Removal of Steroid Hormones by Activated Carbon Adsorption—Kinetic and Thermodynamic Studies
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ABSTRACT

The mechanism, isotherms and kinetics of removal of two endocrine disrupting chemicals, $17\beta$-estradiol (E2) and $17\alpha$-ethinyloestradiol (EE2) by activated carbon adsorption were investigated in an agitated non-flow batch adsorption studies. Mathematical models were used to describe the adsorption phenomenon with the kinetic and thermodynamic parameters evaluated using the adsorption equilibrium data at varying temperatures. Higher adsorption rates were achieved at acidic to neutral pH ranges, with the sorption kinetic data showing a good fit to the pseudo second order rate equation and the Langmuir adsorption isotherm model for both E2 and EE2. The Gibbs free energy were $-16.68$ kJ/mol and $-17.34$ kJ/mol for E2 and EE2 respectively. The values of enthalpy for both E2 ($84.50$ kJ/mol) and EE2 ($90$ kJ/mol) indicated a chemical nature of the sorption process. Both the isotherm and thermodynamic data obtained all supported the mechanism of adsorption of E2 and EE2 to be mainly chemisorptions supported by some physical attractions.
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1. Introduction

There are great concerns among environmentalist of the presence of a large number of chemicals in the environment described as endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs). These chemicals consist of synthetic and natural chemicals which affect the stability of normal hormonal functions in humans. They are mainly discharged to the environment through effluent from wastewater treatment works [1-3]. Several studies have shown that humans and wildlife are exposed to a combination of multiple agents of EDCs and their effects are versatile in both mammalian and non-mammalian species due to their various sources and how they are discharged to the environment [4,5]. The steroid hormones like $17\beta$-estradiol (E2) $17\alpha$-ethinyloestradiol (EE2), alkyl phenols, alkyl phenol ethoxylates and bisphenol A are among the most potent EDCs found in environmental waters.

In view of these concerns, there have been various researches across the globe assessing the fate and removal of these chemicals in wastewater treatment processes as the conventional treatment methods are not efficient in removing the compounds to levels below their potentially non effect concentrations. Various methods have been assessed including granular activated carbon adsorption [6-8], and other novel absorbents have shown potentials [9-12]. The use of various advance chemical oxidation processes and membrane processes have also been investigated [13-16]. Use of activated carbon is favoured by many as it has been used extensively for treatment of portable water and there is no concern of by-product formation as with most advanced chemical oxidation processes [11]. The use of GAC adsorption for treatment of wastewater is likely going to become prominent in the UK water industry in the near future.

In this study the kinetics and thermodynamic properties of the adsorption of E2 and EE2 unto activated carbon were investigated to inform the modelling and design of full scale adsorption processes for wastewater treatment applications.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Chemicals

All chemicals used in the study were of analytical grade. E2 and EE2 were obtained from Sigma Aldrich, Gillingham, UK. Stock solutions of the EDCs were prepared by dissolving accurate weights of the compounds in HPLC grade methanol (Fisher Scientific, UK). The activated carbons used in these experiments were supplied by Jacobi Carbons (Merseyside, UK). All the carbons were dried in an oven for 3 hours at 150°C before use. The characteristics of the activated carbon were determined according to standard methods [17], and are shown in Table 1.
2.2. Batch Experiments

Batch adsorption experiment using the bottle point method was performed at temperatures ranging from 15°C to 35°C for adsorption Isotherm test, varying weights of the granular activated carbon (0.05 g - 0.8 g) were added to 200 ml of E2 and EE2 aqueous solutions in 250 ml plugged conical flasks. The experiments were conducted at pHs 4, 6, 7, 8 and 10. The aqueous mixtures were agitated at a constant rate of 250 rpm. The experiments were conducted for 180 mins initially and subsequently for 120 mins. Samples were withdrawn at fixed time intervals for chemical analysis. The experimental data obtained were analysed using various kinetic models: Legergren pseudo first-order kinetics, pseudo second order kinetics, intra-particle diffusion kinetic model and the Langmuir and Freundlich adsorption isotherm models. The thermodynamic parameters which include Gibb’s free energy, enthalpy and entropy were also investigated.

