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#### Abstract

We provide a new characterization for an injective module to be $\Sigma$-injective.


## 1. Introduction

In his paper [4], Carl Faith introduced the concept of $\Sigma$-injectivity and defined an injective module $M$ to be $\Sigma$-injective if every direct sum of copies of $M$ is injective. It turns out that such an $R$-module $M$ provides a good deal of information about the structure of a ring $R$. For example, $R$ is right noetherian if and only if every injective right $R$-module is $\Sigma$-injective [5]. If $R$ is an integral domain, then the injective hull $E\left(R_{R}\right)$ of $R$ is $\Sigma$-injective if and only if $R$ is a right Ore domain 4]. Goursaud-Valette showed that if a ring $R$ admits a faithful $\Sigma$-injective module, then $R$ is a right Goldie ring [6].

The following characterizations are well-known for an injective module to be $\Sigma$-injective.

Theorem 1 (Cailleau [3], Faith [4]). For an injective module $M_{R}$, the following are equivalent:
(1) $M$ is $\Sigma$-injective.
(2) $M$ is countably $\Sigma$-injective.
(3) $R$ satisfies $A C C$ on the set of right ideals $I$ of $R$ that are annihilators of subsets of $M$.
(4) $M$ is a direct sum of indecomposable $\Sigma$-injective modules.

The purpose of this paper is to provide the following new characterization for an injective module to be $\Sigma$-injective.

Theorem 2. Let $M_{R}$ be an injective module. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(a) $M$ is $\Sigma$-injective.
(b) Every essential extension of $M^{\left(\aleph_{0}\right)}$ is a direct sum of injective modules.
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## 2. Preliminaries

All rings considered in this paper have unity, and all modules are right unital. We denote by $E(M)$ the injective hull of $M$. We shall write $N \subseteq_{e} M$ whenever $N$ is an essential submodule of $M$. A submodule $L$ of $M$ is called an essential closure of a submodule $N$ of $M$ if it is a maximal essential extension of $N$ in $M$. A submodule $K$ of $M$ is called a complement if there exists a submodule $U$ of $M$ such that $K$ is maximal with respect to the property that $K \cap U=0$. Given a cardinal $\alpha$ and a module $N$, we denote by $N^{(\alpha)}$ the direct sum of $\alpha$ copies of the module $N$. A module $N$ is said to be $\Sigma$-injective provided that $N^{(\alpha)}$ is injective for any cardinal $\alpha$. We say that the Goldie dimension $G \operatorname{dim}_{U}(N)$ of $N$ with respect to $U$ is finite, written as $G \operatorname{dim}_{U}(N)<\infty$, if $N$ does not contain an infinite independent family of nonzero submodules which are isomorphic to submodules of $U$. A module $N$ is said to be $q$.f.d. relative to $U$ if for any factor module $\bar{N}$ of $N, G \operatorname{dim}_{U}(\bar{N})<\infty$. We say $R$ is right q.f.d. relative to $U$ if $R_{R}$ is q.f.d. relative to $U$.

We first start with a key lemma.
Lemma 3. Let $M$ be an injective module and suppose that every essential extension of $M^{\left(\aleph_{0}\right)}$ is a direct sum of injective modules. Then
(a) Given a direct sum $G=\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} M_{i}, M_{i} \cong M$, and nonzero injective submodules $V_{i}$ of $M_{i}$, there exists an infinite subset $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and nonzero injective submodules $V_{j}^{\prime} \subseteq V_{j}, j \in \mathcal{J}$, such that $\bigoplus_{j \in \mathcal{J}} V_{j}^{\prime}$ is injective.

In particular, if $\left\{V_{i}: i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is an independent family of uniform injective submodules of $M$, then $\bigoplus_{j \in \mathcal{J}} V_{j}$ is injective for some infinite subset $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$.
(b) $R$ is right q.f.d. relative to $M$.

Proof. (a) Set $E=E(G)$. Since $V_{i}$ is an injective submodule of $M_{i}, M_{i}=V_{i} \oplus M_{i}^{\prime}$ for some submodule $M_{i}^{\prime} \subseteq M_{i}$. Therefore, $G=\left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} V_{i}\right) \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} M_{i}^{\prime}\right)$. Let $H$ and $H^{\prime}$ be essential closures of $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} V_{i}$ and $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} M_{i}^{\prime}$ in $E$, respectively. Clearly, $E=H \oplus H^{\prime}$. If $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} V_{i}=H$, then there is nothing to prove.

