribute to the pathogenesis of MS.

## REFERENCES

- Gordon S. Macrophage-restricted molecules: role in differentiation and activation. *Immunol Lett* 1999; **65**: 5–8.

- Steinman RM, Inaba K. Myeloid dendritic cells. *J Leukoc Biol* 1999; **66**: 205–208.
- Gjelstrup MC, Stilund M, Petersen T, *et al.* Subsets of activated monocytes and markers of inflammation in incipient and progressed multiple sclerosis. *Immunol Cell Biol* 2017; **96**: 160–164.
- van Furth R, Cohn ZA. The origin and kinetics of mononuclear phagocytes. *J Exp Med* 1968; **128**: 415–435.
- Costa MC, Rocha BO, Paixão CS, *et al.* Monocyte subpopulations study in patients with plaque psoriasis. *Med Hypotheses* 2017; **104**: 101–103.
- Wijngaarden S, van Roon JA, Bijlsma JW, *et al.* Fcγ receptor expression levels on monocytes are elevated in rheumatoid arthritis patients with high erythrocyte sedimentation rate who do not use anti-rheumatic drugs. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2003; **42**: 681–688.
- Ajami B, Bennett JL, Krieger C, *et al.* Infiltrating monocytes trigger EAE progression, but do not contribute to the resident microglia pool. *Nat Neurosci* 2011; **14**: 1142–1149.
- Mildner A, Mack M, Schmidt H, *et al.* CCR2+Ly-6Chi monocytes are crucial for the effector phase of autoimmunity in the central nervous system. *Brain* 2009; **132**: 2487–2500.
- Tran EH, Hoekstra K, van Rooijen N, *et al.* Immune invasion of the central nervous system parenchyma and experimental allergic encephalomyelitis, but not leukocyte extravasation from blood, are prevented in macrophage-depleted mice. *J Immunol* 1998; **161**: 3767–3775.
- Steinman L. The discovery of natalizumab, a potent therapeutic for multiple sclerosis. *J Cell Biol* 2012; **199**: 413–416.
- Mukherjee R, Barman PK, Thatoi PK, *et al.* Non-classical monocytes display inflammatory features: validation in sepsis and Systemic Lupus Erythematosus. *Sci Rep* 2015; **5**: 13886.
- Moller HJ. Soluble CD163. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest* 2012; **72**: 1–13.

13. Stilund M, Reuschlein AK, Christensen T, *et al.* Soluble CD163 as a marker of macrophage activity in newly diagnosed patients with multiple sclerosis. *PLoS ONE* 2014; **9**: e98588.
14. Christensen T. HERVs in neuropathogenesis. *J Neuroimmune Pharmacol* 2010; **5**: 326–335.
15. Perron H, Lalande B, Gratacap B, *et al.* Isolation of retrovirus from patients with multiple sclerosis. *Lancet* 1991; **337**: 862–863.

© 2018 Australasian Society for Immunology Inc.