2.3. Analytical Procedures

E2 and EE2 were analysed by LC-MS/MS using the Hewlett Packard 1100LC and an Applied Biosystems API5000 mass spectrometer using negative ion electrospray in multiple reaction monitoring mode. Details of the analytical methods have been described elsewhere [18, 19].

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Effects of pH

The effects of pH on the adsorption of E2 and EE2 were determined by batch adsorption experiments at varying pH values of 4, 6, 7, 8 and 10, with all other experimental variables kept constant (2 mg/l E2 and EE2; temperature, 25°C ± 2°C; adsorbent dose, 0.5 g). The effects of pH on E2 and EE2 adsorption are illustrated in the Figure 1. It can be seen that the pH values had significant effects on the adsorption process. The percentage efficiency was better in the acidic and neutral pH ranges, and lowest in the alkaline pH ranges. pH values affect the surfaces charges on activated carbon. With pKa values of E2 and EE2 being 10.4 and 10.7 respectively [20]; at lower and neutral pHs (4, 6 and 7) both E2 and EE2 are neutral and exist in non-ionic molecular form and can easily be adsorbed onto the GAC. Whereas, at higher pHs (8 and 10), the carbon particles are negative and hence reduce the sorption process by electrostatic repulsion. It is also known that an increase in hydroxyl ion at the higher pHs results in the production of aqua-complexes [21,22], which reduces the adsorption capacities of the activated carbon.

3.2. Effect of Adsorbent Dose

The effect of varying doses of the GAC was investigated for E2 and EE2 with other experimental parameters left constant (2 mg/l E2 and EE2; temperature, 25°C ± 2°C; adsorbent dose, 0.05 g - 1.5 g and pH 7). Figure 2 shows that the adsorption rate for both E2 and EE2 increased initially up to a certain level and remained constant afterwards. The initial increase is attributed to the greater availability of the surface area and increased adsorption site at higher concentrations of the adsorbents [23].

3.3. Adsorption Kinetics

3.3.1. Pseudo First Order and Pseudo Second Kinetics

In adsorption system design, adsorption kinetics is the most important factor of consideration. It helps to inves-
tigate the potential rate controlling mechanism and helps in selecting optimum operating conditions in designing and optimizing full scale applications [24]. In this study, the mechanism of adsorption was investigated by using various kinetic models based on aqueous phase concentrations of E2 and EE2. Pseudo first order, pseudo second order and the intra-particle diffusion kinetic models were used in the investigation.

Pseudo first and pseudo second order kinetic were proposed by Langergren, 1898 [25] which is expressed below as:

\[
\frac{dq}{dt} = k_1 (q_e - q) \quad (1)
\]

\[
\frac{dq}{dt} = k_2 (q_e - q)^2 \quad (2)
\]

where \( t \) is the contact time (min), \( k_1 \) = pseudo-first-order adsorption rate constant (min\(^{-1}\)), \( q_e \) and \( q \) are the amount of the adsorbate at equilibrium time and time \( t \) (mg/g) respectively. Equations (1) and (2) can be expressed in an integral form as Equations (3) and (4) respectively.

\[
\ln\left(\frac{C}{C_0}\right) = -kt \quad (3)
\]

\[
\frac{1}{C} - \frac{1}{C_0} = kt \quad (4)
\]

Pseudo first order plot of \( \ln C/C_0 \) against \( t \) should give a linear relationship from which \( k_1 \) in (min\(^{-1}\)) can be calculated from the slope obtained from the graph. A plot of \( 1/[C] - 1/[C_0] \) against \( t \) will give a rate constant \( k_2 \) (L\( \cdot \)mg\(^{-1}\)\( \cdot \)min\(^{-1}\)) for pseudo second-order adsorption kinetics. Table 2 depicts the results obtained for the pseudo first-order and pseudo second-order kinetic model for E2 and EE2.

Table 2 presents constant values and correlation coefficient \( R^2 \) of both pseudo first-order and pseudo second-order kinetic models for adsorption of EE2 and E2 onto the GAC. The kinetic plots showed a good fit of sorption equilibrium data with respect to the pseudo second order kinetic model. Although the pseudo first order showed a fairly good fit, the second order was more superior with respect to the correlation coefficient. This suggests that chemisorption is the rate controlling step as expressed by Ho et al., 2000 [26].