Now consider the case when $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} V_{i} \neq H$. Pick $x \in H \backslash \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} V_{i}$. Let $Q$ be a submodule of $H$ maximal with respect to the properties that $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} V_{i} \subseteq Q$ and $x \notin Q$. Set $P=Q \oplus H^{\prime}$ and note that $E / P=\left(H \oplus H^{\prime}\right) /\left(Q \oplus H^{\prime}\right) \cong H / Q$ is a subdirectly irreducible module.

Now, as $G \subseteq_{e} E$ and $G \subseteq P \subset E$, we have $G \subseteq_{e} P$. Hence, by our assumption, $P=\bigoplus_{k \in \mathcal{K}} W_{k}$, where each $W_{k}$ is a nonzero injective module. Since $P \subset_{e} E$ and $P \neq E, P$ is not injective, and so $|\mathcal{K}|=\infty$.

We claim that for any finite subset $\mathcal{L}$ of $\mathcal{K}$ and for any positive integer $n$ there exists $i>n$ such that $V_{i} \cap\left(\bigoplus_{k \in \mathcal{L}} W_{k}\right)$ is not essential in $V_{i}$.

Suppose the above claim is not true. Then there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ and an integer $n \geq 1$ such that $V_{i} \cap\left(\bigoplus_{k \in \mathcal{L}} W_{k}\right) \subset_{e} V_{i}$ for all $i>n$. Let $A$ be an essential closure of $\bigoplus_{i>n}\left(V_{i} \cap\left(\bigoplus_{k \in \mathcal{L}} W_{k}\right)\right)$ in $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathcal{L}} W_{k}$ which is injective, and so $A$ is also injective.

We have $\bigoplus_{i>n}\left(V_{i} \cap \bigoplus_{k \in \mathcal{L}} W_{k}\right) \subset_{e} A \subset \bigoplus_{k \in \mathcal{L}} W_{k}$. Setting $B=V_{1} \oplus V_{2} \oplus \ldots \oplus$ $V_{n} \oplus A$, we have $V_{1} \oplus V_{2} \oplus \ldots \oplus V_{n} \oplus_{i>n}\left(V_{i} \cap \bigoplus_{k \in \mathcal{L}} W_{k}\right) \subset_{e} B \subset E=H \oplus H^{\prime}$. Now, $\left(\left(\oplus_{i \leq n} V_{i}\right) \oplus_{i>n}\left(V_{i} \cap\left(\oplus_{k \in \mathcal{L}} W_{k}\right)\right)\right) \cap H \subset_{e} B \cap H \subset H$, which gives $\left(\oplus_{i \leq n} V_{i}\right) \oplus_{i>n}$ $\left(V_{i} \cap\left(\oplus_{k \in \mathcal{L}} W_{k}\right)\right) \subset_{e} B \cap H \subset H$. Since $V_{i} \cap\left(\bigoplus_{k \in \mathcal{L}} W_{k}\right) \subset_{e} V_{i}$ for all $i>n$, we have $\left(\bigoplus_{i \leq n} V_{i}\right) \oplus_{i>n}\left(V_{i} \cap\left(\oplus_{k \in \mathcal{L}} W_{k}\right)\right) \subset_{e} \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} V_{i} \subset_{e} H$. Thus $B \cap H$ is an essential
submodule of $H$. Furthermore, as $\left(\bigoplus_{i \leq n} V_{i}\right) \oplus_{i>n}\left(V_{i} \cap\left(\bigoplus_{k \in \mathcal{L}} W_{k}\right)\right) \subset_{e} B$, we have $B \cap H \subset_{e} B$.

Since $B \cap H \subset_{e} B$, we have $B \cap H^{\prime}=0$. As $B \cap H \subset_{e} H$, we have $(B \cap H) \oplus H^{\prime} \subset_{e}$ $H \oplus H^{\prime}=E$. Therefore, $B \oplus H^{\prime} \subset_{e} E$. But since both $B$ and $H^{\prime}$ are injective, $B \oplus H^{\prime}$ is injective. Thus $E=B \oplus H^{\prime}=\left(V_{1} \oplus V_{2} \oplus \ldots \oplus V_{n} \oplus A\right) \oplus H^{\prime} \subseteq Q+P+H^{\prime}=P$, a contradiction because $P \subset E$ and $P \neq E$.

This proves that for any finite subset $\mathcal{L}$ of $\mathcal{K}$ and for any positive integer $n$ there exists $i>n$ such that $V_{i} \cap\left(\bigoplus_{k \in \mathcal{L}} W_{k}\right)$ is not essential in $V_{i}$.

We now proceed by induction to construct a sequence of submodules $\left\{W_{k_{j}}^{\prime}\right.$ : $j=1,2, \ldots, n, \ldots\}$ such that each $W_{k_{j}}^{\prime}$ is a nonzero injective submodule of $W_{k_{j}}$ isomorphic to a submodule $V_{i_{j}}^{\prime}$ of $V_{i_{j}}$, where $k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{n}, \ldots$ are distinct elements of $\mathcal{K}$ and $1 \leq i_{1}<i_{2}<\ldots<i_{n}<\ldots$

Let $i_{1} \geq 1$ be arbitrary. Now $V_{i_{1}} \subset \bigoplus_{k \in \mathcal{K}} W_{k}$ implies that there exists a nonzero submodule $V_{i_{1}}^{\prime}$ of $V_{i_{1}}$ such that $V_{i_{1}}^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to a submodule $W_{k_{1}}^{\prime}$ of $W_{k_{1}}$ for some $k_{1} \in \mathcal{K}$. Clearly, we may choose $V_{i_{1}}^{\prime}$ to be an injective submodule of $V_{i_{1}}$.

For $n \geq 1$, assume that we have a sequence $\left\{W_{k_{j}}^{\prime}: j=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$ with the above stated property. By the fact proved above, there exists $i_{n+1}>i_{n}$ such that $X=V_{i_{n+1}} \cap\left(\bigoplus_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{1}} W_{k}\right)$ is not essential in $V_{i_{n+1}}$, where $\mathcal{K}_{1}=\left\{k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{n}\right\}$. Let $X^{\prime}$ be a complement of $X$ in $V_{i_{n+1}}$. Then $X^{\prime} \neq 0$ and $X^{\prime} \cap\left(\bigoplus_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{1}} W_{k}\right)=$ $X^{\prime} \cap X=0$. We have $X^{\prime} \subset V_{i_{n+1}} \subset\left(\bigoplus_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{1}} W_{k}\right) \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{2}} W_{k}\right)$, where $\mathcal{K}_{2}=$ $\mathcal{K} \backslash \mathcal{K}_{1}$. Let $\pi:\left(\bigoplus_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{1}} W_{k}\right) \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{2}} W_{k}\right) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{2}} W_{k}$ be the projection. Then $\operatorname{ker}\left(\left.\pi\right|_{X^{\prime}}\right)=X^{\prime} \cap\left(\bigoplus_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{1}} W_{k}\right)=0$. Therefore, $X^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to some submodule of $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{2}} W_{k}$. So, $X^{\prime}$ contains a nonzero submodule which is isomorphic to a submodule $F$ of $W_{k_{n+1}}$ for some $k_{n+1} \in \mathcal{K}_{2}$. Denote by $W_{k_{n+1}}^{\prime}$ an essential closure of $F$ in $W_{k_{n+1}}$. Since $F$ is isomorphic to a submodule of the injective module $V_{i_{n+1}}$, we conclude that $W_{k_{n+1}}^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to a submodule of $V_{i_{n+1}}$ as well. Obviously the family $\left\{W_{k_{j}}^{\prime}: j=1,2, \ldots, n+1\right\}$ satisfies the required property. This completes the induction argument.

Now set $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}=\left\{k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{n}, \ldots\right\}$. Choose disjoint subsets $\mathcal{K}_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{2}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{K}$ such that $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}_{1}^{\prime} \cup \mathcal{K}_{2}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{K}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{K}_{1}^{\prime}=\left\{k_{1}, k_{3}, \ldots, k_{2 n+1}, \ldots\right\}$. Clearly, $\mathcal{K}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{K}_{2}^{\prime}=$ $\left\{k_{2}, k_{4}, \ldots, k_{2 n}, \ldots\right\}$.