3.3.2. Intra-Particles Diffusion Kinetics

One of the models to express the mechanism of solute adsorption onto an adsorbent is the intra particle diffusion kinetics in which the linear equation is expressed as [27]:

\[
q_t = K_{dif} t^{1/2} + C \quad (5)
\]

where \( K_{dif} \) is the intra-particle diffusion rate constant. A plot of \( q_t \) (mg/g) versus the square root of the contact time, \( t^{1/2} \) (min\(^{1/2}\)) should be linear with the straight line passing through the origin, if the sorption process obeys the intra-particle model. It can then be assumed that the mechanism involves the diffusion of the species and the slope of the linear curve is the rate constant of the intra particle transport \( (k_{dif}) \). Figures 3(a) and (b) show the intra-particle diffusion plot, which showed poor fit \( (R^2 = 0.84 \text{ and } 0.87) \) and a multi-linearity profile. None of the plots for E2 and EE2 passed through the origin. There are two sections on the plot which show some fit (the initial and the last sections). The initial part of the diffusion profile may be considered as the faster adsorption stage.

![Figure 2. Percentage of E2 and EE2 adsorbed with adsorbent dose.](image)

### Table 2. Pseudo first and second-order kinetic of adsorbent GAC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adsorbate</th>
<th>Pseudo-first order kinetics</th>
<th>Pseudo-second order kinetics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Equation</td>
<td>( k_1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE2</td>
<td>( y = -0.0312x - 0.4584 )</td>
<td>0.0312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>( y = -0.0256x - 0.2997 )</td>
<td>0.0256</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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and hence can be attributed to the boundary layer diffusion of E2 and EE2 on the external surface of the activated carbon [28]. The last stage is where intra particle diffusion kinetics starts to slow down as a result of the lower adsorbate concentration in the aqueous solution. The middle stage (curved part) is where the intra-particle diffusion kinetics is controlled.

### 3.4. Effect of Temperature

The effects of temperature on the adsorption of E2 and EE2 were evaluated at temperatures of 15°C, 20°C, 25°C and 30°C, keeping other experimental conditions constant. The sorption process showed increase with increasing temperature. The thermodynamic parameters associated with the sorption process such as changes in Gibb’s free energy ($\Delta G^\circ$), enthalpy ($\Delta H^\circ$) and entropy ($\Delta S^\circ$) were evaluated from the given Equations (6), (7) and (8):

\[ \Delta G^\circ = -RT \ln K_D \]  \hspace{2cm} (6)
\[ \Delta G^\circ = (\Delta H^\circ) - T(\Delta S^\circ) \]  \hspace{2cm} (7)
\[ -RT \ln K_D = (\Delta H^\circ) - T(\Delta S^\circ) \]  \hspace{2cm} (8)

where R is universal gas constant, T is the temperature in Kelvin (°K) and $K_D = \left(\frac{q_e}{C_e}\right)$ = quantity of adsorbate that adsorbed onto the adsorbent l/g. The plot of $\ln K_D$ vs $1/T$ gave a straight line with $\Delta H^\circ$ and $\Delta S^\circ$ values obtained from the slope and intercept of the graph respectively. The thermodynamic parameters obtained from the graph are shown in Table 3. It can be seen that the values of enthalpy for both E2 (84.50 kJ/mol) and EE2 (90 kJ/mol) indicate a rather chemical nature of the sorption process. Similar data were obtained by Al-Degs et al., 2008 [29], for the sorption of dyes onto activated carbon. The positive entropy values of 34 and 36 J/mol K for E2 and EE2 respectively, suggest an increased randomness after the adsorption process.