Now we claim that either $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{1}^{\prime}} W_{k}$ is injective or $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{2}^{\prime}} W_{k}$ is injective.
Set $V=\bigoplus_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{1}^{\prime}} W_{k}$ and $W=\bigoplus_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{2}^{\prime}} W_{k}$. We have $P=V \oplus W$. Let $\widehat{V}$ and $\widehat{W}$ be essential closures of $V$ and $W$, respectively, in $E$. Clearly, $E=\widehat{V} \oplus \widehat{W}$. Therefore, $E / P=(\widehat{V} \oplus \widehat{W}) /(V \oplus W) \cong(\widehat{V} / V) \times(\widehat{W} / W)$. Since $E / P$ is shown to be subdirectly irreducible in the beginning of the proof, we have either $V=\widehat{V}$ or $W=\widehat{W}$. This proves our claim.

Thus, we may assume, without loss of generality, that the module $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{1}^{\prime}} W_{k}$ is injective. Since $\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} W_{k_{2 n+1}}^{\prime}$ is a direct summand of $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{1}^{\prime}} W_{k}$, we get that $\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} W_{k_{2 n+1}}^{\prime}$ is injective. Recalling that $\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} V_{i_{2 n+1}}^{\prime} \cong \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} W_{k_{2 n+1}}^{\prime}$, we conclude that $\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} V_{i_{2 n+1}}^{\prime}$ is an injective module. This completes the proof.
(b) Assume to the contrary that $R$ is not right $q . f . d$. relative to $M$. Then there exists a cyclic right $R$-module $C$ with an infinite independent family $\left\{C_{i}: i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ of
nonzero submodules of $C$ such that each $C_{i}$ is isomorphic to a submodule $B_{i}$ of $M$. Set $D_{i}$ equal to an essential closure of $B_{i}$ in $M$. Then $\left\{D_{i}: i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a family of injective submodules of $M$. Therefore by (a), there exists an infinite subset $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and nonzero injective submodules $D_{j}^{\prime} \subseteq D_{j}, j \in \mathcal{J}$, such that $\bigoplus_{j \in \mathcal{J}} D_{j}^{\prime}$ is injective. Set $B_{j}^{\prime}=B_{j} \cap D_{j}^{\prime}, j \in \mathcal{J}$ and note that $B_{j}^{\prime} \neq 0$. Let $C_{j}^{\prime}$ be the inverse image of $B_{j}^{\prime}$ under the isomorphism $C_{j} \longrightarrow B_{j}$ stated above. This induces a canonical isomorphism between $\bigoplus_{j \in \mathcal{J}} C_{j}^{\prime}$ and $\bigoplus_{j \in \mathcal{J}} B_{j}^{\prime}$, say $\theta$. Let $\sigma$ be the inclusion map $\bigoplus_{j \in \mathcal{J}} B_{j}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j \in \mathcal{J}} D_{j}^{\prime}$. Then, since $\bigoplus_{j \in \mathcal{J}} D_{j}^{\prime}$ is injective, the map $f=\sigma \theta$ : $\bigoplus_{j \in \mathcal{J}} C_{j}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j \in \mathcal{J}} D_{j}^{\prime}$ can be extended to a homomorphism $\widehat{f}: C \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j \in \mathcal{J}} D_{j}^{\prime}$. Because $C$ is cyclic, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ such that $\widehat{f}(C) \subseteq \bigoplus_{k \in \mathcal{K}} D_{k}^{\prime}$. Now, $\widehat{f}\left(C_{j}^{\prime}\right)=f\left(C_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\sigma \theta\left(C_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\sigma\left(B_{j}^{\prime}\right)=B_{j}^{\prime}$. But $\widehat{f}\left(C_{j}^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \widehat{f}(C) \cap D_{j}^{\prime}=0$ for all $j \notin \mathcal{K}$, a contradiction.

Therefore, $R$ is right q.f.d. relative to $M$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 2

Proof. (b) $\Longrightarrow$ (a). Suppose that $M^{(\lambda)}$ is not injective for some infinite cardinal $\lambda$. Set $E=E\left(M^{(\lambda)}\right)$, pick $x \in E \backslash M^{(\lambda)}$ and let $L=x R$. By Lemma 3 (b), $R$ is right $q . f . d$. relative to $M$. From this it follows that every nonzero cyclic and hence every nonzero submodule of $M$ contains a uniform submodule. Now, consider the set $\mathcal{S}$ of independent families $\left(M_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathcal{K}}$ of uniform injective modules $0 \neq M_{k} \subseteq M$. Suppose $\mathcal{S}$ is partially ordered by $\left(M_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \leq\left(N_{l}\right)_{l \in \mathcal{L}}$ if and only if $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ and $M_{k}=N_{k}$ for $k \in \mathcal{K}$. By Zorn's lemma we get a maximal independent family $\left(M_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ of uniform injective submodules. Clearly $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}} M_{i} \subseteq_{e} M$, because otherwise we will get a contradiction to the maximality of this independent family of submodules. This yields that we have an independent family $\left\{W_{i}: i \in \mathcal{I}\right\}$ of uniform injective submodules of $M^{(\lambda)}$ such that each $W_{i}$ is isomorphic to a submodule of $M$ and $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}} W_{i} \subseteq_{e} M^{(\lambda)}$.

Now we proceed to show that there is a sequence of pairwise distinct elements $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots$ in $\mathcal{I}$ and an independent family of direct summands $V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots$ of $E$ such that $V_{j} \cong W_{i_{j}}$ with $V_{j} \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}_{j}} W_{i}\right)=\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}_{j-1}} W_{i}, E=E_{j} \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{j} V_{k}\right)$ and $\pi_{j-1}(L) \cap V_{j} \neq 0$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\mathcal{I}_{0}=\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}_{j}=\mathcal{I}_{j-1} \backslash\left\{i_{j}\right\}$ for $i_{j} \in \mathcal{I}, E_{0}=E$, $E_{j}$ is an essential closure of $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}_{j}} W_{i}$ in $E_{j-1}, \pi_{0}=i d_{E}$, and $\pi_{j}$ is the projection of $E$ onto $E_{j}$ along $V_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus V_{j}$.

Since $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}} W_{i} \subseteq_{e} M^{(\lambda)} \subset_{e} E$ and $L$ is a nonzero submodule of $E$, we have $L \cap\left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}} W_{i}\right) \neq 0$. So $L \cap\left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}} W_{i}\right)$ contains a nonzero cyclic uniform submodule, say, $C_{1}$. This implies that there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{K}_{1} \subset \mathcal{I}$ such that $C_{1} \subseteq$ $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{K}_{1}} W_{i}$. Let $V_{1}$ be an essential closure of $C_{1}$ in $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{K}_{1}} W_{i}$. Since $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{K}_{1}} W_{i}$ is injective, $V_{1}$ is injective. So, $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{K}_{1}} W_{i}=V_{1} \oplus D_{1}$ for some submodule $D_{1}$ of $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{K}_{1}} W_{i}$. Since $V_{1}$ is injective, it has the exchange property. Therefore, $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{K}_{1}} W_{i}=V_{1} \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{K}_{1}} W_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ for some submodules $W_{i}^{\prime}$ of $W_{i}$. Since $W_{i}^{\prime}$ are injective and each $W_{i}$ is indecomposable, either $W_{i}^{\prime}=0$ or $W_{i}^{\prime}=W_{i}$. We recall that $V_{1}$ is uniform because it is the closure of the uniform module $C_{1}$. Comparing the Goldie dimension on each side of $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{K}_{1}} W_{i}=V_{1} \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{K}_{1}} W_{i}^{\prime}\right)$, we get that there exists exactly one index, say $i_{1} \in \mathcal{K}_{1}$, such that $W_{i_{1}}^{\prime}=0$, and for all $i\left(\neq i_{1}\right) \in \mathcal{K}_{1}, W_{i}^{\prime}=W_{i}$. So, $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{K}_{1}} W_{i}=V_{1} \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{K}_{1} \backslash\left\{i_{1}\right\}} W_{i}\right)$. This yields
$V_{1} \cong\left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{K}_{1}} W_{i}\right) /\left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{K}_{1} \backslash\left\{i_{1}\right\}} W_{i}\right) \cong W_{i_{1}}$. Also, we have $V_{1} \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{K}_{1} \backslash\left\{i_{1}\right\}} W_{i}\right) \oplus$ $\left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I} \backslash \mathcal{K}_{1}} W_{i}\right)=\left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{K}_{1}} W_{i}\right) \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I} \backslash \mathcal{K}_{1}} W_{i}\right)$. This yields $V_{1} \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}_{1}} W_{i}\right)=$ $\oplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}} W_{i}$. Taking injective hulls of both sides, we get $E_{1} \oplus V_{1}=E$. Clearly, $L \cap V_{1} \neq 0$ as it contains $C_{1}$.