### 3.5. Adsorption Isotherms

The isotherms studies help to provide understanding of how the adsorbed molecules distribute between the aqueous phase and the solid phase and also in modeling design parameters. The equilibrium data obtained in this research was analysed using the Langmuir and Freundlich adsorption isotherm models as shown in Equations (9) to (10) respectively [30,31]:

\[ q_e = \frac{q_m b C_e}{1 + b C_e} \]  \hspace{2cm} (9)
\[ q_e = K_f C_e^{1/n} \]  \hspace{2cm} (10)

where $q_e$ is the amount of adsorbate adsorbed per unit mass of adsorbent (mg/g), $C_e$ the equilibrium concentration, $q_m$, $b$, $K_f$ and $n$ are empirical constants. For the Langmuir equations, $q_m$ corresponds to the surface concentration at monolayer coverage and $b$ relates to the energy of the adsorption. For the Freundlich equation, $K_f$ is an estimation of the adsorption capacity while $1/n$ is a measure of the strength of adsorption. Table 4 represents the Langmuir, and Freundlich, coefficients for single solute adsorption isotherms and their corresponding correlation coefficients after evaluating the straight plots of Equations (9) and (10). The data obtained showed that

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Gibbs Free Energy (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>Enthalpy (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>Entropy (J/mol K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-16.68</td>
<td>84.50</td>
<td>34.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-17.68</td>
<td>90.10</td>
<td>36.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-18.68</td>
<td>84.50</td>
<td>34.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-19.68</td>
<td>90.10</td>
<td>36.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Thermodynamic properties for E2 and EE2 at pH 7, Temperature 20°C to 35°C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solute</th>
<th>Gibb’s Free Energy (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>Enthalpy (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>Entropy (J/mol K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>-16.68</td>
<td>84.50</td>
<td>34.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE2</td>
<td>-17.68</td>
<td>90.10</td>
<td>36.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Langmuir Isotherm</th>
<th>Freundlich Isotherm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$q_m$, $b$</td>
<td>$R^2$, $K_f$, $1/n$, $R^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>3354.45</td>
<td>2112.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE2</td>
<td>3801.23</td>
<td>2879.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
there was no perfect fit of the experimental data to any of the models. However the better fits were obtained for the Langmuir isotherm model. This suggests that the adsorption of E2 and EE2 occurs in localized sites. However, Freundlich adsorption isotherm which described the multilayer adsorption also showed less, but fairly good fit. In a previous work by the author [7], the Freundlich isotherm gave a better fit to Langmuir suggesting a multilayer adsorption. This could have been due to the effects of organics present in the wastewater. In this research the adsorption was a single solute adsorption in aqueous solution. For Freundlich isotherm, if n value is below unity then the adsorption is a chemical process; otherwise, the process is a physical process. All the n values obtained for E2 and EE2 are less than unity which support the adsorption of E2 and EE2 onto the GAC by chemisorption. This was also supported by the thermodynamic and desorptions studies in Sections 3.4 and 3.6.

3.6. Adsorption-Desorption Studies

In trying to further understand the adsorption mechanism; adsorption-desorption test was carried out. It provides an insight into the type of bonding that exist between the adsorbate and the adsorbent. The desorption experiments were carried out using sodium hydroxide, hydrochloric acid and DI water. The amount of E2 and EE2 desorbed during the experiments are depicted in Figure 4. It can be seen that the percentage amounts of E2 and EE2 desorbed are relatively small, ranging from <1% to 3.4%, suggesting that the interaction between the adsorbates and the adsorbent is more of a chemical type interaction. In attempting to draw conclusion for the mechanism of adsorption of E2 and EE2 to GAC; it can be inferred that the mechanism of adsorption is by mainly chemisorptions supported by some physical attraction (van der Waal’s forces).

4. Conclusion

The kinetics and thermodynamic properties of the adsorption of E2 and EE2 unto granular activated carbon were investigated. The removal of E2 and EE2 were favoured at acidic and neutral pHs, with optimum removal at neutral pH. The adsorption of E2 and EE2 showed good fit with the pseudo second order rate equation and the Langmuir adsorption isotherm model. The intra-particle diffusion plot showed boundary layer diffusion of the adsorbates onto the external surface of the adsorbent. The Gibbs free energy of adsorption were –16.68 kJ/mol and –17.34 kJ/mol for E2 and EE2 respectively, indicating that the sorption processes were spontaneous. The values of enthalpy for both E2 (84.50 kJ/mol) and EE2 (90 kJ/mol) indicated a chemical nature of the sorption process. The isotherm, thermodynamic and desorption data obtained all supported that the mechanism of adsorption of E2 and EE2 to be mainly chemisorptions supported by some van der Waal’s forces.
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