For $n \geq 1$, assume that we have a sequence $\left\{V_{j}\right\}, 1 \leq j \leq n$, of submodules of $E$ with the above stated properties. Since $x \notin M^{(\lambda)}, L=x R \nsubseteq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} V_{i}=\operatorname{ker}\left(\pi_{n}\right)$, if $x \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} V_{i}$, then $V_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus V_{n} \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}_{n}} W_{i}\right)=\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}_{0}} W_{i}$ implies that $x$ belongs to $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}_{0}} W_{i}$ and hence to $M^{(\lambda)}$, a contradiction. So $\pi_{n}(L) \neq 0$. Now $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}_{n}} W_{i} \subset_{e} E_{n}$ and because $\pi_{n}: E \longrightarrow E_{n}$, we have $\pi_{n}(L) \cap\left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}_{n}} W_{i}\right) \neq 0$. So $\pi_{n}(L) \cap\left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}_{n}} W_{i}\right)$ contains a nonzero cyclic uniform submodule, say, $C_{n+1}$. This implies, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{K}_{n+1} \subseteq \mathcal{I}_{n}$ such that $C_{n+1} \subseteq \bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{K}_{n+1}} W_{i}$. Let $V_{n+1}$ be an essential closure of $C_{n+1}$ in $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{K}_{n+1}} W_{i}$. Since $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{K}_{n+1}} W_{i}$ is injective, $V_{n+1}$ is injective. So, $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{K}_{n+1}} W_{i}=V_{n+1} \oplus D_{n+1}$ for some submodule $D_{n+1}$ of $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{K}_{n+1}} W_{i}$. Since $V_{n+1}$ is injective, it has the exchange property. Therefore, $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{K}_{n+1}} W_{i}=V_{n+1} \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{K}_{n+1}} W_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ for some submodules $W_{i}^{\prime}$ of $W_{i}$. Since $W_{i}^{\prime}$ are injective and each $W_{i}$ is indecomposable, either $W_{i}^{\prime}=0$ or $W_{i}^{\prime}=W_{i}$. Again note that $V_{n+1}$ is uniform because it is the closure of the uniform module $C_{n+1}$. Comparing the Goldie dimension on each side of $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{K}_{n+1}} W_{i}=V_{n+1} \oplus$ $\left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{K}_{n+1}} W_{i}^{\prime}\right)$, we get that there exists exactly one index, say $i_{n+1} \in \mathcal{K}_{n+1}$, such that $W_{i_{n+1}}^{\prime}=0$, and for all $i\left(\neq i_{n+1}\right) \in \mathcal{K}_{n+1}, W_{i}^{\prime}=W_{i}$. So, $\oplus_{i \in \mathcal{K}_{n+1}} W_{i}=V_{n+1} \oplus$ $\left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{K}_{n+1} \backslash\left\{i_{n+1}\right\}} W_{i}\right)$. This yields $V_{n+1} \cong\left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{K}_{n+1}} W_{i}\right) /\left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{K}_{n+1} \backslash\left\{i_{n+1}\right\}} W_{i}\right) \cong$ $W_{i_{n+1}}$. Also, we get $V_{n+1} \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{K}_{n+1} \backslash\left\{i_{n+1}\right\}} W_{i}\right) \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}_{n} \backslash \mathcal{K}_{n+1}} W_{i}\right)=\left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{K}_{n+1}} W_{i}\right)$ $\oplus\left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}_{n} \backslash \mathcal{K}_{n+1}} W_{i}\right)$. This yields $V_{n+1} \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}_{n+1}} W_{i}\right)=\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}_{n}} W_{i}$. Taking injective hulls of both sides, we get $E_{n+1} \oplus V_{n+1}=E_{n}$. Thus, we have $E=$ $E_{n+1} \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{n+1} V_{k}\right)$. Note that $\pi_{n}(L) \cap V_{n+1} \neq 0$ as it contains $C_{n+1}$. Thus, we have obtained a sequence of submodules $\left\{V_{j}\right\}, j=1,2, \ldots$, with the required properties. This completes the induction argument.

Now we claim that there exists a properly ascending chain $N_{0} \subset N_{1} \subset \ldots \subset$ $N_{j} \subset \ldots$ of submodules of $L$ such that $N_{0}=0$ and $E\left(N_{j} / N_{j-1}\right) \cong V_{j}$ for all $j \geq 1$.

Set $N_{j}=L \cap\left(V_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus V_{j}\right)$. Clearly, $N_{0} \subseteq N_{1} \subseteq \ldots \subseteq N_{j} \subseteq \ldots$. Since $N_{j} \cap \operatorname{ker}\left(\pi_{j-1}\right)=N_{j-1}$, we have $N_{j} / N_{j-1} \cong \pi_{j-1}\left(N_{j}\right)$. If $l \in N_{j}$, then $l=$ $v_{1}+\ldots+v_{j}$ with $v_{i} \in V_{i}$, so $\pi_{j-1}(l)=v_{j}$ and $v_{j} \in \pi_{j-1}(L) \cap V_{j}$. This shows that $\pi_{j-1}\left(N_{j}\right) \subseteq \pi_{j-1}(L) \cap V_{j}$. Conversely, if $v_{j} \in \pi_{j-1}(L) \cap V_{j}$, then $v_{j}=\pi_{j-1}(l)$ with $l \in L \cap\left(V_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus V_{j}\right)=N_{j}$, so $v_{j} \in \pi_{j-1}\left(N_{j}\right)$. Therefore $\pi_{j-1}\left(N_{j}\right)=\pi_{j-1}(L) \cap V_{j} \neq$ 0 . Because $\pi_{j-1}\left(N_{j-1}\right)=0$ and $\pi_{j-1}\left(N_{j}\right) \neq 0$, it follows that $N_{j-1} \subsetneq N_{j}$. Since $N_{j} / N_{j-1} \cong \pi_{j-1}\left(N_{j}\right)=\pi_{j-1}(L) \cap V_{j}$, we have $E\left(N_{j} / N_{j-1}\right) \cong V_{j}$.

Since $\left\{V_{j}: j \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is an independent family of uniform injective modules isomorphic to submodules of $M$, by the above lemma there exists an infinite subset $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $\bigoplus_{j \in \mathcal{J}} V_{j}$, and hence $\bigoplus_{j \in \mathcal{J}} E\left(N_{j} / N_{j-1}\right)$ is injective. Set $N=\bigcup_{j \in \mathcal{J}} N_{j}$. Given $j \in \mathcal{J}$, the canonical map $N_{j} \longrightarrow N_{j} / N_{j-1} \subset E\left(N_{j} / N_{j-1}\right)$ induces a map $\alpha_{j}: N \longrightarrow E\left(N_{j} / N_{j-1}\right)$. Let $\alpha: N \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j \in \mathcal{J}} E\left(N_{j} / N_{j-1}\right)$ be defined by $\alpha(x)=\left\{\alpha_{j}(x)\right\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}}$ for all $x \in N$. Since $\bigoplus_{j \in \mathcal{J}} E\left(N_{j} / N_{j-1}\right)$ is injective, we may extend $\alpha$ to $\alpha^{*}: L \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j \in \mathcal{J}} E\left(N_{j} / N_{j-1}\right)$. As $L$ is finitely generated, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ such that $\alpha^{*}(L) \subseteq \bigoplus_{k \in \mathcal{K}} E\left(N_{k} / N_{k-1}\right)$. For $j \in \mathcal{J} \backslash \mathcal{K}$ and $x \in N_{j}$ we have $0=\alpha_{j}(x)=x+N_{j-1}$, showing that $N_{j-1}=N_{j}$, a contradiction.

Therefore, $M^{(\lambda)}$ is injective for any cardinal $\lambda$, and hence $M$ is $\Sigma$-injective.
$(\mathrm{a}) \Longrightarrow(\mathrm{b})$ is obvious.
This completes the proof of Theorem 2.
As a consequence of Theorem 2, we have the following characterization for a right noetherian ring.
Theorem 4. Let $R$ be a ring. Then the following are equivalent:
(i) $R$ is right noetherian.
(ii) For each injective module $M_{R}$, every essential extension of $M^{\left(\aleph_{0}\right)}$ is a direct sum of injective modules.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is obvious. (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) follows from Theorem 2 and by Faith-Walker [5] that a ring $R$ is right noetherian if and only if every injective right $R$-module is $\Sigma$-injective.

Remark 5. The above result generalizes a result of Beidar-Ke [2] which states that a ring $R$ is right noetherian if and only if every essential extension of a direct sum of injective right $R$-modules is again a direct sum of injective right $R$-modules. Note that [2] indeed generalizes a result of Bass [1] that a ring is right noetherian if and only if every direct sum of injective modules is injective.
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